

Unsupervised Deep Persistent Monocular Visual Odometry and Depth Estimation in Extreme Environments

Yasin Almalioglu^{1,2}, Angel Santamaria-Navarro², Benjamin Morrell², and Ali-akbar Agha-mohammadi²

Abstract—In recent years, unsupervised deep learning approaches have received significant attention to estimate the depth and visual odometry (VO) from unlabelled monocular image sequences. However, their performance is limited in challenging environments due to perceptual degradation, occlusions and rapid motions. Moreover, the existing unsupervised methods suffer from the lack of scale-consistency constraints across frames, which causes that the VO estimators fail to provide persistent trajectories over long sequences. In this study, we propose an unsupervised monocular deep VO framework that predicts six-degrees-of-freedom pose camera motion and depth map of the scene from unlabelled RGB image sequences. We provide detailed quantitative and qualitative evaluations of the proposed framework on a) a challenging dataset collected during the DARPA Subterranean challenge¹; and b) the benchmark KITTI and Cityscapes datasets. The proposed approach outperforms both traditional and state-of-the-art unsupervised deep VO methods providing better results for both pose estimation and depth recovery. The presented approach is part of the solution used by the COSTAR team participating at the DARPA Subterranean Challenge.

I. INTRODUCTION

Autonomous robot traversal and 3D structure reconstruction capabilities have a wide variety of applications in extreme environments, such as autonomous driving [1]; search and rescue in emergency responses [2]; inspection of underground habitats [3], [4]; or planetary surface exploration [5], [6]. The ability to estimate ego-motion and the scene map is critical to enable these capabilities. In this sense, vision-based solutions for localization and 3D structure reconstruction are prevailing thanks to the camera characteristics, being low cost; with low weight and low power consumption; and offering reasonably rich exteroceptive information.

Camera motion estimation and depth map reconstruction are fundamental and well-studied problems in computer vision. Many traditional techniques have been proposed in the last decade, achieving reasonably good results [7]–[11]. However, they are usually committed to finding accurate image correspondences between consecutive frames, which

is a frequently violated condition in challenging environments. For instance, such matching can only be established for a subset of all pixels, which leaves the problem of estimating ill-posed depth. These scenarios typically involve off-nominal conditions such as perceptual degradation; variable lighting conditions; non-Lambertian surfaces or variable surface colors and textures; potential presence of obscurants (e.g., fog, smoke, dust or water puddles); and physical obstructions within the field-of-view [12], [13].

Following the success of deep learning in different domains, recent approaches solve the ill-posed depth estimation by using data-driven techniques. Even if the data is insufficient to resolve ambiguities, deep networks can estimate the camera pose and depth maps by generalizing from prior examples they have learned [14], [15]. In this sense, supervised deep-learning-based methods have shown good performance, successfully alleviating issues such as scale drift, which affects traditional feature extraction and parameter tuning [16]–[19]. Eigen et al. [20] show that a Convolution Neural Network (CNN) can predict the depth map from a single image using the ground truth depths acquired by range sensors. Although the supervised approaches [20]–[22] show high-quality motion and depth estimation results, the acquisition of ground truth can be either impractical or even impossible in real-world scenes.

In recent years, unsupervised deep learning approaches have achieved remarkable results, comparable to those from supervised techniques [23]–[29]. Unsupervised approaches allow learning from raw camera frames alone, without the need for supervision signals (e.g., depth sensors) and the trained networks are able to infer a depth map from a single image and ego-motion from consecutive images. SfM-Learner [23] is among the first unsupervised methods that jointly learn camera motion and depth estimation. Geonet [30] and Ranjan et al. [31] incorporate optical flow into the joint unsupervised training framework. SC-SfM [32] enforces depth consistency to solve the scale inconsistency issue in SfM-Learner [23].

