

Imaging the coupling between itinerant electrons and localised moments in the centrosymmetric skyrmion magnet GdRu_2Si_2

Yuuki Yasui^{1,*}, Christopher J. Butler^{1,*}, Nguyen Duy Khanh^{1,8}, Satoru Hayami^{2,3}, Takuya Nomoto², Tetsuo Hanaguri^{1,*}, Yukitoshi Motome², Ryotaro Arita^{1,2}, Taka-hisa Arima^{1,4}, Yoshinori Tokura^{1,2,5}, & Shinichiro Seki^{2,6,7}

¹*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

²*Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

³*Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0810, Japan*

⁴*Department of Advanced Materials Science, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan*

⁵*Tokyo College, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

⁶*PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Kawaguchi 332-0012, Japan*

⁷*Institute of Engineering Innovation, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

⁸*Present address: Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Chiba, 277-8581, Japan*

October 11, 2021

Abstract

Magnetic skyrmions were thought to be stabilised only in inversion-symmetry breaking structures, but skyrmion lattices were recently discovered in inversion symmetric Gd-based compounds, spurring questions of the stabilisation mechanism. A natural consequence of a recent theoretical proposal, a coupling between itinerant electrons and localised magnetic moments, is that the skyrmions are amenable to detection using even non-magnetic probes such as spectroscopic-imaging scanning tunnelling microscopy (SI-STM). Here SI-STM observations of GdRu_2Si_2 reveal patterns in the local density of states that indeed vary with the underlying magnetic structures. These patterns are qualitatively reproduced by model calculations which assume exchange coupling between itinerant electrons and localised moments. These findings provide a clue to understand the skyrmion formation mechanism in GdRu_2Si_2 .

Introduction

Magnetic skyrmions are topologically-protected swirling spin structures which have been observed in inversion-symmetry breaking structures, in which they are stabilised by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI)¹⁻⁶. Recently discovered skyrmion lattices in inversion symmetric crystals⁷⁻⁹ have been proposed to be stabilised instead by geometrical frustration^{10,11}, or by multiple-spin interactions involving itinerant electrons¹²⁻¹⁶. The latter mechanism can be expected to apply in GdRu_2Si_2 , as it has a tetragonal structure belonging to the space group $I4/mmm$ [Fig. 1(a)], in which geometrical frustration should be absent. The multiple-spin interactions have been theoretically argued to be mediated by itinerant electrons^{13,14}, but experimental support is so far lacking.

GdRu₂Si₂ hosts a variety of magnetic orders^{17–19}, whose localised moments are provided by Gd 4*f*⁷ orbitals, and its itinerant electrons mostly come from Ru 4*d* orbitals with minor contributions from Si 3*p* and Gd 5*d* orbitals²⁰. Very recently, resonant X-ray scattering (RXS) and Lorentz transmission electron microscopy experiments have revealed the details of the magnetic structures of the Gd moments, including the square skyrmion lattice, under magnetic field applied parallel to the *c*-axis⁹ [Fig. 1(b)]. In this compound, the magnetic modulation vector $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{mag}} = (0.22, 0, 0)$ is observed to be common to all magnetic phases. At low magnetic field (Phase I), a screw-like spin texture is realised. In a narrow range between 2.1 T and 2.6 T (Phase II), the double-*Q* square skyrmion lattice is stabilised, where the magnetic structure can be approximately described by the superposition of two screw spin structures with orthogonally arranged magnetic modulation vectors. At higher magnetic field (Phase III), a fan structure has been proposed while it has not been concluded whether this Phase III is a single-*Q* or double-*Q* state. Magnetic moments are fully polarised (FP) above around 10 T (FP phase).

When itinerant electrons are involved in the formation of the magnetic orders, the relevant coupling between the itinerant electrons and localised magnetic moments may enable the detection of information about the magnetic structure through the charge channel. To experimentally verify and gain insight into such coupling, we performed spectroscopic-imaging scanning tunnelling microscopy (SI-STM) measurements on GdRu₂Si₂. These revealed that the local density of states (LDOS) forms characteristic spatial patterns that vary in accordance with magnetic structures, evidencing the intimate coupling between itinerant electrons and localised magnetic moments. The observed LDOS patterns clarify that not only Phase II but also Phase III hosts a double-*Q* structure.

These patterns are reasonably reproduced by a model calculation which assumes exchange coupling between itinerant electrons and localised magnetic moments.

Results

We inspected multiple cleaved surfaces and observed two types of termination as shown in Figs. 1(c) and (d). One of the terminations showed a clear atomic lattice with the lattice constant corresponding to either Gd-Gd or Si-Si in-plane distance [Fig. 1(c)]. This suggested that cleavage occurred between Gd and Si layers. Atomic corrugations were hardly seen on the other termination surface even with the identical scanning tip [Fig. 1(d)]. Among seven samples we investigated, we did not observe any surface with atomic corrugations corresponding to Ru-Ru lattice spacing.

