

Strong interaction of doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons with light vector mesons

K. Azizi^{1,2,4}, A. R. Olamaei^{3,4}, S. Rostami¹

¹ Department of Physics, University of Tehran, North Karegar Ave. Tehran 14395-547, Iran

² Department of Physics, Doğuş University, Acibadem-Kadiköy, 34722 Istanbul, Turkey

³ Department of Physics, Jahrom University, Jahrom, P. O. Box 74137-66171, Jahrom, Iran

⁴ School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),
P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

We calculate the strong coupling constants among the doubly heavy spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ baryons Ξ_{QQ}^* and Ω_{QQ}^* , with Q and Q' being c or b quark, with light vector meson by means of the light-cone QCD sum rules. The matrix elements defining these vertices are described by four coupling constants g_1 , g_2 , g_3 , and g_4 . The unwanted pollution coming from the doubly heavy spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryons are removed by a special ordering of Dirac matrices and selection of appropriate Lorentz structures. The strong coupling constants are basic parameters that carry information on the nature of the strong interaction among hadronic multiplets. Investigation of these parameters may help physicists in the construction of the strong potentials among the doubly heavy baryons and light vector mesons. The values obtained for the strong coupling constants may also help experimental groups in analyses of the data produced at various hadron colliders.

1 Introduction

Studies on the spectroscopic and various decay parameters as well as internal structures of doubly heavy baryons constitute one of the directions of active research in particle physics. In the last two decades, many aspects of these baryons which consist of two heavy and one light quarks, have been studied both theoretically and experimentally [1–33]. From the experimental point of view, a new era began in the studies of double charm baryons when the SELEX Collaboration at Fermilab reported the observation of Ξ_{cc}^+ baryon in the decay modes $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$ and $p D^+ K^-$ with mass $M_{\Xi_{cc}^+} = (3518.7 \pm 1.7) \text{ MeV}$ [22, 23]. However, in the years that followed, other collaborations like FOCUS [24], BaBar [25], LHCb [26], and Belle [27] failed to observe such a state with the properties that the SELEX observed. Finally, the LHCb Collaboration discovered the double charm state Ξ_{cc}^{++} in 2017 [28] and confirmed via measuring the decay channel $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$ [34]. The extracted mass of the Ξ_{cc}^{++} state was given as $3621.24 \pm 0.65(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.31(\text{syst.}) \text{ MeV}/c^2$. Brodsky et al. [35] found that despite the difference between the LHCb and SELEX values for the mass (about $100 \text{ MeV}/c^2$), both can be correct with application of supersymmetric algebra to hadron spectroscopy, together with the intrinsic heavy-quark QCD mechanism for the hadroproduction of heavy hadrons at large x_F .

Recently, with a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb^{-1} , the $\Xi_{bc}^0 \rightarrow D^0 p K^-$ decay has been searched for by the LHCb and no evidence for a signal is found [36]. Of course, the story will not end here and experiments will continue. Future searches at LHCb with improved trigger conditions, and larger data samples will further improve the sensitivity of doubly heavy baryon signals.

Given that more doubly heavy baryons are expected to be discovered experimentally in the future, theoretical studies on different properties of them are needed to provide many inputs to experiments. We hope that not only we will be able to identify the ground state doubly heavy baryons predicted by the quark model [37–39], but also will find more information on the resonances beyond the ground state particles. Heretofore, lattice QCD [40], Quark Spin Symmetry [41, 42], QCD Sum Rules [29–31, 43, 44] are just some of the attempts that have been made to predict masses, residues, decay modes, lifetimes, strong coupling constants, and other properties of doubly heavy baryons.

In the present work, we aim to study the strong coupling constants among the doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons $\Xi_{QQ'}^*, \Omega_{QQ'}^*$ and light vector mesons ρ, ω, ϕ , and K^* . The formation of hadrons takes place at low energy scale, which belongs to the nonperturbative regime of QCD. The strong coupling constants among the hadrons are basic quantities that appear when the hadrons meet each other at colliders. For the calculations of these fundamental parameters, one can not use the fundamental Lagrangian of QCD and needs some nonperturbative approaches. One of the most powerful approaches in this respect is the QCD sum rules method [45]. In the present work, we investigate the strong coupling constants of the doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons with light vector mesons within the light cone QCD sum rule's (LCSR) framework. The LCSR approach adopts the operator product expansion (OPE) near the light cone $x^2 \approx 0$ instead of the short distance $x \approx 0$, whose matrix elements are parametrized by the hadronic DA's which are classified according to their twists [46–48]. Note that the strong coupling constants among the spin-1/2 baryons and light mesons are investigated in [44, 49–51].

The plan for this article is as follows. In next section, we review the formalism of deriving the sum rules for the strong coupling constants in the LCSR using both the

Baryon	q	Q	Q'
$\Xi_{QQ'}^*$	u or d	b or c	b or c
$\Omega_{QQ'}^*$	s	b or c	b or c

Table 1: The quark content of the doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons.

physical and QCD representations of the correlation function (CF). In Section 3, the numerical analysis and numerical results for the strong coupling constants are presented. Section 4 is devoted to a brief summary and conclusion.

2 LCSR for the strong coupling constants

In this section, we aim to construct the LCSR for the strong coupling constants of the doubly heavy baryons $\Xi_{QQ'}^*$ and $\Omega_{QQ'}^*$ with light vector mesons. To this end, the main inputs are the interpolating currents of the doubly heavy baryons in terms of the quark fields inside them. In a compact form, the interpolating current for spin-3/2 doubly heavy baryons can be written as

$$\eta_\mu(x) = \frac{\epsilon_{abc}}{\sqrt{3}} \left\{ [q^{aT}(x)C\gamma_\mu Q^b(x)]Q'^c(x) + [q^{aT}(x)C\gamma_\mu Q'^b(x)]Q^c(x) \right. \\ \left. + [Q^{aT}(x)C\gamma_\mu Q'^b(x)]q^c(x) \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where ϵ stands for the anti-symmetric Levi-Civita tensor with a, b and c being the color indexes. C and T are the charge conjugation and transposition operators, respectively. The quark contents for the doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons family are shown in Table 1.

To derive LCSR for the strong vertices of $\Xi_{QQ'}^*$ or $\Omega_{QQ'}^*$ baryons with the light vector mesons ρ, K^*, ω or ϕ , the starting point is to consider the following CF:

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle V(q) | \mathcal{T} \{ \eta_\mu(x) \bar{\eta}_\nu(0) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where the time-ordered product of two currents located between an on-shell vector meson state $V(q)$ with four momentum q and the hadronic vacuum. p is the external four-momentum of the outgoing doubly heavy baryon, and $\eta(x)$ and $\bar{\eta}(0)$ are interpolating currents for doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons. This correlation function is calculated both at hadronic (low energy) and QCD (high energy) levels:

- At the hadron level (in the time-like region), the CF is calculated by inserting complete sets of baryonic states with the same quantum numbers as the interpolating currents and isolating the ground state contribution. It is called the phenomenological or physical representation of the CF.
- At the QCD level (in the space-like region), the CF is calculated in terms of QCD degrees of freedom by using the operator product expansion (OPE). It is known as the QCD or theoretical representation of the CF.

By matching these two representations via a dispersion relation, we can get the desired sum rules. By applying the Borel transformation and continuum subtraction procedures one can remove the divergences coming from the dispersion integrals as well as suppress the contributions of the higher states and continuum.

Thus, in the hadronic representation, complete sets of baryonic states are placed in the CF. By performing the Fourier integration over x and isolating the ground state contribution we get

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\text{Phys.}}(p, q) = \frac{\langle 0 | \eta_\mu | B_2^*(p, r) \rangle \langle B_2^*(p, r) V(q) | B_1^*(p+q, s) \rangle \langle B_1^*(p+q, s) | \bar{\eta}_\mu | 0 \rangle}{(p^2 - m_2^2)[(p+q)^2 - m_1^2]} + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where dots correspond to the contribution of the higher states and continuum. $B_1^*(p+q, s)$ and $B_2^*(p, r)$ are the initial and final doubly heavy baryons with spins s and r , respectively. To proceed, we introduce

$$\langle 0 | \eta_\mu | B_i^*(p, s) \rangle = \lambda_{B_i^*} u_\mu(p, s), \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda_{B_i^*}$ acts as the residue and $u_\mu(p, s)$ are the Rarita-Schwinger spinor for the baryon B_i^* with momentum p and spin s . Using the Lorentz and parity symmetries one can define the matrix element $\langle B_2^*(p, r) V(q) | B_1^*(p+q, s) \rangle$ as (see for instance Refs. [52, 53]):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B_2^*(p, r) V(q) | B_1^*(p+q, s) \rangle = \bar{u}_\alpha(p, r) \left\{ g^{\alpha\beta} \left[\not{\epsilon} g_1 + 2(p \cdot \epsilon) \frac{g_2}{m_1 + m_2} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{q^\alpha q^\beta}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \left[\not{\epsilon} g_3 + 2(p \cdot \epsilon) \frac{g_4}{m_1 + m_2} \right] \right\} u_\beta(p+q, s), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

in terms of four strong coupling form factors g_1, g_2, g_3 and g_4 . Here ϵ_μ is the polarization four-vector of the vector meson $V(q)$.

