

# Damped oscillators within the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces

Yu. S. Barash

*Institute of Solid State Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences,  
Chernogolovka, Moscow District, 2 Academician Ossipyan Street, 142432 Russia*

It is demonstrated that the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces describes the interaction-induced equilibrium thermodynamic potentials of the damped harmonic oscillator bilinearly coupled to the environment. An extended model for a damped oscillator is suggested along the lines of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces, and the corresponding thermodynamic quantities obtained. While the original model involves a heat bath consisting of a large number of free oscillators having infinitesimal damping functions, the extended model allows any generally admissible frequency and temperature dependent dissipative susceptibilities of the heat bath constituents, influenced by the additional dissipative environmental channels that are not directly linked to the system oscillator. Consequently, the results obtained are applicable to the frequency and temperature dependent damping function of the system oscillator.

## I. Introduction

Based on the fluctuation-dissipation relations applied to electromagnetic fields in condensed matter, Lifshitz successfully solved the problem of Casimir and van der Waals interaction between thick plates separated by an empty gap [1]. In his paper Lifshitz demonstrated how to obtain the unified description of the Casimir and van der Waals forces between macroscopic bodies with arbitrary frequency dependent permittivities.

With the advent of the quantum-field methods of the many-body theory, the Lifshitz approach was generalized by Dzyaloshinskii and Pitaevskii [2], who derived widely applicable general formulas that describe contribution of the long wavelength fluctuational electromagnetic field, interacting with the condensed matter, to thermodynamic quantities of inhomogeneous dissipative systems in equilibrium. This made it possible to extend the Lifshitz solution for the problem of two solids separated by an empty gap to the case of the interaction across a liquid film, in particular [3]. From then on, fundamental ideas and results of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces [1–4] have become the basis for the modern description of thermodynamic quantities influenced by fluctuational electromagnetic interactions [5–11].

Much later, irrespective of the research referred to above, thermodynamics of the oscillator bilinearly coupled to the heat bath attracted considerable attention [12–26]. Quantum and classical dynamics of a damped oscillator coupled with the finite strength to the environment and governed by fluctuations have been studied for many years [27–40]. The damped oscillator is known to be a representative example of dissipative quantum systems [40, 41], which is of interest within the context of a number of problems, in particular those concerned with the quantum Brownian motion [37, 39], with the effects of dissipation on quantum tunneling processes [33, 42] and with some general aspects of statistical mechanics and thermodynamics at strong coupling with the heat bath [26].

This paper focuses on the comparison of the basic as-

pects of the two theories and their results, identifies features they have in common and the points of substantial overlaps, and extends the model for a damped oscillator to a more general structure of the environment along the lines of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces.

At first glance, the two fields of research differ from each other substantially. The Zwanzig-Caldeira-Leggett model of a damped oscillator [30, 33] exemplifies a small quantum system, which demonstrates a dissipative behavior that occurs due to its interaction with the environment. The model manifests certain properties, which are of importance to the quantum dynamics, and has the advantage of comprising the exact solution for simple cases. It can also be considered to be a part of more complicated quantum mechanical problems. The environment, which is made up of a considerable number of free oscillators that interact only with the system oscillator, allows the losses to be modeled by reducing the problem to one or two degrees of freedom.

As opposed to the damped oscillator model, the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces considers - within the quantum microscopic approach - the long wavelength components of the electromagnetic field that interact with realistic condensed matter systems, so that there is neither a need for the theory to classify the two subsystems into a small central system and a large thermal reservoir, nor any need for a significant simplification. Frequency dependent dissipative permittivities of the condensed matter enter the theory in a general unspecified form, enabled by the fluctuation-dissipation relations, and are assumed to be provided by independent theoretical studies or experiments. The general theory applies to inhomogeneous condensed systems of arbitrary spatial profile, that manifest nonlocal correlations of the microscopic quantum electromagnetic field in media with spatially dependent matrix permittivities. Free from the model-imposed assumptions, the theory offers a significantly more powerful approach within the range of its applicability.

On the other hand, the theories have a number of important features in common. Since both Casimir and

van der Waals interactions and the environment-affected oscillator are governed by equilibrium fluctuations, it is known that the theories share some similarities [43], although there has been no direct comparison of their basic results for thermodynamic potentials. Furthermore, the long wavelength electromagnetic fluctuational field in the theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces manifests a dissipative behavior with complex eigenfrequencies due to its interaction with the condensed matter, and in this context resembles the system oscillator that becomes dissipative due to its interaction with the environment.

One should also note the common bilinear operator structure of the basic interaction terms in the Hamiltonians, with the quantum microscopic current density and electromagnetic field in one of the theories, and positions of both the system and environmental oscillators in the other. In both cases this allows to average the interaction terms using the fluctuation-dissipation relations. One more significant feature the two theories have in common is the fact that the interaction with the system damped oscillator does not modify, within the model, the susceptibilities of individual environmental oscillators. This is similar to the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces, which assumes the contribution to the condensed matter permittivities from the interaction with the long wavelength fluctuational electromagnetic field to be negligibly small.

A substantial overlap between the two theories under discussion becomes apparent, when the damped oscillator's interaction with the environment is of the electromagnetic origin. Having taken this point as a given, and having conducted its analysis, to an extent, in the reversed order, the free energy induced by the Casimir and van der Waals interaction in dissipative systems was identified in Ref. [44] on the basis of the study of long wavelength electromagnetic fluctuations in the elementary RCL circuit, that exemplifies the damped oscillator. Although, while the general theory is applicable to the conventional RCL circuit, the circuit does not fully match the conditions of the model, in which the environmental oscillators have infinitesimal damping functions. By contrast, the conventional resistance of the RCL circuit is dominated in the metal by some real processes that should be considered as additional internal dissipative channels of the environment not included in the model. This, of course, relates to most of the systems usually studied with the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces [45].