Figures 1(e) and (f) show that two types of surfaces exhibit different tunnelling conductance dI/dV spectra, which are taken at surfaces shown in Figs. 1(c) and (d), respectively. Here, I is tunnelling current, and V is sample bias voltage. To identify the termination, the observed dI/dV spectra, which are proportional to the LDOS at given tip height, are compared with first-principle calculations. The calculations are performed based on the density functional theory (DFT) for slab systems, where we assume collinear ferromagnetic order. The overall correspondence allows us to assign the termination of Fig. 1(c) to Si, and that of Fig. 1(d) to Gd. Hereafter, we will discuss the Si-terminated surface since the atomic and electronic modulations are more clearly observed for this surface. Additionally, the Gd-terminated surface shows properties different from those expected from the bulk behaviour, which may be induced by surface effects (see Supplementary Note 1).




Fig. 1 | Basic properties of GdRu_2Si_2 . **a**, Crystal structure of GdRu_2Si_2 . **b**, Magnetic phase diagram for magnetic field H applied parallel to the c -axis. Data points shown with red circles and blue squares were obtained using H and T dependence of the magnetisation, respectively⁹. Schematics of the magnetic structures are depicted in insets. **c** and **d**, Constant-current topographic images of Si-terminated and Gd-terminated surfaces, respectively, at $T = 1.5$ K and $\mu_0 H = 0$ T. The setup sample bias voltage was $V_s = 100$ mV, and tunnelling current was $I_s = 100$ pA. Scale bar 2 nm. **e** and **f**, Spatially averaged conductance spectra for Si-terminated and Gd-terminated surfaces, respectively. The setup condition is $V_s = 500$ mV and $I_s = 100$ pA. The bias modulation amplitude was $V_{\text{mod}} = 10$ mV. Calculated LDOS spectra for collinear ferromagnetic order at a tip position 5 \AA above the surface are also shown and vertically shifted for clarity.

To investigate the impact of the magnetic order on the charge channel, SI-STM is performed in a magnetic field range that covers all of the magnetic phases at low temperature. For the spectroscopic imaging, full $I(V)$ and $\frac{dI(V)}{dV}$ curves were recorded at each pixel to obtain spatial dependence and bias dependence simultaneously. We analyse normalised conductance maps $L(\mathbf{r}, E = eV) \equiv \frac{dI(\mathbf{r}, V)}{dV} / \frac{I(\mathbf{r}, V)}{V}$ instead of raw conductance maps $\frac{dI(\mathbf{r}, V)}{dV}$ to suppress artifacts from the constant-current feedback loop^{21,22}. Here, \mathbf{r} is lateral position, and e is the elementary charge. We begin our discussion from Phase II, which is identified as the square skyrmion lattice phase. Figures 2(a) and (b) show a constant-current topograph and a $L(\mathbf{r}, E = -20 \text{ meV})$ map in the same field of view, respectively. In the $L(\mathbf{r}, E)$ map, a four-fold symmetric superstructure with a period of 1.9 nm is observed. This period corresponds to that of the skyrmion lattice previously determined using RXS⁹. Therefore, we infer that the pattern of the skyrmion lattice is imprinted in the LDOS of itinerant electrons. As shown in Fig. 2(c), Fourier analysis clarifies periodic components in the $L(\mathbf{r}, E)$ map. In addition to atomic Bragg peaks at $\mathbf{G} \equiv (1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, several modulation vectors \mathbf{Q} are observed. Modulations with the smallest $|\mathbf{Q}|$ are found at $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (0.22, 0)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2 = (0, 0.22)$. We also observed peaks at $\mathbf{Q}_1 \pm \mathbf{Q}_2$, $2\mathbf{Q}_1$, and $2\mathbf{Q}_2$. Other peaks are assigned to ‘replicas’ of these \mathbf{Q} -vectors shifted by \mathbf{G} . (see Supplementary Figure 3 for higher spatial resolution data, Supplementary Figure 4 for bias voltage dependence, and Supplementary Figure 5 for location dependence of the spectra.)

