# All centralising monoids with majority witnesses on a four-element set\*

Mike Behrisch<sup>†</sup>

14th July 2021

We study unary parts of centraliser clones on the set  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , so-called centralising monoids. We describe and count all centralising monoids on the set  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  having majority operations as witnesses, and we list the inclusion maximal proper submonoids of the full transformation monoid among them. This extends previous work by Goldstern, Machida and Rosenberg.

# 1 Introduction

Besides for being a very gentle and warm-hearted person, a gifted but, at the same time, humble and unassuming mathematician, Ivo Rosenberg was—and still is—probably best known in the universal algebraic community for his two outstanding contributions to clone theory: the characterisation of all maximal clones on finite sets ([Ros65, Ros70]) and his classification theorem for generating functions of minimal clones ([Ros86]), which will also feature in this article (Theorem 2.3). However, his work on clones did not stop with clones on finite sets, he also contributed to the theory of clones on infinite sets (notably [Ros75, Ros76]), and he studied

<sup>\*</sup>This research was partially supported by the BMBWF through the OeAD project KONTAKT CZ 02/2019 'Function algebras and ordered structures related to logic and data fusion'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Technische Universität Wien, Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 08A35, 08A02, (08A40, 08-08, 08-11).

Key words and phrases: commutation, centraliser clone, bicentrically closed clone, primitive positive clone, centralising monoid, majority operation, semiprojection

This is a preliminary and partially extended version of an article to appear in *J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput.* 

generalisations of clones, like partial clones ([HR95, HMR02, HLR06, CHR16]), hyperclones ([Ros96, MPR12]) and multiclones (e.g. [PR10]), as well. In this article we will directly build upon some of his research.

It is well understood that every clone on a finite set can be written as the set of polymorphisms of some set of relations ([Gei68, BKKR69]), and this Galois theoretic approach to clones is central to Rosenberg's work on clones and related structures. If one restricts in this Galois connection the role of relations to allow only graphs of functions, one obtains the notion of *commutation* between functions: In this context we say that an n-place function f commutes with an m-place function g, both defined over the same set of arguments A, if  $g(f(X)) = f(g(X^T))$ holds for any matrix  $X \in A^{m \times n}$ , where  $f(X) := (f(X(i,\cdot)))_{i \in m}$  denotes the tuple obtained by applying f row-wise to the matrix X, and similarly for g and the transposed matrix  $X^T$  (i.e., g operates on the columns of X). This commutation condition, denoted by  $f \perp q$ , is the same as saying that f preserves the graph  $g^{\bullet} := \{(x, g(x)) \mid x \in A^m\} \subseteq A^{m+1}, \text{ understood as an } (m+1)\text{-ary relation on } A;$ moreover, it is easy to see that it is a symmetric property, i.e.,  $f \perp g$  if and only if  $g \perp f$ . Now collecting, for a given set F of functions of finite (possibly different) arity, the set of all finitary operations q that commute with all functions from F gives the centraliser  $F^* = \{g \mid \operatorname{ar}(g) < \omega \land \forall f \in F : f \perp g\}$ , which is the polymorphism clone  $\operatorname{Pol}_A F^{\bullet}$  of all the graphs of the functions in F (where  $F^{\bullet} := \{ f^{\bullet} \mid f \in F \}$ ).

Hence, the Galois closures of the Galois correspondence induced by commutation are still clones (they are called *centraliser clones*), but they are far from being all of them. In fact, there is only a finite number of centraliser clones for every finite set A, as was shown by Burris and Willard [BW87, Corollary 4, p. 429], while there are infinitely many (polymorphism) clones when  $|A| \geq 2$ . By their definition, centraliser clones  $F^*$  are exactly the clones consisting of homomorphisms of finite powers of an algebra, namely  $\langle A; F \rangle$ , to itself. Alternatively, they can be characterised as those clones F that are equal to their own bicentraliser  $F^{**}$ , i.e., those which are bicentrically closed:  $F = F^{**}$ .

Despite (or perhaps because) there are so comparatively few of them, there is not as much known about centraliser clones as about clones in general. Originally, they were introduced and studied under the name parametrically closed classes in work by Kuznecov [Kuz79] and Danilčenko [Dan77a, Dan77b, Dan78, Dan79, Dan81, KDNP87, Dan87]; later also Harnau [Har74a, Har74b, Har74c, Har75, Har76a, Har76b] added to the theory, mainly dealing with centralisers of unary operations. Continuing the line of studies initiated by Harnau, centraliser clones also form part of the vast œuvre of Ivo Rosenberg, e.g. [MMR01, MMR02, MR03, MR04a, MR04b, MR18], in particular, they appear through his investigations of so-called centralising monoids [MR09, MR10, MR11, MR12, GMR15, MR16, MR17], all jointly written with Hajime Machida. This body of work is actually quite related to questions studied by Harnau in the 1970ies, but the different authors approach the same problem from 'opposite' sides. Namely, they further restrict the Galois connection of commutation to only allow unary operations on one of the two sides

(but functions of arbitrary arity on the other). Harnau studies centraliser clones  $\{f\}^*$  of unary functions  $f: A \longrightarrow A$  (and hence provides information on centralisers  $F^* = \bigcap_{f \in F} \{f\}^*$  of sets F of unary operations). Machida and Rosenberg also study the other side of the Galois correspondence, namely the unary parts  $F^{*(1)} = \{g : A \longrightarrow A \mid g \in F^*\}$  of centraliser clones  $F^*$  given by arbitrary sets of functions F. Since these unary parts form transformation monoids on A, they are called *centralising monoids*, and any set F of operations confirming that some transformation monoid  $M \subseteq A^A$  is a centralising monoid  $M = F^{*(1)}$  is called a witness of M, see, e.g. [MR10, MR11]. Using Rosenberg's Classification Theorem for minimal clones (Theorem 2.3) and an exhaustive search through 84 cases, in [MR11, Proposition 4.3, p. 156 all ten maximal centralising monoids on a three-element set were determined. In fact, the authors report in [GMR15, p. 205] that they enumerated all 192 centralising monoids on  $\{0,1,2\}$ , as well, but for reasons of space they were not able to publish this long list in [MR11]. This exhaustive method being somewhat unsatisfactory, in [GMR15], the authors set out for a more systematic approach to find all centralising monoids on  $\{0,1,2\}$  with majority functions or ternary semiprojections as witnesses.

The present paper is part of an endeavour to continue and properly extend the work begun by Rosenberg and Machida in [MR11], namely to obtain all maximal centralising monoids on a four-element domain. So, for a four-element carrier set, e.g.,  $A = \{0,1,2,3\}$ , we shall study the Galois connection induced by commutation between unary operations and functions of arbitrary positive arity on the other side, with the aim of finding the co-atoms among the Galois closed sets on the side of unary operations. For this we shall heavily rely on the methods established in [GMR15], however, what could be done with pen and paper in [GMR15], now requires massive computerised support due to combinatorial explosion. Even though the techniques developed in [GMR15] are systematic in nature and our way of proceeding needs to refine these still more to be at all feasible (cf. Section 3), the whole project partly turns into a brute-force exhaustive search. However, such is the nature of the beast, and thus a blow-up probably cannot be avoided.

Continuing the work done in [GMR15], in this article we investigate, as a first step, commutation between unary operations and majority operations (and partly with ternary semiprojections). For |A|=4 we show in Corollary 5.2 that there are exactly 1715 centralising monoids having majority functions as witnesses, and that there are 147 maximal ones among them (Corollary 5.5). We provide enough data so that anyone may check that there are at least 1715 centralising monoids of this type. For this bound to be sharp, one has to trust the completeness of our computations, the basis of which is described in Section 4 and the beginning of Section 5. Since we are concerned with the enumeration of Galois closures, we find it convenient to phrase our line of action in the language of formal concept analysis (see, e.g. [GW99]), as it offers pre-defined terminology for the type of manipulations we are going to employ. A methodological part explaining this connection can be found in Section 3. Section 2 introduces our notation and presents more background information on maximal centralising monoids, which is needed to understand the

approach described in this paper and the relationship between the presented results and the overall goal of finding all maximal centralising monoids on  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ .

It is worth noting that apart from its finiteness, practically nothing is known about the lattice of centraliser clones beyond three-element carrier sets. For the case  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , Danilčenko gave a complete description of the whole lattice in her doctoral thesis [Dan79, Section 6, p. 125 et seqq.], showing that there are 2986 centraliser clones. Already on four-element domains, even just estimates for the size of the lattice are unknown, and so any (lower) bounds, obtained, for instance, by counting certain types of centralising monoids, provide valuable new pieces of information.

#### 2 Preliminaries and notation

#### 2.1 Fundamental definitions and notation

In this article we shall use  $\mathbb{N} = \omega = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  to denote the set of natural numbers including zero, i.e., all finite ordinals. It will be convenient for us to employ the von Neumann model of ordinals, so each natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  will be the set  $n = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$  of its (finite ordinal) predecessors, zero being the empty set. In particular, we will often work with the finite carrier set  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} = 4$ , and sometimes we shall write just 4 for it (in other cases we will explicitly use  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  to avoid confusion). Moreover, the cardinality of some set B is denoted by |B|.

A central object of this paper are functions, such as  $f: A \longrightarrow B$  and  $g: B \longrightarrow C$ . Their composition  $g \circ f: A \longrightarrow C$  sends elements  $x \in A$  to g(f(x)), i.e., we compose from the left. The set of all functions from A to B is denoted by  $B^A$ . If  $f \in B^A$  and  $U \subseteq A$ ,  $V \subseteq B$ , the image of U under f is  $f[U] := \{f(x) \mid x \in U\}$ , and the preimage of V under f is  $f^{-1}[V] := \{x \in A \mid f(x) \in V\}$ . The image of f is just im f := f[A]. We also need restrictions; namely, for  $U \subseteq A$  we have the function  $f|_U: U \longrightarrow B$  that operates as f, but on a smaller domain (we leave the co-domain unchanged). Besides, if  $f[U] \subseteq U$ , then we can also modify the co-domain, to get  $f|_U$ , which is a map from U to U, sending  $x \in U$  to f(x).

In particular, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we understand n-tuples  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^n$  as functions from the set  $n = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$  to A:  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a map with values  $x_0 = \boldsymbol{x}(0), x_1 = \boldsymbol{x}(1), \ldots$  and is written as  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = (x_i)_{i \in n}$ . All notation that is meaningful for functions will hence also be used for tuples; this includes image, preimage and composition: im  $\boldsymbol{x} = \{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}, \ \boldsymbol{x}^{-1}[V] = \{0 \le i < n \mid x_i \in V\}$  for  $V \subseteq A$ , and  $f \circ \boldsymbol{x} = (f(x_0), \ldots, f(x_{n-1}))$  for  $f : A \longrightarrow B$ , while  $\boldsymbol{x} \circ \alpha = (x_{\alpha(i)})_{i \in I}$  for a re-indexing function  $\alpha : I \longrightarrow n$ .

The functions we shall mainly be interested in have the form that their domain is a finite power of their co-domain, i.e.,  $f: A^n \longrightarrow A$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  being the *arity* of f. Functions of arity zero are negligible with respect to commutation; hence we collect all *finitary operations on* A in the set  $O_A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} A^{A^n}$ . For any

 $F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $F^{(n)} := A^{A^n} \cap F$  its n-ary part. Hence, in particular  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(n)} = A^{A^n}$  and  $F^{(n)} = \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)} \cap F$  for any  $F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A$ . For  $i \in n \in \mathbb{N}$  the n-ary projection onto the position i is the operation  $e_i^{(n)} \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$  mapping  $\mathbf{x} = (x_j)_{j \in n}$  to  $e_i^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) := x_i$ . All projections form the set  $J_A = \bigcup_{0 < n < \omega} \left\{ e_i^{(n)} \mid i \in n \right\}$ . Since centralisers are clones, we briefly mention that a *clone* is a set  $F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A$ 

Since centralisers are clones, we briefly mention that a clone is a set  $F \subseteq O_A$  of operations, which contains all projections (i.e.,  $J_A \subseteq F$ ) and is closed under composition of finitary operations. The precise meaning of 'composition' in this context and much more additional information on clones, e.g., on their Galois theory, can be found in the reference monographs [PK79] or [Lau06]. Easy examples of clones are  $J_A$ ,  $O_A$  and  $F^*$  for any  $F \subseteq O_A$ .

To define interesting classes of finitary operations, it is convenient to use the concept of *identities*. An identity is an expression of the form  $s \approx t$  where s, t are functional terms over a given collection of function symbols and a certain supply of variable symbols. Satisfaction of an identity over a structure  $\langle A; f, g, \ldots \rangle$ , where  $f, g, \ldots$  are concrete functions interpreting the abstract symbols in the identity, means that the equality described by  $s \approx t$  is universally true, i.e., is true when all variables in s or t are universally quantified over A and the abstract symbols are replaced by the concrete functions  $f, g, \ldots$  of the structure. When the carrier set A is clear from the context, one usually suppresses the structure and speaks of functions satisfying some identities; moreover often the same symbols are used for the concrete functions and the abstract symbols.

For example, a projection on the place i is a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$  satisfying the identity  $f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}) \approx x_i$ . An idempotent function is a finitary operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A$  satisfying  $f(x,\ldots,x) \approx x$ . A majority operation is a ternary operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  satisfying the identities  $f(x,x,y) \approx f(x,y,x) \approx f(y,x,x) \approx x$ , while a minority operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  satisfies  $f(x,x,y) \approx f(x,y,x) \approx f(y,x,x) \approx y$ . Both majority and minority operations are idempotent. A constant is a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$  satisfying  $f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}) \approx a$  where a is a constant (nullary) symbol from A. We shall only need unary constant operations, and denote them as  $c_a$  where  $a \in A$  is the unique value in the image of the constant. We collect all majority operations on A in the set  $Maj_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  and all unary constants in the set  $C_A = \{c_a \mid a \in A\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$ .

There is another important type of functions, which is not defined via identities. For  $n \geq 2$  and  $i \in n$  we call a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$  an n-ary semiprojection on the position i if, for every tuple  $\mathbf{x} = (x_j)_{j \in n} \in A^n$  with repetitions (i.e., there are  $0 \leq j < l < n$  with  $x_j = x_l$ ), the result  $f(\mathbf{x})$  equals  $x_i$ . An n-ary semiprojection is an n-ary semiprojection on some index  $i \in n$ ; we call it proper, if it is not a projection. Some authors restrict the arities of semiprojections to be at least three; for us, a binary semiprojection just subsumes an idempotent binary function, i.e., a function satisfying f(x,x) = x for all  $x \in A$ .

Moreover, we shall use *permutations*, that is, bijective self-maps  $f: A \longrightarrow A$ . All permutations on A form the symmetric group  $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$  is the symmetric group of all permutations of the n-element set  $n = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

#### 2.2 Basic facts regarding commutation

As we want to study centralising monoids, we will be dealing with the Galois correspondence between  $O_A$  and  $O_A^{(1)}$  induced by commutation (i.e., by the restriction of  $\bot$  to  $O_A \times O_A^{(1)}$ ). It is rather obvious from the definition that in this case the commutation condition given in the introduction simplifies as follows:

**Observation 2.1.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  on any set A, we have  $s \in \{f\}^*$  if and only if the equality  $s(f(\boldsymbol{x})) = f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x})$  holds for all  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^n$ .

The Galois closed sets are then, on the one side, all centralising monoids

$$\left\{ F^{*(1)} \mid F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A \right\} = \left\{ S^{**(1)} \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \right\},\,$$

and all centralisers of unary operations

$$\left\{ S^* \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \right\} = \left\{ F^{*(1)*} \mid F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A \right\}$$

on the other. Notably, a set  $S\subseteq \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  is a centralising monoid, if and only if it is closed under the closure operator  $^{**(1)}$ , so  $S^{**(1)}=S$  (cf. [MR11, Definition/Lemma 2.2]). A centralising monoid is maximal if it is a co-atom (lower cover of  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$ ) in the lattice of Galois closures. Equivalently, a centralising monoid  $M\subseteq\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  is maximal if and only if its centraliser  $M^*$  is an atom above  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)*}$ . The centraliser is therefore generated under the closure operator  $^{*(1)*}$  by any of its non-trivial functions  $f\in M^*\setminus\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)*}$ . As  $M^*=\{f\}^{*(1)*}$ , this means that  $M=M^{**(1)}=\{f\}^{*(1)**(1)}=\{f\}^{*(1)}$  for any  $f\in M^*\setminus\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)^*}$ . This condition entails an intimate connection between maximal centralising monoids and generating functions of minimal clones as classified in Rosenberg's Theorem 2.3. This connection is known (see, e.g. [MR11, Section 3, p. 155]), and so we will only sketch the details. In particular, for the necessary background facts about clones and their Galois theory, we have to refer the reader to [PK79] or [Lau06].

**Proposition 2.2 (cf. [MR11, Theorem 3.2]).** Given any maximal centralising monoid  $M \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  on any finite set A, there is a minimal clone and a generating function  $f \in M^* \setminus \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)^*}$  of minimum arity of that clone such that  $M = \{f\}^{*(1)}$ .

**Proof:** For |A| < 2 there are no maximal centralising monoids, and the claim is trivial. For  $|A| \ge 2$  the centraliser  $M^*$  is an atom strictly above  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)^*} \supseteq \mathcal{J}_A$ . By finiteness of A, the centraliser  $M^*$  contains some minimal clone F. If F can be chosen such that it contains a minimum arity generator  $f \notin \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)^*}$ , we are done since any such f satisfies  $M = \{f\}^{*(1)}$ . In the Boolean case,  $\mathcal{O}_2^{(1)^*} = \{c_0, c_1, \neg\}^*$  is the clone of idempotent self-dual functions, so  $M^*$  contains the clone  $\{\neg\}^* \ni \neg$  of self-dual functions or the clone  $\{c_0, c_1\}^* \ni \land, \lor$  of idempotent operations. Since  $\neg$  is self-dual but not idempotent, and the Boolean lattice operations are idempotent

but not self-dual, and each of them generates a minimal clone, the claim holds for |A| = 2. For |A| > 2, every function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)^*}$  commutes with every unary operation and thus preserves the kernel  $\ker(s) = \{(x,y) \in A^2 \mid s(x) = s(y)\}$  of any unary operation s. Therefore, it preserves all equivalence relations on A, and from this one can show by induction on the arity of f (the base case is the second exercise problem on p. 38 of [Pie68], the inductive step is contained in the '[d]irect proof of 2.2.' on p. 132 of [LP84]) that f must be a projection or constant (this requires |A| > 2), cf. [LP84, 3.3. Example, p. 136] and the remark on p. 137, ibid. Since  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)^*} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_A^*$ , it is idempotent and thus fails to be a constant. So for |A| > 2, we have  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)^*} = \mathcal{J}_A$ , and one may choose any minimal clone  $F \subseteq M^*$ , and any of its (minimum arity) generators f.

The preceding result says that every maximal centralising monoid on a finite set has a singleton witness given by a minimum arity generator of a minimal clone. Such functions are called *minimal functions* and were classified by Ivo Rosenberg [Ros86].

**Theorem 2.3.** Any minimal function f on a finite set A is of one of the following types

- (1) unary, and either a permutation of prime order or a non-permutation satisfying  $f \circ f = f$ ;
- (2) a ternary minority operation given as  $f(x, y, z) = x \oplus y \oplus z$  where  $\langle A; \oplus \rangle$  is an (Abelian) group such that every non-trivial element has order two;
- (3) a ternary majority operation;
- (4) a semiprojection of arity  $2 \le k \le |A|$ .

