

WEAK SOLUTIONS TO THE TIME-FRACTIONAL g -BÉNARD EQUATIONS

KHALID AKHLIL, SULTANA BEN AADI, AND HICHAM MAHDIOUI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the g -Bénard equations with time-fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ in domains of \mathbb{R}^2 . This equations model, the memory-dependent heat conduction of liquids in fractal media considered in g -framework. We aim to study the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions by means of standard techniques from Navier-Stokes equations theory and fractional calculus theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega_g = \Omega_2 \times (0, g)$ where Ω_2 is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 and g is some scalar nonnegative function. We introduce the following time-fractional g -Bénard equations of the following form:

$$\partial_t^\alpha u + (u \cdot \nabla) u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = \xi \theta + f_1(t)$$

$$\nabla \cdot gu = 0$$

$$\partial_t^\alpha \theta + (u \cdot \nabla) \theta - \kappa \Delta \theta = f_2(t)$$

where u is the fluid velocity, p is the pressure, θ is the temperature, f_1 is the external force function, f_2 is the heat source function, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a constant vector, ν the kinematic viscosity and κ the thermal diffusivity are positive constants. The derivative of order α is considered in the Caputo sense. The time-fractional g -Bénard problem consists in a system that couples time-fractional Navier-Stokes equations and time-fractional advection-diffusion heat equation in order to model a memory-dependent convection in a fluid considered in a fractal media.

The introduction of time-fractional derivative in fluid dynamics goes back to Lions in [17] but for order less than $\frac{1}{4}$ provided the space dimension is not further than 4. In recent works of Zhou and Peng [29, 30], the question of weak solutions and optimal control problem of time-fractional Navier-Stokes equations in fractal media was considered. Numerical results regarding such problems was treated firstly in [16] and constitute an emerging field of research. More recently, time-fractional g -Navier-Stokes problem was introduced and results regarding existence, uniqueness of solutions and optimal control are proved[5].

The theory of g -Navier-Stokes equations started with the works of Hale and Raugel [10, 11], Raugel and Sell [22] who studied 3d nonlinear equations and Navier-Stokes equations in thin domains. J. Roh[23], a student of Sell, generalized the previous works to thin domains of the form $\Omega_g = \Omega \times (0, g)$, where g is some smooth

Date: January 20, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76D05, 47F05, 35Q30, 35R11, 26A33 .

Key words and phrases. g -Navier-Stokes equations; Fractional Calculus; g -Bénard model.

scalar function. The derived equations are called the g -Navier-Stokes equations. This theory have interested many researchers in recent years, see [4, 3, 13] and references therein.

On the other hand, heat conduction based on the classical Fourier law, which relates the heat flux vector and the temperature gradient, has shown its limits. The time-fractional heat conduction model can be seen as a good alternative[18, 8, 24], and references therein. Boussinesq(or B  nard) model is a combination of the heat conduction model and Navier-Stokes equations and is a well developed subject in modelling heat conducting fluids[27, 28, 9, 6]. The aim of this paper is to generalize the setting in [20, 21], where g -B  nard equations was considered, to time-fractional g -B  nard equations.

This paper is organized as follows: In the first section, we will recall some concept and notations related to fractional calculus. Section 2, is devoted to the problem statement. Section 3 will be dedicated to the proof of the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to time-fractional g -Navier-Stokes equations.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

In this section, we provide some notations and preliminary results concerning fractional calculus. For this purpose, assume X to be a Banach space. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and let k_α denote the Riemann-Liouville kernel

$$k_\alpha(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

For a function $v : [0, T] \rightarrow X$, we give the following definitions of derivatives and integrals:

- (1) The left Riemann-Liouville integral of v is defined by

$$I_t^\alpha v(t) = \int_0^t k_\alpha(t-s)v(s) ds, \quad t > 0$$

provided the integral is point-wise defined on $[0, +\infty[$

- (2) The right Riemann-Liouville integral of v is defined by

$$I_{t,T}^\alpha v(t) = \int_t^T k_\alpha(t-s)v(s) ds, \quad t > 0$$

provided the integral is point-wise defined on $[0, +\infty[$

- (3) The left Caputo fractional derivative of order α of v , is defined by

$$D_t^\alpha v(t) = \int_0^t k_{1-\alpha}(t-s) \frac{d}{ds} v(s) ds$$

- (4) The right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α of v is defined by

$$D_{t,T}^\alpha v(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_t^T k_{1-\alpha}(t-s)v(s) ds$$

- (5) The Liouville-Weyl fractional integral on the real axis for functions $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is defined as follows

$$I_{-,t}^\alpha v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t k_\alpha(t-s)v(s) ds$$

- (6) The Caputo fractional derivative on the real axis for functions $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is defined as follows

$$D_{-,t}^\alpha v(t) = I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} v(t)$$

Note that the notation ∂_t^α stands for Caputo fractional partial derivative, i.e. when functions have another argument than time. We have the following fractional integration by parts formula; see, e.g. [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T (\partial_t^\alpha u(t), \psi(t)) dt &= \int_0^T (u(t), D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t)) dt + (u(t), I_{t,T}^{1-\alpha} \psi(t))|_0^T \\ &= \int_0^T (u(t), D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t)) dt - (u(0), I_T^{1-\alpha} \psi(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

since for $\psi \in C_0^\infty([0, T], X)$ one have $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} I_{t,T}^{1-\alpha} \psi(t) = 0$.