LDOS patterns in the other magnetic phases are also investigated. The electronic modulations clearly change depending on the magnetic phase, as seen in $L(\mathbf{r}, E = -20 \text{ meV})$ maps [Figs. 3(a)-(d)] and their Fourier transformed images [Figs. 3(e)-(h)]. (see Supplementary Figure 6 for raw




Fig. 2 | SI-STM results on the Si-terminated surface at $T = 1.5$ K and $\mu_0 H = 2.3$ T in Phase II (skyrmion). **a**, Constant-current topographic image. The setup condition is $V_s = 100$ mV and $I_s = 100$ pA. Scale bar 5 nm. **b**, Normalised conductance map $L(\mathbf{r}, E = -20$ meV) taken in the same field of view. $V_s = 100$ mV, $I_s = 100$ pA, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 5$ mV. Scale bar 5 nm. **c**, Fourier transform (FT) of (b). Peaks appear at $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (0.22, 0)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2 = (0, 0.22)$ (yellow circles), $\mathbf{Q}_1 \pm \mathbf{Q}_2$ (blue squares), $2\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $2\mathbf{Q}_2$ (orange diamonds), and atomic Bragg points $\mathbf{G} \equiv (1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ (red triangles). Scale bar 1 nm^{-1} .

dI/dV maps at different magnetic fields and Supplementary Figure 7 for the data indicating the robustness of the tip throughout the measurements.) In Phase I, the LDOS forms a two-fold symmetric pattern, which is composed of modulation vectors $2\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2$. In Phase III, the LDOS pattern is four-fold symmetric and is characterised by $2\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $2\mathbf{Q}_2$. While the previous spatial-averaging RXS experiments cannot distinguish a double- Q order and a multiple-domain state of single- Q order, the present real-space imaging clarifies a double- Q order is realised in Phase III. In the FP phase, all Q -vectors disappear except for atomic Bragg peaks. It should be noted that \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{Q}_2 modulations are observed only in Phase II. We discuss this point below.

To further corroborate the correspondence between the LDOS and magnetic orders, we investigate detailed magnetic-field dependence of the dI/dV spectrum. Figure 3(i) shows a series of spatially averaged dI/dV spectra for $\mu_0 H \leq 3$ T. The spectrum varies only subtly within each magnetic phase. On the other hand, it exhibits discontinuous changes across phase boundaries at 2.275 T and 2.6 T. Note that these transition fields slightly change depending on the field history. Such first-order-like transitions are consistent with the magnetic measurement⁹. They also reflect the transition between topologically trivial and non-trivial phases. Above 3 T, as shown in Fig. 3(j), the spectrum evolves continuously until it saturates in the FP phase above 10 T. The trend is clearly seen by plotting magnetic field dependence of dI/dV at a chosen energy $E = -70$ meV [Fig. 3(k)]. (see Supplementary Figure 8 for the dI/dV evolution at different energy, Supplementary Figure 9 for the data taken with decreasing field, and Supplementary Figure 10 for the same analyses for the normalised conductance.) The observed one-to-one correspondence between the LDOS and the magnetic phase indicates that itinerant electrons and localised magnetic moments are intimately




Fig. 3 | SI-STM for different magnetic phases. **a-d**, Normalised conductance maps $L(r, E = -20 \text{ meV})$ in different magnetic phases measured at 1.5 K. The images were cropped from $30 \times 30 \text{ nm}^2$ -large maps. $V_s = 100 \text{ mV}$, $I_s = 100 \text{ pA}$, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 5 \text{ mV}$. Scale bar 3 nm. **e-h**, FTs of $L(r, E = -20 \text{ meV})$ maps. **i** and **j**, Spatially averaged dI/dV curves **i** below 3 T and **j** above 3 T. A spectrum was taken every 25 mT between 2 and 2.7 T. $V_s = 100 \text{ mV}$, $I_s = 100 \text{ pA}$, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 2.5 \text{ mV}$. **k**, Magnetic field dependence of the value of dI/dV at $V = -70 \text{ mV}$.

coupled.

Let us compare the periods of observed LDOS modulations with previously reported magnetic structures⁹. In the LDOS maps, fundamental modulations of \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{Q}_2 appear only in Phase II while $2\mathbf{Q}_1$ and/or $2\mathbf{Q}_2$ show up in all the magnetic phases except for the FP phase. Namely, the LDOS takes on the period of the magnetic structure in Phase II; the LDOS period becomes a half of the magnetic period in Phase I and III. One may expect halved charge period in systems with coupled charge- and spin-density waves, where itinerant electrons host both spin and charge modulations²³. However, such a simple relation in periods does not apply for Phase II (skyrmion lattice) of GdRu_2Si_2 . The absence of \mathbf{Q}_1 modulation in Phase I ensures that the scanning tip is not magnetized due to unintentional pick-up of magnetic Gd atoms.

