

Magnetic Phase Transition in the Ground-State Phase Diagram of Binary Bose Gases in Optical Lattices

L. de Forges de Parny¹, and Valéry Rousseau^{2*}

¹ *Université Côte d'Azur, INPHYNI, CNRS, 06000 Nice, France and*

² *Physics Department, Loyola University New Orleans, 6363 Saint Charles Ave., New Orleans, Louisiana 70118, USA*

(Dated: January 15, 2022)

We investigate the ground-state phase diagram of interacting binary Bose gases trapped in two-dimensional optical lattices by means of quantum Monte Carlo simulations. Our simulations reveal a magnetic phase transition from a $x - y$ ferromagnetic-order to a spin insulator inside the Mott insulating phase with two particles per site for quasi-balanced on-site inter- and intra-particle interactions, i.e., $U_{\uparrow\downarrow} \lesssim U$. This 3D-XY transition is characterized by the establishment of a finite local magnetic moment along the z -axis, ferromagnetic correlations in the $x - y$ plan and by counterflow superfluidity inside the Mott phase. When decreasing $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U$, this transition merges with the Mott-superfluid transition and becomes first-order. The merging of the two transitions is investigated with respect to $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U$ parameter.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Jp, 67.85.-d, 03.75.Hh, 64.60.Fr, 05.10.Ln.

INTRODUCTION

Ultracold atoms loaded in optical lattices are ideal testbed for simulating condensed matter models, such as spin- and (bosonic/fermionic) Hubbard-models [1]. In these systems, one can reach the limit such that the temperature fluctuations do not play any role since the associated thermal energy $k_B T$ is much lower than the Hamiltonian energy parameters (i.e., hopping, interactions). Furthermore, these systems allow a great control of the Hamiltonian parameters by adjusting the intensity of the laser creating the optical lattice or by employing the Feshbach resonances. For bosonic atoms, this has allowed the observation of the Mott-superfluid quantum phase transition associated to the spontaneous $U(1)$ symmetry breaking [2].

Following this experimental study, many critical phenomena have been studied employing ultracold atoms trapped in optical lattices, to cite a few the Higgs mode amplitude by simulating an $O(2)$ bosonic model [3], para- to antiferromagnetic phase transition in the Ising model [4], spin-1 bosonic Mott-Superfluid transitions with $U(1) \times SU(2)$ symmetry [5], bosons with competing short- and long-range interactions with $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry [6], and Bose-Fermi and Bose-Bose mixtures [7–10]. Hamiltonians with many symmetries offer the possibility to observe many phases and quantum phase transitions in the limit of zero temperature. For instance, in the case of spin-1 bosons, theoretical studies have reported two successive transitions when varying the hopping parameter at even filling: a nematic quantum phase transition inside the Mott insulator phase and the Mott-superfluid transition [11–14]. The nematic transition spontaneously breaks the $SU(2)$ symmetry whereas the Mott-superfluid transition breaks the $U(1)$ symmetry. The relationship between the spin and density de-

gree of freedom is of fundamental importance since it will determine the phase diagram and the nature of the quantum phase transitions [15–19].

In this letter, we tackle the question of the decoupling of the spin and density degree of freedom by considering a binary bose gas in a square optical lattice at zero temperature. The system under investigation has the $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry that allows two distinct transitions that have been extensively theoretically studied [15–19]. Using Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations, we unveil the possibility of successively breaking two symmetries, derive the phase diagram and elucidate the nature of the transitions employing finite size scaling analysis. Particularly, we complete the state of the art by revealing a 3D-XY ferromagnetic to spin insulator phase transition inside the Mott insulating phase with two particles per site, and study the collapse of the $x - y$ ferromagnetic Mott insulator.

In the next section, we introduce the model Hamiltonian. We discuss our numerical method and the calculated observables in the third section. Then, we derive the ground-state phase diagram in the fourth section and the quantum phase transitions at integer filling in the fifth section. The impact of the decoupling of the spin and density degree of freedom on the nature of the transition is discussed in the sixth section. Finally, conclusions are drawn in the last section.

BINARY BOSE-HUBBARD HAMILTONIAN

We consider a two-component system of interacting bosonic atoms loaded onto a two-dimensional optical lattice at zero temperature. Such a binary mixture could be either realized with homonuclear particles in two hyperfine states or with heteronuclear species of atoms [8–10].

