## Preface: New trends in first-passage methods and applications in the life sciences and engineering

Denis S. Grebenkov

E-mail: denis.grebenkov@polytechnique.edu Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée (UMR 7643), CNRS - Ecole Polytechnique, IP Paris, 91128 Palaiseau, France

## David Holcman

E-mail: david.holcman@ens.fr Applied Mathematics and Computational Biology, Ecole Normale Supérieure, 46 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France

## **Ralf Metzler**

E-mail: rmetzler@uni-potsdam.de Institute of Physics & Astronomy, University of Potsdam, D-14476 Potsdam-Golm,

Germany

When does a virus infecting a biological cell reach its nucleus, or how long does it take for an epidemic to spread to your town? When does your preferred stock asset cross a threshold price above which you decide to sell it? When will a gambler or an insurance company be ruined? Or finally, when will the next earthquake have a dangerously high magnitude? These and many other questions from science as well as our everyday life can be addressed within the concept of the *first-passage time* (FPT), also known as first-hitting time, first-crossing time, first-encounter time, first-exit time, and so on, depending on the precise context. In mathematical terms, we typically represent the above dynamics by a stochastic process  $X_t$ . That is, for lack of more detailed knowledge we view the stock price, the distance to the cell nucleus, the earthquake magnitude, etc., as randomly evolving with time. The first-passage time  $\tau$  is then the first moment when  $X_t$  exceeds a prescribed level x:  $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t > x\}$ . Due to the stochastic nature of  $X_t$ , the FPT is a random variable itself: one cannot predict precisely the date of the next market crash, but we can introduce a likelihood for the event that the first-passage event does not occur until the end of a given time interval. This likelihood is given by the survival probability  $S(t) = \Pr\{\tau > t\}$  that the first-passage event has not occurred up to time t. Relations between specific stochastic processes and their first-passage properties have been studied extensively over the last century, with applications in practically all disciplines, including mathematics, physics, chemistry, biology, epidemiology, ecology, geo-sciences, economics, and finances, to name but a few [1-13].

The first systematic derivation of the survival probability is attributed to

Smoluchowski, who studied the first-encounter of two diffusing spherical particles [14]. In his solution of the diffusion equation, we recognise the survival probability,

$$S(t) = 1 - \frac{R}{r} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{r-R}{\sqrt{4Dt}}\right),\tag{1}$$

where D is the sum of the diffusion coefficients of the two particles, R is the sum of particle radii, r is the initial distance between two particles, and  $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$  is the complementary error function. More generally, for a stochastic process governed by an elliptic second-order differential operator, the survival probability satisfies the associated backward Kolmogorov or Fokker-Planck equation [12, 13]. Explicit closedform solutions such as equation (1) are, however, quite rare. In favourable cases, the survival probability can be written as a spectral expansion over eigenfunctions of the governing operator which are known explicitly only for a limited number of relatively simple settings. For this reason, most studies focus on the asymptotic properties [15], such as the Sparre-Andersen theorem for Markovian processes with symmetric jump length distributions [16], escape from potential wells in chemical kinetics [17–20], shorttime heat kernel expansions [21–24], or Molchan's result for the long-time tail of the firstpassage density of a semi-infinite fractional Brownian motion [25]. Another quantity typically studied is the *mean* (or even the global mean) first-passage time (MFPT) and its inverse, the reaction rate constant. These are much easier to analyse and provide important information on the system's first-passage properties. Curiously, in Smoluchowski's problem of the diffusive particle encounter, the mean first-encounter time, as well as higher order moments, are infinite. This basic example illustrates that moments of the first-passage dynamics are not always informative.

Considerable progress has also been achieved over two past decades in the analysis of a related process, the so-called narrow escape problem. This scenario pictures a particle diffusing in an Euclidean domain (or on a manifold) and searches for a small escape window on the domain boundary [26, 27]. In chemical physics this problem is equivalent to searching for a reactive patch (target) on the otherwise reflecting (inert) surface. For instance, a particle may diffusively attempt to locate a small channel in a porous medium, to connect to the next pore, or a protein could search for a specific receptor on the inside of the plasma membrane of a biological cell. In particular, the asymptotic behaviour of the MFPT to a single or multiple windows or targets was thoroughly investigated [28–35]. One of the interesting recent steps in the understanding of the narrow escape problem is that the escape through such an escape window may be barrier-controlled rather than diffusion-controlled [36–38].