Discussion

In order to understand the origin of the observed LDOS modulations, we performed calculations for magnetic configurations and charge-density distributions. The magnetic configurations are obtained for an effective spin model with long-range interactions that can be originated from the coupling between the itinerant electron spins and localised spins. The Hamiltonian is given as¹⁴

$$\mathcal{H} = 2 \sum_{\nu} \left[-J \left(\sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^{\alpha\alpha} S_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^{\alpha} S_{-\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^{\alpha} \right) + \frac{K}{N} \left(\sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^{\alpha\alpha} S_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^{\alpha} S_{-\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^{\alpha} \right)^2 \right] + H \sum_i S_i^z, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}} = (S_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^x, S_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^y, S_{\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}}^z)$ is the Fourier transform of the localised spin \mathbf{S}_i treated as a classical vector with the normalisation $|\mathbf{S}_i| = 1$, and N is the system size. The Hamiltonian includes two exchange terms defined in momentum space: the bilinear exchange interaction J and the

biquadratic exchange interaction K . The wave numbers \mathbf{Q}_ν are set to be $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (\pi/3, 0)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2 = (0, \pi/3)$. We also introduce an anisotropy due to the symmetry of the tetragonal crystal structure as $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_1}^{yy} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_2}^{xx} = \gamma_1$, $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_1}^{xx} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_2}^{yy} = \gamma_2$, and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_1}^{zz} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_2}^{zz} = \gamma_3$, which selects the spiral plane. The last term in Eq. (1) represents the Zeeman coupling to an external magnetic field H . Performing the simulated annealing by means of Monte Carlo simulations for the $N = 96^2$ sites at $J = 1$, $K = 0.5$, $\gamma_1 = 0.9$, $\gamma_2 = 0.72$, and $\gamma_3 = 1$, we obtained the screw, skyrmion lattice, fan, and fully polarised states while increasing H . We show the spin configurations for each phase at $H = 0, 0.6, 0.725$, and ∞ in Figs. 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), and 4(d), respectively.

The square skyrmion lattice (Phase II) is found to be stabilized with the help of the anisotropy for the tetragonal crystal structure. Note that square skyrmion lattices in square crystal structures were not expected to be stabilized in previous reports, in which the magnetic anisotropy was not considered¹⁴. At a higher magnetic field, the calculation predicts a double- Q fan structure in Phase III, consistent with the experiments (Figs. 3c and 3g).

The charge density is calculated by considering itinerant electrons coupled with the spin textures obtained as above. The Hamiltonian is given as

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.}) + J_K \sum_i \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i, \quad (2)$$

where $c_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ ($c_{i\sigma}$) is the creation (annihilation) operator of an itinerant electron at site i and with spin σ . The first term represents the nearest-neighbour hopping of electrons. The second term represents the spin-charge coupling between the electron spin $\mathbf{s}_i = (1/2) \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{i\sigma'}$ and the underlying spin texture; $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ denotes the Pauli matrix. We set $t = J_K = 1$ and the chemical potential $\mu = -3$.

The charge density at site i , $\langle n_i \rangle = \langle \sum_{\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} \rangle$, is obtained by diagonalising the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) for each spin texture. The results and their Fourier transforms are shown in Figs. 4(e)-(l). (see Supplementary Figure 11 for the results with different chemical potentials.)

By comparing Figs. 4(a)-(d) and (e)-(h), it can be seen that charge-distribution patterns reflect the magnetic structures. This can be interpreted as follows. Since the itinerant electrons' spins are aligned with localised moments, kinetic energy of the itinerant electrons depends on the relative angle between localised magnetic moments at neighbouring sites. Thus, itinerant electrons reflect local magnetic structures. The charge modulations on the magnetic textures are qualitatively understood from the scattering process via the spin-charge coupling J_K . Within the second-order perturbation theory, the charge density at momentum \mathbf{q} is proportional to $J_K^2 \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_2} \Lambda_{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_2} (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}_2}) \delta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2}$, where $\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_2}$ is a form factor depending on the electronic structure and δ is the Kronecker delta. The nonzero $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}}$ components in each magnetic texture satisfying $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}_2} \neq 0$ explain the wave numbers \mathbf{q} for the charge modulations.

The calculated charge modulations resemble the basic features of the observed LDOS structures. The wavy modulation orthogonal to the screw structure in Phase I results in the stripe pattern in charge density [Fig. 4(e)]. $2\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $2\mathbf{Q}_2$ appear in all the magnetic phases except for the FP phase and dominate in Phase I and III [Figs. 4(i)-(l)]. This is because the local configuration of relative angles between neighbouring spins becomes almost the same every half periodicity of the magnetic modulations. In contrast, in Phase II, the angles between neighbouring spins at the skyrmion core and in between the cores are different. Therefore, \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{Q}_2 modulations appear in the charge




Fig. 4 | Magnetic and electronic structure by the model calculation. **a-d**, Calculated local magnetic moments $M(r)$ in different magnetic phases. Red (cyan) colour represents the magnetic moments pointing (anti)parallel to the magnetic field. **e-h**, Calculated charge-density patterns in different magnetic phases. The regions shown in (a)-(d) are marked with black squares. **i-l**, FTs of (e)-(h). Coloured symbols correspond to those in Fig. 2.

sector. It should be noted that the peak at $\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2$ in Phase I cannot be explained by the present model, and more advanced model may be necessary to explain this behaviour. Nevertheless, the overall good agreement between the observed and calculated spatial patterns in the double- Q states suggests that the present theoretical framework based on multiple-spin interactions well captures the physics behind the skyrmion formation in this centrosymmetric magnet.

