

# 2-Level Quasi-Planarity or How Caterpillars Climb (SPQR-)Trees

Patrizio Angelini  
*John Cabot University, Rome*  
[pangelini@johncabot.edu](mailto:pangelini@johncabot.edu)

Giordano Da Lozzo, Giuseppe Di Battista, Fabrizio Frati, and Maurizio Patrignani  
*Roma Tre University, Rome, Italy*  
[{giordano.dalozzo,giuseppe.dibattista,fabrizio.frati,maurizio.patrigani}@uniroma3.it](mailto:{giordano.dalozzo,giuseppe.dibattista,fabrizio.frati,maurizio.patrigani}@uniroma3.it)

## Abstract

Given a bipartite graph  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$ , the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem asks for the existence of a drawing of  $G$  in the plane such that the vertices in  $V_b$  and in  $V_r$  lie along two parallel lines  $\ell_b$  and  $\ell_r$ , respectively, each edge in  $E$  is drawn in the unbounded strip of the plane delimited by  $\ell_b$  and  $\ell_r$ , and no three edges in  $E$  pairwise cross.

We prove that the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem is NP-complete. This answers an open question of Dujmović, Pór, and Wood. Furthermore, we show that the problem becomes linear-time solvable if the ordering of the vertices in  $V_b$  along  $\ell_b$  is prescribed. Our contributions provide the first results on the computational complexity of recognizing quasi-planar graphs, which is a long-standing open question.

Our linear-time algorithm exploits several ingredients, including a combinatorial characterization of the positive instances of the problem in terms of the existence of a planar embedding with a caterpillar-like structure, and an SPQR-tree-based algorithm for testing the existence of such a planar embedding. Our algorithm builds upon a classification of the types of embeddings with respect to the structure of the portion of the caterpillar they contain and performs a computation of the realizable embedding types based on a succinct description of their features by means of constant-size gadgets.

# Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Preliminaries and Relationships with Other Problems</b>                 | <b>4</b>  |
| 2.1      | Equivalence Between 2-Level Quasi-Planarity and Related Problems . . . . . | 4         |
| 2.2      | Properties and Definitions for Bipartite 2-Page Book Embeddings . . . . .  | 6         |
| 2.3      | SPQR-trees and Planar Embeddings . . . . .                                 | 7         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Complexity</b>  | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Graphs with a Fixed Planar Embedding</b>                                | <b>20</b> |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Simply-Connected Graphs</b>   | <b>25</b> |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Properties and Classification of rb-augmented Components</b>            | <b>29</b> |
| 6.1      | Node Classification . . . . .  | 30        |
| 6.2      | Properties of the nodes of $\mathcal{T}$ . . . . .                         | 31        |
| 6.3      | Neat Embeddings . . . . .  | 32        |
| 6.4      | Embedding Classification . . . . .   | 35        |
| 6.4.1    | type $\odot$ -RE Nodes . . . . .   | 37        |
| 6.4.2    | Type $\odot$ -RF Nodes . . . . .   | 37        |
| 6.4.3    | Type $\odot$ -BE Nodes . . . . .   | 41        |
| 6.4.4    | Type $\odot$ -BP Nodes and type $\odot$ -BB Nodes . . . . .                | 42        |
| 6.4.5    | Type $\odot$ -BF Nodes . . . . .   | 45        |
| 6.5      | Handling Relevant Embeddings . . . . .                                     | 49        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Testing and Embedding Algorithm</b>                                     | <b>56</b> |
| 7.1      | The Bottom-up Traversal . . . . .  | 59        |
| 7.1.1    | S-nodes . . . . .  | 62        |
| 7.1.2    | P-nodes . . . . .  | 63        |
| 7.1.3    | R-nodes . . . . .  | 66        |
| 7.2      | Constructing a Neat Embedding . . . . .                                    | 78        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Conclusions</b>   | <b>79</b> |

# 1 Introduction

Planarity is a key concept in graph theory [11, 14, 20, 36, 37, 42]. It has long been known that the planarity of a graph can be tested in polynomial time [5, 6] and, in fact, even in linear time [30]. It was at first surprising, and it appears now evident, that testing whether a graph is “almost” planar is difficult. For example, it is NP-hard to test whether a graph is *k-planar* [12, 33], i.e., whether it admits a drawing in which each edge has at most  $k$  crossings, even for  $k = 1$ . It is also NP-hard to recognize *k-apex* graphs [34] or *k-skewness* graphs [35], i.e., graphs that become planar if  $k$  vertices or edges are allowed to be removed, respectively. Further, it is NP-hard to decide whether a graph that consists of a planar graph plus a single edge admits a drawing with at most  $k$  crossings [13]. See [19] for a survey on the variants of “almost” planarity.

There is one notorious notion of “almost” planarity that has so far eluded the efforts to establish its computational complexity; this is called *quasi-planarity*. A graph is *quasi-planar* if it admits a *quasi-planar drawing*, i.e., a drawing in which no three edges pairwise cross. Quasi-planar graphs have been studied thoroughly. For example, it is known that an  $n$ -vertex quasi-planar graph has at most  $8n - O(1)$  edges and some quasi-planar graphs have  $7n - O(1)$  edges [1]; therefore, the graphs that can be represented with no three pairwise crossing edges can be much denser than those that can be represented planarly. Further, the class of quasi-planar graphs is known to include the one of 2-planar graphs [2]. Despite these combinatorial results, from an algorithmic perspective neither efficient algorithms nor hardness results are known (see, e.g., [19, Problem 5]), even in the generalization in which no  $k$  edges are allowed to cross.

In this paper, we show the first complexity results on the problem of recognizing quasi-planar graphs. Given a bipartite graph  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$ , where the vertices in  $V_b$  and  $V_r$  are called *black* and *red*, respectively, the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem asks for the existence of a *2-level quasi-planar drawing* of  $G$ , that is, a quasi-planar drawing such that the black and red vertices lie along two parallel lines  $\ell_b$  and  $\ell_r$ , respectively, and each edge in  $E$  is drawn in the unbounded strip of the plane delimited by  $\ell_b$  and  $\ell_r$ . We show that the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem is NP-complete. Further, we show how to solve the problem in linear time if the order of the black vertices along  $\ell_b$  is part of the input; this version of the problem is called 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY WITH FIXED ORDER.

The study of 2-level drawings of bipartite graphs is a classical research topic. A bipartite graph admits a 2-level planar drawing if and only if it is a forest of caterpillars [23, 28], where a *caterpillar* is a tree whose non-leaf nodes induce a path, called *backbone*. The problems of constructing 2-level  $k$ -planar drawings, 2-level RAC drawings, and 2-level fan-planar drawings have been studied in [4, 9, 10, 17, 25]. Further, minimizing the number of crossings in a 2-level drawing is an NP-complete problem (for a discussion on the matter, see [39]), even if the order of the vertices in one level is prescribed [23, 24]; the fact that the problem’s complexity remains unchanged even if the order of the vertices in one level is prescribed contrasts with what we show to happen for 2-level quasi-planarity. Many approximation algorithms and heuristics for the crossing-minimization problem for 2-level drawings have also been designed; see, e.g., [24, 32, 38]. These are commonly used as building blocks in the notorious Sugiyama framework [40], that inspired a substantial number of graph drawing algorithms; see, e.g., [7, 14]. In the Sugiyama framework, one is interested in the construction of a drawing on more than 2 levels; this is done by fixing the ordering of the vertices on the first level and by then repeatedly solving a 2-level drawing problem to establish the order of the vertices on a level with an already fixed order of the vertices on the previous level. A possible practical application of our linear-time algorithm is to be employed as the 2-level drawing algorithm within the Sugiyama framework (only for pairs of levels that admit a quasi-planar drawing).

To obtain our results, we leverage on the equivalence of the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem with the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING problem [3]. Given a bipartite graph  $G$ , a *bipartite 2-page book embedding* of  $G$  is a planar drawing of  $G$  in which the vertices are




Figure 1: A bipartite graph  $G$  and (a) a 2-level quasi-planar drawing of  $G$ , (b) a  $(2,2)$ -track layout of  $G$ , and (c) a bipartite 2-page book embedding of  $G$ .

placed along a Jordan curve  $\ell$ , called *spine*, the black vertices occur consecutively along  $\ell$  (and, thus, so do the red vertices), and each edge is entirely drawn in one of the two regions of the plane, called *pages*, delimited by  $\ell$ . The BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING problem asks whether a bipartite 2-page book embedding exists for a given bipartite graph. The equivalence between the two problems descends from the equivalence of both problems with the  $(2,2)$ -TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION problem, which asks, for a given bipartite graph  $G$ , whether a 2-level drawing of  $G$  and a 2-coloring of the edges of  $G$  exist such that no two edges of  $G$  with the same color cross. These equivalences easily follow from results by Dujmović, Pór, and Wood [21, 22]. See Fig. 1. We prove that the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING problem is NP-complete, which implies that the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY and the  $(2,2)$ -TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION problems are also NP-complete. The latter result solves an open question by Dujmović et al. [21].

The linear-time testing algorithm for 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY WITH FIXED ORDER is obtained by studying the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem. Given a bipartite graph  $G$  and a total order  $\pi_b$  of its black vertices, the problem asks whether  $G$  admits a bipartite 2-page book embedding in which the black vertices appear (consecutively) along the spine in the order  $\pi_b$ . We show a linear-time algorithm to solve the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem. The outline of the algorithm is as follows.

First, we augment  $G$  with a path  $P$  connecting the black vertices in the order  $\pi_b$ ; let  $H$  be the resulting graph. The basis of our algorithm is a structural characterization of the planar embeddings of  $H$  that allow for a bipartite 2-page book embedding with fixed order of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ ; these are called *good embeddings*. Namely, for a given planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ , we construct an auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E})$  whose vertex set consists of the red vertices of  $H$  and of the *red faces* of  $\mathcal{E}$ , which are those faces incident to at least two red vertices, and whose edges connect red vertices to their incident red faces. We show that  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  admits a bipartite 2-page book embedding with fixed order in which the planar embedding of  $H$  is  $\mathcal{E}$  if and only if  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a caterpillar whose backbone starts and ends “close” to the end-vertices of  $P$ ; when this happens,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a good embedding. Our problem now becomes the one of testing whether  $H$  admits a good embedding. It is interesting that caterpillars, that characterize 2-level planarity, also show up, coincidentally or not, in our characterization of 2-level quasi-planarity.

Second, we show that the problem of testing whether  $H$  admits a good embedding can be solved independently for each *rb-augmented component* of  $H$ ; this is a maximal biconnected component of  $H$  together with edges connecting its black vertices with degree-1 red vertices.

Third, we consider an *rb-augmented component*, which for simplicity we denote again by  $H$ . We decompose  $H$  along its separating pairs of vertices. For each separation pair  $\{u, v\}$ , we classify the subgraphs separated by  $\{u, v\}$ , usually called *triconnected components*, according to the color of  $u$  and  $v$ , and according to the portion of  $P$  they contain. We also classify the types of embeddings of the triconnected components according to several features, related to the structure of the subgraph of the caterpillar  $A(\mathcal{E})$  they contain. We then show how to test whether an embedding type is realizable by a graph, based on the embedding types that are realizable by its triconnected components. We represent the decomposition of  $H$  into its triconnected components

via an SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  [16]. Our algorithm performs a bottom-up traversal of  $\mathcal{T}$ , while computing, at each node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the set of realizable embedding types for the triconnected component associated with  $\mu$ . This is done using dynamic programming, by combining the embedding types that can be realized by the triconnected components associated with the children of  $\mu$ . Since there might be exponentially-many possible combinations, we need to argue that it suffices to consider “few” of them, without losing any realizable type of embedding. In order to achieve linear running time, with a methodology that resembles Thevenin’s theorem [41], which represents an arbitrarily complex electrical circuit with an equivalent circuit consisting only of a resistance and a source voltage, we represent an embedding of a triconnected component with a constant-size gadget that has the same embedding type as the triconnected component of the embedding it substitutes.

Our algorithm is constructive and returns a bipartite 2-page book embedding (which can also be easily transformed into a 2-level quasi-planar drawing) of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ , if it exists.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some preliminaries. In Section 4 we present the characterization for graphs with a fixed planar embedding. In Section 5 we reduce the problem to  $rb$ -augmented components. In Section 6 we classify the types of nodes of the SPQR-tree and the types of embeddings of the triconnected components associated with such nodes, and we present related structural results. In Section 7 we present our linear-time algorithm. Finally, in Section 8, we conclude and present some open problems.

## 2 Preliminaries and Relationships with Other Problems

In the paper we denote the vertex and edge sets of a graph  $G$  by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively. For simplicity, we denote  $|G| := |V(G)| + |E(G)|$ ; note that, whenever  $G$  is planar, we have  $|G| \in O(|V(G)|)$ . A *drawing* of a graph maps each vertex to a point in the plane and each edge to a Jordan arc between its end-vertices. A drawing is *planar* if no two edges cross. A graph is *planar* if it admits a planar drawing. A planar drawing partitions the plane into connected regions, called *faces*. The unbounded face is the *outer face*, while all the other faces are *internal*. Two planar drawings of a connected planar graph are *equivalent* if the clockwise order of the edges incident to each vertex is the same in both drawings. An equivalence class of planar drawings is called a *planar embedding* or, sometimes, just *embedding*. We often talk about planar embeddings as if they were actual planar drawings; when this happens, we are referring to any planar drawing within the equivalence class. This happens frequently in this paper because the problems we study are topological and the actual geometry of the drawings does not matter. For example, we often talk about a *face of a planar embedding*, meaning a face in any planar drawing within that equivalence class. In a planar embedding, an *internal vertex* is not incident to the outer face.

### 2.1 Equivalence Between 2-Level Quasi-Planarity and Related Problems

We observe that the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem is linear-time equivalent to two problems in the area of linear layouts, namely the problem of recognizing graphs that can be drawn on two tracks, called (2, 2)-TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION problem [21], and a variant of the 2-page book embedding problem for bipartite graphs, called BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING problem [3]. Using this equivalence, by proving that BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING is NP-complete, we obtain analogous results for the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY and the (2, 2)-TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION problems.

We start by formally defining the above mentioned problems and further related problems.

A *2-page book embedding* of a planar graph  $H$  is a planar drawing of  $H$  in which the vertices are placed along a Jordan curve  $\ell$ , called *spine*, and each edge is entirely drawn in one of the two regions of the plane delimited by  $\ell$ , which we call *pages*. The 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING

problem asks whether a 2-page book embedding exists for a given graph.

Now, consider a bipartite graph  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$ . The vertices in  $V_b$  are *black* vertices and those in  $V_r$  are *red* vertices. A *bipartite 2-page book embedding* of  $G$  is a 2-page book embedding such that all the vertices in  $V_b$  occur consecutively along the spine (and thus all the vertices in  $V_r$  occur consecutively as well). We call the corresponding decision problem BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING. For simplicity, we use B2BE as an abbreviation for both a bipartite 2-page book embedding and for the corresponding decision problem.

We will also consider a version of the problem in which, together with a bipartite graph  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$ , the input also contains a total order  $\pi_b$  of the vertices in  $V_b$ . The question is then whether  $G$  admits a B2BE in which the vertices in  $V_b$  appear (consecutively) along the spine in the order  $\pi_b$ . We call the corresponding decision problem BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER. For simplicity, we use B2BEFO as an abbreviation for both a bipartite 2-page book embedding with fixed order and for the corresponding decision problem.

A  $(k, t)$ -*track layout*  $\langle \gamma, \Sigma, \omega \rangle$  of a graph  $G$  consists of a proper vertex  $t$ -coloring  $\gamma : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$  of  $G$ , of total orders  $\Sigma = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_t \rangle$  for the vertices in each color class, and of an edge  $k$ -coloring  $\omega : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that there exist no two edges  $e' = (u, v)$  and  $e'' = (w, z)$  with  $\gamma(u) = \gamma(w)$ ,  $\gamma(v) = \gamma(z)$ ,  $\omega(e') = \omega(e'')$ ,  $u \prec_{\xi_{C(u)}} w$ , and  $z \prec_{\xi_{C(z)}} v$ . A graph is a  $(k, t)$ -*track graph* if it admits a  $(k, t)$ -track layout. The  $(k, t)$ -TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION problem takes as input a graph and asks whether it is a  $(k, t)$ -track graph [21].

The following lemmata were proved<sup>1</sup> by Dujmović, Pór, and Wood [21, 22]. Refer to Fig. 1.

**Lemma 1.** [21, Lemma 2] *Let  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$  be a bipartite graph and let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be total orders of  $V_b$  and  $V_r$ , respectively. The following statements are equivalent.*

- *The 2-level drawing in which the vertices in  $V_b$  lie along a horizontal line  $\ell_b$  in left-to-right order  $\xi_1$ , the vertices in  $V_r$  lie along a distinct horizontal line  $\ell_r$  in left-to-right order  $\xi_2$ , and the edges in  $E$  are straight-line segments is quasi-planar.*
- *There exists an edge 2-coloring  $\omega : E \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  such that  $\langle \gamma, \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle, \omega \rangle$  is a  $(2, 2)$ -track layout of  $G$ , where  $\gamma$  is the vertex 2-coloring of  $G$  that defines the color classes  $V_b$  and  $V_r$ .*

**Lemma 2.** [22, Lemma 1] *Let  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$  be a bipartite graph, let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be total orders of  $V_b$  and  $V_r$ , respectively, and let  $\omega : E \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  be an edge 2-coloring of  $G$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- *The total order  $\xi_1 \circ \overleftarrow{\xi_2}$  of the vertices of  $G$  and the page assignment  $\omega$  for the edges of  $G$  define a bipartite 2-page book embedding, where  $\overleftarrow{\xi_2}$  is the reverse order with respect to  $\xi_2$ .*
- *$\langle \gamma, \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle, \omega \rangle$  is a  $(2, 2)$ -track layout of  $G$ , where  $\gamma$  is the vertex 2-coloring of  $G$  that defines the color classes  $V_b$  and  $V_r$ .*

From Lemmas 1 and 2 we get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** *The following problems are linear-time equivalent: (i) 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY, (ii) BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING, and (iii)  $(2, 2)$ -TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION.*

**Corollary 2.** *The following problems are linear-time equivalent: (i) 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY WITH FIXED ORDER, (ii) BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER, and (iii)  $(2, 2)$ -TRACK WITH FIXED ORDER GRAPH RECOGNITION.*

<sup>1</sup>In [21, 22] more general versions of the results we state here are actually proved, dealing with  $(k, t)$ -track layouts and  $k$ -page book embeddings, where  $k$  and  $t$  might be larger than 2.



Although we did not introduce the (2, 2)-TRACK WITH FIXED ORDER GRAPH RECOGNITION problem, its definition can be easily derived from the other problems presented in this section.

In view of [Corollaries 1 and 2](#), in the remainder of the paper we study the complexity of the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY and of 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY WITH FIXED ORDER under the notation of the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING and BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problems, respectively. In the following we discuss properties concerning this problem and introduce further definitions.

## 2.2 Properties and Definitions for Bipartite 2-Page Book Embeddings

Let  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$  be a bipartite planar graph. Let  $G^+$  be a planar supergraph of  $G$  whose vertex set is  $V_b \cup V_r$  and whose edge set is  $E \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Hamiltonian cycle that traverses all the vertices of  $V_b$  (and, thus, also of  $V_r$ ) consecutively. We say that a cycle  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying the above condition is a *saturator* of  $G$ . An edge of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *saturating edge*. Also, a saturating edge is *black* if it connects two vertices in  $V_b$ , and it is *red* if it connects two vertices in  $V_r$ . Note that the saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of four paths: A path  $P = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$  consisting of black saturating edges, a path  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_p)$  consisting of red saturating edges, and two edges, namely, the edge  $(b_m, r_1)$  and the edge  $(r_p, b_1)$ . The end-vertices of  $P$  and the end-vertices of  $R$  are the *black* and the *red end-vertices* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , respectively. We formalize a simple property of saturators.

**Property 1.** *In any planar embedding of  $G^+$ , or in any bipartite 2-page book embedding of  $G$ , each red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  shares a face with a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and vice versa.*

The 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING problem boils down to determining whether the input graph admits a Hamiltonian planar spanning supergraph [8] and it was proved NP-complete by Wigderson [44]. The next lemma shows a similar characterization for the B2BE problem.

**Lemma 3.** *A bipartite graph  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$  admits a bipartite 2-page book embedding if and only if it admits a saturator.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a B2BE of  $G$  and let  $\ell$  be the closed curve traversing the vertices of  $V_r$  and the vertices of  $V_b$  consecutively in  $\Gamma$ . We construct a saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $G$  by joining with an edge any two vertices that are consecutive along  $\ell$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a saturator of  $G$ . By the definition of saturator,  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C})$  is planar. Let  $\Gamma$  be a planar drawing of  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ . By interpreting  $\mathcal{C}$  as a closed curve, we have that  $\Gamma$  is a B2BE of  $G$ .  $\square$

The following will turn useful.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$  be a bipartite planar graph that admits a B2BE and that has a unique (up to a flip) planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$ . Also, let  $f$  be a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  bounded by a length-4 cycle  $c_f = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  of  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a saturator of  $G$ . We have that*

- a) *if  $(v_1, v_3) \notin \mathcal{C}$ , then  $(v_2, v_4) \in \mathcal{C}$ , and vice versa, and*
- b) *in any planar drawing  $\Gamma$  of  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C})$  either  $(v_1, v_3)$  or  $(v_2, v_4)$  lies inside  $c_f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a saturator of  $G$  and assume, w.l.o.g., that  $v_1, v_3 \in V_b$  and  $v_2, v_4 \in V_r$ . Observe that, since  $G$  has a unique (up to a flip) planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$ , then the restriction to  $G$  of any planar drawing  $\Gamma$  of  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C})$  induces the embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  (or its flip).

Clearly, since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a saturator, we have that  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  are connected by a subpath  $P_b$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of black saturating edges, and that  $v_2$  and  $v_4$  are connected by a subpath  $P_r$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of red saturating edges. Also, if  $(v_1, v_3)$  exists in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $P_b = (v_1, v_3)$ ; similarly, if  $(v_2, v_4)$  exists in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $P_r = (v_2, v_4)$ .




Figure 2: (left) A biconnected planar graph  $H$  containing the edge  $(s, t)$  and (right) the SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $H$  rooted at the Q-node representing  $(s, t)$ . The leaves of  $\mathcal{T}$ , that is, the Q-nodes different from the root of  $\mathcal{T}$ , are omitted. The skeleton of each node of  $\mathcal{T}$  that is not a leaf of  $\mathcal{T}$  is represented inside a yellow region, corresponding to the node itself. Virtual edges corresponding to omitted Q-nodes are drawn thin, whereas virtual edges corresponding to S-, P-, and R-nodes are drawn thick. The pertinent graph  $H_\mu$  of the S-node  $\mu$  is enclosed in the blue shaded region. The allocation nodes of the vertex  $b$  (squared vertex) are in the green-shaded region; the child of the root of  $\mathcal{T}$  is the proper allocation node of  $b$ . The poles of the skeletons are filled white.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that neither  $(v_1, v_3)$  nor  $(v_2, v_4)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $P_b$  and  $P_r$  both contain some vertices different from  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ , and  $v_4$ , hence they both lie outside  $c_f$  (thus,  $c_f$  also bounds a face of  $\Gamma$ ). Since the end-vertices of  $P_b$  and  $P_r$  alternate along  $c_f$  and since they do not share any vertex, they cross in  $\Gamma$ , a contradiction which proves [Condition a](#). Thus, one of  $P_b$  and  $P_r$ , say  $P_b$ , lies in the interior of  $f$ . We prove that  $P_b$  contains no internal vertices, that is,  $P_b = (v_1, v_3)$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $(v_1, \dots, x, \dots, v_3)$ , where  $x \in V_b$  and  $x \notin \{v_1, v_3\}$ . However, this implies that  $x$  is incident to  $f$  and hence that  $f$  is not bounded by the simple cycle  $c_f$ , a contradiction which proves [Condition b](#).  $\square$

### 2.3 SPQR-trees and Planar Embeddings

Let  $G$  be a graph. A *cut-vertex* in a graph  $G$  is a vertex whose removal disconnects  $G$ . A *separation pair* in  $G$  is a pair of vertices whose removal disconnects  $G$ . Graph  $G$  is *biconnected* (*triconnected*) if it has no cut-vertex (resp. no separation pair). A *biconnected component* (or *block*) of  $G$  is a maximal (in terms of vertices and edges) biconnected subgraph of  $G$ . If  $G$  contains the vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , we say that it is *st-biconnectible* if the graph  $G \cup (s, t)$  is biconnected.

Let  $H$  be an  $n$ -vertex biconnected planar graph. A *split pair* of  $H$  is either a separation pair or a pair of adjacent vertices of  $H$ . A *maximal split component* of  $H$  with respect to a split pair  $\{u, v\}$  (or, simply, a *maximal split component* of  $\{u, v\}$ ) is either an edge  $(u, v)$  or a maximal subgraph  $H'$  of  $H$  such that  $H'$  contains  $u$  and  $v$  and  $\{u, v\}$  is not a split pair of  $H'$ . A vertex  $w$  distinct from  $u$  and  $v$  belongs to exactly one maximal split component of  $\{u, v\}$ . We define a *split component* of  $\{u, v\}$  as the union of any number of maximal split components of  $\{u, v\}$ . A split pair  $\{u, v\}$  is *maximal*, if there is no distinct split pair  $\{w, z\}$  in  $H$  such that  $\{u, v\}$  is contained in a split component of  $\{w, z\}$ .

The SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $H$ , defined in [16], describes a recursive decomposition of  $H$  with respect to its split pairs and represents succinctly all the planar embeddings of  $H$ . The tree  $\mathcal{T}$  is a rooted tree with four types of nodes: S, P, Q, and R; refer to [Fig. 2](#). Any node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is associated with a planar  $uv$ -biconnectible graph, called *skeleton* of  $\mu$ , which might contain multiple edges and which we denote by  $sk(\mu)$ . The tree  $\mathcal{T}$  is recursively defined as follows.

Let  $(s, t)$  be an edge of  $H$ , called *reference edge*. We initialize  $\mathcal{T}$  with a Q-node  $\rho$  representing



the edge  $(s, t)$ ;  $\rho$  is the *root* of  $\mathcal{T}$ . The skeleton of  $\rho$  consists of two parallel edges  $(s, t)$ , namely a *real* edge  $(s, t)$  and a *virtual* edge  $(s, t)$ . Further, we insert into  $\mathcal{T}$  a child  $\tau$  of  $\rho$ .

Now assume that we are given a node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , a split component  $H_\mu$  of  $H$ , and a pair of vertices  $\{u, v\}$  of  $H_\mu$ , where (i)  $H_\mu$  is a planar  $uv$ -biconnectible graph called *pertinent graph* of  $\mu$ , and (ii)  $u$  and  $v$  are two vertices of  $H_\mu$  called *poles* of  $\mu$ .

In order to meet this assumption after the initialization of  $\mathcal{T}$ , we let  $\mu = \tau$ , we let  $H_\mu$  be the graph obtained from  $H$  by removing the edge  $(s, t)$ , and we let  $\{u, v\} = \{s, t\}$ .

- *Trivial case.* If  $H_\mu$  consists of a single edge  $(u, v)$ , we have that  $\mu$  is a Q-node and is a leaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ ; further,  $sk(\mu)$  also coincides with the edge  $(u, v)$ .
- *Series case.* If  $H_\mu$  is not a single edge and is not biconnected, we have that  $\mu$  is an S-node. Let  $c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}$  (for some  $k \geq 2$ ) be the cut-vertices of  $H_\mu$ , in the order in which they appear in any simple path in  $H_\mu$  from  $c_0 = u$  to  $c_k = v$ . Then  $sk(\mu)$  is a path  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k)$ . We insert  $k$  children  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the pertinent graph  $H_{\mu_i}$  of  $\mu_i$  is the biconnected component of  $H_\mu$  containing the vertices  $c_{i-1}$  and  $c_i$ . Further, the poles of  $\mu_i$  are the vertices  $c_{i-1}$  and  $c_i$ .
- *Parallel case.* If  $H_\mu$  is not a single edge, if it is biconnected, and if  $\{u, v\}$  is a split pair of  $H_\mu$  defining  $k$  split components of  $H_\mu$ , we have that  $\mu$  is a P-node. Then  $sk(\mu)$  consists of  $k$  parallel edges  $(u, v)$ . We insert  $k$  children  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . The pertinent graphs  $H_{\mu_1}, \dots, H_{\mu_k}$  of  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  are the split components of  $H_\mu$ ; these are planar  $uv$ -biconnectible graphs. Further, the poles of  $\mu_i$  are  $u$  and  $v$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .
- *Rigid case.* If  $H_\mu$  is not a single edge, if it is biconnected, and if  $\{u, v\}$  is not a split pair of  $H_\mu$ , we have that  $\mu$  is an R-node. Let  $\{u_1, v_1\}, \dots, \{u_k, v_k\}$  be the maximal split pairs of  $H_\mu$ . We insert  $k$  children  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the pertinent graph  $H_{\mu_i}$  of  $\mu_i$  is the union of all the split components of  $\{u_i, v_i\}$ ; then  $H_{\mu_i}$  is a planar  $uv$ -biconnectible graph. The graph  $sk(\mu)$  is obtained from  $H_\mu$  by replacing each subgraph  $H_{\mu_i}$  with an edge  $(u_i, v_i)$ . Then  $sk(\mu)$  is a planar  $uv$ -biconnectible graph that becomes triconnected if the edge  $uv$  is added to it.

For each node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  that is not a Q-node, the edges of  $sk(\mu)$  are called *virtual edges*, as they do not correspond to real edges of  $H$ , but rather to subgraphs of  $H$ . Indeed, every virtual edge  $e_i$  in  $sk(\mu)$  is associated with a child  $\mu_i$  of  $\mu$  and thus corresponds to the pertinent graph  $H_{\mu_i}$ , which in fact we also denote by  $H_{e_i}$ . On the other hand, for a Q-node different from the root of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the only edge of  $sk(\mu)$  is not virtual, but rather is a *real edge*.

Let  $w$  be a vertex of  $H$ . The *allocation nodes* of  $w$  are the nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  whose skeletons contain  $w$ . Note that  $w$  has at least one allocation node. The lowest common ancestor of the allocation nodes of  $w$  is itself an allocation node of  $w$ , called the *proper allocation node* of  $w$ . We have the following.

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mu$  be a non-root node of  $\mathcal{T}$  and let  $w$  be a vertex of  $sk(\mu)$ . Then,  $\mu$  is the proper allocation node of  $w$  if and only if  $w$  is not a pole of  $\mu$ .

If  $H$  has  $n$  vertices, then  $\mathcal{T}$  has  $O(n)$  nodes and the total number of virtual edges in the skeletons of the nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  is in  $O(n)$ . From a computational complexity perspective,  $\mathcal{T}$  can be constructed in  $O(n)$  time [26]. To ease the description of our embedding algorithms, we use the slightly modified version of SPQR-trees defined in [18], where each S-node has exactly two children. The SPQR-trees defined in this way can still be constructed in  $O(n)$  time.

The SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  allows to succinctly and recursively construct all the planar embeddings of  $H$ . We now explain this fact.

First, for any node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the restriction of any planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  to the vertices and edges of  $H_\mu$  is a planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  in which the poles of  $\mu$  are incident to a common

face. This is best seen by assuming<sup>2</sup> that the reference edge  $(s, t)$  of  $H$  is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}$ ; then the poles of  $\mu$  are incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . A planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  also defines a *corresponding* planar embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  for the skeleton  $sk(\mu)$  of each node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  different from the root. The embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  can be obtained from the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  by replacing the pertinent graph of each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$  with  $e_i$ .

Second, every planar embedding of  $H$  in which  $(s, t)$  is incident to the outer face can be obtained by bottom-up traversing  $\mathcal{T}$  and constructing, at each node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  different from the root, a planar embedding of  $H_\mu$  in which the poles of  $\mu$  are incident to the outer face. This is done by performing two choices at  $\mu$ : (i) a planar embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  for  $sk(\mu)$  such that the poles of  $\mu$  are incident to the outer face; and (ii) for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , whether to flip or not the already constructed embedding of the pertinent graph of  $e_i$ , where a *flip* is a reversal of the adjacency list of each vertex. From these choices, a planar embedding of  $H_\mu$  in which the poles of  $\mu$  are incident to the outer face is constructed starting from the chosen planar embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of  $sk(\mu)$  and by replacing each virtual edge  $e_i$  with the already constructed embedding of the pertinent graph of  $e_i$  or with its flip, as chosen. Different types of nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  allow for different choices for the planar embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of  $sk(\mu)$ . If a node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is an S-node, then  $sk(\mu)$  is a path and has a unique planar embedding, hence there is nothing to choose. If  $\mu$  is an R-node, then its skeleton  $sk(\mu)$  also has a unique planar embedding in which the poles of  $\mu$  are incident to the outer face [43], up to a flip, and again there is nothing to choose. Conversely, if  $\mu$  is a P-node, then  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  can be chosen as any permutation of its virtual edges. After bottom-up traversing  $\mathcal{T}$  up to the child  $\tau$  of the root, a planar embedding of  $H$  is constructed by inserting the edge  $(s, t)$  in the outer face of the constructed embedding of  $H_\tau$ .

In the above bottom-up construction of an embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ , an injection is naturally defined from the internal faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  to the faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is the embedding of the skeleton  $sk(\mu)$  of a node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  chosen in the construction of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Indeed, for every internal face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  incident to virtual edges  $e_1, \dots, e_k$ , there is a distinct and unique face  $g$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  that is delimited by edges of the pertinent graphs of all of  $e_1, \dots, e_k$ ; then  $g$  is the face of  $\mathcal{E}$  that *corresponds to*  $f$  (see Fig. 3 for an example). This correspondence also extends to the outer face(s) of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  in a slightly less obvious way. Note that the restriction  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  to the pertinent graph  $H_\mu$  of a non-root node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  has an outer face that is delimited by two paths between the poles of  $\mu$ , possibly sharing some vertices and edges. These two paths are incident to distinct faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ . These are called *outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$* ; in fact, we often refer to the outer faces of an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of the pertinent graph  $H_\mu$  of a non-root node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  even when an entire embedding of  $H$  is not specified. By convention, if  $u$  and  $v$  denote the poles of  $\mu$ , we call *left outer face*  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  (*right outer face*  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ) the outer face that is delimited by the path obtained by walking in clockwise direction (resp. in counter-clockwise direction) from  $u$  to  $v$  along the boundary of the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . The terms left outer face and right outer face come from the fact that we usually think about  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  as having a pole  $u$  of  $\mu$  at the bottom and the other pole  $v$  of  $\mu$  at the top. We also talk about left and right outer faces  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ , respectively, of the embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of  $sk(\mu)$ . These can be defined by adding an edge  $(u, v)$  in the outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ ; then the *left outer face* of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  (*right outer face* of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ ) is the face delimited by the cycle composed of  $(u, v)$  and of the path obtained by walking in clockwise direction (resp. in counter-clockwise direction) from  $u$  to  $v$  along the boundary of the outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ .

### 3 Complexity

In this section, we show that the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING (B2BE) problem is NP-complete. This result and Corollary 1 then imply that the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY and

<sup>2</sup>We remark that, in all the problems we address in this paper, the existence of an outer face is not relevant other than for ease of description. Then it can be assumed without loss of generality, as we do in the following, that a certain edge is incident to the outer face of every planar embedding we consider.




Figure 3: Consider the R-node  $\nu$  child of the root of the SPQR-tree of Fig. 2. The internal face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  corresponds to face  $g$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Faces  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$  are the left outer face and the right outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$ , respectively, and correspond to faces  $\ell_\nu$  and  $r_\nu$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , respectively.

the (2,2)-TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION problems are also NP-complete.

The membership in NP is trivial. Therefore, in the remainder of the section we will focus on proving the NP-hardness of the problem.

**Leveled Planarity.** Our NP-hardness proof is based on a polynomial-time reduction from an NP-complete problem called LEVELED PLANARITY [29]. We start with a definition.

**Definition 1.** A *leveled planar drawing* of a graph  $H = (V, E)$  is a triple  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$  containing:

1. an integer  $k \leq |V|$ ,
2. a function  $\gamma : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that, for each edge  $(u, v) \in E$ , it holds  $\gamma(v) = \gamma(u) \pm 1$ , and
3. a sequence of orders  $\Sigma = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k \rangle$ , where  $\xi_i : U_i \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |U_i|\}$  with  $U_i := \{v \in V : \gamma(v) = i\}$ , such that, for any two edges  $(u, v), (p, q) \in E$  with  $a = \gamma(u) = \gamma(p)$  and  $a + 1 = \gamma(v) = \gamma(q)$ , it holds that  $\xi_a(u) < \xi_a(p)$  if and only if  $\xi_{a+1}(v) < \xi_{a+1}(q)$ .

A graph  $H = (V, E)$  is *leveled planar* if it admits a leveled planar drawing  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$ .

We say that the subset  $U_i$  of  $V$  is *level  $i$*  of  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Observe that bipartiteness is necessary for a graph to be leveled planar, by Condition 2 of Definition 1.

The LEVELED PLANARITY problem, proved NP-complete by Heath and Rosenberg [29], asks whether a graph is leveled planar. In fact, in [29], it is shown that LEVELED PLANARITY is NP-complete also when the following two properties hold:

- (i) the instance is connected; and
- (ii) the input also specifies the number  $k$  of levels and two special vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  such that  $v_1$  is the only vertex on level 1 and  $v_k$  is the only vertex on level  $k$ , i.e.,  $\gamma^{-1}(1) = \{v_1\}$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(k) = \{v_k\}$ .

Furthermore, the proof in [29] can be easily adapted to show that the problem remains NP-complete even when  $k$  is constrained to be odd. We call the resulting problem ODD-LEVELED PLANARITY and denote its input as a quadruple  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$ , where  $H$  is a connected bipartite graph,  $k$  is an odd integer, and  $v_1, v_k \in V(H)$ .