To pass from weak convergence to strong convergence we will need a compactness result. Let X_0, X, X_1 be Hilbert spaces with $X_0 \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow X_1$ being continuous and $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ being compact. Assume that $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X_1$ and denote by \widehat{v} its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{v}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2i\pi t\tau} v(t) dt$$

We have for $\gamma > 0$

$$\widehat{D_t^\gamma v}(\tau) = (2i\pi\tau)^\gamma \widehat{v}(\tau)$$

For a given $0 < \gamma < 1$, we introduce the following space

$$W^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, X_0, X_1) = \{v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, X_0) : D_t^\gamma v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, X_1)\}$$

Clearly, it is a Hilbert space for the norm

$$\|v\|_\gamma = \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, X_0)}^2 + \|\tau|^\gamma \widehat{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, X_1)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

For any set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$, we associate with it the subspace $W_K^\gamma \subset W^\gamma$ defined as

$$W_K^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, X_0, X_1) = \{v \in W^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, X_0, X_1) : \text{support } u \subset K\}$$

By similar discussion as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in Temam [25] (see also Theorem 2.1 in [29]), it is clear that $W_K^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, X_0, X_1) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is compact for any bounded set K and any $\gamma > 0$.

As a particular situation of the compactness result discussed above, let H, V be two Hilbert spaces endowed with the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_H$ and $\|\cdot\|_V$, respectively. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the dual pairing between V and V' , the dual of V . Moreover assume that $V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V'$ continuously and compactly and note that the space

$$W^\gamma(0, T; V, V') = \{v \in L^2(0, T; V) : \partial_t^\gamma v \in L^2(0, T; V')\}$$

is compactly embedded in $L^2(0, T; H)$. Similarly to Lemma 2.1 in [29], we have

$$\partial_t^\gamma(u(t), v)_V = \langle \partial_t^\gamma u(t), v \rangle$$

for $u \in W^\gamma(0, T; V, V')$ and $v \in H$. Moreover, for a derivable function $v : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ we have from [2] that

$$(v(t), D_t^\gamma v(t))_H \geq \frac{1}{2} D_t^\gamma |v(t)|^2$$

We end this section by the following important result

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that a nonnegative function satisfies*

$${}_0^C D_t^\gamma v(t) + c_1 v(t) \leq c_2(t)$$

for $c_1 > 0$ and c_2 a nonnegative integrable function for $t \in [0, T]$. Then

$$v(t) \leq v(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} c_2(s) ds$$

For more details about fractional calculus we refer to the monographs [15, 31, 32].

3. PROBLEM STATEMENT

We introduce the usual notation used in the context of the mathematical theory of Navier-Stokes equations [25]. Let $\Omega_g = \Omega_2 \times (0, g) = (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (0, g)$ where $g = g(y_1, y_2)$ is a smooth function defined on Ω_2 . In addition we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < m_0 < g(y_1, y_2) \leq M_0, \text{ for all } (y_1, y_2) \in \Omega_2 \\ |\nabla g|_\infty = \sup_{\Omega_2} |\nabla g| < \infty, \quad g \in C_{per}^\infty(\Omega_2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let $L^2(\Omega, g)$ denotes the Hilbert space, of weighted Sobolev spaces type, with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_g = \int_\Omega (u \cdot v) g dx$$

and the induced norm $|u|_g^2 = \langle u, u \rangle_g$. Similarly, we can define the weithed Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega, g)$ equipped with the norm

$$|u|_{H^1(\Omega, g)}^2 = \langle u, u \rangle_g + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\rangle_g.$$

Moreover, we will need the following spaces:

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{u \in (C_{per}^\infty(\Omega))^n : \nabla \cdot (gu) = 0, \int_{\Omega} u dx = 0 \text{ on } \Omega\}$$

$$H_g = \text{the closure of } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ on } L^2(\Omega, g)$$

$$V_g = \text{the closure of } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ on } H^1(\Omega, g)$$

$$V'_g = \text{the dual space of } V_g$$

$$\mathcal{V}_2 = \{\varphi \in C_{per}^\infty(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \varphi dx = 0\}$$

$$W_g = \text{the closure of } \mathcal{V}_2 \text{ on } H^1(\Omega, g)$$

$$W'_g = \text{the dual space of } W_g$$

$$Q = \text{the closure of } \{\nabla \varphi : \varphi \in C_{per}^1(\overline{\Omega}, R)\} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega)$$

where H_g is endowed with the inner product and the norm in $L^2(\Omega g)$. In addition, the spaces V_g and W_g are endowed with the inner product and the norm in $H^1(\Omega, g)$. Let us also remark that the inclusions

$$V_g \subset H_g = H'_g \subset V'_g,$$

$$W_g \subset L^2(\Omega, g) \subset W'_g,$$

are dense and continuous [19, 23]. By the Riesz representation theorem, it is possible to write

$$\langle f, u \rangle_g = (f, u)_g, \forall f \in H_g, \forall u \in V_g.$$

Let us now define the orthogonal projection P_g as $P_g : L_{per}^2(\Omega, g) \rightarrow H_g$. It is clear that $Q \subseteq H_g^\perp$. Similarly, we define \tilde{P}_g as $\tilde{P}_g : L_{per}^2(\Omega, g) \rightarrow W_g$. By taking into account the following equality[23]:

$$-\frac{1}{g}(\nabla \cdot g \nabla u) = -\Delta u - \frac{1}{g}(\nabla g \cdot \nabla)u$$

we define the g -Laplace operator and g -Stokes operator as follows

$$-\Delta_g u = -\frac{1}{g}(\nabla \cdot g \nabla u)$$

and

$$A_g u = P_g[-\Delta_g u]$$

respectively. We have the following result [23]:

Proposition 3.1. *For the g -Stokes operator A_g , the followings hold:*

- (1) *The g -Stokes operator A_g is a positive, self-adjoint operator with compact inverse, where the domain of A_g is $D(A_g) = V_g \cap H^2(\Omega, g)$.*
- (2) *There exist countable eigenvalues of A_g satisfying*

$$0 < \frac{4\pi^2 m_0}{M_0} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

where λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue of A_g . In addition, there exist the corresponding collection of eigenfunctions $\{w_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms an orthonormal basis for H_g .