We note that magnetic structures, including skyrmions, have also been detected with non-magnetic STM tips via the mechanisms known as the tunnelling anisotropic magnetoresistance (TAMR)^{3,24–27} and the non-collinear magnetoresistance (NCMR)^{27,28} in 3d transition metals where the magnetic structures are originated from magnetic moments carried by itinerant electrons. The TAMR effect may not explain the present observation. This is because the centre and the edges of skyrmions show different contrast in the present LDOS map, whereas spins pointing in and out of the surface should appear similarly for TAMR effect. By contrast, the observed LDOS modulations are similar to those caused by the NCMR. In the case of GdRu_2Si_2 , however, NCMR effect alone is not enough to explain the present observation because the coupling between itinerant electrons and localised moments is indispensable. Our observations evidence such a coupling, which not only allows us to access the localised moments from the charge sector but also may play a role for the itinerant-electron mediated magnetic interactions responsible for the skyrmion formation.

In conclusion, our observation of modulations of itinerant electrons associated with magnetic structures provides evidence for a coupling between itinerant electron states and local magnetic moments in the centrosymmetric skyrmion magnet GdRu_2Si_2 . The observed modulations are

reproduced by charge density calculations which consider exchange coupling between itinerant electrons and localised magnetic moments fixed by anisotropic multiple-spin interactions. We interpret that this happens because spatially varying kinetic energy of itinerant electrons reflects neighbouring configurations of Gd moments. These results together have established the basic framework of the coupling between itinerant electrons and local magnetic moments in GdRu_2Si_2 . Further theoretical and experimental investigation is required to explain the detailed features in the observed modulations (such as $\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2$ component in Phase I), which may also lead to identify the microscopic formation mechanism of the square skyrmion lattice in the absence of the DM interaction.

Methods

Sample preparation and STM measurements GdRu_2Si_2 single crystals were grown with the floating zone method⁹. The samples were cleaved in an ultra-high vacuum chamber ($\sim 10^{-10}$ Torr) at around 77 K to expose clean and flat (001) surfaces and then transferred to the microscope²⁹ without breaking vacuum. As scanning tips, tungsten wires were used after electro-chemical etching in KOH aqueous solution, followed by tuning using field ion microscopy and controlled indentation at clean Cu(111) surfaces. All the measurements were conducted at temperature $T \simeq 1.5$ K, and magnetic field was applied along the crystalline c -axis. Tunnelling conductance was measured using the standard lock-in technique with AC frequency of 617.3 Hz.

Calculation of the density of states The local density of states shown in the main text are obtained from first principles calculations for slab systems. The actual calculations are performed based on

density functional theory (DFT) with VASP code^{30,31}, where we assume a collinear ferromagnetic order. We consider the conventional cell of GdRu₂Si₂ with the experimental lattice parameters³², $a = 4.1634 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 9.6102 \text{ \AA}$, and $z_{\text{Si}} = 0.375$, and then, stack it to construct the supercell systems with eight Ru-layers. Finally, we insert a vacuum layer with 10 \AA at the edge of the slabs, and perform a surface relaxation calculation to optimize the positions of surface atoms. The LDOS spectra are calculated as the summation of partial charge densities of the Bloch states, $\sum'_{n\mathbf{k}} |\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})|^2$, where the summation $\sum'_{n\mathbf{k}}$ is restricted to $(n\mathbf{k})$ with the energy $\varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} \in [\varepsilon - \Delta, \varepsilon + \Delta]$. We employ the exchange-correlation functional proposed by Perdew *et al.*³³, $E_c = 450 \text{ eV}$ as the cutoff energy for the planewave basis set, and $N_{\mathbf{k}} = 10 \times 10 \times 1$ as the number of \mathbf{k} -points for the self-consistent calculation. In the LDOS calculations, we use a denser \mathbf{k} -mesh, $N_{\mathbf{k}} = 40 \times 40 \times 1$ and $\Delta = 25 \text{ meV}$.

References

1. Mühlbauer, S. *et al.* Skyrmion lattice in a chiral magnet. *Science* **323**, 915–919 (2009).
2. Yu, X. *et al.* Real-space observation of a two-dimensional skyrmion crystal. *Nature* **465**, 901 (2010).
3. Heinze, S. *et al.* Spontaneous atomic-scale magnetic skyrmion lattice in two dimensions. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 713 (2011).
4. Seki, S., Yu, X. Z., Ishiwata, S. & Tokura, Y. Observation of skyrmions in a multiferroic material. *Science* **336**, 198–201 (2012).