**Proof strategy.** To prove the NP-hardness of B2BE we proceed as follows. A *subdivision* of a graph  $K$  is a graph  $K'$  obtained by replacing some edges of  $K$  with paths whose internal vertices have degree 2 in  $K'$ ; these are called *subdivision vertices*. Let  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$  be an instance of ODD-LEVELED PLANARITY. First, we construct a graph  $F_k$ , called *frame*, that has the following properties (refer to Fig. 4):




Figure 4: (a) The frame  $F_9$ ; the face  $f_{in}$  bounded by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$  is shaded gray. (b) The graph  $F_9$  together with the edges of its unique saturator; the red and black edges of the saturator are thick. The face bounded by the 4-cycle  $c_4 = (v_3, v_4, x_4, x_3, v_3)$  is shaded gray. (c) A planar drawing of  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C}_G)$ , where  $G = F_9 \cup H$ , the graph  $H$  (in the shaded-gray region) is a positive instance of ODD-LEVELED PLANARITY with  $k = 9$  and  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a saturator of  $G$ .

- (1)  $F_k$  is a bipartite graph which is a subdivision of a triconnected planar graph (and, thus, it has a unique planar embedding, up to a flip [43]);
- (2)  $F_k$  has a unique face  $f_{in}$  consisting of  $2k$  vertices, while all the other faces have 4 vertices (see Fig. 4a); and
- (3)  $F_k$  admits a unique saturator  $\mathcal{C}$ ; in such a saturator the edges of  $\mathcal{C}$  traversing  $f_{in}$  form a non-crossing matching  $M = \{(v_i, x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$  of alternating red and black edges (see Fig. 4b).

Then we combine  $H$  with  $F_k$  into a new graph  $G$ , by identifying  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  with the corresponding vertices of  $f_{in}$ ; this ensures that  $G$  is bipartite and that  $H$  lies inside  $f_{in}$  in any planar embedding of  $G$  (and thus in any B2BE of  $G$ ). Finally, we show that any saturator  $\mathcal{C}_G$  of  $G$  is obtained from the unique saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $F_k$  by subdividing the edges of  $M$  into a set  $P$  of paths; this is done by suitably inserting all the vertices of  $H$  different from  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  into the edges of  $M$  (see Fig. 4c). This allows us to establish a one-to-one correspondence between a saturator of  $G$  and a solution  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$  of ODD-LEVELED PLANARITY for  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$ , where the assignment  $\gamma$  of the vertices of  $H$  to the levels and the order  $\xi_i \in \Sigma$  of the vertices of each level  $i$  are those defined by the paths in  $P$ .

**Frame gadget.** We describe the frame  $F_k$ ; refer to Fig. 4a.

We initialize  $F_k$  to the union of an *inner cycle*  $c_{in} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_2, v_1)$  and an *outer cycle*  $(w_{-1}, w_0, \dots, w_{k+1}, w_{k+2}, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_0, w_{-1})$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, \frac{k-1}{2}$ , we add the edges  $(w_i, v_{i+1})$  and  $(z_i, x_{i+1})$ , where  $x_1 = v_1$ ; also, for  $i = \frac{k+1}{2}, \dots, k$ , we add the edges  $(v_i, w_{i+1})$  and  $(x_i, z_{i+1})$ , where  $x_k = v_k$ . Then, for  $i = 1, \dots, \frac{k-1}{2}$ , we add a vertex  $u_i$  together with the edges  $(w_{i-1}, u_i)$  and  $(u_i, v_{i+1})$ , and a vertex  $y_i$  together with the edges  $(z_{i-1}, y_i)$  and  $(y_i, x_{i+1})$ . Also, for  $i = \frac{k+3}{2}, \dots, k$ , we add a vertex  $u_i$  together with the edges  $(w_{i+1}, u_i)$  and  $(u_i, v_{i-1})$ , and a vertex  $y_i$  together with the edges  $(z_{i+1}, y_i)$  and  $(y_i, x_{i-1})$ . Further, we add a vertex  $u_0$  together




Figure 5: Illustration for the proof of Lemma 5, when  $(w_0, u_0) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(u_0, z_0) \in E(\mathcal{C})$ . In (b) and (c), the vertex  $w_{-1}$  is supposed to be a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . In (d), the vertex  $v_1$  is supposed to be a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

with the edges  $(w_{-1}, u_0)$  and  $(u_0, v_1)$ , a vertex  $u_{k+1}$  together with the edges  $(w_{k+2}, u_{k+1})$  and  $(u_{k+1}, v_k)$ , a vertex  $u_{\frac{k+1}{2}}$  together with the edges  $(w_{\frac{k-1}{2}}, u_{\frac{k+1}{2}})$  and  $(u_{\frac{k+1}{2}}, w_{\frac{k+3}{2}})$ , and a vertex  $y_{\frac{k+1}{2}}$  together with the edges  $(z_{\frac{k-1}{2}}, y_{\frac{k+1}{2}})$  and  $(y_{\frac{k+1}{2}}, z_{\frac{k+3}{2}})$ . Finally, for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , we add the edges  $(z_i, w_{i+1})$ .

By construction, the frame is bipartite and is a subdivision of a triconnected planar graph (with subdivision vertices  $u_0, \dots, u_{k+1}$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_k$ ). Hence, it has a unique planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  (up to a flip), whose faces all have length 4, except for the face  $f_{in}$  bounded by  $c_{in}$ , which has length  $2k$ . We now need to prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  has a unique saturator. We start with the following.

**Lemma 5.** *Any saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  of the frame  $F_k$  contains the saturating red edges  $(w_0, u_0)$ ,  $(u_0, z_0)$ ,  $(w_{k+1}, u_{k+1})$ , and  $(u_{k+1}, z_{k+1})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}'$  be any planar embedding of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ . In the following, we show that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the saturating red edges  $(w_0, u_0)$  and  $(u_0, z_0)$ ; the proof that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the saturating red edges  $(w_{k+1}, u_{k+1})$  and  $(u_{k+1}, z_{k+1})$  is analogous.

The only red vertex  $u_0$  shares faces of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_0$  and  $z_0$ ; hence, at least one of the saturating edges  $(w_0, u_0)$  and  $(u_0, z_0)$  must belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

First, suppose, for a contradiction, that  $(w_0, u_0) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(u_0, z_0) \in E(\mathcal{C})$ ; refer to Fig. 5. Since  $(w_0, u_0) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$ , by Lemma 4 we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$ ; further, the edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$  lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_{-1}, w_0, v_1, u_0)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ ; refer to Fig. 5a.

Since the only red vertices  $u_0$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_0$  and  $z_0$ , our assumption implies that  $u_0$  is a red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Also, since the only black vertices  $u_0$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $v_1$  and  $w_{-1}$ , by Property 1 one of such vertices must be a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $w_{-1}$  and  $v_1$  are not both black end-vertices of  $\mathcal{C}$ , as otherwise  $\mathcal{C}$  would not span all the black vertices of  $F_k$ , given that the path  $P$  of black vertices would only consist of the edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$ .

- Suppose first that  $w_{-1}$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $v_1$  is not; refer to Figs. 5b and 5c. Since  $w_{-1}$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and since the edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , the black saturating edge  $(w_{-1}, w_1)$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edge  $(w_0, z_0)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_{-1}, z_0, w_1, w_0)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Also, since  $z_0$  is adjacent to  $u_0$  and  $w_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains neither the red saturating edge  $(z_0, x_2)$  nor the red saturating edge  $(z_0, w_2)$ . Thus, by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edges  $(v_1, y_1)$ ,  $(y_1, z_1)$ , and  $(z_1, w_1)$ , which lie in the interior of the 4-cycles  $(z_0, v_1, x_2, y_1)$ ,  $(z_0, y_1, x_2, z_1)$ , and  $(z_0, z_1, w_2, w_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , respectively, since such cycles bound faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ ; refer to Fig. 5b.

Further, since  $v_1$  is adjacent to  $w_{-1}$  and  $y_1$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edge  $(v_1, u_1)$ . Thus, by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edge  $(w_0, v_2)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_0, u_1, v_2, v_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ . Again by Lemma 4, we have






Figure 6: Illustration for the proof of [Lemma 5](#), when  $(w_0, u_0) \in E(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(u_0, z_0) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$ . In (b), the vertex  $w_{-1}$  is supposed to be a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . In (c), the vertex  $v_1$  is supposed to be a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(w_1, u_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the cycle  $(w_0, w_1, v_2, u_1)$ , as this cycle bounds a face in  $\mathcal{E}$  (see [Fig. 5c](#)). Since the only black vertices the vertex  $u_1$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_1$  and  $v_1$ , and since  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edge  $(v_1, u_1)$ , it follows that  $u_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . However, the path  $(w_{-1}, v_1, y_1, z_1, w_1, u_1)$  of black saturating edges of  $\mathcal{C}$  does not span all the black vertices, which contradicts the assumption that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a saturator.

- Suppose next that  $v_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $w_{-1}$  is not; refer to [Fig. 5d](#). Since  $v_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the black saturating edges  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(v_1, y_1)$  do not belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, by [Lemma 4](#),  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edges  $(w_0, v_2)$  and  $(z_0, x_2)$ , which lie in the interior of the 4-cycles  $(w_0, u_1, v_2, v_1)$  and  $(z_0, v_1, x_2, y_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , respectively, as these cycles bound faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edges  $(v_1, u_1)$  and  $(v_1, y_1)$ . Since the only black vertices the vertex  $u_1$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_1$  and  $v_1$ , and since  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edge  $(v_1, u_1)$ , it follows that  $u_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Analogously, since the only black vertices the vertex  $y_1$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $z_1$  and  $v_1$ , and since  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edge  $(v_1, y_1)$ , it follows that  $y_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains three black end-vertices, namely  $u_1$ ,  $y_1$ , and  $v_1$ , a contradiction.

Suppose now that  $(w_0, u_0) \in E(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(u_0, z_0) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$ ; refer to [Fig. 6](#). Since  $(u_0, z_0) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$ , by [Lemma 4](#) we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_{-1}, u_0, v_1, z_0)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ ; refer to [Fig. 6a](#).

Since the only red vertices  $u_0$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_0$  and  $z_0$ , our assumption implies that  $u_0$  is a red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Also, since the only black vertices  $u_0$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $v_1$  and  $w_{-1}$ , by [Property 1](#) one of such vertices must be a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $w_{-1}$  and  $v_1$  are not both black end-vertices of  $\mathcal{C}$ , as otherwise  $\mathcal{C}$  would not span all the black vertices of  $F_k$ , given that the path  $P$  of black saturating edges would only consist of the edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$ .

- Suppose that  $w_{-1}$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $v_1$  is not; refer to [Fig. 6b](#). Since  $w_{-1}$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and since the edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , the black saturating edge  $(w_{-1}, w_1)$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, by [Lemma 4](#),  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edge  $(w_0, z_0)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_{-1}, z_0, w_1, w_0)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Also, since  $w_0$  is adjacent to  $u_0$  and  $z_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the red saturating edge  $(w_0, v_2)$ . Thus, by [Lemma 4](#),  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edges  $(w_1, u_1)$  and  $(u_1, v_1)$ , which lie in the interior of the 4-cycles  $(w_0, w_1, v_2, u_1)$  and  $(w_0, u_1, v_2, v_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , respectively, as these cycles bound faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ . This, in turn, implies that  $v_1$  is adjacent to  $u_1$  and  $w_{-1}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edge  $(v_1, y_1)$ . Therefore, by [Lemma 4](#),  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edge  $(z_0, x_2)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(z_0, v_1, x_2, y_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face in  $\mathcal{E}$ .






Figure 7: Illustrations for the proof of Properties (a) and (b) of Lemma 6.

Then Lemma 4 implies that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(y_1, z_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(z_0, y_1, x_2, z_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since the only black vertices  $y_1$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $v_1$  and  $z_1$ , we have that  $y_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Also, since  $z_0$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  and  $w_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the red saturating edge  $(z_0, w_2)$  does not belong  $\mathcal{C}$ , and thus by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(z_1, w_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(z_0, z_1, w_2, w_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . However, the path  $(w_{-1}, v_1, u_1, w_1, z_1, y_1)$  of black saturating edges of  $\mathcal{C}$  does not span all the black vertices, which contradicts the assumption that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a saturator.

- In the case in which  $v_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $w_{-1}$  is not, a contradiction can be derived exactly as in the case in which the same assumptions are satisfied with  $(u_0, z_0) \in E(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(w_0, u_0) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$ ; refer also to Fig. 6c.

Since a contradiction has been obtained in every case, it follows that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains both the red saturating edges  $(w_0, u_0)$  and  $(u_0, z_0)$ . This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We continue the investigation of the structure of a saturator of  $F_k$  with the following.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any saturator of the frame  $F_k$ . Then the following hold:*

- the vertices  $w_0$  and  $z_{k+1}$  are the red end-vertices of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the vertices  $w_{-1}$  and  $w_{k+2}$  are the black end-vertices of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,*
- $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edges  $(w_{-1}, w_1)$  and  $(w_{k+2}, z_k)$ , and the red saturating edges  $(z_0, w_2)$  and  $(w_{k+1}, z_{k-1})$ , and*
- $\mathcal{C}$  contains the saturating edges  $(w_i, u_i)$ ,  $(u_i, v_i)$ ,  $(x_i, y_i)$ ,  $(y_i, z_i)$ ,  $(z_i, w_{i+2})$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $x_1 = v_1$  and  $x_k = v_k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}'$  be any planar embedding of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ . We first prove Properties (a) and (b).

- The only black vertices the vertex  $w_{-1}$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_1$  and  $v_1$ . By Lemma 5,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the saturating red edges  $(w_0, u_0)$  and  $(u_0, z_0)$ , hence it does not contain the edge  $(w_{-1}, v_1)$ . It follows that  $w_{-1}$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(w_{-1}, w_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_{-1}, z_0, w_1, w_0)$ , as this cycle bounds a face in  $\mathcal{E}$ . An analogous proof shows that  $w_{k+2}$  is the other black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(w_{k+2}, z_k)$ .
- Next, we show that the vertex  $w_0$  is a red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ ; the proof that  $z_{k+1}$  is the other red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  is analogous.

Since  $w_{-1}$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ , since the only red vertices  $w_{-1}$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_0$ ,  $u_0$ , and  $z_0$ , and since, by Lemma 5,  $u_0$  is adjacent to both  $w_0$  and  $z_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , by Property 1 we have that at least one of  $w_0$  and  $z_0$  is a red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The vertices  $w_0$  and  $z_0$  cannot be both red end-vertices of  $\mathcal{C}$ , as the path  $(w_0, u_0, z_0)$  does not span all the red vertices. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $z_0$  is a red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $w_0$  is not. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains neither the red saturating edge  $(z_0, x_2)$  nor the red saturating edge  $(z_0, w_2)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4, we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edges  $(v_1, y_1)$ ,  $(y_1, z_1)$ , and  $(z_1, w_1)$ , which lie in the interior of the 4-cycles  $(z_0, v_1, x_2, y_1)$ ,  $(z_0, y_1, x_2, z_1)$ , and  $(z_0, z_1, w_2, w_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$  (shaded gray in Fig. 7a), respectively, as these cycles bound faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Further, since  $w_1$  is adjacent to  $w_{-1}$  and  $z_1$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edge  $(w_1, u_1)$ . Thus, by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edges  $(w_0, v_2)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_0, w_1, v_2, u_1)$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  (shaded gray in Fig. 7b). Then, by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(u_1, v_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_0, u_1, v_2, v_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since the only black vertex  $u_1$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with are  $w_1$  and  $v_1$  and since  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the black saturating edge  $(w_1, u_1)$ , it follows that  $u_1$  is a black end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . However, the path  $(w_{-1}, w_1, z_1, y_1, v_1, u_1)$  of black saturating edges of  $\mathcal{C}$  does not span all the black vertices, which contradicts the assumption that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a saturator. This contradiction proves that  $w_0$  is a red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- Finally, we show that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edge  $(z_0, w_2)$ ; the proof that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edge  $(z_{k-1}, w_{k+1})$  is analogous; refer to Fig. 7c. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the red saturating edge  $(z_0, w_2)$ . Then, by Lemma 4, we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(z_1, w_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(z_0, z_1, w_2, w_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Moreover, since  $w_0$  is a red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and since, by Lemma 5,  $w_0$  is adjacent to  $u_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain the red saturating edge  $(w_0, v_2)$ . Lemma 4 then implies that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the black saturating edge  $(w_1, u_1)$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(w_0, w_1, v_2, u_1)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore, we have that  $w_1$  is adjacent to  $u_1$ ,  $z_1$ , and  $w_{-1}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , which contradicts the black saturating edges induce a path in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

It remains to prove Property (c) of the statement.

- First, we show that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the saturating edges  $(w_i, u_i)$ ,  $(u_i, v_i)$ ,  $(x_i, y_i)$ , and  $(y_i, z_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $x_1 = v_1$  and  $x_k = v_k$ . Observe that the only vertices of the color class of  $u_i$  which share a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $u_i$  are  $w_i$  and  $v_i$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{C}$  contains at least one of the edges  $(w_i, u_i)$  and  $(u_i, v_i)$ . Further, if  $\mathcal{C}$  contains just one of these two edges, then  $u_i$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  (for its color class), while the end-vertices of  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $w_{-1}$ ,  $w_0$ ,  $z_{k+1}$ , and  $w_{k+2}$ , by Property (a). The proof that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains both the edges  $(x_i, y_i)$  and  $(y_i, z_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , is analogous.
- Second, we prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the edge  $(z_i, w_{i+2})$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ; refer to Fig. 8. Assume that  $(z_{i-1}, w_{i+1}) \in E(\mathcal{C})$ , for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ; we prove that  $(z_i, w_{i+2}) \in E(\mathcal{C})$  as well. This is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains all the edges  $(z_1, w_3)$ ,  $(z_2, w_4)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(z_k, w_{k+2})$ , given that  $(z_0, w_2) \in E(\mathcal{C})$ , by Property (b). Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $(z_i, w_{i+2}) \notin E(\mathcal{C})$ . By Lemma 4, we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the red saturating edge  $(z_{i+1}, w_{i+1})$ , which lies in the interior of the 4-cycle  $(z_i, z_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, w_{i+1})$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , as this cycle bounds a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . However, this implies that  $w_{i+1}$  is adjacent to  $z_{i+1}$ , to  $u_{i+1}$  (as proved in the first item of this list), and to  $z_{i-1}$  (by assumption) in  $\mathcal{C}$ , a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Property (c) and hence of the lemma.  $\square$

We now turn our attention to the edges of the saturator that lie in  $f_{in}$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Any saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  of the frame  $F_k$  contains the saturating edges of the matching  $M := \{(v_i, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ ; see Fig. 4b. Moreover, all and only the saturating edges of  $M$  lie in the interior of the region delimited by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$  in any planar embedding of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ .*




Figure 8: Illustrations for the proof of Property (c) of Lemma 6.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}'$  be any planar embedding of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ . Let  $X$  be the set of saturating edges belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$  listed in the statements of Lemmas 5 and 6. Namely, the set  $X$  contains: 1. the red saturating edges  $(w_0, u_0)$ ,  $(u_0, z_0)$ ,  $(w_{k+1}, u_{k+1})$ , and  $(u_{k+1}, z_{k+1})$  (from Lemma 5), and 2. the black saturating edges  $(w_{-1}, w_1)$  and  $(w_{k+2}, z_k)$ , the red saturating edges  $(z_0, w_2)$  and  $(w_{k+1}, z_{k-1})$ , and the saturating edges  $(w_i, u_i)$ ,  $(u_i, v_i)$ ,  $(x_i, y_i)$ ,  $(y_i, z_i)$ ,  $(z_i, w_{i+2})$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $x_1 = v_1$  and  $x_k = v_k$  (from Lemma 6).

We first observe that, for any planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}_k$  of  $F_k \cup X$ , there is a face of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  which is delimited by  $c_{in}$ , while every other face of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  is delimited by a 3-cycle. This observation descends from the existence of a bijection between the edges of  $X$  and the faces of  $\mathcal{E}$  delimited by 4-cycles. That is, for every edge  $(a, b) \in X$  there is a unique face of  $\mathcal{E}$  incident to both  $a$  and  $b$ ; further, such a face is delimited by a 4-cycle. Conversely, for every face of  $\mathcal{E}$  that is delimited by a 4-cycle, there is a unique edge  $(a, b) \in X$  whose end-vertices are both incident to  $f$ . Therefore, since all the faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ , except for  $f_{in}$ , are delimited by 4-cycles, all the faces of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  are delimited by 3-cycles, except for the one delimited by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$ , which bounds  $f_{in}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $M$  be a set consisting of the red saturating edges of  $\mathcal{C}$  and of the black saturating edges of  $\mathcal{C}$  that are not in  $X$ . In the following, we show that:

- (A) the edges in  $M$  form a matching that, in any planar embedding of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ , lies in the region delimited by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$ , and
- (B)  $M = \{(v_i, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ .

*Proof of (A).* Since in any planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}_k$  of  $F_k \cup X$ , there is a face of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  which is delimited by  $c_{in}$ , while every other face of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  is delimited by a 3-cycle, it follows that the edges of  $M$  lie in the interior of  $c_{in}$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$  and that, therefore, their end-vertices belong to  $c_{in}$ .

Now consider the vertices  $v_i$ 's and  $x_i$ 's. By Lemma 6, we have that:

1.  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  are incident to two saturating edges in  $X$ , and
2. for  $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ , each of  $v_i$  and  $x_i$  is incident to one saturating edge in  $X$ .

By Lemma 6, we have that no vertex  $v_i$  and no vertex  $x_i$  is a black or red end-vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence,  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  have degree 0 in  $M$ , while  $v_i$  and  $x_i$  have degree 1 in  $M$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ . This implies that the edges in  $M$  form a matching.

*Proof of (B).* Consider the subpaths  $P_\ell = (v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{k-1})$  and  $P_r = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k-1})$  of  $c_{in}$ .

First, we show that there exists no edge  $(v_i, v_j)$  in  $M$ , with  $2 \leq i < j \leq k-1$ ; the proof that there exists no edge  $(x_i, x_j)$  in  $M$ , with  $2 \leq i < j \leq k-1$ , is analogous. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $M$  contains an edge  $(v_i, v_j)$  with  $2 \leq i < j \leq k-1$ . Assume, w.l.o.g., that  $j-i$  is minimum among all the edges  $(v_i, v_j)$  in  $M$  with  $2 \leq i < j \leq k-1$ . Since  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  both belong to  $V_r$  or both belong to  $V_b$ , and since  $F_k$  is bipartite, we have that the subpath of  $P_\ell$

between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  contains at least one internal vertex, namely  $v_{i+1}$ . By the minimality of  $j - i$ , the edge in  $M$  incident to  $v_{i+1}$  is also incident to a vertex of  $c_{in}$  that does not belong to the subpath of  $P_\ell$  between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ . Since all the edges in  $M$  lie in the interior of  $c_{in}$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , such an edge crosses  $(v_i, v_j)$ , which contradicts the planarity of  $\mathcal{E}'$ .

It follows that every edge in  $M$  is of the form  $(v_i, x_j)$ , with  $2 \leq i \leq k - 1$  and  $2 \leq j \leq k - 1$ . We show that no edge  $(v_i, x_j)$  in  $M$  is such that  $i \neq j$ ; this completes the proof that  $M = \{(v_i, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$ . Indeed, if an edge  $(v_i, x_j)$  with  $i \neq j$  is in  $M$ , then the subpath of  $c_{in}$  connecting  $v_i$  and  $x_j$  and passing through  $v_k$  contains a different number of internal vertices belonging to  $P_\ell$  and to  $P_r$ . Therefore,  $M$  contains either an edge crossing  $(v_i, x_j)$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ , contradicting the planarity of  $\mathcal{E}'$ , or an edge  $(v_{i'}, v_{j'})$  with  $2 \leq i' < j' \leq k - 1$ , or an edge  $(x_{i'}, x_{j'})$  with  $2 \leq i' < j' \leq k - 1$ , where the last two cases have been ruled out above.  $\square$

Altogether [Lemmas 5 to 7](#) imply the following.

**Lemma 8.** *The frame  $F_k$  admits a unique saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  containing the set  $M = \{(v_i, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$  of saturating edges. Further, in any planar embedding of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ , all and only the saturating edges in  $M$  lie in the interior of the region delimited by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$ .*

We are ready to prove the following main result of this section.

**Theorem 1.** *The BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING problem is NP-complete.*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$  be an instance of ODD-LEVELED PLANARITY, where  $H$  is a connected bipartite graph,  $k$  is an odd integer, and  $v_1, v_k \in V(H)$ . We show how to construct in polynomial time a bipartite graph  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$  that admits a B2BE if and only if  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$  admits a leveled planar drawing  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$  such that  $\gamma^{-1}(1) = \{v_1\}$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(k) = \{v_k\}$ .

We construct the graph  $G$  as follows. First, we initialize  $G$  to the union of the frame  $F_k$  and of the graph  $H$ ; note that  $G$  now contains two copies of the vertex  $v_1$  and two copies of the vertex  $v_k$  (where a copy of each vertex belongs to  $F_k$  and another copy to  $H$ ). Then we identify the two copies of  $v_1$  and we identify the two copies of  $v_k$ . This concludes the construction of  $G$ , which can clearly be performed in polynomial time.

Note that  $G$  is a bipartite graph. This is due to the fact that  $F_k$  and  $H$  are bipartite and that, since  $k$  is odd, the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  belong to the same part of the bipartition of the vertex set of each of  $F_k$  and  $H$ . We let  $V_b$  consist of all the vertices of  $H$  that belong to the same part as  $v_1$  (and  $v_k$ ), and we let  $V_r$  consist of the remaining vertices of  $H$ .

We now show the equivalence between  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$  and  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$ .

( $\implies$ ) Suppose first that  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$  admits a leveled planar drawing  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$  such that  $\gamma^{-1}(1) = \{v_1\}$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(k) = \{v_k\}$ . We show that  $G$  admits a saturator  $\mathcal{C}_G$ , and thus, by [Lemma 3](#), it admits a B2BE.

We construct  $\mathcal{C}_G$  as follows. By [Lemma 8](#), we have that  $F_k$  admits a saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  containing the edges of the matching  $M = \{(v_i, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$ . We initialize  $\mathcal{C}_G$  to the edges of  $\mathcal{C}$ , except for the edges of  $M$ . For  $i = 2, \dots, k - 1$ , let  $\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^i, \dots, \alpha_{|U_i|}^i$  be the vertices in the level  $i$  of  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$ , in the linear order determined by  $\xi_i \in \Sigma$ ; that is, for each  $j = 1, \dots, |U_i|$ , we have  $\xi_i(\alpha_j^i) = j$ . We add the path of saturating edges  $P_i = (v_i, \alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^i, \dots, \alpha_{|U_i|}^i, x_i)$  to  $\mathcal{C}_G$ .

In the following, we show that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a saturator of  $G$ .

We start by proving that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a cycle that traverses all the vertices of  $V_r$  (and all the vertices of  $V_b$ ) consecutively. Note that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is obtained from the saturator  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $F_k$  by subdividing each edge  $(v_i, x_i)$  of  $M$  with the vertices in  $U_i$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, k - 1$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a cycle that spans all the vertices of  $G$ . Thus, it remains to show that the vertices  $v_i$  and  $x_i$  belong to the same part of the bipartition of  $V(G)$  as the vertices of  $U_i$ . First, we note that the vertices in each set  $U_i$  with  $i$  odd (resp., with  $i$  even) all belong to  $V_b$  (resp., to  $V_r$ ), given that  $v_1$  belongs to  $V_b$ , by construction. Second, the vertices  $v_i$  and  $x_i$  of  $F_k$  belong to  $V_b$  if  $i$  is odd, or to  $V_r$  otherwise, by the construction of  $F_k$ .

Next, we prove that  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C}_G)$  is planar. To this aim, we construct a planar drawing  $\Gamma$  of it in three steps as follows.

First, we initialize  $\Gamma$  to any planar drawing of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ ; see Fig. 4b. This is possible since the graph  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$  is planar, due to the fact that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a saturator of  $F_k$ . By Lemma 8, all and only the saturating edges in  $M$  lie in the interior of the region delimited by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$  in  $\Gamma$ . In fact, these edges “split” the interior of the cycle  $c_{in}$  into  $k - 1$  faces. In particular, for  $i = 2, \dots, k - 2$ , the 4-cycle  $c_i = (v_i, v_{i+1}, x_{i+1}, x_i)$  bounds a face of  $\Gamma$ , and the 3-cycles  $c_1 = (v_1, v_2, x_2)$  and  $c_{k-1} = (v_k, x_{k-1}, v_{k-1})$  bound two faces of  $\Gamma$ .

Second, we extend  $\Gamma$  to a planar drawing of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C}_G)$  as follows. For  $i = 2, \dots, k - 1$ , we replace the drawing of the edge  $(v_i, x_i)$  in  $\Gamma$  with a drawing of the path  $P_i = (v_i, \alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^i, \dots, \alpha_{|U_i|}^i, x_i)$ , by subdividing the curve representing  $(v_i, x_i)$  in  $\Gamma$  with the vertices  $\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^i, \dots, \alpha_{|U_i|}^i$ , in this order from  $v_i$  to  $x_i$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma$  remains planar after this modification. For  $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , let  $c'_i$  be the cycle of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C}_G)$  corresponding to the cycle  $c_i$  of  $F_k \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ ; that is,  $c'_i$  is the cycle obtained from  $c_i$  by subdividing its edges as described above.

Third, we extend  $\Gamma$  to a planar drawing of  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C}_G)$  by inserting the edges of  $H$  in  $\Gamma$  without introducing any crossings. For  $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , we draw the edges of  $H$  with an end-vertex in  $U_i$  and an end-vertex in  $U_{i+1}$  in the interior of the face of  $\Gamma$  bounded by the cycle  $c'_i$ . Clearly, the edges incident to  $v_1$  and the edges incident to  $v_k$  can easily be drawn in the interior of  $c'_1$  and of  $c'_{k-1}$ , respectively, without introducing any crossings, as these edges are all incident to a common vertex. For  $i = 2, \dots, k - 2$ , the edges in  $E(H) \cap (U_i \times U_{i+1})$  can also be drawn without crossings in the interior of  $c'_i$ , as for any two edges  $(\alpha_a^i, \alpha_b^{i+1})$  and  $(\alpha_c^i, \alpha_d^{i+1})$ , we have that  $a < c$  if and only if  $b < d$ , i.e., the end-vertices of  $(\alpha_a^i, \alpha_b^{i+1})$  and  $(\alpha_c^i, \alpha_d^{i+1})$  do not alternate in the cyclic ordering of the vertices of  $c'_i$ , given that  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$  is a leveled planar drawing of  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$ . This concludes the proof that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a saturator of  $G$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose now that  $G$  admits a B2BE, and thus, by Lemma 3, it admits a saturator  $\mathcal{C}_G$ . We show that  $\langle H, k, v_1, v_k \rangle$  admits a leveled planar drawing  $\langle k, \gamma, \Sigma \rangle$  such that  $\gamma^{-1}(1) = \{v_1\}$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(k) = \{v_k\}$ .

Consider any planar drawing  $\Gamma$  of  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C}_G)$ , which exists since  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a saturator of  $G$ ; see Fig. 4c. Recall that  $F_k$  admits a unique (up to a flip) planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  and that a face  $f_{in}$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is bounded by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$ . Since  $G$  is a supergraph of  $F_k$ , we have that restriction of  $\Gamma$  to  $F_k$  also contains a face bounded by the inner cycle  $c_{in}$ , which we again call  $f_{in}$ . Further, since  $H$  is connected, since  $v_1, v_k \in V(H)$ , and since  $v_1$  and  $v_k$  only share the face  $f_{in}$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have that all the vertices in  $V(H) \setminus \{v_1, v_k\}$  lie in the interior of  $c_{in}$  in  $\Gamma$ .

We now prove that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  contains a set of vertex-disjoint paths  $P_2, \dots, P_{k-1}$  spanning  $V(H)$  where, for  $i = 2, \dots, k - 1$ , the path  $P_i$  satisfies the following properties: (i) the end-vertices of  $P_i$  are  $v_i$  and  $x_i$ , and every internal vertex of  $P_i$  is in  $V(H)$ ; and (ii)  $P_i$  is either composed of all red saturating edges or of all black saturating edges.

By definition of saturator and since every edge of  $H$  connects two vertices in different color classes of  $G$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  contains at most two edges of  $H$  (in fact, we will argue later that  $\mathcal{C}_G$  contains no edge of  $H$ ). Since  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a cycle spanning the vertices of  $G$ , it follows that the vertices of  $H$ , together with their incident edges in  $\mathcal{C}_G$ , define a set of subpaths  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$  of  $\mathcal{C}_G$  satisfying the following properties: (a) the end-vertices of each path  $Q_i$  are two vertices of  $c_{in}$ ; (b) the internal vertices of each path  $Q_i$  are vertices of  $H$ ; (c) the paths  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$  span  $V(H)$ ; and (d) any two distinct paths  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  do not share any internal vertex. Note that Properties (a)–(d) do not exclude that two distinct paths  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  share an end-vertex (although we will prove later that they never do).

Since all the vertices in a color class of  $G$  appear consecutively in  $\mathcal{C}_G$ , it follows that each path  $Q_i$  contains at most one edge that is neither a red saturating edge nor a black saturating edge (i.e., an edge of  $H$ ). Hence, by removing from  $\Gamma$  all the edges of  $H$  that are not in  $\mathcal{C}_G$  and by then “flattening” each path  $Q_i$  into an edge  $q_i$  (i.e., by removing every internal vertex of  $Q_i$  from  $\Gamma$  and by interpreting the drawing of  $Q_i$  in  $\Gamma$  as the drawing of an edge  $q_i$  between its







**Corollary 3.** *The (2, 2)-TRACK GRAPH RECOGNITION problem is NP-complete.*

**Corollary 4.** *The 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem is NP-complete.*

## 4 Graphs with a Fixed Planar Embedding

From this section on, we work on the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER (B2BEFO) problem. Recall that the input of the problem is a pair  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ , where  $G = (V_b, V_r, E)$  is a bipartite planar graph and  $\pi_b = \langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$  is a prescribed linear ordering of the vertices in  $V_b$ . If we connect with an edge every pair  $\{b_i, b_{i+1}\}$  of black vertices, for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , we obtain a path  $P$ , which we call the *black path* of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ . We denote by  $H$  the graph  $(V_b, V_r, E \cup E(P))$ , which we call the *black saturation* of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ . The notion of black and red vertices extends to  $H$ . We have the following simple observation.

**Observation 1.** *If  $H$  is not planar, then  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  is a negative instance of B2BEFO.*

Indeed, if  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  admits a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$ , then the edges of  $P$  can be drawn along the spine of  $\Gamma_G$  without affecting its planarity, yielding a planar drawing  $\Gamma_H$  of  $H$ . We say that the planar embedding of  $H$  induced by  $\Gamma_H$  is *associated with*  $\Gamma_G$ .

By [Observation 1](#), we can assume that  $H$  is planar. Next, we present some additional simplifying assumptions on  $H$ , all of which can be made without loss of generality.

- (A1) Every black vertex of  $H$  has at least one red neighbor and vice versa. In fact, a black vertex of  $H$  with no red neighbor corresponds to an isolated vertex of  $G$ , hence its presence does not affect the existence of a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ , and we can safely remove it from  $G$ . The same argument holds for the red vertices.
- (A2) The graph  $H$  contains at least three black vertices and at least three red vertices. In fact, if there are at most two black vertices in  $G$ , then a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  can be constructed by placing all the red vertices on the spine in any order, and by embedding all the edges incident to  $b_1$  in one page and all the edges incident to  $b_2$ , if such a vertex exists, in the other page. The same argument holds for the red vertices.

Notice that [Assumption A1](#) implies that  $H$  is connected.

The aim of this section is to characterize the existence of a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  based on the existence of a planar embedding of  $H$  that satisfies certain topological properties. Hence, in the following, we consider  $H$  as equipped with a planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$ , and we study which properties of  $\mathcal{E}$  allow for the construction of a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$  of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  such that  $\mathcal{E}$  is associated with  $\Gamma_G$ .

A face of  $\mathcal{E}$  is *red* if it is incident to at least two red vertices. We construct an auxiliary bipartite graph  $A(\mathcal{E})$  as follows; refer to [Fig. 10](#). The vertex set of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  contains a vertex for each red vertex of  $H$  and a vertex for each red face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The edge set of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  contains an edge  $(v, f)$  for each red vertex  $v$  of  $H$  incident to a red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The following characterization is the basis of our linear-time algorithm to test for the existence of a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ .

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  be an instance of the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem whose black saturation  $H$  is a planar graph satisfying [Assumptions A1](#) and [A2](#). Further, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a planar embedding of  $H$ . There exists a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$  of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  such that the planar embedding of  $H$  associated with  $\Gamma_G$  is  $\mathcal{E}$  if and only if:*

- (C1)  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a caterpillar whose backbone  $\mathcal{B} = (f_1, v_2, f_2, \dots, v_k, f_k)$  spans all the red faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- (C2) There exist two distinct red vertices  $r'$  and  $r''$  that are leaves of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , whose neighbors in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  are  $f_1$  and  $f_k$ , respectively, and such that  $r'$  and  $b_1$  are incident to the same face of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $r''$  and  $b_m$  are incident to the same face of  $\mathcal{E}$ .




Figure 10: Two different drawings of the auxiliary bipartite graph  $A(\mathcal{E})$  for a planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of the graph in Fig. 1. Vertices of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  corresponding to red faces of  $\mathcal{E}$  are filled white; edges of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  are red. The black path  $P$  and the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  are thick.