The operators A_g and P_g are clearly self-adjoint, then by using integration by parts we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_g u, u \rangle_g &= \langle P_g [-\frac{1}{g}(\nabla \cdot g \nabla)u], u \rangle_g \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla u)_g dx \\ &= \langle \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \rangle_g\end{aligned}$$

It then follows that for $u \in V_g$ we can write $|A^{1/2}u|_g = |\nabla u|_g = \|u\|_g$. On the other hand,, since the functional

$$\tau \in W_g \rightarrow (\nabla \theta, \nabla \tau)_g \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a continuous linear mapping on W_g , we can define a continuous linear mapping \tilde{A}_g on W'_g such that

$$\forall \tau \in W_g, \langle \tilde{A}_g, \tau \rangle_g = (\nabla \theta, \nabla \tau)_g$$

for all $\theta \in W_g$. For u, v and w laying in an appropriate subspaces of $L^2_{per}(\Omega, g)$, we can define the bilinear operator

$$B_g(u, v) = P_g[(u \cdot \nabla)v]$$

and the trilinear form

$$b_g(u, v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} w_j g dx$$

As a consequence, one obtains $b_g(u, v, w) = -b_g(u, w, v)$ which implies that $b_g(u, v, v) = 0$. Moreover, we have the following inequality satisfied by b_g (see [25, 26]),

$$|b_g(u, v, w)|_g \leq c |u|_g^{1/2} \|u\|_g^{1/2} |v|_g |w|_g^{1/2} \|w\|_g^{1/2}, \quad \forall u, v, w \in V_g \quad (3.2)$$

Similarly, for $u \in V_g$ and $\theta, \tau \in W_g$ we define $\tilde{B}_g(u, \theta) = \tilde{P}_g[(u \cdot \nabla)\theta]$ and

$$\tilde{b}_g(u, \theta, \tau) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} u_i(x) \frac{\partial \theta(x)}{\partial x_j} \tau(x) g dx$$

We denote the operators $C_g u = P_g[\frac{1}{g}(\nabla g \cdot \nabla)u]$ and $\tilde{C}_g \theta = \tilde{P}_g[\frac{1}{g}(\nabla g \cdot \nabla)\theta]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle C_g u, v \rangle_g &= b_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, u, v\right), \\ \langle \tilde{C}_g \theta, \tau \rangle_g &= \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \theta, \tau\right)\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $\tilde{D}_g \theta = \tilde{P}_g[\frac{\nabla g}{g}\theta]$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{D}_g \theta, \tau \rangle_g = -\tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \theta, \tau\right) - \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \theta, \tau\right).$$

We can now rewrite the system of g -Bénard equations in the following abstract time-fractional evolutionary equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha u + B_g(u, u) + \nu A_g u + \nu C_g u &= \xi \theta + f_1 \\ \partial_t^\alpha \theta + \tilde{B}_g(u, \theta) + \kappa \tilde{A}_g \theta - \kappa \tilde{C}_g \theta - \kappa \tilde{D}_g \theta &= f_2 \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We give the following two lemmas and for the proofs of these lemmas we refer to [4, ?].

Lemma 3.2. *For $n = 2$ there exists a positive constant c such that*

$$|u|_{L^4(\Omega, g)} \leq c|u|_g^{1/2} |\nabla u|_g^{1/2}, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Omega, g).$$

Lemma 3.3. *For $u \in L^2(0, T, V_g)$ we have:*

$$B_g(u, u)(t) \in L^1(0, T, V'_g) \text{ and } C_g u(t) \in L^2(0, T, H_g).$$

4. EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS

Definition 4.1. A pair of functions $\{u, \theta\}$ is called a weak solution of the system (3.3) if $u \in L^2(0, T; V_g)$ and $\theta \in L^2(0, T; W_g)$ satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha (u, v)_g + b_g(u, u, v) + \nu(\nabla u, \nabla v)_g + \nu(C_g u, v)_g &= (\xi \theta, v)_g + (f_1, v)_g \\ \partial_t^\alpha (\theta, \tau)_g + \tilde{b}_g(u, \theta, \tau) + \kappa(\nabla \theta, \nabla \tau)_g + \kappa \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \tau, \theta\right) &= (f_2, \tau)_g \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for all $v_2 \in V_g$ and $\tau \in W_g$.

Theorem 4.2. *If $f_1 \in L^{\frac{2}{\alpha_1}}(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ and $f_2 \in L^{\frac{2}{\alpha_2}}(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ ($\alpha_1, \alpha_2 < \alpha$), $u_0 \in H_g$, $\theta_0 \in L^2(\Omega, g)$ and g is a smooth function satisfying the conditions given in (3.1) defined on Ω_2 then, there exist a unique weak solution $\{u, \theta\}$ of the system (3.3) satisfying the periodic boundary conditions.*

For the proof of the theorem, we shall use the standard Feado- Galerkin method.