Inserting Eqs. 5 and 4 into Eq. 3, since the baryons are considered as un-polarized, the next stage is to sum over the spins of the incoming and outgoing baryons which can be done using the following completeness relation:

$$\sum_s u_\mu(p, s) \bar{u}_\nu(p, s) = (\not{p} + m) \left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \frac{2p_\mu p_\nu}{3m^2} + \frac{p_\mu \gamma_\nu - p_\nu \gamma_\mu}{3m} \right). \quad (6)$$

Now, it is necessary to note two important points. First, one should note that the appearing structures are not all independent. The second point is that there are contributions from spin-1/2 states due to the coupling of the interpolating current η_μ to them via

$$\langle 0 | \eta_\mu | 1/2(p) \rangle = A \left(\gamma_\mu - \frac{4}{m} p_\mu \right) u(p). \quad (7)$$

Therefore one can find out from the above equation that the structures proportional to γ_μ from the left or γ_ν from the right, and also the ones proportional to p_μ and $(p+q)_\nu$ receive contributions from the unwanted spin-1/2 states which have to be removed. Both requirements can be fulfilled by reordering the Dirac matrices. In this work we

choose $\gamma_\mu \not{q} \not{p} \gamma_\nu$ as the suitable ordering and remove the spin-1/2 pollution using the above prescription.

Putting all the above things together, the final form of the physical side of the CF is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\text{Phys.}}(p, q) = & \frac{\lambda_{B_1^*} \lambda_{B_2^*}}{[(p+q)^2 - m_1^2](p^2 - m_2^2)} \left\{ 2(\varepsilon \cdot p) g_{\mu\nu} \not{q} \left[g_1 + g_2 \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \right] \right. \\ & - 2(\varepsilon \cdot p) g_{\mu\nu} \not{q} \not{p} \frac{g_2}{m_1 + m_2} + q_\mu q_\nu \not{q} \not{p} \frac{g_3}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} - 2(\varepsilon \cdot p) q_\mu q_\nu \not{q} \not{p} \frac{g_4}{(m_1 + m_2)^3} \\ & \left. + \text{other structures} + \dots \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

To acquire the sum rules for the strong coupling constants g_i , we choose the coefficients of the structures $(\varepsilon \cdot p) g_{\mu\nu} \not{q}$, $(\varepsilon \cdot p) g_{\mu\nu} \not{q} \not{p}$, $q_\mu q_\nu \not{q} \not{p}$ and $(\varepsilon \cdot p) q_\mu q_\nu \not{q} \not{p}$ from both the hadronic and QCD sides.

The theoretical (QCD) side of the CF is calculated in deep Euclidean region, where $-p^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $-(p+q)^2 \rightarrow \infty$. To separate the perturbative and non-perturbative contributions we employ the OPE. The non-perturbative inputs in LCSR are distribution amplitudes (DAs) of the corresponding light vector mesons which can be found in [54–56].

To proceed in QCD side, we insert the interpolating current **1** to the CF **2** and use the Wick theorem to find

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\text{QCD}} \right)_{\rho\tau}(p, q) = & \frac{i}{3} \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon_{a'b'c'} \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle V(q) | \bar{q}_\alpha^c(0) q_\beta^c(x) | 0 \rangle \left\{ \delta_{\alpha\rho} \delta_{\beta\tau} \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{S}_Q^{aa'}(x) \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_\nu \right) \right. \\ & + \delta_{\alpha\rho} \left(\gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_Q^{aa'}(x) \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \right)_{\beta\tau} + \delta_{\alpha\rho} \left(\gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_\mu S_Q^{aa'}(x) \right)_{\beta\tau} \\ & + \delta_{\beta\tau} \left(S_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_Q^{aa'}(x) \gamma_\mu \right)_{\rho\alpha} + \left(\gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_Q^{aa'}(x) \gamma_\mu \right)_{\beta\alpha} \left(S_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \right)_{\rho\tau} \\ & - \left(C \gamma_\mu S_Q^{aa'}(x) \right)_{\alpha\tau} \left(S_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_\nu C \right)_{\rho\beta} - \delta_{\beta\tau} \left(S_Q^{aa'}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_\mu \right)_{\rho\alpha} \\ & \left. - \left(C \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \right)_{\alpha\tau} \left(S_Q^{aa'}(x) \gamma_\nu C \right)_{\rho\beta} + \left(\gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_\mu \right)_{\beta\alpha} \left(S_Q^{aa'}(x) \right)_{\rho\tau} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

in terms of the heavy quark propagators, $S_{Q'}^{aa'}(x)$. Here, $\tilde{S} = C S^T C$ and the main non-perturbative contributions are packed in the non-local matrix elements $\langle V(q) | \bar{q}_\alpha^c(x) q_\beta^c(0) | 0 \rangle$, which can be expressed in terms of DAs of the light vector meson $V(q)$.

The heavy quark propagator can be written in terms of the free and interacting parts as

$$\begin{aligned} S_Q^{aa'}(x) = & \frac{m_Q^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{K_1(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2})}{\sqrt{-x^2}} \delta^{aa'} - i \frac{m_Q^2 \not{x}}{4\pi^2 x^2} K_2(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2}) \delta^{aa'} \\ & - i g_s \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \int_0^1 du \left[\frac{\not{k} + m_Q}{2(m_Q^2 - k^2)^2} \sigma^{\lambda\theta} G_{\lambda\theta}^{aa'}(ux) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{u}{m_Q^2 - k^2} x^\lambda \gamma^\theta G_{\lambda\theta}^{aa'}(ux) \right] + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the first two terms represent the propagation of free quark, in which K_1 and K_2 are the modified Bessel functions of the second kind. The rest, which $\sim G_{\lambda\theta}^{aa'}$, represent the interacting part. Here $G_{\lambda\theta}^{aa'}$ is the gluon strength tensor with the shorthand notation

$$G_{\lambda\theta}^{aa'} \equiv G_{\lambda\theta}^A t_A^{aa'}, \quad (11)$$

where λ and θ are Minkowski indices, $t_A = \lambda_A/2$ and $\lambda_A^{aa'}$ is the aa' th array of the Gell-Mann tensor λ_A in which $A = 1, 2, \dots, 8$.

As the heavy quark propagator 10 consists of both free and gluonic terms, it leads to several contributions in the CF as said. The leading order contribution is obtained by replacing both the heavy quark propagators with their free parts

$$S_Q^{aa'(\text{pert.})}(x) = \frac{m_Q^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{K_1(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})}{\sqrt{-x^2}} \delta^{aa'} - i \frac{m_Q^2 \not{x}}{4\pi^2 x^2} K_2(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2}) \delta^{aa'}. \quad (12)$$

It represents a bare loop with no gluon exchange and the non-local matrix elements in this case can be expressed in terms of two-particle DAs of the light vector meson $V(q)$. Inserting the free part of one heavy quark propagator and the gluonic part of the other, which is

$$S_Q^{aa'(\text{non-p.})}(x) = -ig_s \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \int_0^1 du G_{\mu\nu}^{aa'}(ux) \Delta_Q^{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (13)$$

where $\Delta_Q^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is

$$\Delta_Q^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2(m_Q^2 - k^2)^2} \left[(\not{k} + m_Q) \sigma^{\mu\nu} + 2u(m_Q^2 - k^2) x^\mu \gamma^\nu \right], \quad (14)$$

leads to the contribution which is responsible for the exchange of one gluon between one of the heavy quarks and the light vector meson and can be calculated using three-particle DAs of the corresponding vector meson. The contribution coming from considering the gluonic parts of both the heavy quarks propagators representing the exchange of two gluons, leads to four-particle DAs which are not available yet and therefore we ignore it in the present study. However, we consider the two-gluon condensate contributions.