5. Nagaosa, N. & Tokura, Y. Topological properties and dynamics of magnetic skyrmions. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **8**, 899 (2013).
6. Kanazawa, N., Seki, S. & Tokura, Y. Noncentrosymmetric magnets hosting magnetic skyrmions. *J. Adv. Mater.* **29**, 1603227 (2017).
7. Kurumaji, T. *et al.* Skyrmion lattice with a giant topological hall effect in a frustrated triangular-lattice magnet. *Science* **365**, 914 (2019).
8. Hirschberger, M. *et al.* Skyrmion phase and competing magnetic orders on a breathing kagomé lattice. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5831 (2019).
9. Khanh, N. D. *et al.* Nanometric square skyrmion lattice in a centrosymmetric tetragonal magnet. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **15**, 444–449 (2020).
10. Okubo, T., Chung, S. & Kawamura, H. Multiple- q states and the skyrmion lattice of the triangular-lattice heisenberg antiferromagnet under magnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 017206 (2012).
11. Leonov, A. & Mostovoy, M. Multiply periodic states and isolated skyrmions in an anisotropic frustrated magnet. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8275 (2015).
12. Martin, I. & Batista, C. D. Itinerant electron-driven chiral magnetic ordering and spontaneous quantum hall effect in triangular lattice models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 156402 (2008).
13. Ozawa, R., Hayami, S. & Motome, Y. Zero-field skyrmions with a high topological number in itinerant magnets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 147205 (2017).

14. Hayami, S., Ozawa, R. & Motome, Y. Effective bilinear-biquadratic model for noncoplanar ordering in itinerant magnets. *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 224424 (2017).
15. Takagi, R. *et al.* Multiple-q noncollinear magnetism in an itinerant hexagonal magnet. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaau3402 (2018).
16. Hayami, S. & Motome, Y. Effect of magnetic anisotropy on skyrmions with a high topological number in itinerant magnets. *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 094420 (2019).
17. Garnier, A. *et al.* Anisotropic metamagnetism in GdRu_2Si_2 . *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **140**, 899–900 (1995).
18. Garnier, A., Gignoux, D., Schmitt, D. & Shigeoka, T. Giant magnetic anisotropy in tetragonal GdRu_2Ge_2 and GdRu_2Si_2 . *Physica B* **222**, 80–86 (1996).
19. Samanta, T., Das, I. & Banerjee, S. Comparative studies of magnetocaloric effect and magneto-transport behavior in GdRu_2Si_2 compound. *J. Appl. Phys.* **104**, 123901 (2008).
20. Nomoto, T., Koretsune, T. & Arita, R. Formation mechanism of the helical Q structure in Gd-based skyrmion materials. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 117204 (2020).
21. Feenstra, R. M., Stroscio, J. A. & Fein, A. P. Tunneling spectroscopy of the Si (111) 2×1 surface. *Surf. Sci.* **181**, 295–306 (1987).
22. Kohsaka, Y. *et al.* An intrinsic bond-centered electronic glass with unidirectional domains in underdoped cuprates. *Science* **315**, 1380–1385 (2007).

23. Zachar, O., Kivelson, S. A. & Emery, V. J. Landau theory of stripe phases in cuprates and nickelates. *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 1422–1426 (1998).
24. Bode, M. *et al.* Magnetization-direction-dependent local electronic structure probed by scanning tunneling spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 237205 (2002).
25. von Bergmann, K. *et al.* Tunneling anisotropic magnetoresistance on the atomic scale. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 134422 (2012).
26. von Bergmann, K., Menzel, M., Kubetzka, A. & Wiesendanger, R. Influence of the local atom configuration on a hexagonal skyrmion lattice. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 3280–3285 (2015).
27. Hanneken, C. *et al.* Electrical detection of magnetic skyrmions by tunnelling non-collinear magnetoresistance. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 1039 (2015).
28. Kubetzka, A., Hanneken, C., Wiesendanger, R. & von Bergmann, K. Impact of the skyrmion spin texture on magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 104433 (2017).
29. Hanaguri, T. Development of high-field STM and its application to the study on magnetically-tuned criticality $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_7$. *J. Phys. Conf.* **51**, 514–521 (2006).
30. Kresse, G. & Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 558–561 (1993).
31. Kresse, G. & Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular-dynamics simulation of the liquid-metal–amorphous-semiconductor transition in germanium. *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 14251–14269 (1994).

32. Hiebl, K., Horvath, C., Rogl, P. & Sienko, M. Magnetic properties and structural chemistry of ternary silicides (RE, Th, U) Ru₂Si₂ (RE= RARE EARTH). *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **37**, 287–296 (1983).
33. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge M. Hirschberger, K. Ishizaka, Y. Kohsaka, T. Machida, and Y. Ohigashi for discussion. This work was supported by JST CREST Grant Nos. JPMJCR16F2, JPMJCR18T2, and JPMJCR1874, by Grant-in-Aid JSPS KAKENHI Grant Nos. JP19H05824, JP19H05825, JP19H05826, JP18K13488, JP20H00349, and JP18H03685, by JST PRESTO Grant No. JPMJPR18L5, and by Asahi Glass Foundation. C.J.B. acknowledges support from RIKEN’s SPDR fellowship.