It is by Rosenberg's Classification Theorem that Proposition 2.2 gains strength. To find all maximal centralising monoids on a given set A one only has to iterate over all possible functions f listed in Theorem 2.3, to compute  $\{f\}^{*(1)}$ , and to take the maximal proper submonoids of  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  from this list. This approach has been carried out successfully for  $A = \{0,1,2\}$  in [MR11], and it motivates to study restrictions of the Galois connection given by commutation to some type of function from Theorem 2.3 and unary functions, as it was done in [GMR15, MR16], again for |A| = 3.

With the aim of pushing the work started in [MR11] to the next level, i.e., to  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , we consider in this paper the Galois correspondence given by commutation, restricted to  $\text{Maj}_A \times \text{O}_A^{(1)}$ . The other cases, that is, semiprojections, minority functions and unary operations will have to be treated separately.

When studying centralising monoids with specific witnesses  $F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A$ , it is of course clear that  $F^{*(1)} = \bigcap_{f \in F} \{f\}^{*(1)}$ , so Observation 2.1 certainly applies here. However, for  $F \subseteq \operatorname{Maj}_A$  the latter characterisation can be simplified even more, as

was already observed in [GMR15, Section 3, p. 207 et seqq.]. The simplification is connected to the set  $\sigma \subseteq A^3$  of triples with three pairwise distinct entries, i.e.,  $\sigma := \{(x,y,z) \in A^3 \mid x \neq y \neq z \neq x\}$ . Obviously, if  $f \in \text{Maj}_A$  is a majority operation,  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  and  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^3 \setminus \sigma$ , then  $s \circ \boldsymbol{x} \in A^3 \setminus \sigma$ , too, and therefore the condition  $s(f(\boldsymbol{x})) = f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x})$  is trivially fulfilled by the majority law. This implies the following observation:

**Observation 2.4.** For a majority operation  $f \in \operatorname{Maj}_A$  and any  $s \in \operatorname{O}_A^{(1)}$  on some set A, we have  $s \in \{f\}^*$  if and only if  $s(f(\boldsymbol{x})) = f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x})$  holds for all  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$ .

In fact, this characterisation aligns nicely with the 'relevant' part of a majority operation: due to the majority law any majority operation  $f \in \operatorname{Maj}_A$  is uniquely determined by its restriction  $f|_{\sigma}$ . The number of triples in  $\sigma$  amounts to  $k \cdot (k-1) \cdot (k-2)$  for a k-element carrier set A. Hence, there exist  $k^{k \cdot (k-1) \cdot (k-2)}$  such functions on a k-element set. Even though this number is smaller than  $\left|\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}\right| = k^{k^3}$ , we shall see in the next sections that it is critical for our task to find more efficient ways of understanding the commutation Galois correspondence than blindly iterating over all majority operations and using Observation 2.4 to check which of them commutes with which unary functions.

# 3 Method

It is possible to algorithmically enumerate the set (and moreover the lattice) of all Galois closed sets of a Galois connection, if the inducing binary relation (in our case  $\bot\subseteq \mathrm{Maj}_A\times \mathrm{O}_A^{(1)}$ ) is explicitly given as a cross table (that is, if the characteristic function of the binary relation has been tabulated). This is one of the corner stones of formal concept analysis, see [GW99], where such tables are referred to as formal contexts. More precisely, a formal context is a triple  $\mathbb{K}=(G,M,I)$  consisting of sets G of so-called objects and M of so-called attributes, and an incidence relation  $I\subseteq G\times M$  inducing the Galois connection between them. The known algorithms for producing the collection  $\mathcal{L}$  of all Galois closed sets have a time complexity of  $\mathrm{O}(|G|\cdot|M|^2)$  per closed set (with improvements under certain side conditions), see [GO16, Chapter 2, p. 39 et seqq.] for details. Thus, the total worst-case time complexity is given by  $\mathrm{O}(|\mathcal{L}|\cdot|G|\cdot|M|^2)$ . For the present Galois connection the corresponding formal context would be

For the present Galois connection the corresponding formal context would be a  $k^{k\cdot(k-1)\cdot(k-2)}\times k^k$  table, where k is the cardinality of the carrier set A. For k=4, this is already a  $4^{24}\times 256$  Boolean matrix, where the enumeration of all  $2\cdot 10^{14}<4^{24}<3\cdot 10^{14}$  rows is only possible with very enhanced computational means. In fact, one would need 256 TB to simply store it if one cell would take only one bit (not byte; note that a standard integer nowadays normally takes  $64 \, \text{bit} = 8 \, \text{B}$ ), and the computation of the Galois closures from such a table would become totally infeasible. Thus, the main challenge that needs to be solved to produce a list of all centralising monoids with majority witnesses on a four-element

set is to obtain a smaller context containing the same information. Fortunately, it is typical that (especially when there is a large discrepancy between the sizes of |G| and |M|) formal contexts contain a lot of redundant bits, and we shall see in Section 5 that, for our problem, a much smaller context suffices to enumerate all closed sets. This is often due to the following two situations: if  $g, h \in G$  are incident with the same members of M, i.e.,  $\{g\}' := \{m \in M \mid (g, m) \in I\}$  equals  $\{h\}' = \{m \in M \mid (h, m) \in I\}$ , then only one of g and h needs to be retained. In our example, this happens when two majority operations commute with exactly the same unary operations. Identifying elements of G when such equalities happen and reducing the context to a set of representatives of the equivalence classes is known as object clarification in formal concept analysis. It can, of course, be dually performed for M, in which case one speaks of attribute clarification. Moreover, it can happen that some object  $g \in G$  satisfies  $\{g\}' = H' := \bigcap_{h \in H} \{h\}'$  for some set  $H \subseteq G \setminus \{g\}$ . Then, the row of the context belonging to g can be dispensed with if the elements in H are kept. Seen from a more abstract point of view, the closure  $\{g\}'$  is  $\cap$ -reducible in the lattice of all Galois closures, and so one only needs to keep elements  $g \in G$  belonging to  $\bigcap$ -irreducible closures. Purifying a formal context by removing  $\cap$ -reducible objects from G is known as *object reduction*, the dual operation with respect to M as attribute reduction.

For the problem of determining centralising monoids, we shall understand the set of majority operations on A as objects G and the set of unary operations  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  as attributes M. The roles of objects and attributes are, of course, completely arbitrary. Since G is much bigger than M in our case, attribute clarification and reduction is much less important than object clarification (and reduction). However, as it turns out, a somewhat combined approach will be the key to success. For every  $s \in M = \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  we shall characterise (and subsequently enumerate)  $\{s\}' = \{s\}^* \cap \mathrm{Maj}_A$ , and for every  $f \in \{s\}'$  we shall compute and store  $\{f\}' = \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \cap \{f\}^*$ . This may seem like an overly convoluted approach to just obtain some rows of the context; however, as we shall show in Section 5, when putting all  $\{f\}'$  from all  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  together, these are sufficiently many rows to give us the full picture. In fact, by this procedure, we shall only miss the rows  $\{f\}'$  for those  $f \in \mathrm{Maj}_A$  that do not commute with any unary operation besides the trivial ones, i.e., those that commute with every majority operation. One such row can simply be added manually to the context.

So far, there is no guarantee that we will consider substantially less majority operations than all  $k^{k(k-1)(k-2)}$  (i.e.  $4^{24}$  for k=4) by this approach. Notably, we have to identify (and ignore) those (trivial) unary operations that commute with every majority operation. In general, it will be critical to observe when we characterise  $\{s\}'$  for each unary  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  that the size of  $\{s\}'$  is not too big, where 'not too big' means feasible to be enumerated in a reasonable amount of time with practical resources. As it turns out, for  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , we will be lucky in this respect.

As a side product of considering and characterising  $\{s\}'$  for each (non-trivial)

 $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  individually, we shall also perform some attribute clarifications, although for one portion of the unary maps we have not executed clarifications to the full extent as it is not necessary for our task.

# 4 Characterisation of commutation and attribute clarification

We proceed, as explained in Section 3, by characterising  $\{s\}^* \cap \operatorname{Maj}_A$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  over  $A = \{0,1,2,3\}$ . In this respect we partition the set of attributes,  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$ , according to the number of elements in the image of its members. Moreover, the attributes with full image, i.e. permutations, will be partitioned further, depending on the number of fixed points. It was already observed by Harnau [Har74a, p. 340 et seqq.] that the latter is an important quantitative aspect of unary functions in connection with commutation.

#### 4.1 One-element image

A function  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  such that  $|\operatorname{im}(s)| = 1$  is constant, and therefore automatically commutes with any idempotent operation, and any majority operation in particular. Namely, if  $f(x,\ldots,x) \approx x$ , then f preserves  $\{a\}$  for every  $a \in A$ , and preserving a singleton relation  $\{a\}$  is equivalent to commuting with the corresponding unary constant operation  $c_a$ . Furthermore, projections commute with any operation, which holds true for the identity operation, in particular. Thus, we always get  $C_A \cup \{\operatorname{id}_A\} \subseteq \{f\}^*$  for idempotent operations f, and so all constant operations will be identified with the identity operation by attribute clarification (and be ignored henceforth). It will become clear from the characterisations given in the other subsections that apart from the identity and constants no other unary operation commutes with all majority operations, i.e.  $O_4^{(1)} \cap \operatorname{Maj}_A^* = \{\operatorname{id}_4, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ .

# 4.2 Two-element image

In this subsection we consider a function  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  such that  $\operatorname{im}(s) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Suppose that k = |A|. We present two lemmata, the first one regarding  $|s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]| = k-1$ , the other one concerning  $|s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]| = k-2$ . For k=4 this describes the complete picture.

**Lemma 4.1 (cf. [GMR15, Lemma 3.2]).** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  be a majority operation on A with |A| = k and let  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  be such that  $\operatorname{im}(s) = \{\alpha, \beta\}, |s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]| = k - 1$  and  $|s^{-1}[\{\beta\}]| = 1$ . Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]$  for all  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$ .

**Proof:** By Observation 2.4 the commutation condition only has to be checked on  $\sigma$ . If  $(a, b, c) \in \sigma$ , then at least two of s(a), s(b) and s(c) are equal to  $\alpha$  (because either one or none of a, b and c belongs to  $s^{-1}[\{\beta\}]$ ). So,  $f(s(a), s(b), s(c)) = \alpha$ ,

whence the commutation condition becomes  $s(f(a,b,c)) = f(s(a),s(b),s(c)) = \alpha$  for all  $(a,b,c) \in \sigma$ .

For k=4 there are four possibilities to choose the singleton  $s^{-1}[\{\beta\}]$  as in Lemma 4.1; the preimage  $s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]$ , which completely determines the condition for commutation, is then the complement. We list the four respective conditions for  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  below:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$$
 (A1)

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \{0, 2, 3\} \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$$
 (A2)

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \{0, 1, 3\} \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$$
 (A3)

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$$
 (A4)

In order to clarify the context, we shall replace all the equivalent unary functions  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  with exactly two distinct values one of which is attained on a three-element set B by the condition (Ai) involving B.

Note that, even though the characterising condition given in Lemma 4.1 is the easiest non-trivial one in this paper, it is the most time consuming from the computational perspective. Namely,  $(k-1)^{|\sigma|} = (k-1)^{k(k-1)(k-2)}$  majority operations need to be enumerated per unary operation, which for k=4 is  $3^{24}=282\,429\,536\,481$ .

Next we consider the other case that can happen for unary functions with a two-element range on a four-element domain. Here  $2^{3!(k-2)} \cdot (k-2)^{|\sigma|-3!(k-2)}$ , that is,  $2^{6(k-2)} \cdot (k-2)^{(k-2)(k+2)(k-3)}$ , majority operations need to be considered per unary function. For k=4 this amounts to only  $2^{24}=16\,777\,216$  functions.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  be a majority operation on A with |A| = k and let  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  be such that  $\operatorname{im}(s) = \{\alpha, \beta\}, \ \alpha \neq \beta, \ |s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]| = k - 2$  and  $|s^{-1}[\{\beta\}]| = 2$ . Then  $f \perp s$  holds if and only if  $f(a, b, c) \in s^{-1}[\{\beta\}]$  for all triples  $(a, b, c) \in \sigma$  such that  $s^{-1}[\{\beta\}] \subseteq \{a, b, c\}$  and  $f(a, b, c) \in s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]$  else.

**Proof:** As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, it suffices to consider the commutation condition on triples  $(a,b,c) \in \sigma$ . If  $\{a,b,c\} \supseteq s^{-1}[\{\beta\}]$ , then  $f(s(a),s(b),s(c)) = \beta$ ; otherwise, we have  $|\{a,b,c\} \cap s^{-1}[\{\beta\}]| \le 1$ , so  $|\{a,b,c\} \cap s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]| \ge 2$ , which implies  $f(s(a),s(b),s(c)) = \alpha$ . Therefore, for all triples  $(a,b,c) \in \sigma$  satisfying that  $s^{-1}[\{\beta\}] \subseteq \{a,b,c\}$ , the commutation condition becomes  $s(f(a,b,c)) = \beta$ ; for all other triples it turns into  $s(f(a,b,c)) = \alpha$ .

For the case that k = 4, the characterisation in Lemma 4.2 can be rephrased a little bit more concretely.

Corollary 4.3. Suppose that  $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ , where |A| = 4,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  is a majority function and  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  satisfies  $\operatorname{im}(s) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}] = \{a, b\}$  and  $s^{-1}[\{\beta\}] = \{c, d\}$ . Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if  $f(x, y, z) \in \{c, d\}$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \sigma$  such that  $\{c, d\} \subseteq \{x, y, z\}$  and  $f(x, y, z) \in \{a, b\}$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \sigma$  such that  $\{a, b\} \subseteq \{x, y, z\}$ .

**Proof:** For every triple  $(x, y, z) \in \sigma$  where  $\{c, d\} \not\subseteq \{x, y, z\}$ , the set  $\{x, y, z\}$  has to miss one of c or d. In order to contain three distinct elements on  $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ , it has to contain the other one, as well as both elements a and b. For this reason the claim follows from Lemma 4.2.

For  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , Corollary 4.3 describes exactly  $\binom{4}{2}/2 = 3$  concrete conditions:

$$f(x,y,z) \in \{0,1\} \text{ for all } (x,y,z) \in \sigma \text{ such that } \{0,1\} \subseteq \{x,y,z\} \text{ and } f(x,y,z) \in \{2,3\} \text{ for all } (x,y,z) \in \sigma \text{ such that } \{2,3\} \subseteq \{x,y,z\}$$
 (A5) 
$$f(x,y,z) \in \{0,2\} \text{ for all } (x,y,z) \in \sigma \text{ such that } \{0,2\} \subseteq \{x,y,z\} \text{ and } f(x,y,z) \in \{1,3\} \text{ for all } (x,y,z) \in \sigma \text{ such that } \{1,3\} \subseteq \{x,y,z\}$$
 (A6) 
$$f(x,y,z) \in \{0,3\} \text{ for all } (x,y,z) \in \sigma \text{ such that } \{0,3\} \subseteq \{x,y,z\} \text{ and } f(x,y,z) \in \{1,2\} \text{ for all } (x,y,z) \in \sigma \text{ such that } \{1,2\} \subseteq \{x,y,z\}$$
 (A7)

We shall use these to replace unary functions s with exactly two values  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , whose kernel partition is  $\{\{0,1\},\{2,3\}\},\{\{0,2\},\{1,3\}\}\}$  and  $\{\{0,3\},\{1,2\}\},$  respectively.

#### 4.3 Three-element image

For unary functions on a four-element domain with three-element range the analysis of the commutation condition needs to go a bit more into detail to be satisfactory. There are  $\binom{4}{3} \cdot \binom{3}{1} \cdot \binom{4}{2} \cdot 2! = 144$  functions of this type as there are  $\binom{4}{3}$  ways to choose the image,  $\binom{3}{1}$  ways to choose which element in the image has a two-element preimage,  $\binom{4}{2}$  ways to choose this preimage, and 2! possibilities to arrange the remaining values.

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume |A| = 4 and let  $s \in O_A^{(1)}$  with  $|\operatorname{im} s| = 3$ ,  $\operatorname{im} s = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ ,  $A = \{u, v, x, y\}$  be such that  $s(u) = s(v) = \alpha$ ,  $s(x) = \beta$ ,  $s(y) = \gamma$ . Let  $t \in A$  be such that  $A = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, t\}$  and consider an arbitrary triple  $(a, b, c) \in \sigma$ . If  $t \in \{a, b, c\}$ , then there is no  $\mathbf{x} \in A^3$  such that  $s \circ \mathbf{x} = (a, b, c)$ . If, otherwise,  $t \notin \{a, b, c\}$ , then  $(a, b, c) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \circ \pi$  for some index permutation  $\pi \in \operatorname{Sym}(3)$  and we have a two-element preimage  $\{\mathbf{x} \in A^3 \mid s \circ \mathbf{x} = (a, b, c)\} = \{(u, x, y) \circ \pi, (v, x, y) \circ \pi\}$ .

**Proof:** If there is  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^3$  with  $s \circ \boldsymbol{x} = (a, b, c)$ , then  $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq \operatorname{im} s = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ , which equals  $A \setminus \{t\}$ , so  $t \notin \{a, b, c\}$ . If this happens, then  $\{a, b, c\}$  is a subset of  $A \setminus \{t\} = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ , and, as both sets have three elements, they must coincide. Thus, there is  $\pi \in \operatorname{Sym}(3)$  such that

$$(a,b,c) = (\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \circ \pi = (s(u),s(x),s(y)) \circ \pi = (s(v),s(x),s(y)) \circ \pi.$$

Since  $s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}] = \{u, v\}, \ s^{-1}[\{\beta\}] = \{x\}$  and  $s^{-1}[\{\gamma\}] = \{y\}$ , there are no other triples with this property.

**Observation 4.5.** Consider  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  with  $|\operatorname{im} s| = 3$ ,  $\operatorname{im} s = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ ; let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  and  $(a, b, c) \in \sigma$ . Under the condition  $s \perp f$ , the value f(a, b, c) only determines values  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$  for some other  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^3$ , if  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$  and  $\{a, b, c\} = \operatorname{im} s = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ .

**Proof:** The condition  $s \perp f$  may determine  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$  via  $s(f(\boldsymbol{x})) = f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x})$ , that is, by  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in s^{-1}[f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x})]$ . For f(a,b,c) to have an influence on  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ , we need to have  $s \circ \boldsymbol{x} = (a,b,c)$ , i.e.,  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$  and  $\{a,b,c\} \subseteq \operatorname{im} s = \{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}$ . As both sets have three distinct elements, they must coincide.

**Lemma 4.6.** Assume |A| = 4 and let  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  with  $|\operatorname{im} s| = 3$ ,  $\operatorname{im} s = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ ,  $A = \{u, v, x, y\}$  be such that  $s(u) = s(v) = \alpha$ ,  $s(x) = \beta$ ,  $s(y) = \gamma$ . Moreover, let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  be a majority operation. Now,  $f \perp s$  if and only if

$$f((u, v, z) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}] = \{u, v\}$$
  
$$f((w, x, y) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{f((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \circ \pi)\}]$$

hold for all  $z \in \{x, y\}$ ,  $w \in \{u, v\}$  and all  $\pi \in \text{Sym}(3)$ .