The non-local matrix elements can be calculated using the Fierz identities. For the quark part we have

$$\bar{q}_\alpha^{c'}(0) q_\beta^c(x) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{12} (\Gamma_J)_{\beta\alpha} \delta^{cc'} \bar{q}(0) \Gamma^J q(x), \quad (15)$$

and we use similar identity for the gluonic part. Here, Γ^J runs over all possible γ -matrices as

$$\Gamma^J = \mathbf{1}, \gamma_5, \gamma_\mu, i\gamma_5\gamma_\mu, \sigma_{\mu\nu}/\sqrt{2}. \quad (16)$$

As a result of the above procedure, there appear the following two- and three-particle matrix elements

$$\langle V(q) | \bar{q}(0) \Gamma_J q(x) | 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle V(q) | \bar{q}(0) \Gamma_J G_{\lambda\theta}(ux) q(x) | 0 \rangle, \quad (17)$$

which can be expressed in terms of DAs of different twists. The expansion of the above matrix elements in terms of DAs as well as the explicit forms of the DAs for the vector mesons with different twists can be found in Refs. [54–56].

Now, we briefly explain how different contributions to the corresponding form factors are calculated. The leading order contribution of the CF 9, which is obtained by replacing both the heavy quark propagators with the perturbative terms, is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\text{QCD}(0)}\right)_{\rho\tau}(p, q) &= \frac{i}{6} \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle V(q) | \bar{q}(0) \Gamma^J q(x) | 0 \rangle \left\{ \left(\Gamma_J\right)_{\rho\tau} \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{S}_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \right) \right. \\
&+ \left(\Gamma_J \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} + \left(\Gamma_J \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu S_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} \\
&+ \left(S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu \Gamma_J\right)_{\rho\tau} + \text{Tr} \left(\Gamma_J \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu \right) \left(S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} \\
&- \left(S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\Gamma}_J \gamma_\mu S_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} - \left(S_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu \Gamma_J\right)_{\rho\tau} \\
&- \left(S_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\Gamma}_J \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} + \text{Tr} \left(\Gamma_J \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu \right) \left(S_Q^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} \left. \right\}, \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

where the superscript (0) indicates zero gluon exchange. One can obtain the contribution of one gluon exchange (say between the heavy quark Q and the vector meson) by replacing one heavy quark propagator (Q') with its perturbative free terms and the other (Q) with its nonperturbative gluonic terms as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\text{QCD}(1)}\right)_{\rho\tau}(p, q) &= \frac{-ig_s}{96} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ik \cdot x} \int_0^1 du \langle V(q) | \bar{q}(0) \Gamma^J G_{\lambda\delta} q(x) | 0 \rangle \left\{ \left(\Gamma_J\right)_{\rho\tau} \right. \\
&\times \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x) \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \right) + \left(\Gamma_J \gamma_\nu \tilde{\Delta}_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x) \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} \\
&+ \left(\Gamma_J \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu \Delta_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} + \left(S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\Delta}_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x) \gamma_\mu \Gamma_J\right)_{\rho\tau} \\
&+ \text{Tr} \left(\gamma_\nu \tilde{\Delta}_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x) \gamma_\mu \Gamma_J \right) \left(S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} - \left(S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\Gamma}_J \gamma_\mu \Delta_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} \\
&- \left(\Delta_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu \Gamma_J\right)_{\rho\tau} - \left(\Delta_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\Gamma}_J \gamma_\mu S_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} \\
&+ \left. \text{Tr} \left(\Gamma_J \gamma_\nu \tilde{S}_{Q'}^{(\text{pert.})}(x) \gamma_\mu \right) \left(\Delta_Q^{\lambda\delta}(x)\right)_{\rho\tau} \right\}, \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

where the superscript (1) indicates one gluon exchange contribution. The contribution of exchanging gluon between the heavy quark Q' and light vector meson can be found by simply exchanging Q and Q' in the above equation.

In calculation of the theoretical sides 18 and 19, one may run into some kinds of configurations which have the general forms of

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{[\dots, \alpha, \alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\gamma, \dots]}(p, q) &= i \int d^4x \int_0^1 dv \int \mathcal{D}\alpha e^{ip \cdot x} (x^2)^n [e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)q \cdot x} \mathcal{G}(\alpha_i), e^{iq \cdot x} f(u)] \\
&\times [1, x_\alpha, x_\alpha x_\beta, x_\alpha x_\beta x_\gamma, \dots] K_{n_1}(m_1 \sqrt{-x^2}) K_{n_2}(m_2 \sqrt{-x^2}), \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

where the expressions in the brackets indicate different configurations resulting from calculations. In the first bracket, the first and second expressions come from the two

and three particle DAs respectively. The blank subscript on the LHS indicates no x_α in the corresponding configuration and the measure $\mathcal{D}\alpha$ is defined as

$$\int \mathcal{D}\alpha = \int_0^1 d\alpha_q \int_0^1 d\alpha_{\bar{q}} \int_0^1 d\alpha_g \delta(1 - \alpha_q - \alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_g).$$

Here we use the cosine representation of the Bessel function as follows:

$$K_n(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2}) = \frac{\Gamma(n + 1/2) 2^n}{\sqrt{\pi} m_Q^n} \int_0^\infty dt \cos(m_Q t) \frac{(\sqrt{-x^2})^n}{(t^2 - x^2)^{n+1/2}}. \quad (21)$$

There are several representations of the Bessel functions and it is shown in [43] that the cosine representation makes the calculations straightforward and more suitable for the Borel transformation. In this step, we perform the x -integration and use

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2)^n &= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{d\beta^n} (e^{-\beta x^2})|_{\beta=0}, \\ x_\alpha e^{iP \cdot x} &= (-i) \frac{d}{dP^\alpha} e^{iP \cdot x}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

After this stage, one needs to perform the double Borel transformation with respect to $(p+q)^2$ and p^2 via

$$\mathcal{B}_{(p+q)^2}(M_1^2) \mathcal{B}_{p^2}(M_2^2) e^{b(p+uq)^2} = M^2 \delta(b + \frac{1}{M^2}) \delta(u_0 - u) e^{\frac{-q^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}}, \quad (23)$$

where $u_0 = M_1^2/(M_1^2 + M_2^2)$ and $\frac{1}{M^2} = \frac{1}{M_1^2} + \frac{1}{M_2^2}$. The details of the calculation can be found in [43]. For example, for the configuration

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta}(p, q) &= i \int d^4x \int_0^1 dv \int \mathcal{D}\alpha e^{i[p + (\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)q] \cdot x} \mathcal{G}(\alpha_i) (x^2)^n \\ &\times x_\alpha x_\beta K_\mu(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2}) K_\nu(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta}(M^2) &= \frac{i\pi^2 2^{4-\mu-\nu} e^{\frac{-q^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}}}{M^2 m_{Q_1}^{2\mu} m_{Q_2}^{2\nu}} \int \mathcal{D}\alpha \int_0^1 dv \int_0^1 dz \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \beta^n} e^{-\frac{m_1^2 \bar{z} + m_2^2 z}{z\bar{z}(M^2 - 4\beta)}} z^{\mu-1} \bar{z}^{\nu-1} (M^2 - 4\beta)^{\mu+\nu-1} \\ &\times \delta[u_0 - (\alpha_q + v\alpha_g)] \left[p_\alpha p_\beta + (v\alpha_g + \alpha_q)(p_\alpha q_\beta + q_\alpha p_\beta) + (v\alpha_g + \alpha_q)^2 q_\alpha q_\beta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{M^2}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

After completing the calculations in the hadronic and QCD sides in Borel scheme, we match the coefficients of the selected structures to obtain the desired sum rules as