Although existing unsupervised learning methods provide state-of-the-art performance, their estimations are still limited in challenging environments. Some visual degradation might violate their underlying frame correspondence assumptions that use geometric image reconstruction. Further, and more importantly, recent works suffer from the per-frame scale ambiguity due to the lack of a single and consistent scaling of the camera motion. As a result, the ego-motion network cannot predict a full camera trajectory over a long image sequence. Multiple approaches propose to disconnect the

¹Y. Almalioglu is with the Computer Science Department, The University of Oxford, UK. {yasin.almalioglu}@cs.ox.ac.uk

²Y. Almalioglu, A. Santamaria-Navarro, B. Morrell and A. Agha-mohammadi are with the NASA - Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, US. {yasin.almalioglu, angel.santamaria.navarro, benjamin.morrell, aliakbar.ghamohammadi}@jpl.nasa.gov

This work was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Copyright 2020 California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

¹<https://www.subtchallenge.com>

geometric constraints from the unsupervised architecture to handle occlusions in optical flow estimation [33], [34]. On the other hand, differentiable mesh rendering [35], [36] offers an alternative geometric approach to handle occlusions. In the context of joint learning of depth recovery and ego-motion estimation, several works propose a learned explainability mask [23] by penalizing the minimum re-projection loss between the frames or use optical flow [37] to solve occlusion problems. Gordon et al. [38] propose a geometric method for occlusion handling. Drawing inspiration of some of these methods, we address both the occlusion problem and scale ambiguity across frames without incurring a substantial additional computational cost.

In this study, we propose a novel monocular visual odometry estimation and depth recovery approach that can operate in challenging environments, able to produce persistent results over a long duration. We train an unsupervised deep neural network that takes a sequence of monocular images and estimates 6-Degrees-of-Freedom (DoF) camera motion and the depth map. Similar to [29], [39], [40], we utilize for the training a view reconstruction approach as part of the objective function. The entire pose estimation and depth map reconstruction pipeline is a persistent framework thanks to the occlusion-aware and scale-aware objectives imposed during the optimization of the network.

In summary, the main contributions of our method are the following:

- Two new loss functions to tackle the problems of occlusions and trajectory scale. Further, we describe the total loss function to incorporate them into the unsupervised architecture. These contributions alleviate the need for separate networks to handle occlusions and scale-ambiguity across frames.
- A novel depth enhancement technique for unsupervised depth reconstruction methods, which enable the generation of depth images in challenging environments.

These contributions enable long-duration operations in perceptually degraded environments, which to the best of authors' knowledge, is the first unsupervised deep-learning approach to estimate the camera (robot) odometry while reconstructing the depth map using images from a monocular camera.

To validate the proposed approach, we evaluate it on the KITTI [41] and Cityscapes [42] datasets as benchmarks for comparative analysis with other state-of-the-art methods. This evaluation criterion has been widely accepted in the robotics community in recent years. This approach is part of the state estimation framework developed by the team CoSTAR² for the DARPA Subterranean Challenge³. Hence, we also show results on a dataset from the NASA-JPL, California Institute of Technology, with images captured by a Husky Clearpath⁴ robot under perception-challenging conditions, during the exploration of the underground urban

circuit of the DARPA Challenge.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the proposed approach, with detailed descriptions of the architecture and its mathematical background. Section III shows our quantitative and qualitative results with comparisons to the existing methods in the literature. Finally, Section IV briefly discusses the findings and concludes the study.

II. UNSUPERVISED DEPTH AND POSE ESTIMATION

A. Architecture Overview

The proposed architecture is based on unsupervised deep learning to learn ego-motion and depth from monocular image sequences jointly. The raw RGB sequences, consisting of a target and source views, are stacked together to form an input batch to the multi-view pose estimation and depth recovery modules. The motion-prediction network predicts a motion of every pixel with respect to the background and a residual translation field to account for moving objects. In parallel, a second network generates a depth map of the target view. The view reconstruction module reconstructs the target image using the predicted depth map, estimated 6-DoF camera pose and nearby colour values from source images. In this architecture, a) we impose scale-consistency across consecutive frames through a geometry consistent loss function; b) we estimate occlusions geometrically, based on the estimated depth maps to apply this loss only in non-occluded pixels; c) we regularize motion fields based on residual translations that indicate which pixels might belong to moving objects; and d) we include other state-of-the-art loss functions to handle dissimilarity or edge-aware smoothness in a total loss function. Furthermore, e) we introduce spatial-channel combinational attention into geometry understanding to explore the effectiveness of self-attention for scene geometry understanding. This architecture is shown in Fig. 1 and its details are explained hereafter.