**Proof:** By Observation 2.4, we have  $f \perp s$  if and only if  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in s^{-1}[\{s \circ \boldsymbol{x}\}]$  holds for all  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$ . Every tuple  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \sigma$  consists of three distinct entries, wherefore im  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \{\{u, v, x\}, \{u, v, y\}, \{u, x, y\}, \{v, x, y\}\}$ . If  $\boldsymbol{x} = (u, v, z) \circ \pi$  with  $z \in \{x, y\}$  and some  $\pi \in \text{Sym}(3)$ , then the above condition becomes

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f((u, v, z) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{f(s \circ (u, v, z) \circ \pi)\}] = s^{-1}[\{f((\alpha, \alpha, s(z)) \circ \pi)\}]$$
$$= s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}] = \{u, v\}.$$

If  $\mathbf{x} = (w, x, y) \circ \pi$  for some  $\pi \in \text{Sym}(3)$  and  $w \in \{u, v\}$ , the condition turns into

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = f((w, x, y) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{f(s \circ (w, x, y) \circ \pi)\}] = s^{-1}[\{f((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \circ \pi)\}].$$

Therefore, the characterisation in the lemma is obtained from Observation 2.4 by partitioning  $\sigma$  into two times two classes of 3! = 6 tuples each.

Corollary 4.7. Assume |A| = 4 and let  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  with  $|\operatorname{im} s| = 3$ ,  $\operatorname{im} s = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ ,  $A = \{u, v, x, y\}$  be such that  $s(u) = s(v) = \alpha$ ,  $s(x) = \beta$ ,  $s(y) = \gamma$ . The restriction  $\zeta := s \upharpoonright_{\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}}^{(1)}$  satisfies  $\zeta \notin \operatorname{Sym}(\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}) \iff \{u, v\} \subseteq \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ . Moreover, let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  be a majority operation.

(a) If  $\zeta \notin \text{Sym}(\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\})$ , let the meaning of the symbols  $(x, \beta)$  and  $(y, \gamma)$  be chosen such that  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{u, v, x\}$ , i.e.,  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (u, v, x) \circ \xi$  for some  $\xi \in \text{Sym}(3)$ . In this case, we have  $f \perp s$  if and only if

$$f((u, v, z) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}] = \{u, v\}$$
  
$$f((w, x, y) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{f((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \circ \pi)\}] = s^{-1}[\{f((u, v, x) \circ \xi \circ \pi)\}]$$

hold for all  $z \in \{x, y\}$ ,  $w \in \{u, v\}$  and  $\pi \in \text{Sym}(3)$ .

(b) If  $\zeta \in \text{Sym}(\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\})$ , choose the symbols u and v such that  $v \notin \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \ni u$ , i.e.,  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{u, x, y\}$ , so there is  $\xi \in \text{Sym}(3)$  with  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (u, x, y) \circ \xi$ . In this case, we have  $f \perp s$  if and only if

$$f((u, v, z) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}] = \{u, v\}$$
  
$$f((w, x, y) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{f((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \circ \pi)\}] = s^{-1}[\{f((u, x, y) \circ \xi \circ \pi)\}]$$

hold for all  $z \in \{x, y\}$ ,  $w \in \{u, v\}$  and  $\pi \in \text{Sym}(3)$ .

**Proof:** First we argue that  $\zeta \notin \operatorname{Sym}(\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\})$  if and only if  $\{u, v\} \subseteq \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ . Indeed, if  $\zeta$  is not a permutation, it cannot be injective, and hence must send two distinct arguments to the same value. By the structure of s, these arguments must be u and v, so these values must occur in the domain of  $\zeta$ , which is  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ . The converse is evident.

The two characterisations are now immediate consequences of Lemma 4.6 and of the choice which elements of A are denoted by  $x, \beta, y, \gamma$  and u, v, respectively.


Note that, according to Observation 4.5, any choice of the value  $f((u, v, y) \circ \pi)$  in the first part of the condition in Corollary 4.7(a) does not influence other values of f, whereas a choice of  $f((u, v, x) \circ \pi)$ , which can be arbitrary in  $s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]$ , limits the choices of f-values for exactly two other triples in  $\sigma$  (as predicted by Lemma 4.4).

By the same logic, the twelve values  $f((u,v,z)\circ\pi)$  in the first part of the condition in Corollary 4.7(b) can be chosen freely in  $s^{-1}[\{\alpha\}]$ , but the values of f on permutations of (v,x,y) depend on the choice of f on some (other) permutation of (u,x,y), which in turn has cyclic interdependencies with f-values on other permutations of (u,x,y). The details of these interrelations depend on the action of s (more precisely, of the cyclic group  $S = \langle \{\zeta\} \rangle_{\operatorname{Sym}(\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\})}$ ) on the set  $\sigma'$  of six permutations of (u,x,y) (or  $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ ), namely on the index map  $\xi \in \operatorname{Sym}(3)$  that is uniquely determined by  $s \circ (u,x,y) = (\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (u,x,y) \circ \xi$ . The action of S on  $\sigma' = \{(u,x,y)\circ\pi \mid \pi \in \operatorname{Sym}(3)\}$  partitions  $\sigma'$  into 6/n orbits of size  $n \in \{1,2,3\}$  where n is the order of  $\zeta$ . For each of these orbits, the choice of f on only one point of the orbit uniquely determines the values of f on all triples in the orbit (see also Subsection 4.4 for more explanation), and these values restrict the values of f on triples belonging to different orbits do not influence each other with respect to  $s \perp f$ . For more clarity we give an explicit example.

**Example 4.8.** Let  $s \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}$  be given by  $s \circ (0,1,2,3) = (3,0,0,1)$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{im} s = \{0,1,3\}$  and  $\alpha = 0$  since  $B = s^{-1}[\{0\}] = \{1,2\}$ . Hence,  $v = 2 \in B \setminus \operatorname{im} s$  and  $u = 1 \in B \setminus \{v\}$ . As  $\{x,y\} = \{0,1,2,3\} \setminus B = \{0,3\}$ , we choose x = 0 and y = 3, and thus  $\beta = s(x) = 3$  and  $\gamma = s(y) = 1$ . Now  $\zeta = s \upharpoonright_{\{0,1,3\}} = (0\,3\,1)$  is a cyclic shift of order three and thus

$$s \circ (u, x, y) = s \circ (1, 0, 3) = (0, 3, 1) = (1, 0, 3) \circ \xi$$

is also given as a cyclic index shift  $\xi = (0\,1\,2) \in \text{Sym}(3)$ . The six permutations of (u, x, y) = (1, 0, 3) are partitioned into two orbits of size 3 under the action of  $\langle \{\zeta\} \rangle_{\text{Sym}(\{0,1,3\})}$ , and the action of s (shown as arrows) on permutations of (v, x, y) = (2, 0, 3) is connected to these orbits as follows:



By the preimage condition  $f((w, x, y) \circ \pi) \in s^{-1}[\{f((u, x, y) \circ \xi \circ \pi)\}]$  for  $w \in \{u, v\}$  and  $\pi \in \text{Sym}(3)$  in Corollary 4.7(b), we have to choose f-values in s-preimages, that is in  $\{1, 2\}$ ,  $\{0\}$  or  $\{3\}$ , in the opposite direction of the arrows given by the action of s in order to ensure  $f \perp s$ .

For example, on any permutation of (u, x, y) = (1, 0, 3) we are not allowed to choose the value 2, as otherwise the s-preimage would be empty and there would be no possible f-value for a (here and generally different) permutation of (v, x, y) = (2, 0, 3). In fact, we pick an arbitrary representative from each orbit of (1, 0, 3), for instance, (1, 0, 3) and (1, 3, 0), independently select an arbitrary f-value in  $\{0, 1, 3\}$  for it, and this determines the f-values for all permutations of (1, 0, 3) uniquely (since 2 is a forbidden value). After that the values for the permutations of (v, x, y) = (2, 0, 3) can be chosen freely in the respective s-preimage of these six unique values. Unconstrained by this, the f-values for triples from  $\sigma$  containing 1 and 2 can be fixed arbitrarily in  $\{u, v\} = \{1, 2\}$  under the condition  $s \perp f$ .

To be concrete, if we select, e.g., f(1,0,3) = 1 and f(1,3,0) = 0, then

$$f(3,1,0) = 3$$
  $f(0,3,1) = 0$   
 $f(3,2,0) = 3$   $f(0,3,2) = 0$   $f(2,0,3) \in \{1,2\}$   
 $f(3,0,1) = 1$   $f(0,1,3) = 3$   
 $f(3,0,2) \in \{1,2\}$   $f(0,2,3) = 3$   $f(2,3,0) = 0$ .

Moreover, we have to combine this with any of the  $2^{12}$  independent choices for  $f((1,2,z)\circ\pi)\in\{1,2\}$  where  $z\in\{0,3\}$  and  $\pi\in\mathrm{Sym}(3)$ .

In the light of the preceding interpretation, the description in Corollary 4.7 is sufficient to computationally enumerate all majority operations in  $\{s\}^*$  for each of the 144 unary operations  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  with a three-element image on  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . These 144 cases fall into finitely many classes depending on the unique element in  $A \setminus \text{im } s$ , the choice of the unique two-element preimage  $\{u, v\}$ , the fact whether  $s \mid_{\text{im } s}$  is a permutation or not, and, if so, which order it has, and some more subtle conditions regarding the roles of u, v (in the permutation case) and of  $x, \beta, y, \gamma$  (in the non-permutation case). In the context of our task, the exact number of classes is not relevant enough, so that we forgo an explicit listing of the conditions as in

Subsections 4.2 and 4.4. It is more important for us to note that a given three-valued  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  sufficiently restricts the search space of majority operations f, so that all possible candidates  $f \in \{s\}^*$  can be enumerated (and then checked) in a reasonable amount of time. A rough upper bound can be derived by observing that preimages of any given s have size at most two, and that there are only 24 relevant triples in  $\sigma$ . Hence, according to the conditions presented in Corollary 4.7, at most  $2^{24} = 16\,777\,216$  majority operations (and with more programming skills even much less, due to some preimages being singletons) need to be considered.

#### 4.4 Permutations

Before we start with a more detailed analysis, let us note the following trivial observation, which follows from the fact that every permutation on a finite set has a finite order and centralisers are closed under composition and thus under taking finite powers.

**Observation 4.9.** For a permutation  $s \in \text{Sym}(A)$  on a finite set A we have  $s \perp f$  if and only  $s^{-1} \perp f$  for any finitary operation  $f \in O_A$ . In other words  $\{s\}^* = \{s^{-1}\}^*$ , whence s and  $s^{-1}$  are not distinguishable w.r.t. commutation, and attribute clarification will remove one of s and  $s^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.10.** Let  $s \in \operatorname{Sym}(A)$  be a permutation of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  on some set A, and let S denote the cyclic permutation group  $S = \{s^j \mid 0 \leq j < m\}$  generated by s. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the group S acts on  $A^n$  by  $(\tilde{s}, \boldsymbol{x}) \mapsto \tilde{s} \circ \boldsymbol{x}$  and thereby partitions all n-tuples into orbits; let  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_t \mid t \in T\} \subseteq A^n$  be a transversal of these orbits. The permutation group S also acts on  $\sigma \subseteq A^3$ ; let  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_t \mid t \in T'\} \subseteq \sigma$  be a transversal of the corresponding orbits. Moreover, consider any  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$ .

- (a) We have  $s \perp f$  if and only if  $f(s^j \circ \mathbf{x}_t) = s^j(f(\mathbf{x}_t))$  holds for all  $0 \leq j < m$  and all  $t \in T$ .
- (b) If n = 3 and  $f \in \operatorname{Maj}_A$ , we have  $s \perp f$  if and only if  $f(s^j \circ \boldsymbol{x}_t) = s^j(f(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$  holds for all  $0 \le j \le m$  and all  $t \in T'$ .

**Proof:** Statement (b) follows from (a) by noting that for n = 3, the index set T' can be extended to some  $T \supseteq T'$  such that  $\{x_t \mid t \in T\} \supseteq \{x_t \mid t \in T'\}$  forms a transversal of  $A^n$ . Now for  $f \in \operatorname{Maj}_A$  the part of the condition in (a) corresponding to  $t \in T \setminus T'$  is automatically true as seen in Observation 2.4.

Necessity in (a) is clear, as  $s \in \{f\}^*$  entails  $s^{\ell} \in \{f\}^*$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so we have  $f(s^{\ell} \circ \boldsymbol{x}) = s^{\ell}(f(\boldsymbol{x}))$  for all  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^n$ . Conversely, if  $\boldsymbol{x} = s^j \circ \boldsymbol{x}_t$  with  $0 \le j < m$  is a tuple in the orbit represented by  $\boldsymbol{x}_t$ , then

$$f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x}) = f\left(s^{j+1} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_t\right) = s^{j+1}(f(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) = s\left(s^j(f(\boldsymbol{x}_t))\right) = s\left(f\left(s^j \circ \boldsymbol{x}_t\right)\right) = s(f(\boldsymbol{x})),$$

where the second equality is trivial for j+1=m and follows by assumption if j+1 < m.

The arguments of Lemma 4.10 together with simple considerations which forms the tuple  $(s^0(f(\boldsymbol{x}_t)), \ldots, s^{m-1}(f(\boldsymbol{x}_t)))$  may take, depending on the structure of the permutation  $s \in \text{Sym}(A)$ , are the basis for the following characterisations. Their proofs will be omitted as the details are quite straightforward.

Subsequently, we separate the permutations of  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  by their number of fixed points. Following the path outlined in [GMR15, 3.1.1], we shall first describe a slightly more general case for specific  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$ , which we shall subsequently specialise to that of a majority operation.

#### 4.4.1 General case

Here we first consider ternary functions  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(3)}$  satisfying the identities

$$f(x, y, x) \approx f(x, x, z) \approx x$$
.

These are common generalisations of majority operations and ternary semiprojections on the left-most coordinate.

To begin we deal with permutations  $s \in \text{Sym}(4)$  without any fixed point. Such a function necessarily is either of the form s = (abcd) or s = (ab)(cd), wherein  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** Let s = (abcd) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and suppose  $f \in O_4^{(3)}$  satisfies  $f(x, y, x) \approx f(x, x, y) \approx x$ . Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if each of the following quadruples belongs to the set  $\{(a, b, c, d), (b, c, d, a), (c, d, a, b), (d, a, b, c)\}$ :

$$(f(a,b,b),f(b,c,c),f(c,d,d),f(d,a,a)),\\(f(a,c,c),f(b,d,d),f(c,a,a),f(d,b,b)),\\(f(a,d,d),f(b,a,a),f(c,b,b),f(d,c,c)),\\(f(a,b,c),f(b,c,d),f(c,d,a),f(d,a,b)),\\(f(a,b,d),f(b,c,a),f(c,d,b),f(d,a,c)),\\(f(a,c,b),f(b,d,c),f(c,a,d),f(d,b,a)),\\(f(a,d,b),f(b,a,c),f(c,b,d),f(d,c,a)),\\(f(a,c,d),f(b,d,a),f(c,a,b),f(d,b,c)),\\(f(a,c,d),f(b,d,a),f(c,a,b),f(d,b,c)),\\(f(a,d,c),f(b,a,d),f(c,b,a),f(d,c,b)).$$

**Lemma 4.12.** Let s = (ab)(cd) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and suppose  $f \in O_4^{(3)}$  satisfies  $f(x, y, x) \approx f(x, x, y) \approx x$ . Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if each of the following

sets either equals  $\{a,b\}$  or  $\{c,d\}$ :

```
\{f(a, b, b), f(b, a, a)\},\
                               \{f(a,b,c), f(b,a,d)\},\
                                                              \{f(a, c, d), f(b, d, c)\},\
                              \{f(a, b, d), f(b, a, c)\},\
                                                              \{f(a, d, c), f(b, c, d)\},\
\{f(a, c, c), f(b, d, d)\},\
\{f(a,d,d), f(b,c,c)\}, \{f(a,c,b), f(b,d,a)\},\
                                                              \{f(c, a, d), f(d, b, c)\},\
\{f(c, a, a), f(d, b, b)\},\
                               \{f(a,d,b), f(b,c,a)\},\
                                                              \{f(d, a, c), f(c, b, d)\},\
\{f(c,b,b), f(d,a,a)\},\
                           \{f(c, a, b), f(d, b, a)\},\
                                                              \{f(c,d,a), f(d,c,b)\},\
\{f(c,d,d), f(d,c,c)\},\
                              \{f(d, a, b), f(c, b, a)\},\
                                                              \{f(d, c, a), f(c, d, b)\}.
```

Next we consider permutations  $s \in \text{Sym}(4)$  with exactly one fixed point. Such a function necessarily is of the form s = (abc)(d) where  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Lemma 4.13.** Let s = (abc)(d) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and suppose  $f \in O_4^{(3)}$  satisfies  $f(x, y, x) \approx f(x, x, y) \approx x$ . Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if each of the following triples belongs to the set  $\{(a, b, c), (b, c, a), (c, a, b), (d, d, d)\}$ :

```
 (f(a,b,b),f(b,c,c),f(c,a,a)), \qquad (f(a,c,c),f(b,a,a),f(c,b,b)), \\ (f(a,d,d),f(b,d,d),f(c,d,d)), \qquad (f(d,a,a),f(d,b,b),f(d,c,c)), \\ (f(a,b,c),f(b,c,a),f(c,a,b)), \qquad (f(a,c,b),f(b,a,c),f(c,b,a)), \\ (f(a,d,b),f(b,c,d),f(c,a,d)), \qquad (f(b,a,d),f(c,b,d),f(a,c,d)), \\ (f(d,a,b),f(d,b,c),f(d,c,a)), \qquad (f(b,d,a),f(c,d,b),f(a,d,c)), \\ (f(d,b,a),f(d,c,b),f(d,a,c)). \qquad (f(d,b,a),f(d,c,b),f(d,a,c)).
```

Since a permutation  $s \in \text{Sym}(4)$  with at least three fixed points must be the identity, which is uninteresting to us, the only remaining case is that of exactly two fixed points. Such a permutation must be of the form s = (ab)(c)(d) where  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Lemma 4.14.** Let s = (ab)(c)(d) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and consider an operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(3)}$  satisfying  $f(x, y, x) \approx f(x, x, y) \approx x$ . Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if  $\{f(c, d, d), f(d, c, c)\} \subseteq \{c, d\}$  and each of the following sets either equals  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{c\}$  or  $\{d\}$ :

```
 \{f(a,b,b),f(b,a,a)\}, \qquad \{f(a,b,c),f(b,a,c)\}, \qquad \{f(a,c,d),f(b,c,d)\}, \\ \{f(a,c,c),f(b,c,c)\}, \qquad \{f(a,b,d),f(b,a,d)\}, \qquad \{f(a,d,c),f(b,d,c)\}, \\ \{f(a,d,d),f(b,d,d)\}, \qquad \{f(a,c,b),f(b,c,a)\}, \qquad \{f(c,a,d),f(c,b,d)\}, \\ \{f(c,a,a),f(c,b,b)\}, \qquad \{f(a,d,b),f(b,d,a)\}, \qquad \{f(d,a,c),f(d,b,c)\}, \\ \{f(d,a,a),f(d,b,b)\}, \qquad \{f(c,a,b),f(c,b,a)\}, \qquad \{f(c,d,a),f(c,d,b)\}, \\ \{f(d,a,b),f(d,b,a)\}, \qquad \{f(d,c,a),f(d,c,b)\}.
```

#### 4.4.2 Majority operations

Now we specialise the previous characterisations to the case of majority operations by deleting those parts of the condition that are automatically fulfilled.