Figure 11: (a) Illustration for the proof that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is acyclic. Illustrations for the proofs of (b) Claim 1 and of (c) Claim 2, respectively.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  admits a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$  such that the planar embedding of  $H$  associated with  $\Gamma_G$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ . We prove that Conditions C1 and C2 are satisfied. Let  $\pi_r = \langle r_1, \dots, r_p \rangle$  be the linear ordering of the red vertices in  $\Gamma_G$ .

We start with Condition C1. First, we prove that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is acyclic. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  contains a cycle  $C$ . Since  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is bipartite, we have that  $C = (v_1, f_1, v_2, f_2, \dots, v_h, f_h)$ , where  $v_i$  is a red vertex and  $f_i$  is a red face, for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ ; refer to Fig. 11a. We planarly embed  $C$  into  $\mathcal{E}$  as follows. For  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , we insert in the interior of the face  $f_i$  a point representing  $f_i$  and two curves representing the edges  $(v_i, f_i)$  and  $(f_i, v_{i+1})$ , where  $v_{h+1} = v_1$ ; this can be done since both  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  are incident to  $f_i$ . Now, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ , consider the two edges  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  of  $H$  that are incident to  $v_i$  and that immediately follow the edge  $(v_i, f_i)$  around  $v_i$  in clockwise and counter-clockwise direction, respectively. Such edges exist and are distinct, given that  $f_i \neq f_{i-1}$ , and they lie on different sides of  $C$ , by construction. Furthermore, the end-vertices of  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$  different from  $v_i$  are black, given that there are no edges between red vertices in  $H$ . It follows that  $C$  has black vertices on both sides; by the Jordan curve theorem, there is a crossing between an edge of the black path  $P$  of  $H$  and an edge of  $C$ , which contradicts the fact that  $C$  is planarly embedded in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Second, we prove that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is connected. For  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ , the vertices  $r_i$  and  $r_{i+1}$  are both incident to a red face  $f_j$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  (the face that contains the part of the spine between  $r_i$  and  $r_{i+1}$  in  $\Gamma_G$ ), hence  $r_i$  and  $r_{i+1}$  are both connected to  $f_j$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . This implies that all the red vertices of  $H$  belong to the same connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ ; since each red face of  $\mathcal{E}$  is adjacent to at least two red vertices in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , the connectivity of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  follows.

We now prove that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a caterpillar. We exploit the following claim; refer to Fig. 11b.

**Claim 1.** *Every red vertex  $r_i$  has degree either 1 or 2 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . In particular, three cases are possible:*

- (i) *If  $i = 1$  or  $i = p$ , then  $r_i$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  (see  $r_1$  and  $r_5$  in Fig. 11b).*
- (ii) *If  $1 < i < p$  and all the edges of  $G$  incident to  $r_i$  lie in the same page of  $\Gamma_G$ , then  $r_i$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  (see  $r_2$  in Fig. 11b).*
- (iii) *If  $1 < i < p$  and  $r_i$  has incident edges of  $G$  lying in both the pages of  $\Gamma_G$ , then  $r_i$  has degree 2 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  (see  $r_3$  and  $r_4$  in Fig. 11b).*

*Proof.* By Assumption A2 and by the connectivity of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , we have that  $r_i$  has degree at least 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ .

Consider any red vertex  $r_i$  of  $H$ . There might be two types of faces of  $\mathcal{E}$  incident to  $r_i$ . A face of  $\mathcal{E}$  incident to  $r_i$  is of the *first type* if the intersection of its interior with a sufficiently small disk centered at  $r_i$  does not contain any part of the spine of  $\Gamma_G$ . Conversely, a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  incident to  $r_i$  is of the *second type* if the intersection of its interior with any disk centered at  $r_i$  contains a part of the spine of  $\Gamma_G$ . Any face  $f$  of the first type is delimited by (a) two edges  $e_h = (r_i, b_h)$  and  $e_l = (r_i, b_l)$  that are incident consecutively around  $r_i$  and that lie in the same page of  $\Gamma_G$ , and by (b) the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_h$  and  $b_l$  (see the gray face incident to  $r_2$  in Fig. 11b). Any face of the first type is not incident to any red vertex of  $H$  other than  $r_i$ , hence it is not red.

If  $1 < i < p$  and all the edges of  $G$  incident to  $r_i$  lie in the same page of  $\Gamma_G$ , then there exists exactly one face of  $\mathcal{E}$  incident to  $r_i$  of the second type (see the yellow face incident to  $r_2$  in Fig. 11b). This proves that  $r_i$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , which implies Case (ii).

If  $1 < i < p$  and  $r_i$  is incident to edges that lie in both the pages of  $\Gamma_G$ , then there exist two faces of  $\mathcal{E}$  incident to  $r_i$  of the second type (the faces “to the left” and “to the right” of  $r_i$ ). Both such faces are red; in fact, one of them contains both  $r_i$  and  $r_{i-1}$ , while the other one contains both  $r_i$  and  $r_{i+1}$  (see, for example, the green and blue faces incident to  $r_4$  in Fig. 11b). This proves that  $r_i$  has degree 2 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , which implies Case (iii).

If all the edges incident to  $r_1$  lie in the same page of  $\Gamma_G$ , then  $r_1$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ ; this can be proved exactly as in the case in which  $1 < i < p$ . Suppose that  $r_1$  is incident to edges that lie in both the pages of  $\Gamma_G$ . Then one of the faces of the second type incident to  $r_1$  also contains  $r_2$  (see the yellow face in Fig. 11b), whereas the other one contains no red vertex other than  $r_1$ , and thus it is not red. Hence  $r_1$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  also in this case. The proof that  $r_p$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is symmetric. This proves Case (i) and concludes the proof.  $\square$

In order to prove that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a caterpillar, it remains to prove that every vertex of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  corresponding to a red face of  $\mathcal{E}$  has at most two neighbors of degree greater than 1. The next claim proves an even stronger property.

**Claim 2.** *Let  $f$  be any red face of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the red vertices incident to  $f$*

- (a) *are consecutive vertices in  $\pi_r$  and*
- (b) *have degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  except, possibly, for the leftmost and the rightmost of them in  $\pi_r$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the statement by showing that, for any two vertices  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  with  $i < j$  that are incident to the same red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , it holds true that each of  $r_{i+1}, r_{i+2}, \dots, r_{j-1}$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and is incident to  $f$ .

Suppose, for a contradiction, that some vertex  $r_x$  with  $i < x < j$  has degree 2 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . By Cases (ii) and (iii) of Claim 1,  $r_x$  has two incident edges  $(r_x, b_h)$  and  $(r_x, b_l)$  in different pages of  $\Gamma_G$ . Consider the cycle  $C$  composed of the edges  $(r_x, b_h)$  and  $(r_x, b_l)$  and of the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_h$  and  $b_l$ ; see Fig. 11c. The vertices  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  are on different sides of  $C$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , which implies that they are not incident to a common red face  $f$ , a contradiction.

We can now assume that each of  $r_{i+1}, r_{i+2}, \dots, r_{j-1}$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . By Cases (ii) and (iii) of [Claim 1](#), all the edges incident to each of such vertices lie in the same page of  $\Gamma_G$ . Therefore,  $r_i, r_{i+1}, r_{i+2}, \dots, r_{j-1}, r_j$  are all incident to the same red face of  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

Property (b) of [Claim 2](#) concludes the proof that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a caterpillar. Since every red face of  $\mathcal{E}$  has degree at least 2 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  by definition, it follows that all the leaves of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  correspond to red vertices of  $H$ , hence the backbone  $\mathcal{B} = (f_1, r_2, f_2, \dots, r_k, f_k)$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  spans all the red faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ . This proves [Condition C1](#).

In the following, we prove that  $r' = r_p$  and  $r'' = r_1$  satisfy [Condition C2](#). By Case (i) of [Claim 1](#), we have that  $r_1$  and  $r_p$  are leaves of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . Further, by construction,  $r_p$  and  $b_1$  are both incident to the face of  $\mathcal{E}$  that contains the part of the spine between them in  $\Gamma_G$ . Similarly,  $r_1$  and  $b_m$  are both incident to the face of  $\mathcal{E}$  that contains the part of the spine between them in  $\Gamma_G$ . It remains to prove that  $r_1$  is incident to  $f_1$ ; the proof that  $r_p$  is incident to  $f_k$  is analogous.

Consider the red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  that is incident to  $r_1$ . By Property (a) of [Claim 2](#), the red vertices incident to  $f$  form a prefix of  $\pi_r$ . Further, by Case (i) of [Claim 1](#) and by Property (b) of [Claim 2](#), each of such red vertices, except possibly for the last one, has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . It follows that  $f$  has at most one neighbor of degree larger than 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , hence  $f \in \{f_1, f_k\}$ . The proof that  $r_p$  is incident to one of  $f_1$  and  $f_k$  is analogous, with the only difference that it exploits a suffix of  $\pi_r$  rather than a prefix. This implies that  $r_1$  and  $r_p$  are incident to different faces, when  $f_1 \neq f_k$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Given the planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ , suppose that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  satisfies [Conditions C1](#) and [C2](#). In order to prove that  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  admits a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$  in which the planar embedding of  $H$  associated with  $\Gamma_G$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ , we show how to add edges to  $\mathcal{E}$  so to augment it to an embedded planar graph  $\mathcal{E}_R$  that contains a Hamiltonian cycle passing consecutively through the vertices in  $V_b$ , in the ordering  $\pi_b$ , and then passing consecutively through the vertices in  $V_r$ . Recall that, by [Condition C1](#), we have that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a caterpillar whose backbone  $\mathcal{B} = (f_1, v_2, f_2, \dots, v_k, f_k)$  spans all the red faces of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

First, we augment  $\mathcal{E}$  to the embedded planar graph  $\mathcal{E}^+$  obtained by merging  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . In order to do that, we insert each vertex  $f_i$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  into the corresponding face of  $\mathcal{E}$ ; further, we connect the vertex  $f_i$  with the red vertices incident to the face  $f_i$  by means of non-crossing arcs lying in the interior of the face.

Second, we show how to augment  $\mathcal{E}^+$  by introducing the edges  $(b_1, r')$  and  $(b_m, r'')$ , in such a way that the resulting embedded graph (which we still denote by  $\mathcal{E}^+$ ) is planar; in some cases, this requires redefining  $r'$  and  $r''$  while ensuring that [Condition C2](#) still holds (refer to [Fig. 12](#)).

Suppose first that  $b_1$  and  $r'$  are both incident to a face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  that is not red (see [Fig. 12a](#)). Since  $f$  is not red, no edge and no vertex of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  has been added inside  $f$  during the merge of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A(\mathcal{E})$ ; hence,  $f$  is also a face of  $\mathcal{E}^+$  and we can embed the edge  $(b_1, r')$  inside  $f$  planarly. Analogously, if  $b_m$  and  $r''$  are both incident to a face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  that is not red, then we can embed the edge  $(b_m, r'')$  inside  $f$  planarly.

Suppose next that  $b_1$  and  $r'$  are both incident to a red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and that  $b_m$  and  $r''$  are both incident to a face different from  $f$  (see [Fig. 12b](#)). Note that  $r'$  is not incident to any other red face of  $\mathcal{E}$ , given that it is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , hence, by [Condition C2](#), we have  $f = f_1$ . The face  $f_1$  has been split into at least two faces of  $\mathcal{E}^+$  by the merge of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $f_1^\Delta$  be any of those faces that is incident to  $b_1$ . We redefine  $r'$  as any red vertex that is incident to  $f_1^\Delta$  and that is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ ; such a vertex always exists, since there are two red vertices incident to  $f_1^\Delta$  and at most one of them is not a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , given that  $f_1$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We can now embed the edge  $(b_1, r')$  inside  $f_1^\Delta$ . Analogously, if  $b_m$  and  $r''$  are both incident to a red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $b_1$  and  $r'$  are both incident to a face different from  $f$ , then we have  $f = f_k$  and the edge  $(b_m, r'')$  can be planarly embedded inside a face  $f_k^\Delta$  that has been obtained from  $f_k$  by the merge of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , possibly after a redefinition of  $r''$ .

The only situation that remains to discuss is the one in which  $b_1$  and  $r'$  are both incident




Figure 12: Illustration for the insertion of the edge  $(b_1, r')$  in  $\mathcal{E}^+$ . (a)  $r'$  and  $b_1$  share a face  $f$  that is not red; (b)  $r'$  and  $b_1$  share a face  $f$  that is red, and  $b_m$  and  $r''$  share a face different from  $f$ ; (c)  $b_1$ ,  $b_m$ ,  $r'$  and  $r''$  are all incident to the unique red face  $f_1 = f_k$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ .




Figure 13: Illustration for the insertion of the path  $R$  in  $\mathcal{E}'$ . (a) A face  $f_i$  with the vertex  $f_i$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and its incident edges drawn inside the face. (b) The path  $R_i$  inserted inside  $f_i^*$ .

to a red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $b_m$  and  $r''$  are also incident to  $f$ . By [Condition C2](#), we have that  $r'$  and  $r''$  are distinct vertices, hence  $f$  is red. It follows that  $f = f_1 = f_k$  is the unique red face of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $b_1$ ,  $b_m$ ,  $r'$  and  $r''$  are all incident to it. If  $b_1$  and  $b_m$  are respectively incident to distinct faces  $f_1^\Delta$  and  $f_k^\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{E}^+$  obtained from  $f$  by the merge of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A(\mathcal{E})$  (see [Fig. 12c](#)), then  $r'$  can be redefined as any red vertex incident to  $f_1^\Delta$  and  $r''$  can be redefined as any red vertex incident to  $f_k^\Delta$  and different from  $r'$ ; this is indeed possible since there are two red vertices incident to  $f_k^\Delta$ ; moreover, [Condition C2](#) still holds with the new choice of  $r'$  and  $r''$ , since every red vertex of  $H$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  adjacent to  $f_1 = f_k$ . Now the edges  $(b_1, r')$  and  $(b_m, r'')$  can be planarly embedded inside  $f_1^\Delta$  and  $f_k^\Delta$ , respectively. Finally,  $b_1$  and  $b_m$  cannot be incident to the same face  $f^\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{E}^+$  obtained from  $f$  by the merge of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . Indeed, if they were, the part of the boundary of  $f^\Delta$  between them that does not contain the vertex  $f_1 = f_k$  would be a path whose vertices are all black, hence it would be the entire black path  $P$ . However, this would imply that the boundary of  $f$  contains at least one edge between two red vertices, which is not possible.

Third, we remove from  $\mathcal{E}^+$  each vertex  $f_i$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  corresponding to a red face of  $\mathcal{E}$  and its incident edges; denote by  $\mathcal{E}'$  the resulting embedded planar graph. For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we denote by  $f_i^*$  the face of  $\mathcal{E}'$  that used to contain the vertex  $f_i$ . Observe that  $f_i^*$  is delimited by the same walk as the face  $f_i$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , with the possible exceptions of  $f_1^*$  and  $f_k^*$ ; indeed,  $f_1^*$  and  $f_k^*$  might be incident to the edges  $(b_1, r')$  and  $(b_m, r'')$ , respectively, which are not in  $\mathcal{E}$ . A key point is that, even if  $(b_1, r')$  is incident to  $f_1^*$  and/or  $(b_m, r'')$  is incident to  $f_k^*$ , all the red vertices of  $H$  adjacent to  $f_1$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  are incident to  $f_1^*$  and all the red vertices of  $H$  adjacent to  $f_k$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  are incident to  $f_k^*$ ; in fact, the introduction of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  on top of  $\mathcal{E}$  before introducing the edges  $(b_1, r')$  and  $(b_m, r'')$  served this purpose.

Fourth, we show how to add to  $\mathcal{E}'$  a path  $R$  from  $r'$  to  $r''$  spanning all the red vertices of  $H$ , so that the resulting embedded graph  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is planar. The augmentation can be performed one



face  $f_i^*$  at a time; refer to Fig. 13. Let  $v_1 = r'$  and let  $v_{k+1} = r''$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we embed a path  $R_i$  inside  $f_i^*$ , so that  $R_i$  starts at  $v_i$ , touches every red vertex incident to  $f_i^*$ , and ends at  $v_{i+1}$ , and so that  $R_i$  does not cross itself. This can be done by first visiting all the internal red vertices incident to one of the two walks between  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  delimiting  $f_i^*$  and then visiting all the internal red vertices incident to the other walk. Let  $R$  be the union of the paths  $R_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since every red vertex is incident to at least one face  $f_i$ , and thus also to the face  $f_i^*$  (this is trivial if  $2 \leq i \leq k-1$  and comes from the above argument if  $i = 1$  or  $i = k$ ), and since  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is connected, we get that  $R$  is a connected graph spanning all the red vertices of  $H$ . Further, we have that  $R$  is a path. Namely, for each red vertex  $r$  of  $H$ , we have that  $r$  is either incident to exactly one face  $f_i^*$  or to two faces  $f_i^*$  and  $f_{i+1}^*$ . In the first case,  $r$  has degree exactly 2 in  $R_i$  (and, thus, in  $R$ ) with the exception of  $r'$  and  $r''$ , which have degree 1. In the second case,  $r$  has degree 1 in both  $R_i$  and  $R_{i+1}$ , and thus it has degree exactly 2 in  $R$ . Finally,  $R$  is embedded planarly in  $\mathcal{E}$ , since the faces  $f_i^*$  are disjoint from one another, except along their boundaries, and since each sub-path  $R_i$  does not cross itself.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the cycle formed by the black path  $P = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ , by the edge  $(b_m, r'')$ , by the path  $R$ , and by the edge  $(r', b_1)$ . We have that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is an embedded planar graph whose underlying graph is  $G \cup E(\mathcal{C})$ . Further,  $\mathcal{C}$  traverses all the vertices in  $V_r$  consecutively and all the vertices in  $V_b$  consecutively in the ordering  $\pi_b$ ; interpreting  $\mathcal{C}$  as the spine of a book embedding proves that  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  admits a B2BEFO.  $\square$

In the following we call *good* an embedding of  $H$  satisfying the characterization of Lemma 9.

**Lemma 10.** *Given a good embedding of the black saturation  $H$  of an instance  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  of the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem, it is possible to construct in  $O(|G|)$  time a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of sufficiency for the characterization in Lemma 9 is constructive and can be refined into an  $O(|G|)$ -time algorithm. Namely, given a good embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ , the caterpillar  $A(\mathcal{E})$  can be constructed and embedded into  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $O(|H|) \subseteq O(|G|)$  time by traversing the boundary of each face of  $\mathcal{E}$ , while counting the number of encountered red vertices; this results in an embedded graph  $\mathcal{E}^+$ . The insertion of the edge  $(b_1, r')$  into  $\mathcal{E}^+$  can clearly be done in time linear in the number of vertices of the face  $b_1$  and  $r'$  share, and likewise for the insertion of the edge  $(b_m, r'')$  into  $\mathcal{E}^+$ . The removal of the edges of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and of the vertices of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  corresponding to red faces of  $\mathcal{E}$  is also easily done in linear time; this results in an embedded graph  $\mathcal{E}'$ . Furthermore, the insertion of the path  $R$  into  $\mathcal{E}'$  only requires to traverse the boundary of each face  $f_i^*$  in order to define the path  $R_i$ , hence it can be performed in total linear time; this results in an embedded graph  $\mathcal{E}_R$ . Finally, a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$  of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  can be directly recovered from  $\mathcal{E}_R$ . Namely, the order of the vertices along the spine of  $\Gamma_G$  coincides with the order in which such vertices occur along the Hamiltonian cycle  $\mathcal{C} = P \cup (b_m, r'') \cup R \cup (b_1, r')$  of  $\mathcal{E}_R$ , where  $P$  is the black path of  $H$ . Further, the page assignment for the edges incident to each vertex can be derived from the clockwise order of the edges incident to the vertex in  $\mathcal{E}_R$ .  $\square$

## 5 Simply-Connected Graphs

The goal of this section is to prove that the black saturation  $H$  of an instance  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  of B2BEFO can be assumed to be “almost” biconnected; this greatly simplifies the search for a good embedding of  $H$ . Namely, although it is not always true that  $H$  admits a good embedding if and only if its biconnected components admit good embeddings (with respect to the sub-instance of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  they represent), we will prove that the existence of a good embedding of  $H$  is equivalent to the existence of a good embedding for each biconnected component of  $H$  augmented with some edges, called *rb-trivial components*. In the following we make this argument precise.






Figure 14: (a) A planar embedding of  $H$  associated with a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ . The blue regions enclose the non- $rb$ -trivial components of  $H$ , while the dashed lines represent the  $rb$ -trivial components of  $H$ . (b) The block-cut-vertex tree  $T$  of  $H$ . Blue, red, and black disks represent non- $rb$ -trivial components,  $rb$ -trivial components, and cut-vertices of  $H$ , respectively. (c) The  $rb$ -augmented components of  $H$ .

The *block-cut-vertex tree*  $T$  of a connected graph  $G$  [27, 31] is the tree whose nodes are the blocks and the cut-vertices of  $G$ ; a block is adjacent in  $T$  to all the cut-vertices it contains.

By Assumption A1, we have that  $H$  is connected. Consider the blocks of  $H$  (see Fig. 14a). Let  $h_1, \dots, h_p$  be the *rb-trivial* components, i.e., the blocks consisting of a single edge between a red and a black vertex, and let  $H_1^-, \dots, H_q^-$  be the other *non- $rb$ -trivial* blocks. Recall that  $P = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ . We present the following structural results (see Fig. 14b).

**Observation 2.** *Every cut-vertex of  $H$  is a black vertex.*

*Proof.* The observation descends from the fact that the black vertices induce a connected subgraph of  $H$ , namely the black path  $P$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *The block-cut-vertex tree  $T$  of  $H$  consists of:*

- a path  $Q = (H_1^-, b_{\gamma(1)}, H_2^-, b_{\gamma(2)}, \dots, H_{q-1}^-, b_{\gamma(q-1)}, H_q^-)$ , where  $1 < \gamma(1) < \gamma(2) < \dots < \gamma(q-1) < m$ , that contains all the non- $rb$ -trivial components  $H_j^-$  of  $H$  and no  $rb$ -trivial component of  $H$ ; in particular:
  - $H_1^-$  contains the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_1$  and  $b_{\gamma(1)}$ ;
  - for  $j = 2, \dots, q-1$ ,  $H_j^-$  contains the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_{\gamma(j-1)}$  and  $b_{\gamma(j)}$ ; and
  - $H_q^-$  contains the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_{\gamma(q-1)}$  and  $b_m$ ;
- a set of leaves representing the  $rb$ -trivial components  $h_1, \dots, h_p$ ; for each  $rb$ -trivial component  $h_x = (b_i, r_h)$ , the black vertex  $b_i$  either belongs to a single non- $rb$ -trivial component  $H_j^-$  (and then  $T$  contains a node  $b_i$  adjacent to  $H_j^-$  and the leaf representing  $h_x$  is adjacent to  $b_i$ ), or belongs to two non- $rb$ -trivial components  $H_j^-$  and  $H_{j+1}^-$  (and then the leaf representing  $h_x$  in  $T$  is adjacent to  $b_i = b_{\gamma(j)}$ ).

*Proof.* First note that, if  $H$  is biconnected, then its block-cut-vertex tree  $T$  consists of a single node, and the statement follows. Assume hence that  $H$  is not biconnected.

Let  $b_i$  and  $b_h$ , with  $i < h$ , be any two black vertices of  $H$  that belong to the same non- $rb$ -trivial component  $H_j^-$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that some vertices among  $b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_{h-1}$  do

not belong to  $H_j^-$ . Consider the subpath  $P_{i,h}$  of the black path  $P$  between  $b_i$  and  $b_h$ . Then the subgraph of  $H$  composed of  $H_j^-$  and of the vertices and edges of  $P_{i,h}$  that are not in  $H_j^-$  is biconnected. However, this contradicts the fact that  $H_j^-$  is a maximal biconnected subgraph of  $H$  and proves that all the vertices  $b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_{h-1}$  also belong to  $H_j^-$ .

It follows that there exist subpaths  $P_1, \dots, P_q$  of  $P$  such that:

- (i) the path  $P_1$  is the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_1$  and a vertex  $b_{\gamma(1)}$  with  $\gamma(1) > 1$ ;
- (ii) for  $j = 2, \dots, q-1$ , the path  $P_j$  is the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_{\gamma(j-1)}$  and a vertex  $b_{\gamma(j)}$  with  $\gamma(j) > \gamma(j-1)$ ;
- (iii) the path  $P_q$  is the subpath of  $P$  between  $b_{\gamma(q-1)}$  and  $b_m$ , where  $m > \gamma(q-1)$ ;
- (iv) for  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , the path  $P_j$  belongs to a non- $rb$ -trivial component  $H_j^-$  of  $H$ ; and
- (v) for any two distinct indices  $i$  and  $j$  in  $\{1, \dots, q\}$ , the non- $rb$ -trivial components  $H_i^-$  and  $H_j^-$  are distinct.

Note that, for  $j = 1, \dots, q-1$ , the vertex  $b_{\gamma(j)}$  is shared by  $H_j^-$  and  $H_{j+1}^-$ , hence it is a cut-vertex. This implies that  $T$  contains a path  $Q = (H_1^-, b_{\gamma(1)}, H_2^-, b_{\gamma(2)}, \dots, H_{q-1}^-, b_{\gamma(q-1)}, H_q^-)$  such that all the components  $H_j^-$  of  $H$  are non- $rb$ -trivial.

Consider any block  $h_x$  of  $H$  that is not among  $H_1^-, H_2^-, \dots, H_q^-$ . We prove that  $h_x$  contains exactly one black vertex. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $h_x$  contains (at least) two black vertices  $b_i$  and  $b_l$ ; assume, w.l.o.g. that  $i \leq l$ . If  $b_i$  and  $b_l$  both belong to the same non- $rb$ -trivial component  $H_j^-$ , then the subgraph of  $H$  composed of  $h_x$  and  $H_j^-$  is biconnected, contradicting the fact that  $H_j^-$  is a maximal biconnected subgraph of  $H$ . Otherwise, let  $j$  be the largest index such that  $b_i$  belongs to  $H_j^-$  and let  $k$  be the smallest index such that  $b_l$  belongs to  $H_k^-$ ; note that  $j < k$  given that  $i < l$  and given that  $b_i$  and  $b_l$  do not belong to the same non- $rb$ -trivial component of  $H$ . Then the subgraph of  $H$  composed of  $h_x$  and of  $H_j^-, H_{j+1}^-, \dots, H_k^-$  is biconnected, contradicting the fact that  $H_j^-$  is a maximal biconnected subgraph of  $H$ . This proves that  $h_x$  contains exactly one black vertex  $b_i$ ; since  $H$  contains no edge between red vertices, it follows that  $h_x$  is an edge  $(b_i, r_h)$ , where  $r_h$  is a red vertex, i.e.,  $h_x$  is an  $rb$ -trivial component. This implies that  $Q$  contains all the non- $rb$ -trivial components of  $H$ . Further, by [Observation 2](#), we have that  $r_h$  is not a cut-vertex of  $H$ , and hence  $b_i$  is. Finally, we have that  $b_i$  belongs either to a single non- $rb$ -trivial component  $H_j^-$  or to two non- $rb$ -trivial components  $H_j^-$  and  $H_{j+1}^-$  of  $H$ ; in the former case,  $T$  contains a node  $b_i$  adjacent to  $H_j^-$  and the leaf representing  $h_x$  is adjacent to  $b_i$ , while in the latter case the leaf representing  $h_x$  in  $T$  is adjacent to  $b_i = b_{\gamma(j)}$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Before proceeding to the decomposition of  $H$  into its “almost” biconnected components, we prove that the following simplification on the structure of  $H$  can be assumed.

**Lemma 12.** *For each black vertex  $b_i$  of  $G$ , let  $n_i$  be the number of incident  $rb$ -trivial components; further, if  $n_i > 0$ , let  $(b_i, r_{\lambda_i(1)}), \dots, (b_i, r_{\lambda_i(n_i)})$  be the  $rb$ -trivial components incident to  $b_i$ . Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by removing the red vertices  $r_{\lambda_i(2)}, \dots, r_{\lambda_i(n_i)}$  together with their incident edges. Then  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  and  $\langle G', \pi_b \rangle$  are equivalent instances of B2BEFO. Moreover, given a B2BEFO of  $\langle G', \pi_b \rangle$ , a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  can be computed in  $O(|G|)$  time.*

*Proof.* From a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ , a B2BEFO of  $\langle G', \pi_b \rangle$  is obtained by removing, for each black vertex  $b_i$  of  $G$  with  $n_i > 1$ , the red vertices  $r_{\lambda_i(2)}, \dots, r_{\lambda_i(n_i)}$  together with their incident edges. Conversely, from a B2BEFO of  $\langle G', \pi_b \rangle$ , a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  is obtained by placing, for each black vertex  $b_i$  of  $G$  with  $n_i > 1$ , the red vertices  $r_{\lambda_i(2)}, \dots, r_{\lambda_i(n_i)}$  immediately to the right

of  $r_{\lambda_i(1)}$  and by drawing the edges  $(b_i, r_{\lambda_i(2)}), \dots, (b_i, r_{\lambda_i(n_i)})$  on the same page as  $(b_i, r_{\lambda_i(1)})$ ; this can easily be done in  $O(|G|)$  time.  $\square$

In view of Lemma 12, in the rest of the paper we assume that each black vertex of  $H$  has at most one incident  $rb$ -trivial component.

By Lemma 11, the black vertex  $b_i$  of each  $rb$ -trivial component  $h_x = (b_i, r_h)$  either belongs to a single non- $rb$ -trivial component  $H_j^-$ , or belongs to two non- $rb$ -trivial components  $H_j^-$  and  $H_{j+1}^-$ . In the former case, we assign  $h_x$  to  $H_j^-$ , while in the latter case we arbitrarily assign  $h_x$  to  $H_j^-$  or  $H_{j+1}^-$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , denote by  $H_j$  the subgraph of  $H$  which consists of  $H_j^-$  and of the  $rb$ -trivial components of  $H$  that have been assigned to  $H_j^-$ . We call *rb-augmented components* of  $H$  the subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q$  (refer to Fig. 14c). For  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , let  $G_j$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the vertices in  $H_j$  (that is,  $G_j$  is the graph obtained from  $H_j$  by removing the edges that belong to the black path  $P$ ). Finally, for  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , let  $\pi_b^j$  be the restriction of  $\pi_b$  to the black vertices in  $G_j$  (that is,  $\pi_b^1 = \langle b_1, \dots, b_{\gamma(1)} \rangle$ ,  $\pi_b^j = \langle b_{\gamma(j-1)}, \dots, b_{\gamma(j)} \rangle$  for each  $j = 2, \dots, q-1$ , and  $\pi_b^q = \langle b_{\gamma(q-1)}, \dots, b_m \rangle$ ). We have the following.

**Lemma 13.**  *$\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  is a positive instance of B2BEFO if and only if  $\langle G_j, \pi_b^j \rangle$  is a positive instance of B2BEFO, for every  $j = 1, \dots, q$ .*

*Proof.* One direction is trivial. Namely, if  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  admits a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$ , then, for every  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , the restriction of  $\Gamma_G$  to the vertices and edges of  $G_j$  is a B2BEFO of  $\langle G_j, \pi_b^j \rangle$ .

Next, assume that  $\langle G_j, \pi_b^j \rangle$  admits a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_{G_j}$ , for every  $j = 1, \dots, q$ ; denote by  $\pi_r^j$  the order of the red vertices in  $\Gamma_{G_j}$ . We construct a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_G$  of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  as follows.

First, the ordering of the vertices along the spine of  $\Gamma_G$  is  $\langle \pi_b^1, \pi_b^2, \dots, \pi_b^q, \pi_r^q, \pi_r^{q-1}, \dots, \pi_r^1 \rangle$ . This ordering is well-defined once the last vertex of  $\pi_b^j$  is identified with the first vertex of  $\pi_b^{j+1}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, q-1$ . Indeed, any two graphs  $G_j$  and  $G_k$  with  $k > j$  share vertices if and only if  $k = j+1$ ; moreover, if  $k = j+1$  then the only vertex shared by  $G_j$  and  $G_{j+1}$  is  $b_{\gamma(j)}$ , which is the last element of  $\pi_b^j$  and the first element of  $\pi_b^{j+1}$ , by construction.

Second, an edge of  $G$  is assigned to the first (second) page of  $\Gamma_G$  if and only if it is assigned to the first (second) page of the book embedding  $\Gamma_{G_j}$  of the graph  $G_j$  it belongs to. This assignment is well-defined, since each edge of  $G$  belongs to one of the graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_q$ .

By Lemma 11 and by construction, the ordering  $\langle \pi_b^1, \pi_b^2, \dots, \pi_b^q \rangle$  coincides with  $\pi_b$ . Hence, we only need to prove that no two edges  $e$  and  $e'$  in the same page of  $\Gamma_G$  cross; we assume that  $e$  and  $e'$  do not share end-vertices, as otherwise they do not cross. If  $e$  and  $e'$  belong to the same graph  $G_j$ , then they do not cross in  $\Gamma_G$  as they do not cross in  $\Gamma_{G_j}$ . Suppose next that  $e$  belongs to a graph  $G_j$ , while  $e'$  belongs to a graph  $G_k$  with  $k > j$ . By construction, the order of the end-vertices of  $e$  and  $e'$  along the spine is: the black end-vertex of  $e$  first, then the black end-vertex of  $e'$ , then the red end-vertex of  $e'$ , and finally the red end-vertex of  $e$ ; hence,  $e$  and  $e'$  do not cross. This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We obtain the following.

**Corollary 5.** *The black saturation  $H$  admits a good embedding if and only if the black saturation  $H_j$  of  $\langle G_j, \pi_b^j \rangle$  admits a good embedding, for every  $j = 1, \dots, q$ . Further, a good embedding of  $H$  can be constructed in  $O(|H|)$  time from good embeddings of  $H_1, \dots, H_q$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 9, we have that  $H$  admits a good embedding if and only if  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  is a positive instance of B2BEFO, and we have that  $H_j$  admits a good embedding if and only if  $\langle G_j, \pi_b^j \rangle$  is a positive instance of B2BEFO, for  $j = 1, \dots, q$ . By Lemma 13, we have that  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  is a positive instance of B2BEFO if and only if  $\langle G_j, \pi_b^j \rangle$  is a positive instance of B2BEFO, for  $j = 1, \dots, q$ . The first part of the statement follows.

By Lemma 10, for  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , a B2BEFO  $\Gamma_j$  of  $\langle G_j, \pi_b^j \rangle$  can be constructed in  $O(|G_j|)$  time, and hence in  $O(|G|)$  time over all the  $rb$ -augmented components  $H_1, \dots, H_q$ . The algorithm

described in the proof of sufficiency of [Lemma 13](#) constructs a B2BEFO  $\Gamma$  of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  starting from the B2BEFO  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_q$  of  $H_1, \dots, H_q$  in  $O(|G|)$  time.

By [Lemma 12](#), we can insert in  $\Gamma$  in total  $O(|G|)$  time all the  $rb$ -trivial components that have been possibly removed from  $H$  because of the existence of other  $rb$ -trivial components incident to the same black vertices, while maintaining  $\Gamma$  a B2BEFO.

Finally, we can draw in  $O(|G|)$  time the edges of the black path  $P$  along the spine of  $\Gamma$ . This results in a planar embedding of  $H$  which is good, as it is the one associated with  $\Gamma$ . The second part of the statement follows by observing that  $O(|G|) \subseteq O(|H|)$ .  $\square$

## 6 Properties and Classification of $rb$ -augmented Components

We now provide a linear-time algorithm that decides whether an  $rb$ -augmented component  $H$  admits a good embedding and, in case it does, constructs one such embedding. This, together with [Lemmas 10](#) and [13](#), results in a linear-time testing and embedding algorithm for the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem.

Let  $H^-$  be the biconnected graph obtained from  $H$  by removing the degree-1 vertices (and their incident  $rb$ -trivial components). Observe that all these degree-1 vertices are red, which implies that  $H$  and  $H^-$  contain the same set of black vertices. The algorithm is based on a bottom-up traversal of the SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $H^-$ , rooted at the Q-node  $\rho$  corresponding to the first edge  $(b_1, b_2)$  of  $P$ .

Consider a node  $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$  different from  $\rho$ . Observe that the poles of  $\mu$  are a split pair not only in  $H^-$ , but also in  $H$ . We will denote by  $H_\mu^-$  the pertinent graph of  $\mu$ , and by  $H_\mu$  the subgraph of  $H$  obtained from  $H_\mu^-$  by adding each degree-1 vertex of  $H$  that is adjacent to a vertex of  $H_\mu^-$ . Again, observe that  $H_\mu$  and  $H_\mu^-$  contain the same set of black vertices. Thus, when clear from the context, we refer also to  $H_\mu$  as the pertinent graph of  $\mu$ . Finally, we denote by  $\overline{H}_\mu$  (by  $\overline{H}_\mu^-$ ) the subgraph of  $H$  (of  $H^-$ ) induced by the edges not in  $H_\mu$  (not in  $H_\mu^-$ ).

In the following subsections, we provide several concepts and tools.

In [Section 6.1](#), we present a classification of the nodes  $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$  into six *node types*, based on the possible interactions between the black path  $P$  and the graph  $H_\mu$ ; refer to [Fig. 15](#). Namely,  $H_\mu$  can either be “touched once” by  $P$ , when  $P$  shares with  $H_\mu$  only one of the poles of  $\mu$  and no edges, or be “entered” by  $P$ , when  $P$  traverses just one of the poles of  $\mu$  and ends in the interior of  $H_\mu$ , or be “touched twice” by  $P$ , when  $P$  shares with  $H_\mu$  both the poles of  $\mu$  and no edges, or be “traversed” by  $P$ , when  $P$  enters from a pole of  $\mu$ , exists from the other pole, and shares at least one edge with  $H_\mu$ , or be “bi-entered” by  $P$ , when  $P$  enters from a pole of  $\mu$ , touches the other pole, and ends in the interior of  $H_\mu$ , or be “touched twice and entered” by  $P$ , when  $P$  touches a pole of  $\mu$  but contains no edge incident to it, enters from the other pole of  $\mu$ , and ends in the interior of  $H_\mu$ .