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 + g_2 \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} &= \frac{1}{2\lambda_{B_{Q_1}^*} \lambda_{B_{Q_2}^*}} e^{\frac{m_1^2}{M_1^2} + \frac{m_2^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{m_V^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}} \Pi_A, \\ g_2 &= -\frac{m_1 + m_2}{2\lambda_{B_{Q_1}^*} \lambda_{B_{Q_2}^*}} e^{\frac{m_1^2}{M_1^2} + \frac{m_2^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{m_V^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}} \Pi_B, \\ g_3 &= -\frac{(m_1 + m_2)^2}{\lambda_{B_{Q_1}^*} \lambda_{B_{Q_2}^*}} e^{\frac{m_1^2}{M_1^2} + \frac{m_2^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{m_V^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}} \Pi_C, \\ g_4 &= -\frac{(m_1 + m_2)^3}{2\lambda_{B_{Q_1}^*} \lambda_{B_{Q_2}^*}} e^{\frac{m_1^2}{M_1^2} + \frac{m_2^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{m_V^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}} \Pi_D, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Parameters	Values
m_s	95_{-3}^{+9} MeV
m_c	$1.275_{-0.035}^{+0.025}$ GeV
m_b	$4.18_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$ GeV
m_ρ	775.26 ± 0.25 MeV
m_ω	782.65 ± 0.12 MeV
m_ϕ	1019.461 ± 0.016 MeV
m_{K^*0}	895.55 ± 0.020 MeV
$m_{\bar{K}^*0}$	895.55 ± 0.020 MeV
$m_{K^*\pm}$	891.76 ± 0.025 MeV

Table 2: The masses of quarks and vector mesons [57].

where the explicit forms of Π_A , Π_B , Π_C and Π_D functions are presented in the Appendix 4. As the mass of the initial and final baryons are the same/close, we set $M_1^2 = M_2^2 = 2M^2$ and $u_0 = 1/2$. To further suppress the contributions of the higher states and continuum we perform the subtraction procedure according to the standard prescriptions of the method. In this case, it is enough to set

$$e^{-\frac{m_1^2 \bar{z} + m_2^2 z}{M^2 z \bar{z}}} = e^{-s_0/M^2}, \quad (27)$$

where s_0 is the continuum threshold. This leads to

$$\int_0^1 dz \rightarrow \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} dz, \quad (28)$$

where z_{\max} and z_{\min} are given as

$$z_{\max(\min)} = \frac{1}{2s_0} \left[(s_0 + m_1^2 - m_2^2) + (-) \sqrt{(s_0 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 - 4m_1^2 s_0} \right]. \quad (29)$$

3 Numerical analyses

In this section, we will go over the numerical analyses of the sum rules for the strong coupling constants of the spin-3/2 doubly heavy baryons with light vector mesons. To this end, two sets of input parameters are needed. The first one corresponds to nonperturbative parameters coming from distribution amplitudes (DAs), associated with different twists normalized to the mass scale $\mu = 1$ GeV as well as the mass and decay constants of the light vector mesons. These parameters are gathered in tables 2 and 3. The second set corresponds to the masses and residues of the doubly heavy hadrons with spin-3/2. These parameters are taken from [30] and are listed in table 4.

The continuum threshold s_0 and the Borel parameter M^2 are the auxiliary parameters of the sum rules for the strong coupling constants that are needed to be fixed. Consequently, we need to locate the working region of these parameters, where the variations of the results concerning the changes in these parameters should be minimal.

Parameters	Values [MeV]
f_ρ^\parallel	216 ± 3
f_ω^\parallel	187 ± 5
$f_{K^*}^\parallel$	220 ± 5
f_ϕ^\parallel	215 ± 5
f_ρ^\perp	165 ± 9
f_ω^\perp	151 ± 9
$f_{K^*}^\perp$	185 ± 10
f_ϕ^\perp	186 ± 9

Table 3: The longitudinal (f^\parallel) and transverse (f^\perp) decay constants for the vector mesons ρ , ω , and ϕ [58]

Baryon	Mass [GeV]	Residue [GeV ³]
Ξ_{cc}^*	369 ± 0.16	0.12 ± 0.01
Ξ_{bc}^*	7.25 ± 0.20	0.15 ± 0.01
Ξ_{bb}^*	10.14 ± 1.0	0.22 ± 0.03
Ω_{cc}^*	3.78 ± 0.16	0.14 ± 0.02
Ω_{bc}^*	7.3 ± 0.2	0.18 ± 0.02
Ω_{bb}^*	10.5 ± 0.2	0.25 ± 0.03

Table 4: The masses and residues of spin-3/2 doubly heavy baryons [30].

The continuum threshold s_0 depends on the energy of the first excited state at each channel. There is no experimental information on the first excited states in the doubly heavy baryon channels, hence, we pick up the region $(m_{B^*} + 0.3)^2 \leq s_0 \leq (m_{B^*} + 0.7)^2$ GeV², where the variation of the results with respect to the threshold parameter are mild. Figs. 1, 2 and 3, as examples, display the dependence of the strong coupling constants g_1 , g_2 , g_3 and g_4 on the parameter s_0 for the vertices $\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \rho^\pm(\omega)$, $\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \rho^\pm(\omega)$ and $\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \rho^\pm(\omega)$ respectively. These figures reveal that the values of the strong coupling constants remain roughly unchanged at the presented region of s_0 .

In this step, we would like to fix the working region of the Borel parameter M^2 . The lower limit of M^2 is determined by the requirement of the OPE convergence. The upper limit of this parameter is obtained demanding the pole dominance, i.e., the pole contribution should be more than 50% of the total contribution for each of the selected structures. As a result of these requirements the Borel windows are determined to be $14 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M^2 \leq 18 \text{ GeV}^2$, $8 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M^2 \leq 11 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $4 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M^2 \leq 6 \text{ GeV}^2$ for bb , bc and cc channels, respectively. Figs. 4, 5 and 6, as examples, illustrate the dependence of the strong coupling constants g_1 , g_2 , g_3 and g_4 on the Borel mass M^2 for the vertices $\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \rho^\pm(\omega)$, $\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \rho^\pm(\omega)$ and $\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \rho^\pm(\omega)$, respectively at average values of the continuum threshold. Our analyses show that the variations of the strong coupling

constants with respect to M^2 are relatively small in the selected Borel window.

Using all the input values as well as the working intervals for the auxiliary parameters we find the numerical values for the strong coupling constants under study from the obtained sum rules for the strong coupling form factors at $q^2 = m_V^2$. The predicted results for g_1 , g_2 , g_3 and g_4 for all the considered vertices in bb , bc and cc channels are demonstrated in Table 5. The errors in the values can be attributed to the uncertainties in the calculations of the working regions for M^2 and s_0 , the errors in the masses and residues of the doubly heavy baryons and the uncertainties originating from the DAs parameters. Our results may be checked via different nonperturbative approaches.




Figure 1: The strong couplings g_i as functions of continuum threshold s_0 for the vertices $\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \rho^\pm$ and $\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \omega$ at $M^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$.




Figure 2: The strong couplings g_i as functions of continuum threshold s_0 for the vertices $\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \rho^{\pm}$ and $\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \omega$ at $M^2 = 9.5 \text{ GeV}^2$.

4 Summary and conclusions

After the observation of Ξ_{cc}^{++} by the LHCb collaboration, the possibility for identification of other doubly heavy baryons predicted by the quark model has increased. In parallel, many theoretical studies on different properties of doubly heavy baryons are performed. One of the important issues in hadron physics is to determine the strong coupling constants among different hadronic multiplets. In this connection, we studied the strong vertices of the spin-3/2 doubly heavy baryons with light vector ρ , K^* , ω and ϕ mesons. In the calculations that were done via LCSR, we used the interpolating currents of the baryons and DAs of the vector mesons. The correlation function in spin-3/2 channel receives contributions also from the spin-1/2 doubly heavy baryons, that were removed by an appropriate ordering of Dirac matrices and selection of Lorentz structure free from the unwanted contributions. Such vertices are parameterized in terms of four strong coupling form factors. The values of these strong form factors at $q^2 = m_V^2$ are the strong coupling form factors, which have been determined. These strong coupling form factors are basic quantities that carry information not only on the internal structure of the participating particles but also on the nature of strong interactions among the doubly heavy baryons and vector mesons. The values of these coupling constants may be used in the determination of the strong potentials among the hadronic multiplets. We hope that we will have more experimental data on different physical quantities of the doubly heavy baryons. Our results may help experimental groups in analyses of the




Figure 3: The strong couplings g_i as functions of continuum threshold s_0 for the vertices $\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \rho^\pm$ and $\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \omega$ at $M^2 = 16 \text{ GeV}^2$.

related data.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

K. Azizi and S. Rostami are thankful to Iran Science Elites Federation (Saramadan) for the financial support provided under the grant number ISEF/M/99171.




Figure 4: The strong couplings g_i as functions of the Borel parameter M^2 for the vertices $\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \rho^\pm$ and $\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \omega$ at $s_0 = 17.6 \text{ GeV}^2$.