Proof. Since V_g is separable and \mathcal{V}_1 is dense in V_g , there exists a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ which forms a complete orthonormal system in H_g and a base for V_g . Similarly, there exists a sequence $\{\theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ which forms a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega, g)$ and a base for W_g . Let m be an arbitrary but fixed positive integer. For each m , we define an approximate solution $\{u^{(m)}(t), \theta^{(m)}(t)\}$ of (3.3) for $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $t \in [0, T]$ in the form,

$$u^{(m)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j^{(m)}(t) u_j, \quad \theta^{(m)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_j^{(m)}(t) \theta_j \quad (4.2)$$

and we consider the following approximate problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha (u^{(m)}, u_k)_g + b_g(u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}, u_k) + \nu((u^{(m)}, u_k))_g + \nu b_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, u^{(m)}, u_k\right) \\ = (\xi \theta^{(m)}, u_k)_g + (f_1, u_k)_g, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha (\theta^{(m)}, \theta_k)_g + \tilde{b}_g(u^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}, \theta_k) + \kappa((\theta^{(m)}, \theta_k))_g + \kappa \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \theta_k, \theta^{(m)}\right) \\ = (f_2, \theta_k)_g, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$u^{(m)}(0) = u_{m_0} = \sum_{j=1}^m (a_0, u_j) u_j, \quad \theta^{(m)}(0) = \theta_{m_0} = \sum_{j=1}^m (\tau_0, \theta_j) \theta_j \quad (4.5)$$

This system forms a nonlinear fractional order system of ordinary differential equations for the functions $f_j^{(m)}(t)$ and $g_j^{(m)}(t)$ and has a maximal solution on some interval $[0, T]$ (cf. [5]). We multiply (4.3) and (4.4) by $f_j^{(m)}(t)$ and $g_j^{(m)}(t)$ respectively, and add these equations for $k = 1, \dots, m$. Taking into account $b_g(u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}) = 0$ and $\tilde{b}_g(u^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}) = 0$ we get;

$$\begin{aligned} (D_t^\alpha u^{(m)}, u^{(m)})_g + \nu \|u^{(m)}(t)\|_g^2 + \nu b_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, u^{(m)}(t), u^{(m)}(t)\right) \\ = (\xi \theta^{(m)}, u^{(m)}(t))_g + (f_1, u^{(m)}(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$(D_t^\alpha \theta^{(m)}(t), \theta^{(m)}(t))_g + \kappa \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g^2 + \kappa \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \theta^{(m)}(t), \theta^{(m)}(t)\right) = (f_2, \theta^{(m)}(t))_g \quad (4.7)$$

Using Schwarz and Young inequalities in (4.6) and (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^\alpha |u^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 + \nu \|u^{(m)}(t)\|_g^2 &\leq \frac{M_0 |\xi|_\infty^2}{\pi^2 m_0 \nu} |\theta^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 + \frac{4}{\nu} \|f_1(t)\|_{V_g'}^2 + \frac{2\nu |\nabla g|_\infty^2}{m_0^2} |u^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 \\ D_t^\alpha |\theta^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 + \kappa \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g^2 &\leq \frac{2}{\kappa} \|f_2(t)\|_{W_g'}^2 + \frac{2\kappa |\nabla g|_\infty^2}{m_0^2} |\theta^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that $|\nabla g|_\infty^2 < \frac{\pi^2 m_0^3}{M_0}$ and noting $\nu' = \nu(1 - \frac{M_0 |\nabla g|_\infty^2}{2\pi^2 m_0^3})$, $\kappa' = \kappa(1 - \frac{M_0 |\nabla g|_\infty^2}{2\pi^2 m_0^3})$ and $c' = \frac{M_0^2 \|\xi\|_\infty^2}{4\pi^4 m_0^3}$, we get the inequalities

$$D_t^\alpha |u^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 + \nu' \|u^{(m)}(t)\|_g^2 \leq \frac{c'}{\nu} \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g^2 + \frac{4}{\nu} \|f_1(t)\|_{V_g'}^2 \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$D_t^\alpha |\theta^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 + \kappa' \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g^2 \leq \frac{2}{\kappa} \|f_2(t)\|_{W_g'}^2 \quad (4.9)$$

Integrating (4.9) from 0 to T , in the fractional sense, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\theta^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 &+ \frac{\kappa'}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \\
&\leq |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f_2(s)\|_{W'_g}^2 ds \\
&\leq |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \|f_2(s)\|_{W'_g}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + \frac{2}{\kappa\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{1-\alpha_2}} ds \\
&\leq |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{W'_g}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + C_2
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_2 = \frac{\alpha-1}{1-\alpha_2}$ and $C_2 = \frac{2T^{1+b_2}}{\kappa(1+b_2)\Gamma(\alpha)}$. It follows that

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\kappa'} |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa\kappa'} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{W'_g}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\kappa'} C_2 \quad (4.10)$$

On the other hand, integrating (4.8) from 0 to T , in the fractional sense, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|u^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 &+ \frac{\nu'}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|u^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \\
&\leq |u_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{c'}{\nu\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds + \frac{4}{\nu\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f_1(s)\|_{V'_g}^2 ds \\
&\leq |u_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{c'}{\nu\kappa'} |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2c'}{\nu\kappa\kappa'\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \|f_2(s)\|_{W'_g}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + \frac{c'}{\nu\kappa'} C_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{\nu\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \|f_1(s)\|_{V'_g}^{2/\alpha_1} ds + \frac{4}{\nu\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{1-\alpha_1}} ds \\
&\leq |u_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{c'}{\nu\kappa'} |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2c'}{\nu\kappa\kappa'\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \|f_2(s)\|_{W'_g}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + \frac{4}{\nu\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \|f_1(s)\|_{V'_g}^{2/\alpha_1} ds \\
&\quad + C_1
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_1 = \frac{\alpha-1}{1-\alpha_1}$ and $C_1 = \frac{c'}{\nu\kappa'} C_2 + \frac{4T^{1+b_1}}{\nu(1+b_1)\Gamma(\alpha)}$. By using the fact that