A model of the electromagnetic origin that simultaneously satisfies the conditions of both the model of a damped oscillator and the theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces, is that of the charged oscillator in the blackbody radiation field, where the oscillator's damping function is produced by the radiation reaction processes [12, 13]. The relation of this particular problem to the theory of Casimir and van der Waals interaction, omitted in [12, 13], was discussed in [46] but only for the simplest limit of real eigenfrequencies. In the latter case, the re-

sult is reduced to the free energy of free oscillators with eigenfrequencies of the interacting system, as is the case in describing Casimir and van der Waals interaction in systems with real eigenfrequencies [47–51].

The interaction-induced thermodynamic potentials, obtained both with the model for a damped oscillator and with the model-free general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces, will be found to coincide, when they are expressed in terms of similar quantities. It is the consequence of a significant overlap of the two approaches. In other words, thermodynamics of a damped oscillator bilinearly coupled to the heat bath is directly described by the results obtained in the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces long ago [4, 51–55].

An extension of the original model for a damped oscillator conducted below includes additional internal dissipative channels of the environment. As a result, instead of the susceptibilities of the free environmental oscillators of the original model, the extended model allows for generally admissible frequency and temperature dependent dissipative susceptibilities. Although it is assumed that the system oscillator is not directly linked with the additional channels, it acquires a frequency and temperature dependent damping function via the bilinear interaction with dissipative environmental oscillators or similar constituents. The extended model allows thermodynamic description of the damped oscillator in equilibrium, and is generally not intended to study the total system's dynamics. The corresponding free energy, obtained with the temperature dependent damping function of the system oscillator, is shown to coincide with the free energy obtained with the original model, while the results for the internal energy have been modified.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces basic results for the damped oscillator used in the sections that follow. Section III presents an alternative derivation of the interaction-induced free energy and the internal energy of the damped oscillator, which is the basis for further consideration. Sections IV–VI reveal connections of the results obtained for the damped oscillator to the results of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals interaction. The extended model of a damped oscillator is developed in Section VII, where the corresponding thermodynamic potentials are obtained as well. Section VIII concludes the paper.

## II. Basic equations for a damped oscillator coupled to a heat bath

Let a small system coupled to the heat bath be the system oscillator in contact with environmental oscillators, described by position operators  $\hat{Q}$  and  $\hat{q}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ), respectively. If the external perturbation in the Hamiltonian is of the form

$$\hat{V}_{\text{ext}} = -\hat{Q}f_{\text{ext},Q}(t) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \hat{q}_\alpha f_{\text{ext},\alpha}(t), \quad (1)$$

and the linear response to the external forces  $f_{\text{ext},Q}$ ,  $f_{\text{ext},\alpha}$  is described by the following relations for the Fourier components of the averaged quantities

$$Q(\omega) = \chi_{QQ}(\omega) f_{\text{ext},Q}(\omega) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \chi_{Q\alpha}(\omega) f_{\text{ext},\alpha}(\omega), \quad (2)$$

$$q_\alpha(\omega) = \chi_{\alpha Q}(\omega) f_{\text{ext},Q}(\omega) + \sum_{\delta=1}^N \chi_{\alpha\delta}(\omega) f_{\text{ext},\delta}(\omega), \quad (3)$$

then the fluctuation-dissipation theorem is known to read (see, for example, [56])

$$(Q^2)_\omega = \hbar \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \text{Im} [\chi_{QQ}(\omega)], \quad (4)$$

$$(q_\alpha Q)_\omega = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} [\chi_{Q\alpha}^*(\omega) - \chi_{\alpha Q}(\omega)], \quad (5)$$

$$(q_\alpha^2)_\omega = \hbar \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \text{Im} [\chi_{\alpha\alpha}(\omega)], \quad (6)$$

$$(q_\alpha q_\delta)_\omega = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} [\chi_{\delta\alpha}^*(\omega) - \chi_{\alpha\delta}(\omega)]. \quad (7)$$

Here  $(AB)_\omega$  denotes the spectral function of the symmetrized correlation function in the equilibrium state

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \hat{A}(t) \hat{B}(t') + \hat{B}(t') \hat{A}(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (AB)_\omega e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}. \quad (8)$$

If the quantum states are invariant with respect to the time inversion, the relations  $\chi_{Q\alpha}(\omega) = \chi_{\alpha Q}(\omega)$ ,  $\chi_{\alpha\delta}(\omega) = \chi_{\delta\alpha}(\omega)$  will hold and, for example, Eq. (5) reduces to the relation  $(q_\alpha Q)_\omega = \hbar \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \text{Im} [\chi_{Q\alpha}(\omega)]$ .

The linearized dynamic equation for a statistically averaged position of the system oscillator of mass  $M$  and frequency  $\Omega$  is, in the presence of the environment-induced dissipation and an external force,

$$M\ddot{Q}(t) + M \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \tilde{\gamma}(t-t') \dot{Q}(t') + M\Omega^2 Q(t) = f_{\text{ext}}(t), \quad (9)$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  is the dissipative memory function.

The corresponding frequency dependent susceptibility, therefore, takes the form  $\chi_{QQ}(\omega) \equiv \chi_Q(\omega)$ ,

$$\chi_Q(\omega) = \frac{1}{M[(\Omega^2 - \omega^2) - i\omega\gamma(\omega)]}, \quad (10)$$

where the damping function  $\gamma(\omega)$  is the Fourier transform of  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ .

The susceptibility of the  $\alpha$ th free oscillator with mass  $m_\alpha$  and frequency  $\omega_\alpha$  is

$$\chi_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{m_\alpha} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow +0. \quad (11)$$

For specifying crossed components  $\chi_{Q\alpha}(\omega)$ ,  $\chi_{\alpha\gamma}(\omega)$  of the matrix response function it is now convenient to introduce the full quantum Hamiltonian of the Zwanzig-Caldeira-Leggett model for a damped oscillator [30, 33]

with the classical external forces added:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} M \Omega^2 \hat{Q}^2 - \hat{Q} f_{\text{ext},Q} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left[ \frac{\hat{p}_\alpha^2}{2m_\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} m_\alpha \omega_\alpha^2 \left( \hat{q}_\alpha - \frac{C_\alpha}{m_\alpha \omega_\alpha^2} \hat{Q} \right)^2 \right] - \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \hat{q}_\alpha f_{\text{ext},\alpha}. \quad (12)$$

Here  $\hat{Q}$ ,  $\hat{P}$  and  $\hat{q}_\alpha$ ,  $\hat{p}_\alpha$  are position and momentum operators of the system oscillator and the  $\alpha$ th oscillator, respectively. The system oscillator interacts with the  $\alpha$ th environmental oscillator with the coupling strength  $C_\alpha$ . The interaction terms in (12) enter the Hamiltonian in the form that excludes the interaction-induced renormalization of the frequency  $\Omega$ .

Comparatively simple model (12) is known to allow a detailed description of the damped oscillator influenced by the environment [26, 30, 33, 37, 39, 40]. In particular, the quantum equations of motion, after excluding  $\hat{q}_\alpha$  from the equation for  $\hat{Q}$ , performing statistical averaging and the Fourier transform, reduce to

$$M[(\Omega^2 - \omega^2) - i\omega\gamma(\omega)] Q(\omega) = f_{\text{ext},Q}(\omega) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N C_\alpha \chi_\alpha(\omega) f_{\text{ext},\alpha}(\omega), \quad (13)$$

$$m_\alpha(\omega_\alpha^2 - \omega^2) q_\alpha(\omega) - C_\alpha Q(\omega) = f_{\text{ext},\alpha}(\omega). \quad (14)$$

One gets from (13), (14)

$$\chi_{QQ}(\omega) = \chi_Q(\omega), \quad (15)$$

$$\chi_{Q\alpha}(\omega) = \chi_{\alpha Q}(\omega) = C_\alpha \chi_Q(\omega) \chi_\alpha(\omega), \quad (16)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}(\omega) = \chi_\alpha(\omega) \left( 1 + C_\alpha^2 \chi_Q(\omega) \chi_\alpha(\omega) \right), \quad (17)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha\delta}(\omega) = C_\alpha C_\delta \chi_Q(\omega) \chi_\alpha(\omega) \chi_\delta(\omega), \quad \alpha \neq \delta, \quad (18)$$

where  $\chi_Q(\omega)$  and  $\chi_\alpha(\omega)$  are defined in (10), (11).

As seen in (4)-(7), (15)-(18) and (10), (11), the correlation functions considered contain the only quantity  $\gamma(\omega)$ , which is specified within the model (12) as

$$i\omega M \gamma(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N C_\alpha^2 [\chi_\alpha(\omega) - \chi_\alpha(0)] \quad (19)$$

and can be linked with the so-called interaction spectral density

$$J(\omega) = \theta(\omega) \sum_{\alpha=1}^N C_\alpha^2 \chi_\alpha''(\omega), \quad (20)$$

where  $\theta(\omega)$  is the unit step function and the double prime for  $\chi$  signifies the imaginary part.

The following expression for the damping function  $\gamma(\omega)$  via the interaction spectral density takes place

$$\gamma(\omega) = \frac{-2i\omega}{\pi M} \int_0^\infty \frac{J(\xi) d\xi}{\xi(\xi^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\varepsilon)}, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow +0. \quad (21)$$

The quantities defined in (19), (20) satisfy (21) not only when the particular expression (11) is used, but also for any admissible frequency dependent susceptibilities  $\chi_\alpha(\omega)$ . For establishing the validity of (21) in a general form, one should substitute (19) and (20) in (21), and apply to expressions  $[\chi_\alpha(\omega) - \chi_\alpha(0)]/\omega^2$  under the summation sign in the left-hand side the following Kramers-Kronig relation

$$\chi'(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi \chi''(\xi) d\xi}{\xi^2 - \omega^2}. \quad (22)$$

### III. Thermodynamic potentials of the damped oscillator

A comparatively simple way to find the interaction-induced contribution to the free energy of the system oscillator coupled to the heat bath is to equate, based on the general relationships of statistical physics [54–57], the free-energy derivative over the coupling parameter to the corresponding averaged derivative of the Hamiltonian, followed by the integration over the coupling parameter. To this end, multiplying all coupling constants  $C_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) in the Hamiltonian (12) by the same parameter  $\lambda$ , one gets for the averaged derivative of the Hamiltonian over  $\lambda$ :

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \hat{H}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^N C_\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left( (q_\alpha Q)_{\omega,\lambda} - \lambda C_\alpha \chi_\alpha(0) (Q^2)_{\omega,\lambda} \right). \quad (23)$$

Here  $\langle \dots \rangle_\lambda$  and  $(\dots)_{\omega,\lambda}$  designate the averaging over an equilibrium state of the system with the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}(\lambda)$  and the corresponding symmetrized spectral density, respectively.

As the susceptibilities (11) of the environmental oscillators  $\chi_\alpha(\omega)$  do not contain  $C_\alpha$ , they are independent of the coupling parameter  $\lambda$ , while the dissipative damping function  $\gamma(\omega, \lambda)$ , as follows from (19), manifests the quadratic dependence:  $\gamma(\omega, \lambda) = \lambda^2 \gamma(\omega)$ . The parameter-dependent response function  $\chi_Q(\omega, \lambda)$  of the system oscillator is therefore obtained from (10) after substituting  $\lambda^2 \gamma(\omega)$  for  $\gamma(\omega)$ .