Again we start with permutations having just one cycle.

**Lemma 4.15.** Let s = (abcd) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and suppose  $f \in O_4^{(3)}$  is a majority operation. Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if each of the following quadruples belongs to the set  $\{(a, b, c, d), (b, c, d, a), (c, d, a, b), (d, a, b, c)\}$ :

```
(f(a,b,c), f(b,c,d), f(c,d,a), f(d,a,b)),
(f(a,b,d), f(b,c,a), f(c,d,b), f(d,a,c)),
(f(a,c,b), f(b,d,c), f(c,a,d), f(d,b,a)),
(f(a,d,b), f(b,a,c), f(c,b,d), f(d,c,a)),
(f(a,c,d), f(b,d,a), f(c,a,b), f(d,b,c)),
(f(a,d,c), f(b,a,d), f(c,b,a), f(d,c,b)).
```

The function value in the first entry of each quadruple can be any of the four elements in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , but once it is chosen, all the other values in the quadruple are uniquely determined. Hence, we have only  $4^6 = 2^{12}$  majority functions to consider.

From Lemma 4.15 we obtain three concrete conditions, corresponding to the permutations (0123), (0132) and (0213), and their inverses, respectively.

Corollary 4.16. For a majority operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(3)}$  we have

•  $f \perp (0123)$  if and only if each of the following quadruples belongs to the set  $\{(0,1,2,3),(1,2,3,0),(2,3,0,1),(3,0,1,2)\}$ :

$$(f(0,1,2), f(1,2,3), f(2,3,0), f(3,0,1)),$$

$$(f(0,1,3), f(1,2,0), f(2,3,1), f(3,0,2)),$$

$$(f(0,2,1), f(1,3,2), f(2,0,3), f(3,1,0)),$$

$$(f(0,3,1), f(1,0,2), f(2,1,3), f(3,2,0)),$$

$$(f(0,2,3), f(1,3,0), f(2,0,1), f(3,1,2)),$$

$$(f(0,3,2), f(1,0,3), f(2,1,0), f(3,2,1)).$$
(C1)

•  $f \perp (0132)$  if and only if each of the following quadruples belongs to the set  $\{(0,1,3,2),(1,3,2,0),(3,2,0,1),(2,0,1,3)\}$ :

$$(f(0,1,3), f(1,3,2), f(3,2,0), f(2,0,1)),$$

$$(f(0,1,2), f(1,3,0), f(3,2,1), f(2,0,3)),$$

$$(f(0,3,1), f(1,2,3), f(3,0,2), f(2,1,0)),$$

$$(f(0,2,1), f(1,0,3), f(3,1,2), f(2,3,0)),$$

$$(f(0,3,2), f(1,2,0), f(3,0,1), f(2,1,3)),$$

$$(f(0,2,3), f(1,0,2), f(3,1,0), f(2,3,1)).$$
(C2)

•  $f \perp (0213)$  if and only if each of the following quadruples belongs to the set  $\{(0,2,1,3),(2,1,3,0),(1,3,0,2),(3,0,2,1)\}$ :

$$(f(0,2,1), f(2,1,3), f(1,3,0), f(3,0,2)),$$

$$(f(0,2,3), f(2,1,0), f(1,3,2), f(3,0,1)),$$

$$(f(0,1,2), f(2,3,1), f(1,0,3), f(3,2,0)),$$

$$(f(0,3,2), f(2,0,1), f(1,2,3), f(3,1,0)),$$

$$(f(0,1,3), f(2,3,0), f(1,0,2), f(3,2,1)),$$

$$(f(0,3,1), f(2,0,3), f(1,2,0), f(3,1,2)).$$
(C3)

**Lemma 4.17.** Let s = (ab)(cd) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and suppose  $f \in O_4^{(3)}$  is a majority operation. Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{a, b\}$  or  $\{c, d\}$ :

Here, the first listed element of each pair may take any of the four values in  $\{0,1,2,3\}$ , while the second listed one is uniquely determined by this choice. We therefore need to work with  $4^{12} = 2^{24}$  majority operations. Lemma 4.17 yields three concrete conditions, corresponding to the permutations (01)(23), (02)(13) and (03)(12).

Corollary 4.18. For a majority operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(3)}$  we have

•  $f \perp (01)(23)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{0,1\}$  or  $\{2,3\}$ :

```
 \{f(0,1,2), f(1,0,3)\}, \qquad \{f(0,2,3), f(1,3,2)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,1), f(3,1,0)\}, \\ \{f(0,1,3), f(1,0,2)\}, \qquad \{f(0,3,2), f(1,2,3)\}, \qquad \{f(2,3,0), f(3,2,1)\}, \\ \{f(0,2,1), f(1,3,0)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,3), f(3,1,2)\}, \qquad \{f(3,0,1), f(2,1,0)\}, \\ \{f(0,3,1), f(1,2,0)\}, \qquad \{f(3,0,2), f(2,1,3)\}, \qquad \{f(3,2,0), f(2,3,1)\}.  (D1)
```

•  $f \perp (02)(13)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{0,2\}$  or  $\{1,3\}$ :

```
 \{f(0,2,1), f(2,0,3)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,3), f(2,3,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,2), f(3,2,0)\}, \\ \{f(0,2,3), f(2,0,1)\}, \qquad \{f(0,3,1), f(2,1,3)\}, \qquad \{f(1,3,0), f(3,1,2)\}, \\ \{f(0,1,2), f(2,3,0)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,3), f(3,2,1)\}, \qquad \{f(3,0,2), f(1,2,0)\}, \\ \{f(0,3,2), f(2,1,0)\}, \qquad \{f(3,0,1), f(1,2,3)\}, \qquad \{f(3,1,0), f(1,3,2)\}.  (D2)
```

•  $f \perp (03)(12)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{0,3\}$  or  $\{1,2\}$ :

```
 \{f(0,3,1), f(3,0,2)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,2), f(3,2,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,3), f(2,3,0)\}, 
 \{f(0,3,2), f(3,0,1)\}, \qquad \{f(0,2,1), f(3,1,2)\}, \qquad \{f(1,2,0), f(2,1,3)\}, 
 \{f(0,1,3), f(3,2,0)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,2), f(2,3,1)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,3), f(1,3,0)\}, 
 \{f(0,2,3), f(3,1,0)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,1), f(1,3,2)\}, \qquad \{f(2,1,0), f(1,2,3)\}. 
 (D3)
```

Also for permutations with exactly one fixed point we obtain a few simplifications.

**Lemma 4.19.** Let s = (abc)(d) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and suppose  $f \in O_4^{(3)}$  is a majority operation. Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if each of the following triples belongs to the set  $\{(a, b, c), (b, c, a), (c, a, b), (d, d, d)\}$ :

```
(f(a,b,c),f(b,c,a),f(c,a,b)), \qquad (f(a,c,b),f(b,a,c),f(c,b,a)), \\ (f(a,b,d),f(b,c,d),f(c,a,d)), \qquad (f(b,a,d),f(c,b,d),f(a,c,d)), \\ (f(a,d,b),f(b,d,c),f(c,d,a)), \qquad (f(b,d,a),f(c,d,b),f(a,d,c)), \\ (f(d,a,b),f(d,b,c),f(d,c,a)), \qquad (f(d,b,a),f(d,c,b),f(d,a,c)).
```

Again, the first function value for any of the eight triples can be chosen arbitrarily in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , but the remaining two are then fixed. So we here have to deal with just  $4^8 = 2^{16}$  majority functions per unary map.

This time we obtain four concrete conditions, corresponding to the permutations (012)(3), (013)(2), (023)(1) and (123)(0), and their respective inverses.

Corollary 4.20. For a majority operation  $f \in O_4^{(3)}$  we have

•  $f \perp (012)(3)$  if and only if each of the following triples belongs to the set  $\{(0,1,2),(1,2,0),(2,0,1),(3,3,3)\}$ :

```
 (f(0,1,2), f(1,2,0), f(2,0,1)), \qquad (f(0,2,1), f(1,0,2), f(2,1,0)), \\ (f(0,1,3), f(1,2,3), f(2,0,3)), \qquad (f(1,0,3), f(2,1,3), f(0,2,3)), \\ (f(0,3,1), f(1,3,2), f(2,3,0)), \qquad (f(1,3,0), f(2,3,1), f(0,3,2)), \\ (f(3,0,1), f(3,1,2), f(3,2,0)), \qquad (f(3,1,0), f(3,2,1), f(3,0,2)). \qquad (E1)
```

•  $f \perp (013)(2)$  if and only if each of the following triples belongs to the set  $\{(0,1,3),(1,3,0),(3,0,1),(2,2,2)\}$ :

```
\begin{array}{lll} (f(0,1,3),f(1,3,0),f(3,0,1)), & (f(0,3,1),f(1,0,3),f(3,1,0)), \\ (f(0,1,2),f(1,3,2),f(3,0,2)), & (f(1,0,2),f(3,1,2),f(0,3,2)), \\ (f(0,2,1),f(1,2,3),f(3,2,0)), & (f(1,2,0),f(3,2,1),f(0,2,3)), \\ (f(2,0,1),f(2,1,3),f(2,3,0)), & (f(2,1,0),f(2,3,1),f(2,0,3)). \end{array} \tag{E2}
```

•  $f \perp (023)(1)$  if and only if each of the following triples belongs to the set  $\{(0,2,3),(2,3,0),(3,0,2),(1,1,1)\}$ :

```
(f(0,2,3), f(2,3,0), f(3,0,2)), \qquad (f(0,3,2), f(2,0,3), f(3,2,0)), 
(f(0,2,1), f(2,3,1), f(3,0,1)), \qquad (f(2,0,1), f(3,2,1), f(0,3,1)), 
(f(0,1,2), f(2,1,3), f(3,1,0)), \qquad (f(2,1,0), f(3,1,2), f(0,1,3)), 
(f(1,0,2), f(1,2,3), f(1,3,0)), \qquad (f(1,2,0), f(1,3,2), f(1,0,3)). (E3)
```

•  $f \perp (123)(0)$  if and only if each of the following triples belongs to the set  $\{(1,2,3),(2,3,1),(3,1,2),(0,0,0)\}$ :

```
\begin{array}{lll} (f(1,2,3),f(2,3,1),f(3,1,2)), & (f(1,3,2),f(2,1,3),f(3,2,1)), \\ (f(1,2,0),f(2,3,0),f(3,1,0)), & (f(2,1,0),f(3,2,0),f(1,3,0)), \\ (f(1,0,2),f(2,0,3),f(3,0,1)), & (f(2,0,1),f(3,0,2),f(1,0,3)), \\ (f(0,1,2),f(0,2,3),f(0,3,1)), & (f(0,2,1),f(0,3,2),f(0,1,3)). \end{array} \tag{E4}
```

Finally, we consider again those permutations with precisely two fixed points.

**Lemma 4.21.** Let s = (ab)(c)(d) with  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and consider a majority operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(3)}$ . Then  $f \perp s$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{c\}$  or  $\{d\}$ :

As before, the first member of each unordered pair can be any of the four elements in the base set, while the second member is then uniquely determined. This gives  $4^{12} = 2^{24}$  choices for majority operations per unary function.

Lemma 4.21 leads us to six concrete conditions, corresponding to the permutations (01)(2)(3), (02)(1)(3), (03)(1)(2), (12)(0)(3), (13)(0)(2) and (23)(0)(1).

Corollary 4.22. For a majority operation  $f \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(3)}$  we have

•  $f \perp (01)(2)(3)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{0,1\}$ ,  $\{2\}$  or  $\{3\}$ :

```
 \begin{cases} \{f(0,1,2),f(1,0,2)\}, & \{f(2,0,1),f(2,1,0)\}, & \{f(2,0,3),f(2,1,3)\}, \\ \{f(0,1,3),f(1,0,3)\}, & \{f(3,0,1),f(3,1,0)\}, & \{f(3,0,2),f(3,1,2)\}, \\ \{f(0,2,1),f(1,2,0)\}, & \{f(0,2,3),f(1,2,3)\}, & \{f(2,3,0),f(2,3,1)\}, \\ \{f(0,3,1),f(1,3,0)\}, & \{f(0,3,2),f(1,3,2)\}, & \{f(3,2,0),f(3,2,1)\}. \end{cases}  (F1)
```

•  $f \perp (02)(1)(3)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{0,2\}$ ,  $\{1\}$  or  $\{3\}$ :

```
 \{f(0,2,1), f(2,0,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,2), f(1,2,0)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,3), f(1,2,3)\}, 
 \{f(0,2,3), f(2,0,3)\}, \qquad \{f(3,0,2), f(3,2,0)\}, \qquad \{f(3,0,1), f(3,2,1)\}, 
 \{f(0,1,2), f(2,1,0)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,3), f(2,1,3)\}, \qquad \{f(1,3,0), f(1,3,2)\}, 
 \{f(0,3,2), f(2,3,0)\}, \qquad \{f(0,3,1), f(2,3,1)\}, \qquad \{f(3,1,0), f(3,1,2)\}. 
 (F2)
```

•  $f \perp (03)(1)(2)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{0,3\}$ ,  $\{1\}$  or  $\{2\}$ :

```
  \{f(0,3,1),f(3,0,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,3),f(1,3,0)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,2),f(1,3,2)\}, \\ \{f(0,3,2),f(3,0,2)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,3),f(2,3,0)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,1),f(2,3,1)\}, \\ \{f(0,1,3),f(3,1,0)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,2),f(3,1,2)\}, \qquad \{f(1,2,0),f(1,2,3)\}, \\ \{f(0,2,3),f(3,2,0)\}, \qquad \{f(0,2,1),f(3,2,1)\}, \qquad \{f(2,1,0),f(2,1,3)\}.  (F3)
```

•  $f \perp (12)(0)(3)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{1,2\}$ ,  $\{0\}$  or  $\{3\}$ :

```
 \{f(1,2,0), f(2,1,0)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,2), f(0,2,1)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,3), f(0,2,3)\}, \\ \{f(1,2,3), f(2,1,3)\}, \qquad \{f(3,1,2), f(3,2,1)\}, \qquad \{f(3,1,0), f(3,2,0)\}, \\ \{f(1,0,2), f(2,0,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,3), f(2,0,3)\}, \qquad \{f(0,3,1), f(0,3,2)\}, \\ \{f(1,3,2), f(2,3,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,3,0), f(2,3,0)\}, \qquad \{f(3,0,1), f(3,0,2)\}.  (F4)
```

•  $f \perp (13)(0)(2)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{1,3\}$ ,  $\{0\}$  or  $\{2\}$ :

```
 \{f(1,3,0),f(3,1,0)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,3),f(0,3,1)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,2),f(0,3,2)\}, \\ \{f(1,3,2),f(3,1,2)\}, \qquad \{f(2,1,3),f(2,3,1)\}, \qquad \{f(2,1,0),f(2,3,0)\}, \\ \{f(1,0,3),f(3,0,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,2),f(3,0,2)\}, \qquad \{f(0,2,1),f(0,2,3)\}, \\ \{f(1,2,3),f(3,2,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,2,0),f(3,2,0)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,1),f(2,0,3)\}.  (F5)
```

•  $f \perp (23)(0)(1)$  if and only if each of the following sets either equals  $\{2,3\}$ ,  $\{0\}$  or  $\{1\}$ :

```
 \{f(2,3,0), f(3,2,0)\}, \qquad \{f(0,2,3), f(0,3,2)\}, \qquad \{f(0,2,1), f(0,3,1)\}, \\ \{f(2,3,1), f(3,2,1)\}, \qquad \{f(1,2,3), f(1,3,2)\}, \qquad \{f(1,2,0), f(1,3,0)\}, \\ \{f(2,0,3), f(3,0,2)\}, \qquad \{f(2,0,1), f(3,0,1)\}, \qquad \{f(0,1,2), f(0,1,3)\}, \\ \{f(2,1,3), f(3,1,2)\}, \qquad \{f(2,1,0), f(3,1,0)\}, \qquad \{f(1,0,2), f(1,0,3)\}.  (F6)
```

From this section we hence obtain altogether sixteen conditions involving permutations: C1, C2, C3, D1, D2, D3, E1, E2, E3, E4, and F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6.

#### 4.5 Remarks on clarification

While developing the characterisations in this section we have already briefly touched upon possible attribute clarification. Moreover, we have commented on upper bounds for the number of majority operations commuting with a unary function s of a particular type. By way of two concrete examples we shall now explain in a bit more detail how the previous results can be used for attribute clarification, and for object clarification, as well. The latter is related to the following task discussed at the end of Section 3 that awaits to be performed for every  $s \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}$  in Section 5: for every  $f \in \{s\}' = \{s\}^* \cap \mathrm{Maj}_4$  we have to make a record of  $\{f\}' = \{f\}^{*(1)}$ , and, if  $f_1, f_2 \in \{s\}'$  give the same monoid  $\{f_1\}' = \{f_2\}'$ , then it is not necessary to store it twice. This is exactly an instance of object clarification for  $\mathbb{K} = (\mathrm{Maj}_4, \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}, \bot)$ , and it is crucial to make our task of finding all centralising monoids belonging to  $\mathbb{K}$  practicable.

**Example 4.23.** This example deals with condition A1 characterising which majority functions commute with a unary map s that is constant on  $\{1,2,3\}$  and attains a distinct second value at 0. These are 16-4=12 concrete unary functions s, having value tuples (0, 1, 1, 1), (0, 2, 2, 2), (0, 3, 3, 3), (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 2, 2, 2),  $\ldots$ , (3,1,1,1), (3,2,2,2) on (0,1,2,3). Condition A1 says that all of them commute with exactly the same majority operations, namely that  $\{s\}^* \cap \text{Maj}_4$  equals  $\{f \in \text{Maj}_4 \mid f[\sigma] \subseteq \{1,2,3\}\}$ . Therefore, these twelve unary maps are identified by attribute clarification. Comparing the condition A1 with any of the other characterisations in Section 4 shows that no other unary function commutes with exactly the same majority operations since these characterisations all lead to conditions A2, ..., F6 distinct from A1. Consequently, the equivalence class of the function s = (0, 1, 1, 1) under attribute clarification contains exactly the twelve mentioned functions, and they are henceforth represented by the 'name' A1. With respect to commutation with majority operations, they cannot be distinguished from each other, and any centralising monoid with majority witnesses that has attribute A1 contains, in fact, these twelve unary maps.

Turning to the task of enumerating  $\{s\}' = \{s\}^* \cap \text{Maj}_4$ , we view every  $f \in \{s\}'$  as a tuple of values corresponding to the 24 triples in  $\sigma$ , i.e., we just consider  $f|_{\sigma}$  instead of f. Condition A1 expresses that in this sense  $\{s\}'$  can be identified with  $\{1,2,3\}^{24}$  (more exactly with  $\{1,2,3\}^{\sigma}$ ). One can now easily iterate over all these 24-tuples  $f|_{\sigma} \in \{1,2,3\}^{\sigma}$  and compute  $\{f\}^{*(1)}$ , only storing it if a previously unseen one emerges. In this way an object reduced subcontext of  $\left(\{s\}', \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}, \bot \cap \left(\{s\}' \times \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}\right)\right)$  is obtained.