In [Section 6.2](#), we present structural properties of the nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  based on their type, and study the possible types and arrangements of the nodes having the same parent.

In [Section 6.3](#), we define the concept of *neat* embedding, which is a good embedding with additional properties. Informally, a good embedding is neat if each  $rb$ -trivial component lies in a face that corresponds to a face of the embedding of the skeleton of the proper allocation node of its black vertex (see [Definition 2](#)). Neat embeddings have three important properties: 1. They are good. 2. They are not restrictive, in the sense that if an  $rb$ -augmented component admits a good embedding, then it also admits a neat embedding. 3. They decrease the degrees of freedom when embedding  $rb$ -trivial components incident to the poles of a node of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

In [Section 6.4](#), we classify the embeddings of  $H_\mu$  that occur in a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ . Preliminarily, we introduce two important concepts: (i) the *extensibility* of an embedding and (ii) the *auxiliary graph* of the embedding of a pertinent graph. Let  $\mu$  be a node of  $\mathcal{T}$ . An embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  *extends* an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  if the restriction of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  to  $H_\mu$  yields  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . An




Figure 15: Taxonomy of the nodes in the SPQR-tree of  $H^-$  based on the position of the black path.

embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  is *extensible* if there is a neat embedding of  $H$  that extends  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Finally, the auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is “essentially” the restriction of the auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E})$  to an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ . After these preliminary definitions, for each of the six node types introduced in Section 6.1, we classify the extensible embeddings of  $H_\mu$  into a constant number of equivalence classes, called *embedding types*, based on several features of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . The three major features are the number of caterpillars of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , the number of outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and the existence of at least one internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

Finally, in Section 6.5, we first define as *relevant* the embeddings of  $H_\mu$  whose types are those determined in Section 6.4, and we argue that all the extensible embeddings are relevant. This proves that our classification of the embedding types is complete. The second part of the subsection is devoted to study the relationship between the type of the embedding of the pertinent graph of a node and the type of the embeddings of the pertinent graphs of its children.

We start by showing that restricting a relevant embedding of  $H_\mu$  to  $H_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is any child of  $\mu$ , yields again a relevant embedding. Then, we show a result that is fundamental for our algorithmic approach. Let  $t$  be the type of a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  and let  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  be the embedding of  $H_\lambda$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Replace  $H_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  with an embedded graph  $D$ , possibly  $D \neq H_\lambda$ , whose type and “flip” are the same of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  be the embedding of the resulting embedded graph. We prove that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  has type  $t$ . In order to prove this result, we introduce the key concepts of *replacement graph* and *embedding-replacement*. From an algorithmic perspective, this opens the possibility of replacing the embedding of an arbitrarily large subgraph with an embedding of a constant-size graph of the same type without altering the fact that the a given instance is positive or negative.

## 6.1 Node Classification

We subdivide the nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  into six classes. The first parameter for the classification is the color of the two poles of the node. In this respect, we observe the following.

**Lemma 14.** *There is no node in  $\mathcal{T}$  whose poles are both red.*

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists a node  $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$  with two red poles. Since  $H$  contains no edge between two red vertices, there is at least a black vertex in  $H_\mu$  and at least a black vertex in  $\overline{H}_\mu$ . However, this contradicts the fact that the graph induced by the black vertices is a path, since any path in  $H$  between these two black vertices contains one of the two poles of  $\mu$ , which are both red.  $\square$

Let  $u, v$  be the poles of  $\mu$ . By Lemma 14, we can assume that  $u$  is black. We distinguish two cases, based on the color of  $v$ .

Suppose that  $v$  is red. We distinguish two subcases, based on whether the subpaths of  $P$  that are separated by  $u$  belong to  $H_\mu$  or not. In particular, let  $u = b_i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We consider



the two subpaths  $P_u = (b_1, \dots, b_i)$  and  $Q_u = (b_i, \dots, b_m)$  of  $P$ . Observe that, due to the choice of the root of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the first edge  $(b_1, b_2)$  of  $P$  belongs to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ , and thus  $P_u$  entirely belongs to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ . If  $Q_u$  belongs to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ , as in Fig. 15a, then we say that  $\mu$  is of **type RE**, where **R** stands for *red*, meaning that  $v$  is red, and **E** stands for *empty*, meaning that  $\mu$  does not contain any non-pole black vertex. If  $Q_u$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ , as in Fig. 15b, then  $\mu$  is of **type RF**, where **F** stands for *finishing*, meaning that  $P$  ends in  $H_\mu$ .

Suppose now that  $v$  is black. We distinguish four subcases, based on whether the subpaths of  $P$  that are separated by  $u$  and  $v$  belong to  $H_\mu$  or not. In particular, let  $u = b_i$  and  $v = b_j$ , with  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ ; assume without loss of generality that  $i < j$ . Then  $u$  and  $v$  split  $P$  into three subpaths (possibly composed of single vertices)  $P_u = (b_1, \dots, b_i)$ ,  $P_{uv} = (b_i, \dots, b_j)$ , and  $P_v = (b_j, \dots, b_m)$ , each of which entirely belongs to either  $H_\mu$  or to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ . As in the previous case,  $P_u$  entirely belongs to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ , due to the choice of the root of  $\mathcal{T}$ . On the other hand,  $P_{uv}$  and  $P_v$  can independently belong to either  $H_\mu$  or  $\overline{H}_\mu$ , which defines the four subcases.

If both  $P_{uv}$  and  $P_v$  belong to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ , as in Fig. 15c, then  $\mu$  is of **type BE**, where **B** stands for *black*, meaning that  $v$  is black, and **E** stands for *empty*. If  $P_{uv}$  belongs to  $H_\mu$  and  $P_v$  belongs to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ , as in Fig. 15d, then  $\mu$  is of **type BP**, where **P** stands for *passing*, meaning that  $P$  passes through  $H_\mu$  from pole to pole. If both  $P_{uv}$  and  $P_v$  belong to  $H_\mu$ , as in Fig. 15e, then  $\mu$  is of **type BB**, where the second **B** stands for *both*. Finally, if  $P_{uv}$  belongs to  $\overline{H}_\mu$  and  $P_v$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ , as in Fig. 15f, then  $\mu$  is of **type BF**, where **F** stands for *finishing*.

## 6.2 Properties of the nodes of $\mathcal{T}$

We start with a simple lemma concerning the structure of type  $\odot$ -RE nodes.

**Lemma 15.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RE. Then,  $H_\mu^-$  consists of an edge between the poles of  $\mu$ , and  $H_\mu$  consists of a star centered at  $u$  with at most two leaves, which are red.*

*Proof.* The first part of the statement follows from the observations that the red pole  $v$  of  $\mu$  is only connected to black vertices in  $H$ , and that the only black vertex in  $H_\mu$  is the pole  $u$ , by definition of **type RE**. The second part of the statement then follows from the fact that the edge incident to  $u$  other than  $(u, v)$ , if any, is an  $rb$ -trivial component of  $H$ .  $\square$

In the following, we discuss how nodes of different types can appear in the SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $H^-$ . We start with a lemma that is a consequence of the fact that  $\mathcal{T}$  is rooted at the Q-node  $\rho$  corresponding to the first edge  $(b_1, b_2)$  of  $P$ .

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $\mu \neq \rho$  be a node of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $b_1 \in H_\mu$ . Then,  $b_1$  is a pole of  $\mu$ . Also,  $\mu$  is of type either  $\odot$ -BE, or  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\odot$ -RE. Finally, if  $\mu$  is adjacent to  $\rho$ , then  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BF.*

*Proof.* Since  $b_1 \in H_\mu$  and since  $(b_1, b_2)$  is an edge of  $\overline{H}_\mu$ , due to the rooting of  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have that  $b_1$  is a pole of  $\mu$ ; in particular,  $b_1 = u$ , by definition. The fact that  $\mu$  is of type neither  $\odot$ -RF, nor  $\odot$ -BP, nor  $\odot$ -BB descends from the fact that in any of these types, the pole  $u$  has one black neighbor in  $H_\mu$ , while the only black neighbor of  $b_1$  is  $b_2$ , and  $(b_1, b_2)$  is an edge of  $\overline{H}_\mu$ .

Suppose that  $\mu$  is adjacent to  $\rho$ . Thus, the poles of  $\mu$  are  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , and hence  $\mu$  is of type either  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF. However, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE, then  $H$  does not contain black vertices other than  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . This contradicts Assumption (A2) and proves the statement.  $\square$

Next, we describe some properties of the skeletons of the nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Consider any node  $\mu \neq \rho$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ . In order to simplify the discussion, we extend the notion of *type* to the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ . Namely, any virtual edge  $e$  in  $sk(\mu)$  corresponds to a child  $\nu$  of  $\mu$ ; then, the type of  $e$  is the type of  $\nu$ . We have the following.

**Lemma 17.** *There is at most one virtual edge of  $sk(\mu)$  that is of type  $\odot$ -RF,  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\odot$ -BB.*



*Proof.* The statement follows from the fact that each virtual edge of type  $\odot$ -RF,  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\odot$ -BB, contains  $b_m$  as a non-pole vertex, by definition.  $\square$

**Lemma 18.** *All the virtual edges of type  $\odot$ -BP or  $\odot$ -BB form a path in  $sk(\mu)$  that: (i) starts at a pole of  $\mu$ ; (ii) ends at a vertex  $y$  of  $sk(\mu)$ ; and (iii) contains all the black vertices of  $sk(\mu)$ , except, possibly, for the other pole of  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the subgraph  $sk_b(\mu)$  of  $sk(\mu)$  composed of the black vertices and of the type  $\odot$ -BP or  $\odot$ -BB virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ . First, we have that every vertex of  $sk_b(\mu)$  has degree at most 2; indeed, a vertex of  $sk_b(\mu)$  with three incident edges is a vertex of  $H$  with at least three black neighbors, which is not possible. Second, we have that  $sk_b(\mu)$  contains no cycle, as such a cycle would correspond to a cycle of black vertices in  $H$ , which is not possible. It follows that  $sk_b(\mu)$  is a set of paths. Finally, if  $sk_b(\mu)$  has at least two connected components both of which are different from a single pole of  $\mu$ , then  $H_\mu$  would contain as non-pole vertices both the end-vertices  $b_1$  and  $b_m$  of the black path  $P$ , which is impossible because of the rooting of  $\mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

### 6.3 Neat Embeddings

We start with the following definition.

**Definition 2.** *A good embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  is **neat** if, for every  $rb$ -trivial component  $(r, b)$  with  $b$  in  $H^-$ , it satisfies the following property: Let  $\mu$  be the proper allocation node of  $b$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ; then, the face of  $\mathcal{E}$  vertex  $r$  is incident to corresponds to a face of the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

We show in [Lemma 19](#) that  $H$  admits a good embedding if and only if it admits a neat embedding. Thus, in the remainder of the section, we will focus our attention on neat embeddings.

**Lemma 19.** *The graph  $H$  admits a good embedding if and only if it admits a neat embedding.*

*Proof.* The necessity is trivial. In the following, we prove the sufficiency. Let  $\mathcal{E}^*$  be a good embedding of  $H$ . Suppose that there exists (otherwise, there is nothing to prove) an  $rb$ -trivial component  $(r, b)$ , with  $b$  in  $H^-$ , such that the face  $f_r$  of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  vertex  $r$  is incident to does not correspond to any face of the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , where  $\mu$  is the proper allocation node of  $b$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $f_r$  is an internal face of the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_e$  of  $H_e$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , where  $e = (u_e = b, v_e)$  is a virtual edge of  $sk(\mu)$  incident to  $b$ .

We show how to obtain a good embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H$  in which the vertex  $r$  is incident to a face of  $\mathcal{E}'$  that corresponds to a face of the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}'$ . We obtain  $\mathcal{E}'$  from  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , by placing  $r$  inside a different face, while maintaining the rest of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  unchanged (except, possibly, for the routing of a single edge belonging to  $H_e$ ). First, we show (i) that removing  $r$  and  $(b, r)$  from  $f_r$  yields a good embedding  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  of the resulting instance; see, e.g., [Figs. 16a](#) and [16b](#). Second, we show (ii) how to reinsert  $r$  and  $(b, r)$  into  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  to obtain  $\mathcal{E}'$ , after possibly rerouting a single edge belonging to  $H_e$ ; see, e.g., [Fig. 16c](#).

Consider the auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  of  $\mathcal{E}^*$ . By [Lemma 9](#), we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  is a caterpillar whose backbone  $\mathcal{B} = (f_1, v_2, f_2, \dots, v_k, f_k)$  spans all the red faces of  $\mathcal{E}^*$ . Furthermore, there exist two distinct red vertices  $r'$  and  $r''$  that are leaves of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$ , whose neighbors in  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  are  $f_1$  and  $f_k$ , respectively, and such that  $r'$  and  $b_1$  are incident to the same face of  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , and  $r''$  and  $b_m$  are incident to the same face of  $\mathcal{E}^*$ .

We prove (i). Since  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  is connected and since  $r$  is only incident to  $f_r$ , we have that  $f_r$  is red and that  $r$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  adjacent to  $f_r$ . Therefore,  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  remains a caterpillar after the removal of  $r$ . Suppose first that  $f_r$  remains red after the removal of  $r$ ; refer to [Figs. 16a](#) and [16b](#). Then  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  coincides with  $A(\mathcal{E}^*) \setminus r$ , which implies that [Condition 1](#) of [Lemma 9](#) is satisfied. If  $r \notin \{r', r''\}$ , then  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  satisfies [Condition 2](#) of [Lemma 9](#). If  $r = r''$  (the case in which  $r = r'$  is analogous), then  $f_r$  is an end-vertex of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  and, since  $r$  is only incident to  $f_r$ , it holds that




Figure 16: Illustration for the proof of Lemma 19 when  $f_r$  is also red in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  after the removal of  $r$ .

$b_m$  is incident to  $f_r$ . Observe that, since  $f_r$  remains red after the removal of  $r$ , there exist at least two other red vertices different from  $r$  incident to  $f_r$ ; further, all but at most one of such vertices are leaves of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  (note that, if the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  is not the single vertex  $f_r$ , then the neighbor of  $f_r$  in the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  is the only red vertex incident to  $f_r$  that is not a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$ ). Therefore, at least one red vertex different from  $r$  and incident to  $f_r$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$ ; further, such a leaf shares the face  $f_r$  with  $b_m$ , given that  $b_m$  is incident to  $f_r$ , and thus it can be selected to play the role of  $r''$  to satisfy Condition 2 of Lemma 9. If  $f_r$  is not red after the removal of  $r$ ; refer to Figs. 17a and 17b. Then there exists exactly one red vertex  $r^\circ$  different from  $r$  incident to  $f_r$ . Since  $r$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$ , we have that  $f_r$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$ , that  $r \in \{r', r''\}$ , say  $r = r''$ , and that  $b_m$  is incident to  $f_r$ . Thus, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  coincides with  $A(\mathcal{E}^*) \setminus \{f_r, r\}$ , and that it is a caterpillar, which satisfies Condition 1 of Lemma 9. Further, the other neighbor of  $r^\circ$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$ . Therefore, setting  $r'' = r^\circ$  satisfies Condition 2 of Lemma 9.




Figure 17: Illustration for the proof of Lemma 19 when  $f_r$  is not red in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  after the removal of  $r$ .

We prove (ii). Since  $r$  is only incident to  $f_r$  in  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , we have that each face of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  different from  $f_r$  is also a face of  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ ; in particular, each red face of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  different from  $f_r$  is also a red face of  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ . Consider  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_e)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_e)$ , and let  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$  be the two faces of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  corresponding to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_e)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_e)$ , respectively. First, if one of  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$  is red in  $\mathcal{E}^*$  (and, thus, in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ , given that  $f_r \neq g_\ell, g_r$ ), placing  $r$  and  $(b, r)$  inside such a face yields a good embedding of  $H$ ; refer to Figs. 16c and 17c. Thus, in the following we will assume that none of  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$  is red.

To continue the proof, we distinguish several cases based on the structure of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$ .

Suppose first that  $H \setminus H_e$  contains no red vertex. We distinguish two cases based on

whether  $b_1 \in H_e$  or not. Assume first that  $b_1$  belongs to  $H_e$ . By Lemma 16, we have that  $b_1$  is a pole of  $e$  (possibly,  $b_1 = b$ ), and  $e$  is of type either  $\odot$ -BE, or  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\odot$ -RE. By Lemma 15 and since  $f_r$  is an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_e$ , we have that  $e$  is not of type  $\odot$ -RE. By definition of type  $\odot$ -BE and  $\odot$ -BF nodes, we have that all the neighbors of  $b_1$  in  $H_e$  are red. Let  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  be the neighbors of  $b_1$  incident to  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$ , respectively. We have that the internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  incident to  $b_1$  form a subpath of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  connecting  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$ . No red vertex different from  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  is incident to  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$ , as otherwise one of  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$  would be red. Therefore, since  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  is a good embedding, we have that either  $r' = u_\ell$  or  $r' = u_r$ , say  $r' = u_\ell$ , that is,  $u_\ell$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  adjacent to an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  sharing a face with  $b_1$ . Therefore, by placing  $r$  and  $(b, r)$  inside  $g_\ell$ , we obtain the embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H$ , whose auxiliary graph is a caterpillar obtained from  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  by adding the edges  $(u_\ell, g_\ell)$  and  $(g_\ell, r)$ . This implies that Condition 1 of Lemma 9 is satisfied. Further, by setting  $r' = r$ , we have that Condition 2 of Lemma 9 is satisfied, since  $b_1$  is incident to  $g_\ell$ . Assume now that  $b_1$  does not belong to  $H_e$ . Since  $H \setminus H_e$  contains no red vertex and since  $b_1$  has at least one red neighbor in  $H^-$ , we have that  $b_1$  is adjacent to a red pole  $v_e$  of  $e$ . Moreover, since  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$  do not contain any other red vertex, as otherwise they would be red, we have that  $v_e$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  adjacent to an end-vertex of its backbone, given that  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$  satisfies Condition 1 of Lemma 9. By placing  $r$  and  $(b, r)$  inside one of the two outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_e$ , we obtain the embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H$  whose auxiliary graph satisfies the two conditions of Lemma 9.

Finally, consider the case that  $H \setminus H_e$  contains at least one red vertex. Consider the path of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  connecting  $r$  and a red vertex of  $H \setminus H_e$ . Since none of  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$  is red, we have that such a path must include a pole of  $e$ . Therefore, we can assume that the pole  $v_e$  of  $e$  different from  $b$  is red, which implies that  $e$  is of type  $\odot$ -RF, since  $e$  is not of type  $\odot$ -RE as discussed above; refer to Fig. 18a. Further,  $v_e$  belongs to the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$ , and it is incident to a red face  $f_{in}$  internal to  $\mathcal{E}_e$  and to a red face  $f_{out}$  not belonging to  $\mathcal{E}_e$ . First, we claim that  $H_e$  contains the edge  $(u_e, v_e)$ . Note that, since  $b = u_e$  is incident to  $f_r$ , there exists at least an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  that is incident to  $u_e$ . This implies that there exist two distinct neighbors  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  of  $u_e$  incident to  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$ , respectively. Since  $u_e$  has exactly one black neighbor belonging to  $H_e$ , due to the fact that  $e$  is of type  $\odot$ -RF, at least one of  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  is red, say  $u_r$ . We have that  $u_r$  must coincide with  $v_e$ , as otherwise  $g_r$  would be red, which proves the above claim. By the discussion above and since  $H$  does not contain parallel edges, we have that  $u_\ell$  is black. Let  $f$  be the internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  incident to  $(u_e, v_e)$ . Note that  $f$  is red in  $\mathcal{E}^*$ . In fact, the neighbor of  $u_e$  preceding  $v_e$  in  $\mathcal{E}_e$  in clockwise order around  $u_e$  is incident to  $f$  and is red; the latter descends from the fact that this vertex is different from  $u_\ell$ , since  $r$  appears between  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  in the clockwise order of the neighbors of  $u_e$  in  $\mathcal{E}_e$ . Since  $f_{in}$  is the unique red face of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  incident to  $v_e$  and since  $f$  is incident to  $v_e$ , we have  $f = f_{in}$ . Suppose first that  $f$  is still red in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ . We obtain  $\mathcal{E}'$  as follows; refer to Fig. 18b. First, we reroute the edge  $(u_e, v_e)$  in the interior of  $g_\ell$ , that is, we let  $(u_e, v_e)$  be the edge immediately preceding  $(u_e, u_\ell)$  clockwise around  $u_e$ . This merges  $f$  with  $g_r$  into a new red face  $g'_r$  containing the same red vertices as  $f$  in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ , which is now the right outer face of the resulting embedding of  $H_e$ . Also, it splits  $g_\ell$ , which was not red in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ , into two faces that are not red. This implies that the auxiliary graph of the resulting embedding is a caterpillar isomorphic to  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  that satisfies the conditions of Lemma 9. Second, we place  $r$  and  $(b, r)$  inside  $g'_r$ , thus obtaining a good embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H$ . Finally, suppose that  $f$  is not red in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ . This implies that  $f = f_{in} = f_r$  and that  $v_e$  is not incident to any internal red face of the embedding of  $H_e$  in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ . Moreover,  $f = f_r$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}^*)$  incident to  $v_e$  and to  $r$ , where  $r'' = r$  and  $b_m$  is incident to  $f_r$ . Therefore,  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  coincides with  $A(\mathcal{E}^*) \setminus \{f_r, r\}$  and has  $r'' = v_e$ . We obtain  $\mathcal{E}'$  as follows. First, we reroute the edge  $(u_e, v_e)$  in the interior of  $g_\ell$ . This merges  $f$  with  $g_r$  into a new face  $g'_r$  incident to  $b_m$  whose unique red vertex is  $v_e$ , which is now the right outer face of the resulting embedding of  $H_e$ . Also, it splits  $g_\ell$ , which was not red in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ , into two faces that are not red. This implies that the auxiliary graph of the resulting embedding is a caterpillar isomorphic to  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$  that satisfies the conditions of




Figure 18: Illustration for the proof of Lemma 19 when  $H \setminus H_e$  contains at least one red vertex, none of  $g_\ell$  and  $g_r$  is red, and  $f_r$  is red in  $\mathcal{E}^\circ$ .

**Lemma 9.** Second, we complete the construction of  $\mathcal{E}'$ , by placing  $r$  and  $(b, r)$  inside  $g'_r$ . We have that  $A(\mathcal{E}')$  is a caterpillar, obtained from  $A(\mathcal{E}^\circ)$ , by adding the edges  $(v_e, g'_r)$  and  $(g'_r, r)$ . This implies that Condition 1 of Lemma 9 is satisfied. Further, by setting  $r'' = r$ , we have that Condition 2 of Lemma 9 is satisfied, since  $b_m$  is incident to  $g'_r$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 6.4 Embedding Classification

Consider a node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  different from  $\rho$ . We proceed with two definitions.

**Definition 3.** An embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  *extends* an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  if  $\mathcal{E}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  when restricted to the vertices and edges of  $H_\mu$ .

**Definition 4.** An embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  is called *extensible* if there is a neat embedding of  $H$  that extends  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be any embedding of  $H$ . Consider the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and the corresponding embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$  of  $H_\mu^-$ . The *left* and *right* outer faces  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , respectively, are the faces corresponding to the left and right outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$ , respectively. Often, we talk about the left and right outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  even when an embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  is not specified or determined. Note that, when considering an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ , the vertices and edges that are incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are determined, with the exception of the (at most two) *rb*-trivial components that are incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and to the poles  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$  of  $\mu$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a neat embedding of  $H$  extending  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , each of such components is incident either to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , or to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , or to an internal face of  $\overline{H}_\mu$ . We call *undecided* such *rb*-trivial components.

In the following, we present a classification of the possible embeddings  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  that occur in a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ , i.e., the extensible embeddings of  $H_\mu$ . Let  $A(\mathcal{E})$  be the auxiliary graph of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Ideally, we would like to classify such embeddings of  $H_\mu$  based on the structure of the subgraph of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  induced by: (i) the vertices of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  corresponding to red vertices of  $H_\mu$ ; and (ii) the vertices that correspond to faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , including its left and right outer faces. Then, when visiting a node  $\mu$  in the bottom-up traversal of the SPQR-tree of  $H^-$ , we aim at testing which embedding types are possible for  $H_\mu$ .

There is one complication to this plan, though. Namely, an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  does not always fully determine whether its left and right outer faces  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are going to be red in a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  extending  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . For example,  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  might contain exactly one red vertex incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; then  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red in an embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  extending  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  if and only if there is either a red vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  or an undecided *rb*-trivial component of  $\mu$  that is incident

to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . However, whether any of the above two cases occurs is not determined by the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ . On the other hand, the existence of such a red vertex is guaranteed under some conditions, as described in the following lemma.

**Lemma 20.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is of type BP or BB. Then in any embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ , at least one red vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and at least one red vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  is incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be any embedding of  $H$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists no red vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . This implies that the portion of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that contains all the vertices of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  incident to it induces a path of black vertices connecting the poles  $u$  and  $v$  of  $\mu$ . Since  $\mu$  is of type BP or BB, there exists a path with the same property also in  $H_\mu$ , namely  $P_{u,v}$ . Since these two paths create a cycle composed of black vertices, we have a contradiction. Hence, at least one red vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . By a symmetric argument, at least one red vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  is incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

In view of the above discussion, we classify the extensible embeddings  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  based on the following auxiliary graph associated with  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

**Definition 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  be a extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$ . The graph  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains a vertex for each red vertex of  $H_\mu$  that does not belong to an undecided  $rb$ -trivial component; furthermore, it contains a vertex for each internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ; finally, it contains a vertex for  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (resp. for  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ) if  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (resp.  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ) has at least two incident<sup>3</sup> red vertices of  $H_\mu$ , or if  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (resp.  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ) has one incident red vertex of  $H_\mu$  and  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. The edge set of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains an edge  $(v, f)$  for each two vertices  $v$  and  $f$  that belong to the vertex set of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and such that  $v$  is a vertex of  $H_\mu$  incident to a face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .*

We give the following lemma about the structure of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

**Lemma 21 (Structure of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ).** *The graph  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is composed of at most two caterpillars.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is extensible, there exists a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  that extends  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Observe that each connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar, since every edge of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  also belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and since  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a caterpillar, by Lemma 9.

We now prove that there exist at most two connected components in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exist at least three such components. We first observe that, if there exists a component  $C$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that contains neither  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , nor  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , nor the red pole of  $\mu$  (if it exists), then  $C$  is also a connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , since any path in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  connecting a vertex of  $H_\mu$  with a vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  must contain one of these three vertices. Thus, we may assume that there exist exactly three connected components in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , each containing one of these three vertices. However, this is not possible, since the red pole of  $\mu$  is incident to both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and thus the three components would be merged into one. The statement follows.  $\square$

The *backbones* of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are the paths obtained from the connected components of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  by removing the leaves that correspond to red vertices of  $H_\mu$ .

In the following subsections, we classify the extensible embeddings  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  in terms of the way in which  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  can participate to  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a neat embedding of  $H$  that extends  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Our classification is based on the following features:

- (F1) the number of caterpillars  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of;
- (F2) the number of outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; and
- (F3) whether  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has at least one internal red face  $f$  or not.

<sup>3</sup>For the sake of this definition, red vertices that belong to undecided  $rb$ -trivial components are not considered to be incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .



We are going to exploit the following lemma for the classification.

**Lemma 22.** *If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains an internal red vertex, then it also contains an internal red face and a red vertex incident to the outer face.*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be an internal red vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that no face incident to  $w$  is red. Then the closed walk delimiting the face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu - w$  that used to contain  $w$  is composed of black vertices only. However, this contradicts the fact that the black vertices induce a path in  $H$ . This proves the first part of the statement. For the second part, denote by  $W$  the closed walk delimiting the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Note that  $W$  contains at least a simple cycle, which contains  $w$  in its interior. Thus, if there exists no red vertex incident to the outer face, then  $W$  is composed of black vertices only, which again contradicts the fact that the black vertices induce a path in  $H$ .  $\square$

#### 6.4.1 type $\odot$ -RE Nodes

type  $\odot$ -RE nodes have a very simple structure, as in the following lemma.

**Lemma 23.** *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RE, then  $H_\mu$  has a unique extensible embedding (up to a relabeling of the neighbors of  $u$ ). Furthermore,  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of a single red vertex.*




Figure 19: The pertinent graph of a type- $\odot$ -RE node.

*Proof.* By Lemma 15,  $H_\mu^-$  consists of an edge between the poles of  $\mu$ . Therefore,  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains just one red vertex, which corresponds to the red pole  $v$  of  $\mu$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 23, we say that the unique extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$  a type  $\odot$ -RE node  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RE; refer to Fig. 19.

#### 6.4.2 Type $\odot$ -RF Nodes

We now discuss the case in which  $v$  is red and some edges of  $P$  belong to  $H_\mu$ , that is,  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RF.

**Lemma 24.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RF. Then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar. Further, if  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then the path between  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is  $(\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu), v, r(\mathcal{E}_\mu))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is extensible, by Lemma 21, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is composed of at most two caterpillars. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  actually consists of two caterpillars. If one of them, say  $C$ , contains neither  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  nor  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then, as in the proof of Lemma 21, we have that  $C$  is also a connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is connected. Otherwise, one caterpillar contains  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and the other one contains  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . However,  $v$  is incident to both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , hence it is adjacent to both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , which implies that  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are in the same connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , a contradiction that proves that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a connected caterpillar. As just observed, if both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are nodes of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains the path  $(\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu), v, r(\mathcal{E}_\mu))$ .  $\square$

We now classify the possible types of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  based on Features (F2)–(F3); refer to Fig. 20 for a complete schematization and to Fig. 21 for examples. Observe that the classification with respect to Feature (F1) is unique, as stated by Lemma 24. There exist three possible options for Feature (F2), namely  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  can have 0, 1, or 2 outer faces which are red. Further, there exist two possible options for Feature (F3), namely  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  can have an internal red face  $f$  or not. This defines six types of embeddings for  $H_\mu$ , called  $\odot$ -RFN0,  $\odot$ -RFN1,  $\odot$ -RFN2,  $\odot$ -RFI0,  $\odot$ -RFI1, and  $\odot$ -RFI2, where the third character indicates whether  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains an internal red face (I) or not (N), and the fourth character indicates the number of red outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . In the following, we refine the above classification.

We first deal with embeddings with no internal red faces. We start with the following lemma.




Figure 20: Embedding types for type  $\odot$ -RF nodes, without taking into account the existence of an undecided  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $u$ .

**Lemma 25.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  does not contain any internal red face. If  $H_\mu$  contains at least one red vertex different from  $v$ , then  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RF, we have that  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\mu$ . By Lemma 22 and by the assumption that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  does not contain any internal red face, we have that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  does not contain any internal red vertex. By Lemma 9, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  contains a leaf  $r''$  that is adjacent to an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and that shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $b_m$ .

Hence, either (1)  $r''$  is a vertex of  $\overline{H}_\mu$  incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; or (2)  $r''$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\mu$  incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; or (3)  $r'' = v$ . In cases (1) and (2), we have that  $r''$  is (only) adjacent to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , hence  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . In case (3), by the assumption that  $H_\mu$  contains at least one red vertex different from  $v$  and since such a vertex is incident to either  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , we have that  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red. Hence,  $r'' = v$  is (only) adjacent to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , and again  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ .  $\square$

We refine the classification for the embedding types  $\odot$ -RFN0,  $\odot$ -RFN1, and  $\odot$ -RFN2, according to the position of  $b_m$  with respect to the red vertices of  $H_\mu$ . Observe that, by Lemma 22, all the red vertices are incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Further,  $b_m$  is adjacent to at least one red vertex in  $H_\mu^-$ , since  $b_m$  has only one black neighbor and it has degree at least 2 in  $H_\mu^-$ .

Suppose first that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN0. In this case,  $v$  is the only red vertex of  $H_\mu^-$ , and thus  $b_m$  is adjacent to  $v$ . If  $b_m$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN0A, otherwise it is of type  $\odot$ -RFN0B. Note that, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN0A, then  $v$  must coincide with the leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that shares a face with  $b_m$  and that is adjacent to an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ .

Suppose next that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN1 and assume without loss of generality that  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red. We further distinguish four subtypes  $\odot$ -RFN1A,  $\odot$ -RFN1B,  $\odot$ -RFN1C, and  $\odot$ -RFN1D, based on whether  $b_m$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  ( $\odot$ -RFN1A and  $\odot$ -RFN1B) or not ( $\odot$ -RFN1C and  $\odot$ -RFN1D), and based on whether  $b_m$  shares a face with at least one red vertex different from  $v$  ( $\odot$ -RFN1A and  $\odot$ -RFN1C) or not ( $\odot$ -RFN1B and  $\odot$ -RFN1D). Observe that, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN1D, then  $v$  must coincide with the leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that shares a face with  $b_m$  and that is adjacent to an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . Further, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type either  $\odot$ -RFN1C or  $\odot$ -RFN1D, then  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  must be an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ .




Figure 21: Embeddings of pertinent graphs of type  $\odot$ -RF.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN2. In this case, we do not perform any further refinement. Observe that  $b_m$  must be adjacent to at least one red vertex that is different from  $v$ , since  $v$  belongs to the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and thus  $v \neq r''$ .

We now turn our attention to embeddings with internal red faces. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 26.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains an internal red face, and let  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  be the end-vertices of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  of the caterpillar  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . We have that:*

1. *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and let  $f^\diamond$  be the end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$  that is adjacent in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  to a leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that shares a face with  $b_m$ . Then  $f^\diamond$  is one of  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$ , say  $f^\diamond = f^*$ ; further,  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .*
2. *If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI0, then  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to the red pole  $v$ , which is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (note that, in this case,  $f^\diamond = f^*$  may hold).*
3. *If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI1 or  $\odot$ -RFI2, then  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains an internal red face  $f$ , as otherwise there is nothing to prove. The proof distinguishes the case in which  $f^* = f^\diamond$  from the one in which  $f^* \neq f^\diamond$ .

We first discuss the case in which  $f^* = f^\diamond$ . In this case  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains a single red face, which is adjacent to all the red vertices of  $H_\mu^-$ , including  $v$ . Since  $f$  is an internal red face, we have that  $f^* = f^\diamond = f$  and hence each of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is not red (that is,  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI0). It follows that the only red vertex that is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$  is  $v$ , which is a leaf given that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains a single red face. This proves Item 2. We now prove that the choice  $f^\diamond = f^* = f$  satisfies the requirements of Item 1. First,  $v$  is the only neighbor of  $f^\diamond$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  which might be adjacent to a vertex different from  $f^\diamond$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , as all the other red vertices of  $H_\mu^-$  are internal to  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Hence,  $f^\diamond$  is also an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$ . By Lemma 9, we have that  $f^\diamond$  is adjacent to a leaf that shares a face with either  $b_1$  or  $b_m$ . If  $f^\diamond$  is adjacent to a leaf  $r''$  that shares a face with  $b_m$ , then we are done, so assume that  $f^\diamond$  is adjacent to a leaf  $r'$  that shares a face with  $b_1$ . By Lemma 16, we have that  $b_1$  is not a vertex of  $H_\mu$ , hence  $r'$  cannot be an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , that is, we have  $r' = v$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of the vertex  $f^\diamond$  only, hence  $f^\diamond$  is also adjacent to a leaf  $r''$  that shares a face with  $b_m$ , and the proof of Item 1 is completed. The statement of Item 3 is vacuous, given that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI0.

In the remainder of the proof we assume that  $f^* \neq f^\diamond$ .

We first prove that (at least) one of  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that neither of  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = (f^*, \dots, f, \dots, f^\diamond)$ , where  $f^*, f^\diamond \in \{\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu), r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)\}$ . However,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ , together with the path  $(\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu), v, r(\mathcal{E}_\mu))$  which belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  by Lemma 24, forms a cycle, contradicting the fact that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar. It follows that (at least) one of  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$ , say  $f^*$ , corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

We now argue that  $\mathcal{B}$  has an end-vertex  $f^\diamond$  that corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and whose every adjacent leaf in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . If  $f^*$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$  and every leaf adjacent to  $f^*$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , then we are done with  $f^\diamond = f^*$ . Assume to the contrary that there exists a leaf  $w$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to  $f^*$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and that is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$ . Since  $f^*$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , it follows that  $w$  is also a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that is adjacent to  $f^*$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . If  $w \neq v$  and  $w$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ), then  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (resp.  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ) belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and hence  $w$  is adjacent to both  $f^*$  and  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (resp.  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ) in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , which is not possible since  $w$  has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . If  $w = v$ , then, since  $v$  is adjacent to  $f^*$  and has degree 1 in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , it follows that each of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is not red, and thus no vertex different from  $v$  and incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$  is red. Hence,  $f^\diamond \neq f^*$  is an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ; further,  $f^\diamond$  has no adjacent leaf in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$ , hence  $f^\diamond = f^\diamond$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$  that corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and whose every adjacent leaf in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , as requested.

We are now ready to prove Item 1. As proved above,  $f^\diamond \in \{f^*, f^\diamond\}$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$  that corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and whose every adjacent leaf in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . By Lemma 16, we have that  $b_1$  is not a vertex of  $H_\mu$ , hence it cannot share a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with any leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to  $f^\diamond$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . By Lemma 9, it follows that  $f^\diamond$  is adjacent in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  to a leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that shares a face with  $b_m$ .

In the following assume, w.l.o.g., that  $f^\diamond = f^*$ .