Data availability The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Code availability The codes used for this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Contributions T. H., T.-h. A., Y. T., and S. S. conceived the project. N. D. K. synthesised GdRu₂Si₂ single crystals. Y. Y., C. J. B., and T. H. carried out STM measurements and analysed the experimental data. S. H. and Y. M. carried out model calculations. T. N. and R. A. carried out LDOS calculations. Y. Y, C. J. B., S. H., T. N., T. H., and S. S. wrote the manuscript with inputs from all the authors.

Corresponding author Correspondence to Y. Y. (email: yuuki.yasui@riken.jp), C. J. B (email: christo-

pher.butler@riken.jp), and T. H. (email: hanaguri@riken.jp)

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary information SI-STM data for Gd-terminated surface and additional data supporting the main observation are presented.

Supplementary Information for
**Imaging the coupling between itinerant electrons and localised moments
in the centrosymmetric skyrmion magnet GdRu_2Si_2**

Yuuki Yasui,¹ Christopher J. Butler,¹ Nguyen Duy Khanh,¹ Satoru Hayami,^{2,3}

Takuya Nomoto,² Tetsuo Hanaguri,¹ Yukitoshi Motome,² Ryotaro Arita,^{1,2}

Taka-hisa Arima,^{1,4} Yoshinori Tokura,^{1,2,5} and Shinichiro Seki^{2,6,7}

¹*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

²*Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

³*Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0810, Japan*

⁴*Department of Advanced Materials Science,*

The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan

⁵*Tokyo College, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

⁶*PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Kawaguchi 332-0012, Japan*


⁷*Institute of Engineering Innovation, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

(Dated: October 11, 2021)


Supplementary Note 1. Spectroscopic-imaging STM on Gd-terminated surface

We conducted spectroscopic-imaging scanning tunnelling microscopy (SI-STM) experiments on the Gd-terminated surface and found that the dI/dV spectrum and the spatial distribution of the electronic state exhibit more complex behaviours than those of the Si-terminated surface. Spatially averaged dI/dV spectra are shown in Supplementary Figs. 1(a) and (b). To clarify how the spectrum changes with magnetic field, the value of dI/dV at -6 mV as a function of applied magnetic field is plotted in Supplementary Fig. 1(c). The dI/dV value develops rapidly as increasing the magnetic field and takes a kink at 1.4 T followed by a plateau. The plateau extends up to 2.2 T, which is the transition field between Phase I and II in the bulk. Then, dI/dV at -6 mV shows a dip at 2.3 T, which corresponds to Phase II. In Phase III, right above the skyrmion phase, dI/dV at -6 mV takes slightly higher value than that of the plateau and continuously decreases with increasing the field. A step decrease is observed at the boundary between Phase III and the FP phase.

The bulk magnetic phase boundaries manifest themselves as anomalies in the field dependence of the dI/dV spectrum of the Gd-terminated surface as well, even though the appearance is different from that of the Si-terminated surface. The major difference between the two terminations appears in Phase I. The spectrum varies only slightly below 2.2 T for the Si-terminated surface. In contrast, a clear kink at 1.4 T in Supplementary Fig. 1(c) suggests that the Gd-terminated surface possesses multiple magnetic states in



Supplementary Figure 1. Spatially averaged dI/dV curves of the Gd-terminated surface **a** below 3 T and **b** above 3 T measured at 1.5 K. $V_s = 120$ mV, $I_s = 100$ pA, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 3$ mV. **c**, Magnetic field dependence of the value of dI/dV at $V = -6$ mV.



Supplementary Figure 2. **a-f**, Constant-current topographic images of the Gd-terminated surface measured at 1.5 K. $V_s = 120$ mV and $I_s = 100$ pA. **g-l**, Fourier transforms of the filtered topographic images z_{filtered} . Peaks marked with yellow circles correspond to \mathbf{Q}_1 or \mathbf{Q}_2 modulations.

the bulk Phase I. This feature may originate from surface effects, because the magnetic Gd layer is directly exposed to the vacuum for the Gd termination, whereas it is sandwiched by RuSi layers for the Si-terminated surface.

We further investigated Phase I of the Gd-terminated surface by means of constant-current topographic imaging and found three different periodic structures, as shown in Supplementary Figs. 2(a)-(c). The constant-current topographic images represent the integrated local density of states (LDOS) from the Fermi energy to the setup sample bias (0 to 120 meV for these measurements) on top of surface corrugations. Since atomic corrugations are not detected, the topographs here should be governed by the spatial structures of the LDOS and indicate that the Gd-terminated surface hosts three distinct states within bulk Phase I. Topographic images for the other phases are also shown in Supplementary Figs. 2(d)-(f) for comparison.