The system is governed by the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian of two interacting species [16]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}} = & -t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \left(a_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}'} + a_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}'} + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ & + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (\hat{n}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}} (\hat{n}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}} - 1) + \hat{n}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}} (\hat{n}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}} - 1)) \\ & + U_{\uparrow\downarrow} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{n}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}} \hat{n}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where operator $a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}}$ ($a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}}^\dagger$) annihilates (creates) a boson in the state $\sigma = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ on site \mathbf{r} of a periodic square lattice of size $L \times L$.

The first term in the Hamiltonian is the kinetic term which allows particles to hop between neighboring sites $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle$ with strength $t = 1$ to set the energy scale. The number operator $\hat{n}_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}} \equiv a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}}$ counts the number of σ -bosons on site \mathbf{r} . $N_\sigma \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \hat{n}_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}} \rangle$ will denote the number of σ -bosons, $\rho_\sigma \equiv N_\sigma / L^2$ the corresponding density, and $\rho \equiv \rho_\uparrow + \rho_\downarrow$ the total density. The parameters U and $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are the on-site intra- and inter-particle interactions, respectively. These parameters are tunable with the Feshbach resonances [20]. The zero temperature limit is satisfied if $t, U, U_{\uparrow\downarrow} \gg k_B T = 1/\beta$. The Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), has the $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry for $U \neq U_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, related to the conservation of the atom number in each state [18].

METHOD AND OBSERVABLES

Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), is investigated with Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations based on the Stochastic Green Function algorithm [21] with directed updates [22], an exact QMC technique that allows for canonical or grand-canonical simulations of the system, as well as measurements of many-particle Green functions. In this work, our simulations in the canonical ensemble are performed with balanced populations $N_\uparrow = N_\downarrow$, i.e., in the spin sector $S_{\text{tot}, z} = N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow = 0$. Using this algorithm we were able to simulate the system reliably for clusters going up to $L = 16$ with $N_{\text{tot}} = N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow = 512$ particles. A large enough inverse temperature of $\beta = 4L/t$ allows to eliminate thermal effects [23].

We calculate the chemical potential, defined as the discrete difference of the energy

$$\mu(N_{\text{tot}}) = E(N_{\text{tot}} + 1) - E(N_{\text{tot}}), \quad (2)$$

which is valid in the ground-state at zero temperature where the free energy is equal to the internal energy $E = \langle \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rangle$. The analysis of the magnetic structure requires the calculation of the square of the local magnetic moment along z -axis

$$S_z^2(0) \equiv \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle (\hat{n}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}} - \hat{n}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}})^2 \rangle. \quad (3)$$

We also calculate the one body Green functions

$$G_\sigma(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}}^\dagger a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}} + a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\sigma, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

which measure the phase coherence of particles in state σ . The density of σ -bosons with zero momentum – here after called the condensate fraction – is defined by

$$C_\sigma = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} G_\sigma(\mathbf{R}). \quad (5)$$

The anticorrelated motions of particles, defined as the coherent position exchange of particles of different types, are described by the two-particle counterflow (or anticorrelated) Green functions

$$G_a(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \hat{a}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}} \hat{a}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}} + \text{h.c.} \rangle. \quad (6)$$

If perfect phase coherence is established by means of particle exchange, $G_a(\mathbf{R})$ reaches its limiting upper value equal to $\rho_\uparrow \rho_\downarrow$ at long distances \mathbf{R} . Due to its definition, $G_a(\mathbf{R}) = G_\uparrow(\mathbf{R}) G_\downarrow(\mathbf{R})$ if there is no correlations between the movements of particles of up and down spin. Note that $G_a(\mathbf{R})$ measures the ferromagnetic correlations in the $x - y$ plan since $\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}}^+ \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^- + \text{h.c.} \rangle = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \hat{S}_{x, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}} \hat{S}_{x, \mathbf{r}} + \hat{S}_{y, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}} \hat{S}_{y, \mathbf{r}} \rangle$, with $\hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}}^+ = \hat{a}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}}$ and $\hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^- = \hat{a}_{\downarrow, \mathbf{r}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\uparrow, \mathbf{r}}$. The counterflow condensate fraction with zero momentum is given by

$$C_a = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} G_a(\mathbf{R}). \quad (7)$$

Finally, the superfluid density is calculated with [24]

$$\rho_s = \frac{\langle W^2 \rangle}{4t\beta}, \quad (8)$$

where the winding number W is a topological quantity. The total superfluid density ρ_s is measured with $W = W_\uparrow + W_\downarrow$ whereas the counterflow superfluid density ρ_{sa} is measured with $W = W_\uparrow - W_\downarrow$.