Figure 5: The strong couplings g_i as functions of the Borel parameter M^2 for the vertices $\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \rho^\pm$ and $\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \omega$ at $s_0 = 60.1 \text{ GeV}^2$.




Figure 6: The strong couplings g_i as functions of the Borel parameter M^2 for the vertices $\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \rho^\pm$ and $\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \omega$ at $s_0 = 118.9 \text{ GeV}^2$.

Vertex	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4
$\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \rho^0$	$45.8^{2.5}_{2.0}$	$164.1^{5.9}_{2.0}$	162.6^{24}_{20}	$24.8^{4.1}_{3.6}$
$\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \rho^0$	$12.3^{0.4}_{0.3}$	$35.3^{1.0}_{0.7}$	$43.3^{8.1}_{6.5}$	$6.9^{0.8}_{0.8}$
$\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \rho^0$	$4.5^{0.5}_{0.4}$	$8.98^{1.4}_{1.3}$	$11.5^{0.7}_{0.5}$	$1.6^{0.04}_{0.04}$
$\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \rho^\pm$	$64.8^{3.5}_{2.8}$	$232.04^{8.4}_{5.6}$	$229.9^{34.5}_{29.2}$	$35.2^{5.8}_{5.2}$
$\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \rho^\pm$	$17.4^{0.6}_{0.4}$	$49.8^{1.5}_{1.1}$	$61.2^{11.4}_{9.3}$	$9.7^{1.2}_{1.1}$
$\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \rho^\pm$	$6.3^{0.7}_{0.6}$	$12.7^2_{1.8}$	$16.3^{1.0}_{0.7}$	$2.3^{0.06}_{0.06}$
$\Xi_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* \omega$	$56.7^{2.9}_{2.3}$	$207.5^{6.9}_{4.5}$	$200.9^{30.1}_{25.5}$	$34.2^{6.0}_{5.2}$
$\Xi_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* \omega$	$15.27^{2.9}_{2.3}$	$44.44^{1.1}_{0.9}$	53.46^{10}_8	$9.70^{1.4}_{1.3}$
$\Xi_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* \omega$	$5.6^{0.7}_{0.6}$	$11.3^{1.8}_{1.7}$	$14.2^{0.9}_{0.6}$	$2.2^{0.06}_{0.07}$
$\Omega_{bb}^* \Omega_{bb}^* \phi$	$62.7^{1.6}_{1.2}$	$215.5^{7.2}_{4.8}$	264.9^{44}_{37}	$33.1^{3.3}_{3.2}$
$\Omega_{bc}^* \Omega_{bc}^* \phi$	$14.2^{0.2}_{0.1}$	$37.3^{0.5}_{0.6}$	$60.2^{12.1}_{9.8}$	$5.2^{0.7}_{1.2}$
$\Omega_{cc}^* \Omega_{cc}^* \phi$	$6.5^{0.8}_{0.8}$	$10.1^{1.9}_{1.8}$	$18.5^{1.7}_{1.2}$	$1.5^{0.4}_{0.6}$
$\Omega_{bb}^* \Xi_{bb}^* K^{*0}$	$71.5^{3.3}_{2.6}$	251.8^9_6	262.8^4_3	$25.3^{1.6}_{1.7}$
$\Omega_{bc}^* \Xi_{bc}^* K^{*0}$	$18.1^{0.4}_{0.2}$	$49.0^{1.0}_{0.9}$	64.7^{12}_{10}	$3.9^{1.0}_{1.6}$
$\Omega_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* K^{*0}$	$7.4^{0.8}_{0.7}$	$13.1^{2.0}_{2.0}$	$18.5^{1.4}_{1.0}$	$1.0^{0.5}_{0.6}$
$\Xi_{bb}^* \Omega_{bb}^* \bar{K}^{*0}$	$72.7^{3.4}_{2.7}$	$251.6^{9.1}_{6.2}$	262.6^{41}_{35}	$25.3^{1.6}_{1.7}$
$\Xi_{bc}^* \Omega_{bc}^* \bar{K}^{*0}$	$18.2^{0.4}_{0.2}$	$49.0^{1.1}_{0.9}$	$64.7^{12.5}_{10.2}$	$4.0^{1.0}_{1.6}$
$\Xi_{cc}^* \Omega_{cc}^* \bar{K}^{*0}$	$7.6^{0.8}_{0.8}$	$13.1^{2.1}_{2.0}$	$18.4^{1.4}_{1.0}$	$1.1^{0.5}_{0.6}$

Table 5: Strong coupling constants of the doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons with light vector mesons obtained from the sum rules at $q^2 = m_V^2$.

Appendix A:

Invariant Functions Π_A , Π_B , Π_C and Π_D .

In this appendix, we give the explicit forms of the Π_A , Π_B , Π_C and Π_D functions. Each Π_i function can be written as