In order to prove Item 2, assume that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI0. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $f^\diamond$  does not correspond to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to the red pole  $v$ . By the definition of type  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI0, the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  are not red. It follows that  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ; if  $f^\diamond$  is not incident to  $v$ , then every red vertex incident to  $f^\diamond$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Thus,  $f^\diamond$  is also an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . However, by Lemma 16, we have that  $b_1$  is not a vertex of  $H_\mu$ , hence it cannot share a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with any leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to  $f^\diamond$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , a contradiction to Lemma 9. This proves that  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that is incident to  $v$ . If  $v$  is not a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , we again have that  $f^\diamond$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  and that any leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to  $f^\diamond$  in  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , hence it cannot share a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $b_1$ , a contradiction to Lemma 9. This proves that  $v$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and hence concludes the proof of Item 2.

In order to prove Item 3, assume that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI1. Assume, w.l.o.g. up to symmetry, that the red outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Then the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a path  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = (f^*, \dots, r(\mathcal{E}_\mu), \dots, f^\diamond)$ . Every red vertex that is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$  is a neighbor of  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and thus it is not a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^\diamond$ . It follows that  $f^\diamond$  is also an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . Thus, any leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to  $f^\diamond$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , hence by Lemma 16 it cannot share a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $b_1$ , a contradiction to Lemma 9. Similarly, assume that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI2 and consider any good embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  extending  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . If  $f^\diamond$  corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , then the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a path  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  that has  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  as internal vertices. Every red vertex that is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^-$  is a neighbor of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and thus it is not a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^\diamond$ . It follows that  $f^\diamond$  is also an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . Thus, any leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to  $f^\diamond$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , hence by Lemma 16 it cannot share a face of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $b_1$ , a contradiction to Lemma 9.  $\square$

If  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI1, then consider the internal red face  $f^*$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that corresponds to an



Figure 22: Embeddings of pertinent graphs of type  $\odot$ -BE.

end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; this exists by Lemma 26. By Lemma 24, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar; then there exists exactly one path in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  connecting  $f^*$  and  $v$ . Two cases are possible: Either this path contains the red outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  (type  $\odot$ -RFI1A) or not (type  $\odot$ -RFI1B). Note that, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI0 or  $\odot$ -RFI2, then this further distinction is not meaningful. In fact, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI0, then the outer faces are not red; while if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI2, then both the outer faces are red, and thus the path connecting  $f^*$  and  $v$  must contain one of such faces, as otherwise  $v$  would be incident to three red faces, contradicting the fact that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar. The above discussion leads to the following.

**Lemma 27.** *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RF, any extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$  is of one of the types  $\odot$ -RFN0A,  $\odot$ -RFN0B,  $\odot$ -RFN1A,  $\odot$ -RFN1B,  $\odot$ -RFN1C,  $\odot$ -RFN1D,  $\odot$ -RFN2,  $\odot$ -RFI0,  $\odot$ -RFI1A,  $\odot$ -RFI1B, and  $\odot$ -RFI2.*

### 6.4.3 Type $\odot$ -BE Nodes

First, we prove that the type  $\odot$ -BE nodes have a simple structure.

**Lemma 28.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE. Then the graph  $H_\mu^-$  consists of a set of length-2 paths between the poles of  $\mu$ . The middle vertices of these paths are red. Further, the graph  $H_\mu$  is the same as  $H_\mu^-$  plus, for each pole of  $\mu$ , at most one rb-trivial component incident to it.*

*Proof.* By definition of type  $\odot$ -BE, all the non-pole vertices of  $H_\mu$  and of  $H_\mu^-$  are red. Since there exists no edge between two red vertices, each non-pole vertex of  $H_\mu^-$  is connected to both  $u$  and  $v$ . Further, the two poles  $u$  and  $v$  are not connected by an edge in  $H_\mu$ . In fact, if edge  $(u, v)$  exists in  $H$ , then it coincides with path  $P_{u,v}$ , and thus it belongs to  $\overline{H}_\mu$ . Hence, there exists at least one non-pole vertex, and the statement for  $H_\mu^-$  follows. The statement for  $H_\mu$  descends from its definition.  $\square$

If there exists only one length-2 path in  $H_\mu$  between the poles of  $\mu$ , then we say that  $\mu$  is of *type  $\odot$ -BE slim*, while if there exists more than one of such paths, then  $\mu$  is of *type  $\odot$ -BE fat*; refer to Fig. 22 for an example.

We now describe the structure of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  for a node  $\mu$  of type  $\odot$ -BE.

**Lemma 29.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE. Let  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  be the red neighbors of  $u$  in  $H_\mu^-$  that are incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , respectively. Then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a path between  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  that contains neither  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  nor  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 28, we have that  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  are the only red vertices of  $H_\mu^-$  that are incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , respectively. Since  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are not incident to any other red vertex of  $H_\mu$  (recall that the red vertices belonging to undecided rb-trivial components are incident neither to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  nor to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ), we have that neither  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  nor  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

Thus, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE slim, then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  only contains the middle red vertex  $u_\ell = u_r$  of the unique path between the poles. Further, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE fat, then every internal



face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is incident to exactly two red vertices of  $H_\mu$ , while each of these vertices is incident to exactly two internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , except for  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$ , which are incident only to one internal face; this constitutes the path  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . The statement follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 29** shows that the structure of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is the same in any planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ . In fact, concerning Feature (F1),  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of only one caterpillar; concerning Feature (F2), none of the outer faces is red; concerning Feature (F3), there exists an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  if and only if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE fat. We state the following.

**Lemma 30.** *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE, then  $H_\mu$  has a unique extensible embedding (up to a relabeling of the neighbors of  $u$  and of the neighbors of  $v$ ).*

By **Lemma 30**, we say that the unique extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE slim (resp. fat) if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE slim (resp. fat).

#### 6.4.4 Type $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP Nodes and type $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB Nodes

We now discuss the case in which both  $u$  and  $v$  are black and the path  $P_{uv}$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ . This corresponds to the types  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. We start with the following lemma on the structure of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

**Lemma 31.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then the following hold:*

1. *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of either one or two caterpillars, while if  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of either zero, one, or two caterpillars.*
2. *If  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of one caterpillar, then its backbone starts at either  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; also,  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  does not contain both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .*
3. *If  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of two caterpillars, then the backbone of one caterpillar starts at  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and the backbone of the other one starts at  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; further, the backbone of one of the two caterpillars is a single vertex.*
4. *If one of the caterpillars composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , say  $C$ , contains a vertex corresponding to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , then  $b_m$  belongs to  $H_\mu$  (in particular,  $b_m = v$  if  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, while  $b_m \notin \{u, v\}$  if  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB); further, the end-vertex of the backbone of  $C$  that corresponds to an internal face of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is adjacent to a leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that shares a face with  $b_m$ .*
5. *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then an end-vertex of the backbone of a caterpillar composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is adjacent to a leaf that shares a face with  $b_m$ .*

*Proof.* By **Lemma 21**, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is composed of at most two caterpillars.

To prove the first item of the statement, we prove that if  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is not empty. Namely, if  $H_\mu$  contains no red vertex, then it is a black path, hence  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP. It follows that, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then  $H_\mu$  contains at least one red vertex and hence  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \neq \emptyset$ .

In the following, we assume, without loss of generality, that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains at least one caterpillar, as otherwise there is nothing more to prove. Thus,  $H_\mu$  contains at least one red vertex, which implies that  $H_\mu$  also contains a red vertex that is incident to one of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , by **Lemma 22**. Hence, such an outer face belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , by **Definition 5**.

Next, we prove the second item of the statement. If  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of one caterpillar  $C$ , then  $C$  contains at least one of the outer faces, as discussed above. Further,  $C$  does not contain both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , since  $P_{u,v}$  “separates”  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  from  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . It follows that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains exactly one of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , say  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . We show that  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $C$ .

Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is not an end-vertex of  $C$ . Then both the end-vertices of  $C$  correspond to internal red faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . However, by [Condition 2](#) of [Lemma 9](#), one of them must be adjacent to a leaf  $r'$  that shares a face with  $b_1$ . We claim that, under this condition,  $b_1$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ . Namely, if  $b_1$  does not belong to  $H_\mu$ , then the only face that can be shared by  $b_1$  and  $r'$  is  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , given that  $r'$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\mu$ . However, this is not possible as otherwise  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , which is the only red face incident to  $r'$ , would be an end-vertex of the backbone of  $C$ . Thus,  $b_1$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ , contradicting [Lemma 16](#). This proves the second item of the statement.

Assume now that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of two caterpillars  $C$  and  $C'$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that one of them, say  $C$ , contains neither  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  nor  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Then we have that  $C$  is also a connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is connected. It follows that each caterpillar composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains exactly one of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . To prove the third item, observe that if one of the caterpillars does not start at an outer face, or if both caterpillars have at least one vertex on their backbone that corresponds to an internal red face of  $H_\mu$ , then there exist two internal red faces of  $H_\mu$  that correspond to end-vertices of the backbone of a caterpillar. With the same arguments as in the case in which  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of one caterpillar, we can prove that this implies that  $b_1$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ , which contradicts [Lemma 16](#).

We now prove the fourth item. Let  $C$  be a caterpillar composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that contains a vertex corresponding to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Then one of the end-vertices of the backbone of  $C$  corresponds to an internal face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , due to the second and the third item of this lemma. Further,  $f$  is also an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . In particular,  $f$  is the end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to a leaf  $r''$  sharing a face with  $b_m$ , as otherwise  $b_1$  would belong to  $H_\mu$ , as proved above, which would contradict [Lemma 16](#). Note that  $r'' \in H_\mu$ , since  $f$  is a face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , and thus  $r'' \in A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Finally, with the same argument used to prove that  $r' \in H_\mu$  implies  $b_1 \in H_\mu$ , we can prove that  $r'' \in H_\mu$  implies  $b_m \in H_\mu$ . This concludes the proof of the fourth item.

To prove the fifth item, observe that if  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\mu$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains at least an internal red face, then the proof of the statement follows from the fourth item of this lemma. If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  does not contain any internal red face, then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is composed of either one or two caterpillars, each consisting of a star centered at one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Since there exists at least a red vertex of  $H_\mu$  sharing a face with  $b_m$ , and since every red vertex is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to an outer face, the statement follows.  $\square$

We now classify the embedding types for type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB nodes; refer to [Fig. 23](#) for a complete schematization and to [Fig. 24](#) for examples.

Suppose first that  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP. There exist three possible options for Feature (F1); namely, by [Lemma 31](#), we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of either zero, or one, or two caterpillars.

If  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  does not contain any caterpillar, then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1. Hence,  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  does not have any red outer or internal face (so Features (F2) and (F3) are uniquely determined). We further observe the following.

**Observation 3.** *If  $H_\mu$  admits an embedding of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, then  $H_\mu^-$  is a path composed of black vertices between the poles of  $\mu$  whose internal vertices are not incident to any rb-trivial component.*

By [Observation 3](#), if  $\mu$  admits an embedding of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, then  $H_\mu^-$  has a unique embedding. Therefore, to ease the description, in the following we also say that  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1.

If  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of one caterpillar, then by [Lemma 31](#) it contains one red outer face, say  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and does not contain the other one (so Feature (F2) is uniquely determined). We distinguish two embedding types according to Feature (F3); namely, if  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  does not contain any internal red face, then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{C}\rightarrow\circ$ -BP2, otherwise we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{C}\rightarrow\circ$ -BP4. We remark that, by [Lemma 31](#), one end-vertex of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Further, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{C}\rightarrow\circ$ -BP4, then the end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  different from  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , say  $f^*$ , is an internal face




Figure 23: Embedding types for type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB nodes. The order of the figures has been chosen so to highlight the correspondence between the embedding types for type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP and type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB nodes, based on the features of our classification.

of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that does not share any leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  with the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Namely, by hypothesis we have  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = (r(\mathcal{E}_\mu), \dots, f, \dots, f^*)$ , where  $f$  is an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . If  $f^* = r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains a cycle, contradicting the fact that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar. Further,  $f^* \neq \ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , given that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  does not contain  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . It follows that  $f^*$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . If  $f^*$  is adjacent to a leaf  $w$  incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then  $w$  is adjacent to  $f^*$  and to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $w$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Finally,  $f^*$  is not adjacent to a leaf  $w$  incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , as otherwise  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  would be red.

If  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of two caterpillars, then by Lemma 31 we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (so Feature (F2) is uniquely determined). We distinguish two embedding types according to Feature (F3); namely, if  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  does not contain any internal red face, then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\text{Q-BP3}$ , otherwise we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\text{Q-BP5}$ . We remark that, by Lemma 31, the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^\ell$  of one caterpillar composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  starts at  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , while the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^r$  of the other caterpillar composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  starts at  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Further, again by Lemma 31, either  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^\ell$  or  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^r$ , say  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^\ell$ , is a single vertex. If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\text{Q-BP5}$ , then it can be proved similarly as for type  $\text{C-BP4}$  that the end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^r$  different from  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , say  $f^*$ , is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that does not share any leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  with the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

The above discussion leads to the following.

**Lemma 32.** *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, any extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$  is of one of the types  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1,  $\text{C-BP2}$ ,  $\text{Q-BP3}$ ,  $\text{C-BP4}$ , and  $\text{Q-BP5}$ .*

If  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then similarly to the previous case we distinguish four embedding types for  $H_\mu$ ; we call them types  $\text{C-BB2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\text{Q-BB5}$  in analogy with the types  $\text{C-BP2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\text{Q-BP5}$ . We observe that there exists no analogous of the embedding type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, given that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains either one or two caterpillars, by Lemma 31.

**Lemma 33.** *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, any extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$  is of one of the types  $\text{C-BB2}$ ,  $\text{Q-BB3}$ ,  $\text{C-BB4}$ , and  $\text{Q-BB5}$ .*




Figure 24: Embeddings of pertinent graphs of type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BB.

#### 6.4.5 Type $\mathcal{Z}$ -BF Nodes

Finally, we discuss the type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BF, in which both  $u$  and  $v$  are black and the path  $P_{uv}$  does not belong to  $H_\mu$ , while the path  $P_v$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ . We start with the following lemma on the structure of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

**Lemma 34.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BF. Then  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar containing a path  $Q_u$  between the red neighbor  $u_\ell$  of  $u$  incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and the red neighbor  $u_r$  of  $u$  incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; further,  $Q_u$  passes through all the internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to  $u$  and through no other face.*

*Proof.* First note that all the neighbors of  $u$  in  $H_\mu$  are red, by the definition of type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BF. It follows that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains a path  $Q_u$  between  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  passing through all the internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to  $u$  and through no other face.

We now show that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of only one caterpillar. By Lemma 21, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is composed of at most two caterpillars. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of two caterpillars. Let  $C$  be the caterpillar that contains  $Q_u$ , and let  $C'$  be the other caterpillar. We have that  $C'$  contains neither  $u_\ell$  nor  $u_r$ . Moreover, it does not contain any outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , as otherwise it would contain  $u_\ell$  or  $u_r$ . It follows that  $C'$  is also a connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

If  $Q_u$  contains at least one vertex corresponding to a red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , then we denote by  $f_\ell$  and  $f_r$  the vertices of  $Q_u$  adjacent to  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$ , respectively (possibly  $f_\ell = f_r$ ).

We now classify the embedding types for type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BF nodes; refer to Fig. 25 for a complete schematization and to Fig. 26 for examples. Observe that the classification with respect to Feature (F1) is unique, as stated by Lemma 34. As for the case in which  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -RF, we have that Features (F2) and (F3) determine six possible types for  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , called  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFN0,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFN1,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFN2,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFI0,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFI1, and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFI2, where the third character indicates whether  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains an internal red face (I) or not (N), and the fourth character indicates the number of red outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

We now refine the above classification. Suppose first that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains no internal red face, which implies that it is of type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFN0,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFN1, or  $\mathcal{Z}$ -BFN2. We observe that, in this case, the path  $Q_u$  of Lemma 34 consists of only one vertex  $u_r = u_\ell$ , since  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  does not contain any internal red face. Thus,  $H_\mu^-$  consists of an edge between  $u$  and  $u_r = u_\ell$ , and of the pertinent  $H_\nu^-$  of a child  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  whose poles are  $v$  and  $u_r = u_\ell$ . Note that  $\nu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Z}$ -RF, and




Figure 25: Embedding types for type  $\zeta$ -BF nodes, without taking into account the existence of undecided  $rb$ -trivial components incident to  $u$ .

that the embedding of  $H_\nu$  that is contained in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type either  $\zeta$ -RFN0,  $\zeta$ -RFN1, or  $\zeta$ -RFN2. Hence, we refine the classification for these types by defining the types  $\zeta$ -BFN0A,  $\zeta$ -BFN0B,  $\zeta$ -BFN1A,  $\zeta$ -BFN1B,  $\zeta$ -BFN1C,  $\zeta$ -BFN1D, and  $\zeta$ -BFN2, based on the type of the embedding of  $H_\nu$ .

In order to refine the classification for the case in which  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains at least one internal red face, we are going to exploit the following lemma (which, in fact, holds in the presence of any, possibly outer, red face). Denote by  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  the backbone of the caterpillar  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

**Lemma 35.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains a red face and let  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  be the end-vertices of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  of the caterpillar  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Then, up to a relabeling of  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$ , we have that:*

1.  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$ ; and
2.  $f^\diamond$  is either an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  or is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to  $u_\ell$  (to  $u_r$ ); in the latter case,  $u_\ell$  (resp.  $u_r$ ) is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains no internal red face. By Lemma 9, there exists a leaf






Figure 26: Embeddings of pertinent graphs of type  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BF.

$r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that shares a face with  $b_m$ . If  $b_m$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , then  $r''$  is a vertex of  $H_\mu$ . By Lemma 22 and by the assumption that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains no internal red face, we have that  $r''$  is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Let  $f^*$  be the red outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that is incident to  $r''$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN1 (in particular, of type  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN1C or  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN1D, given that  $b_m$  is internal), then the statement follows with  $f^\diamond = f^*$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN2, then the statement follows by letting  $f^\diamond$  be the red outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that is different from  $f^*$ . Suppose now that  $b_m$  is incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . If this outer face is red (hence  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN1C, or  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN1D, or  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN2), then the proof is completed as the in the case in which  $b_m$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , where the leaf used in place of  $r''$  is any leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that is incident to the red outer face  $b_m$  is incident to. If  $b_m$  is incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  which is not red (hence  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN1A or  $\mathfrak{C}$ -BFN1B), say  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then the statement follows with  $f^* = f^\diamond = r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and with  $u_\ell = u_r$  as the leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that shares a face with  $b_m$  and that is adjacent to  $f^*$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

In the following, suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains internal red faces. Consider an end-vertex of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , say  $f^*$ . We say that  $f^*$  is a *bad face* if it is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and, if it is incident to  $u_\ell$  (to  $u_r$ ), then  $u_\ell$  (resp.  $u_r$ ) is not a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Suppose that  $f^*$  is a bad face. Then the

following statements hold.

- (S1)  $f^*$  is an end-vertex of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ . Namely, if  $f^*$  is not an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then there exists a leaf  $r^* \neq u_\ell, u_r$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$  that belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $f^+$  be the face other than  $f^*$  that is adjacent to  $r^*$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that  $f^+$  is red in  $\mathcal{E}$  but not in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ; thus,  $f^+$  is one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , say  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . This implies that  $r^*$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Since  $r^* \neq u_\ell$ , it follows that  $f^+ = \ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , a contradiction.
- (S2) Every leaf  $w$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  adjacent to  $f^*$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Namely, suppose for a contradiction that  $w$  is incident to, say, the left outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ; then  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red (given that both  $u_\ell$  and  $w$  are incident to it), and hence  $w$  is adjacent to both  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $f^*$ , hence it is not a leaf, a contradiction.
- (S3) No leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  adjacent to  $f^*$  shares a face  $f$  with  $b_1$ . Namely, suppose for a contradiction that such a leaf  $r'$  exists. By (S2),  $r'$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Thus,  $b_1$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ , which implies that  $b_1$  coincides with  $u$  (by Lemma 16) and hence that  $f$  belongs to  $Q_u$ ; since  $r'$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$  and since all the faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  belonging to  $Q_u$  are red, it follows that  $f = f^*$ . However, since  $f^*$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ , we have  $f^* = f_\ell$  or  $f^* = f_r$ ; this implies that  $u_\ell$  or  $u_r$  is a leaf, a contradiction.
- (S4) A leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  adjacent to  $f^*$  shares a face  $f$  with  $b_m$ . Namely, by (S1) we have that  $f^*$  is an end-vertex of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$ ; then the statement follows by Condition 2 of Lemma 9 and (S3).

We prove Properties 1 and 2 of the lemma's statement. We first show that at least one of  $f^\diamond$  and  $f^*$  is not a bad face. Namely, suppose for a contradiction that both such faces are bad. By (S1),  $f^\diamond$  and  $f^*$  are the end-vertices of  $\mathcal{B}$ . By (S3), none of them is adjacent to a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that shares a face with  $b_1$ . Thus, Condition 2 of Lemma 9 is not satisfied with respect to  $b_1$ , a contradiction. Next, we discuss the case in which exactly one of  $f^\diamond$  and  $f^*$  is a bad face, say  $f^*$ . By (S4),  $f^*$  is adjacent to a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  sharing a face with  $b_m$ . Therefore, since  $f^\diamond$  is not bad, it follows that  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  satisfy Properties 1 and 2 of the lemma's statement. Finally, we discuss the case in which none of  $f^\diamond$  and  $f^*$  is a bad face. First, consider the case in which  $b_m$  is incident to one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , say  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Since none of  $f^\diamond$  and  $f^*$  is a bad face, it follows that one of  $f^\diamond$  and  $f^*$ , say  $f^*$ , either coincides with  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or it holds that  $u_\ell$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$ . In both cases, there exists a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$  that shares the outer face  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  with  $b_m$ . Therefore, since  $f^\diamond$  is not a bad face, it follows that  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  satisfy Properties 1 and 2 of the lemma's statement. Second, consider the case in which  $b_m$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Recall that, by Condition 2 of Lemma 9, there exists a leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  that is adjacent to an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$  and that shares a face  $f$  with  $b_m$ . Since  $b_m$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , it follows that  $r''$  belongs to  $H_\mu$  and that  $f$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Since  $r''$  is adjacent to an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$ , it cannot be adjacent to an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ . Hence,  $r''$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to either  $f^\diamond$  and  $f^*$ , say  $f^*$ , sharing the face  $f$  with  $b_m$ . Therefore, since  $f^\diamond$  is not bad, it follows that  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  satisfy Properties 1 and 2 of the lemma's statement. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

We are now ready to refine the classification for the case in which  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains at least one internal red face.

We start with type  $\textcircled{3}$ -BFIO. If  $b_m$  is incident to one of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , say  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  both belong to  $Q_u$  (that is, the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is the subpath of  $Q_u$  between  $f_\ell$  and  $f_r$ ), then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{3}$ -BFIOA. Otherwise, we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{3}$ -BFIOB.

We now deal with type  $\textcircled{3}$ -BFII. In this case, only one of the two outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is red, say  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  contains the edge  $(r(\mathcal{E}_\mu), u_r)$  and, in case  $Q_u$  contains at least one vertex corresponding to a red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , the subpath of  $Q_u$  between  $u_r$  and  $f_\ell$ .

We first discuss the case in which  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  does not contain any other vertex; since  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains internal red faces, it follows that  $Q_u$  contains at least one vertex corresponding to a red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Further, we can assume that  $f^\diamond = r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $f^* = f_\ell$ . Suppose that  $b_m$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1A}$  if  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and it is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1B}$  otherwise. Suppose next that  $b_m$  is not incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ; by Lemma 35, we have that  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$  or  $f^\diamond$ . Then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1C}$  or **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1D}$  if  $b_m$  only shares a face with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to  $f^* = f_\ell$  or incident to  $f^\diamond = r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , respectively, while  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1E}$  if  $b_m$  shares faces both with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to  $f^* = f_\ell$  and with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to  $f^\diamond = r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

Next, we discuss the case in which  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  contains vertices different from  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and not belonging to  $Q_u$ . If there exists a red vertex different from  $u_r$  that is incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ , then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1F}$ , otherwise we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1G}$ . Observe that, by Lemma 34, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1F}$ , then  $f_\ell$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  and  $u_\ell$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to it; on the other hand, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1G}$ , then  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  and  $u_\ell$  is a vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ .

We now consider the case in which  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI2}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  contains the edge  $(r(\mathcal{E}_\mu), u_r)$ , the path  $Q_u$ , and the edge  $(u_\ell, \ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu))$ . If  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  does not contain any other vertex, then we say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI2A}$ ; otherwise, it is of **type**  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI2B}$ . Observe that, by Lemma 34, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI2B}$ , then one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ , while the other one is not.

The above discussion leads to the following.

**Lemma 36.** *If  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BF}$ , any extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$  is one of the types  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFN0A}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFN0B}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFN1A}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFN1B}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFN1C}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFN1D}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFN2}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI0A}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI0B}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1A}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1B}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1C}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1D}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1E}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1F}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI1G}$ ,  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI2A}$ , and  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BFI2B}$ .*

This concludes our classification of the extensible embeddings of  $H_\mu$ . By Lemmas 23, 24, 29, 31 and 34, we have the following.

**Observation 4.** *If  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains two connected components, then  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BP}$  or type  $\textcircled{\text{red}}\text{-BB}$ .*

## 6.5 Handling Relevant Embeddings

Consider a node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  different from  $\rho$ . We begin with the following definition.

**Definition 6.** *An embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  is **relevant** if it has a type defined in Section 6.4.*


In view of the discussion of Section 6.4, we have the following.

**Lemma 37.** *Every extensible embedding of  $H_\mu$  is relevant.*

*Proof.* The lemma descends from Lemmas 23, 27, 30, 32 and 36.  $\square$

A property we are going to use is that relevant embeddings of  $H_\mu$  are composed of relevant embeddings of the pertinent graphs of the children of  $\mu$ . Together with Lemma 37, this allows us to only focus on the relevant embeddings of the pertinent graph of each node of the SPQR-tree.

**Lemma 38.** *Consider any relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ . Then, for any child  $\nu$  of  $\mu$ , the restriction  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  to  $H_\nu$  defines a relevant embedding of  $H_\nu$ .*



*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is extensible, then  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  is extensible as well. Hence, by Lemma 37, we have that  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  is a relevant embedding of  $H_\nu$ .

If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is not extensible then, in order to apply a similar argument, we change  $\overline{H}_\mu$  so that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is extensible. More precisely, we define a new instance  $\langle G', \pi'_b \rangle$  of the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem such that: (i) the black saturation  $H'$  of  $\langle G', \pi'_b \rangle$  is an  $rb$ -augmented component; (ii)  $H'$  contains  $H_\mu$  as a subgraph and there exists no edge with an end-vertex in  $H_\mu - \{u, v\}$  and an end-vertex in  $H' - H_\mu$ ; and (iii) there exists a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H'$  that extends  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is extensible (with respect to the instance  $\langle G', \pi'_b \rangle$ ). Hence, the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  of  $H_\nu$  is also extensible (still with respect to  $\langle G', \pi'_b \rangle$ ). By Lemma 37, we have that  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  is a relevant embedding of  $H_\nu$ . In particular, since  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is relevant, each  $rb$ -trivial component  $(r, b)$  of  $H_\mu$ , where  $b$  is black and  $b$  is different from the poles of  $\mu$ , lies in a face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that corresponds to a face of the embedding (determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ) of the skeleton of the proper allocation node of  $b$ .

We construct  $H'$  as follows (the definition of the instance  $\langle G', \pi'_b \rangle$  is implied by the construction of  $H'$ ). We initialize  $H' = H$ . We then remove from  $H'$  the vertices and edges not in  $H_\mu$ , and we insert vertices and edges according to the following case distinction.

**Case 1:** If  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF, then we add to  $H'$  a path  $(u, w, v)$ , where  $w$  is a black vertex, and two red vertices  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  that are only adjacent to  $w$ ; see Fig. 27a. Now the black vertices of  $H'$  induce a path in which  $b_1 = u$ ; further,  $H'$  contains at least three black vertices, namely  $u$ ,  $w$ , and  $v$ , and at least three red vertices, namely  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , and any neighbor of  $u$  in  $H_\mu$ . A neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H'$  is constructed starting from  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  by embedding the path  $(u, w, v)$  in such a way that the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , if any, as well as the  $rb$ -trivial components  $(w, r_1)$  and  $(w, r_2)$ , are all incident to the same outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , with  $x \in \{r, \ell\}$ , of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . In particular, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BFN0, then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to any of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Otherwise, we select  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  as follows. Let  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  be the end-vertices of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  of the caterpillar  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Recall that, by Lemma 35, up to a relabeling of  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$ , we have that  $f^\diamond$  is either an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  (then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu) = f^\diamond$ ) or is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to  $u_\ell$  (to  $u_r$ ), which is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  (then we set  $x = \ell$  or  $x = r$  depending on whether  $u_\ell$  or  $u_r$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^\diamond$ , respectively). The SPQR-tree of  $H'$  is rooted at the edge  $(b_1, w)$ . The proper allocation node of both  $u = b_1$  and  $w$  is the root Q-node, while the proper allocation node of  $v$  is the S-node child of the root Q-node. Hence, the faces of  $\mathcal{E}'$  in which  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , and the undecided  $rb$ -trivial component of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  have been embedded correspond to faces of the unique embedding of the skeleton of the proper allocation node of  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $w$ .

**Case 2:** If  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RE or  $\odot$ -RF, then we add to  $H'$  a path  $(u, w, w', v)$ , where  $w$  and  $w'$  are black vertices, and two red vertices  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  that are only adjacent to  $w'$ ; see Fig. 27b. Now, the black vertices of  $H'$  induce a path in which  $b_1 = w'$ ; further,  $H'$  contains at least three black vertices, namely  $u$ ,  $w$ , and  $w'$ , and at least three red vertices, namely  $v$ ,  $r_1$ , and  $r_2$ . A neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H'$  is constructed starting from  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  by embedding the path  $(u, w, w', v)$  in such a way that the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , if any, as well as the  $rb$ -trivial components  $(w', r_1)$  and  $(w', r_2)$ , are all incident to the same outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , with  $x \in \{r, \ell\}$ , of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . In particular, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RE,  $\odot$ -RFN0, or  $\odot$ -RFI0, then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to any of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$

or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Further, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{r}$ -RFN1 or  $\textcircled{r}$ -RFI1, then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to the one of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that is red in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Suppose next that  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{r}$ -RFN2. Then, by Lemma 24, we have that  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are the end-vertices of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ . If  $b_m$  is adjacent to a red vertex incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then we set  $x = r$ , otherwise  $b_m$  is adjacent to a red vertex incident to  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , since  $b_m$  has at least one red neighbor in  $H_\mu$ , and we set  $x = \ell$ . Finally, suppose that  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{r}$ -RFI2. Then, by Lemma 26, we have that  $b_m$  shares a face with a red vertex incident to an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  that corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , while the other end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  corresponds to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , say  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Then, we set  $x = \ell$ . The SPQR-tree of  $H'$  is rooted at the edge  $(w', w)$ . The proper allocation node of both  $w' = b_1$  and  $w$  is the root Q-node, while the proper allocation node of both  $u$  and  $v$  is the S-node child of the root Q-node. Hence, the faces of  $\mathcal{E}'$  in which  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , and the undecided  $rb$ -trivial component of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  have been embedded correspond to faces of the unique embedding of the skeleton of the proper allocation node of  $w'$ ,  $u$  and  $v$ .

**Case 3:** If  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then we add to  $H'$  a path  $(u, w, r, v)$ , where  $w$  is a black vertex and  $r$  is a red vertex, and two red vertices  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  that are only adjacent to  $w$ ; see Fig. 27c. Now, the black vertices of  $H'$  induce a path in which  $b_1 = w$ ; further,  $H'$  contains at least three black vertices, namely  $u$ ,  $w$ , and  $v$ , and at least three red vertices, namely  $r$ ,  $r_1$ , and  $r_2$ . A neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $H'$  is constructed starting from  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  by embedding the path  $(u, w, r, v)$  in such a way that the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , if any, as well as the  $rb$ -trivial components  $(w, r_1)$  and  $(w, r_2)$ , are all incident to the same outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , with  $x \in \{r, \ell\}$ , of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . In particular, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1 or  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP3, then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to any of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Also, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP2,  $\textcircled{r}$ -BB2,  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP4, or  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP4, then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to be the unique red outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Further, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP5 or  $\textcircled{r}$ -BB5, then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to be the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that forms the backbone of one of the two caterpillars of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Finally, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{r}$ -BB3, then we set  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to be the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that is not incident to any red vertex that shares a face with  $b_m$ . The SPQR-tree of  $H'$  is rooted at the edge  $(w, u)$ . The proper allocation node of both  $w = b_1$  and  $u$  is the root Q-node, while the proper allocation node of  $v$  is the S-node child of the root Q-node. Hence, the faces of  $\mathcal{E}'$  in which  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , and the undecided  $rb$ -trivial component of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  have been embedded correspond to faces of the unique embedding of the skeleton of the proper allocation node of  $w$ ,  $u$  and  $v$ .

In all the three considered cases,  $\mathcal{E}'$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 9. First,  $A(\mathcal{E}')$  is a caterpillar. In particular, in Case 3, if  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is composed of two caterpillars, then they are joined into a single one by means of the vertex  $r$ ; whereas in the other cases the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}')$  coincides with the one of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , except, possibly, for  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Second,  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is always an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}')$ , and both  $b_1$  and  $r_1$  are incident to  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , which implies that we can set  $r' = r_1$ . Finally,  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf adjacent to the other end-vertex  $f^*$  of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}')$ . In fact,  $b_m$  belongs to  $H_\mu$  and  $f^*$  is also an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Therefore, a leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}')$  incident to  $f^*$  that shares a face with  $b_m$  exists, already, in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .  $\square$

We will exploit the following definition.

**Definition 7.** Let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  be a relevant embedding of  $H_\mu$ . We say that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  *$x$ -flipped*, with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ , if one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red, and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has one of the types  $\textcircled{r}$ -RFN1,  $\textcircled{r}$ -RFI1,  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP2,  $\textcircled{r}$ -BB2,  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP4,  $\textcircled{r}$ -BB4,  $\textcircled{r}$ -BFN1, and  $\textcircled{r}$ -BFI1; or
- (b) the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is the only vertex of the backbone of one of the two caterpillars composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has one of the types  $\textcircled{r}$ -BP5 or  $\textcircled{r}$ -BB5; or
- (c) the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has one of the types  $\textcircled{r}$ -RFI2 and  $\textcircled{r}$ -BFI2B; or
- (d) the vertex  $u_x$  is a leaf adjacent to an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has the type  $\textcircled{r}$ -BFI0B; or






Figure 27: Illustrations for the definitions of replacement-graph and embedding-replacement. (a) A black saturation  $H$  with a planar embedding  $\mathcal{E}$ ; let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  be the embedding of the graph  $H_\mu$  in the shaded-cyan region. (b) A replacement-graph  $D$  for the graph  $H_\mu$  in (a) with embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$ . (c) The graph  $K$  obtained by an embedding-replacement of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  with  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

- (e) the vertex  $b_m$  is incident to the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has one of the types  $\odot$ -RFN0B,  $\odot$ -BFN0B, and  $\odot$ -BFI0A; or
- (f) there exists a red vertex incident to the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that shares a face with  $b_m$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has one of the types  $\odot$ -RFN2,  $\odot$ -BB3,  $\odot$ -BFN2, and  $\odot$ -BFI2A;
- (g)  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  has one of the other types, namely  $\odot$ -RE,  $\odot$ -BE,  $\odot$ -RFN0A,  $\odot$ -RFI0,  $\odot$ -BP1,  $\odot$ -BP3, and  $\odot$ -BFN0A.

We remark that, according to Definition 7(g), an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  of type  $\odot$ -RE,  $\odot$ -BE,  $\odot$ -RFN0A,  $\odot$ -RFI0,  $\odot$ -BP1,  $\odot$ -BP3, and  $\odot$ -BFN0A is both  $r$ - and  $\ell$ -flipped. This is also true if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BFI2A and  $b_m$  shares a face both with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to  $f^*$  and with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to  $f^\circ$ .

Next, we present some definitions and tools that we will exploit to manipulate relevant embeddings.

**Definition 8.** Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the poles of  $\mu$ . A **replacement-graph** for  $H_\mu$  is a graph  $D$  such that:

- r.1 the vertex set of  $D$  contains  $u$  and  $v$ ;
- r.2 each vertex of  $D$  is colored either red or black and the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  have the same color as in  $H$ ;
- r.3 there exist no  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $u$  or  $v$  in  $D$ ; and
- r.4 denote by  $K$  the graph obtained from  $H$  by removing the vertices and the edges of  $H_\mu$ —except for  $u$ ,  $v$ , and their incident  $rb$ -trivial components, if any—and by inserting  $D$  in the resulting graph, while identifying the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in the two graphs; then  $K$  is an  $rb$ -augmented component.

In other words,  $K$  is the black saturation of a new instance of the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem, such that  $\bar{H}_\mu$  coincides with  $K - (D - \{u, v\})$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{K^-}$  be the SPQR-tree of the biconnected graph  $K^-$  obtained from  $K$  by removing the vertices of degree 1 (i.e., its  $rb$ -trivial components). By rooting  $\mathcal{T}_{K^-}$  at the Q-node corresponding to the

edge  $(b_1, b_2)$  (that is, at the same Q-node at which  $\mathcal{T}$  is rooted), we have that  $\mathcal{T}_{K^-}$  contains a node  $\nu$  with poles  $u$  and  $v$ . Note that  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{K^-}$  coincide, except for the subtrees rooted at  $\mu$  and at  $\nu$ , respectively, and that the pertinent graph  $K_\nu$  of  $\nu$  coincides with  $D$ . Hence, in the following, by *type* of the replacement-graph  $D$ , we mean the type (i.e.,  $\odot$ -RE,  $\odot$ -RF,  $\odot$ -BE,  $\odot$ -BP,  $\odot$ -BB, or  $\odot$ -BF) of the node  $\nu$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{K^-}$ . Further, we extend the notions of *embedding type* and of *relevant embedding* to embeddings of replacement-graphs.