Applying now the fluctuation-dissipation relationships (4), (5) and taking into account (15), (16), one expresses the quadratic and bilinear in position operators spectral densities in (23) via the corresponding response functions

and gets the free energy in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda F &= F(\lambda = 1) - F(\lambda = 0) = \int_0^1 d\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial \hat{H}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= - \text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \hbar \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \int_0^1 \frac{i\omega \lambda d\lambda \gamma(\omega)}{(\Omega^2 - \omega^2) - i\omega \lambda^2 \gamma(\omega)} \\ &= \text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{4\pi} \hbar \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \ln \frac{\Omega^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \gamma(\omega)}{\Omega^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow +0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Based on the analyticity of response functions in the upper half-plane of complex frequency one transforms (24) to the following form

$$\Delta_\lambda F = F - F_0 = T \sum_{n=0}' \ln \frac{\Omega^2 + \omega_n^2 + \omega_n \gamma(i\omega_n)}{\Omega^2 + \omega_n^2}, \quad (25)$$

where  $\omega_n = 2\pi nT/\hbar$  is the Matsubara frequency and the prime for the summation sign signifies that the term with  $n = 0$  is taken with a half-weight. The condition  $\gamma(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  at  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ , which is to be satisfied in realistic systems, has been taken into account in obtaining (25).

Albeit the term with  $n = 0$  actually vanishes, it is convenient to retain it in (25) for comparing further with the analogous expression applied to a more general case. It is a peculiarity of the Zwanzig-Caldeira-Leggett and related models that the interaction-induced effects in a system with a single degree of freedom vanish in the classical limit. This in particular takes place due to the absence of the interaction-induced renormalization of the oscillator's frequency  $\Omega$  within the model.

The interaction-induced part  $\Delta_\lambda F$  of the free energy of the system oscillator in (25) represents the difference of the total system's free energies taken with and without the system oscillator's interaction with the environment. The free energy  $F$  of the damped oscillator, as an open system coupled with the heat bath, is obtained from (25) by adding to its right-hand side the terms, which are independent of the coupling constants and ensure that in the absence of the interaction the quantity  $F$  coincides with the free energy of the free harmonic oscillator  $T \ln \left( 2 \sinh \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2T} \right) = T \ln \left[ \frac{\hbar\Omega}{T} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{\Omega^2}{\omega_n^2} \right) \right]$ . Therefore, one gets from (25) the following damped oscillator's free energy

$$F = T \ln \left[ \frac{\hbar\Omega}{T} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{\Omega^2}{\omega_n^2} + \frac{\gamma(i\omega_n)}{\omega_n} \right) \right]. \quad (26)$$

The free energy of the open system should take the form  $F = -T \ln Z(T)$ , where  $Z$  is the reduced partition function, which is the ratio of the partition function of the total system to the one of the unperturbed reservoir. One obtains, therefore, from (26) the well-known reduced



partition function of the damped linear quantum oscillator [26, 40]

$$Z(T) = \frac{T}{\hbar\Omega} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n^2}{\Omega^2 + \omega_n^2 + \omega_n\gamma(i\omega_n)}. \quad (27)$$

The expression for the internal energy of the damped oscillator follows directly from (26) on account of the standard relationship  $E = -T^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{F(T)}{T} \right)$ :

$$E = T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\Omega^2 + \omega_n\gamma(i\omega_n) - \omega_n^2 \frac{d\gamma(i\omega_n)}{d\omega_n}}{\Omega^2 + \omega_n^2 + \omega_n\gamma(i\omega_n)}. \quad (28)$$

Formula (26) for the free energy does not contain the frequency derivative of the damping function, since the coupling parameter in (23), (24) has been considered to vary at a fixed temperature and, hence, at fixed Matsubara frequencies. On the other hand, the expressions for those thermodynamic potentials that correspond to the processes with varying temperature, should inevitably include the derivative of the frequency dependent damping function taken at Matsubara frequencies, as exemplified in (28).

Internal energy (28) coincides with the result previously obtained by using the precalculated reduced partition function [16, 40]. The presence of the frequency derivative of the damping function in (28) is known to be associated with the temperature dependence of the Hamiltonian of mean force [26].

#### IV. Connection to the results by Dzyaloshinskii and Pitaevskii

The aim of the present and the following sections is to compare the basic formulas for thermodynamic potentials, obtained in the two theories under consideration, and to demonstrate that they can be presented in the same form when written in terms of similar quantities.

This section is based on one of the main model-free results, obtained by Dzyaloshinskii and Pitaevskii in Ref.[2] within the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces. It represents the variation of the interaction-induced free energy of the long wavelength electromagnetic fluctuational field in the inhomogeneous condensed matter system.

The linear electromagnetic response of condensed matter that enters the general expressions, is described in the theory in terms of the polarization operator  $\mathcal{P}$ , which is taken at Matsubara frequencies and linked with the dielectric function as

$$\mathcal{P}_{ik}(\omega_n, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{\hbar c^2} [\epsilon_{ik}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) - \delta_{ik} \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)]. \quad (29)$$

The corresponding variation of the free energy with a small change of the polarization operator  $\delta\mathcal{P}$  has been

found to take the form [2]

$$\delta F = -\frac{T}{4\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \mathcal{D}_{ik}(\omega_n, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \delta\mathcal{P}_{ki}(\omega_n, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1). \quad (30)$$

Here  $\mathcal{D}_{ik}(\omega_n, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$  is the temperature Green function of the long wavelength electromagnetic field in the medium. The corresponding retarded Green function is related to equilibrium correlations of the microscopic quantum electromagnetic potentials in the medium, with vanishing scalar potential as the gauge condition.

Consider now the variation of the interaction-induced free energy (25) (or (26)) with a small change of the damping function:

$$\delta F = -T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_Q(i\omega_n) [-M\omega_n \delta\gamma(i\omega_n)]. \quad (31)$$

Here the system oscillator response function (10) has been used. Eq.(31) holds for any possible frequency dependence of the damping function.