$$\Pi_i = \Pi_i^0 + \Pi_i^{GG}, \quad (30)$$

where the components $\Pi_{A,B,CD}^0$ and $\Pi_{A,B,CD}^{GG}$ for the $\Omega_{cc}^* \Xi_{cc}^* K^*$ vertex (as an example) are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_A^0 = & \frac{e^{-\frac{q^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}}}{24M^2\pi^2} \left\{ m_c M^2 \mathcal{A}^\perp(u_0) f_\rho^\perp m_\rho^2 (-m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c)) \right. \\ & - 2M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 2M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \left. + 2m_c M^2 f_\rho^\perp \left[2M^4 \phi^\perp(u_0) (\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) \right. \right. \\ & + m_\rho^2 \left(-6q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 3M^2 i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \right. \\ & - M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\ & + 4q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}(\alpha_i), 1) (\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{0,0}(m_c)) - 16M^2 u_0 \psi^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & + 4q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 5M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & + 8M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 3M^2 i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & - 2M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & + 2M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & + 2M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 6q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) (-\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{0,0}(m_c)) \\ & \left. \left. + 16M^2 u_0 \psi^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right) \right] + 2f_\rho^\parallel m_\rho \left[m_\rho^2 \left(12m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \right. \right. \\ & - 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 8m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\ & - 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\ & + 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\ & + 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 12m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\ & + 40m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 40m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\ & - 40m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 3m_c^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\ & - 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 8m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\ & - 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\ & + 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\ & + 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\ & + 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\ & - 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & + 20m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 4M^4 i_1(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & + 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 12M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\ & \left. + 16M^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 24M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 32M^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 12M^4 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 16M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 32M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 16M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 32M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 48M^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 48M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 48M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - m_c^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 5m_c^2 M^2 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + m_c^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 12m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 8m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 16m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 3m_c^2 M^2 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 3M^4 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 12m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 48M^4 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4M^4 i_1(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 12M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 16M^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 24M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 32M^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 16M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 24m_c^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 32M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 16M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 32M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 12M^4 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 48M^2 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 48M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 48M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 8M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 3m_c^2 M^2 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 3M^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 8M^2 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), v) (m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) + 4M^2 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1) (-m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) - m_c^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{2,-2}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) - 12m_c^2 M^2 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) - 3m_c^2 M^2 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 3M^4 (u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) + 16u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) + j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))) \zeta_{2,0}(m_c) \Big) + M^4 \left(-7q^2 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right. \\
& \left. + 8q^2 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 10q^2 i_2(\alpha_g \mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 12q^2 i_2(\alpha_g \mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 10q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 12q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 3M^2i_3(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 3M^2i_3(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 12m_c^2j_1(\mathcal{B}(u))\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 12m_c^2j_1(\phi^\parallel(u))\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 4m_c^2j_1(\mathcal{B}(u))\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2j_1(\phi^\parallel(u))\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 8q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v^2)(\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) - 4M^2u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2M^2\psi_3^\perp(u_0)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 7q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 8q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 10q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 12q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 10q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 12q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 3M^2i_3(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 3M^2i_3(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^2j_1(\mathcal{B}(u))\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 8M^2j_1(\mathcal{B}(u))\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 4m_c^2j_1(\phi^\parallel(u))\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 8M^2j_1(\phi^\parallel(u))\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 7q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v)(-\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) - 4m_c^2j_1(\mathcal{B}(u))\zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^2j_1(\phi^\parallel(u))\zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) + 2M^2\left[2u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0) + \psi_3^\perp(u_0)\right. \\
& \left. - 4j_1(\mathcal{B}(u)) + 4j_1(\phi^\parallel(u))\right]\zeta_{2,0}(m_c)\Bigg\}, \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_A^{GG} &= -\frac{e^{-\frac{g^2}{M_1^2+M_2^2}}}{1728m_cM^8\pi^2}g_s^2\langle GG\rangle\left\{2m_c f_\rho^\parallel m_\rho\left[2m_\rho^2\left(-8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-3,0}(m_c)\right.\right.\right. \\
& - 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-3,0}(m_c) + 3m_c^6j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{-3,0}(m_c) \\
& - 16m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,-1}(m_c) - 16m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-2,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 9m_c^6j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{-2,-1}(m_c) + m_c^4M^2u_0\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 20m_c^4M^2u_0\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u))\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^4M^2i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4M^2i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^4M^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4q^2u_0^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + 4m_c^4M^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^4q^2u_0^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\psi(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\psi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 24m_c^2M^2q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 24m_c^2M^2q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 3m_c^6j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& - 7m_c^4M^2j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,-2}(m_c) + 9m_c^6j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{-1,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^4M^2u_0\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 40m_c^4M^2u_0\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u))\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4M^2i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 8m_c^4M^2i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4M^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 8m_c^4q^2u_0^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 8m_c^4M^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - 16m_c^4q^2u_0^2i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 16m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\psi(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\psi(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 16m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\psi(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 24m_c^2M^2q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_g\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^4q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 24m_c^2M^2q^2u_0i_1(\alpha_q\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 9m_c^6j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - 14m_c^4M^2j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - m_c^4M^2u_0\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2M^4u_0\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 20m_c^4M^2u_0\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u))\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 28m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^4 M^2 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^2 M^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 3m_c^6 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,-3}(m_c) + m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 20m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4 M^2 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4 M^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 8m_c^4 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) + 8m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) + 8m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 9m_c^6 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 7m_c^4 M^2 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 40m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 28m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + m_c^2 M^4 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0^2 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^4 M^2 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^6 u_0 \mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 52m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 40M^6 u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u)) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^6 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4M^6 i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2M^6 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4M^6 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4M^6 i_2(\alpha_g \phi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4M^6 i_2(\alpha_q \phi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4M^6 i_2(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v^2) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4M^6 i_2(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^6 i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2M^6 j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2M^2 i_1(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_i), 1) (-2m_c^4 \zeta_{-3,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^4 \zeta_{-2,-1}(m_c) + m_c^2 ((m_c^2 + 6M^2) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 6M^2 \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + M^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + M^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c)) + M^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2i_1(\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i), 1) (2m_c^4 (M^2 + 2q^2 u_0^2) \zeta_{-3,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4 (M^2 + 2q^2 u_0^2) \zeta_{-2,-1}(m_c) + m_c^2 (-m_c^2 (M^2 - 2q^2 u_0^2) + 6M^2 (M^2 + 2q^2 u_0^2)) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2m_c^2(M^2 + 2q^2u_0^2)\zeta_{-1,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^2M^2\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - 6M^4\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2q^2u_0^2\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 12M^2q^2u_0^2\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + M^4\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - m_c^2M^2\zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^2q^2u_0^2\zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + M^4\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + M^6\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 3m_c^6j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{1,-3}(m_c) - m_c^4M^2u_0\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 20m_c^4M^2u_0\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u))\zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + m_c^4M^2j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^2M^4u_0\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 52m_c^2M^4u_0\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u))\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2M^4j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u))\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^6(-u_0(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u_0) + 32\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{C}(u))) + j_1(\mathcal{A}^\parallel(u)))\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \Big) \\
& + M^4 \left(-8m_c^2M^2u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^2M^2\psi_3^\perp(u_0)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \right. \\
& - 7m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 8m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 7m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 8m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 10m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 12m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 10m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 12m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 3m_c^2M^2i_3(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 3m_c^2M^2i_3(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^2M^2u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 4m_c^2M^2\psi_3^\perp(u_0)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 7m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 8m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 7m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 8m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 10m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 12m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 10m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 12m_c^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 3m_c^2M^2i_3(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 3m_c^2M^2i_3(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^2M^2i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 8m_c^2M^2u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 16M^4u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^2M^2\psi_3^\perp(u_0)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8M^4\psi_3^\perp(u_0)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 7M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 8M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 7M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 8M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 10M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 12M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_g\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v^2)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 10M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 12M^2q^2i_2(\alpha_q\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 3M^4i_3(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 3M^4i_3(\tilde{A}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^4i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2M^4i_3(\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v)\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 8m_c^2M^2u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0)\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2M^2\psi_3^\perp(u_0)\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 8M^4(2u_0\phi^\parallel(u_0) + \psi_3^\perp(u_0))\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 8j_1(\mathcal{B}(u))(3m_c^4\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + 6m_c^4\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 3m_c^4\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - m_c^2M^2\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 3m_c^4\zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 6m_c^4\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - m_c^2M^2\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 5m_c^2M^2\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2M^4\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 3m_c^4\zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) - 5m_c^2M^2\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 4M^4\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 8j_1(\phi^\parallel(u))(3m_c^4\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + 6m_c^4\zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - 3m_c^4\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - m_c^2M^2\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 3m_c^4\zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 6m_c^4\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - m_c^2M^2\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 5m_c^2M^2\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2M^4\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 3m_c^4\zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) - 5m_c^2M^2\zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 4M^4\zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) \Big) \\
& + M^2f_\rho^\perp \left[-4M^4\phi^\perp(u_0) \left((-2m_c^4 + 3m_c^2M^2)\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 3m_c^2(-m_c^2 + M^2)\zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \right. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + m_c^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 6M^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - m_c^4 \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 7m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 12M^4 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + m_c^4 \zeta_{2,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) + 6M^4 \zeta_{2,0}(m_c) \Big) + \mathcal{A}^\perp(u_0) m_\rho^2 \Big((-2m_c^6 + 3m_c^4 M^2) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + (-5m_c^6 + 6m_c^4 M^2) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + m_c^6 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 6m_c^4 M^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 6m_c^2 M^4 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^6 \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) + 3m_c^4 M^2 \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) + 3m_c^6 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 12m_c^4 M^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 6m_c^2 M^4 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 3m_c^4 M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 12m_c^2 M^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 6M^6 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^6 \zeta_{1,-3}(m_c) + 3m_c^6 \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) - 6m_c^4 M^2 \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + 6m_c^4 M^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 12m_c^2 M^4 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 6m_c^2 M^4 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 12M^6 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + m_c^6 \zeta_{2,-3}(m_c) + 3m_c^4 M^2 \zeta_{2,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 6m_c^2 M^4 \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) + 6M^6 \zeta_{2,0}(m_c) \Big) + 2m_\rho^2 \Big(-8m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& - 7m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + 8m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 3m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) - 10m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 13m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 16m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 3m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}(\alpha_i), 1) (\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c)) \\
& - 6m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) (\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c)) - 32m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \psi_3^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 48m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \psi_3^\parallel(u_0) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 6m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 6m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 6m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) + 8m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
& + m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 48m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 48m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 12m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 6m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 16m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 8m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 16m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 128m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 96M^6 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2M^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 12m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 6M^6 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 16m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8M^6 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 16m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) \\
& + 6m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) - 8m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) \\
& + m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) \\
& - m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^4 M^2 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + 32m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 112m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 12m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 16m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& + 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 2m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
& - 4m_c^2 M^4 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 64m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 192M^6 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 4M^4 q^2 u_0 i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 12M^6 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 16M^6 i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 2M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 4M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 2M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& - 2M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 4M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - 4M^6 i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \\
& + 16m_c^4 M^2 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{2,-2}(m_c) + 64m_c^2 M^4 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) + 96M^6 u_0 \psi_3^{\parallel}(u_0) \zeta_{2,0}(m_c) \Big) \Big] \Big\} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_B^0 &= \frac{e^{-\frac{q^2}{M_1^2+M_2^2}}}{24\pi^2} \left\{ 4m_c f_\rho^\parallel m_\rho \left[4m_\rho^2 (i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1) + i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1)) (\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{0,0}(m_c)) \right. \right. \\
&+ M^2 \psi_3^\perp(u_0) (-\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) \left. \right] + f_\rho^\perp \left[-2M^2 m_\rho^2 \left(i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) + 3i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), 1) \right. \right. \\
&- 4i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), v) + i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) - 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) + 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) \\
&+ 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) - 4i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) + 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \left. \right) \left. \right] [\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)] \\
&+ 4M^4 \phi^\perp(u_0) (-\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{2,0}(m_c)) + \mathcal{A}^\perp(u_0) m_\rho^2 (m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) \\
&- m_c^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + 3M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) - 3M^2 \zeta_{2,0}(m_c)) + 16\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{B}^\perp(u)) m_\rho^2 \left(3m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right. \\
&+ \left. \left. 2m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 2[(m_c^2 - 4M^2) \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) + 4M^2 \zeta_{2,0}(m_c)] \right) \right] \left. \right\}, \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_B^{GG} &= -\frac{e^{-\frac{q^2}{M_1^2+M_2^2}}}{864m_c M^6 \pi^2} g_s^2(GG) \left\{ m_c \mathcal{A}^\perp(u_0) f_\rho^\perp m_\rho^2 \left[m_c^4 \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \right. \right. \\
&- m_c^4 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 2m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^4 \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) - 2m_c^4 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \\
&+ 2m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 2m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - m_c^4 \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) \\
&- \left. \left. 2m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 2M^4 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right] + m_c f_\rho^\perp \left[16\tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{B}^\perp(u)) m_\rho^2 \left(3m_c^4 \zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) \right. \right. \right. \\
&+ 6m_c^4 \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) - 3m_c^4 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 5m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + 3m_c^4 \zeta_{0,-2}(m_c) \\
&- 6m_c^4 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + 5m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 7M^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
&- \left. \left. 3m_c^4 \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 10M^4 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right) - M^2 \left(m_\rho^2 (i_2(\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i), 1) \right. \right. \\
&+ 3i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), 1) - 4i_2(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i), v) + i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), 1) - 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), 1) \\
&+ 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i), v) + 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), 1) - 4i_2(\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) \\
&+ 2i_2(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v)) (m_c^2 (\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c)) + M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c)) \\
&+ 4M^2 \phi^\perp(u_0) (m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
&- \left. \left. m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 2M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c)) \right) \right] + 2f_\rho^\parallel m_\rho \left[4m_c^4 m_\rho^2 (i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), 1) \right. \\
&+ i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1)) (\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c)) + M^2 \psi_3^\perp(u_0) \left((-2m_c^4 + 3m_c^2 M^2) \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) \right. \\
&+ 3m_c^2 (-m_c^2 + M^2) \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) + m_c^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - 8m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 6M^4 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \\
&- \left. \left. m_c^4 \zeta_{1,-2}(m_c) + 2m_c^4 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 7m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right. \right. \\
&- \left. \left. 12M^4 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) + m_c^4 \zeta_{2,-2}(m_c) + 4m_c^2 M^2 \zeta_{2,-1}(m_c) + 6M^4 \zeta_{2,0}(m_c) \right) \right] \left. \right\}, \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_C^0 &= \frac{e^{-\frac{q^2}{M_1^2+M_2^2}}}{3\pi^2} M^2 f_\rho^\parallel m_\rho \left\{ \left[i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v) - 2i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v^2) + i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), 1) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 2i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\alpha_g \mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\alpha_q \mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1) \right] \left(\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + u_0^2 \psi_3^\perp(u_0) \left(\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{2,0}(m_c) \right) \right\}, \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_C^{GG} &= -\frac{e^{-\frac{q^2}{M_1^2+M_2^2}}}{216M^4\pi^2} g_s^2 \langle GG \rangle f_\rho^\parallel \left\{ \left(i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v) - 2i_2(\alpha_g \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v^2) + i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), 1) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 2i_2(\alpha_q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\alpha_g \mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), v) - i_2(\alpha_q \mathcal{V}(\alpha_i), 1) \right) \left[m_c^2 (\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right] + 2u_0^2 \psi_3^\perp(u_0) \left[m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) + 2M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 2M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right] \right\}, \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_D^0 &= -\frac{2e^{-\frac{q^2}{M_1^2+M_2^2}}}{3M^2\pi^2} u_0 m_\rho^2 \left\{ 4m_c f_\rho^\parallel m_\rho \left(u_0 i_1(\psi(\alpha_i), 1) - i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \right) \left[\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right] + M^2 f_\rho^\perp \left[\left(i_1(\mathcal{T}(\alpha_i), 1) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 2 \left[i_1(\mathcal{T}(\alpha_i), v) + i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) - 2i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \right] \right) \left[\zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 4u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{B}^\perp(u)) \left(\zeta_{1,0}(m_c) - \zeta_{2,0}(m_c) \right) \right] \right\}, \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_D^{GG} &= \frac{e^{-\frac{q^2}{M_1^2+M_2^2}}}{108M^8\pi^2} g_s^2 \langle GG \rangle u_0 m_\rho^2 \left\{ 4m_c^3 f_\rho^\parallel m_\rho \left[u_0 i_1(\psi, 1) - i_1(\alpha_g \psi(\alpha_i), v) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - i_1(\alpha_q \psi(\alpha_i), 1) \right] \left[\zeta_{-2,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{-1,-1}(m_c) \right] + M^2 f_\rho^\perp \left[\left(i_1(\mathcal{T}(\alpha_i), 1) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 2 \left[i_1(\mathcal{T}(\alpha_i), v) + i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), 1) - 2i_1(\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i), v) \right] \right) \left(m_c^2 \left[\zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) \right] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right) + 8u_0 \tilde{j}_1(\mathcal{B}^\perp(u)) \left(m_c^2 \zeta_{-1,0}(m_c) + m_c^2 \zeta_{0,-1}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2M^2 \zeta_{0,0}(m_c) - m_c^2 \zeta_{1,-1}(m_c) - 2M^2 \zeta_{1,0}(m_c) \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions the functions $\zeta_{m,n}(m_1, m_2)$ are defined as