**Example 4.24.** Here we have a look at condition D1 describing the majority operations commuting with the permutation s = (01)(23). From Observation 4.9 we know that always s and its inverse commute with the same majority operations, and comparing all the conditions in Section 4, we see that the attribute clarification equivalence class of a non-identical permutation is not bigger than  $\{s, s^{-1}\}$ .

Since the D- and F-conditions correspond to involutions, the 16 conditions for permutations represent exactly all 23 non-identical permutations.

As explained after Lemma 4.17, in order to enumerate  $f|_{\sigma}$  for  $f \in \{s\}'$ , one loops over all  $f|_{\sigma'} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}^{\sigma'}$ , where  $\sigma'$  is the twelve-element subset

$$\{(0,1,2),(0,1,3),(0,2,1),(0,3,1),(0,2,3),(0,3,2),(2,0,3),\\ (3,0,2),(2,0,1),(2,3,0),(3,0,1),(3,2,0)\}\subseteq\sigma,$$

and each time one reconstructs  $f|_{\sigma}$  from  $f|_{\sigma'}$  as by Lemma 4.17 or condition D1. For example, if f(0,1,2)=2, then condition D1 enforces that f(1,0,3)=3 etc. From  $f|_{\sigma}$  one derives  $\{f\}^{*(1)}$  and discards it if it already appears in the list of previously computed centralising monoids. Thereby an object clarified context  $\mathbb{K}_s = \left(F_s, \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}, \bot \cap \left(F_s \times \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}\right)\right)$  with a suitable  $F_s \subseteq \{s\}'$  is produced.

# 5 Results

Our starting point was the Galois correspondence of commutation between majority operations and unary operations on  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , given by the formal context  $\mathbb{K} = (\mathrm{Maj}_A, \mathrm{O}_A^{(1)}, \perp)$ ; the item of interest was the system of Galois closed sets on the side of unary operations, i.e., the closure system of *intents* in the language of formal concept analysis. For each non-trivial unary map  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \setminus \{\mathrm{id}_A, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$  on A, we enumerated  $\{s\}' = \mathrm{Maj}_A \cap \{s\}^*$  and obtained an (object) clarified (sub)list of closures from  $(\{f\}')_{f \in \{s\}'}$  where  $\{f\}' = O_A^{(1)} \cap \{f\}^*$ , cf. Subsection 4.5. These lists correspond to subcontexts  $\mathbb{K}_s = \left(F_s, \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}, \bot \cap \left(F_s \times \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}\right)\right)$  where  $F_s \subseteq \{s\}'$  is a selection of majority operations commuting with s. The object clarification can of course be performed on the fly while enumerating the closures by just checking whether the currently produced closure  $\{f\}'$  is present among the already stored ones. Moreover, we did not actually work with the full set of attributes  $O_A^{(1)}$ , but used only a 167-element subset  $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$ , corresponding to the conditions A1-A7 from Subsection 4.2, to the 16 conditions on permutations C1-C3, D1-D3, E1-E4, F1-F6 from Subsection 4.4, and to the 144 operations  $s = u_n$  with a 3-element image where  $n = \sum_{j=0}^{3} s(j) 4^{3-j}$ . That is, we worked with  $\mathbb{K}'_s = (F_s, S, \bot \cap (F_s \times S))$  instead of  $\mathbb{K}_s$ . To avoid too much computational overhead we did not object reduce these subcontexts  $\mathbb{K}_s'$  while producing them. In the next step we joined all these lists into one formal context  $\mathbb{K}' = (F^{\dagger}, S, \bot \cap (F^{\dagger} \times S))$ , having as set of objects  $F^{\dagger} = \{f_0\} \dot{\cup} \dot{\bigcup}_{s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \setminus \{id_A, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3\}} F_s$  (including one majority operation  $f_0$  that only commutes with constants and the identity map). The context  $\mathbb{K}'$  contains 8 119 majority functions as objects and then had to be object clarified again. In a final step we object reduced the resulting context (removing in particular  $f_0$ ) to get a context  $\mathbb{K}'' = (\tilde{F}, S, \bot \cap (\tilde{F} \times S)) \text{ with } \tilde{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \setminus \{ \mathrm{id}_A, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \}} F_s, \text{ having only } 392 \text{ massenge}$ jority functions as objects. The context  $\mathbb{K}''$  is presented in Tables 1 to 4 below, its

set  $\tilde{F}$  of objects is given in Table 5. The correctness of  $\mathbb{K}''$  can be checked with basic programming skills; that it is sufficient to completely represent the original context  $\mathbb{K}$  is the content of the subsequent theorem and depends on the correct execution of the calculations described previously. We note that from  $\mathbb{K}''$  one can easily obtain a fully clarified and reduced context  $\mathbb{K}''' = \left(\tilde{F}, \tilde{T}, \bot \cap \left(\tilde{F} \times \tilde{T}\right)\right)$  that has an isomorphic lattice of closures, but takes up less space:  $\left|\tilde{F}\right| = 392, \left|\tilde{T}\right| = 155$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** The formal contexts  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\left(F^{\dagger}, \mathcal{O}_{A}^{(1)}, \bot \cap \left(F^{\dagger} \times \mathcal{O}_{A}^{(1)}\right)\right)$  have the same closure system of intents, and, ordered under inclusion, this lattice of intents (i.e., of all centralising monoids with majority witnesses over  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ) is isomorphic to the one of  $\mathbb{K}'$ ,  $\mathbb{K}''$  and  $\mathbb{K}'''$ .

**Proof:** It is well known and easy to see that the operations of clarifying and reduction (for objects and attributes) do not change the lattice structure of the resulting closure system. Moreover, by some bookkeeping (adding reducible elements to a closed set if all members of the representing intersection are present, and adding elements that are identified by clarification), one can reconstruct both closure systems from the ones of a clarified (and/or reduced) context.

Thus, it remains to argue that  $\left(F^{\dagger}, \mathcal{O}_{A}^{(1)}, \perp \cap (F^{\dagger} \times \mathcal{O}_{A}^{(1)})\right)$  has the same set of intents as  $\mathbb{K}$ . This closure system consists of F' for any  $F \subseteq \operatorname{Maj}_A$  where  $F' = \bigcap_{f \in F} \{f\}'$ . Only two cases can occur: either there is some  $f \in F$  such that  $\{f\}' = \{\operatorname{id}_A, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ , in which case  $F' = \{\operatorname{id}_A, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3\} = \{f_0\}'$ , or F' can be obtained as an intersection of sets  $\{g\}'$  with  $g \in F^{\dagger}$ , i.e., F' = G' for some  $G \subseteq F^{\dagger}$ . This holds because, if there is no  $f \in F$  as above, then for every  $f \in F$  we have  $\{f\}' \supsetneq \{\operatorname{id}_A, c_0, \ldots, c_3\}$ , i.e., there is some  $s \in \{f\}^* \cap \left(\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \setminus \{\operatorname{id}_A, c_0, \ldots, c_3\}\right)$ , and this unary map then satisfies  $f \in \operatorname{Maj}_A \cap \{s\}^* = \{s\}'$ . Hence,  $\{f\}'$  is part of the list  $\left(\{g\}'\right)_{g \in \{s\}'}$ , and thus  $\{f\}' = \{g\}'$  for some  $g \in F_s$ . For f was arbitrary in F, we obtain  $F' = \bigcap_{f \in F} \{f\}' = \bigcap_{g \in G} \{g\}'$  where  $G \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)} \setminus \{\operatorname{id}_A, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3\}} F_s \subseteq F^{\dagger}$ .  $\square$ 

It is quite remarkable that only 392 out of all  $4^{24}$  majority operations are needed to distinguish all centralising monoids with majority witnesses on a four-element set.

Corollary 5.2. The number of centralising monoids on  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with majority witnesses is 1715.

**Proof:** One may run any standard algorithm for enumerating all closure systems of a Galois correspondence on  $\mathbb{K}''$ , given by Tables 1 to 4. The result is that there are (at least) 1715 centralising monoids given by majority witnesses on four-element domains. That there are not more monoids of this type follows from Theorem 5.1.  $\square$ 

**Remark 5.3.** The context  $\mathbb{K}''$  is small enough that no specialised enumeration algorithms for the closure system of intents are needed; even Ganter's fundamental

NEXT CLOSURE algorithm (see [GO16, Chapter 2.4, p. 44 et seqq.]) can be successfully applied. There are ready to use implementations available for download that allow anybody to check that there are at least 1715 centralising monoids with majority witnesses (those produced from K") on a four-element domain. See, e.g., Uta Priss's homepage [Pri19] for a list of software. Among those we wish to highlight the implementations Conexp by S. Yevtushenko, and the more recent Conexp-clj by D. Borchmann and co-authors that we found particularly useful.

We add a consequence that is relevant for determining all maximal centralising monoids on four-element carrier sets. To organise the presentation, we shall use the following fact about conjugation with *inner automorphisms*.

**Observation 5.4.** For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , functions  $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$ ,  $g, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(m)}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  we have that  $s: \langle A; f, g \rangle \longrightarrow \langle A; \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \rangle$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $s \in \operatorname{Sym}(A)$  and  $\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) = f^s(\boldsymbol{x}) := s(f(s^{-1} \circ \boldsymbol{x}))$  for all  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^n$  and  $\tilde{g}(\boldsymbol{y}) = g^s(\boldsymbol{y}) := s(g(s^{-1} \circ \boldsymbol{y}))$  for all  $\boldsymbol{y} \in A^m$ .

Therefore, for any  $s \in \text{Sym}(A)$ , we have  $f \perp g$  if and only if  $f^s \perp g^s$ , which means that  $\{f^s\}^{*(m)} = \{g^s \mid g \in \{f\}^{*(m)}\}$ .

According to Observation 5.4, the centralising monoid of the conjugate  $f^s$  of a majority operation  $f \in \operatorname{Maj}_A$  is uniquely determined by conjugating every unary function in  $\{f\}^{*(1)}$  by  $s \in \operatorname{Sym}(A)$ . The respective monoids are then isomorphic by sending any  $u \in \{f\}^{*(1)}$  to its conjugate  $u^s \in \{f^s\}^{*(1)}$ .

In this way we shall see that maximal centralising monoids on  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with majority witnesses have witnesses from 19 distinct conjugacy classes. Along the same lines we could classify all the 392 majority functions from Table 5 into 90 conjugacy classes but we have suppressed such a list for brevity.

Corollary 5.5. Among the 1715 centralising monoids on  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with majority witnesses there are 147 that are maximal with respect to set inclusion. They are given as Galois derivatives  $\{f_n\}^{*(1)}$  of those majority functions  $f_n$  (cp. Table 5) where  $n \in \{1, 5, 8, 9, 14, 18, 20, 46, 50, 73, 82, 92, 94, 101, 104, 184, 237, 274, 283\}$ , or they are isomorphic to the previous 19 by conjugation with an inner automorphism from Sym(4), giving the remaining  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 16, 19, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 36, 38, 40, 48, 52, 53, 56, 58, 64, 66, 67, 70, 72, 75, 77, 79, 85, 98, 100, 102, 106, 108, 110, 118, 119, 121, 125, 127, 128, 129, 132, 134, 137, 143, 145, 146, 148, 152, 154, 156, 158, 159, 161, 162, 165, 166, 170, 177, 181, 182, 185, 187, 189, 190, 194, 201, 204, 207, 208, 210, 211, 212, 215, 216, 218, 227, 228, 229, 231, 232, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 262, 263, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 276, 284, 286, 288, 291, 296, 298, 302, 303, 308, 310, 311, 315, 318, 322, 324, 327, 330, 335, 336, 339, 342\}, see Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9.$ 

**Proof:** Run through the list of all 1714 centralising monoids on A with majority witnesses except for  $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  and check whether there is another one of these that is

strictly larger than the currently considered monoid M. If yes, ignore M, otherwise add M to the list of maximal ones. Alternatively, one may only iterate over all 392 majority functions featuring as objects in  $\mathbb{K}''$ , since (similarly as for maximal clones) every maximal centralising monoid must be given as the Galois derivative  $\{f\}^{*(1)}$  of a single non-trivial element on the opposite side of the Galois connection.

To obtain the conjugacy classes, one picks the first majority function from the list and removes all its conjugates from the list, putting them into a container of their own. One continues like this until the list is empty.  $\Box$ 

We conclude with some remarks on semiprojections. As for majority operations, one can observe that for any unary function  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  and all  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_j)_{j \in n} \in A^n$  with repetitions, these repetitions persist in  $s \circ \boldsymbol{x}$ , and so  $s(f(\boldsymbol{x})) = s(x_i) = f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x})$  for any n-ary semiprojection f on the place i. This shows the following analogue of Observation 2.4:

**Observation 5.6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be at least two,  $i \in n$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$  a semiprojection on the position indexed by i and  $s \in \mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}$  a unary operation on some set A. Then we have  $s \perp f$  if and only if  $s(f(\boldsymbol{x})) = f(s \circ \boldsymbol{x})$  holds for all injective tuples  $\boldsymbol{x} \in A^n$  (i.e., those without repetitions).

For n=3 a tuple  $\boldsymbol{x}\in A^3$  with repetitions automatically is a majority triple  $\boldsymbol{x}\in A^3\setminus \sigma$  and vice versa. By their intrinsic nature, on these triples, the values of majority operations and ternary semiprojections are completely preordained. So both types of functions are uniquely determined by their restrictions to  $\sigma$ , and the commutation property is intimately connected to the triples in  $\sigma$ . This may lead one to think that knowing the restrictions to  $\sigma$  is sufficient to decide commutation with unary operations. The latter is true when studying permutations in place of arbitrary unary operations, but not in general, as the following example shows.

**Example 5.7.** Consider  $s := u_{26} \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(1)}$ , that is,  $s \circ (0,1,2,3) = (0,1,2,2)$  and some  $f \in \operatorname{Maj}_4 \cap \{s\}^*$ , e.g.,  $f = f_3$  from Table 1. Furthermore, let  $g \in \mathcal{O}_4^{(3)}$  be the unique ternary semiprojection on the left-most coordinate given by  $f|_{\sigma} = g|_{\sigma}$ . Now we have  $s(g(1,2,3)) = s(f(1,2,3)) = f(s \circ (1,2,3)) = f(1,2,2) = 2$ , while  $g(s \circ (1,2,3)) = g(1,2,2) = 1$ , so  $s \not\perp g$ . Hence,  $s \in \{f\}^{*(1)} \setminus \{g\}^*$  demonstrates that  $\{f\}^{*(1)} \neq \{g\}^{*(1)}$  although  $f|_{\sigma} = g|_{\sigma}$ . This means that, with respect to unary centralisers, ternary semiprojections cannot be replaced in a straightforward way by majority operations (as is possible in the context of centralising groups, see [BP20, Proposition 16(c) and Corollary 17, p. 295]).

As the example shows, the problem is that agreement on  $\sigma$  does not ensure that f and g coincide on all tuples  $s \circ x$  where  $x \in \sigma$ , for those may lie outside  $\sigma$ . This is never an issue when s is a permutation (as confirmed by the results in Subsection 4.4).

Accordingly, for the task of finding all maximal centralising monoids on a fourelement set, there remain only two parts. The major piece is given by considering monoids with ternary or quaternary semiprojection witnesses, which should be approachable by similar techniques as the ones presented in this article. The second, and computationally less costly, hence minor, part will be to deal with centralising monoids given by the remaining witnesses of smaller arity described in Rosenberg's Theorem 2.3, i.e., very specific unary operations, binary idempotent functions and special ternary minority functions.

# Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his warmly felt gratitude to Hajime Machida for several inspiring discussions on centralising monoids and centraliser clones, and for his persistence at giving many insightful and encouraging remarks.

He would also like to thank Zarathustra Brady for introducing him to arXiv's ancillary files feature.

#### References

- [BKKR69] V. G. Bodnarčuk, Lev Arkaďevič Kalužnin, Victor N. Kotov, and Boris A. Romov, *Teopus Γαλγα δλα αλεεδρ Ποςma. I, II [Galois theory for Post algebras. I, II]*, Kibernetika (Kiev) **5** (1969), no. 3, 1–10; ibid. 1969, no. 5, 1–9, see also 10.1007/BF01070906 and 10.1007/BF01267873. MR 0300895 (46 #55)
- [BP20] Mike Behrisch and Reinhard Pöschel, Centralising groups of semiprojections and near unanimity operations, 2020 IEEE 50th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2020, Miyazaki, Japan, 9–11 November 2020, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2020, pp. 291–296, 10.1109/ISMVL49045.2020.00061.
- [BW87] Stanley Burris and Ross Willard, Finitely many primitive positive clones, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), no. 3, 427–430, 10.2307/2046382. MR 908642 (89a:08002a)
- [CHR16] Miguel Couceiro, Lucien Haddad, and Ivo G. Rosenberg, Partial clones containing all Boolean monotone self-dual partial functions, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. 27 (2016), no. 2-3, 183–192, online available from http://www.oldcitypublishing.com/journals/mvlsc-home/mvlsc-issue-contents/mvlsc-volume-27-number-2-3-2016/mvlsc-27-2-3-p-183-192/. MR 3532463
- [Dan77a] Anna Fedorovna Danilčenko, О параметрической выразимости функций трехзначной логики [Parametric expressibility of functions of three-valued logic], Algebra i Logika **16** (1977), no. 4, 397–416, 493 (Russian), In Russian. MR 516292 (80c:03024)
- [Dan77b] \_\_\_\_\_, Parametric expressibility of functions of three-valued logic, Algebra and Logic 16 (1977), no. 4, 266–280, 10.1007/BF01669278.