A close similarity of formulas (30) and (31) can be specified in detail. While the Green function in (30) is related to the correlations of fluctuating microscopic electromagnetic potentials in the medium, the response function  $\chi_Q$  describes the correlations of the system oscillator's position  $Q$  (see (4) and (15)). Also, the spectral density of electromagnetic Langevin forces in media is known to be related to the polarization operator, while the quantity  $i\omega M\gamma(\omega)$  is related to the spectral density of the Langevin forces in the case of the damped oscillator.

Furthermore, as seen in (13) and (10), the function  $\chi_Q(\omega)$  describes the linear response of the position  $Q$  to the external force  $f_{\text{ext},Q}(\omega)$ , while the relation between the friction force and the position  $Q$  is  $f_{\text{friction}}(\omega) = i\omega M\gamma(\omega)Q(\omega)$ . At the same time, the quantity  $-(1/\hbar c)\mathcal{D}$  is known to play the role of a generalized matrix nonlocal response function for the electromagnetic potentials induced by external currents, while  $-(c\hbar/4\pi)\mathcal{P}$  enters the relation between the current density and the electromagnetic potential in media. After grouping the quantities in question with indicated coefficients, one gets the same multipliers in front of the sums in both expressions (30) and (31).

Finally, the interaction with the long wavelength electromagnetic field is considered in the general theory as the interaction with a comparatively weak field in the sense that the contribution from such a field to the permittivity of the condensed matter is to be negligibly small. Therefore, the polarization operator (29) enters the expressions of the theory being multiplied by the corresponding coupling constant squared. Similarly, the susceptibilities of individual environmental oscillators in the model are assumed to be independent of the relevant coupling constants. This results in the quadratic dependence of the damping function  $\gamma(\omega)$  on the coupling parameter.

## V. Connection to the Casimir and van der Waals component of the total free energy

Going back to the free energy (25), one focuses on two functions  $D(\omega) = \Omega^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma(\omega)$  and  $D_0(\omega) = \Omega^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$ ), taken in (25) at the imaginary Matsubara frequencies  $\omega \rightarrow i\omega_n$ . Roots of the dispersion function  $D(\omega)$ , i.e., solutions of the dispersion equation

$$D(\omega) = \Omega^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma(\omega) = 0 \quad (32)$$

are complex eigenmodes of the damped oscillator. At the same time, the equality  $D_0(\omega) = 0$  defines the eigenmodes of a free oscillator, i.e., in the absence of any interaction with the thermal reservoir.

Thus, formula (25) takes the form

$$\Delta_\lambda F = F - F_0 = T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ln \frac{D(i\omega_n)}{D_0(i\omega_n)}. \quad (33)$$

It should be noted, that Eq. (33) represents the basic formula for the free energy in the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces [51]. The formula can be obtained within the general theory based, in particular, on the method of integration over the interaction parameter [54, 55], similar to that used in Section III for the problem of the damped oscillator.

Since Eqs. (30) and (31) are closely related, and the expression (25) coincides with (33), one can conclude that the interaction-induced part of the free energy of the damped oscillator is described within the framework of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces.

On account of vanishing interaction at infinitely large distances, the parameter  $\lambda$  in studying the Casimir and van der Waals interaction between the bodies can ultimately be associated with the distance between them. In such a case the free energies  $F$  and  $F_0$ , as well as the dispersion functions  $D(\omega)$  and  $D_0(\omega)$  in (33), correspond, respectively, to the distance  $l$  between the bodies and to the case with the bodies being extremely far apart. Therefore, in the limit  $l \rightarrow \infty$  one has  $D(\omega)/D_0(\omega) \rightarrow 1$ . If the function  $D(\omega)$  is factorized into a number of dispersion functions, then the factors independent of  $l$  will cancel each other out in (33). The remaining ratio of the two functions is usually considered as a normalized dispersion function that provides a distance-dependent spectrum of eigenmodes.

Furthermore, the eigenmodes in a system of macroscopic bodies of various geometries can depend on the variables that run over a continuous or quasi-continuous spectrum of values, such as the components of the wavevector. Separating out the continuous variables and labeling them by the letter  $\beta$ , one obtains from (33) the following form for the Casimir and van der Waals component of the free energy

$$\Delta_\lambda F = F - F_0 = T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \rho(\beta) d\beta \ln D(\beta, i\omega_n), \quad (34)$$

where  $\rho(\beta)$  is the state density.

Formulas (25) or (26) for the free energy of the damped oscillator have not been discussed in the literature, despite their evident connection with the well-known reduced partition function (27). Instead, the modified form of the result is usually used, which can be obtained from (25) by first transforming it to the integration along the real frequency axis (cf. (24)), using the equality  $D(\beta, -\omega) = D^*(\beta, \omega)$  and integrating by parts. This leads to the following equivalent to (25) ((33)) expression:

$$\Delta_\lambda F = \int_0^\infty T \ln \left( 2 \sinh \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \right) \Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega) d\omega, \quad (35)$$

$$\Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega) = \rho - \rho_0 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \ln \frac{D(\omega)}{D_0(\omega)}. \quad (36)$$

The partial derivative over frequency instead of the total one implies here possible appearance of additional variables that the dispersion function can depend upon. This possibility is discussed in the end of the present section and in Section VII.