GROUND-STATE PHASE DIAGRAM

The ground-state phases are characterized by both the mobility of the particle and by their magnetic properties. In the limit of vanishing hopping $t/U \rightarrow 0$, the system is in the Mott Insulator (MI) phase with ρ particles per site. For $\rho = 1$, the basis of the Mott lobe is $\Delta\mu = U_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ and completely disappear for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow} = 0$. For $\rho = 2$, the basis of the Mott lobe is $\Delta\mu = U$ and the on-site spin-insulating state $|n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow\rangle = |1, 1\rangle$, for which $S_z^2(0) = 0$, minimizes the energy such that $\langle 1, 1 | \hat{\mathcal{H}}(t=0) | 1, 1 \rangle = U_{\uparrow\downarrow}$. When




FIG. 1: (Color online) QMC phase diagram of Hamiltonian Eq. (1) with $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.98$, and for system size $L = 6, 8$ and 10 . A $x - y$ ferromagnetic order, associated to counterflow superfluidity, is present everywhere except in the spin-Mott insulator phase with two particle per site for $t/U_0 < 0.028$. The spin-Mott insulator to Mott $x - y$ ferromagnetic (MI_{xy}) phase transition belongs to the 3D-XY universality class.

turning on the hopping parameter, the Mott phases progressively disappear for the benefit of the superfluid (SF) phase. The transition between MI and SF phases is determined by the density gap, for different system sizes for taking into account the finite size effects, see Figure 1. The density gap $\Delta\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$ for a system with N_{tot} particles and energy $E(N_{tot})$ is defined by the energy difference in adding, $\mu_+ = E(N_{tot} + 1) - E(N_{tot})$, or removing, $\mu_- = E(N_{tot}) - E(N_{tot} - 1)$, a particle.

According to the magnetic properties, we observe a $x - y$ ferromagnetic order in the SF_{xy} phase, as well as in the MI_{xy} with $\rho = 1$, in agreement with Ref. [15, 17, 19]. As we will show, the $x - y$ ferromagnetic-order is also observed for $\rho = 2$. The signature of the $x - y$ ferromagnetic-order is the development of correlations in the $x - y$ plan, i.e., $G_a(\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \infty) \neq 0$ (Eq. (6)), or equivalently, the appearance of a counterflow condensate fraction $C_a \neq 0$ in the thermodynamic limit (Eq. (7)). Another signature of the $x - y$ ferromagnetic order is the appearance of a counterflow superfluidity with $\rho_{sa} \neq 0$ [15, 18].

The novelty of our results lies in the magnetic properties of the phase diagram for $\rho = 2$ for which we observe a magnetic phase transition from a $x - y$ ferromagnetic-order to a spin insulator without symmetry breaking, inside the Mott insulating phase, thus supplementing the state of the art literature [15, 17–19]. The competition between the spin-insulating state $|\Psi\rangle = \otimes_{\mathbf{r}}|1, 1\rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$, minimizing the ground-state energy for $t/U \rightarrow 0$, and the $x - y$ ferromagnetic order observed in the SF_{xy} phase for $t \gg U$ leads to a quantum phase transition inside the Mott phase for quasi-balanced on-site inter- and intra-




FIG. 2: (Color online) Mott-superfluid transitions at integer filling for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.98$ and for system size $L = 6, 8, 10$ and 12 . (a) $\rho = 1$: the superfluid density ρ_s vanishes in the Mott phase whereas the counterflow superfluid density ρ_{sa} remains finite inside the Mott phase for $t/U \neq 0$, and $S_z^2(0) \simeq 1$. These signals indicate a magnetic $x - y$ ferromagnetic order all along the Mott-superfluid transition. (b) $\rho = 2$: the $x - y$ ferromagnetic order, present inside the superfluid phase, disappears in the Mott phase when $\rho_{sa} = 0$. This phase transition does not match with the Mott-superfluid transition where $\rho_s = 0$. (c) Finite size scaling of the condensate fractions C_a and $C_{\uparrow} = C_{\downarrow}$. We clearly observe two continuous transitions, located at $t_{c1}/U \sim 0.028$ and $t_{c2}/U \sim 0.036$.