- [Dan78] \_\_\_\_\_, Параметрически замкнутые классы функций трехзначной логики [Parametrically closed classes of functions of three-valued logic], Bul. Akad. Štiince RSS Moldoven. (1978), no. 2, 13–20, 93 (Russian), In Russian. MR 509509 (80c:03025)
- [Dan79] \_\_\_\_\_, Bonpocu параметрической выразимости функций трехзначной логики [Problems of parametric expressibility of functions in three-valued logic], Ph.D. thesis, Institut Matematiki s Vyčisliteľnym Centrom, Akademija Nauk Moldavskoj SSR. [Institute of Mathematics and Computing Centre of the Academy of Sciences of the Moldavian SSR], Kišinev-277028, ul. Akademičeskaja 5 [Strada Academiei 5, Chişinău, MD-2028], May 1979, In Russian, pp. 1–141.
- [Dan81] \_\_\_\_\_, On parametrical expressibility of the functions of k-valued logic, Finite algebra and multiple-valued logic (Szeged, 1979), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 28, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981, pp. 147–159. MR 648613 (83k:03031)
- [Dan87] \_\_\_\_\_, Некоторые свойства решетки параметрически замкнутых классов функций трехзначной логики [Some properties of the lattice of parametrically closed classes of functions of three-valued logic], Mat. Issled. 98, Неклассические логики [Non-classical logics] (1987), 3–9, 152 (Russian), online available from http://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?PPN516257471. MR 912823 (89b:03043)
- [Gei68] David Geiger, Closed systems of functions and predicates, Pacific J. Math. 27 (1968), no. 1, 95-100, online available from http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pjm/1102985564. MR 0234893 (38 #3207)
- [GMR15] Martin Goldstern, Hajime Machida, and Ivo G. Rosenberg, Some classes of centralizing monoids on a three-element set, 2015 IEEE 45th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2015, Waterloo, ON, Canada 18–20 May 2015, IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos, CA, May 2015, pp. 205–210, 10.1109/ISMVL.2015.29. MR 3447337
- [GO16] Bernhard Ganter and Sergei Obiedkov, Conceptual exploration, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016, 10.1007/978-3-662-49291-8. MR 3495406
- [GW99] Bernhard Ganter and Rudolf Wille, Formal concept analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Mathematical foundations, Translated from the 1996 German original by Cornelia Franzke. MR 1707295 (2000i:06002b)

- [Har74a] Walter Harnau, Die Definition von Vertauschbarkeitsmengen in der k-wertigen Logik und das Maximalitätsproblem [The definition of commutativity sets in k-valued logic and the maximality problem], Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. 20 (1974), 339–352. MR 0384474 (52 #5351)
- [Har74b] \_\_\_\_\_, Die vertauschbaren Funktionen der k-wertigen Logik und ein Basisproblem [The commuting functions of k-valued logic and a basis problem], Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. **20** (1974), 453–463. MR 0384475 (52 #5352)
- [Har74c] \_\_\_\_\_, Einige numerische Invarianten der teilweise geordneten Menge  $\Phi_k$  von Vertauschbarkeitsmengen der k-wertigen Logik [Some numerical invariants of the partially ordered set  $\Phi_k$  of commutativity sets in k-valued logic], Elektron. Informationsverarbeit. Kybernetik **10** (1974), 543–551. MR 0411930 (54 #59)
- [Har75] \_\_\_\_\_, Über Kettenlängen der teilweise geordneten Menge  $\Phi_k$  der Vertauschbarkeitsmengen der k-wertigen Logik [On lengths of chains of the partially ordered set  $\Phi_k$  of commutativity sets in k-valued logic], Math. Nachr. **68** (1975), 289–297. MR 0424517 (54 #12479)
- [Har76a] \_\_\_\_\_, Die teilweise geordnete Menge  $\Phi_k$  der Vertauschbarkeitsmengen der k-wertigen Logik [The partially ordered set  $\Phi_k$  of commutativity sets in k-valued logic], Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. **22** (1976), no. 1, 19–28. MR 0424518 (54 #12480)
- [Har76b] \_\_\_\_\_, Eine Verallgemeinerung der Vertauschbarkeit in der k-wertigen Logik [A generalisation of commutativity in k-valued logic], Elektron. Informationsverarbeit. Kybernetik **12** (1976), no. 1–2, 33–43. MR 0439579 (55 #12465)
- [HLR06] Lucien Haddad, Dietlinde Lau, and Ivo G. Rosenberg, *Intervals of partial clones containing maximal clones*, J. Autom. Lang. Comb. **11** (2006), no. 4, 399–421, 10.25596/jalc-2006-399. MR 2414811
- [HMR02] Lucien Haddad, Hajime Machida, and Ivo G. Rosenberg, *Maximal and minimal partial clones*, J. Autom. Lang. Comb. **7** (2002), no. 1, 83–93, 10.25596/jalc-2002-083. MR 1915292
- [HR95] Lucien Haddad and Ivo G. Rosenberg, *Partial clones containing all permutations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **52** (1995), no. 2, 263–278, 10.1017/S0004972700014696. MR 1348486
- [KDNP87] Aleksandr Vladimirovič Kuznecov, Anna Fedorovna Danilčenko, I. S. Negru, and E. A. Pavlova, Некоторые комбинаторные вопросы, касающиеся функциональных построений в к-значной логике [Some

- combinatorial questions concerning functional constructions in k-valued logic], Mat. Issled. 95, Квазигруппы [Quasigroups] (1987), 66-70, 131 (Russian), online available from http://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?PPN516257374. MR 904238 (89a:03054)
- [Kuz79] Aleksandr Vladimirovič Kuznecov, O средствах для обнаружения невыводимости или невыразимости [Means for detection of nondeducibility and inexpressibility], Логический вывод [Logical Inference] (Moscow, 1974), "Nauka", Moscow, 1979, In Russian, pp. 5–33. MR 720219 (84k:03036)
- [Lau06] Dietlinde Lau, Function algebras on finite sets. A basic course on many-valued logic and clone theory., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, 10.1007/3-540-36023-9. MR 2254622 (2007m:03001)
- [LP84] Helmut Länger and Reinhard Pöschel, Relational systems with trivial endomorphisms and polymorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **32** (1984), no. 2, 129–142, 10.1016/0022-4049(84)90048-3. MR 741962
- [MMR01] Hajime Machida, Masahiro Miyakawa, and Ivo G. Rosenberg, Relations between clones and full monoids, 2001 IEEE 31st International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2001, Warsaw, Poland, 22– 24 May 2001, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2001, pp. 279– 286, 10.1109/ISMVL.2001.924585.
- [MMR02] \_\_\_\_\_, Some results on the centralizers of monoids in clone theory, 2002 IEEE 32nd International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2002, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, 15–18 May 2002, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2002, pp. 10–16, 10.1109/IS-MVL.2002.1011063.
- [MPR12] Hajime Machida, Jovanka Pantović, and Ivo G. Rosenberg, Regular sets of operations, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. 19 (2012), no. 1-3, 149-162, online available from http://www.oldcitypublishing.com/journals/mvlsc-home/mvlsc-issue-contents/mvlsc-volume-19-number-1-3-2012/mvlsc-19-1-3-p-149-162/. MR 2954449
- [MR03] Hajime Machida and Ivo G. Rosenberg, On the centralizers of monoids in clone theory, 2003 IEEE 33rd International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2003, Tokyo, Japan, 16–19 May 2003, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2003, pp. 303–308, 10.1109/IS-MVL.2003.1201421.
- [MR04a] \_\_\_\_\_, Monoids whose centralizer is the least clone, 2004 IEEE 34th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2004,

- Toronto, Canada, 19–22 May 2004, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2004, pp. 102–108, 10.1109/ISMVL.2004.1319927.
- [MR04b] \_\_\_\_\_, On centralizers of monoids, Novi Sad J. Math.  $\bf 34$  (2004), no. 2, 153–166. MR 2136469
- [MR09] \_\_\_\_\_\_, On endoprimal monoids in clone theory, 2009 IEEE 39th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2009, Naha, Okinawa, Japan, 21–23 May 2009, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2009, pp. 167–172, 10.1109/ISMVL.2009.53.
- [MR10] \_\_\_\_\_, Endoprimal monoids and witness lemma in clone theory, 2010 IEEE 40th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2010, Barcelona, Spain, 26–28 May 2010, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2010, pp. 195–200, 10.1109/ISMVL.2010.44.
- [MR11] \_\_\_\_\_, Maximal centralizing monoids and their relation to minimal clones, 2011 IEEE 41st International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2011, Tuusula, 23–25 May 2011 (Los Alamitos, CA), IEEE Computer Soc., May 2011, pp. 153–159, 10.1109/ISMVL.2011.36.
- [MR12] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Some centralizing monoids on a three-element set, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. 18 (2012), no. 2, 211-221, online available from http://www.oldcitypublishing.com/journals/mvlsc-home/mvlsc-issue-contents/mvlsc-volume-18-number-2-2012/mvlsc-18-2-p-211-221/. MR 2919900
- [MR16] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Centralizing monoids on a three-element set related to binary idempotent functions, 2016 IEEE 46th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2016, Sapporo, Japan, 18–20 May 2016, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2016, pp. 84–89, 10.1109/ISMVL.2016.32. MR 3570615
- [MR17] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Centralizing monoids and the arity of witnesses, 2017 IEEE 47th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2017, Novi Sad, Serbia, 22–24 May 2017, IEEE, New York, 2017, pp. 236–241, 10.1109/ISMVL.2017.34. MR 3703760
- [MR18] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Commutation for functions of small arity over a finite set, 2018 IEEE 48th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 2018, Linz, Austria, 16–18 May 2018, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2018, pp. 85–90, 10.1109/ISMVL.2018.00023. MR 3843207
- [Pie68] Richard Scott Pierce, Introduction to the theory of abstract algebras, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York-Montreal, Quebec-London, 1968. MR 0227070

- [PK79] Reinhard Pöschel and Lev Arkadevič Kalužnin, Funktionen- und Relationenalgebren, Mathematische Monographien [Mathematical Monographs], vol. 15, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1979, Ein Kapitel der diskreten Mathematik. [A chapter in discrete mathematics]. MR 543839 (81f:03075)
- [PR10] Maurice Pouzet and Ivo G. Rosenberg, Small clones and the projection property, Algebra Universalis 63 (2010), no. 1, 37–44, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00012-010-0063-6. MR 2672888
- [Pri19] Uta Priss, Formal concept analysis homepage, https://www.upriss.org.uk/fca/fcasoftware.html, June 2019, Accessed: 10 Dec 2019.
- [Ros65] Ivo G. Rosenberg, La structure des fonctions de plusieurs variables sur un ensemble fini, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **260** (1965), 3817–3819, online available from http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k4019v/f30. MR 0176917 (31 #1185)
- [Ros70] \_\_\_\_\_, Über die funktionale Vollständigkeit in den mehrwertigen Logiken. Struktur der Funktionen von mehreren Veränderlichen auf endlichen Mengen, vol. 80, Rozpravy Československé Akademie Věd: Řada matematických a přírodních věd, no. 4, Academia, 1970. MR 0292647 (45 #1732)
- [Ros76] \_\_\_\_\_, The set of maximal closed classes of operations on an infinite set A has cardinality  $2^{2^{|A|}}$ , Arch. Math. (Basel) **27** (1976), no. 6, 561–568, 10.1007/BF01224718. MR 0429700
- [Ros86] \_\_\_\_\_\_, *Minimal clones. I. The five types*, Lectures in universal algebra (Szeged, 1983), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 43, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986, pp. 405–427, 10.1016/B978-0-444-87759-8.50029-8. MR 860275 (88a:08008a)
- [Ros96] \_\_\_\_\_, An algebraic approach to hyperalgebras, 1996 IEEE 26th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic—ISMVL 1996, Santiago de Compostela, Spain, 29–31 May 1996, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 1996, pp. 203–209, 10.1109/ISMVL.1996.508374.
- [Ros75] \_\_\_\_\_, Some maximal closed classes of operations on infinite sets, Math. Ann. **212** (1974/75), no. 2, 157–164, 10.1007/BF01350783. MR 0351964

Mike Behrisch Technische Universität Wien, Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8–10/E104, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0050-8085

E-mail address: behrisch@logic.at

	34 123456 6791348923456891356	6781346790235689126701234679238124678	$0000011111111222222333333444444455555566 \\ 034790123568123469123479023456812578913$	4723689123458934679023568912	478902467901236712
1.11	1111 11.1	111111	1.111		11
11 1	111.1				
1	1 1 1 . 1			.111	
			1111		
1 1	1 1 1 . 1				
			1111		
11 . 1 1	1.11.1.1.1 1	.111111.1111	11111		11
			11111		
			1111111		
1 . 1 . 1 111	11	1	1111	1	111
			1		
11 1 111	1 1				
			.111		
11 1	11				
1111	111.11.1	11.11111.11	111.111		1.11
1			11111	1	11
1		1	11111	1	11
.11.11		111	1	1111	11 1 . 11 . 1
1		111.1			
		1			111
			1		
11	11				
111 1 1 1	111	1	111	1	111
11.11		11111	1.11.1		1111.1.1
			1		
			.1		
	1 1 1	11	.111		
			1		
1 1				1	
			111		
			1		
1 1	1 1 1 1	11		11	
			1111		
1.1.11		111	11	.11.11.111	
1.11					.1
			11111111		
.1.11 1		111111	11111111	11.11.	11
11.	11 . 1				
1					
1	1	11			
1	1 1 1	11		.1	
1	1	11			
1	1	11	11		
			111		
1					
	1				
1	1 1 1 1			.1	

Table 1: The context  $\mathbb{K}''$ , objects 1–100 (for attributes, see before Theorem 5.1).

10045081001			78889999990000011111112222223	333334444444555555666		000011111112222	2233333344
			92381246780347901235681234691				
11 1	11	111				1	
.1.1	1	1					
1	111			111			
1	111			11			
			1111				
.1	1	1					
			11				
			1				
1	1	11	1		1		
.11	1						
.1	11.11.	.1	.1.11	1	11	1.	
1.1	11			11	1	11	
1.1	11	11	11		11		
.1	.1					11	
			1				
1	.11	1	1		1	1	
			111				
1	.1	11			11		
.1	.11	1				11	
	.1		11	1	1	1	
1	.1111	11	111			1	
1	.1					1	
	.111			1	1	1	1
.11	.111	.11	1			11	1
			1				
11	.1	1				1	1
			11				
.11	1	111				1	1
			.1.11				
	111		111	111	1	1	1
11	1111	.111				1	1
1	11	.1				1	1
1	1		11	11			
11	1	.1				1	1
1	1	1				1	1
11	1	.1				1	1
11	11	.1			1		
.1.1	11111	11					
.1.1	1111	1			1		
	11	1	11				1
1	1111	11	11				
1	11				11		
1	11	11				1	
	111	111	1	111	11	1.	
			1	1 1		1	

Table 2: The context  $\mathbb{K}''$ , objects 101–200 (for attributes, see before Theorem 5.1).

	156 679134892345689135678	44444455555556666777777788 134679023568912670123467923	38124678034790123	356812346912347	90234568125	7891347236891	2345893467903	235689124789024	6790123671
1	1 1 1 1 .	111							
		11 11111							
1	1					1	.1		
		1							
11	1 1	1 1 1			1		11		
		11							
.1	.11.1					11			
11	.1111.1		1.1						
1	.11.1		.1.1			1.111			
.11	.11.11111		1.1						
		1							
.1	.1				11		1	11	
1	.1					1			
	.1		1 1 .				1		
1	.1		1.1			1 . 1			
1	.111.1111	11.11111 111							
1	.1	111							
1	.1	11		1					
11	.1								
		1							
1	1 . 1		1.1						1
.11						1 1	1	11	
		1.							
11	1 1		1.1			1			1
		1							
11					1	1		1	1
11		111		1	1				1
1		1			1		1	1	1
1		11 11			1	1.	11		
1.1					1				1
.11		11			11			1	
		1111							
.11	11				1		1		1
11		.1					1		
		.1						1	1
1 1		.11						1	
.11		1		1	1				
.11				1		1			.1
		.1							
11		1111			1		1	11.	
11		.11		1				1	
		11							
11		1		1			1	11	
		11							
11		1	1		1	1			
		11							
1.1					1	1		1 1	
1.1					1	1			
1.1 1.1		11		1		11.	.1	1	
1.1		11		1		1.	.1		
1.1				1		11.			
		11							
					11				
								1	
11			1			1		1	1

Table 3: The context  $\mathbb{K}''$ , objects 201–300 (for attributes, see before Theorem 5.1).

			7778889999990000011111		566667777788888889	999900000001111112222223333334
1234567 123	23 1234 123456 67913489234568913567813	46790235689126701234	6792381246780347901235	568123469123479023456812578 1	913472368912345893	467902356891247890246790123671
11						11
.11	111	111				
.11		1				1
.11		1				1 1
.1.1	1111	11				
	11111					
.1.1	111	1		1	1	
	11					
.1.1	1	1			1	
11						
11						
11			1 . 1			
11			1111111			
1			1 . 1			
1						
	11					
1		1		1		
.1		1			1	11
1						
1						
1				11		
1	1	11.		1		11
1		.1				
1		11				11
1	111	1				
1	1					
1	1	11		1		
1	1	111		1		
.1						1
1						
1						
1 .1 1			1		1	

Table 4: The context  $\mathbb{K}''$ , objects 301–392 (for attributes, see before Theorem 5.1).