B. Networks

We rely on two convolutional networks based on the ResNet-18 model [43], one predicting depth from a single image, and the other predicting ego-motion and the motion field relative to the scene, using three input images.

a) Depth Network: The first part of the architecture is a depth network that recovers a single-view depth map of the target frame. The depth prediction network uses a UNet architecture and a softplus activation ($z = \log(1 + e^\ell)$) to convert the logits (ℓ) to depth values (z). We embed depth enhancement modules (DE) into both encoder and decoder sub-networks, which re-calibrate depth features and can produce more useful and important features to capture fine details in the scene.

Depth Enhancement Module: Given the original feature map $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_c\}$, where c is the number of channels, the DE module produces a channel attention map A_c and a spatial attention map A_s to refine F as shown in Fig. 2. The max-pooling and average-pooling operations aggregate the global information of input features. Then, we feed these

²<https://costar.jpl.nasa.gov>

³<https://subtchallenge.com>

⁴<https://clearpathrobotics.com>




Fig. 1: Proposed unsupervised deep-learning architecture for pose estimation and depth map generation. The spatial dimensions on layers and output channels show the tensor shapes that flow through the network. The depth network generates a depth map from a single input image, using our depth enhancement module (DE). The pose network estimates a background and a residual motion field of the given three consecutive frames. The network is optimized using scale-aware and occlusion-aware loss functions along with photometric and smoothness losses.




Fig. 2: Architecture of the depth enhancement module.

two features F^{max} and F^{avg} into a fully-connected layer with one hidden layer to recover the original channel size.

b) Pose Network: The second network shown in the bottom of Fig. 1 tries to estimate relative pose $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{SE}(3)$ introduced by motion fields across frames. The motion estimation network is a UNet architecture based on FlowNet [15]. A stack of pose encoder and decoder sub-networks predicts the global rotation angles (r_0) and the global translation vector (t_0) that represent the movement of the entire scene with respect to the camera due to ego-motion. The decoder layers progressively refine the translation, from a single vector to a residual translation vector field $\delta t(x, y)$. The translation field is defined as the sum of the global translation vector plus the masked residual translation:

$$t(x, y) = t_0 + m(x, y)\delta t(x, y), \quad (1)$$

where $m(x, y)$ equals one at pixels that could belong to mobile objects and zero otherwise as described in Sec. II-C.

C. Loss functions

a) Occlusion-aware loss: When the camera and the scene move relatively to each other, points in the scene that are visible in one frame may become occluded in another. The cross-frame consistency cannot be enforced on the occluded pixels by a loss. Given a depth map and a motion field in one frame, we geometrically detect where occlusions occur, and exclude the occluded areas from the consistency loss. The occlusion-aware loss re-projects the depth values onto the camera frame and detects if the depth value on the re-projected is visible. Gordon et al. [38] propose to asymmetrically choose source points that land in front of the depth map in the target frame. However, the projected points at the occluded areas need interpolation that can fall into a region instead of specific locations. We propose to choose points that fall within the neighborhood distance d_n of the occluded area. We also choose points that not only fall in front of the target map but also behind it to obtain a symmetric mask, which eliminates unnecessary reversed source-target depth computation.

b) Scale-aware loss: Given source and target depth maps D_a and D_b , and the relative pose P_{ab} , we minimize the difference between the re-projected 3D scene structure:

$$D_{\text{diff}}(p) = \frac{|D_b^a(p) - D_b'(p)|}{D_b^a(p) + D_b'(p)} \quad (2)$$

where D_b^a is the computed depth map of I_b by warping D_a using P_{ab} ; and D_b' is the re-projected depth map from D_b . This optimization imposes a scale consistency constraint in

Methods	Seq. 09		Seq. 10	
	t_{err} (%)	r_{err} (°/100m)	t_{err} (%)	r_{err} (°/100m)
ORB-SLAM [48]	15.30	0.26	3.68	0.48
Zhou et al. [23]	17.84	6.78	37.91	17.78
Zhan et al. [40]	11.93	3.91	12.45	3.46
GANVO [29]	11.52	3.53	11.60	5.17
SC-SFM [32]	11.2	3.35	10.1	4.96
Ours	10.87	3.14	8.91	4.45

TABLE I: Visual odometry results on KITTI [41] odometry dataset. We report the performance of ORB-SLAM [48] as a reference to compare with state-of-the-art deep learning methods.