particle interactions, i.e. $U_{\uparrow\downarrow} \lesssim U$, see Figure 1. In the following, we unveil and characterize the spin-Mott insulator to Mott $x - y$ ferromagnetic (MI_{xy}) phase transition for many $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U$ values. Note that a similar (singlet-to-nematic) phase transition has been investigated for spin-1 bosons with vanishing quadratic Zeeman interaction, i.e., for $q = 0$ [11–14, 25–27].

QUANTUM PHASE TRANSITIONS AT INTEGER FILLING

We focus on the quantum phase transitions for one and two particle per site and for a small positive $U - U_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ value, i.e., $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.98$, see Figure 2. The signature of the MI-SF transition is a vanishing superfluid density ρ_s , around $t/U \sim 0.06$ for $\rho = 1$, similarly to the single-




FIG. 3: (Color online) Finite size scaling of (a) the counterflow condensate fraction C_a and (b) the condensate fraction $C_\uparrow = C_\downarrow$, for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.98$ and $\rho = 2$. The two transitions, located at $t_{c1}/U \sim 0.028$ and $t_{c2}/U \sim 0.036$, belong to the 3D-XY universality class with critical exponents $\beta^* = 0.3485(2)$ and $\nu = 0.67155(27)$ [30].

specie Bose-Hubbard model [28]. For $\rho = 1$, we clearly see that the counterflow superfluid density ρ_{sa} remains finite in both the MI_{xy} and SF_{xy} phases, see Figure 2 (a). Also, the local magnetic moment along z -axis remains constant $S_z^2(0) \simeq 1$ all along the continuous MI_{xy} - SF_{xy} transition. These signals confirm the presence of a counterflow superfluidity in the MI_{xy} $\rho = 1$ phase and the $x - y$ ferromagnetic order in both the MI_{xy} and SF_{xy} phases [15, 17, 18]. The situation is very different for $\rho = 2$, see Figure 2 (b). Deep in the Mott lobe, the system adopts the spin insulating state $|\Psi\rangle = \otimes_{\mathbf{r}}|1, 1\rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$ for which $\rho_s = \rho_{sa} = 0$ and $S_z^2(0) = 0$. When increasing t/U above a critical value t_{c1}/U , both the counterflow superfluid density ρ_{sa} and the local magnetic moment along z -axis, $S_z^2(0)$, become finite for many system sizes, whereas $\rho_s = 0$. This indicates the development of counterflow superfluidity and $x - y$ ferromagnetic order inside the Mott phase, referred as MI_{xy} . The spin-Mott to MI_{xy} transition can be captured by employing second-order perturbation theory, for which the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ maps with [18, 19]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{eff} = -\frac{4t^2}{U_{\uparrow\downarrow}} \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}'} + (U - U_{\uparrow\downarrow}) \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (S_{\mathbf{r}}^z)^2, \quad (9)$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}} = (\hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^x, \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^y, \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^z)$ the standard spin-1/2 operator. The minimization of the free energy leads to a competition between the establishment of the spin-insulating state $|\Psi\rangle = \otimes_{\mathbf{r}}|1, 1\rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$ with vanishing fluctuation in the spin densities $\langle (S_{\mathbf{r}}^z)^2 \rangle = 0$ for $t^2/U_{\uparrow\downarrow} \rightarrow 0$ and a ferromagnetic order such that $\sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \langle \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle \neq 0$ when increasing $t^2/U_{\uparrow\downarrow}$. Our QMC results confirm the existence of the continuous spin-Mott to MI_{xy} transition, discussed




FIG. 4: (Color online) Phase diagram at fixed density $\rho = 2$. The two successive 3D-XY transitions observed for $0.923 < U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U < 1$ when increasing t/U (green squares), merge in a single first-order transition (red circles) at the tricritical point for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U \simeq 0.923$. The first-order transition observed at $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.9$ is discussed in detail by Kato et al. [16].