$$\zeta_{m,n}(m_1, m_2) = \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} dz z^m \bar{z}^n e^{-\frac{m_1^2}{M^2 z} - \frac{m_2^2}{M^2 \bar{z}}}, \quad (39)$$

where m and n are integers and $\zeta_{m,n}(m_1, m_1) = \zeta_{m,n}(m_1)$. We have also used the following short-hand notations:

$$i_1(\phi(\alpha_i), f(v)) = \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv \phi(\alpha_q, \alpha_{\bar{q}}, \alpha_g) f(v) \theta(k - u_0), \quad (40)$$

$$i_2(\phi(\alpha_i), f(v)) = \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv \phi(\alpha_q, \alpha_{\bar{q}}, \alpha_g) f(v) \delta(k - u_0), \quad (41)$$

$$i_3(\phi(\alpha_i), f(v)) = \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv \phi(\alpha_q, \alpha_{\bar{q}}, \alpha_g) f(v) \delta'(k - u_0), \quad (42)$$

$$j_1(f(u)) = \int_{u_0}^1 du f(u), \quad (43)$$

and

$$\tilde{j}_1(f(u)) = \int_{u_0}^1 du (u - u_0) f(u), \quad (44)$$

where $k = \alpha_q - v\alpha_g$. For the explicit forms of wave functions of vector mesons and the functions used in the above expressions, in terms of vector mesons' DAS, one can see Refs. [54–56].

References

- [1] W. Wang, F. S. Yu and Z. X. Zhao, “Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the $1/2 \rightarrow 1/2$ case,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, no. 11, 781 (2017).
- [2] W. Wang, Z. P. Xing and J. Xu, “Weak Decays of Doubly Heavy Baryons: SU(3) Analysis,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, no. 11, 800 (2017).
- [3] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and V. E. Lyubovitskij, “Decay chain information on the newly discovered double charm baryon state Ξ_{cc}^{++} ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 5, 054013 (2017).
- [4] H. S. Li, L. Meng, Z. W. Liu and S. L. Zhu, “Radiative decays of the doubly charmed baryons in chiral perturbation theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **777**, 169 (2018).
- [5] L. Y. Xiao, K. L. Wang, Q. f. Lu, X. H. Zhong and S. L. Zhu, “Strong and radiative decays of the doubly charmed baryons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 9, 094005 (2017).
- [6] N. Sharma and R. Dhir, “Estimates of W-exchange contributions to Ξ_{cc} decays,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 11, 113006 (2017).