$x_1$ : 00000011111112222223333333	$x_1$ : 00000011111112222223333333		: 000000111111222222333333		00000011111112222223333333		0000001111111222222333333
$x_2$ : 112233002233001133001122	$x_2$ : 112233002233001133001122	$x_2$	: 112233002233001133001122	$x_2$ :	112233002233001133001122	$x_2$ :	112233002233001133001122
$x_3$ : 231312230302130301120201	$x_3$ : 231312230302130301120201	$x_3$	231312230302130301120201	$x_3$ :	231312230302130301120201	$x_3$ :	231312230302130301120201
1: 000000000000000000000000000000000000	80: 1122331111122223223333331	159:	: 000000000303000303000303	237:	000202000303020323020323	315:	000303003333333333333333
2: 11111111111111111111111111	81: 0000001111111001101100101	160:	: 0000000033030303303000303	238:	000202111213021222021323	316:	030030030030000000303000
3: 2222222222222222222222	82: 0000001111111001133031333	161:	: 000000010111020222001122	239:	000203010213020222031333	317:	0300300303333003303303303
4: 333333333333333333333333	83: 0000001111111001133130333	162:	: 000000101101202202000000	240:	000203101203021222030333	318:	030030333333003333333333
5: 000000111111001101001101	84: 000000111111011033031333		: 000000101101202202000303		000203111212021222031232		030333030030030030333033
6: 0000001111111001110001110	85: 000000111111021222001122		: 030333030030030030333030	242:	000303000202030232030232		03033303033333333333333
7: 0000001111111020222131333	86: 000000111111021222011022		: 0303330303333030333333333		010212010212020222121222		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
8: 000000111111021222031333	87: 000000111111021222100122		: 102000101101202202000102		010313010313030333131333		00000000000000020222000022
9: 00000011111122222333333	88: 000000111111021222100122		: 102000101101202202000102		101202101202121222020222		000000000000020222000022
10: 000202111313021222031333	89: 000000111111021222120201		: 1020001011312022323333231		101303101303131333030333		00000000220200202020202
11: 000202111313021222031333	90: 000000111111021222130333		: 102000101131202232333231		111212111313121323121323		000202000000220220200000
12: 000202111313121222030333							
	91: 000002111112031322031301		102000111111222222001122		111313111212131232131232		0002020002020202222222
13: 000203111213020222131333	92: 000202111212021222021222		: 1020001111111222222001221		010010010313000303101303		00020200222222222222222
14: 000203111213021222031333	93: 000202111212021222120222		: 1020001111111222222002112		010010012111222123101323		202000202000202000000000
15: 000203111213021233031322	94: 00020211121222222222222		: 1020001111111222222120201		010030010111020123303323		202000202202202202002202
16: 000203111213222222333333	95: 000202111212222222323222		: 1020001111111222222333231		010030012111202103303303		202000222222222222002222
17: 01001001011110000001111111	96: 000203111213021222130333		: 102012131111232222330102		010030012111222121303121		202202202000222020020022
18: 010010010111000101101101	97: 000203111213120222031333		: 112212111111222222121221		010212010111020121121121		202202202202222222222222
19: 010030012111222123303323	98: 000303111313031333031333	177:	: 112233111111222222333132	255:	030030030131000101303101	333:	000000000000000000000000000001
20: 010212010313020323121323	99: 000303111313031333130333	178:	: 112233111111222222333231	256:	030232030333020323323323	334:	000000000101000101000111
21: 012003011231201223033123	100: 0003031113133333333333333	179:	112233111121222212333132	257:	212010212111202101101101	335:	000000010111000000001100
22: 101000101101101000010111	101: 030030131131001133333333	180:	112233111231222132331122	258:	212212212313222323121323	336:	000000101101001100000000
23: 101000101101101101000101	102: 202000212111222222001122		112233111313222323333333		232030232333202303303303	337:	010010010010000000101000
24: 101303101202131232030232	103: 222323222323232333232333		132333131131232232333132		232232232131222121323121		010010010111000101101111
25: 102000101131202232333132	104: 232232232222222323222		132333131131232232333231		101000101131101232333132		01001011111110011111111111
26: 2222223333332223233323	105: 232232232323232323222		: 132333332233331133333132		101000101202101202000202		101000101000101000000000
27: 222323333232323232323232	106: 232333232333323233333333		: 000000000202000202000202		101303101101131131030131		101000101101101101000111
28: 232030232131202101303101	107: 000000002202222222020202		: 00000000022200020200202		102000101101202232030132		10100011111111111111001111
29: 232232232333222323323323	108: 000000002233222222303323		: 000000000222000202002202		102000101101202202000102		111010111010101000101001
30: 23223323223322222333333	109: 0000000022332222233303		: 0000000101110000000000000000000000000		102000101131202101303101		111010111111111011010101011111
			: 00000010111000202303303				
31: 232233232233323222232333	110: 000000012111222222001122				131030131131101101303101		000000101101021222000122
32: 23233323223223232333232	111: 000000012111222222021102		: 010030010111000103303303		131333131232131232333232		000000111010021222001022
33: 000000000333000333333333	112: 000000012111222222120201		: 010030010111000301303303		202000202101202101000101		000000111111021222011122
34: 000000003033003033333333	113: 000000012111222222201120		: 010030012111222321303323		202303202202232232030232		000000111010001033031333
35: 000000131131202202333333	$114 \colon 000000012111222222323303$		: 010030012131222123301323		232030232232202202303202		0000001111111011133031333
36: 000000101131202232333333	115: 000000022033222222303323		: 010030111111001133333333		232333232131232131333131		000002000002030322030300
37: 000303303303030030030333	116: 000000032302222222323303		: 010030111111001331333333		222222222222222222222222		000000010111000133031333
38: 0003030003030303333030333	117: 000010032311222221300223	196:	: 010030111111003113333333	274:	222222222332222233323	352:	000000002033222020303323
39: 0300303333330000003033303	118: 00030300223323232333333	197:	: 010030111111130301333333	275:	222222222323222323222323		00000002223322222303323
40: 0300300303330003033303303	119: 010010012111222121101121	198:	: 010030111111222321333333	276:	22223322222222222333232	354:	000010030311000001300003
41: 101000202232101131333232	120: 010010012111222121121101	199:	011330211111220103323333	277:	22232322222223223223232	355:	000000000233020222303323
42: 101000212212121121333232	121: 01001011111112221211111111	200:	111333111111131331333333	278:	222323222323232333233333	356:	000000012111202202001102
43: 101000131131202202333232	122: 0100101111111222121131131		112233111111222123333333		23223223223222322232222		000000012111222020001120
44: 102000232232101101333132	123: 010030012111222123323303		112233111111222321333333		232232232333223323323323		112133111111212212333132
45: 102000202232101131333132	124: 010030210111222123303323		112233111131222123331333		232333232232233232333232		112233111121222223333132
46: 102000212212121121333132	125: 030030032333222323303323		: 11223311121222222333232		2323332323333333333333		101011131111131111330101
47: 11111112122211121222222	126: 03003003233322232333333		: 112233112133223113331123		232333233332333333333232		111233111111121222333132
48: 111212111212121222222	127: 030030333333222323333333		: 202202202000222020020020		23333223233333333333323323		1020001111111222121001121
49: 112212222222111111121122	128: 1010001111111121222001122		: 2022022022022022220202020		111111111111111111111111111111111111111		102000111111222121001121
50: 11221221221211111121122	129: 111313111111131131131131		: 212232212111222123323323		111111111111111111111111111111111111111		001000201102200002000001
51: 2121112221212121121121122	130: 111313313111131131131131		: 212232212111222123232323		111111111111111111111111111111111111111		000000002203202232030333
52: 212212212111222121121121	131: 131131131333111313313313		212232222233222123221122		111133111111111111333131		000000101131001103303303
53: 000000002202222222000222	132: 13133313133313133333333		: 00000000000000020222030333		1113131111111133131131131		000000002230202232333030
54: 00000000222022222002022	133: 000000000333030303333333		: 000000000101000101000101		111313111313133333131333		000000101131001130333030
55: 0000000101112222232323	134: 000000002233202232333333		: 000000000101000111000111		11333311131333333333333333		011110211111220101121111
56: 000000012111222222303323	135: 000000002233232202333333		: 000000000101020222030333		131131131131111111313111		112213111111222123131333
57: 0002020000000020222222222	136: 000000032203202232333333		: 000000002233202202303303		131131131333111313313333		010030111111001131333131
58: 0002020002020202222020222	137: 000000101131001133333333		: 000203000203020222030333		131333131131133131333131		010030111111001113313313
59: 010010012111222323303323	138: 000000101131031103333333		: 000203000203020223030332		13133313133313333333333		112231111111222123313313
60: 01001011111112223233333333	139: 000000101131130301333333		: 000203002233222222333333		1313333333333333333333333		001212221212220222220222
61: 010010212111222323303303	$140\colon 000000101131232202333333$		: 000203002233222223333332		111111111111111111111111111111111111		1122321112132222223232323
62: 010030010111222123323323	141: 000000101131301130333333	220:	: 000203002233222232333323	298:	1111111111111121222111122	376:	00020300223222222333232
63: 010030012133222123301123	142: 000000233002232202333333	221:	: 000203002233223222332333	299:	1111111111121211112121111212	377:	00020300222322222232333
64: 0100301111112221233333333	143: 000202002233222222323323	222:	: 000203111213021223031332	300:	1112121111111121121122121	378:	112223111213222222232333
65: 1111111111313333333131333	144: 001000201132200332333331		: 000203111213021232031323		1112121111212121222122222		000000302233202232333333
66: 111313111313131333131333	145: 0100101111111001133131333	224:	: 000203111213222223333333	302:	11221111111112221211111111		112233113111222123333333
67: 111333111111131133333333	146: 101000101131101131333131		: 001213231213220222330333		11222211121222222222222		000203002233223222333333
68: 111333313111131133313313	147: 101000101131131101333131		: 111010111010101000101000		212111212111212111111111		112233111213223222333333
69: 131131131131333131333131	148: 101000111111111111333131		: 11101011111111101101101101101		212111212212212212111222		001313331313330333330333
70: 131333131131131131333131	149: 101000111111111111333131		: 111111111111111121222131333		2122122121112221211122121		000000012111222222201122
71: 202000202000202202202	150: 102000101131232202333132		: 111213111213121222131333		212212212111222121122121		102000111111222222201122
72: 20200020202020202202202	151: 102000131101202232333132		: 111213111213121222131333		212212212212222222222222222222222222222		202022232222232222330202
73: 000000111111222222001122	152: 111212111111121121121121		: 112233111111222121333131		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		000000101131301133333333
74: 00000011111122222201122	153: 111212111111121121121121		: 112233111111222121333131		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		010030113111001133333333
74: 000000111111222222012120 75: 0000001111111001133333333	154: 202000202232202232333232		: 1122331112132222223333333		0000000000033000000303333		230032330333332323333303
76: 00000011111100113333333	154: 20200020223220223233232 155: 202000202232232202333232				000000000303003303000303		111112111112131322131311
			: 112233111213222232333323 : 11223311121322322233233		000303000000030303000303		331333231132233332333331
77: 000000002233222222333333	156: 20200022222222222333232 157: 212111212212212212111212		: 112233111213223222332333 : 232233232233222223333332		0003030000000030030030030		
78: 00000002323022222333333		230	. 232233232223333332	314:	0003030003030303030333	o92:	232222212122222322123321
79: 112233111111222222333333	158: 212212212212222222121222						

Table 5: Objects of the context  $\mathbb{K}''$ , given as value tables of tuples  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \sigma$ .

```
48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 56, 57, 69, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 93, 101, 117, 138, 154, 162, 166,
          168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 186, 207, 223, 239, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255
 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255
\{f_8\}' = \{0, 11, 14, 27, 30, 75, 78, 85, 91, 94, 163, 167, 170, 172, 173, 242, 246, 248, 249, 255\}
198, 201, 210, 216, 225, 228, 255}
165, 170, 175, 176, 177, 180, 181, 224, 225, 228, 229, 240, 241, 244, 245, 250, 255
85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 93, 94, 102, 110, 115, 119, 123, 136, 137, 139, 153, 155, 162,
        166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 187, 204, 206, 220, 221, 222, 238, 243, 247, 251, 252,
                                         253, 254, 255}
165, 170, 175, 177, 187, 204, 216, 221, 228, 238, 240, 245, 250, 255
\{f_{46}\}' = \{0, 23, 27, 39, 43, 61, 62, 67, 85, 124, 131, 170, 188, 193, 194, 212, 216, 228, 232, 255\}
171, 191, 192, 212, 213, 216, 228, 232, 234, 252, 253, 254, 255
\{f_{73}\}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 27, 39, 75, 84, 85, 86, 87, 99, 135, 147, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
249, 251, 255}
169, 170, 171, 175, 240, 245, 248, 249, 250, 252, 253, 254, 255
254, 255}
203, 217, 219, 243, 247, 251, 255}
128, 138, 149, 154, 170, 186, 191, 192, 202, 207, 213, 218, 223, 234, 239, 255
219, 231, 234, 255}
165, 170, 175, 176, 186, 207, 218, 223, 229, 239, 240, 245, 250, 255}
155, 170, 186, 191, 192, 202, 207, 213, 222, 223, 234, 239, 255
154, 158, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 219, 223, 234, 239, 255
```

Table 6: Maximal centralising monoids  $\{f_i\}' := \{f_i\}^{*(1)}$  with majority witnesses  $f_i$ , represented up to conjugacy by inner automorphisms (for the index i refer to Table 5, the operations  $s = u_n \in \{f_i\}'$  are coded by integers  $n = \sum_{j=0}^3 s(j) 4^{3-j}$ )

```
f_1: \quad f_2 = f_1^{(01)}, f_3 = f_1^{(021)}, f_4 = f_1^{(0321)}
f_5: \quad f_{30} = f_5^{(02)(13)}, f_{33} = f_5^{(132)}, f_{53} = f_5^{(12)}, f_{67} = f_5^{(0132)}
f_8: \quad f_{16} = f_8^{(02)(13)}, f_{36} = f_8^{(132)}, f_{56} = f_8^{(12)}, f_{64} = f_8^{(0132)}
      f_9: no conjugates needed to represent all maximal centralising monoids
   f_{14}: f_{19} = f_{14}^{(12)}, f_{25} = f_{14}^{(132)}

f_{18}: f_{23} = f_{18}^{(23)}, f_{29} = f_{18}^{(02)(13)}, f_{32} = f_{18}^{(0213)}, f_{38} = f_{18}^{(132)}, f_{40} = f_{18}^{(13)}, f_{48} = f_{18}^{(012)}, f_{52} = f_{18}^{(0123)}, f_{58} = f_{18}^{(12)}, f_{66} = f_{18}^{(0132)}, f_{70} = f_{18}^{(013)}, f_{72} = f_{18}^{(123)}
        f_{46}: no conjugates needed to represent all maximal centralising monoids
f_{50}: \text{ no conjugates needed to represent all maximal centralising monoid} \\ f_{50}: \text{ no conjugates needed to represent all maximal centralising monoid} \\ f_{73}: f_{75} = f_{73}^{(23)}, f_{77} = f_{73}^{(123)}, f_{79} = f_{73}^{(0123)} \\ f_{82}: f_{85} = f_{82}^{(23)}, f_{108} = f_{82}^{(12)}, f_{110} = f_{82}^{(123)}, f_{134} = f_{82}^{(132)}, f_{137} = f_{82}^{(13)}, \\ f_{170} = f_{82}^{(0123)}, f_{177} = f_{82}^{(012)}, f_{194} = f_{82}^{(013)}, f_{201} = f_{82}^{(0132)}, \\ f_{218} = f_{82}^{(0213)}, f_{232} = f_{82}^{(02)} \\ f_{22}: f_{98} = f_{92}^{(23)}, f_{119} = f_{92}^{(12)}, f_{125} = f_{92}^{(123)}, f_{146} = f_{92}^{(132)}, f_{154} = f_{92}^{(13)}, \\ f_{166} = f_{92}^{(012)}, f_{182} = f_{92}^{(0123)}, f_{190} = f_{92}^{(0132)}, f_{208} = f_{92}^{(013)}, \\ f_{216} = f_{92}^{(02)(13)}, f_{229} = f_{92}^{(0213)} \\ f_{94}: f_{100} = f_{94}^{(23)}, f_{121} = f_{94}^{(12)}, f_{127} = f_{94}^{(123)}, f_{148} = f_{94}^{(132)}, f_{156} = f_{94}^{(13)}, \\ f_{162} = f_{94}^{(012)}, f_{187} = f_{94}^{(0132)}, f_{211} = f_{94}^{(02)(13)}, f_{228} = f_{94}^{(0213)} \\ f_{101}: f_{102} = f_{101}^{(23)}, f_{118} = f_{101}^{(12)}, f_{128} = f_{101}^{(123)}, f_{143} = f_{101}^{(132)}, f_{145} = f_{101}^{(13)}, \\ f_{161} = f_{101}^{(0123)}, f_{181} = f_{101}^{(012)}, f_{189} = f_{101}^{(013)}, f_{204} = f_{101}^{(0132)}, \\ f_{215} = f_{101}^{(0213)}, f_{231} = f_{101}^{(02)(13)} \\ f_{104}: f_{106} = f_{104}^{(23)}, f_{129} = f_{104}^{(124)}, f_{132} = f_{104}^{(0132)}, f_{158} = f_{104}^{(013)}, \\ f_{159} = f_{104}^{(012)}, f_{165} = f_{104}^{(0123)}, f_{185} = f_{104}^{(0132)}, f_{207} = f_{104}^{(013)}, \\ f_{212} = f_{104}^{(02)(13)}, f_{227} = f_{104}^{(02)(13)}, \\ f_{237}: f_{242} = f_{237}^{(23)}, f_{243} = f_{237}^{(02)(13)}, f_{244} = f_{237}^{(03)(12)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0213)}, \\ f_{244} = f_{237}^{(02)(13)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0213)}, \\ f_{245} = f_{237}^{(02)(13)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0213)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0213)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0213)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0213)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0
        f_{50}: no conjugates needed to represent all maximal centralising monoids
  f_{184}: f_{210} = f_{184}^{(24)}
f_{237}: f_{242} = f_{237}^{(23)}, f_{243} = f_{237}^{(02)(13)}, f_{244} = f_{237}^{(03)(12)}, f_{245} = f_{237}^{(0213)},
f_{246} = f_{237}^{(0312)}, f_{247} = f_{237}^{(01)(23)}, f_{248} = f_{237}^{(01)}, f_{249} = f_{237}^{(12)}, f_{254} = f_{237}^{(0132)},
f_{255} = f_{237}^{(123)}, f_{256} = f_{237}^{(03)}, f_{257} = f_{237}^{(013)}, f_{258} = f_{237}^{(0231)}, f_{259} = f_{237}^{(0321)},
f_{260} = f_{237}^{(021)}, f_{262} = f_{237}^{(132)}, f_{263} = f_{237}^{(012)}, f_{267} = f_{237}^{(0123)}, f_{268} = f_{237}^{(031)},
f_{269} = f_{237}^{(13)}, f_{270} = f_{237}^{(023)}, f_{271} = f_{237}^{(023)}, f_{272} = f_{237}^{(0321)},
f_{274}: f_{276} = f_{274}^{(01)}, f_{286} = f_{274}^{(12)}, f_{288} = f_{274}^{(021)}, f_{291} = f_{274}^{(0231)}, f_{298} = f_{274}^{(132)},
f_{302} = f_{274}^{(0321)}, f_{303} = f_{274}^{(031)}, f_{310} = f_{274}^{(012)}, f_{311} = f_{274}^{(02)}, f_{315} = f_{274}^{(023)},
f_{318} = f_{274}^{(0123)}, f_{322} = f_{274}^{(0132)}, f_{324} = f_{274}^{(032)}, f_{327} = f_{274}^{(03)}, f_{330} = f_{274}^{(013)},
f_{335} = f_{274}^{(02)(13)}, f_{336} = f_{274}^{(0312)}, f_{339} = f_{274}^{(03)(12)}, f_{342} = f_{274}^{(0213)},
f_{283}: f_{284} = f_{283}^{(02)}, f_{296} = f_{283}^{(12)}, f_{308} = f_{283}^{(132)},
```