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|u^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \geq T^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t \|u^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \quad (4.11)$$

and similarly

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \geq T^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \quad (4.12)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|u^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 &+ \frac{\nu'T^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \|u^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \leq |u_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{c'}{\nu\kappa'} |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2c'}{\nu\kappa\kappa'\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{W'_g}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + \frac{4}{\nu\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T \|f_1(s)\|_{V'_g}^{2/\alpha_1} ds + C_1
\end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

$$|\theta^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 + \frac{\kappa' T^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \leq |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{V_g'}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + C_2 \quad (4.14)$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 &\leq |u_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{c'}{\nu \kappa'} |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2c'}{\nu \kappa \kappa' \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{W_g'}^{2/\alpha_2} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{\nu \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T \|f_1(s)\|_{V_g'}^{2/\alpha_1} ds + C_1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\theta^{(m)}(t)|_g^2 \leq |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{V_g'}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + C_2 \quad (4.16)$$

which imply that the sequences $\{u^{(m)}\}_m$ and $\{\theta^{(m)}\}_m$ remain in a bounded set of $L^\infty(0, T; H_g)$ and $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ respectively. Moreover, for $t = T$, one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|u^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds &\leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\nu' T^{\alpha-1}} |u_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{c'}{\nu \nu' \kappa' T^{\alpha-1}} |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2c'}{\nu \nu' \kappa \kappa' T^{\alpha-1}} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{W_g'}^{2/\alpha_2} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{\nu \nu' T^{\alpha-1}} \int_0^T \|f_1(s)\|_{V_g'}^{2/\alpha_1} ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\nu' T^{\alpha-1}} C_1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

$$\int_0^T \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\|_g^2 ds \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\kappa' T^{\alpha-1}} |\theta_{0m}|_g^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa \kappa' T^{\alpha-1}} \int_0^T \|f_2(s)\|_{V_g'}^{2/\alpha_2} ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\kappa' T^{\alpha-1}} C_2 \quad (4.18)$$

which imply that the sequences $\{u^{(m)}\}_m$ and $\{\theta^{(m)}\}_m$ remain in a bounded set of $L^2(0, T; V_g)$ and $L^2(0, T; W_g)$ respectively. Consequently, we can assert the existence of elements $u \in L^2(0, T; V_g) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H_g)$ and $\theta \in L^2(0, T; W_g) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ and the subsequences $\{u^{(m)}\}_m$ and $\{\theta^{(m)}\}_m$ such that $u^{(m)} \rightharpoonup u \in L^2(0, T; V_g)$ and $\theta^{(m)} \rightharpoonup \theta \in L^2(0, T; W_g)$ weakly and $u^{(m)} \rightarrow u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_g)$ and $\theta^{(m)} \rightarrow \theta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ weak-star as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\tilde{u}^{(m)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V_g$ and $\tilde{\theta}^{(m)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W_g$ defined as

$$\tilde{u}^{(m)}(t) = \begin{cases} u^{(m)}(t), & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\theta}^{(m)}(t) = \begin{cases} \theta^{(m)}(t), & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and their Fourier transforms denoted by $\hat{u}^{(m)}$ and $\hat{\theta}^{(m)}$, respectively. We show that the sequence $\{\tilde{u}^{(m)}\}_m$ remains bounded in $W^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, V_g, H_g)$ and the sequence $\{\tilde{\theta}^{(m)}\}_m$ remains bounded in $W^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, W_g, L^2(\Omega, g))$. To do so, we need to verify that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\gamma} |\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq \text{const.} \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0 \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\gamma} |\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq \text{const.} \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0 \quad (4.20)$$

In order to prove (4.19) and (4.20), we observe that

$$(D_t^\alpha \tilde{u}^{(m)}, u_k)_g = (\tilde{F}_m^u, u_k)_g + (u_{m0}, u_k)_g I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \delta_0 - (u^{(m)}(T), u_k)_g I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \delta_T \quad (4.21)$$

$$(D_t^\alpha \tilde{\theta}^{(m)}, \theta_k)_g = (\tilde{F}_m^\theta, \theta_k)_g + (\theta_{m0}, \theta_k)_g I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \delta_0 - (\theta^{(m)}(T), \theta_k)_g I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \delta_T \quad (4.22)$$

where δ_0, δ_T are Dirac distributions at 0 and T and F_m^u and F_m^θ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_m^u &= \xi \theta^{(m)} + f_1 - B_g(u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}) - \nu A_g u^{(m)} - \nu C_g u^{(m)} \\ F_m^\theta &= f_2 - \tilde{B}_g(u^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}) - \kappa \tilde{A}_g \theta^{(m)} + \kappa \tilde{C}_g \theta^{(m)} + \kappa \tilde{D}_g \theta^{(m)} \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$. Here \tilde{F}_m is defined as usual by

$$\tilde{F}_m(t) = \begin{cases} F_m(t), & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.23)$$

Indeed, it is classical that since $\tilde{u}^{(m)}$ and $\tilde{\theta}^{(m)}$ have two discontinuities at 0 and T , the Caputo derivative of $\tilde{u}^{(m)}$ is given by

$$D_{-,t}^\alpha \tilde{u}^{(m)} = I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{u}^{(m)} \right) \quad (4.24)$$

$$= I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{dt} u^{(m)} + u^{(m)}(0) \delta_0 - u^{(m)}(T) \delta_T \right) \quad (4.25)$$

$$= D_t^\alpha u^{(m)} + I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \left(u^{(m)}(0) \delta_0 - u^{(m)}(T) \delta_T \right) \quad (4.26)$$

and the one of $\tilde{\theta}^{(m)}$ is given by

$$D_{-,t}^\alpha \tilde{\theta}^{(m)} = D_t^\alpha \theta^{(m)} + I_{-,t}^{1-\alpha} \left(\theta^{(m)}(0) \delta_0 - \theta^{(m)}(T) \delta_T \right) \quad (4.27)$$