Unlike the theory of Casimir and van der Waals interaction that in most cases considers only the interaction-induced part of the free energy, the simple model of the damped oscillator enables us to identify the free energy (26) of the damped oscillator interacting with the environment. Rewriting (26) in the form similar to (35), (36), one gets with  $D(\omega)$  defined in (32)

$$F = \int_0^\infty T \ln \left( 2 \sinh \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \right) \rho(\omega) d\omega, \quad (37)$$

$$\rho(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \ln(MD(\omega)). \quad (38)$$

In view of the equality  $MD(\omega) = \chi_Q^{-1}(\omega)$ , that follows from (10) and (32), one obtains from Eqs.(37), (38) within the original model of the damped oscillator

$$F = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty T \ln \left( 2 \sinh \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \right) \operatorname{Im} \frac{d \ln \chi_Q(\omega)}{d\omega} d\omega, \quad (39)$$

that coincides exactly with the result for the free energy used in the theory of a damped oscillator bilinearly coupled with the heat bath [12–15, 17, 26].

Similarly to Eqs.(35), (36), the interaction-induced free energy (34) of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces can also be rewritten as

$$\Delta_\lambda F = \int_0^\infty T \ln \left( 2 \sinh \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \right) \Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega) d\omega, \quad (40)$$

$$\Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \int \rho(\beta) d\beta \ln D(\beta, \omega). \quad (41)$$

Either of the two formulas (26), (39) can, generally speaking, be used for describing the free energy of the damped oscillator. Since (26) has a simpler form and does not contain the frequency derivative of the oscillator's susceptibility, the free energy in the form (26) or

(33) seems to be more preferable as compared to (39) or (37), (38). This is especially true with respect to the analysis of more complicated problems and in particular of typical problems of the theory of Casimir and van der Waals interactions, where the free energy in the form (34) has a number of significant advantages over (40), (41).

As known, permittivities take frequency dependent complex values and can manifest a complicated behavior along the real frequency axis. By contrast, they take real values and show a comparatively simple monotonic behavior along the upper imaginary half-axis. That was Lifshitz's reason [1] for transforming his basic result, initially including the integration over real frequencies, to the form containing the sum over Matsubara frequencies along the imaginary upper half-axis [58].

In addition, the derivatives of permittivities over the frequency that arise after applying (41) to inhomogeneous condensed matter systems, can dramatically complicate the form of the result, as compared to (34). Taking the well-known dispersion functions for the eigenmodes of the Lifshitz problem, one can easily exemplify those cumbersome expressions with frequency derivatives of the permittivities under the sign of integration along the real frequency half-axis. All these demonstrate that the representation of the free energy in the form (34) is far more preferable as compared to (40), (41).

Regarding the quantity  $\rho(\omega)$ , one notes that it enters (37) as an effective spectral density of the excitations. With  $\rho(\omega)$  reducing to a delta-function in the limit of negligibly small dissipation, one easily gets from (37) the free energy of a free oscillator. At the same time, the quantity  $\Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega)$  in (36) and (41) is, at best, the difference between two effective spectral densities of the system taken for the states with and without the interaction considered.

Furthermore, the expressions for different thermodynamic potentials will only contain the same quantity  $\Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega)$  in the absence of its temperature dependence. According to the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces, formulas (34) and (40), (41) for the free energy remain valid in the presence of the temperature dependent permittivities, that leads to a temperature dependence of  $\Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega, T)$ . In this case the expression for the internal energy, analogous to the free energy (40), (41) will contain a different quantity  $\Delta_\lambda \rho_E(\omega, T) \neq \Delta_\lambda \rho(\omega, T)$ :

$$\Delta_\lambda E = \int_0^\infty \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \Delta_\lambda \rho_E(\omega, T) d\omega, \quad (42)$$

$$\Delta_\lambda \rho_E(\omega, T) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} + \frac{T}{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) \int \rho(\beta) d\beta \ln D(\beta, \omega, T). \quad (43)$$

For the bilinear coupling of the system oscillator with the heat bath, the oscillator's damping function in the Zwanzig-Caldeira-Leggett model is known to be independent of the temperature. The extension of the model, which results in the same formulas (25), (26), (33) and

(35)-(39), but in the presence of a temperature dependent damping function  $\gamma(\omega, T)$  induced by the bilinear coupling with the environment, will be developed in Section VII.

## VI. Pair and many-body interactions between different parts of the heat bath

After identifying a close analogy between the two theories, one can specify the counterpart in the model of a damped oscillator that would correspond, at least formally, to the Casimir and van der Waals interaction between macroscopic bodies. As will be demonstrated in the present section, the interaction between different parts of the heat bath is the counterpart in question.

Although the environmental constituents do not affect each other directly, there occurs an effective indirect interaction between them through their coupling with the system oscillator. As a consequence of the many-body origin of the interaction, the free energy (25) and other thermodynamic potentials are nonadditive with respect to individual constituents' contributions, whereas the damping function (19) and the interaction spectral density (20) are the additive quantities.

The pair and the three-body components of the free energy follow from (25) in the weak coupling limit. Let the heat bath be subdivided into a number of distinct parts, and the corresponding damping function is  $\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^p \gamma_n$ . If the weak coupling limit applies to the interaction of the environment with the system oscillator, one can expand (25) in powers of  $\gamma$  and represent the free energy in the form  $F = \sum_n F_n + \sum_{n<m} F_{nm} + \sum_{n<m<l} F_{n,m,l} + \dots$ . Here the quantity  $F_n$  is an individual contribution of the  $n$ th part of the environment to the free energy, containing only the parameter  $\gamma_n$  and its powers.

The pair interaction induces the pair term in the free energy, and the main contribution from each pair corresponds to the "attraction" between the parts:

$$F_{12} = -T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n^2 \gamma_1(i\omega_n) \gamma_2(i\omega_n)}{(\Omega^2 + \omega_n^2)^2}. \quad (44)$$

Similarly, the main three-body contribution to the free energy from the combination of three different parts is

$$F_{123} = 2T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n^3 \gamma_1(i\omega_n) \gamma_2(i\omega_n) \gamma_3(i\omega_n)}{(\Omega^2 + \omega_n^2)^3}. \quad (45)$$

The sums over the Matsubara frequencies in (44), (45) converge even in the simplest Ohmic regime, when they can be expressed via the elementary and special functions, respectively. Thus one finds for the pair contribution, assuming  $\gamma_{1,2}$  to be independent of frequency,

$$F_{12} = -\frac{2\hbar\gamma_1\gamma_2}{\Omega} \left[ \coth \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2T} - \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2T} \sinh^{-2} \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2T} \right]. \quad (46)$$

Vanishing interaction in the high temperature limit, that in general does not take place, is associated here with the same specific property of the Zwanzig-Caldeira-Leggett model that was mentioned in Section III.