Let  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  be a relevant embedding of  $K_\nu$  with the same type as  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . We say that  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  *have the same flip* if they are either both  $r$ -flipped or both  $\ell$ -flipped.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an embedding of  $H$  that extends  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  be a relevant embedding of  $K_\nu$  with the same type and the same flip as  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . An *embedding-replacement of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  with  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  in  $\mathcal{E}$*  is an operation defined as follows; refer again to Fig. 27. We delete from  $\mathcal{E}$  the edges of  $H_\mu$  and the vertices of  $H_\mu$  different from  $u$  and  $v$  and from the  $rb$ -trivial components incident to such vertices, if any, and we insert  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  inside the region in which the deleted vertices and edges of  $H_\mu$  used to lie, identifying the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  and of  $\mathcal{E}$ . This is done in such a way that each  $rb$ -trivial component incident to a poles of  $\mu$  that lie inside  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  lies inside  $x(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$  in the resulting embedding  $\mathcal{E}'$  of  $K$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{E}'$  extends  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$ . Furthermore, each  $rb$ -trivial component of  $H$  incident to  $u$  or  $v$ , if any, lies in  $\mathcal{E}'$  either in  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$ , or in  $r(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$ , or in a face (delimited by edges of  $\overline{H}_\mu$ ) that is also a face of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

We conclude the section with the following.

**Lemma 39 (Child Replacement).** *Let  $\mu$  be a node of  $\mathcal{T}$  and let  $\lambda$  be a child of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  be an embedding of  $H_\mu$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  be the embedding of  $H_\lambda$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  extends  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ . Also, let  $D$  be a replacement-graph for  $H_\lambda$  and let  $\mathcal{E}_D$  be an embedding of  $D$  with the same type and the same flip as  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ . Let  $H_\mu^*$  be the graph obtained from  $H_\mu$  by replacing  $H_\lambda$  with  $D$  and let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  be the embedding of  $H_\mu^*$  obtained from  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  by performing an embedding-replacement of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  with  $\mathcal{E}_D$ ; refer to Fig. 28. Then  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  have the same type and the same flip.*

*Proof.* First, we observe that the type of  $\mu$  after the replacement remains the same. In fact, the poles of  $\mu$  are the same after the replacement, by Definition 8. There exists a path composed of black vertices in  $H_\mu$  if and only if there exists a path composed of black vertices in  $H_\mu^*$ , due to the fact that  $H_\lambda$  contains a black path composed of black vertices if and only if  $D$  contains such a path. Finally,  $b_m$  is a pole or an non-pole vertex of  $\mu$  before the replacement if and only if it is a pole or an non-pole vertex of  $\mu$ , respectively, due to the fact that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{E}_D$  coincide.

According to the definitions of type and flip we have to prove that:

1. Each connected component of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  is a caterpillars and the number of components composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  is the same—Feature (F1);
2. Face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ , belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  if and only if  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ —Feature (F2) and Definition 7 of  $x$ -flipped. Moreover, face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is an end-vertex of a backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  if and only if  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  is an end-vertex of a backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ ;
3. Face  $f_x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ , is an end-vertex of a backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  if and only if  $f_x(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  is an end-vertex of a backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ , where  $f_x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $f_x(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  are the faces that play the role of  $f_x$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ , respectively. Note that these faces may only exist if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BF or  $\odot$ -BE-fat, and thus there exists at least one red vertex incident to each outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ ;
4. The end-vertex of a backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that is adjacent to the leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that shares a face with  $b_m$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ,  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ,  $f_\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ ,  $f_r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , or is none of such faces, if and only if the end-vertex of a backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  that is adjacent to the leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  that shares a face with  $b_m$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  is  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ ,  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ ,  $f_\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ ,  $f_r(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ , or none of such faces, respectively. Note

that the faces  $f_x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $f_x(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$  may exist only if  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BF and  $\odot$ -BE-fat;

5. The backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains at least an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  if and only if the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  contains at least an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ —Feature (F3);
6. If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN1, or  $\odot$ -BFN1, or  $\odot$ -BFI1, then  $b_m$  is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that does not belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  if and only if  $b_m$  is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  that does not belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ ;
7. If  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BF or  $\odot$ -RF and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type neither  $\odot$ -RFN1, nor  $\odot$ -BFN1, nor  $\odot$ -BFI1, then  $b_m$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  if and only if it is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ ; furthermore, if  $b_m$  is not an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , then  $b_m$  is incident to the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  if and only if it is incident to the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ .
8. If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN1, then  $b_m$  shares a face with at least a red vertex  $w$  different from  $v$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  if and only if  $b_m$  shares a face with at least a red vertex  $w'$  different from  $v$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ . Also, if  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BFI1, then  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf incident to the red outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  if and only if  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf incident to the red outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ ; and
9. If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFI1, then the degree of  $v$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is the same as in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ .

By hypothesis, we have that all the properties listed above hold when  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_D$  are considered in place of  $\mu$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ , respectively. We also observe the following facts.

**Fact 1.** *The part of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that depends on the pertinent graphs of the children of  $\mu$  different from  $\lambda$  is the same as in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ .*

**Fact 2.** *If  $\mu$  is of type either  $\odot$ -BP or  $\odot$ -BB, then there exists at least one red vertex incident to  $x(\mathcal{E}_\lambda)$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ , if and only if there exists at least one red vertex incident to  $x(\mathcal{E}_D)$ . Also, if  $\mu$  is of type neither  $\odot$ -BP nor  $\odot$ -BB, then there exist 1 or more than one red vertices incident to  $x(\mathcal{E}_\lambda)$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ , if and only if there exist 1 or more than one red vertices incident to  $x(\mathcal{E}_D)$ .*

**Fact 1** holds by construction, while **Fact 2** descends from Items 2 and from **Definition 5**.

We now prove that Items 1–9 hold for  $\mu$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  be the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , and let  $e_\lambda$  be the virtual edge of  $sk(\mu)$  that corresponds to  $\lambda$ .

Item 1 for  $\mu$  descends from Items 1, 2, 3, 5, and 9 for  $\lambda$  and from **Fact 1**.

We prove Item 2 for  $\mu$ . First observe that, if  $e_\lambda$  is not incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , then Item 2 trivially holds. Otherwise, Item 2 for  $\mu$  descends from **Facts 1** and **2**.

We prove Item 3 for  $\mu$ . First observe that, if  $e_\lambda$  is not incident to a face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that contains the neighbor  $u_x$  of  $u$  that is incident to  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , then Item 3 trivially holds. Otherwise, Item 3 for  $\mu$  descends from **Facts 1** and **2**. As an example, suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BFI1G and that it is flipped so that  $u_\ell$  is incident to two red faces in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ ; refer to **Fig. 28**. Let  $f$  be the face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that is shared by  $u_x$  and  $e_\lambda$ . If the face  $f^*$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  corresponding to  $f$  is not red, then there exists no non-pole red vertex of  $D$  that is incident to  $f^*$ . Thus, either  $\mathcal{E}_D$  is of type  $\odot$ -BP2,  $\odot$ -BP4,  $\odot$ -BB2,  $\odot$ -BB4, or  $u_\ell$  is a pole of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{E}_D$  is of type  $\odot$ -RFN0,  $\odot$ -RFI0,  $\odot$ -RFN1, or  $\odot$ -RFI1. However, by **Facts 1** and **2**, it follows that the face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  corresponding to  $f$  is not red, a contradiction to the fact that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BFI1G.

We prove Item 4 for  $\mu$ . Suppose first that the end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that is adjacent to a leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that shares a face with  $b_m$  is an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , say  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . By Item 2 for  $\mu$ , we have that  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  is an end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ . Since  $b_m$  belongs to  $H_\mu$ , then  $\mu$  is of type either  $\odot$ -BB, or  $\odot$ -RF, or  $\odot$ -BF. First, if each of  $b_m$  and  $r''$  either does




Figure 28: Illustration for the proof that Item 3 holds for  $\mu$ . The type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\text{BFI1G}$  and the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  is  $\text{BB2}$ .

not belong to  $H_\lambda$  or is a pole of  $H_\lambda$ , then Item 4 for  $\mu$  follows by [Fact 1](#). If both  $b_m$  and  $r''$  belong to  $H_\lambda$ , then Item 4 for  $\mu$  follows from Item 4 for  $\lambda$ . Suppose now that  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\lambda$ , while  $r''$  does not belong to  $H_\lambda$ . By [Fact 1](#), this implies that  $r''$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ . Then, the face  $g$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that is shared by  $b_m$  and  $r''$  corresponds to a face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , which is incident to  $e_\lambda$ . We claim that  $g$  is red. Since  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\lambda$  that is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  that correspond to  $f$ , there exists two neighbors of  $b_m$  that are incident to  $g$ . Since  $b_m$  has exactly one black neighbor, at least one of these two vertices is red. This vertex and  $r''$  imply that  $g$  is red. The above claim, the fact that  $g$  contains  $r''$ , and the fact that  $r''$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , by hypothesis, implies that  $g$  coincides with  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Therefore,  $b_m$  is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . By Items 6 or 7 for  $\lambda$ , we have that  $b_m$  is also incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ , when  $\lambda$  is of type either  $\text{BF}$  or  $\text{RF}$ . This implies that Item 4 holds for  $\mu$  in this case. Suppose, finally, that  $\lambda$  is of type  $\text{BB}$ . Note that, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  is neither  $\text{BB4}$  nor  $\text{BB5}$ , as otherwise  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  would not be the end-vertex of the spine of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  that is incident to a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  sharing a face with  $b_m$ , by [Lemma 35](#). If  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type either  $\text{BB2}$  or  $\text{BB3}$ , then there exists a red neighbor of  $b_m$  in  $H_\lambda$  that is incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ , since  $b_m$  has exactly one black neighbor. By Item 4 for  $\lambda$ , we have that this red vertex is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  that corresponds to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ . Thus, we can use this red vertex to play the role of  $r''$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ .

We prove Item 5 for  $\mu$ . Suppose first that  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  contains at least one internal red face, which implies that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains at least one internal red face. By Item 5 for  $\lambda$ , we have that  $\mathcal{E}_D$  contains at least one internal red face, which implies that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  contains at least one internal red face. Suppose then that  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  contains at least one outer red face that corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . By [Facts 1](#) and [2](#), we have that  $\mathcal{E}_D$  contains at least one outer red face that corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ . Suppose that there exists an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that contains no vertex of  $H_\lambda$ . Then, by [Fact 1](#), such a face is also red in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ . This implies that Item 5 holds for  $\mu$ .

We prove Item 6 for  $\mu$ . If  $b_m$  either does not belong to  $H_\lambda$  or is a pole of  $\lambda$ , then Item 6 descends from [Fact 1](#). Otherwise,  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\lambda$ . Suppose that  $b_m$  is incident to the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ , that does not belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Then,  $b_m$  is incident to the outer face  $y(\mathcal{E}_\lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  that corresponds to  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , with  $y \in \{\ell, r\}$ . This implies that  $y(\mathcal{E}_\lambda)$  does not belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\lambda)$ , as otherwise  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  would belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . By Item 2 and either Items 6 or 7 for  $\lambda$ , we have that  $b_m$  is incident to the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_D$  that does not belong to  $\mathcal{E}_D$ , which corresponds to the outer face  $x(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ . Thus, Item 6 holds for  $\mu$ .

The proof that Item 7 holds for  $\mu$  is analogous to the one that Item 6 holds for  $\mu$  and it exploits [Fact 1](#), and Item 2 and either Item 6 or 7 for  $\lambda$ .

We prove Item 8 for  $\mu$ . First, if each of  $b_m$  and  $w$  either does not belong to  $H_\lambda$  or is a pole

of  $H_\lambda$ , then Item 8 for  $\mu$  follows by [Fact 1](#). If both  $b_m$  and  $w$  belong to  $H_\lambda$ , then Item 8 for  $\mu$  follows from Item 7 or 8 for  $\lambda$ . Suppose now that  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\lambda$ , while  $w$  does not belong to  $H_\lambda$ . Note that,  $e_\lambda$  and a virtual edge  $e_w$  such that  $w$  belongs to  $H_{e_w}$  share a face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ . In particular,  $b_m$  and  $w$  are incident to the face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that corresponds to  $f$ . By [Fact 1](#),  $w$  is incident to the face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$  that corresponds to  $f$ . The fact that also  $b_m$  is incident to such a face follows from Items 6 or 7 for  $\lambda$ .

Finally, we prove Item 9 for  $\mu$ . Note that, since  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of type  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI1 and by Item 2 for  $\mu$ , we have that  $v$  is adjacent to exactly one outer face both in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu^*)$ . We show that  $v$  is incident to an internal red face  $g$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  if and only if it is incident to an internal red face in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^*$ . The proof is analogous to the one of Item 3, where  $v$  plays the role of  $u_\ell$ . Namely, if  $e_\lambda$  is not incident to any face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that is incident to  $v$ , this holds by [Fact 1](#). If  $g$  is internal to  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ , then  $v$  is a pole of  $e_\lambda$  and this holds by Item 9 for  $\lambda$ . Otherwise,  $g$  corresponds to a face  $g'$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ . Then, this holds by [Facts 1](#) and [2](#). This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 7 Testing and Embedding Algorithm

In this section, we show how to solve in linear time the B2BEFO problem. In particular, we prove the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  be an instance of the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem, where  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex bipartite planar graph and  $\pi_b$  is a linear ordering of the black vertices of  $G$ . There exists an  $O(n)$ -time algorithm that tests whether  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  admits a solution and, if any, constructs one.*

*Proof.* The algorithm of [Theorem 2](#) consists of the following steps, all of which can be performed in linear time. First, construct the black saturation  $H$  of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$ , by adding an edge between any two black vertices of  $G$  that are consecutive in  $\pi_b$ . Second, test whether  $H$  is planar [\[30\]](#), which, by [Observation 1](#), is a necessary condition for  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  to be a positive instance of the B2BEFO problem. Third, compute the block-cut-vertex tree of  $H$  [\[31\]](#), and construct the  $rb$ -augmented components for  $H$  as described in [Section 5](#). Recall that, by [Lemmas 9, 13](#) and [19](#),  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  is a positive instance of the B2BEFO problem if and only if each  $rb$ -augmented component admits a neat embedding. Fourth, consider each  $rb$ -augmented component, which we still denote by  $H$ , and compute in  $O(|H|)$  time whether it admits a neat embedding, by [Theorem 3](#) whose proof is contained in the remainder of the section. This concludes the test on whether  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  is a positive instance of the BIPARTITE 2-PAGE BOOK EMBEDDING WITH FIXED ORDER problem.

Finally, if the test above succeeds, we compute a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  in linear time as follows. First, we exploit [Theorem 4](#) in [Section 7.2](#) to compute a neat embedding of  $H$  in  $O(|H|)$  time, for each  $rb$ -augmented component  $H$ . Second, by applying [Corollary 5](#), we obtain a neat embedding of the black saturation of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  in  $O(|G|)$  time. Finally, by applying [Lemma 10](#), we obtain a B2BEFO of  $\langle G, \pi_b \rangle$  from the constructed neat embedding in  $O(|G|)$  time. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

[Theorem 2](#), together with [Lemma 10](#) and [Corollaries 2](#) and [5](#), implies the following.

**Corollary 6.** *The 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY WITH FIXED ORDER problem is linear-time solvable. Further, given a bipartite graph  $K = (U_b, U_r, E_K)$  and a total order  $\sigma_b$  of the vertices in  $U_b$ , a 2-level quasi-planar drawing in which the vertices in  $U_b$  lie along a straight line in the order  $\sigma_b$  can be constructed in linear time, if it exists.*

Let  $H$  be an  $rb$ -augmented component and let  $P = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$  be the black path of  $H$ . In the following, we show how to test in linear time whether  $H$  admits a neat embedding (see [Theorem 3](#)). First, we compute the biconnected graph  $H^-$  obtained from  $H$  by removing its



degree-1 vertices; we construct the SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $H^-$  and root it at the Q-node  $\rho$  corresponding to the edge  $(b_1, b_2)$ . This can be done in linear time [15, 26]. We will traverse  $\mathcal{T}$  bottom-up and compute, for each node  $\mu \neq \rho$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the types of the relevant embeddings that  $H_\mu$  admits, using a dynamic-programming approach. We say that an embedding type is *admissible* for  $\mu$  if  $H_\mu$  admits a relevant embedding of that type. Lemmas 23, 27, 30, 32, 33 and 36 imply the following.

**Property 2.** *The set of admissible types for a node  $\mu$  has  $O(1)$  size.*

If there is a node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  for which the set of admissible types is empty, then we will reject the instance (Lemma 40). Otherwise, we will conclude that  $H$  admits a neat embedding (Lemma 41).

**Lemma 40.** *If the set of admissible types for a non-root node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is empty, then  $H$  does not admit any neat embedding.*

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction that  $H$  admits a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  and that there exists a node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  whose set of admissible types is empty. By Definition 4, the restriction of  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $H_\mu$  defines an extensible embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ . By Lemma 37, we have that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is relevant, hence the set of admissible types for  $\mu$  is not empty, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 41.** *If the set of admissible types for the child of the root  $\rho$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is not empty, then  $H$  admits a neat embedding.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be the child of the root  $\rho$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ . By Lemma 16, we have that  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BF and the pole  $u$  coincides with  $b_1$ . Since  $\mu$  has at least one admissible type, we have that  $H_\mu$  admits a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is extensible, by showing that it can be augmented to a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ . For this, we route the edge  $(u, v)$  in the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Moreover, we place the undecided  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $u$  (if any) or to  $v$  (if any) in one of the two faces incident to  $(u, v)$  as described below, based on the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Observe that both such faces correspond to faces of the unique embedding of the skeleton of  $\rho$ , which is the proper allocation node of  $u$  and  $v$ . Therefore, in order to prove that  $\mathcal{E}$  is neat, it suffices to show  $\mathcal{E}$  is good, i.e., it satisfies the Conditions a and b of Lemma 9.

- Suppose first that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BFN0. Refer to Fig. 29a. Note that, in this case, there exists an undecided  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $u$  and an undecided  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $v$ , as otherwise  $H$  does not contain at least three red vertices. In fact, by Lemma 22, we have that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains no internal red vertex, since no internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is red; furthermore,  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  does not contain any red vertex incident to the outer face other than  $u_\ell = u_r$  (this equality is discussed before Lemma 35) and those of the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components, since no outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is red. To obtain  $\mathcal{E}$ , we let the two undecided  $rb$ -trivial components lie inside the same face  $f \in \{\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu), r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)\}$  incident to  $(u, v)$ . We have that  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies Condition a. In fact,  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is a star centered at  $f$ , thus it is also a caterpillar and its (trivial) backbone contains the unique red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Also,  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies Condition b. In fact, we can set  $f = f_1 = f_k$ ; also, we can select the leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  sharing a face with  $b_1 = u$  to be the red vertex of an undecided  $rb$ -trivial component; finally, we can select the leaf  $r''$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  sharing a face with  $b_m$  to be the red vertex  $u_\ell = u_r$  (indeed, note that  $b_m$  shares a face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , and hence of  $\mathcal{E}$ , with  $u_\ell = u_r$  both if the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BFN0A and if it is  $\odot$ -BFN0B).
- Suppose next that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BFI0. Refer to Fig. 29b. Let  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$  be the end-vertices of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  of the caterpillar  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . By Lemma 35, we have that (up to exchanging  $f^*$  with  $f^\diamond$  and/or  $u_r$  with  $u_\ell$ ):

1.  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$ ; and




Figure 29: Extending an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of the pertinent graph of the child  $\mu$  of the root  $\rho$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  to a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ . In (a) the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BFN0 (in particular,  $\odot$ -BFN0A), in (b) the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BFI0 (in particular,  $\odot$ -BFI0B), while in (c) the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of one of the remaining types  $\odot$ -BF (in particular,  $\odot$ -BFI1D).

2.  $f^\diamond$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to  $u_r$ , and  $u_r$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

To obtain  $\mathcal{E}$ , we distinguish two cases based on whether undecided  $rb$ -trivial components exist or not. Suppose first that no undecided  $rb$ -trivial component exists. We have that  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies [Condition a](#), since  $A(\mathcal{E}) = A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar by [Lemma 34](#). Also,  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies [Condition b](#). In fact, we can set  $f_1 = f^\diamond$  and  $f_k = f^*$ . Also, we can set  $u_r$  to be the leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  sharing a face with  $b_1 = u$ . Finally, we can set as  $r''$  the leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$  and sharing a face with  $b_m$ . Suppose now that undecided  $rb$ -trivial components exist. To obtain  $\mathcal{E}$ , we let the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components lie inside  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . We have that  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies [Condition a](#). In fact,  $\mathcal{E}$  contains the same red faces as  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  plus  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . The auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is obtained by adding to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  the edge  $(u_r, r(\mathcal{E}_\mu))$  and edges connecting  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to the red vertex of each undecided  $rb$ -trivial component. Clearly, we obtained a caterpillar whose backbone is the same as the one of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  plus the path  $(f^\diamond, u_r, r(\mathcal{E}_\mu))$ . Also,  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies [Condition b](#). In fact, we can set  $f_1 = r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $f_k = f^*$ . Also, we can set the red vertex of one undecided  $rb$ -trivial component to be the leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  sharing a face with  $b_1 = u$ . Finally, we can set as  $r''$  the leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$  and sharing a face with  $b_m$ .

- Suppose finally that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is of one of the remaining types  $\odot$ -BF. Refer to [Fig. 29c](#). We have that at least one of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red. Suppose, w.l.o.g., that  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is red. To obtain  $\mathcal{E}$ , we let the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components, if any, lie inside  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . We have that  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies [Condition a](#). In fact,  $\mathcal{E}$  contains the same red faces as  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . The auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E})$  is obtained from  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  by connecting  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  to the red vertex of each undecided  $rb$ -trivial component, if any. Since  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a caterpillar by [Lemma 34](#), we obtained a caterpillar, whose backbone is the same as the one of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . Also,  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies [Condition b](#). In fact, by [Lemma 35](#), up to a relabeling of  $f^*$  and  $f^\diamond$ , we have that:

1.  $b_m$  shares a face with a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$ ; and
2.  $f^\diamond$  is either an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  or is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to  $u_\ell$  (to  $u_r$ ); in the latter case,  $u_\ell$  (resp.  $u_r$ ) is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ .

Then we can set  $f_1 = f^\diamond$  and  $f_k = f^*$ . Also, if  $f^\diamond$  is an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , we can set any red leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^\diamond$  to be the leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  sharing a face with  $b_1 = u$ ; otherwise, if  $f^\diamond$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  we can set the one between  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  that is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^\diamond$  to be the leaf  $r'$  of  $A(\mathcal{E})$  sharing a face with  $b_1 = u$ . Finally, we can set as  $r''$  the leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  adjacent to  $f^*$  and sharing a face with  $b_m$ .

This completes the case distinction and hence the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

## 7.1 The Bottom-up Traversal

Let  $\mu$  be a node  $\mathcal{T}$  and let  $e_\mu$  be the virtual edge representing  $\mu$  in the skeleton of the parent of  $\mu$ . We denote the poles of  $\mu$  (the end-vertices of  $e_\mu$ ) as  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$ . In particular,  $u_\mu$  is a black vertex, and if  $v_\mu$  is also black, then  $u_\mu$  precedes  $v_\mu$  along  $P$ . Also, we say that an admissible type for  $\mu$  is an admissible type for  $e_\mu$ .

By Lemmas 40 and 41, in order to test whether  $H$  admits a neat embedding, we will compute the set of admissible types for all the non-root nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Note that we are also interested in constructing a neat embedding of  $H$ , if any. Such a task would be easily achieved if we could store an embedding of  $H_\mu$ , for each admissible type for  $\mu$ , however this would imply a quadratic running time. In order to achieve a linear running time, for each node  $\mu$  and for each admissible type  $t_\mu$  for  $\mu$ , we store in  $\mu$  the following pieces of information, whose size is  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$ .

**Definition 9.** *The following pieces of information form an **embedding configuration** for  $\mu$ :*

- (i) a planar embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of  $sk(\mu)$ ;
- (ii) for each vertex  $w$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is incident to an  $rb$ -trivial component and such that  $\mu$  is the proper allocation node of  $w$ , a designated face  $f_w$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  incident to  $w$ ,
- (iii) an admissible type  $t_i$ , for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , and
- (iv) a label  $x_i \in \{\ell, r\}$ , for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$ .

In Section 7.2, we will show how the computed information can be exploited to construct a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$ , if any, in linear time (see Theorem 4).

In the bottom-up traversal of  $\mathcal{T}$ , we distinguish four cases, based on whether  $\mu$  is a Q-, S-, P-, or an R-node. Observe that the leaves of  $\mathcal{T}$  are all Q-nodes.

Suppose that  $\mu$  is a Q-node. If  $v_\mu$  is black, then the only admissible type  $t_\mu$  for  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1. Otherwise,  $v_\mu$  is red, and the only admissible type  $t_\mu$  for  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -RE. Since in both cases,  $\mathcal{S}(t_\mu)$  is the unique embedding of  $sk(\mu) = (u_\mu, v_\mu)$ ,  $sk(\mu)$  does not contain any virtual edges, and  $\mu$  is not the proper allocation node of any vertex, we do not need to store any embedding configuration for  $\mu$ .

If  $\mu$  is not a Q-node, then it has  $k \geq 2$  children  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$ . For the sake of readability, we let  $e_i = e_{\nu_i}$ ,  $u_i = u_{\nu_i}$ , and  $v_i = v_{\nu_i}$ . We will compute the set of admissible types and embedding configurations for  $\mu$ , assuming to have already computed the same information for  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$ . A key technical ingredient in our approach would be the construction of embeddings of  $H_\mu$ , obtained by substituting each virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  with an embedding of its pertinent graph  $H_e$  whose type is one of the admissible types for  $e$ . A naive implementation of this technique would, however, immediately imply a super-linear running time. Therefore, in order to achieve a linear running time and in virtue of Lemma 39, rather than substituting  $e$  with an embedding of  $H_e$ , we will substitute it with an embedding of a constant-size replacement-graph for  $H_e$  (recall Definition 8) whose type is the same as the one of the embedding of  $H_e$ . To this aim, we will exploit a dictionary  $\mathcal{D}$  that associates each embedding type  $t$  with a plane graph of constant size, called  $t$ -*gadget*, whose embedding is of type  $t$ . This graph will be used as a replacement-graph for  $H_e$ . The dictionary  $\mathcal{D}$  contains the plane graphs illustrated in Figs. 19, 21, 22, 24 and 26.

**Lemma 42.** *The dictionary  $\mathcal{D}$  contains a  $t$ -gadget, for each embedding type  $t$ , and has  $O(1)$  size.*

*Proof.* The first part of the statement can be observed by comparing the graphs in Figs. 19, 21, 22, 24 and 26 with the definitions of the types  $\mathcal{Q}$ -RE,  $\mathcal{Q}$ -RF,  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BE,  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB/ $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BF, respectively. For instance, consider the plane graph  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  in Fig. 26 labeled as  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BF11G. Since its poles are black, since there exists no black path between them, and since  $b_m$  is an internal vertex of  $\mathcal{D}(t)$ , we have that the type of  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BF. Furthermore, the auxiliary graph of  $\mathcal{D}(t)$

is a caterpillar whose backbone contains two internal red faces and one outer face. Finally, one end-vertex of the backbone of  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  is an outer face, while the other end-vertex is an internal face, different from  $f_\ell$  and  $f_r$ , that is incident to a leaf of the caterpillar that shares a face with  $b_m$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  has type  $t = \text{BFII}G$ , and hence  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  can serve as a  $t$ -gadget. The second part of the statement follows from [Property 2](#) and from the fact that each  $t$ -gadget has constant size, by construction.  $\square$

Given an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  for  $\mu$ , the *realization* of  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  is the plane graph  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  obtained as follows. First, initialize  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu) = \mathcal{S}_\mu$ . Second, for each vertex  $w$  of  $sk(\mu)$  such that  $f_w$  is defined, place the  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $w$  inside  $f_w$ . Finally, for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , replace  $e_i = (u_i, v_i)$  in  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  with an  $x_i$ -flipped copy of the  $t_i$ -gadget, in such a way that  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are identified with the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of the  $t_i$ -gadget, respectively.

**Lemma 43.** *Given an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ , its realization  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is a replacement-graph for  $H_\mu$ . Also, the types of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  and  $\mu$  coincide.*

*Proof.* We first show that the types of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  and  $\mu$  coincide. Recall that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is determined by (a) whether  $v_\mu$  is a red vertex, (b) whether a black path exists in  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  between  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$ , and (c) the number of black neighbors of  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$  in  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ . Since  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is obtained by replacing each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$  with the  $t_i$ -gadget for  $e_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  and  $t_i$  is an admissible type for  $e_i$ , we have that  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  and  $H_\mu$  are equivalent with respect to properties (a), (b), and (c).

Next, we show that  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is a replacement-graph for  $H_\mu$ . Recall the conditions of [Definition 8](#) of a replacement-graph. By the construction of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ , we immediately have that the vertex set of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  contains  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$  (Condition r.1), that each vertex of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is colored either red or black and the vertices  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$  have the same color as in  $H$  (Condition r.2), and that (iii) there exists no  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $u_\mu$  or  $v_\mu$  in  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  (Condition r.3). Finally, let  $K$  the graph obtained from  $H$  by removing the vertices and the edges of  $H_\mu$  except for  $u_\mu$ ,  $v_\mu$ , and their incident  $rb$ -trivial components, if any, and by inserting  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  in the resulting graph, while identifying the vertices  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$  in the two graphs; then  $K$  is an  $rb$ -augmented component. Namely, the black vertices induce a path in  $K$  since  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  and  $\mu$  have the same type. Furthermore, by the definition of the  $t_i$ -gadget, there exists no edge connecting two red vertices. Moreover, the fact that the graph  $K^-$  obtained from  $K$  by removing all the degree-1 vertices is biconnected immediately descends from the fact that the graph  $H^-$  obtained from  $H$  by removing all the degree-1 vertices is biconnected, that  $sk(\mu)$  is  $u_\mu v_\mu$ -biconnectible, and that each  $t_i$ -gadget is  $uv$ -biconnectible. This concludes the proof that Condition r.4 of [Definition 8](#) is satisfied and hence the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The type of an embedding configuration can be detected efficiently, as in the following lemma.

**Lemma 44.** *Given an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ , it is possible to determine in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time whether the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is relevant and, if so, to return its type.*

*Proof.* To prove the statement, we first show that the plane graph  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  can be constructed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time. We visit  $sk(\mu)$  and, for each virtual edge  $e_i$ , we replace  $e_i$  with the  $x_i$ -flipped copy of the  $t_i$ -gadget. Since each such a replacement can be done in  $O(1)$  time, the construction of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  can be performed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time.

Second, by [Lemma 43](#), we have that  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is a replacement-graph. Hence, we determine the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  (i.e.,  $\odot$ -RF,  $\odot$ -BE,  $\odot$ -BP,  $\odot$ -BB, or  $\odot$ -BF) by checking whether  $v_\mu$  is a red vertex, by checking whether a black path exists in  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  between  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$ , and by counting the number of black neighbors of  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$ ; clearly, these computations can be performed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time. Note that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is not  $\odot$ -RE, given that  $\mu$  is not a Q-node, hence  $sk(\mu)$  contains more than one virtual edge, while by [Lemma 15](#) a replacement-graph of type  $\odot$ -RE consists of a single edge.

Next, we determine whether the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_R$  of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is relevant. In order to do that, we construct the auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time as follows. We initialize  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  to the set of red vertices of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ . Then we traverse the boundary of each face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{E}_R$ ; if more than one red vertex is encountered during this traversal, then we insert a new vertex  $f$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  and we connect it to all the red vertices incident to  $f$ . Note that  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  has  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  size.

We check whether  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  consists of at most two caterpillars. If that is not the case, then by Lemma 21, we conclude that the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_R$  of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is not relevant. Otherwise, we distinguish some cases based on the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ .

Suppose that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $\odot$ -RF. Let  $b_m^*$  be the end-vertex of the black path of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  different from  $u_\mu$ . We check whether  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  is a single caterpillar. By Lemma 24, if the check fails, we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is not relevant. Assume now that  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  is a single caterpillar. If  $\mathcal{E}_R$  does not contain any internal red face, then  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is always relevant, by Lemma 25. Assume now that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  contains internal red faces. We check whether: (i) one of the end-vertices of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  that is incident to a leaf  $r''$  that shares a face with  $b_m^*$ ; and (ii) the other end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  either corresponds to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$ , or corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  incident to  $v_\mu$ ; in the latter case, we also check whether  $v_\mu$  is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$ . By Lemma 26, if the checks succeed, then we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is relevant, otherwise we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is not relevant.

If the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $\odot$ -BE, then we check whether  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  is a path between the red neighbors  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  of  $u_\mu$  that are incident to the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_R$ . By Lemma 29, if the check succeeds, then we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is relevant, otherwise we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is not relevant.

Suppose that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. We first check whether each red outer face is an end-vertex of the backbone of a caterpillar composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$ . Further, if  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  consists of two caterpillars, then we check whether one of them is a star. Suppose now that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  contains internal red faces. If the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, then we check whether  $b_m = v_\mu$  and whether the backbone of a caterpillar has an end-vertex that corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  that is incident to a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  that shares a face with  $v_\mu$ . If the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, let  $b_m^*$  be the end-vertex of the black path of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  different from  $u_\mu$ ; then we check whether the backbone of a caterpillar has an end-vertex that corresponds to an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  that is incident to a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  that shares a face with  $b_m^*$ . By Lemma 31, if all checks succeed, then we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is relevant, otherwise we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is not relevant.

Suppose that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $\odot$ -BF. Let  $b_m^*$  be the end-vertex of the black path of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  different from  $u_\mu$ . We check whether  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  is a single caterpillar. By Lemma 34, if the check fails, we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is not relevant. Assume now that  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  is a single caterpillar. If  $\mathcal{E}_R$  does not contain any internal red face, then  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is always relevant. Assume now that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  contains internal red faces. Again by Lemma 34, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  contains a path between the neighbors  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  of  $u_\mu$  incident to the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_R$ . We check whether: (i) one of the end-vertices of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  corresponds to a face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  that is incident to a leaf  $r''$  that shares a face with  $b_m^*$ ; and (ii) the other end-vertex of the backbone of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  either corresponds to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$ , or corresponds to an internal face of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  incident to  $u_\ell$  or  $u_r$ ; in the latter case, we also check whether  $u_\ell$  (resp.  $u_r$ ) is a leaf of  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$ . By Lemma 35, if the checks succeed, then we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is relevant, otherwise we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is not relevant.

Note that all the above checks can be easily performed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time. If we concluded that  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is relevant, then its type can be detected within the same time bound by checking whether the corresponding definition is satisfied. For example, if the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, we check whether  $A(\mathcal{E}_R)$  does not contain any vertex (then the type of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1), whether it consists of one star (then the type of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is  $\odot$ -BP2), whether it consists of two stars (then the type of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is  $\odot$ -BP3), whether it consists of a single caterpillar different from a star (then the type of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is  $\odot$ -BP4), and whether it consists of two caterpillars, one of which is different from a star (then the type of  $\mathcal{E}_R$  is  $\odot$ -BP5).  $\square$



The following lemma will be crucial to show the correctness of our approach.

**Lemma 45.** *An embedding type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  for  $\mu$  such that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists an admissible type  $t$  for  $\mu$  and let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  be an embedding of  $H_\mu$  of type  $t$ . We construct an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  as follows. First, we set the embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of  $sk(\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  to be the one determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Second, for each vertex  $w$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is incident to an  $rb$ -trivial component  $(w, r)$  and such that  $\mu$  is the proper allocation node of  $w$ , we set the designated face  $f_w$  to be the face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that corresponds to the face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  incident to  $w$  and  $r$ . Third, for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $\mu$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_i$  be the embedding of  $H_{e_i}$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Note that  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is relevant, by Lemma 38. We set  $t_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  to be the type of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  and  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  to be the flip of  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$ . This completes the construction of  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ . Next we show that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ . Let  $k$  be the number of virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^0 = \mathcal{E}_\mu$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , consider the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^i$  obtained from  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^{i-1}$  by replacing the pertinent graph  $\mathcal{E}_i$  of the virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$  with an  $x_i$ -flipped copy of the  $t_i$ -gadget. By construction, we have that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^k = R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ . The type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^0$  is  $t$ , by hypothesis. Furthermore, by Lemma 39, if the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^{i-1}$  is  $t$ , then also the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^i$  is  $t$ . Thus, the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ .

Suppose now that there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  for  $\mu$  such that the type of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ . We show how to construct an embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  of type  $t$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^0 = R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , consider the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^i$  obtained from  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^{i-1}$  by replacing the  $t_i$ -gadget for the virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$  with an  $x_i$ -flipped copy of an embedding of  $H_{e_i}$  of type  $t_i$ . Note that the embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of  $sk(\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  is planar, by Definition 9. Moreover, each  $rb$ -trivial component incident to a vertex  $w$  of  $sk(\mu)$  such that  $\mu$  is the proper allocation node of  $w$  is incident to a face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  corresponding to a face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ . Therefore, we have that  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^k = \mathcal{E}_\mu$  is a planar embedding of  $H_\mu$ . The type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^0$  is  $t$ , by hypothesis. Furthermore, by Lemma 39, if the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^{i-1}$  is  $t$ , then also the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu^i$  is  $t$ . Thus, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $t$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

In the following subsections, we show how to compute, in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time, for each non-root node  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , a set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of  $O(1)$  embedding configurations for  $\mu$  such that a type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ . This is shown in Lemma 46 when  $\mu$  is an S-node, in Lemmas 48 and 50 when  $\mu$  is a P-node, and in Lemmas 56, 58 and 60 when  $\mu$  is an R-node. The listed lemmas, together with Lemmas 44 and 45, yield the following.