Table 7: Majority witnesses  $f_i$  for maximal centralising monoids, represented as conjugates  $f_j^s$  (with  $s \in \text{Sym}(4)$ ) of the witnesses from Table 6 (for the indices i, j refer to Table 5)

```
 \{f_5\}' = \{0,1,2,3,4,8,11,12,14,27,30,75,78,81,84,85,86,87,89,91,93,94,162,166,168,169,170,171,174,243,247,251,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_9\}' = \{0,11,14,27,30,75,78,85,91,94,163,167,170,172,173,242,246,248,249,255\} \\ \{f_9\}' = \{0,27,30,39,45,54,57,75,78,85,99,108,114,120,135,141,147,156,170,177,180,198,201,210,216,225,228,255\} 
      \begin{cases} f_1f_2 \\ \{0, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 26, 27, 30, 31, 74, 75, 78, 79, 80, 85, 90, 91, 94, 95, 160, 161, 164, 165, 170, 175, 176, 177, 180, 181, 224, 225, 228, 229, 240, 241, 244, 245, 250, 255\} \\ \{f_{16}\}' = \{0, 26, 27, 30, 31, 74, 75, 78, 79, 85, 96, 112, 133, 144, 170, 197, 208, 255\} \end{cases} 
     204, 206, 221, 228, 230, 236, 238, 255}
    \begin{cases} f_{20}\}' = \{0,5,10,15,17,27,34,39,51,68,78,80,85,90,95,102,114,119,136,141,153,160,165,170,175,177,187,204,216,221,228,238,240,245,250,255\} \\ \{f_{23}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,4,7,8,11,12,13,14,20,23,24,27,40,43,60,61,62,65,66,77,78,81,82,84,85,86,87,88,89,91,93,94,104,105,107,125,126,130,142,146,150,158,\\ 162,166,168,169,170,171,174,190,195,199,203,215,219,235,243,247,251,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{24}\}' = \{0,5,10,15,20,27,40,57,60,65,78,80,85,90,95,105,108,125,130,147,150,160,167,175,177,179,179,195,198,215,228,235,240,245,250,255\} \\ \{f_{25}\}' = \{0,20,23,24,27,36,39,40,43,60,65,66,77,78,85,105,113,114,125,126,129,130,141,142,150,170,177,177,178,189,190,195. \end{cases} 
   \begin{cases} f_{23}\}' = \{0, 17, 20, 27, 30, 34, 40, 51, 60, 65, 68, 75, 78, 85, 105, 113, 114, 125, 126, 129, 130, 141, 142, 150, 170, 177, 178, 189, 190, 195, \\ f_{23}\}' = \{0, 17, 20, 27, 30, 34, 40, 51, 60, 65, 68, 75, 78, 85, 102, 105, 119, 125, 130, 136, 150, 153, 170, 177, 180, 187, 190, 195, 204, 215, 221, 225, 228, 235, 238, 255, \\ f_{29}\}' = \{0, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 34, 42, 48, 50, 51, 58, 59, 63, 64, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 74, 76, 78, 79, 85, 101, 102, 103, 106, 110, 111, 117, 119, 127, 128, 136, \\ 138, 149, 153, 154, 155, 157, 159, 170, 186, 187, 191, 192, 200, 202, 204, 206, 207, 213, 221, 223, 234, 238, 239, 255, \\ f_{39}\}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 42, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 78, 79, 85, 101, 106, 117, 127, 138, 149, 154, 170, 186, 187, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 235, \\ f_{32}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, 40, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, 60, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69, 73, 74, 77, 78, 79, 85, 101, 105, 106, 117, 121, 122, 125, 126, 127, 128, 130, \\ f_{33}\}' = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 27, 32, 36, 39, 48, 69, 81, 85, 89, 38, 101, 117, 138, 142, 164, 162, 166, 170, 174, 186, 207, 216, 219, 223, 224, 231, 239, 243, 247, 251, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{0, 24, 27, 36, 39, 73, 85, 97, 109, 121, 134, 146, 158, 170, 182, 216, 219, 228, 231, 255\} \\ f_{36}\}' = \{
      \begin{array}{c} (33) \\ (44) \\ (44) \\ (54) \\ (44) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (54) \\ (
     \{f_{73}\}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 27, 39, 75, 84, 85, 86, 87, 99, 135, 147, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
\begin{cases} f_{75} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,27,39,75,84,85,86,87,99,135,147,168,169,170,171,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{75} \}' = \{0,4,8,12,27,57,75,81,85,89,93,120,162,166,170,174,201,216,243,247,251,255\} \\ \{f_{77} \}' = \{0,16,27,30,32,48,69,85,101,117,138,147,154,156,170,186,207,210,216,223,239,255\} \\ \{f_{79} \}' = \{0,21,27,30,39,42,45,54,57,63,64,85,106,127,128,149,170,191,192,213,234,255\} \\ \{f_{82} \}' = \{0,4,8,9,11,12,13,27,75,81,85,88,89,91,92,93,162,166,170,174,243,247,248,249,251,255\} \\ \{f_{85} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,6,7,11,27,75,82,83,48,85,68,79,163,167,168,169,170,171,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{92} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,10,11,15,26,27,31,74,75,79,80,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,165,168,169,170,171,175,240,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{98} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,26,27,37,74,75,84,85,86,87,90,61,33,144,168,169,170,171,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{98} \}' = \{0,4,5,8,10,11,12,15,26,27,31,74,75,79,80,81,85,89,90,91,93,95,160,165,168,169,170,171,175,240,243,245,247,250,251,255\} \\ \{f_{100} \}' = \{0,4,8,12,27,31,53,75,79,81,85,89,93,112,162,166,170,174,197,208,243,247,251,255\} \\ \{f_{100} \}' = \{0,4,8,12,24,25,27,56,59,72,73,75,81,85,89,93,121,123,162,166,170,174,200,203,217,219,243,247,251,255\} \\ \{f_{101} \}' = \{0,4,8,12,24,25,27,56,59,72,73,75,81,85,89,93,121,123,162,166,170,174,200,203,217,219,243,247,251,255\} \\ \{f_{102} \}' = \{0,12,3,19,23,27,35,43,67,71,75,84,85,86,87,30,31,21,123,162,166,170,174,200,203,217,219,243,247,251,255\} \\ \{f_{102} \}' = \{0,12,3,19,23,27,35,43,67,71,75,84,85,86,87,30,31,21,113,162,166,170,174,200,203,217,219,243,247,251,255\} \\ \{f_{102} \}' = \{0,12,3,19,23,27,35,43,67,71,75,84,85,86,87,30,31,27,31,31,31,31,51,155,186,169,170,171,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{102} \}' = \{0,12,3,19,23,27,35,43,67,71,75,84,85,86,87,30,31,27,31,31,31,31,51,155,186,169,170,171,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{102} \}' = \{0,12,3,19,23,27,35,43,67,71,75,84,85,86,87,30,31,27,31,31,31,31,51,156,166,170,174,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{102} \}' = \{0,12,3,19,23,27,35,43,67,71,75,84,85,86,87,30,31,27,31,31,31,31,51,156,166,170,174,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{102} \}' = \{0,12,3,19,23,27,35,43,67,36,86,
   \begin{cases} f_{100} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 19, 23, 27, 35, 43, 67, 71, 75, 84, 85, 86, 87, 103, 107, 131, 139, 151, 155, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 252, 253, 254, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 48, 58, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 117, 122, 127, 128, 138, 149, 154, 170, 186, 191, 192, 202, 207, 213, 218, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{100} \}' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 63, 64, 69, 74, 75, 79, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 154, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 23
  \begin{cases} f_{106}f > = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 31, 32, 42, 47, 48, 65, 64, 69, 47, 67, 69, 85, 101, 106, 111, 117, 127, 128, 138, 143, 149, 159, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 223, 234, 239, 255 \\ f_{110}f > = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 19, 27, 33, 35, 71, 84, 85, 68, 87, 103, 137, 139, 147, 155, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 255 \} \\ f_{110}f > = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 19, 27, 33, 35, 71, 84, 85, 86, 87, 103, 137, 139, 147, 155, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 255 \} \\ f_{110}f > = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 19, 27, 28, 31, 32, 48, 69, 85, 101, 117, 138, 144, 146, 147, 154, 158, 159, 170, 186, 207, 208, 211, 218, 219, 223, 239, 255 \} \\ f_{110}f > = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 17, 19, 25, 27, 34, 51, 59, 68, 48, 58, 68, 71, 021, 191, 136, 143, 147, 153, 159, 170, 171, 179, 187, 204, 220, 221, 222, 238, 252, 253, 254, 255 \} \\ f_{121}f > = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 25, 27, 38, 72, 84, 85, 86, 87, 98, 132, 145, 147, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 255 \} \end{cases}
 \begin{cases} f_{163} \} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 21, 22, 23, 27, 42, 43, 63, 64, 84, 85, 86, 87, 106, 127, 128, 148, 149, 151, 168, 169, 170, 171, 191, 192, 212, 213, 214, 216, 232, 234, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{0, 16, 20, 23, 24, 27, 32, 40, 43, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 212, 215, 216, 219, 223, 232, 235, 239, 255\} \\ \{f_{154}\}' = \{f_{154}\}' 
  \{f_{156}\}' = \{0, 16, 27, 32, 43, 48, 62, 69, 85, 101, 117, 131, 138, 154, 170, 186, 188, 194, 207, 216, 223, 232, 239, 255\}
```

Table 8: Maximal centralising monoids  $\{f_i\}' := \{f_i\}^{*(1)}$  with majority witnesses  $f_i$ , first part (for the index i refer to Table 5, the operations  $s = u_n \in \{f_i\}'$  are coded by integers  $n = \sum_{j=0}^{3} s(j) 4^{3-j}$ )

```
\{f_{158}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,21,23,27,41,42,43,63,64,84,85,86,87,104,106,107,127,128,149,168,169,170,171,191,192,212,213,216,232,233,234,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{159}\}' = \{0,4,8,12,16,24,27,28,32,36,39,44,48,52,56,69,81,85,89,93,101,117,138,154,162,166,170,174,186,207,219,223,231,239,243,247,251,255\}
 \begin{cases} f_{161} f = \{0,1,2,3,7,11,19,27,35,39,71,83,84,85,86,87,91,103,139,155,163,167,168,169,170,171,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{162}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,24,27,36,39,73,84,85,86,87,97,134,146,168,169,170,171,252,253,254,255\} \end{cases} 
 \begin{cases} f_{165} f = \{0,4,8,12,16,24,27,32,36,39,48,69,81,85,89,93,101,117,138,154,162,166,170,174,186,199,203,207,211,219,223,227,231,239,243,247,251,255\} \\ f_{166} f' = \{0,1,2,3,20,23,24,27,36,39,40,43,60,61,62,65,84,85,86,87,105,125,130,150,168,169,170,171,190,195,215,219,231,235,252,253,254,255\} \end{cases} 
f_{176} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 23, 27, 39, 48, 67, 84, 85, 86, 87, 104, 107, 131, 148, 151, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 255\}

\{f_{177}\}' = \{0, 21, 22, 23, 27, 39, 44, 67, 84, 85, 86, 87, 104, 107, 131, 148, 151, 168, 169, 170, 171, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
 \{f_{181}\}' = \{0, 21, 25, 26, 27, 29, 31, 37, 38, 39, 42, 46, 47, 53, 55, 58, 59, 63, 64, 85, 106, 127, 128, 149, 170, 191, 192, 213, 234, 255\}
\{f_{182}\}' = \{0, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 36, 39, 40, 42, 43, 60, 63, 64, 65, 67, 85, 105, 106, 125, 127, 128, 130, 131, 149, 150, 170, 190, 191, 192, 195, 213, 215, 219, 231, 234, 235, 255\} \\ \{f_{184}\}' = \{0, 21, 27, 39, 42, 63, 64, 85, 106, 109, 121, 127, 128, 149, 158, 170, 182, 191, 192, 213, 219, 231, 234, 255\}
 \begin{cases} f_{185} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 16, 18, 19, 27, 32, 33, 35, 48, 49, 50, 57, 69, 84, 85, 86, 87, 101, 117, 138, 154, 155, 168, 169, 170, 171, 185, 186, 207, 223, 239, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ f_{187} \}' = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 19, 27, 49, 57, 71, 81, 85, 89, 93, 116, 162, 166, 170, 174, 205, 220, 243, 247, 251, 255\} 
[f_{194}]' = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 25, 27, 57, 59, 72, 81, 85, 89, 93, 115, 123, 162, 166, 170, 174, 200, 209, 217, 243, 247, 251, 255\}
 \{f_{201}\}' = \{0, 21, 25, 27, 29, 38, 42, 46, 55, 57, 59, 63, 64, 85, 106, 127, 128, 149, 157, 170, 183, 191, 192, 213, 234, 255\}
\{f_{204}\}' = \{0, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31, 37, 41, 42, 43, 47, 53, 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 64, 85, 106, 127, 128, 149, 170, 191, 192, 213, 234, 255\}
 \begin{cases} f_{210} \}' = \{0, 21, 27, 42, 57, 63, 64, 85, 103, 106, 118, 127, 128, 149, 155, 170, 185, 191, 192, 213, 222, 234, 237, 255\} \\ \{f_{211} \}' = \{0, 11, 14, 16, 27, 30, 32, 48, 69, 85, 101, 117, 138, 154, 163, 170, 172, 186, 207, 223, 239, 242, 248, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 27, 30, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \{f_{212} \}' = \{f_{212} \}' = \{f_{212} \}' + f_{212} \}' + f_{
\{f_{229}\}' = \{0, 21, 27, 30, 42, 63, 64, 85, 91, 94, 106, 127, 128, 149, 167, 170, 173, 191, 192, 213, 324, 246, 249, 255\} \\ \{f_{229}\}' = \{0, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21, 26, 27, 30, 31, 42, 63, 64, 80, 85, 90, 91, 94, 95, 106, 127, 128, 144, 149, 160, 165, 170, 175, 191, 192, 208, 213, 234, 246, 245, 250, 255\} \\ \{f_{229}\}' = \{0, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21, 26, 27, 30, 31, 42, 63, 64, 80, 85, 90, 91, 94, 95, 106, 127, 128, 144, 149, 160, 165, 170, 175, 191, 192, 208, 213, 234, 240, 245, 250, 255\}
  \underbrace{\{f_{231}\}'} = \{0, 21, 22, 23, 25, 27, 29, 30, 38, 41, 42, 43, 46, 55, 59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 85, 106, 127, 128, 149, 170, 191, 192, 213, 234, 255\} 
 \begin{cases} 7243 \\ 7244 \end{cases} = \{0,4,5,8,10,11,12,13,15,27,80,81,85,88,89,90,91,93,95,160,162,163,164,165,166,167,170,174,175,240,241,243,245,247,250,251,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,164,165,168,169,170,171,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,164,165,168,169,170,171,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,162,163,164,165,168,169,170,171,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,162,163,164,165,168,169,170,171,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,162,163,164,165,168,169,170,174,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,162,163,164,165,168,169,170,174,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,164,165,168,169,170,174,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,164,165,168,169,170,174,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{0,1,2,3,5,6,10,11,15,27,80,83,84,85,86,87,90,91,95,160,164,165,168,169,170,174,175,240,241,245,248,249,250,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{245}\}' = \{f_{24
 \begin{cases} \{255\}' = \{0,4,8,12,17,25,27,34,38,46,51,59,68,81,85,89,93,102,110,119,123,136,153,162,166,170,174,187,196,204,209,221,238,243,247,251,255\} \\ \{256\}' = \{0,16,17,19,27,32,34,48,50,51,68,69,71,85,100,101,102,103,117,119,136,138,152,153,154,155,170,186,187,204,206,207,221,223,238,239,255\} \end{cases} 
 \{f_{257}\}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 17, 19, 27, 33, 34, 51, 68, 84, 85, 86, 87, 100, 102, 119, 136, 139, 153, 155, 168, 169, 170, 171, 187, 204, 206, 220, 221, 222, 238, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
\{f_{268}\}' = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 20, 24, 27, 40, 56, 60, 65, 77, 81, 85, 89, 93, 105, 125, 130, 146, 150, 158, 162, 166, 170, 174, 178, 190, 195, 199, 215, 219, 235, 243, 247, 251, 255\}
  \begin{array}{l} G_{268} = \{0, 20, 21, 23, 27, 40, 41, 42, 43, 60, 63, 64, 65, 67, 85, 105, 106, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 149, 150, 170, 190, 191, 192, 195, 213, 214, 215, 234, 235, 255\} \\ \{f_{269}\}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 20, 23, 27, 40, 43, 60, 61, 62, 65, 84, 85, 86, 87, 105, 107, 125, 126, 129, 130, 148, 150, 168, 169, 170, 171, 190, 195, 215, 235, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \\ \end{array} 
 (f_{270})' = \{0, 16, 20, 24, 27, 32, 40, 44, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 85, 101, 105, 113, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 142, 150, 154, 170, 186, 190, 195, 207, 211, 215, 219, 223, 235, 239, 255\}
  \begin{cases} f_{271} \}' = \begin{cases} 0, 16, 20, 24, 27, 28, 32, 40, 48, 60, 65, 69, 73, 77, 85, 101, 105, 117, 121, 125, 130, 138, 150, 154, 170, 178, 186, 190, 195, 207, 215, 219, 223, 227, 235, 239, 255 \end{cases} \\ f_{272} \}' = \begin{cases} 0, 20, 21, 22, 23, 27, 40, 42, 43, 60, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 85, 105, 106, 125, 127, 128, 130, 131, 149, 150, 170, 189, 190, 191, 192, 195, 213, 215, 233, 234, 235, 255 \end{cases} 
 \begin{cases} f_{274} f' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 32, 42, 48, 58, 63, 64, 69, 74, 85, 101, 106, 117, 127, 128, 138, 149, 154, 155, 170, 186, 191, 192, 202, 207, 213, 222, 223, 234, 239, 255\} \\ f_{276} f' = \{0, 16, 21, 26, 27, 32, 42, 43, 48, 62, 63, 64, 69, 74, 85, 101, 106, 117, 122, 127, 128, 138, 149, 154, 170, 186, 191, 192, 207, 213, 218, 223, 234, 239, 255\} \\ \end{cases} 
  \begin{array}{l} G_{250} \\ (7,283)' = \{0,16,21,27,31,32,42,47,48,63,64,69,79,85,101,106,117,127,128,138,143,149,154,158,170,186,191,192,207,213,219,223,234,239,255\} \\ \{f_{284}\}' = \{0,16,21,27,31,32,42,46,48,59,63,64,69,79,85,101,106,111,117,127,128,138,149,154,159,170,186,191,192,207,213,223,234,239,255\} \\ \end{array} 
  \frac{C_{256}}{C_{256}} = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 21, 25, 27, 29, 42, 63, 64, 81, 85, 89, 91, 93, 106, 127, 128, 145, 149, 162, 166, 170, 174, 191, 192, 209, 213, 234, 243, 247, 249, 251, 255\} 
  \widetilde{\{f_{288}\}}' = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 21, 23, 25, 27, 42, 61, 63, 64, 81, 85, 89, 93, 106, 127, 128, 145, 149, 157, 162, 166, 170, 174, 191, 192, 213, 217, 234, 243, 247, 251, 255\} 
 \{f_{291}\} = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 21, 27, 31, 42, 53, 59, 63, 64, 81, 85, 89, 93, 106, 123, 127, 128, 149, 162, 166, 170, 174, 179, 183, 191, 192, 213, 234, 243, 247, 251, 255\}
\begin{cases} f_{296} \}' = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 21, 27, 42, 55, 59, 63, 64, 81, 85, 89, 93, 106, 115, 121, 127, 128, 149, 162, 166, 170, 174, 179, 191, 192, 213, 219, 234, 243, 247, 251, 255\} \\ f_{298} \}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 21, 22, 23, 27, 42, 63, 64, 84, 85, 86, 87, 91, 106, 127, 128, 148, 149, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 191, 192, 212, 213, 234, 252, 253, 254, 255\} \end{cases}
\begin{cases} f_{302} \} = \{0,1,2,3,21,23,25,27,38,42,63,64,84,85,86,87,106,127,128,149,151,168,169,170,171,191,192,212,213,214,234,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{303} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,21,26,27,37,42,43,63,64,84,85,86,87,106,107,127,128,149,168,169,170,171,191,192,213,232,233,234,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{308} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,21,27,41,42,43,63,64,84,85,86,87,103,104,106,127,128,149,155,168,169,170,171,191,192,213,232,234,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{308} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,21,27,41,42,43,63,64,84,85,86,87,103,104,106,127,128,149,155,168,169,170,171,191,192,123,232,234,252,253,254,255\} \\ \{f_{308} \}' = \{0,1,2,3,21,27,41,42,43,63,64,84,85,86,87,106,127,128,149,154,149,154,148,148,148,148,
  \begin{cases} f_{310} \}' = \begin{cases} 0,4,8,11,12,16,24,27,28,32,36,48,52,69,81,85,89,93,101,117,138,154,162,166,170,174,186,207,223,239,243,247,248,251,255 \\ f_{311} \}' = \{0,4,8,12,16,19,24,27,32,36,44,48,56,69,81,85,89,93,101,117,138,154,162,166,170,174,186,207,220,223,239,243,247,251,255 \} \end{cases} 
(\widetilde{f}_{327})' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 16, 26, 27, 32, 48, 69, 84, 85, 86, 87, 101, 117, 138, 139, 144, 154, 155, 168, 169, 170, 171, 184, 185, 186, 207, 223, 239, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
\{f_{230}\}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 16, 27, 32, 43, 48, 69, 84, 85, 86, 87, 101, 117, 131, 137, 138, 152, 154, 155, 168, 169, 170, 171, 185, 186, 207, 223, 239, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
 \{f_{335}\}' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 19, 27, 71, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 93, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
 (f_{336})' = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 24, 27, 73, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 93, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
\{7330\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 25, 27, 72, 81, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
\widetilde{F_{342}} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 23, 27, 67, 81, 82, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 91, 93, 94, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 174, 243, 247, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255\}
```

Table 9: Maximal centralising monoids  $\{f_i\}' := \{f_i\}^{*(1)}$  with majority witnesses  $f_i$ , second part (for the index i refer to Table 5, the operations  $s = u_n \in \{f_i\}'$  are coded by integers  $n = \sum_{j=0}^{3} s(j)4^{3-j}$ )