By the Fourier transform, (4.21) and (4.22) yield to

$$(2i\pi\tau)^\alpha (\hat{u}^{(m)}, u_k)_g = (\hat{F}_m^u, u_k)_g + (u_{m0}, u_k)_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} \quad (4.28)$$

$$- (u^{(m)}(T), u_k)_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-2i\pi T\tau} \quad (4.29)$$

$$(2i\pi\tau)^\alpha (\hat{\theta}^{(m)}, \theta_k)_g = (\hat{F}_m^\theta, \theta_k)_g + (\theta_{m0}, \theta_k)_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} \quad (4.30)$$

$$- (\theta^{(m)}(T), \theta_k)_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-2i\pi T\tau} \quad (4.31)$$

here $\hat{u}^{(m)}$ and \hat{F}_m denote the Fourier transforms of $\tilde{u}^{(m)}$ and \tilde{F}_m , respectively. We multiply (4.28) and (4.30) by $\hat{f}_j^{(m)}$ and $\hat{g}_j^{(m)}$ respectively and add these equations for $k = 1, \dots, m$ to get

$$(2i\pi\tau)^\alpha |\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)|_g^2 = (\hat{F}_m^u(\tau), \hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau))_g + (u_{m0}, \hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau))_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} \quad (4.32)$$

$$- (u^{(m)}(T), \hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau))_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-2i\pi T\tau} \quad (4.33)$$

$$(2i\pi\tau)^\alpha |\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)|_g^2 = (\hat{F}_m^\theta(\tau), \hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau))_g + (\theta_{m0}, \hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau))_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} \quad (4.34)$$

$$- (\theta^{(m)}(T), \hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau))_g (2i\pi\tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-2i\pi T\tau} \quad (4.35)$$

Since the integrals on the right hand side of the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|F_m^u(t)\|_{V'_g} dt &\leq \int_0^T c(|\xi|_\infty \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g + \|f_1(t)\|_{V'_g} + |u^{(m)}(t)|_g \|u^{(m)}\|_g \\ &\quad + \|u^{(m)}(t)\|_g + |\nabla g|_\infty \|u^{(m)}(t)\|_g) dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|F_m^\theta(t)\|_{W'_g} dt &\leq \int_0^T c'(\|f_2(t)\|_{W'_g} + |u^{(m)}(T)|_g \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g + \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g \\ &\quad + |\nabla g|_\infty \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g + |\Delta g|_\infty \|\theta^{(m)}(t)\|_g) dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

remains bounded, $\|F_1(t)\|_{V'_g}$ and $\|F_2(t)\|_{W'_g}$ are bounded in $L^1(0, T; V'_g)$ and $L^1(0, T; W'_g)$ respectively. Therefore, for all m

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|\hat{F}_m^u(\tau)\|_{V'_g} \leq c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|\hat{F}_m^\theta(\tau)\|_{W'_g} \leq c_2.$$

Moreover, since $u^{(m)}(0)$, $u^{(m)}(T)$, $\theta^{(m)}(0)$ and $\theta^{(m)}(T)$ are bounded, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau|^\alpha |\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)|_g^2 &\leq c_1 \|u^{(m)}\|_{V_g} + c_2 |\tau|^{\alpha-1} |u^{(m)}|_g \\ &\leq c_3 \|u^{(m)}\|_{V_g} \end{aligned}$$

$$|\tau|^\alpha |\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)|_g^2 \leq c'_1 \|\theta^{(m)}\|_{W_g} + c'_2 |\tau|^{\alpha-1} |\theta^{(m)}|_g \quad (4.38)$$

$$\leq c_3 \|\theta^{(m)}\|_{W_g} \quad (4.39)$$

For γ fixed, $\gamma < \alpha/4$, we observe that

$$|\tau|^{2\gamma} \leq c(\gamma) \frac{1 + |\tau|^\alpha}{1 + |\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\gamma} |\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)|_g^2 &\leq c_5(\gamma) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1 + |\tau|^\alpha}{1 + |\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}} |\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)|_g^2 d\tau \\ &\leq c_6(\gamma) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + |\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}} \|\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_{V_g}^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + c_7(\gamma) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\tau|^{\alpha-1}}{1 + |\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}} \|\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_{V_g}^2 d\tau \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\gamma} |\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)|_g^2 &\leq c'_6(\gamma) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + |\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}} \|\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_{W_g}^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + c'_7(\gamma) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\tau|^{\alpha-1}}{1 + |\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}} \|\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_{W_g}^2 d\tau \end{aligned}$$

By Parseval inequality, the first integral is bounded as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Applying the Schwartz inequality, the second integrals yield to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\tau|^{\alpha-1}}{1+|\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}} \|\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_g^2 d\tau \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{(1+|\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma})^2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.40)$$

$$\times \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\alpha-2} \|\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_g^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.41)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\tau|^{\alpha-1}}{1+|\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma}} \|\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_g^2 d\tau \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{(1+|\tau|^{\alpha-2\gamma})^2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.42)$$

$$\times \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\alpha-2} \|\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_g^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.43)$$

The first integrals are finite due to $\gamma < \alpha/4$. On the other hand, it follows from the Parseval equality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\alpha-2} \|\hat{u}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_g^2 d\tau &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \| {}_{-\infty}\mathbf{I}_t^{1-\alpha} \tilde{u}^{(m)}(t) \|_g^2 dt \\ &= \int_0^T \| {}_0\mathbf{I}_t^{1-\alpha} u^{(m)}(t) \|_g^2 dt \\ &\leq \left(\frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \right)^2 \int_0^T \| u^{(m)}(t) \|_{V_g}^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tau|^{2\alpha-2} \|\hat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tau)\|_g^2 d\tau \leq \left(\frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \right)^2 \int_0^T \| \theta^{(m)}(t) \|_{W_g}^2 dt$$

Which implies that (4.19) and (4.20) holds. We know that subsequence of $\{u^{(m)}\}_m$ and $\{\theta^{(m)}\}_m$ (which we will denote with the same symbol) converge to some u weakly in $L^2(0, T; V_g)$ and weak-star in $L^\infty(0, T; H_g)$ with $u \in L^2(0, T; V_g) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H_g)$. Similarly there exists a subsequence of $\{\theta^{(m)}\}_m$ (which we will denote with the same symbol) converge to some θ weakly in $L^2(0, T; W_g)$ and weak-star in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ with $\theta \in L^2(0, T; W_g) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$. As $W^\gamma(0, T; V_g; H_g)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(0, T; H_g)$ and $W^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, W_g, L^2(\Omega, g))$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ then $\{u^{(m)}\}_m$ strongly converges in $L^2(0, T; H_g)$ and $\{\theta^{(m)}\}_m$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega, g))$ respectively.