the entire sequence as previously shown by Bian et al. [32] using a point-wise distance across all pixels. Unlike [32] that uses an occlusion mask based on the depth difference, we geometrically handle the occluded areas as explained in Sec. II-C, which is more sensitive to fine details in the depth map (see Fig. 5 for example results). With the scale-aware training of the network, the pose network predicts globally scale-consistent trajectories even in challenging environments.

c) *Total loss*: Previous works [23], [30], [31], [44] leveraged the brightness constancy and spatial smoothness priors proposed in [45], and have showed how the photometric error between the warped and the target frames is effective in an unsupervised loss function to optimize the network. We apply an occlusion-aware L1 loss for the photometric error due to its robustness to outliers. In addition, we impose occlusion-aware cycle consistency for the predicted motion fields. We require that the inverse motion field is the opposite of the inverse motion. We add an additional image dissimilarity loss SSIM [46] to handle the varying ambient lighting in a complex environment as it normalizes the pixel illumination. Finally, we include the edge-aware smoothness loss used in [31] to compensate for the inferior performance of the photometric loss in low-texture and non-homogeneous regions:

$$L_s = \sum_p (e^{-\nabla I_a(p)} \cdot \nabla D_a(p))^2, \quad (3)$$

where ∇ is the first derivative along spatial directions, which guides the smoothness by the edge of images.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We implemented our unsupervised architecture with the publicly available Tensorflow framework [47]. We optimized the weights of the network using Adam optimization with the parameters $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.999$, learning rate of 0.001 and mini-batch size of 8. We used sequential images of size 416×256 as the input tensors of the model. We trained the model on an NVIDIA TITAN V model GPU.

The validation of the proposed approach is two fold. On the one hand we use the KITTI [1] and the Cityscapes [42] datasets for benchmarking, where we compare our method with standard training/test splits for the odometry and monocular depth map estimation tasks. Second, we evaluate our method on a perception-challenging dataset recorded during the DARPA Subterranean Challenge, in order to prove




Fig. 3: Relative pose errors for the subterranean dataset, using different segment lengths ($\{7, 14, 21, 28, 35\}$ m) based on the shortest sequence throughout the whole trajectory in multiple segments (in total: 1h run and 2km traversed), where our proposed approach (DPVO) outperforms existing deep-learning state-of-art methods.

the effectiveness of our architecture for both depth map reconstruction and pose estimation over long sequences in complex environments. This subterranean dataset was gathered during the DARPA competition during an autonomous exploration of an underground environment in the Satsop Nuclear Plant, Elma, Washington.

A. Pose estimation benchmark

We evaluated the ego-motion prediction performance on the standard KITTI visual odometry split. Specifically, the KITTI sequences 09-10. In this sense, the standard 5-point Absolute Trajectory Error (ATE) metric [23], [30], [49] measures local agreement between the estimated trajectories and the respective ground truth. However, we believe that in this case, a relative pose error metric is better suited to measure the drift of an odometry system [38], [40], [50], [51]. Thus, we show statistics for the relative translation and rotation error, divided by the distance travelled and averaged over the trajectory segments of lengths $\{7, 14, 21, 28, 35\}$ m over all sequences based on the shortest sequence. Table I summarizes both metrics. As shown in Table I, the proposed method




Fig. 4: Two sample trajectories from the statistical analysis using the dataset recorded by the COSTAR team during the DARPA Subterranean Challenge. Here, we compare our unsupervised learning method (DPVO) with a very accurate LIDAR odometry [53] and a less-accurate wheel-inertial odometry, as ground-truth estimates are not available for this environment. DPVO is more resistant to drifts than wheel-inertial odometry, achieving performances comparable to those from the LIDAR odometry, in both rotational and translational motions.

outperforms all the competing unsupervised baselines on the KITTI sequences 09-10, without any need for global optimization steps such as loop closure detection, bundle adjustment and re-localization, revealing that our method persistently predicts ego-motion over long sequences. Since most of the compared methods are monocular approaches and lack a scaling factor to match with real-world scale, we scaled and aligned (7DoF optimization) the predictions to the ground truth associated poses during the evaluation by minimizing ATE [52].

Furthermore, we evaluated our approach on our challenging subterranean dataset (DARPA Challenge) the standard analysis criteria to show how it persistently estimates the ego-motion over a long duration in complex environments. Fig. 3 shows the results of analyzing sub-sequences of lengths




Fig. 5: Samples of monocular depth estimation results on the KITTI dataset for qualitative comparison of the unsupervised methods. Our DPVO captures details in challenging scenes that contain occlusions and uneven road lines. Some examples of these important differences are highlighted with dashed boxes.