The literature of first-passage phenomena is immense. Thus a Web of Science search for "first-passage or first-hitting or first-arrival" reveals more than 7,000 hits. Nevertheless, our understanding of first-passage dynamics remains incomplete. As said earlier, most former studies are devoted to the MFPT, to some extent suggesting that the MFPT were the only relevant characteristic of the associated first-passage process. While this is indeed true in some cases, especially if one is only interested in the long-time description and for macroscopic chemical concentrations. However, recent works showed that the distribution of the FPT can become very broad and involves different characteristic time scales. For instance, the most probable FPT is many orders of magnitude smaller than the mean value in generic geometries [39–44], compare the example shown in figure 1. Relying only on the mean FPT, one can therefore strongly over-estimate the relevant timescales of search processes, chemical reactions, or biological events. Similarly, one may miss out on the crucial role of the initial distance between the diffusing particle and its target that is of relevance, for instance, in the context of gene regulation [45-47]. The decisive role of the partial reactivity of a target, especially for biochemical reactions, was put forward by Collins and Kimball in 1949 [48], but it still remains largely under-estimated [36, 49]. A finite lifetime of diffusing particles (so-called "mortal walkers", for instance, bacterial messanger RNA has a typical lifetime of few minutes) also reshapes the distribution of the first-passage times [50–53]. The broad ("defocused") distribution of first-passage times then also implies that two realisations of the process typically result in two very different firstpassage times [54, 55]. Moreover, the geometric complexity of confinement such as hierarchical or fractal-like porous media, molecular crowding in the cellular cytoplasm or in membranes, and scale-free structural organisation of networks, are known to considerably alter the first-passage dynamics of diffusing particles [56–58].

Yet another challenge for our understanding of first-passage processes is the parallel search by multiple diffusing particles. This could be relevant for the large number of spermatozoa searching for an egg cell [59], or for the case of a large number of calcium ions diffusing in the synaptic bouton to release neurotransmitters for interneuron communication. In this setting, either the smallest FPT (i.e., the FPT of the fastest particle) [52, 60–63], or the collective effect of several particles [64, 65] controls biological events.

This special issue combines several new perspectives in the understanding of first-passage processes and their applications. Thus, an important ongoing research direction consists in exploring first-passage properties of various stochastic processes beyond ordinary Brownian motion. Mangeat et al [66] further investigate first-passage dynamics for heterogeneous diffusion [67–70], and Grebenkov [71] reports new findings for first-passage in diffusing-diffusivity processes [72–74] and switching diffusion [75–77]. Lanoiselée and Grebenkov address the first-passage dynamics in subordinated diffusion processes [78]. Ben-Zvi et al report an extension of diffusion-reaction dynamics in twodimensional velocity fields and discuss applications in disordered media [79]. The paper by Pal et al [80] provides new results for local diffusion times in the context of stochastic resetting [81,82]. Durang et al [83] further generalise the parameters in resetting models. First-passage times in the context of mean-reverting processes [84] are studied in the paper by Martin *et al* [85]. Hartich and Godec present novel connections between functionals of first-passage times and extreme value statistics of confined stochastic processes [86]. The papers by Padash *et al* [87] and Giona *et al* [88] add new results to the field of Lévy flight and Lévy walk search processes [89–91] important, inter alia, for the spreading of diseases such as SARS following multi-scale human mobility




Figure 1. Probability density of the first-reaction time to a spherical target of radius  $\rho/R = 0.01$ , surrounded by a concentric reflecting sphere of radius R, for four progressively decreasing (from top to bottom) values of the dimensionless reactivity  $\kappa R/D$  indicated in the plot. The particle starts close to the target at r/R = 0.02. The coloured vertical arrows indicate the mean first-passage times for these cases. The vertical black dashed line indicates the crossover time  $t_c = 2(R - \rho)^2/(D\pi^2)$  to a plateau region with equiprobable realisations of the FPT, terminated by an exponential tail. Note the extremely broad range of relevant reaction times (the horizontal axis) spanning over 12 orders of magnitude. The coloured values of  $\kappa R/D$  in decreasing order from top to bottom. Each bar-code is split into ten regions of alternating brightness, representing ten 10%-quantiles of the distribution (e.g., the first dark blue region of the top bar-code in panel indicates that 10% of reaction events occur till  $Dt/R^2 \simeq 1$ ). Adapted from [43].

networks [92–94]. A quantum application of first-passage time theory is presented by Meidan *et al* [95]. Finally, the spreading of genetic modifications along DNA is analysed in the paper by Sandholtz *et al* [96].

From Bernoulli's studies of a gambler's ruin [97] over the timing of biochemical signalling in gene regulation [98] to the multi-scale spreading of diseases in the modern world [93] first-passage processes occur on all time and length scales. Despite this ubiquity, the exploration of first-passage dynamics is far from complete. This special issue provides new perspectives and results for various systems and scenarios. We hope that the papers compiled here will be useful for the scientific community applying first-passage theories in their fields, as well as that they will inspire scientists working in the field of first-passage modelling.