In order to pass to the limit, we consider the scalar functions $\Psi_1(t)$ and $\Psi_2(t)$ continuously differentiable on $[0, T]$ and such that $\Psi_1(T) = 0$ ve $\Psi_2(T) = 0$. We multiply (4.3) and (4.4) by $\Psi_1(t)$ and $\Psi_2(t)$ respectively and then integrate by

parts. This leads to the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T (u^{(m)}(t), D_{t,T}^\alpha \Psi_1(t) u_k)_g dt + \int_0^T b_g(u^{(m)}(t), u^{(m)}(t), \Psi_1 u_k) dt \\
& + \nu \int_0^T ((u^{(m)}(t), \Psi_1 u_k))_g + \nu \int_0^T b_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, u^{(m)}(t), \Psi_1 u_k\right) dt = (u_{0m}, I_{0,T}^{1-\alpha} \Psi_2(t) u_k)_g \\
& + \int_0^T (\xi \theta^{(m)}(t), \Psi_1 u_k)_g dt + \int_0^T (f_1(t), u_k)_g dt \\
& \int_0^T (\theta^{(m)}(t), D_{t,T}^\alpha \Psi_2(t) \theta_k)_g dt + \int_0^T \tilde{b}_g(u^{(m)}(t), \theta^{(m)}(t), \Psi_2 \theta_k) dt \\
& + \kappa \int_0^T ((\theta^{(m)}(t), \Psi_2 \theta_k))_g dt + \kappa \int_0^T \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \theta_k, \Psi_2 \theta^{(m)}(t)\right) dt = (\theta_{0m}, I_{0,T}^{1-\alpha} \Psi_2(t) \theta_k)_g \\
& + \int_0^T (f_2(t), \Psi_2 \theta_k)_g dt
\end{aligned}$$

Following the technique given in [7, 25], as $m \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\int_0^T (u(t), D_{t,T}^\alpha \Psi_1(t) u_k)_g dt + \int_0^T b_g(u(t), u(t), \Psi_1 u_k) dt + \nu \int_0^T ((u(t), \Psi_1 u_k))_g dt \quad (4.44)$$

$$+ \nu \int_0^T b_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, u(t), \Psi_1 u_k\right) dt = (u_0, I_{0,T}^{1-\alpha} \Psi_1 u_k)_g + \int_0^T (\xi \theta(t), \Psi_1 v)_g dt + \int_0^T (f_1(t), u_k)_g dt \quad (4.45)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T (\theta(t), D_{t,T}^\alpha \Psi_2(t) \theta_k)_g dt + \int_0^T \tilde{b}_g(u(t), \theta(t), \Psi_2 \theta_k) dt \quad (4.46) \\
& + \kappa \int_0^T ((\theta(t), \Psi_2 \theta_k))_g dt + \kappa \int_0^T \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \theta_k, \Psi_2 \theta(t)\right) dt = (\theta_0, I_{0,T}^{1-\alpha} \Psi_2(t) \theta_k)_g \\
& + \int_0^T (f_2(t), \Psi_2 \theta_k)_g dt
\end{aligned}$$

This equations hold for v and τ which are finite linear combination of u_k and θ_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$ and by continuity it holds for any v in V_g and $\tau \in H_g$. It then follows that $\{u, \theta\}$ satisfies the two first equations (3.3). To end the proof it still to check that $\{u, \theta\}$ satisfies the initial conditions $u(0) = u_0$ and $\theta(0) = \theta_0$. To do so it suffices to multiply the two first equations in (3.3) by Ψ_1 and (??) by Ψ_2 respectively and then integrate. By making use of the integration by parts and comparing with (4.44) and (4.46), one can find that

$$(u_0 - u(0), v)_g I_{0,T}^{1-\alpha} \Psi_2(t) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (\theta_0 - \theta(0), \tau)_g I_{0,T}^{1-\alpha} \Psi_2(t) = 0$$

which lead to the desired result by taking a particular choice of Ψ_1 and ψ_2 .

For the uniqueness of the weak solutions let (u_1, θ_1) and (u_2, θ_2) be two weak solutions with the same initial condition. Let $w = u_1 - u_2$ and $\tilde{w} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. Then we have

$$D_t^\alpha(w, v)_g + b_g(u_1, u_1, v) - b_g(u_2, u_2, v) + \nu(\nabla w, \nabla v)_g + \nu(C_g w, v)_g = (\xi \tilde{w}, v)_g$$

$$D_t^\alpha(\tilde{w}, \tau)_g + \tilde{b}_g(u_1, \theta_1, \tau) - \tilde{b}_g(u_2, \theta_2, \tau) + \kappa(\nabla \tilde{w}, \nabla \tau)_g + \kappa \tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \tau, \tilde{w}\right) = 0$$