**Theorem 3.** *There exists an  $O(n)$ -time algorithm that tests whether an  $n$ -vertex  $rb$ -augmented component admits a neat embedding.*

### 7.1.1 S-nodes

Suppose that  $\mu$  is an S-node. By Lemma 45, in order to compute the admissible types of  $\mu$ , we construct the set of all the embedding configurations for  $\mu$ , and for each such an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  we compute the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ , using Lemma 44.

Recall that, by the definition of the SPQR-tree  $\mathcal{T}$  we adopted, we have that  $sk(\mu)$  consists of a path of two edges,  $e_1 = (u_\mu, w)$  and  $e_2 = (w, v_\mu)$ . Also, by Remark 1,  $\mu$  is the proper allocation node of  $w$ , whereas it is the proper allocation node of neither  $u_\mu$  nor  $v_\mu$ ; thus, an embedding configuration for  $\mu$  needs to specify a designated face only for the vertex  $w$ . We create all the embedding configurations for  $\mu$  obtained by combining all the following choices. We set  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  to the unique embedding of  $sk(\mu)$ , we choose  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as each combination of admissible types for  $e_1$  and for  $e_2$ , respectively, we choose  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  as each combination of  $\ell$  and  $r$ , and we set the designated face of  $w$  as each of  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  or  $r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ , if there exists an  $rb$ -trivial component incident to  $w$ . Clearly, by Property 2 and since  $sk(\mu)$  has  $O(1)$  size, the above set of embedding configurations has  $O(1)$  size. Further, since  $sk(\mu)$  has  $O(1)$  size and by Lemma 44, we have the following.

**Lemma 46.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is an S-node. There exists a set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$  such that:*

- (i) *a type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ ;*
- (ii)  *$|\mathcal{V}_\mu| \in O(1)$ ; and*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(1)$  time.*

### 7.1.2 P-nodes

Suppose that  $\mu$  is a P-node. Similarly to the case of S-nodes, we exploit [Lemmas 44](#) and [45](#) to compute in linear time the admissible types for  $\mu$ . Differently from the S-node case, however,  $sk(\mu)$  has not necessarily  $O(1)$  size; further, the number of embeddings of  $sk(\mu)$ , and hence the number of embedding configurations for  $\mu$ , is factorial in  $|sk(\mu)|$ . Nonetheless, we are able to efficiently construct a constant-size subset  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of the embedding configurations for  $\mu$  with following property: For every admissible type  $t$  for  $\mu$ , there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ . By [Remark 1](#),  $\mu$  is the proper allocation node of neither  $u_\mu$  nor  $v_\mu$ ; thus, an embedding configuration for  $\mu$  does not need to specify a designated face for any vertex of  $sk(\mu)$ . We distinguish two cases based on whether  $v_\mu$  is red or black. Suppose first that  $v_\mu$  is red.

**Lemma 47.** *If  $\mu$  is a P-node such that  $v_\mu$  is red, then the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -RF and it has exactly two children. One of them is of type  $\odot$ -RE and the other is of type  $\odot$ -RF.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu$  is a P-node with  $v_\mu$  red, every child of  $\mu$  has  $v_\mu$  as a red pole, hence its type is  $\odot$ -RE or  $\odot$ -RF. By [Lemma 17](#),  $\mu$  has at most one child of type  $\odot$ -RF. By [Lemma 15](#), every child of  $\mu$  of type  $\odot$ -RE is an edge, hence there is at most one such a child. It follows that  $\mu$  has at most two children, one of type  $\odot$ -RF and one of type  $\odot$ -RE. Furthermore, since  $\mu$  is a P-node, it has at least two children, from which the statement follows.  $\square$

Let  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ . We create all the embedding configurations for  $\mu$  obtained by combining all the following choices. We set  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  to each of the two permutations of the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ , we choose  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as each of the possible combinations of the admissible types for  $e_1$  and for  $e_2$ , respectively, we choose  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  as each of the possible combinations of  $\ell$  and  $r$ . Clearly, by [Property 2](#) and since  $sk(\mu)$  has  $O(1)$  size, the above set of embedding configurations has  $O(1)$  size. Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  contains all the embedding configurations for  $\mu$  and can be constructed in  $O(1)$  time. The above discussion yields the following.

**Lemma 48.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is a P-node such that  $v_\mu$  is red. There exists a set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$  such that:*

- (i) *a type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ ;*
- (ii)  *$|\mathcal{V}_\mu| \in O(1)$ ; and*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time.*

Suppose now that  $v_\mu$  is black.

**Lemma 49.** *If  $\mu$  is a P-node such that  $v_\mu$  is black, then  $\mu$  has at most two children that are not of type  $\odot$ -BE slim; each of them is of type  $\odot$ -BP,  $\odot$ -BB, or  $\odot$ -BF. Also, if there exist exactly two such children, then one is of type  $\odot$ -BP and the other is of type  $\odot$ -BF.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu$  is a P-node with  $v_\mu$  black, every child of  $\mu$  has  $v_\mu$  as a black pole, hence its type is  $\odot$ -BE,  $\odot$ -BP,  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\odot$ -BB. By Lemma 18, there is at most one child of  $\mu$  whose type is  $\odot$ -BP or  $\odot$ -BB. Also, by Lemma 17, there is at most one child of  $\mu$  whose type is  $\odot$ -BF or  $\odot$ -BB. Hence, if  $\mu$  has two children that are not of type  $\odot$ -BE, these are one of type  $\odot$ -BP and the other of type  $\odot$ -BF.  $\square$

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  be the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ . We distinguish three cases, based on the number  $h$  of virtual edges of  $\mu$  that are not of type  $\odot$ -BE slim. Note that, by Lemma 49,  $h \leq 2$ .

Suppose first that  $h = 0$ . Observe that, in this case, the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BE fat. Then the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  contains a unique embedding configuration for  $\mu$  obtained by setting  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  to an arbitrary permutation of the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ , and by choosing  $t_i = \odot$ -BE slim and  $x_i = \ell$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Clearly, such an embedding configuration can be constructed in  $O(k)$  time.

Suppose now that  $h = 1$ . W.l.o.g., let  $e_1$  be the virtual edge of  $sk(\mu)$  whose type is not  $\odot$ -BE slim. Then the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  contains the embedding configurations for  $\mu$  obtained by combining all the following choices.

- For any pair of non-negative integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a + b = k - 1$  and  $a \leq 1$ , we set  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  to be any embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  such that  $a$  virtual edges of type  $\odot$ -BE precede  $e_1$  (and, thus,  $b = k - a - 1$  virtual edges of type  $\odot$ -BE follow  $e_1$ ).
- We choose  $t_1$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_1$ , and we set  $t_i = \odot$ -BE slim, for  $i = 2, \dots, k$ .
- We choose  $x_1$  as each of  $\ell$  and  $r$ , and  $x_i = \ell$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, k$ .

Clearly, the above set of embedding configurations has  $O(1)$  size, since  $a \leq 1$  and since  $e_1$  has a constant number of admissible types, by Property 2. Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(k)$  time.

Finally, suppose that  $h = 2$ . W.l.o.g., let  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  whose type is not  $\odot$ -BE slim. Then the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  contains the embedding configurations for  $\mu$  obtained by combining all the following choices.

- For any triple of non-negative integers  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  such that  $a + b + c = k - 2$ ,  $a \leq 1$ , and  $b \leq 1$ , we set  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  to be any embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  such that  $a$  virtual edges of type  $\odot$ -BE precede  $e_1$  and  $b$  virtual edges of type  $\odot$ -BE lie between  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  (and, thus,  $c = k - 2 - a - b$  virtual edges of type  $\odot$ -BE follow  $e_2$ ).
- We choose  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as each of the possible combinations of the admissible types for  $e_1$  and for  $e_2$ , respectively, and  $t_i = \odot$ -BE slim, for  $i = 3, \dots, k$ .
- We choose  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  as each of the possible combinations of  $\ell$  and  $r$ , and  $x_i = \ell$ , for  $i = 3, \dots, k$ .

We have the following.

**Lemma 50.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is a P-node such that  $v_\mu$  is black. There exists a set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$  such that:*

- (i) *a type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ ;*
- (ii)  *$|\mathcal{V}_\mu| \in O(1)$ ; and*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time.*




Figure 30: Illustration for the proof of Lemma 50. (a) Proof of  $a' \leq 1$  when  $h = 1$ . (b) Proof of  $b' \leq 1$  when  $h = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  be the set constructed as described above. Clearly,  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  has  $O(1)$  size, since  $a, b \leq 1$  and since  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  have a constant number of admissible types, by Property 2. Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(k)$  time, with  $k \in O(|sk(\mu)|)$ .

If there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  for  $\mu$  in the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ , then we have that  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$ , by Lemma 45.

Consider any admissible type  $t$  for  $\mu$  and let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  be a type- $t$  embedding of  $H_\mu$ . We show that we inserted into  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ .

Suppose first that  $v_\mu$  is red. Then by construction we inserted into  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  all the embedding configurations for  $\mu$ . Thus, the statement follows by Lemma 45.

Suppose now that  $v_\mu$  is black. We distinguish three cases, based on the number  $h \leq 2$  of virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  that are not of type  $\odot$ -BE slim. If  $h = 0$ , then the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BE fat, and thus the only admissible type for  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BE fat, by Lemma 30. By construction, we inserted into  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  one embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $\odot$ -BE fat.




Suppose that  $h = 1$ . Consider any two embedding configurations  $\mathcal{C}'$  and  $\mathcal{C}''$  for  $\mu$  such that (i) the number of  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges preceding  $e_1$  in the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  is the same in  $\mathcal{C}'$  as in  $\mathcal{C}''$  and (ii) the type  $t_1$  and the flip  $x_1$  for  $e_1$  are the same in  $\mathcal{C}'$  as in  $\mathcal{C}''$ . Then the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}')$  is the same as the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}'')$ . Therefore, the type of an embedding configuration is determined only by the above criteria.

Let  $a'$  and  $b' = k - a' - 1$  be the number of type  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  that precede and follow  $e_1$ , respectively, in the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . W.l.o.g., we can assume that  $a' \leq b'$ , as otherwise we can flip  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , which does not alter its type. Let  $t_1^*$  be the type of the embedding of  $H_{e_1}$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and  $x_1^*$  be its flip.

We claim that  $a' \leq 1$ . Observe that the claim implies the statement. Namely, if  $a' \leq 1$ , then by construction, the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  contains an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  for  $\mu$  such that the number of type  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges preceding  $e_1$  in the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  is  $a = a'$ , such that  $t_1 = t_1^*$ , and such that  $x_1 = x_1^*$ . Hence, the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ .

We now prove the claim. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $a' > 1$  and thus  $b' > 1$ ; refer to Fig. 30a. Since the pertinent graphs of any two virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  that are consecutive in the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  delimit an internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , we have that the internal faces  $f$  and  $g$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  delimited by the pertinent graphs of the leftmost two and of the rightmost two type  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges, respectively, are red. We distinguish two cases based on whether there exists a black path in  $H_\mu$  between  $u_\mu$  and  $v_\mu$  or not.

In the former case, both the type of  $e_1$  and  $\mu$  is either  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. Hence,  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  belong to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , by Definition 5 and since there exists exactly one red vertex incident to each of  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ . This implies that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  consists of two caterpillars whose backbones contain at least two vertices each, namely  $f$  and  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , and  $g$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , respectively. This contradicts Item 2 of Lemma 31.

In the latter case, both the type of  $e_1$  and  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BF. Hence, neither  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  nor  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , by [Definition 5](#). Since  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  contains at least two internal red faces, namely  $f$  and  $g$ , we have that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is either BFI0A- or BFI0B-. Furthermore, since  $b_m$  is not incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , we have that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is BFI0B-. Recall that  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  are the unique red vertices incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ , respectively. Since  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) is the only red face incident to  $u_\ell$  (resp.  $u_r$ ), we have that  $f$  and  $g$  are the end-vertices of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and that  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  are the only leaves incident to them. Since  $b_m$  shares a face with neither  $u_\ell$  nor  $u_r$ , we have a contradiction to Item 1 of [Lemma 35](#).

Suppose that  $h = 2$ . Similarly to the case  $h = 1$ , given an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ , the type of an embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is only determined by the following criteria: (i) the number of type  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  preceding  $e_1$ , following  $e_1$  and preceding  $e_2$ , and following  $e_2$  in the embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , (ii) the type  $t_1$  (resp.,  $t_2$ ) and the flip  $x_1$  (resp.,  $x_2$ ) for  $e_1$  (resp., for  $e_2$ ).

Let  $a'$ ,  $b'$ , and  $c' = k - a' - b' - 2$  be the number of type  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  that precede  $e_1$ , lie between  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , and follow  $e_2$ , respectively, in the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . W.l.o.g., we can assume that  $a' \leq c'$ , as otherwise we can flip  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , which does not alter its type. Let  $t_1^*$  (resp.,  $t_2^*$ ) be the type of the embedding of  $H_{e_1}$  (resp.,  $H_{e_2}$ ) in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and  $x_1^*$  (resp.,  $x_2^*$ ) be its flip.

We claim that  $a' \leq 1$  and  $b' \leq 1$ . Observe that the claim implies the statement. Namely, if  $a' \leq 1$  and  $b' \leq 1$ , then by construction, the set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  contains an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  for  $\mu$  such that the number of type  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges preceding  $e_1$ , lying between  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , and following  $e_2$  in the embedding of  $sk(\mu)$  is  $a = a'$ ,  $b = b'$ , and  $c = c'$ , and such that  $t_1 = t_1^*$ ,  $t_2 = t_2^*$ ,  $x_1 = x_1^*$ , and  $x_2 = x_2^*$ . Hence, the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ .

Recall that, by [Lemma 49](#), one of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  is of type  $\odot$ -BP and the other one is of type  $\odot$ -BF, and thus  $\mu$  is of type  $\odot$ -BB. The claim that  $a' \leq 1$  can be proved similarly to the case in which  $h = 1$  and the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BB. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $b' > 1$ ; refer to [Fig. 30b](#). Let  $f$  be any internal red face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  delimited by the pertinent graphs of two  $\odot$ -BE slim virtual edges lying between  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Denote by  $w$  and  $z$  the two red vertices incident to  $f$ . By [Lemma 31](#), we have that  $f$  belongs to the backbone  $\mathcal{B}$  of a caterpillar composing  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  having an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  as an end-vertex; let  $f^*$  be the other end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that, when walking along  $\mathcal{B}$  from the outer face to  $f^*$ , the vertices of the pertinent graph of the type  $\odot$ -BF virtual edge are encountered before  $w$ , and then  $f$  is encountered (up to a relabeling of  $w$  and  $z$ ). Then either  $f = f^*$  and  $z$  is the only leaf incident to it, or  $z$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ . In both cases, there exists no leaf that is adjacent to  $f^*$  in  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  and that shares a face with  $b_m$ , since  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of the type  $\odot$ -BF virtual edge. This contradicts Item 4 of [Lemma 31](#) and concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 7.1.3 R-nodes

Suppose that  $\mu$  is an R-node. This case is much more difficult to handle than the S- and P-node cases, given that there might be a super-constant number of children of  $\mu$  that are not of the “trivial” types  $\odot$ -RE and  $\odot$ -BE. In particular, while [Lemma 17](#) ensures that there is at most one child of  $\mu$  which is of type  $\odot$ -RF,  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\odot$ -BB, there can be, in general, any number of children of type  $\odot$ -BP. Therefore, in order to *efficiently* construct the set of admissible types for  $\mu$ , we cannot construct all the (possibly exponentially many) embedding configurations for  $\mu$  and just apply [Lemma 44](#) to determine their types. However, we are able to efficiently construct a subset  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of the embedding configurations of  $\mu$  having size  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  such that, for each admissible type  $t$  of  $\mu$ , there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t$ .

Recall that  $sk(\mu)$  has a unique planar embedding with its poles on the outer face, up to a flip. Thus, we set  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  to be such an embedding for all the embedding configurations in  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$ . Also,



recall that the left and the right outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  are denoted as  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ , respectively. We observe that there are some faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that correspond to red faces in any embedding of  $H_\mu$ . Faces of this type are called *intrinsically red* and are formally defined as follows.

**Definition 10.** A face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is *intrinsically red* if:

- it is incident to at least two red vertices of  $sk(\mu)$ , or
- it is incident to at least two virtual edges of type  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF, or
- it is incident to a red vertex of  $sk(\mu)$  and to a virtual edge of type  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF, or
- it is an outer face, it is incident to a red vertex of  $sk(\mu)$ , and the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BP or  $\odot$ -BB, or
- it is an outer face, it is incident to a virtual edge of type  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF, and the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BP or  $\odot$ -BB.

In the remainder of the discussion, we assume that each face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is labeled either as intrinsically red or as non-intrinsically red. Indeed, determining all the intrinsically red faces can be done in total  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time, by simply traversing the vertices and the virtual edges incident to each face of  $sk(\mu)$ .

Contrary to the intrinsically red faces, a non-intrinsically red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  may result in a red face of a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  or not; in the positive case, we refer to such a face as *reddened* in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . In particular, the fact that a non-intrinsically red face  $f$  is reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  only depends on the type and the flip of the embedding of the pertinent graphs of the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  bounding  $f$  and on the placement of the *rb*-trivial components incident to the vertices of  $f$ , if any.

We now state necessary and sufficient conditions for a face of  $sk(\mu)$  to be reddened in a relevant embedding. We define the following three sets of types: The set  $\mathcal{T}_0$  contains the types  $\odot$ -BFN0,  $\odot$ -BFI0,  $\odot$ -BP1,  $\odot$ -RFN0 and  $\odot$ -RFI0. The set  $\mathcal{T}_1$  contains the types  $\odot$ -BP2,  $\odot$ -BB2,  $\odot$ -BP4,  $\odot$ -BB4,  $\odot$ -RFN1,  $\odot$ -RFI1,  $\odot$ -BFN1, and  $\odot$ -BFI1. The set  $\mathcal{T}_2$  contains the types  $\odot$ -BP3,  $\odot$ -BB3,  $\odot$ -BP5,  $\odot$ -BB5,  $\odot$ -RFN2,  $\odot$ -RFI2,  $\odot$ -BFN2, and  $\odot$ -BFI2. Note that, for each type  $t$  in  $\mathcal{T}_k$  and for each relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  whose type is  $t$ , we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  contains exactly  $k$  outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , by definition.

**Lemma 51 (Reddened conditions).** Let  $f$  be a non-intrinsically red face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  be a relevant embedding of  $H_\mu$ , and let  $f^*$  be the face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that corresponds to  $f$ . Also, for a virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , we denote by  $t_e$  and  $x_e$  the type and the flip, respectively, of the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_e$  of  $H_e$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Then  $f$  is reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , i.e.,  $f^*$  is red, if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) there exists an *rb*-trivial component incident  $f^*$ ; or
- (2) there exists at least one virtual edge  $e$  incident to  $f$  such that either (2a)  $t_e \in \mathcal{T}_2$ , or (2b)  $t_e \in \mathcal{T}_1$  and  $x_e$  is such that the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  that belongs to  $A(\mathcal{E}_e)$  corresponds to  $f^*$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We first prove the sufficiency.

Suppose first that there exists at least one virtual edge  $d$  incident to  $f$  whose type is neither  $\odot$ -BP nor  $\odot$ -BB; by Lemma 18, this is always the case if  $f$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , while it might not be the case if  $f$  is an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ . The assumption implies that there exists at least one red vertex  $r_d$  belonging to  $H_d$  that is incident to  $f^*$ . This vertex is one of the poles of  $d$ , if the type of  $d$  is  $\odot$ -RE or  $\odot$ -RF, or is a non-pole vertex of  $H_d$ , if the type of  $d$  is  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF.

- If [Condition 1](#) holds, there exists a red vertex  $r$  incident to  $f^*$  that belongs to an  $rb$ -trivial component. Since  $r \neq r_d$ , we have that  $f^*$  is red.
- If one of [Conditions 2a](#) and [2b](#) holds, by [Definition 5](#) of  $A(\mathcal{E}_e)$ , we have that, if the type of  $e$  is neither  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP nor  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then there exist at least two red vertices of  $H_e$  that are incident to  $f^*$  (and thus  $f^*$  is red), while if the type of  $e$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, then there exists at least one (non-pole) red vertex of  $H_e$  that is incident to  $f^*$ ; in the latter case, we have that  $e \neq d$  and thus  $f^*$  is red as it is incident to  $r_d$  and to such a red vertex of  $H_e$ .

Suppose next that every virtual edge incident to  $f$  is of type either  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB; then the type of  $\mu$  is either  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, hence, in order to prove that  $f^*$  is red, it suffices to prove that there exists at least one red vertex incident to it.

- If [Condition 1](#) holds, there exists a red vertex  $r$  incident to  $f^*$  that belongs to an  $rb$ -trivial component, hence  $f^*$  is red.
- If one of [Conditions 2a](#) and [2b](#) holds, by [Definition 5](#) of  $A(\mathcal{E}_e)$ , we have that there exists at least one (non-pole) red vertex of  $H_e$  that is incident to  $f^*$ , hence  $f^*$  is red.

( $\implies$ ) Second, we prove the necessity. Suppose hence that  $f^*$  is red.

Suppose that there exist two red vertices  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  incident to  $f^*$ . This is always the case if  $f$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , while it might not be the case if  $f$  is an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ . First, if any of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  belongs to an  $rb$ -trivial component, then [Condition 1](#) holds. If both  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  belong to the pertinent graph of the same virtual edge  $e$  incident to  $f$  or if at least one of them belongs to the pertinent graph of a virtual edge of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB incident to  $f$ , then either [Condition 2a](#) or [Condition 2b](#) holds, by [Definition 5](#). Therefore, each of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  is either a vertex incident to  $f$  or belongs to the pertinent graph of a virtual edges incident to  $f$ , whose type is neither  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP nor  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. However, this contradicts the fact that  $f$  is a non-intrinsically red face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ .

Suppose next that there exists a single red vertex  $r$  incident to  $f^*$ . Since  $f^*$  is red, by assumption, it follows that  $f$  is an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  and that the type of  $\mu$  is either  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. Since  $f$  is not intrinsically red, it follows that every virtual edge incident to  $f$  is of type either  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. Hence  $r$  is either a vertex of an  $rb$ -trivial component (and thus [Condition 1](#) is satisfied), or is a vertex in the pertinent graph of a type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB virtual edge incident to  $f$  (and thus one of [Conditions 2a](#) and [2b](#) is satisfied).  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Whether a non-intrinsically red face of  $sk(\mu)$  is reddened in a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  only depends on the embedding configuration for  $\mu$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

Next, we show that not too many non-intrinsically red faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  are reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

First, we consider an internal face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that is reddened in some relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ . Let  $f_N$  be the face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that corresponds to  $f$ . By [Lemma 21](#), the auxiliary graph  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is composed of at most two caterpillars. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  the backbone of the caterpillar containing  $f_N$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  ends either in  $f_N$ , or “close to it”, i.e., in the pertinent graph of one of the virtual edges incident to  $f$ . We formalize this in the following lemma; refer to [Fig. 31](#).

**Lemma 52.** *There is an end-vertex  $f_s$  of the backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  containing  $f_N$  such that either  $f_s = f_N$  or there exists a virtual edge  $e$  incident to  $f$  such that all the vertices, except for  $f_N$ , of the sub-path of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  between  $f_N$  and  $f_s$  correspond to vertices and internal faces of the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_e$  of  $H_e$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that the statement is false. Then there exist two distinct red faces  $f'_N$  and  $f''_N$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = (\dots, f'_N, v', f_N, v'', f''_N, \dots)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}'_N$  (let  $\mathcal{B}''_N$ ) be




Figure 31: (a) Planar embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  of the skeleton of an R-node  $\mu$  of type  $\odot$ -RF; the virtual edge  $e$  corresponding to the unique non-Q-node child of  $\mu$  is dashed; the face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is not intrinsically red since the type of  $e$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP. (b)-(c) Two distinct relevant embeddings of  $H_\mu$  containing a red face  $f_N$  corresponding to  $f$ , which differ in the embedding type of  $H_e$  (type  $\odot$ -BP3 in (b), and  $\odot$ -BP4 in (c)). Face  $f_N$  is an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  in (b), while it is not in (c).

the subpath of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  between  $f_N$  and an end-vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  comprising  $f'_N$  (resp. comprising  $f''_N$ ). Assume that  $v'$  belongs to the pertinent graph  $H_e$  of a virtual edge  $e$  of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB incident to  $f$ ; let  $\mathcal{E}_e$  be the embedding of  $H_e$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Then, by Lemma 31, we have that  $f'_N$  is a face of  $\mathcal{E}_e$ ; again by the same lemma, all the internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{B}'_N$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}_e$ , a contradiction. We can hence assume that  $v'$  (and, analogously,  $v''$ ) does not belong to the pertinent graph of a virtual edge of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB.

Since  $f$  is a non-intrinsically red face, there is at most one red vertex in  $sk(\mu)$  on the boundary of  $f$ . We distinguish the case in which there is no red vertex in  $sk(\mu)$  on the boundary of  $f$  from the case in which there is one such vertex.

If no red vertex of  $sk(\mu)$  is on the boundary of  $f$ , then there is no virtual edge incident to  $f$  whose type is  $\odot$ -RE or  $\odot$ -RF. Furthermore, since  $f$  is a non-intrinsically red face, there is at most one virtual edge incident to  $f$  whose type is  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF. Indeed, since  $f$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , then there is one edge whose type is  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF, as otherwise all the virtual edges incident to  $f$  would be of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB; these edges would form a cycle, which is not possible by Lemma 18. Denote by  $e^*$  the single virtual edge incident to  $f$  whose type is  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF, if any. All the virtual edges incident to  $f$  and different from  $e^*$  are of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. Since neither  $v'$  nor  $v''$  belongs to the pertinent graph of a virtual edge of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, it follows that  $v'$  and  $v''$  belong to  $H_{e^*}$ . Since  $v'$  and  $v''$  are distinct, it follows that the type of  $e^*$  is  $\odot$ -BF. Let  $\mathcal{E}_{e^*}$  be the embedding of  $H_{e^*}$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . By Lemma 34, all the internal red faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{B}'_N$  different from  $f_N$  or all the internal red faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{B}''_N$  different from  $f_N$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}_{e^*}$ , a contradiction.

We now discuss the case in which there is a red vertex  $w$  of  $sk(\mu)$  on the boundary of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is a non-intrinsically red face, there is no virtual edge incident to  $f$  whose type is  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF. The two virtual edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that are on the boundary of  $f$  and that are incident to  $w$  are of type  $\odot$ -RE or  $\odot$ -RF. All the virtual edges incident to  $f$  and different from  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are hence of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB. Since neither  $v'$  nor  $v''$  belongs to the pertinent graph of a virtual edge of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, we have that one between  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  is of type  $\odot$ -RF, while the other one is of type  $\odot$ -RE. Indeed, by Lemma 17, we have that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are not both of type  $\odot$ -RF; further,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are not both of type  $\odot$ -RE, as otherwise we would have  $v' = v'' = w$ , while  $v'$  and  $v''$  are distinct. Assume hence that the type of  $e_1$  is  $\odot$ -RF, while the type of  $e_2$  is  $\odot$ -RE. Then both  $v'$  and  $v''$  belong to  $H_{e_1}$  (possibly one of them coincides with  $w$ ). By Lemma 24, all the internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{B}'_N$  or all the internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{B}''_N$  belong to

$\mathcal{E}_{e_1}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Next, we prove that at most one internal non-intrinsically red face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

**Lemma 53.** *There exists at most one internal non-intrinsically red face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that is reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Furthermore, such a face is incident to a virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  such that  $b_m$  belongs to  $H_e$ .*

*Proof.* First, suppose, for a contradiction, that there exist two internal non-intrinsically red faces  $f'$  and  $f''$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that are reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Also, let  $f'_N$  and  $f''_N$  be the faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  that correspond to  $f'$  and  $f''$ , respectively. By Lemma 52, there exists an end-vertex  $f'_s$  (resp.,  $f''_s$ ) of a backbone  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  such that either  $f'_s = f'_N$  (resp.,  $f''_s = f''_N$ ) or all the vertices, except for  $f'_N$  (resp., except for  $f''_N$ ), of the sub-path of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  between  $f'_N$  and  $f'_s$  (resp., between  $f''_N$  and  $f''_s$ ) correspond to vertices and internal faces of the embedding of the pertinent graph of a virtual edge incident to  $f'$  (resp., to  $f''$ ) in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Therefore,  $f'_N$  does not belong to the subpath of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  between  $f'_N$  and  $f'_s$ , and  $f'_N$  does not belong to the subpath of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  between  $f'_N$  and  $f''_s$ . Since  $f'_N \neq f''_N$ , we have that  $f'_s \neq f''_s$ . Also, by Lemma 52, we have that  $f'_s$  and  $f''_s$  are both internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

By definition, the only embedding types for which two end-vertices of the backbones of  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  are internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  are  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI0,  $\textcircled{B}$ -BFI0,  $\textcircled{R}$ -BFI1F, and  $\textcircled{B}$ -BE fat. The latter is excluded, however, since  $\mu$  is an R-node.

- Suppose that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI0. Since  $\mu$  is an R-node, we have that  $u_\mu$  has at least two neighbors in  $H_\mu$ , exactly one of which is black. This implies that there exists a red neighbor of  $u_\mu$  incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . This neighbor must coincide with  $v_\mu$ , as otherwise one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  would be red and the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  could not be  $\textcircled{R}$ -RFI0. However, the existence of the edge  $(u_\mu, v_\mu)$  implies that  $\mu$  is a P-node, a contradiction.
- Suppose that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is  $\textcircled{B}$ -BF. By Lemma 34, we have that  $A(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is a single caterpillar. Also, by Item 2 of Lemma 35 and since  $f'_s$  and  $f''_s$  are both internal faces of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , we have that at least one of them, say  $f'_s$ , is incident to  $u_\mu$ . This implies that  $f'_N$  and  $f'$  are also incident to  $u_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , respectively. Consider the two virtual edges incident to  $u_\mu$  and to  $f'$ . Since the type of  $\mu$  is  $\textcircled{B}$ -BF,  $u_\mu$  does not have any black neighbor in  $H_\mu$ , and thus these virtual edges are of type either  $\textcircled{B}$ -RF, or  $\textcircled{B}$ -RE, or  $\textcircled{B}$ -BF, or  $\textcircled{B}$ -BE. This contradicts the fact that  $f'$  is non-intrinsically red.

This concludes the proof that there exist no two internal non-intrinsically red faces  $f'$  and  $f''$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that are reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Suppose now that an internal non-intrinsically red face  $f'$  that is reddened in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  exists. Since  $\mu$  is an R-node, its type is either  $\textcircled{B}$ -BP, or  $\textcircled{B}$ -BB, or  $\textcircled{B}$ -BF, or  $\textcircled{B}$ -RF. The second part of the statement follows from Item 1 of Lemma 26, if the type of  $\mu$  is  $\textcircled{B}$ -RF; from Item 4 of Lemma 31, if the type of  $\mu$  is  $\textcircled{B}$ -BP or  $\textcircled{B}$ -BB; and from Item 1 of Lemma 35, if the type of  $\mu$  is  $\textcircled{B}$ -BF. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Clearly, since  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  has two outer faces, the number of outer faces that can be reddened in a relevant embedding of  $H_\mu$  is also constant. However, in the next lemma, we prove a stronger result.

**Lemma 54.** *There exists at most one outer non-intrinsically red face  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that is reddened in a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu$  is an R-node, its type is neither  $\textcircled{B}$ -RE, by Lemma 15, nor  $\textcircled{B}$ -BE, by Lemma 28.

Suppose that the type of  $\mu$  is  $\textcircled{B}$ -BP or  $\textcircled{B}$ -BB. Then one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is intrinsically red, by definition and since its type is not  $\textcircled{B}$ -BP1, by Observation 3. Thus, the statement trivially follows in this case.

Suppose that the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -RF. We claim that also in this case one of the outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is intrinsically red. Namely, first observe that the red pole  $v_\mu$  of  $\mu$  is incident to both the outer faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ . Also, the black pole  $u_\mu$  of  $\mu$  is incident to at most one type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edge. Since  $\mu$  is an R-node,  $u_\mu$  is incident to at least two virtual edges; hence,  $u_\mu$  is incident to a virtual edge  $e$  that is not of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP and that is incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , say to  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ . The other end-vertex of  $e$  is different from  $v_\mu$ , as otherwise  $\mu$  would be a P-node. Then either the type of  $e$  is  $\odot$ -BE or  $\odot$ -BF, or the other pole of  $e$  is a red vertex of  $sk(\mu)$  (different from  $v_\mu$ ) that is incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ ; in all the cases, it follows that  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  is intrinsically red.

Suppose finally that the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -BF. We claim that also in this case one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is intrinsically red. Namely, since  $\mu$  is an R-node, it has two distinct red neighbors  $u_\ell$  and  $u_r$  that are incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  and  $r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ , respectively; note that the virtual edges  $(u_\mu, u_\ell)$  and  $(u_\mu, u_r)$  are of type  $\odot$ -RE, since  $u_\mu$  has no black neighbor in  $H_\mu$ . Also, the pole  $v_\mu$  of  $\mu$  has one black neighbor in  $H_\mu$ . Hence, one of the two outer faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , say  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ , is such that the pertinent graph of the virtual edge  $e$  incident to  $v_\mu$  and to  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  contains no black neighbor of  $v_\mu$ . If the other end-vertex of  $e$  is not  $u_\ell$ , then the claim follows as in the case in which the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot$ -RF. Otherwise, the virtual edges  $(u_\mu, u_\ell)$  and  $e = (v_\mu, u_\ell)$  are the only virtual edges incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ . However, this implies that  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  can not be reddened. Namely,  $(u_\mu, u_\ell)$  and  $(v_\mu, u_\ell)$  are both of type  $\odot$ -RE; this was proved above for  $(u_\mu, u_\ell)$  and it follows from the fact that the pertinent graph of  $(v_\mu, u_\ell)$  contains no black neighbor of  $v_\mu$  for  $(v_\mu, u_\ell)$ . Finally, the only black vertices incident to  $\ell(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  are the poles of  $\mu$ , and thus their  $rb$ -trivial components, if any, are undecided. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

In order to determine the desired set of embedding configurations for  $\mu$ , we distinguish three cases, based on the position of  $b_m$  with respect to  $H_\mu$ .

**Case 1:**  $b_m$  does not belong to  $H_\mu$ . We start with a structural lemma.

**Lemma 55.** *Let  $\mu$  be an R-node. Suppose that  $b_m$  does not belong to  $H_\mu$  and that  $H_\mu$  admits a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . For a virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_e$  be the embedding of  $H_e$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Then the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. the type of  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP;
2. the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is either  $\odot$ -BP2 or  $\odot$ -BP3;
3.  $sk(\mu)$  contains no internal intrinsically red face;
4. the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  are of type  $\odot$ -RE,  $\odot$ -BE slim, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP;
5. for each type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is either  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1 or  $\odot$ -BP2;
6. for each virtual edge  $e$  such that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is  $\odot$ -BE slim or  $\odot$ -BP2, we have that  $e$  is incident to an outer face  $x(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ ; also, if the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is  $\odot$ -BP2, then  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is flipped in such a way that the red vertices of  $H_e$  are incident to  $x(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ ; and
7. each  $rb$ -trivial component incident to a vertex of  $sk(\mu)$  is incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

*Proof.* **Condition 1:** Since  $b_m$  is not a vertex of  $H_\mu$ , the type of  $\mu$  is neither  $\odot$ -RF, nor  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, nor  $\odot$ -BF. Also, since  $\mu$  is an R-node, its type is neither  $\odot$ -RE, by Lemma 15, nor  $\odot$ -BE, by Lemma 28. Thus, the type of  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP.

**Condition 2:** First, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is not  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, as otherwise, by Observation 3,  $H_\mu^-$  would be a path composed of black vertices between the poles of  $\mu$ , and hence  $\mu$  would be either a Q-node or an S-node, while it is an R-node. Also, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is neither  $\odot$ -BP4 nor  $\odot$ -BP5, as otherwise, by Item 4 of Lemma 31,  $b_m$  would belong to  $H_\mu$ . Thus, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  is either  $\odot$ -BP2 or  $\odot$ -BP3.



Before discussing the remaining conditions, we observe that [Condition 2](#) and the definition of types  $\odot\text{-BP2}$  and  $\text{BP3}$  imply that there exists no internal red face in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Furthermore, by [Lemma 22](#), there exists no internal red vertex in  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ .

[Condition 3](#) is then satisfied as otherwise  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  would contain an internal red face.

[Conditions 4 to 6](#): Consider first a virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is not incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ . We have that either the type of  $e$  is  $\odot\text{-RE}$  or it is  $\odot\text{-BP}$  and the type of its unique embedding is  $\odot\text{-BP1}$ , as otherwise, by definition,  $H_e$  would contain a non-pole red vertex, and thus  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  would contain an internal red vertex, which we proved not to be the case. Consider now a virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is incident to an outer face  $x(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ . Since  $b_m$  does not belong to  $H_\mu$ , we have that the type of  $e$  is neither  $\odot\text{-RF}$ , nor  $\odot\text{-BB}$ , nor  $\odot\text{-BF}$ ; further, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is neither  $\odot\text{-BP4}$  nor  $\text{BP5}$ , as otherwise  $b_m$  would belong to  $H_e$ , and thus to  $H_\mu$ . Moreover, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is not  $\odot\text{-BE fat}$ , as otherwise  $\mathcal{E}_e$ , and thus  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ , would contain an internal red face, which we proved not to be the case. Finally, the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is not  $\text{BP3}$ , as otherwise  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  would contain an internal red vertex, which we proved not to be the case; in fact, since  $\mu$  is an R-node, the face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  incident to  $e$  and different from  $x(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  is an internal face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_e$  has red vertices incident to both its outer faces, by definition. For the same reason, if the type of  $e$  is  $\odot\text{-BP2}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is flipped in such a way that the red vertices of  $H_e$  are incident to  $x(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ .

Finally, [Condition 7](#) is satisfied as otherwise  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  would contain an internal red face.  $\square$

In order to compute the set of admissible types for  $\mu$ , we first use [Lemma 55](#) to determine several cases in which the set of admissible types for  $\mu$  is empty. To do so, we check in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time whether one of the following conditions is fulfilled.

1.  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  contains an internal intrinsically-red face (see [Condition 3](#) of [Lemma 55](#)),
2. there exists at least one virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is not of type  $\odot\text{-RE}$ ,  $\odot\text{-BE slim}$ , or  $\odot\text{-BP}$  (see [Condition 4](#) of [Lemma 55](#))
3.  $sk(\mu)$  contains a type- $\odot\text{-BP}$  virtual edge whose pertinent graph admits no relevant embedding of type  $\odot\text{-BP1}$  or  $\odot\text{-BP2}$  (see [Condition 5](#) of [Lemma 55](#)),
4.  $sk(\mu)$  contains a virtual edge not incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  whose pertinent graph only admits a relevant embedding of type  $\odot\text{-BP2}$  (see [Condition 6](#) of [Lemma 55](#)), or
5. there exists an  $rb$ -trivial component that is incident to an internal vertex of  $sk(\mu)$  (see [Condition 7](#) of [Lemma 55](#)).

If none of the above tests succeeds, then we insert into  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  a single embedding configuration defined as follows. For each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , we set  $t_i$  to  $\odot\text{-RE}$  or  $\odot\text{-BE slim}$ , if the type of  $e_i$  is  $\odot\text{-RE}$  or  $\odot\text{-BE slim}$ , respectively. Further, consider each virtual edge  $e_i$  of type  $\odot\text{-BP}$ . By [Condition 5](#) of [Lemma 55](#), we have that  $t_i$  may be one of  $\odot\text{-BP1}$  or  $\odot\text{-BP2}$ ; however, by [Observation 3](#), the set of admissible types for  $e_i$  contains either  $\odot\text{-BP1}$ , if the type of  $\mu$  is  $\odot\text{-BP1}$ , or  $\odot\text{-BP2}$ , otherwise. Therefore, we set  $t_i$  to be the only admissible type for  $e_i$ . Note that, by [Condition 4](#) of [Lemma 55](#), we have exhaustively considered all the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ . Furthermore, for each virtual edge  $e_i$  that is not incident to an outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  (and thus the type of  $e_i$  is  $\odot\text{-RE}$  or  $\odot\text{-BP1}$ , by [Condition 5](#) of [Lemma 55](#)), we arbitrarily set  $x_i$  to either  $\ell$  or  $r$ ; further, for each virtual edge  $e_i$  that is incident to  $x(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ , with  $x \in \{\ell, r\}$ , we set  $x_i = x$  to comply with [Condition 5](#) of [Lemma 55](#). Finally, for each vertex  $w$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is incident to an  $rb$ -trivial component, we set the designated face  $f_w$  of  $w$  to the outer face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  vertex  $w$  is incident to (this incidence is guaranteed by [Condition 7](#) of [Lemma 55](#)). By the above discussion, we have the following.

**Lemma 56.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is an R-node such that  $b_m$  does not belong to  $H_\mu$ . There exists a set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$  such that:*

- (i) a type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ ;
- (ii)  $|\mathcal{V}_\mu| = 1$ ; and
- (iii)  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time.

**Case 2:  $b_m$  is a vertex of  $sk(\mu)$ .** We start with a structural lemma.

**Lemma 57.** *Let  $\mu$  be an  $R$ -node. Suppose that  $b_m$  is a vertex of  $sk(\mu)$  and that  $H_\mu$  admits a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . For a virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_e$  be the embedding of  $H_e$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Then the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. the type of  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP if  $b_m = v_\mu$  is a pole of  $\mu$ , and is either  $\odot$ -RF, or  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, otherwise;
2. the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  are of type  $\odot$ -RE,  $\odot$ -BE slim,  $\odot$ -BE fat, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP;
3. there exists at most one type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  such that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is  $\odot$ -BP4 or  $\odot$ -BP5; further, there exists a single virtual edge  $e_m$  of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP incident to  $b_m$ ; finally, if a virtual edge  $e$  exists such that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is  $\odot$ -BP4 or  $\odot$ -BP5, then  $e = e_m$ .

*Proof.* If  $b_m$  is a pole of  $\mu$ , then the proof for [Condition 1](#) is the same as the one for [Condition 1](#) of [Lemma 55](#). Otherwise, the type of  $\mu$  is either  $\odot$ -RF, or  $\odot$ -BF, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, since  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\mu$ .

Also, [Condition 2](#) holds, since the type of each virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  is neither  $\odot$ -RF, nor  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, nor  $\odot$ -BF, as  $b_m$  belongs to  $sk(\mu)$ .

Finally, we prove that [Condition 3](#) hold. First,  $b_m$  is incident to exactly one virtual edge  $e_m$  of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, since it has exactly one black neighbor in  $H_\mu$ , given that the type of  $\mu$  is not  $\odot$ -BE by [Condition 1](#). Suppose that a type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  such that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is  $\odot$ -BP4 or  $\odot$ -BP5 exists, as otherwise there is nothing else to prove. By [Item 4](#) of [Lemma 31](#), one of the poles of  $e$  is  $b_m$ . Hence,  $e = e_m$  and there exists no virtual edge  $e' \neq e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  such that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_{e'}$  is  $\odot$ -BP4 or  $\odot$ -BP5. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Differently from to the case in which  $b_m \notin H_\mu$ , we do not perform any preliminary test. In fact, all the conditions of [Lemma 57](#) only descend from the structure of  $H_\mu$  and not from its embedding; therefore, they are guaranteed to hold.

In the following, we exploit the conditions of [Lemmas 53, 54](#) and [57](#) to compute a constant-size set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$ . In particular, by [Lemma 53](#), there exists at most one internal non-intrinsically red face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that may be reddened in a relevant embedding of  $H_\mu$  and such a face has to be incident to  $b_m$ . Moreover, such a face must be one of the two faces  $f_m$  and  $g_m$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  incident to  $e_m$ . Namely, consider any face  $f \notin \{f_m, g_m\}$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that is incident to  $b_m$ ; the two virtual edges that lie along the boundary of  $f$  and that are incident to  $b_m$  are of type  $\odot$ -RE or  $\odot$ -BE, by [Conditions 2](#) and [3](#) of [Lemma 57](#), hence  $f$  is intrinsically red. Furthermore, by [Lemma 54](#), we have that at most one of the outer faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , say  $r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ , is non-intrinsically red and is allowed to be reddened in a relevant embedding of  $H_\mu$ .

We will exploit the fact that, by [Remark 2](#), whether a non-intrinsically red face in the set  $\{f_m, g_m, r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)\}$  is reddened in some relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  only depends on the embedding configuration for  $\mu$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Hence, our strategy to compute the embedding configurations to be added to  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  is to consider the six pairs  $(x, y)$ , with  $x \in \{\emptyset, f_m, g_m\}$  and  $y \in \{\emptyset, r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)\}$ . For each pair  $(x, y)$ , we will exploit [Lemma 51](#) to construct  $O(1)$  embedding configurations each of which is such that, in the corresponding realization, the reddened faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  are exactly  $x$  and  $y$  (where  $x = \emptyset$  means that none of  $f_m$  and  $g_m$  is reddened, and  $y = \emptyset$  means

that  $r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  is not reddened). In this case, we say that the pair is *satisfied* by the embedding configuration. Recall that  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1 belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , that  $\mathcal{C}$ -BP2 and  $\mathcal{C}$ -BP4 belong to  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , and that  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP3 and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP5 belong to  $\mathcal{T}_2$ .

Note that some pairs may not be satisfied by any embedding configuration. For example, this is the case for the pair  $(f_m, \emptyset)$ , when  $f_m$  coincides with  $r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$ . In fact,  $x = f_m$  requires  $f_m = r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  to be reddened, while  $y = \emptyset$  requires  $f_m = r(\mathcal{S}_\mu)$  not to be reddened. Another example is a pair  $(f_m, \cdot)$ , when  $f_m$  is non-intrinsically red, all the type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edges incident to it are of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, and no vertex incident to  $f_m$  is also incident to an  $rb$ -trivial component, by Lemma 51. On the other hand, some pairs may be equivalent in the restrictions they impose, for example the pairs  $(\emptyset, \cdot)$  and  $(f_m, \cdot)$ , when  $f_m$  is intrinsically red.

Let  $(x, y)$  be one of the six pairs. We now describe in detail how to construct a set of  $O(1)$  embedding configurations such that  $(x, y)$  is satisfied by each of such configurations. In particular, these embedding configurations will differ only in the type  $t_m$  and the flip  $x_m$  chosen for the virtual edge  $e_m$ . We discuss the case in which (i)  $x, y \neq \emptyset$ , (ii)  $x \neq y$ , and (iii) both  $x$  and  $y$  are non-intrinsically red, that is, when both  $x$  and  $y$  need to be reddened; the other cases are analogous (in fact, simpler). The construction consists of three phases.

**Satisfying the pair  $(x, y)$ .** We first compute the set  $S_x$  (resp.  $S_y$ ) that contains all the type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edges incident to  $x$  (resp. to  $y$ ) that are not of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, and all the black vertices of  $sk(\mu)$  incident to  $x$  (resp. to  $y$ ) that are incident to an  $rb$ -trivial component. By Lemma 51, the constructed sets contain exactly those elements that can be used to ensure that  $(x, y)$  is satisfied by the embedding configuration.

If  $S_x$  (resp.  $S_y$ ) is empty, then we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ , since it is not possible to ensure that  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ) is reddened; in this case,  $(x, y)$  cannot be satisfied.

Suppose next that  $S_x = S_y$  and  $|S_x| = |S_y| = 1$ . If the only element in  $S_x = S_y$  is a black vertex or a virtual edge that does not have  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP3 or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP5 among its admissible types, then we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ , since it is not possible to ensure that both  $x$  and  $y$  are reddened, by Lemma 51; also in this case,  $(x, y)$  cannot be satisfied. Otherwise,  $S_x$  contains exactly one virtual edge  $e_i$ , which has  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP3 or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP5 among its admissible types. If  $e_i \neq e_m$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP3 is admissible for  $e_i$ , by Condition 3 of Lemma 57. We set  $t_i = \text{BP3}$  and we arbitrarily set  $x_i$  to either  $\ell$  or  $r$ ; whereas, if  $e_i = e_m$ , then we make up to four independent choices by setting  $t_i$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_i$  among  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP3 and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP5, and by setting  $x_i$  as each of  $\ell$  and  $r$ . This ensures that both  $x$  and  $y$  are reddened, by Condition 2a of Lemma 51. Thus,  $(x, y)$  is satisfied by any embedding configuration that complies with one of these choices.

In all the other cases (that is, if  $|S_x| \geq 1$ ,  $|S_y| \geq 1$ , and it holds that  $|S_x| \geq 2$ , or  $|S_y| \geq 2$ , or  $S_x \neq S_y$ ), we can find two distinct elements, one in  $S_x$  and one in  $S_y$ . We use these elements to ensure that both  $x$  and  $y$  are reddened. Namely, consider the element that belongs to  $S_x$ , the discussion for the one belonging to  $S_y$  being analogous. If this element is a vertex  $w$ , then we select  $x$  to be the designated face  $f_w$  of  $w$ , by Condition 1 of Lemma 51. Otherwise, this element is a virtual edge  $e_i$ , and we proceed as follows.

- Suppose that  $e_i \neq e_m$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$ -BP2 is admissible for  $e_i$ , then we set  $t_i = \text{BP2}$  and we select  $x_i$  so that the red outer face of the  $t_i$ -gadget will correspond to  $x$  in the realization of an embedding configuration, by Condition 2b of Lemma 51. Otherwise,  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP3 is admissible for  $e_i$ , by Condition 3 of Lemma 57. If the face  $z$  of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  incident to  $e_i$  different from  $x$  is either intrinsically red or coincides with  $y$ , then we set  $t_i = \text{BP3}$  and we arbitrarily set  $x_i$  to either  $\ell$  or  $r$ . Else, we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ , since it is not possible to ensure that  $x$  is reddened, while avoiding that  $z$  is reddened, by Condition 2a of Lemma 51.
- Suppose now that  $e_i = e_m$ . Let  $z \in \{f_m, g_m\}$  be the face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  incident to  $e_i$  different

from  $x$ . If  $z$  is intrinsically red or  $z = y$ , then we make up to six independent choices by selecting  $t_i$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_i$  (recall that the type of  $e_i$  is not  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, since  $e_i \in S_x$ ) and by setting  $x_i$  to each of the flips such that a red outer face of the  $t_i$ -gadget will correspond to  $x$  in the realization of an embedding configuration (observe that, if  $t_i \in \{\text{BP2}, \text{BP4}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$ , then we select only one flip for  $x_i$ , while if  $t_i \in \{\text{BP3}, \text{BP5}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2$ , then we select both possible flips for  $x_i$ ). If  $z$  is non-intrinsically red and  $z \neq y$ , if the set of admissible types for  $e_i$  contains neither  $\text{BP2}$  nor  $\text{BP4}$ , we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ , since it is not possible to ensure that  $x$  is reddened, while avoiding that  $z$  is reddened, by [Condition 2a](#) of [Lemma 51](#). Otherwise, the set of admissible types for  $e_i$  contains  $\text{BP2}$ ,  $\text{BP4}$ , or both, and we make up to two independent choices by setting  $t_i$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_i$  among  $\text{BP2}$  and  $\text{BP4}$ , and by setting  $x_i$  so that the red outer face of the  $t_i$ -gadget will correspond to  $x$  in the realization of an embedding configuration, by [Condition 2b](#) of [Lemma 51](#).

If the above procedure has not aborted, the above “partial” embedding configurations satisfy the pair  $(x, y)$ . Note that, so far, we only set the types and the flips for at most two virtual edges, one in order to redden  $x$  and one in order to redden  $y$ ; moreover, multiple independent choices have been done only if one of these virtual edges coincides with  $e_m$ . To complete the construction of the embedding configurations for  $\mu$ , we have to select a type and a flip for the remaining virtual edges in each of the partial embedding configurations constructed above. We first deal with edges of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP.

**Handling the remaining type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edges.** Let  $e_i$  be any virtual edge of  $sk(\mu)$  of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP that is not of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1 and that has not been processed so far to satisfy the pair  $(x, y)$ . Let  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  be the two faces of  $S_\mu$  that are incident to  $e_i$ .

If both  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  are non-intrinsically red faces different from  $x$  and  $y$ , then we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ , since the type of  $e_i$  is not  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, hence at least one of  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  is reddened because of the red vertices of  $H_{e_i}$ , by [Condition 2a](#) of [Lemma 51](#).

If exactly one of  $f_i$  and  $g_i$ , say  $f_i$ , is a non-intrinsically red face different from  $x$  and  $y$ , then we distinguish the case in which  $e_i \neq e_m$  from the one in which  $e_i = e_m$ .

- If  $e_i \neq e_m$ , we check whether the type  $\text{BP2}$  is admissible for  $e_i$ . If not, we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ , since  $f_i$  is reddened because of the red vertices of  $H_{e_i}$ , by [Condition 2a](#) of [Lemma 51](#); otherwise, we set  $t_i = \text{BP2}$  and we set  $x_i$  so that the red outer face of the  $t_i$ -gadget will correspond to  $g_i$  in the realization of the embedding configuration, by [Condition 2b](#) of [Lemma 51](#).
- If  $e_i = e_m$ , we check whether the types  $\text{BP2}$  or  $\text{BP4}$  are admissible for  $e_i$ . If not, we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ , since  $f_i$  is reddened because of the red vertices of  $H_{e_i}$ , by [Condition 2a](#) of [Lemma 51](#); otherwise, we make up to two independent choices by setting  $t_i$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_i$  among  $\text{BP2}$  and  $\text{BP4}$ , and by setting  $x_i$  so that the red outer face of the  $t_i$ -gadget will correspond to  $g_i$  in the realization of an embedding configuration, by [Condition 2b](#) of [Lemma 51](#).

If none of  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  is a non-intrinsically red face different from  $x$  and  $y$  (that is,  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  will correspond to red faces in the realization of the embedding configuration, independently of the choice of  $t_i$  and  $x_i$ ), then we distinguish two cases. If  $e_i \neq e_m$ , we arbitrarily set  $t_i$  to any of the admissible types for  $e_i$  (these are  $\text{BP2}$  and/or  $\text{BP3}$ , by [Condition 3](#) of [Lemma 57](#)) and we arbitrarily set  $x_i$  to either  $\ell$  or  $r$ . If  $e_i = e_m$ , we make up to eight independent choices by setting  $t_i$  to each of the admissible types for  $e_i$  and by setting  $x_i$  to each of  $\ell$  and  $r$ .

If the above procedure has not aborted, all the above “partial” embedding configurations satisfy the pair  $(x, y)$  and avoid that any face of  $sk(\mu)$  different from  $x$  and  $y$  is reddened. It remains to deal with the virtual edges that are not of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP.

**Handling the remaining virtual edges.** Finally, for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is of type  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RE,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE slim,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE fat, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, in each of the so-far constructed partial embedding configurations for  $\mu$ , we set  $t_i$  to  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RE,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE slim,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE fat, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, respectively, and we arbitrarily set  $x_i$  to either  $\ell$  or  $r$ . First note that all the types  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RE,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE slim,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE fat, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1 belong to  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , and thus they do not contribute to redden any face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$ , by [Condition 2](#) of [Lemma 51](#). Furthermore, by [Condition 2](#) of [Lemma 57](#), we have exhaustively considered all the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$ . This concludes the construction of the (up to eight) embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ .

We summarize the above discussion in the following.

**Lemma 58.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is an  $R$ -node such that  $b_m$  is a vertex of  $sk(\mu)$ . There exists a set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$  such that:*

- (i) *a type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ ;*
- (ii)  *$|\mathcal{V}_\mu| = O(1)$ ; and*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time.*

**Case 3:  $b_m$  belongs to  $H_\mu$  but not to  $sk(\mu)$ .** In this case,  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of a virtual edge  $e_m$  of  $sk(\mu)$ . We start with a structural lemma.

**Lemma 59.** *Let  $\mu$  be an  $R$ -node. Suppose that  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of a virtual edge  $e_m$  of  $sk(\mu)$  and that  $H_\mu$  admits a relevant embedding  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . For a virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_e$  be the embedding of  $H_e$  determined by  $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ . Then the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *the type of  $\mu$  is either  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RF, or  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BF, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB;*
2. *the type of  $e_m$  is either  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RF, or  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BF, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB;*
3. *the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  different from  $e_m$  are of type  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RE,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE slim,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE fat, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP; and*
4. *there exists no type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edge  $e$  of  $sk(\mu)$  such that the type of  $\mathcal{E}_e$  is  $\textcircled{\circ}\textcircled{\circ}$ -BP4 or  $\textcircled{\circ}\textcircled{\circ}$ -BP5.*

*Proof.* Items 1 and 2 follow from the fact that  $b_m$  is a non-pole vertex of  $H_\mu$  and  $H_{e_m}$ , respectively. Items 3 and 4 follow from the fact that, for any virtual edge  $e \neq e_m$ , we have that  $b_m$  does not belong to  $H_e$  and from Item 4 of [Lemma 31](#).  $\square$

The algorithm to compute a constant-size set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$  is similar to the one for the case in which  $b_m$  is a vertex of  $sk(\mu)$  (Case 2). The only differences lie in the choices we perform for the type  $t_m$  and the flip  $x_m$  for the virtual edge  $e_m$ . In fact, by [Condition 2](#) of [Lemma 57](#) and by [Condition 3](#) of [Lemma 59](#), all the virtual edges of  $sk(\mu)$  different from  $e_m$  are of type  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RE,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE slim,  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BE fat, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, both in Case 2 and in Case 3. Furthermore, if any of such virtual edges is of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, then the set of its admissible types contains neither  $\textcircled{\circ}\textcircled{\circ}$ -BP4 nor  $\textcircled{\circ}\textcircled{\circ}$ -BP5, both in Case 2 and in Case 3, by [Condition 3](#) of [Lemma 57](#) and by [Condition 4](#) of [Lemma 59](#), respectively. However, differently from Case 2, where the type of  $e_m$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, by [Condition 3](#) of [Lemma 57](#), we have that, in Case 3, the type of  $e_m$  is either  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -RF, or  $\textcircled{\circ}$ -BF, or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BB, by [Condition 2](#) of [Lemma 59](#). On the other hand, in Case 3, we can perform operations on  $e_m$  analogous to those performed in Case 2. In



particular, whenever the type of  $e_m$  in Case 2 was  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP1, we select the type  $t_m$  for  $e_m$  among all the possible admissible types for  $e_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_0$ ; whenever the type  $t_m$  selected for  $e_m$  in Case 2 was either  $\mathcal{C}$ -BP2 or  $\mathcal{C}$ -BP4, we select  $t_m$  among all the possible admissible types for  $e_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_1$ ; finally, whenever the type  $t_m$  selected for  $e_m$  in Case 2 was either  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP3 or  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP5, we select  $t_m$  among all the possible admissible types for  $e_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . Next, we describe the construction of the embedding configurations in greater detail.

In particular, we do not perform any preliminary test, since all the conditions of Lemma 59 only descend from the structure of  $H_\mu$ . Also, for each of the six pairs  $(x, y)$ , we construct  $O(1)$  embedding configurations that satisfy the pair  $(x, y)$ , as follows. Again, we discuss only the case in which (i)  $x, y \neq \emptyset$ , (ii)  $x \neq y$ , and (iii) both  $x$  and  $y$  are non-intrinsically red, that is, when both  $x$  and  $y$  need to be reddened. The construction consists of three phases.

**Satisfying the pair  $(x, y)$ .** We first compute the set  $S_x$  (resp.  $S_y$ ) that contains all the virtual edges incident to  $x$  (resp. to  $y$ ) whose set of admissible types contains at least one type not in  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , and all the black vertices of  $sk(\mu)$  incident to  $x$  (resp. to  $y$ ) that are incident to an  $rb$ -trivial component. Recall that, by Lemma 51, the constructed sets contain exactly those elements that can be used to ensure that  $(x, y)$  is satisfied by the embedding configuration. Thus, if  $S_x$  (resp.  $S_y$ ) is empty, then we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ . Also, suppose that  $S_x = S_y$  and  $|S_x| = |S_y| = 1$ . If the only element in  $S_x = S_y$  is a black vertex or a virtual edge whose set of admissible types does not contain any type in  $\mathcal{T}_2$ , then we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ . Otherwise,  $S_x$  contains exactly one virtual edge  $e_i$ . If  $e_i \neq e_m$ , then we proceed as in Case 2; whereas, if  $e_i = e_m$ , then we construct  $O(1)$  embedding configurations obtained by setting  $t_i$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_i$  belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_2$ , and by setting  $x_i$  as each of  $\ell$  and  $r$ . In all the other cases, we can find two distinct elements (each being either a virtual edge or a vertex) such that one element belongs to  $S_x$  and the other belongs to  $S_y$ . We use these elements to ensure that both  $x$  and  $y$  are reddened. Namely, consider the element that belongs to  $S_x$ , the discussion for the one belonging to  $S_y$  being analogous. If this element is a vertex  $w$  or if it is a virtual edge  $e_i \neq e_m$ , then we proceed as in Case 2. Suppose now that the selected element in  $S_x$  is  $e_m$ . Let  $z \in \{f_m, g_m\}$  be the face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  incident to  $e_m$  different from  $x$ . If  $z$  is intrinsically red or  $z = y$ , then we construct  $O(1)$  embedding configurations obtained by selecting  $t_m$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_m$  that are not in  $\mathcal{T}_0$  and by setting  $x_m$  to each of the flips such that a red outer face of the  $t_m$ -gadget corresponds to  $x$ . Else,  $z$  is a non-intrinsically red face different from  $y$ . If there is no admissible types for  $e_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ . Otherwise, we construct  $O(1)$  embedding configurations obtained by setting  $t_m$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , and by setting  $x_m$  so that the red outer face of the  $t_m$ -gadget corresponds to  $x$ .

If the above procedure has not aborted, the above “partial” embedding configurations satisfy the pair  $(x, y)$ . To complete the construction of the embedding configurations for  $\mu$ , we have to select a type and a flip for the remaining virtual edges. We proceed as follows.

**Handling the remaining  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP virtual edges.** This phase is identical to corresponding one in Case 2. Observe, however, that in Case 3,  $e_m$  is not handles in this phase, since it is not of type  $\mathcal{Q}$ -BP, by Condition 2 of Lemma 59.

**Handling  $e_m$ .** Recall that  $x \in \{f_m, g_m\}$ . Suppose  $x = g_m$ , the case  $x = f_m$  being symmetric. If  $f_m$  is a non-intrinsically red face different from  $y$ , then we check whether the set of admissible types for  $e_m$  contains at least a type not in  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . If not, we abort the construction of the embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ . Otherwise, we construct  $O(1)$  embedding configurations obtained by setting  $t_m$  as each of the admissible types for  $e_m$  belonging to either  $\mathcal{T}_0$  or  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , and by setting  $x_m$  as each of the flips such that an outer face of the  $t_m$ -gadget that is not red corresponds to  $f_m$ .

If  $f_m$  is intrinsically red or  $f_m = y$ , then we construct  $O(1)$  embedding configurations obtained by setting  $t_m$  to each of the admissible types for  $e_m$  and by setting  $x_m$  to each of  $\ell$  and  $r$ .

If the above procedure has not aborted in the last two phases, all the above “partial” embedding configurations satisfy the pair  $(x, y)$  and avoid that any face of  $sk(\mu)$  different from  $x$  and  $y$  is reddened.

**Handling the remaining virtual edges.** This phase is identical to corresponding one in Case 2, and concludes the construction of the  $O(1)$  embedding configurations for the pair  $(x, y)$ .

We summarize the above discussion in the following.

**Lemma 60.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is an  $R$ -node such that  $b_m$  belongs to  $H_\mu$  but not to  $sk(\mu)$ . There exists a set  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  of embedding configurations for  $\mu$  such that:*

- (i) *a type  $t$  is admissible for  $\mu$  if and only if there exists an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is of type  $t$ ;*
- (ii)  *$|\mathcal{V}_\mu| = O(1)$ ; and*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{V}_\mu$  can be constructed in  $O(|sk(\mu)|)$  time.*

## 7.2 Constructing a Neat Embedding

In this section, we show how the embedding configurations stored in the non-root nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  by the testing algorithm in Section 7.1 can be exploited to efficiently construct a neat embedding of  $H$ .

**Theorem 4.** *There exists an  $O(n)$ -time algorithm that constructs a neat embedding of an  $n$ -vertex  $rb$ -augmented component, if one exists.*

If the testing algorithm in Section 7.1 succeeds, then, by Lemma 40, there exists at least one admissible type  $t$  for the unique child  $\tau$  of the root of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_\tau$  be an embedding configuration for  $\tau$  such that the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\tau)$  is  $t$ , which exists by Lemma 45. We construct a neat embedding  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $H$  by means of a top-down traversal of  $\mathcal{T}$  starting from  $\tau$ .

We initialize  $\mathcal{E}$  to the embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\tau$  of  $sk(\tau)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\tau$  with an arbitrary flip. For each node  $\mu$  encountered in the traversal we assume that the following invariants hold:

- (i)  $\mu$  is associated with a type  $t_\mu$  and with an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{V}_\mu$  such that the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$  is  $t_\mu$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{E}$  contains a copy of  $sk(\mu)$  whose embedding  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  is the one indicated in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ , and
- (iii) the flip of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  is the one indicated in the embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_\xi$  associated with the parent  $\xi$  of  $\mu$ .

When considering a non-leaf node  $\mu$  we perform the following operations. First, for every vertex  $w$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is incident to an  $rb$ -trivial component, we embed such a component into the face of  $\mathcal{E}$  corresponding to the face of  $\mathcal{S}_\mu$  that has been selected as the designated face  $f_w$  of  $w$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ . Second, for each virtual edge  $e_i$  of  $sk(\mu)$  that is not a  $Q$ -node, consider the admissible type  $t_i$  for  $e_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ . We select an embedding configuration  $\mathcal{C}_i$  in  $\mathcal{V}_{\nu_i}$ , where  $\nu_i$  is the child of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  corresponding to  $e_i$ , such that the type of the embedding of  $R(\mathcal{C}_i)$  is  $t_i$ . This configuration exists by Lemma 40 and since  $t_i$  is admissible for  $e_i$ . We associate  $\nu_i$  with  $t_i$  and  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , and we replace  $e_i$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  with the embedding  $\mathcal{S}_{\nu_i}$  of  $sk(\nu_i)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , flipped as indicated by the variable  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ . This guarantees that the invariants hold for  $\nu_i$ .

When all the non-leaf nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  have been considered,  $\mathcal{E}$  is an embedding of  $H_\tau$  whose type is  $t$ . Recall, in fact, that the skeleton of each leaf  $Q$ -node contains the real edge corresponding to it. To obtain a neat embedding of  $H$ , it only remains to augment  $\mathcal{E}$  with a drawing of the reference edge  $(b_1, b_2)$  corresponding to  $\rho$  and of the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components incident to  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , if any. This can be done in constant time by embedding  $(b_1, b_2)$  in the outer face of  $\mathcal{E}$  and by inserting the undecided  $rb$ -trivial components, if any, into one of the two faces incident to  $(b_1, b_2)$  as discussed in the proof of Lemma 41. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

## 8 Conclusions

In this paper, we have proved that the 2-LEVEL QUASI-PLANARITY problem is NP-complete, while it is linear-time solvable if the order of the vertices in one level is given as part of the input. The equivalence of the 2-level quasi-planar drawings with two different types of layouts, namely the bipartite 2-page book embeddings and the  $(2, 2)$ -track layouts, seems to indicate that the problem we solved in this paper is central in this area.

Trying to extend our hardness result to the QUASI-PLANARITY problem (without the 2-level constraint) is tempting. One natural idea would be to add edges to the *frame* (see [Section 3](#)), so that, in any quasi-planar drawing of the augmented graph, the drawing of the frame is topologically equivalent to its unique 2-level quasi-planar drawing. However, it is not clear to us whether this can be done and, even if it can, how to ensure that the graph that we assemble to the frame (i.e., the graph from the LEVELED PLANARITY instance) can be forced to have a “leveled” structure. Hence, determining the computational complexity of the QUASI-PLANARITY problem remains an elusive goal, in our opinion the most attractive one on “almost”-planar graphs.

## References

- [1] E. Ackerman and G. Tardos. On the maximum number of edges in quasi-planar graphs. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, 114(3):563–571, 2007.
- [2] P. Angelini, M. A. Bekos, F. J. Brandenburg, G. Da Lozzo, G. Di Battista, W. Didimo, M. Hoffmann, G. Liotta, F. Montecchiani, I. Rutter, and C. D. Tóth. Simple  $k$ -planar graphs are simple  $(k+1)$ -quasiplanar. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 142:1–35, 2020.
- [3] P. Angelini, G. Da Lozzo, G. Di Battista, F. Frati, M. Patrignani, and I. Rutter. Intersection-link representations of graphs. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.*, 21(4):731–755, 2017.
- [4] P. Angelini, G. Da Lozzo, H. Förster, and T. Schneck. 2-layer  $k$ -planar graphs: Density, crossing lemma, relationships, and pathwidth. In D. Auber and P. Valtr, editors, *GD 2020*, LNCS. Springer, 2020. To appear.
- [5] L. Auslander and S. V. Parter. On imbedding graphs in the sphere. *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, 10(3):517–523, 1961.
- [6] W. Bader. Das topologische problem der gedruckten schaltung und seine lösung. *Electrical Engineering (Archiv für Elektrotechnik)*, 49(1):2–12, 1964.
- [7] O. Bastert and C. Matuszewski. Layered drawings of digraphs. In M. Kaufmann and D. Wagner, editors, *Drawing Graphs: Methods and Models*, volume 2025 of *LNCS*, pages 87–120. Springer, 2001.
- [8] F. R. Bernhart and P. C. Kainen. The book thickness of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 27(2):320–331, 1979.
- [9] T. C. Biedl, S. Chaplick, J. Fiala, M. Kaufmann, F. Montecchiani, M. Nöllenburg, and C. N. Raftopoulou. On layered fan-planar graph drawings. *CoRR*, abs/2002.09597, 2020.
- [10] C. Binucci, M. Chimani, W. Didimo, M. Gronemann, K. Klein, J. Kratochvíl, F. Montecchiani, and I. G. Tollis. Algorithms and characterizations for 2-layer fan-planarity: From caterpillar to stegosaurus. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.*, 21(1):81–102, 2017.
- [11] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory with Applications*. North-Holland, 1976.

- [12] S. Cabello and B. Mohar. Crossing number and weighted crossing number of near-planar graphs. *Algorithmica*, 60(3):484–504, 2011.
- [13] S. Cabello and B. Mohar. Adding one edge to planar graphs makes crossing number and 1-planarity hard. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 42(5):1803–1829, 2013.
- [14] G. Di Battista, P. Eades, R. Tamassia, and I. G. Tollis. *Graph Drawing: Algorithms for the Visualization of Graphs*. Prentice-Hall, 1999.
- [15] G. Di Battista and R. Tamassia. On-line graph algorithms with SPQR-trees. In M. Paterson, editor, *Automata, Languages and Programming, 17th International Colloquium, ICALP90, Warwick University, England, UK, July 16-20, 1990, Proceedings*, volume 443 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 598–611. Springer, 1990.
- [16] G. Di Battista and R. Tamassia. On-line planarity testing. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 25(5):956–997, 1996.
- [17] W. Didimo. Density of straight-line 1-planar graph drawings. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 113(7):236–240, 2013.
- [18] W. Didimo, F. Giordano, and G. Liotta. Upward spirality and upward planarity testing. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 23(4):1842–1899, 2009.
- [19] W. Didimo, G. Liotta, and F. Montecchiani. A survey on graph drawing beyond planarity. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 52(1):4:1–4:37, 2019.
- [20] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1997.
- [21] V. Dujmovic, A. Pór, and D. R. Wood. Track layouts of graphs. *Discret. Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 6(2):497–522, 2004.
- [22] V. Dujmovic and D. R. Wood. Stacks, queues and tracks: Layouts of graph subdivisions. *Discret. Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 7(1):155–202, 2005.
- [23] P. Eades, B. McKay, and N. Wormald. On an edge crossing problem. In *Ninth Australian Computer Science Conference (ACSC '86)*, pages 327–334, 1986.
- [24] P. Eades and N. C. Wormald. Edge crossings in drawings of bipartite graphs. *Algorithmica*, 11(4):379–403, 1994.
- [25] E. D. Giacomo, W. Didimo, P. Eades, and G. Liotta. 2-layer right angle crossing drawings. *Algorithmica*, 68(4):954–997, 2014.
- [26] C. Gutwenger and P. Mutzel. A linear time implementation of spqr-trees. In J. Marks, editor, *Graph Drawing, 8th International Symposium, GD 2000, Colonial Williamsburg, VA, USA, September 20-23, 2000, Proceedings*, volume 1984 of *LNCS*, pages 77–90. Springer, 2000.
- [27] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley Pub. Co. Reading, Massachusetts, 1969.
- [28] F. Harary and A. Schwenk. A new crossing number for bipartite graphs. *Utilitas Mathematica*, 1:203–209, 1972.
- [29] L. S. Heath and A. L. Rosenberg. Laying out graphs using queues. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 21(5):927–958, 1992.
- [30] J. Hopcroft and R. E. Tarjan. Efficient planarity testing. *Journal of the ACM*, 21(4):549–568, 1974.

- [31] J. E. Hopcroft and R. E. Tarjan. Algorithm 447: Efficient algorithms for graph manipulation. *Commun. ACM*, 16(6):372–378, 1973.
- [32] M. Jünger and P. Mutzel. 2-layer straightline crossing minimization: Performance of exact and heuristic algorithms. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.*, 1(1):1–25, 1997.
- [33] V. P. Korzhik and B. Mohar. Minimal obstructions for 1-immersions and hardness of 1-planarity testing. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 72(1):30–71, 2013.
- [34] J. M. Lewis and M. Yannakakis. The node-deletion problem for hereditary properties is NP-complete. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 20(2):219–230, 1980.
- [35] P. C. Liu and R. C. Geldmacher. On the deletion of nonplanar edges of a graph. *Congressus Numerantium*, 24:727–738, 1979.
- [36] T. Nishizeki and N. Chiba. *Planar Graphs: Theory and Algorithms*, volume 32 of *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*. North-Holland, 1988.
- [37] T. Nishizeki and M. S. Rahman. *Planar Graph Drawing*, volume 12 of *Lecture Notes Series on Computing*. World Scientific, 2004.
- [38] B. Peng, D. Liu, Z. Lü, R. Martí, and J. Ding. Adaptive memory programming for the dynamic bipartite drawing problem. *Inf. Sci.*, 517:183–197, 2020.
- [39] M. Schaefer. The graph crossing number and its variants: A survey. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics [electronic only]*, 20, 2013.
- [40] K. Sugiyama, S. Tagawa, and M. Toda. Methods for visual understanding of hierarchical system structures. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.*, 11(2):109–125, 1981.
- [41] L. C. Thévenin. Extension de la loi d’ohm aux circuits électromoteurs complexes. *Annales Télégraphiques*, 10:222–224, 1883. In French.
- [42] D. B. West. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Prentice-Hall, 2001.
- [43] H. Whitney. Congruent graphs and the connectivity of graphs. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 54(1):150–168, 1932.
- [44] A. Wigderson. The complexity of the Hamiltonian circuit problem for maximal planar graphs. *EECS Department Report 298, Princeton University*, 1982.