We found that deep defects in Supplementary Fig. 2(a)-(f) dominate the Fourier-transformed images and

hide signals associated with the periodic structures. Therefore, the topographic images are filtered using the following relation before Fourier analysis.


$$z_{\text{filtered}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \begin{cases} z(\mathbf{r}) & \text{for } |z(\mathbf{r}) - z_{\text{med}}| \leq 0.3z_{\text{stdev}} \\ z_{\text{med}} & \text{for } |z(\mathbf{r}) - z_{\text{med}}| > 0.3z_{\text{stdev}}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{S1})$$

where z_{filtered} is the filtered height, z is the measured height in the topographic images, z_{med} is the median value of each topograph, z_{stdev} is the standard deviation of each topograph, and \mathbf{r} is the lateral position. Fourier transforms (FTs) of the filtered topographs are shown in Supplementary Figs. 2(g)-(l). Modulation vectors are observed at \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{Q}_2 (yellow circles), and $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q}_1 \pm \mathbf{Q}_2)$ (white arrows). The \mathbf{Q}_1 - and \mathbf{Q}_2 -related modulation vectors suggest that bulk magnetic structure plays an important role. We speculate that additional surface effects may modify the magnetic structure on the Gd-terminated surface, resulting in the multiple states within bulk Phase I. Details are yet to be investigated.


Supplementary Note 2. Additional data supporting the main observation




Supplementary Figure 3. **a**, $L(\mathbf{r}, E = -20 \text{ meV}) = \frac{dI}{dV} / \frac{I}{V}$ map with higher spatial resolution measured at $\mu_0 H = 2.3 \text{ T}$ and $T = 1.5 \text{ K}$ (Phase II, Skyrmion). No additional fine features are observed. The scan was done from bottom to top. The discontinuity at the bottom of the image shows that the skyrmion structure slides. Such motion is often observed soon after magnetic field was swept. **b**, Simultaneously measured topograph. Slides in atomic lattice was not observed. This suggests that the magnetic structures are not pinned to surface impurities. The setup sample bias voltage was $V_s = 100 \text{ mV}$, tunnelling current was $I_s = 100 \text{ pA}$, and the bias modulation amplitude was $V_{\text{mod}} = 5 \text{ mV}$. **c**, Fourier transform of (a).




Supplementary Figure 4. $L(\mathbf{r}, E) = \frac{dI}{dV} / \frac{I}{V}$ maps at different slices of bias voltages measured at $\mu_0 H = 2.3 \text{ T}$ and $T = 1.5 \text{ K}$ (Phase II, Skyrmion). The position of these Fourier spots do not depend on bias voltages while their intensity changes. $V_s = 100 \text{ mV}$, $I_s = 100 \text{ pA}$, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 5 \text{ mV}$.




Supplementary Figure 5. **a**, $L(\mathbf{r}, E = -20$ meV) map measured at $\mu_0 H = 2.3$ T and $T = 1.5$ K (Phase II, Skyrmion). Characteristic positions are marked with red circle (centre), blue triangle (side), and green square (edge). **b**, dI/dV spectra at different positions. $V_s = 100$ mV, $I_s = 100$ pA, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 5$ mV.




Supplementary Figure 6. dI/dV maps at $E = -20$ meV measured at $T = 1.5$ K. These are the same sets of data as Fig. 3 in the main text but without normalisation. The normalisation does not affect to the Fourier Q -components. $V_s = 100$ mV, $I_s = 100$ pA, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 5$ mV.




Supplementary Figure 7. Topographic images simultaneously measured with Fig. 3a-d of the main text. The impurities as well as the scanning tip did not change during the measurement. $V_s = 100$ mV and $I_s = 100$ pA.




Supplementary Figure 8. Magnetic field dependence of dI/dV at -20 mV. First-order like transitions across Phase II and continuous change in Phase III are also seen at $V = -20$ mV. This behaviour does not depend on bias voltage as presented in Fig. 3k in the main text.



Supplementary Figure 9. Magnetic field dependence of dI/dV at -70 mV for magnetic field swept down from 12 T. The transition out from Phase II is slightly shifted to lower value due to its first-order transition.



Supplementary Figure 10. **i** and **j**, Spatially averaged normalised conductance $L = \frac{dI}{dV} / \frac{I}{V}$ curves **i** below 3 T and **j** above 3 T. $V_s = 100$ mV, $I_s = 100$ pA, and $V_{\text{mod}} = 2.5$ mV. **k**, Magnetic field dependence of the value of L at $V = -70$ mV.



Supplementary Figure 11. Charge density modulations calculated for different chemical potentials μ . $\mu = -3$ is used for Fig. 4 in the main text. The Fourier Q -components do not depend on parameters we choose.