Taking $v = w(t)$ and $\tau = \tilde{w}(t)$ one obtains,

$$D_t^\alpha(w, w)_g + b_g(w, u_2, w) + \nu|A_g^{1/2}w|_g^2 + \nu(C_g w, w)_g = (\xi \tilde{w}, w)_g$$

$$D_t^\alpha(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w})_g + \tilde{b}_g(u_1, \theta_1, \tilde{w}) - \tilde{b}_g(u_2, \theta_2, \tilde{w}) + \kappa|\tilde{A}_g^{1/2}\tilde{w}|_g^2 + \kappa\tilde{b}_g\left(\frac{\nabla g}{g}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{w}\right) = 0.$$

By applying the bounds on the terms b_g, \tilde{b}_g it then follows by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Gronwall like inequality that $w(t) = 0$ and $\tilde{w}(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ since we have $w(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{w}(0) = 0$. Thus the Theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] O.P. Agrawal, Fractional variational calculus in terms of Riesz fractional derivatives, J. Phys. A 40 (2007) 6287-6303.
- [2] A.A. Alikhanov, A priori estimates for solutions of boundary value problems for fractional-order equations, Differ. Equ. 46 (2010) 660-666.
- [3] C. T. Anh and D. T. Quyet, g -Navier-Stokes equations with infinite delays, Vietnam J. Math. 40 (2012), no. 1, 57-78.
- [4] Bae, H., Roh, J., *Existence of Solutions of the g -Navier-Stokes Equations*, Taiwanese J. Math., **8**, No. 1, 85-102, 2004.
- [5] Sultana Ben Aadi, Khalid Akhlil, Weak solutions to the time-fractional g -Navier-Stokes equations and optimal control, arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.02865
- [6] Cannon, J. R., DiBenedetto, E. (1980). The initial value problem for the Boussinesq equations with data in Lp. Approximation Methods for Navier-Stokes Problems, 129-144.
- [7] Galdi, G.P., Lectures in Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, Birkhäuser-Verlag, 2000.
- [8] R Gorenflo, A Iskenderov, Y Luchko, Mapping between solutions of fractional diffusion-wave equations. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 3 (1), 75-86 (2000).
- [9] Gresho, P. M., Lee, R. L., Chan, S. T., Sani, R. L. (1980). Solution of the time-dependent incompressible Navier-Stokes and Boussinesq equations using the Galerkin finite element method. Approximation Methods for Navier-Stokes Problems, 203-222.
- [10] J.K. Hale, G. Raugel, Reaction-diffusion equation on thin domains, J. Math. Pures Appl. 71 (1) (1992) 33-95.
- [11] J.K. Hale, G. Raugel, A damped hyperbolic equation on thin domains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 329 (1) (1992) 185-219.
- [12] Iftimie, D., *The 3D Navier-Stokes equations seen as a perturbation of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations*, Bull. Soc. Math., France, **127**, 473-517, 1999.
- [13] J.P. Jiang, Y.R. Hou and X.X. Wang, Pullback attractor of 2D nonautonomous g -Navier-Stokes equations with linear dampness, Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.) 32 (2011), no. 2, 151-166.
- [14] J. Jiang and Y. Hou, The global attractor of g -Navier-Stokes equations with linear dampness on R^2 , Appl. Math. Comput. 215 (2009), no. 3, 1068-1076
- [15] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, in: North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 204, Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [16] Kumar, S., Kumar, D., Abbasbandy, S., Rashidi, M. M. (2014). Analytical solution of fractional Navier-Stokes equation by using modified Laplace decomposition method. Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 5(2), 569-574.

- [17] J.L. Lions, Sur l'existence de solutions des équations de Navier-Stokes, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 248 (1959) 2847-2849.
- [18] Mainardi, F., Luchko, Y. and Pagnini, G. (2001) The Fundamental Solution of the Space-Time Fractional Diffusion Equation. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, 4, 153-192.
- [19] Morimoto, H., Non-stationary Boussinesq equations, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math, 39, 61-75, 1992.
- [20] M. Özlük and M. Kaya (2016). On the weak solutions and determining modes of the g -Bénard problem. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. DOI: 10.15672/HJMS.20174622762.
- [21] M. Özlük and M. Kaya (2017): On the Strong Solutions and the Structural Stability of the g -Bénard Problem, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, DOI: 10.1080/01630563.2017.1350975
- [22] G Raugel, G.R. Sell, Navier stokes equations on thin 3D Domains I. Global attractors and global regularity of solutions, J.Amer.Math.Soc. 6 (3) (1993) 503-568.
- [23] Roh, J., g -Navier-Stokes equations, Thesis, University of Minnesota, 2001. 2005.
- [24] Saichev, A. I., Zaslavsky, G. M. (1997). Fractional kinetic equations: solutions and applications. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 7(4), 753-764.
- [25] Temam, R., *Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Numerical Analysis*, vol. 2 of Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 3rd edition, 1984.
- [26] D. Wu, The finite-dimensional uniform attractors for the nonautonomous g -Navier-Stokes equations, J. Appl. Math. 2009 (2009), Article ID 150420.
- [27] Zeytounian, R. K. (1989). The Bénard problem for deep convection: rigorous derivation of approximate equations. International Journal of Engineering Science, 27(11), 1361-1366.
- [28] Zeytounian, R. K. (2003). Joseph Boussinesq and his approximation: a contemporary view. Comptes Rendus Mécanique, 331(8), 575-586.
- [29] Y. Zhou, L. Peng, Weak solutions of the time-fractional Navier-Stokes equations and optimal control, Volume 73, Issue 6, 1016-1027, 2017.
- [30] Y. Zhou, L. Peng (2017). On the time-fractional Navier-Stokes equations. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 73(6), 874-891.
- [31] Y. Zhou, Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.
- [32] Y. Zhou, Fractional Evolution Equations and Inclusions: Analysis and Control, Academic Press, 2016.

Email address: k.akhilil@uiz.ac.ma

Email address: sultana.benaadi@edu.uiz.ac.ma

Email address: h.mahdioui@uiz.ac.ma