## References

- [1] Schuss Z 1980 Theory and Applications of Stochastic Differential Equations (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics Applied Probability and Statistics Section).
- Schuss Z 2010 Theory and applications of stochastic processes. An analytical approach (Springer, New York).
- [3] Rice S 1985 Diffusion-Limited Reactions (Elsevier, Amsterdam).
- [4] Metzler R, Oshanin G, and Redner S (Eds.) 2014 First-passage phenomena and their applications (World Scientific Press, New Jersey).
- [5] Lindenberg K, Metzler R, and Oshanin G (Eds.) 2019 Chemical Kinetics: Beyond the Textbook (World Scientific, New Jersey).
- [6] Bouchaud J-P and Potters M 2003 Theory of Financial Risk and Derivative Pricing : From Statistical Physics to Risk Management (2nd Ed) (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
- [7] Bénichou O, Chevalier C, Klafter J, Meyer B, and Voituriez R 2010 Nature Chem. 2 472-477
- [8] Bressloff PC and Newby J 2013 Rev. Mod. Phys. 85 135-196
- [9] Bray AJ, Majumdar SN, and Schehr G 2013 Adv. Phys. 62 225-361
- [10] Bénichou O and Voituriez R 2014 Phys. Rep. 539 225-284
- [11] Holcman D and Schuss Z 2017 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor 50 1-45
- [12] Redner S 2001 A Guide to First Passage Processes (Cambridge: Cambridge University press).
- [13] Gardiner CW 1985 Handbook of Stochastic Methods for Physics, Chemistry and the Natural Sciences (Springer: Berlin).
- [14] Smoluchowski M 1917 Z. Phys. Chem. 92 129-168.
- [15] O'Malley RE 2014 Historical Developments in Singular Perturbations (Springer)
- [16] Sparre Andersen E 1953 Math. Scand. 1 263-285
- [17] Kramers HA 1940 Physica 7 284
- [18] Schuss Z and Matkowsky BJ 1979 SIAM J. Appl. Math. 36 604-623
- [19] Matkowsky BJ and Schuss Z 1981 SIAM J. Appl. Math. 40 242-254
- [20] Hänggi P, Talkner P, and Borkovec M 1990 Reaction-rate theory: fifty years after Kramers, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62 251
- [21] Davies EB 1989 Heat kernels and spectral theory, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
- [22] Gilkey PB 2004 Asymptotic Formulae in Spectral Geometry (Chapman and Hall CRC, Boca Raton)
- [23] Schuss Z and Spivak A 2005 SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66 339-360
- [24] Grebenkov DG and Nguyen B-T 2013 SIAM Rev. 55 601-667
- [25] Molchan GM 1999 Commun. Math. Phys. 205, 97
- [26] Holcman D and Schuss Z 2014 SIAM Rev. 56 213-257
- [27] Holcman D and Schuss Z 2015 Stochastic Narrow Escape in Molecular and Cellular Biology (Springer, New York).
- [28] Holcman D and Schuss Z 2004 J. Stat. Phys. 117 975-1014
- [29] Schuss Z, Singer A, and Holcman D 2007 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 104 16098
- [30] Reingruber J, Abad E, and Holcman D 2009 J. Chem. Phys. 130 094909
- [31] Pillay S, Ward MJ, Peirce A, and Kolokolnikov T 2010 SIAM Multi. Model. Simul. 8 803-835 (2010).
- [32] Cheviakov AF, Ward MJ, and Straube R 2010 SIAM Multi. Model. Simul. 8 836-870
- [33] Marshall JS 2016 J. Stat. Phys. 165 920-952
- [34] Grebenkov DS 2016 Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 260201
- [35] Lindsay AE, Bernoff AJ, and Ward MJ 2017 Multiscale Model. Simul. 15 74-109
- [36] Grebenkov DS and Oshanin G 2017 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 19 2723-2739
- [37] Wang DP, Wu HC, Liu LC, Chen JZ and Schwartz DK 2019 Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 118002
- [38] Reingruber J and Holcman D 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 148102
- [39] Margolin G. and Barkai E 2005 Phys. Rev. E 72 025101R
- [40] Godec A and Metzler R 2016 Sci. Rep. 6 20349