### VII. Damped oscillator linearly interacting with the dissipative environment

A large number of free oscillators with infinitesimal damping functions, that describe the spectral structure of the heat bath in the damped oscillator model, can be considered as representing environmental eigenmodes. The corresponding eigenfrequencies are real, since the heat bath in the absence of the system oscillator is assumed to be a closed system itself.

The coupling of the system oscillator with an individual mode is assumed to be weak, which explains the very small perturbation of the environmental constituents, and generally supports the bilinear form of the interaction Hamiltonian. At the same time, the system oscillator can experience a pronounced dissipative influence collectively produced by a large number of environmental modes. As a result, in the original model the frequency dependent finite damping function  $\gamma(\omega)$  of the system oscillator can vary substantially, in accordance with (19)-(21), when the interaction spectral density changes together with the spectral distribution of environmental real eigenfrequencies [22, 23, 33, 37, 40].

Such an approach is known to be widely and efficiently used for studying problems that mostly focus on the emergence of dissipation and its effects in quantum dynamical systems. On the other hand, one cannot exclude a possibility that the modes coupled to the system oscillator do not present all environmental degrees of freedom. Additional internal channels of the heat bath, not linked directly to the system oscillator but, nevertheless, in contact with the basic environmental modes, can result in frequency and temperature dependent dissipative susceptibilities  $\chi_\alpha(\omega, T)$  that replace those with infinitesimal damping functions of the original model. A system oscillator's influence on the environmental susceptibilities, assumed to be negligible, should be compared in this case with the corresponding contributions of the additional channels that could dominate it.

With an unspecified part of the total Hamiltonian associated with the additional environmental channels, the extended model outlined here is, generally speaking, not intended to study the total system's quantum dynamics. However, as shown in this section, the interaction-induced thermodynamic quantities of the damped oscillator can be described within the extended framework analogously to the case considered in Section III. The key point here is that the interaction part of the extended Hamiltonian, which is required for obtaining the thermodynamic quantities, remains unchanged and can be separated out from the total Hamiltonian by taking its derivative over the corresponding coupling parameter.

Thus, one represents the total Hamiltonian in the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\Omega^2\hat{Q}^2 - \hat{Q}f_{\text{ext},Q} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \hat{q}_\alpha f_{\text{ext},\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{2\chi_\alpha(0, T)} \left( \hat{q}_\alpha - C_\alpha \chi_\alpha(0, T) \hat{Q} \right)^2 + \hat{\hat{H}}, \quad (47)$$

where the term  $\hat{\hat{H}}$  is not specified here, except it contains neither the system oscillator's operators  $\hat{Q}$ ,  $\hat{P}$ , nor the coupling constants  $C_\alpha$ .

The dynamic equation for  $\hat{q}_\alpha(t)$ , as follows from the total Hamiltonian (47) after performing the Fourier transform, statistical averaging and the exclusion of additional degrees of freedom, is supposed to take the form

$$\chi_\alpha^{-1}(\omega, T) q_\alpha(\omega) = C_\alpha Q(\omega) + f_{\text{ext},\alpha}(\omega), \quad (48)$$

in accordance with the bilinear form of the interaction term and the definition of linear susceptibilities. Eq. (48) differs from (14) solely due to the difference between (11) and the dissipative environmental susceptibility  $\chi_\alpha(\omega, T)$  of the  $\alpha$ th constituent of the heat bath.

Following Section II with Eqs. (47), (48) instead of (12), (14), one arrives at the same equalities (1)-(3) and fluctuation-dissipation relationships (4)-(7), as well as (9), (10) for the system damped oscillator. One can also conclude that the equalities (13), (15), (16) and (19)-(21) will be satisfied with the modified susceptibilities  $\chi_\alpha(\omega, T)$  substituted for the original ones (11).

Going on to the derivation in Section III, one multiplies the coupling constants  $C_\alpha$  in the Hamiltonian (47) by the same coupling parameter  $\lambda$ . This does not affect the environmental susceptibilities  $\chi_\alpha(\omega, T)$ , that are not noticeably influenced by the system oscillator and, therefore, not dependent on  $\lambda$ . On account of (19), the system oscillator's damping function is the quadratic function on  $\lambda$  as before:  $\gamma(\omega, T, \lambda) = \lambda^2 \gamma(\omega, T)$ . Thus, after obtaining the same expression (23) for the derivative of the modified Hamiltonian (47) over  $\lambda$  and using the relationships (4), (5) and (15), (16), one can integrate over the coupling parameter and obtain the same expressions (24)-(26), (33) for the interaction-induced free energy of the damped system oscillator, as well as formula (27) for the reduced partition function.

The free energy written in any of the forms (25), (26), (33), (37)-(39) now applies to the case, in which the oscillator's damping function  $\gamma(\omega, T)$  depends, in any admissible form, not only on the frequency but also on the temperature, despite the bilinear interaction of the system oscillator with the heat bath. However, the expression (28) for the internal energy of the damped oscillator is to be modified under such conditions:

$$E = T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\Omega^2 + \omega_n \gamma(i\omega_n) - \omega_n^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_n} + \frac{T}{\omega_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) \gamma(i\omega_n, T)}{\Omega^2 + \omega_n^2 + \omega_n \gamma(i\omega_n, T)}. \quad (49)$$



Eq.(49) can also be represented in the form

$$E = \int_0^\infty \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2T} \rho_E(\omega, T) d\omega, \quad (50)$$

$$\rho_E(\omega, T) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} + \frac{T}{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) \ln(MD(\omega, T)), \quad (51)$$

that agrees with (42), (43).