{7,14,21,28,35} m and reports the average translational error $t_{err}(\%)$ and rotational errors $r_{err}(^\circ/100m)$. As seen in Fig. 3, our approach outperforms state-of-the-art methods in terms of both average translational error $t_{err}(\%)$ and rotational errors $r_{err}(^\circ/100m)$. Moreover, to validate the usefulness of the approach we present in Fig. 4 two sample sequences (two evaluated segments) from this subterranean dataset. In this case, we compare our approach (DPVO) with a highly accurate LIDAR odometry (360° field-of-view) [53] and (less-accurate) wheel-inertial odometry as baselines. The sequence in Fig. 4a has 208.14 m length, which shows the odometry estimation performance of DPVO over long subterranean sequences. The sequence in Fig. 4b has 54.40 m length and contains complex camera motions, proving that DPVO is resistant to abrupt motions.

B. Single-view depth evaluation

Our proposed approach produces (and in most cases improves) state-of-the-art results on single view depth predictions, as shown in Table II. Here, the depth is evaluated on the Eigen et al. [20] split of the raw KITTI dataset [41] following the previous works [20], [27], [30], [54]. As shown in Table II, our method outperforms the other competitors [29], [31], [32] on several benchmarks. Previous works in the literature [29], [32], [38] proved that transfer learning from Cityscapes dataset to KITTI is beneficial and leads to more accurate depth estimation; thus we include CS+K benchmark in this work to compare cross-dataset generalizability of our DPVO. DPVO significantly improves the performance on depth estimation benchmarks using Cityscapes in the training (see CS+K in Table II).

Figure 5 shows examples of depth map results predicted by our DPVO and SC-SFM methods along with the RGB input and ground-truth. We highlight the notable differences with SC-SFM, which fails to capture distant objects in the scene. Furthermore, Fig. 5 also shows that the depth maps predicted by the proposed DPVO capture the small

Methods	Dataset	Error ↓				Accuracy ↑		
		AbsRel	SqRel	RMS	RMSlog	< 1.25	< 1.25 ²	< 1.25 ³
Zhou et al. [23]	K	0.208	1.768	6.856	0.283	0.678	0.885	0.957
Mahjourian et al. [27]	K	0.163	1.240	6.220	0.250	0.762	0.916	0.968
Geonet [30]	K	0.155	1.296	5.857	0.233	0.793	0.931	0.973
DF-Net [44]	K	0.150	1.124	5.507	0.223	0.806	0.933	0.973
CC [31]	K	0.140	1.070	5.326	0.217	0.826	0.941	0.975
GANVO [29]	K	0.150	1.141	5.448	0.216	0.808	0.939	0.975
SC-SFM [32]	K	0.137	1.089	5.439	0.217	0.830	0.942	0.975
Ours	K	0.127	1.077	5.312	0.214	0.835	0.941	0.975
Zhou et al. [23]	CS+K	0.198	1.836	6.565	0.275	0.718	0.901	0.960
Mahjourian et al. [27]	CS+K	0.159	1.231	5.912	0.243	0.784	0.923	0.970
Geonet [30]	CS+K	0.153	1.328	5.737	0.232	0.802	0.934	0.972
DF-Net [44]	CS+K	0.146	1.182	5.215	0.213	0.818	0.943	0.978
CC [31]	CS+K	0.139	1.032	5.199	0.213	0.827	0.943	0.977
GANVO [29]	CS+K	0.138	1.155	4.412	0.232	0.820	0.939	0.976
SC-SFM [32]	CS+K	0.128	1.047	5.234	0.208	0.846	0.947	0.976
Ours	CS+K	0.122	1.039	5.184	0.208	0.851	0.948	0.976
CC [31]	SubT	0.214	1.486	6.280	0.284	0.713	0.912	0.952
GANVO [29]	SubT	0.190	1.391	5.899	0.266	0.746	0.920	0.962
SC-SFM [32]	SubT	0.175	1.309	5.772	0.260	0.765	0.925	0.964
Ours	SubT	0.149	1.338	5.484	0.229	0.792	0.935	0.969

TABLE II: Monocular single-view depth estimation results, testing on the odometry split of KITTI dataset [41]. The methods trained on KITTI raw [41] and the DARPA subterranean datasets are denoted by K and SubT, respectively. Models with pre-training on CityScapes [42] are denoted by CS+K. The best performance in each block is highlighted with bold font.