- [41] Godec A and Metzler R 2016 Phys. Rev. X 6 041037
- [42] Grebenkov DS, Metzler R, and Oshanin G 2018 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 20 16393-16401
- [43] Grebenkov DS, Metzler R, and Oshanin G 2018 Commun. Chem. 1 96
- [44] Grebenkov DS, Metzler R, and Oshanin G 2019 New J. Phys. 21 122001
- [45] Képès F 2004 J. Mol. Biol. **340** 957
- [46] Kolesov G, Wunderlich Z, Laikova ON, Gelfand MS and Mirny LA 2007 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104 13948
- [47] Pulkkinen O and Metzler R 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 198101
- [48] Collins FC and Kimball GE 1949 J. Coll. Sci. 4 425-437
- [49] Grebenkov DG 2019 "Imperfect Diffusion-Controlled Reactions", in *Chemical Kinetics: Beyond the Textbook*, Eds. K. Lindenberg, R. Metzler, and G. Oshanin (World Scientific, New Jersey).
- [50] Holcman D, Marchewka A, and Schuss Z 2005 Phys. Rev. E 72 031910
- [51] Yuste S, Abad E, and Lindenberg K 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 220603
- [52] Meerson B and Redner S 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 198101
- [53] Grebenkov DS and Rupprecht J-F 2017 J. Chem. Phys. 146 084106
- [54] Mejía-Monasterio C, Oshanin G and Schehr G 2011 J. Stat. Mech. 2011 P06022
- [55] Mattos T, Mejía-Monasterio C, Metzler R and Oshanin G 2012 Phys. Rev. E 86 031143
- [56] Condamin S, Bénichou O, Tejedor V, Voituriez R, and Klafter J 2007 Nature 450 77-80
- [57] Nguyen B-T and Grebenkov DS 2010 J. Stat. Phys. 141 532-554
- [58] Ghosh SK, Cherstvy AG, Grebenkov DG, and Metzler R 2016 New J. Phys. 18 013027
- [59] Yang J, Kupka I, Schuss Z, and Holcman D 2016 J. Math. Biol. 73 423-446
- [60] Weiss GH, Shuler KE, and Lindenberg K 1983 J. Stat. Phys. 31 255-278
- [61] Schuss Z, Basnayake K, and Holcman D 2019 Phys. Life Rev. 28 52-79
- [62] Lawley SD and Madrid JB 2020 J. Nonlin. Sci. (DOI: 10.1007/s00332-019-09605-9)
- [63] Lawley SD 2020 Phys. Rev. E 101 012413
- [64] Grebenkov DG 2017 J. Chem. Phys. 147 134112
- [65] Lawley SD and Madrid JB 2019 J. Chem. Phys. 150 214113
- [66] Mangeat M and Rieger H 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 424002
- [67] Vaccario G, Antoine C, and Talbot J 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 240601
- [68] Grebenkov DG and Tupikina L 2018 Phys. Rev. E 97 012148
- [69] Tupikina L and Grebenkov DG 2019 Appl. Net. Sci. 4 16
- [70] Cherstvy AG, Chechkin AV and Metzler R 2014 Soft Matter 10 1591
- [71] Grebenkov DG 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 174001
- [72] Jain R and Sebastian KL 2016 J. Phys. Chem. B 120 9215-9222
- [73] Lanoiselée Y, Moutal N and Grebenkov DS 2018 Nature Commun. 9 4398
- [74] Sposini V, Chechkin A, and Metzler R 2019 J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor. 52 04LT01
- [75] Bressloff PC 2017 J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor. 50 133001
- [76] Sungkaworn T, Jobin M-L, Burnecki K, Weron A, Lohse MJ and Calebiro D 2017 Nature 550 543-547
- [77] Godec A and Metzler R 2017 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50 084001
- [78] Lanoiselée Y and Grebenkov DS 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 304001
- [79] Ben-Zvi R, Scher H, and Berkowitz B 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 424005
- [80] Pal A, Chatterjee R, Reuveni S, and Kunduet A 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 264002
- [81] Evans MR and Majumdar SN 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 160601
- [82] Chechkin A and Sokolov IM 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 050601
- [83] Durang X, Lee S, Lizana L, and Jeon J-H 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 224001
- [84] Grebenkov DG 2015 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 48 013001
- [85] Martin RJ, Kearney MJ, and Craster RV 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 134001
- [86] Hartich D and Godec A 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 244001
- [87] Padash A, Chechkin AV, Dybiec B, Pavlyukevich I, Shokri B and Metzler R 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 454004

- [88] Giona M, D'Ovidio M, Cocco D, Cairoli A, and Klages R 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 384001
- [89] Viswanathan GM, da Luz MGE, Raposo EP and Stanley HE 2011 The Physics of Foraging (Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press)
- [90] Palyulin VV, Chechkin AV and Metzler R 2014 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111 2931
- [91] Palyulin VV, Blackburn G, Lomholt MA, Watkins N, Metzler R, Klages R and Chechkin AV 2019 New J. Phys. 21 103028
- [92] Brockmann D, Hufnagel L, and Geisel T 2006 Nature 439 462
- [93] Hufnagel L, Brockmann D and Geisel T 2004 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 15124
- [94] Brockmann D and Helbing D 2013 Science 342 1337
- [95] Meidan D, Barkai E, and Kessler DA 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 354001
- [96] Sandholtz SH, Beltran BG, and Spakowitz AJ 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 434001
- [97] Scher H, Shlesinger MF, and Bendler JT 1991 Phys. Today 44 26
- [98] Kolomeisky AB 2011 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 13 2088