in Ref. [19]. Coming back to Figure 2 (b), the superfluid phase SF_{xy} with $x - y$ ferromagnetic order appears for $\rho_s \neq 0$, when increasing further t/U . Therefore, we observe two successive continuous phase transitions resulting from the decoupling of the spin and density degree of freedom for $\rho = 2$. This conclusion is strengthened by finite size scaling analysis, see Figure 2 (c). In the vicinity of the transition point, the condensates C_σ (Eq. (5)) and C_a (Eq. (7)) scale as

$$C_{\sigma,a} = \xi^{-\frac{2\beta^*}{\nu}} f(\xi/L) = L^{-\frac{2\beta^*}{\nu}} g(L^{\frac{1}{\nu}}(t - t_c)/U), \quad (10)$$

with ξ the correlation length, L the linear system size, $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are universal scaling functions, and β^* and ν the critical exponents for the order parameter and correlation length, respectively. For a two-dimensional system at zero temperature, we expect the transition at integer filling to belong to the 3D-XY universality class [29], with exponent $\beta^* = 0.3485(2)$ and $\nu = 0.67155(27)$ [30]. This scaling law and critical exponents allow us to extract the position of the two transitions, i.e., $t_{c1}/U \sim 0.028$ and $t_{c2}/U \sim 0.036$ for $\rho = 2$, see Figure 2 (c). The 3D-XY nature of the transitions is also validated by the collapse of our QMC data in Figure 3.

COLLAPSE OF THE MI_{xy} PHASE AND FIRST-ORDER TRANSITION

The scenario of the two successive phase transitions depicted above for $\rho = 2$ dramatically changes when decreasing $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U$. For small $U_{\uparrow\downarrow} \ll U$, the spin-insulating state $|\Psi\rangle = \otimes_{\mathbf{r}}|1, 1\rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$ with $S_z^2(0) = 0$ minimizes the ground-state energy in the whole $\rho = 2$ Mott phase. Thus, the MI_{xy} phase vanishes for the benefit of the




FIG. 5: (Color online) Signal of a first-order transition for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.92$ and $t/U = 0.04$ in the canonical (C) and grand-canonical (GC) ensemble.

spin-Mott insulating phase and the two successive phase transitions are not observed anymore. Employing finite size scaling method of Figure 3, we find that the collapse of the MI_{xy} phase takes place around $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.923$ where the two successive 3D-XY transitions merge, see Figure 4. Interestingly, the merge of the two transitions affects the nature of the resulting transition: the spin-Mott insulator-to-SF $_{xy}$ phase transition is first-order for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U < 0.923$. The signal of a negative compressibility $\kappa \propto \partial\rho/\partial\mu$ associated to a first-order transition is found in both canonical and grand-canonical ensembles for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.92$ [13, 31], see Figure 5. Our results are in agreement with the study of Kato *et al.* [16] who finds a first-order transition by means of quantum Monte Carlo simulations and effective field theory for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U = 0.9$.

CONCLUSION

We have studied the ground-state phase diagram of strongly interacting binary bose gases in square lattices. Our study is based on QMC simulations, which allow for the calculation of many-body correlation functions, and finite size scaling analysis. In agreement with previous studies, we find a $x-y$ ferromagnetic order, associated to counterflow superfluidity, all along the continuous Mott-superfluid transition for one particle per site [15, 17, 19]. The observed scenario for two particle per site is much more interesting since two successive continuous phase transitions are observed for quasi-balanced on-site inter- and intra-particle interactions, i.e., $0.923 < U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U < 1$. The consequence of the decoupling of the spin and density degree of freedom is the appearance of two successive transitions: the spin-Mott insulator to $x-y$ ferromagnetic Mott phase transition and the ferromagnetic Mott-superfluid phase transition. Our finite size scaling analysis shows that these continuous phase transitions, each breaking the U(1) symmetry, belong to the 3D-XY universality class. Experimentally, these transitions can be captured by Ramsey spectroscopy and time of flight

measurement [2, 32]. Finally, we have shown that the two successive transitions merge into a single first-order transition for $U_{\uparrow\downarrow}/U \lesssim 0.923$. In conclusion, the decoupling of the spin and density degree of freedom affects the nature of the transition.