Fig. 6: Qualitative comparison of two unsupervised monocular depth estimation methods on the challenging DARPA subterranean dataset. The stereo depth output of the Intel RealSense camera (D435i model) is shown for visual comparison purposes. Our DPVO captures details in challenging scenes containing low textured areas, poorly illuminated regions, and with strong occlusions, preserving accurate and detailed depth map predictions both in close and distant regions.

objects in the scene, whereas the other methods tend to ignore them. Most importantly, as shown in the bottom rows of Table II (quantitatively) and in Fig. 6 (qualitatively), our unsupervised approach significantly outperforms state-of-the-art methods in challenging scenarios. The proposed DPVO also accurately predicts the depth values of the objects in low-textured areas caused by the perceptual degradation in a scene. A simple loss function on the depth map without handling occlusions leads to averaging all likely locations of details, whereas the depth enhancement modules in feature space with a natural depth prior and geometric loss constraints make the proposed DPVO more sensitive to the likely positions of the details in the scene.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we proposed an unsupervised deep learning method for pose and depth map estimation using monocular image sequences. This work addresses critical challenges for unsupervised learning of depth and visual odometry through geometric occlusion-aware and scale-aware loss functions as well as depth enhancement modules. The proposed method outperforms all the competing unsupervised and traditional baselines in terms of pose estimation and depth map reconstruction by a significant margin in challenging environments. As a path forward, we plan to explicitly address optical flow in order to improve the performance in such perception-challenging environments.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Geiger, P. Lenz, and R. Urtasun, "Are we ready for autonomous driving? the kitti vision benchmark suite," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 3354–3361.
- [2] K. Nagatani, S. Kiribayashi, Y. Okada, K. Otake, K. Yoshida, S. Tadokoro, T. Nishimura, T. Yoshida, E. Koyanagi, M. Fukushima *et al.*, "Emergency response to the nuclear accident at the fukushima daiichi nuclear power plants using mobile rescue robots," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 44–63, 2013.
- [3] A. Santamaria-Navarro, R. Thakker, D. D. Fan, B. Morrell, and A. Agha-mohammadi, "Towards resilient autonomous navigation of drones," 2020.
- [4] K. Ebadi, Y. Chang, M. Palieri, A. Stephens, A. Hatteland, E. Heiden, A. Thakur, N. Funabiki, B. Morrell, S. Wood *et al.*, "Lamp: Large-scale autonomous mapping and positioning for exploration of perceptually-degraded subterranean environments," in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 80–86.
- [5] A. Agha, K. Mitchell, and P. Boston, "Robotic exploration of planetary subsurface voids in search for life," *AGUFM*, vol. 2019, pp. P41C–3463, 2019.
- [6] T. Sasaki, K. Otsu, R. Thakker, S. Haesaert, and A.-a. Agha-mohammadi, "Where to map? iterative rover-copter path planning for mars exploration," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 2123–2130, 2020.
- [7] R. A. Newcombe, S. J. Lovegrove, and A. J. Davison, "Dtam: Dense tracking and mapping in real-time," in *Computer Vision (ICCV), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 2320–2327.
- [8] G. Klein and D. Murray, "Parallel tracking and mapping for small ar workspaces," in *Mixed and Augmented Reality, 2007. ISMAR 2007. 6th IEEE and ACM International Symposium on*. IEEE, 2007, pp. 225–234.
- [9] Y. Furukawa, B. Curless, S. M. Seitz, and R. Szeliski, "Towards internet-scale multi-view stereo," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2010 IEEE Conference on*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 1434–1441.
- [10] M. Turan, Y. Y. Pilavci, I. Ganiyusufoglu, H. Araujo, E. Konukoglu, and M. Sitti, "Sparse-then-dense alignment-based 3d map reconstruction method for endoscopic capsule robots," *Machine Vision and Applications*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 345–359, 2018.
- [11] Y. Almalioglu, M. Turan, C. X. Lu, N. Trigoni, and A. Markham, "Milli-rio: Ego-motion estimation with low-cost millimetre-wave radar," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 2020.
- [12] S. Davide and F. Friedrich, "Visual odometry: part i: the first 30 years and fundamentals," *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 80–92, 2011.
- [13] D. G. Lowe, "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints," *International journal of computer vision*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 91–110, 2004.
- [14] S. Wang, R. Clark, H. Wen, and N. Trigoni, "Deepvo: Towards end-to-end visual odometry with deep recurrent convolutional neural networks," in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2017 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 2043–2050.
- [15] A. Dosovitskiy, P. Fischer, E. Ilg, P. Haussner, C. Hazirbas, V. Golkov, P. Van Der Smagt, D. Cremers, and T. Brox, "Flownet: Learning optical flow with convolutional networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2015, pp. 2758–2766.