- [7] Y. L. Ma and M. Harada, “Chiral partner structure of doubly heavy baryons with heavy quark spin-flavor symmetry,” *J. Phys. G* **45**, no. 7, 075006 (2018).
- [8] X. H. Hu, Y. L. Shen, W. Wang and Z. X. Zhao, “Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: ”decay constants”,” *Chin. Phys. C* **42**, no. 12, 123102 (2018).
- [9] Y. J. Shi, W. Wang, Y. Xing and J. Xu, “Weak Decays of Doubly Heavy Baryons: Multi-body Decay Channels,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, no. 1, 56 (2018).
- [10] D. L. Yao, “Masses and sigma terms of doubly charmed baryons up to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ in manifestly Lorentz-invariant baryon chiral perturbation theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no. 3, 034012 (2018).
- [11] Z. X. Zhao, “Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the $1/2 \rightarrow 3/2$ case,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, no. 9, 756 (2018).
- [12] M. Z. Liu, Y. Xiao and L. S. Geng, “Magnetic moments of the spin-1/2 doubly charmed baryons in covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no. 1, 014040 (2018).
- [13] Z. P. Xing and Z. X. Zhao, “Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the FCNC processes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no. 5, 056002 (2018).
- [14] R. Dhir and N. Sharma, “Weak decays of doubly heavy charm Ω_{cc}^+ baryon,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, no. 9, 743 (2018).
- [15] A. V. Berezhnoy, A. K. Likhoded and A. V. Luchinsky, “Doubly heavy baryons at the LHC,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no. 11, 113004 (2018).
- [16] L. J. Jiang, B. He and R. H. Li, “Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: $\mathcal{B}_{cc} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_c V$,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, no. 11, 961 (2018).
- [17] Q. A. Zhang, “Weak Decays of Doubly Heavy Baryons: W-Exchange,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, no. 12, 1024 (2018).
- [18] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and Z. Tyulemissov, “Ab initio three-loop calculation of the W -exchange contribution to nonleptonic decays of double charm baryons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no. 5, 056013 (2019).
- [19] Y. J. Shi, Y. Xing and Z. X. Zhao, “Light-cone sum rules analysis of $\Xi_{QQ'q} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q'}$ weak decays,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, no. 6, 501 (2019).
- [20] X. H. Hu and Y. J. Shi, “Light-Cone Sum Rules Analysis of $\Xi_{QQ'} \rightarrow \Sigma_{Q'}$ Weak Decays,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no. 1, 56 (2020).
- [21] S. J. Brodsky, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U.-G. Meißner, “Isospin splittings of doubly heavy baryons,” *Phys. Lett. B* **698**, 251 (2011).
- [22] M. Mattson *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration], “First Observation of the Doubly Charmed Baryon Ξ_{cc}^+ ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 112001 (2002).
- [23] A. Ocherashvili *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration], “Confirmation of the double charm baryon $\Xi_{cc}^+(3520)$ via its decay to pD^+K^- ,” *Phys. Lett. B* **628**, 18 (2005).

- [24] S. P. Ratti, “New results on c-baryons and a search for cc-baryons in FOCUS,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **115**, 33 (2003).
- [25] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], “Search for doubly charmed baryons Ξ_{cc}^+ and Ξ_{cc}^{++} in BABAR,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 011103 (2006).
- [26] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “Search for the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^+ ,” *JHEP* **1312**, 090 (2013).
- [27] Y. Kato *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], “Search for doubly charmed baryons and study of charmed strange baryons at Belle,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, no. 5, 052003 (2014).
- [28] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “Observation of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, no. 11, 112001 (2017).
- [29] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi and M. Savci, “Doubly Heavy Spin-1/2 Baryon Spectrum in QCD,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **895**, 59 (2012).
- [30] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi and M. Savci, “The masses and residues of doubly heavy spin-3/2 baryons,” *J. Phys. G* **40**, 065003 (2013).
- [31] K. Azizi, T. M. Aliev and M. Savci, “Properties of doubly and triply heavy baryons,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **556**, no. 1, 012016 (2014).
- [32] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi and M. Savci, “Mixing angle of doubly heavy baryons in QCD,” *Phys. Lett. B* **715**, 149-151 (2012).
- [33] U. Özdem, “Magnetic dipole moments of the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ doubly heavy baryons,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **56**, no.2, 34 (2020).
- [34] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “First Observation of the Doubly Charmed Baryon Decay $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no. 16, 162002 (2018).
- [35] S. Brodsky, S. Groote and S. Koshkarev, “Resolving the SELEX–LHCb double-charm baryon conflict: the impact of intrinsic heavy-quark hadroproduction and supersymmetric light-front holographic QCD,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, no.6, 483 (2018).
- [36] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], “Search for the doubly heavy Ξ_{bc}^0 baryon via decays to $D^0 p K^-$,” [arXiv:2009.02481 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.02481).
- [37] M. Gell-Mann, A schematic model of baryons and mesons *Physics Letters* **8** (3) (1964) 214 – 215.
- [38] G. Zweig, An SU(3) model for strong interaction symmetry and its breaking. Version 1 <https://inspirehep.net/record/11881/files/CM-P00042883.pdf>.
- [39] D. Lichtenberg, S. P. Rosen, G. Zweig, DEVELOPMENTS IN THE QUARK THEORY OF HADRONS <https://inspirehep.net/record/4674/files/cern-th-412.pdf>.
- [40] Z. S. Brown, W. Detmold, S. Meinel and K. Orginos, “Charmed bottom baryon spectroscopy from lattice QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.9, 094507 (2014).
- [41] E. Hernandez, J. Nieves and J. M. Verde-Velasco, “Heavy quark symmetry constraints on semileptonic form-factors and decay widths of doubly heavy baryons,” *Phys. Lett. B* **663** (2008), 234-241.

- [42] J. M. Flynn, E. Hernandez and J. Nieves, “Triply Heavy Baryons and Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012), 014012.
- [43] K. Azizi, A. R. Olamaei and S. Rostami, “Beautiful mathematics for beauty-full and other multi-heavy hadronic systems,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **54**, no. 9, 162 (2018).
- [44] A. R. Olamaei, K. Azizi and S. Rostami, “Strong coupling constants of the doubly heavy Ξ_{QQ} Baryons with π Meson,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.7, 613 (2020).
- [45] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, “QCD and Resonance Physics. Theoretical Foundations,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **147**, 385-447 (1979).
- [46] I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun and A. Kolesnichenko, “Radiative Decay $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\gamma$ in Quantum Chromodynamics,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **312**, 509-550 (1989).
- [47] A. Khodjamirian, R. Ruckl, S. Weinzierl and O. I. Yakovlev, “Perturbative QCD correction to the $B \rightarrow \pi$ transition form-factor,” *Phys. Lett. B* **410**, 275 (1997)
- [48] V. M. Braun, “Light cone sum rules,” [arXiv:hep-ph/9801222](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9801222) [hep-ph].
- [49] S. Rostami, K. Azizi and A. R. Olamaei, “Strong Coupling Constants of the Doubly Heavy Spin-1/2 Baryons with Light Pseudoscalar Mesons,” [arXiv:2008.12715](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.12715) [hep-ph].
- [50] H. I. Alrebdi, T. M. Aliev and K. Şimşek, “Determination of the strong vertices of doubly heavy baryons with pseudoscalar mesons in QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.7, 074007 (2020).
- [51] T. M. Aliev and K. Şimşek, “Strong coupling constants of doubly heavy baryons with vector mesons in QCD,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.10, 976 (2020).
- [52] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi and M. Savci, “Vertices of the heavy spin-3/2 sextet baryons with light vector mesons in QCD,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **47**, 125 (2011)
- [53] V. Pascalutsa, M. Vanderhaeghen and S. N. Yang, “Electromagnetic excitation of the $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance,” *Phys. Rept.* **437** (2007), 125-232.
- [54] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, “Higher twist distribution amplitudes of vector mesons in QCD: Formalism and twist - three distributions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **529**, 323-382 (1998).
- [55] P. Ball and V. M. Braun, “Higher twist distribution amplitudes of vector mesons in QCD: Twist - 4 distributions and meson mass corrections,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **543**, 201-238 (1999).
- [56] P. Ball, V. M. Braun and A. Lenz, “Twist-4 distribution amplitudes of the K^* and ϕ mesons in QCD,” *JHEP* **08**, 090 (2007).
- [57] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], “Review of Particle Physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no. 3, 030001 (2018).
- [58] P. Ball and G. W. Jones, “Twist-3 distribution amplitudes of K^* and ϕ mesons,” *JHEP* **03**, 069 (2007).