We thank George G. Batrouni, Frédéric Hébert and Christian Taggiasco for their support regarding the numerical facilities (Scylla cluster @INPHYNI). We thank Frédéric Hébert for his critical reading of the manuscript and Tommaso Roscilde for a fruitful private conversation. We also thank the anonymous Referee for his relevant comments. We are also grateful for financial support from the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation. Shazbot, Nanu Nanu!

* Corresponding author: laurentdeforgesdeparny@gmail.com

- [1] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 885 (2008).
- [2] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T.W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, *Nature* **415**, 39 (2002).
- [3] M. Endres, T. Fukuhara, D. Pekker, M. Cheneau, P. Schauß, C. Gross, E. Demler, S. Kuhr and I. Bloch, *Nature* **487**, 454 (2012).
- [4] J. Simon, W. S. Bakr, R. Ma, M. E. Tai, P. M. Preiss and M. Greiner, *Nature* **472**, 307 (2011).
- [5] J. Jiang, L. Zhao, S.-T. Wang, Z. Chen, T. Tang, L.-M. Duan, and Y. Liu, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 063607 (2016).
- [6] R. Landig, L. Hruby, N. Dogra, M. Landini, R. Mottl, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, *Nature* **532**, 476 (2016).
- [7] K. Günter, T. Stöferle, H. Moritz, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 180402 (2006).
- [8] D. M. Weld, P. Medley, H. Miyake, D. Hucul, D. E. Pritchard, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 245301 (2009).
- [9] P. N. Jepsen, J. Amato-Grill, I. Dimitrova, W. W. Ho, E. Demler, and W. Ketterle, *Nature* **588**, 403-407 (2020).
- [10] J. Catani, L. De Sarlo, G. Barontini, F. Minardi, and M. Inguscio, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 011603(R) (2008).
- [11] A. Imambekov, M. Lukin, and E. Demler, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 063602 (2003).
- [12] G. G. Batrouni, V. G. Rousseau, and R. T. Scalettar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 140402 (2009).
- [13] L. de Forges de Parny, F. Hébert, V. G. Rousseau, and G. G. Batrouni, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 104509 (2013).
- [14] L. de Forges de Parny, H.-Y. Yang, and F. Mila, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 200402 (2014).
- [15] B. Capogrosso-Sansone, S. G. Söyler, N. V. Prokofév and B. V. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 053622 (2010).
- [16] Y. Kato, D. Yamamoto, and I. Danshita, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 055301 (2014).
- [17] S. G. Söyler, B. Capogrosso-Sansone, N. V. Prokofév and B. V. Svistunov, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 073036 (2009).
- [18] A. B. Kuklov and B.V. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 100401 (2003).
- [19] E. Altman, W. Hofstetter, E. Demler and M. D. Lukin, *New Journal of Physics* **5**, 113 (2003).
- [20] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, *Rev.*

- Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010).
- [21] V. G. Rousseau, Phys. Rev. E **77**, 056705 (2008).
 - [22] V. G. Rousseau, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 056707 (2008).
 - [23] L. de Forges de Parny and V. G. Rousseau, Phys. Rev. A **95**, 013606 (2017).
 - [24] D. M. Ceperley and E. L. Pollock, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 8343 (1987) and Phys. Rev. B **39**, 2084 (1989).
 - [25] Y. Li, L. He, and W. Hofstetter, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 033622 (2016).
 - [26] M. Snoek and F. Zhou, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 094410 (2004).
 - [27] L. de Forges de Parny and V. G. Rousseau, Phys. Rev. A **97**, 023628 (2018).
 - [28] B. Capogrosso-Sansone, N. V. Prokofév and B. V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 015602 (2008).
 - [29] M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 546 (1989).
 - [30] M. Campostrini, M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, P. Rossi and E. Vicari Phys. Rev. B **63**, 214503 (2001).
 - [31] L. de Forges de Parny, F. Hébert, V.G. Rousseau, R.T. Scalettar and G.G. Batrouni. Phys. Rev. B **84**, 064529 (2011).
 - [32] A. Kuklov, N. Prokofév, and B. Svistunov Phys. Rev. A **69**, 025601 (2004).