- [16] R. Clark, S. Wang, H. Wen, A. Markham, and N. Trigoni, "Vinet: Visual-inertial odometry as a sequence-to-sequence learning problem," in *AAAI*, 2017, pp. 3995–4001.
- [17] M. R. U. Saputra, P. P. de Gusmao, C. X. Lu, Y. Almalioglu, S. Rosa, C. Chen, J. Wahlström, W. Wang, A. Markham, and N. Trigoni, "Deeptio: A deep thermal-inertial odometry with visual hallucination," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1672–1679, 2020.
- [18] M. Turan, Y. Almalioglu, H. Araujo, E. Konukoglu, and M. Sitti, "Deep endovo: A recurrent convolutional neural network (rcnn) based visual odometry approach for endoscopic capsule robots," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 275, pp. 1861–1870, 2018.
- [19] M. R. U. Saputra, P. P. de Gusmao, S. Wang, A. Markham, and N. Trigoni, "Learning monocular visual odometry through geometry-aware curriculum learning," in *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 3549–3555.
- [20] D. Eigen, C. Puhrsch, and R. Fergus, "Depth map prediction from a single image using a multi-scale deep network," in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2014, pp. 2366–2374.
- [21] Y. Kuznetsov, J. Stuckler, and B. Leibe, "Semi-supervised deep learning for monocular depth map prediction," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2017, pp. 6647–6655.
- [22] F. Liu, C. Shen, G. Lin, and I. Reid, "Learning depth from single monocular images using deep convolutional neural fields," *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 2024–2039, 2015.
- [23] T. Zhou, M. Brown, N. Snavely, and D. G. Lowe, "Unsupervised learning of depth and ego-motion from video," in *CVPR*, vol. 2, no. 6, 2017, p. 7.
- [24] C. Godard, O. Mac Aodha, and G. J. Brostow, "Unsupervised monocular depth estimation with left-right consistency," in *CVPR*, vol. 2, no. 6, 2017, p. 7.
- [25] B. Ummenhofer, H. Zhou, J. Uhrig, N. Mayer, E. Ilg, A. Dosovitskiy, and T. Brox, "Demon: Depth and motion network for learning monocular stereo," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2017, pp. 5038–5047.
- [26] M. Turan, E. P. Ornek, N. Ibrahimli, C. Giracoglu, Y. Almalioglu, M. F. Yanik, and M. Sitti, "Unsupervised odometry and depth learning for endoscopic capsule robots," in *2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1801–1807.
- [27] R. Mahjourian, M. Wicke, and A. Angelova, "Unsupervised learning of depth and ego-motion from monocular video using 3d geometric constraints," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 5667–5675.
- [28] Y. Almalioglu, M. Turan, A. E. Sari, M. R. U. Saputra, P. P. de Gusmao, A. Markham, and N. Trigoni, "Selfvio: Self-supervised deep monocular visual-inertial odometry and depth estimation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.09968*, 2019.
- [29] Y. Almalioglu, M. R. U. Saputra, P. P. de Gusmao, A. Markham, and N. Trigoni, "Ganvo: Unsupervised deep monocular visual odometry and depth estimation with generative adversarial networks," in *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 5474–5480.
- [30] Z. Yin and J. Shi, "Geonet: Unsupervised learning of dense depth, optical flow and camera pose," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, vol. 2, 2018.
- [31] A. Ranjan, V. Jampani, L. Balles, K. Kim, D. Sun, J. Wulff, and M. J. Black, "Competitive collaboration: Joint unsupervised learning of depth, camera motion, optical flow and motion segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 12 240–12 249.
- [32] J. Bian, Z. Li, N. Wang, H. Zhan, C. Shen, M.-M. Cheng, and I. Reid, "Unsupervised scale-consistent depth and ego-motion learning from monocular video," in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2019, pp. 35–45.
- [33] Y. Wang, Y. Yang, Z. Yang, L. Zhao, P. Wang, and W. Xu, "Occlusion aware unsupervised learning of optical flow," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 4884–4893.
- [34] J. Janai, F. Guey, A. Ranjan, M. Black, and A. Geiger, "Unsupervised learning of multi-frame optical flow with occlusions," in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 690–706.
- [35] T. H. Nguyen-Phuoc, C. Li, S. Balaban, and Y. Yang, "Rendernet: A deep convolutional network for differentiable rendering from 3d shapes," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2018, pp. 7891–7901.
- [36] H. Kato, Y. Ushiku, and T. Harada, "Neural 3d mesh renderer," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 3907–3916.
- [37] Z. Yang, P. Wang, Y. Wang, W. Xu, and R. Nevatia, "Every pixel counts: Unsupervised geometry learning with holistic 3d motion understanding," in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 0–0.
- [38] A. Gordon, H. Li, R. Jonschkowski, and A. Angelova, "Depth from videos in the wild: Unsupervised monocular depth learning from unknown cameras," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2019, pp. 8977–8986.
- [39] R. Szeliski, "Prediction error as a quality metric for motion and stereo," in *Computer Vision, 1999. The Proceedings of the Seventh IEEE International Conference on*, vol. 2. IEEE, 1999, pp. 781–788.

- [40] H. Zhan, R. Garg, C. Saroj Weerasekera, K. Li, H. Agarwal, and I. Reid, "Unsupervised learning of monocular depth estimation and visual odometry with deep feature reconstruction," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 340–349.
- [41] A. Geiger, P. Lenz, C. Stiller, and R. Urtasun, "Vision meets robotics: The kitti dataset," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 1231–1237, 2013.
- [42] M. Cordts, M. Omran, S. Ramos, T. Rehfeld, M. Enzweiler, R. Benenson, U. Franke, S. Roth, and B. Schiele, "The cityscapes dataset for semantic urban scene understanding," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 3213–3223.
- [43] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [44] Y. Zou, Z. Luo, and J.-B. Huang, "Df-net: Unsupervised joint learning of depth and flow using cross-task consistency," in *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 36–53.
- [45] S. Baker and I. Matthews, "Lucas-kanade 20 years on: A unifying framework," *International journal of computer vision*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 221–255, 2004.
- [46] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity," *IEEE transactions on image processing*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600–612, 2004.
- [47] M. Abadi, P. Barham, J. Chen, Z. Chen, A. Davis, J. Dean, M. Devin, S. Ghemawat, G. Irving, M. Isard *et al.*, "Tensorflow: a system for large-scale machine learning," in *OSDI*, vol. 16, 2016, pp. 265–283.
- [48] R. Mur-Artal, J. M. M. Montiel, and J. D. Tardos, "Orb-slam: a versatile and accurate monocular slam system," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1147–1163, 2015.
- [49] V. Casser, S. Pirk, R. Mahjourian, and A. Angelova, "Unsupervised learning of depth and ego-motion: A structured approach," in *Thirty-Third AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-19)*, vol. 2, 2019, p. 7.
- [50] T. Qin, P. Li, and S. Shen, "Vins-mono: A robust and versatile monocular visual-inertial state estimator," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1004–1020, 2018.
- [51] S. Leutenegger, S. Lynen, M. Bosse, R. Siegwart, and P. Furgale, "Keyframe-based visual-inertial odometry using nonlinear optimization," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 314–334, 2015.
- [52] S. Umeyama, "Least-squares estimation of transformation parameters between two point patterns," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence*, no. 4, pp. 376–380, 1991.
- [53] M. Palieri, B. Morrell, A. Thakur, K. Ebadi, J. Nash, L. Carlone, C. Guaragnella, and A. Aga-mohammadi, "LOCUS - LiDAR odometry for consistent operation in uncertain settings," *Under review at IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*. July 2020.
- [54] F. Liu, C. Shen, G. Lin, and I. D. Reid, "Learning depth from single monocular images using deep convolutional neural fields," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 2024–2039, 2016.