The extended model presented in this section demonstrates that thermodynamics of the quantum damped oscillator, bilinearly interacting with the heat bath, can be theoretically described without the model-imposed assumptions simplifying the realistic structure of the environment. This, in particular, makes it possible for the RCL circuit with metal resistance, which is controlled by the electron-phonon interaction, the electron scattering by impurities, and other internal physical processes in the metal, to be studied within the extended model. The inclusion of model-free internal environmental processes into the model of a damped oscillator has been introduced here along the lines of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals interactions, in its application to realistic condensed matter systems with permittivities of a general form.

The above consideration also demonstrates the intrinsic inconsistency of recent criticism of the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces, which is based on the absence in the theory of an explicit expression for the total Hamiltonian of the quantum electromagnetic field in the condensed matter systems [59–63]. The conclusion made in Refs. [59–63] is that such a theory is incapable of presenting a consistent microscopic quantum description of thermodynamic quantities for interacting quantum systems.

The extended model is a comparatively simple example that reveals the erroneous nature of this claim. It shows that there is no need to know the environmental part of the total Hamiltonian, which contains no corresponding coupling constants, in order to obtain the interaction-induced thermodynamic quantities of the quantum system bilinearly interacting with the environment. As the fluctuation-dissipation relationships identify response functions based on their microscopic expressions, the approach has the firm microscopic basis. The environmental linear response functions can further be considered as known from other theoretical or experimental studies. This allows one to separate the given problem from a full dynamic description of the total quantum system.

One should also mention a number of models for absorbing condensed media that interact with the quantum electromagnetic field, which, in particular, are used to study the Casimir and van der Waals interaction [59, 60, 62–76]. Those models include linearly polarizable oscillators, or the medium consisting of microscopic harmonic fields, which interact with the electromagnetic field in the way analogous to Refs. [77, 78], and, on top of that, they interact with the oscillators of the heat bath [64, 79]. The latter interaction results in the dissipative effects in the system and has a certain similarity to

the damped oscillator model considered in this paper. The canonical quantization of the electromagnetic field, carried out by Huttner and Barnett in Ref. [79] after a Fano diagonalization [80] of the total model Hamiltonian, has led to the quantum current noise that is compatible with all fluctuation-dissipation relations. In addition, the functional parameters of the interaction Hamiltonian were chosen to imitate any given frequency dependent dissipative medium dielectric function that satisfies the Kramers-Kronig relationships. The resulting macroscopic quantum electrodynamics in the absorbing dispersive media has allowed the quantum effects of the matter-field interaction in material bodies and their vicinity to be studied within the model [61, 67, 79, 81–96].

Considering the model results are ultimately expressed via the system's dielectric function with the arbitrary frequency dependence, one might expect them to be of a more general character than the underlying model Hamiltonian. This would mean, however, that the final results can always be expressed via the permittivities of absorbing dispersive media. Although this point is valid in respect of the Casimir and van der Waals interaction [2], it has not been confirmed in general [97] (see also [98]). Furthermore, unlike the frequency dependence, the permittivity's temperature dependence cannot be reproduced with the microscopic model Hamiltonian, which contains only quadratic and bilinear operator terms, with no temperature dependent parameters.

Thus, the results for dispersion interactions, obtained with the models for absorbing condensed media, can be expressed via material permittivities and must be in agreement with the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces [2, 4] within its range of applicability. This, however, bears no direct relation to the results of the present paper. The interaction of medium oscillators with oscillators of the medium heat bath, that contributes to permittivities, is not dependent on the distance between the condensed matter bodies. Therefore, a substantial part of free energy, induced by such an interaction, has no relevance to the distance dependent Casimir and van der Waals contribution to free energy.

By contrast, the contribution to free energy examined in the paper is entirely induced by the interaction of the system oscillator with the environment. The paper has uncovered the similarity between the damped oscillator model in its original form, where the system oscillator interacts bilinearly with oscillators of the heat bath, and the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces, which is free from model assumptions when it considers the long wavelength fluctuational quantum electromagnetic field interacting with condensed matter bodies.

## VIII. Conclusions

One can conclude that equilibrium thermodynamics of a quantum damped oscillator bilinearly coupled to the heat bath, studied within the Zwanzig-Caldeira-Leggett

model, can be described directly by the general theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces. A significant overlap between the two theories is identified and specified above. A model for a damped oscillator, which extends

the original model and allows frequency and temperature dependence of the system oscillator's damping function, is presented together with the modified corresponding thermodynamic potentials.

- 
- [1] E. M. Lifshitz, Sov. Phys. JETP **2**, 73 (1956).
  - [2] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii and L. P. Pitaevskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **9**, 1282 (1959).
  - [3] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **10**, 161 (1959).
  - [4] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, Adv. Phys. **10**, 165 (1961).
  - [5] S. K. Lamoreaux, Rep. Prog. Phys. **68**, 201 (2004).
  - [6] V. A. Parsegian, *Van der Waals Forces: A Handbook for Biologists, Chemists, Engineers, and Physicists* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2006).
  - [7] G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1827 (2009).
  - [8] M. Bordag, G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, *Advances in the Casimir Effect* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, UK, 2009).
  - [9] R. H. French, V. A. Parsegian, R. Podgornik, R. F. Rajter, A. Jagota, J. Luo, D. Asthagiri, M. K. Chaudhury, Y.-m. Chiang, S. Granick, S. Kalinin, M. Kardar, R. Kjellander, D. C. Langreth, J. Lewis, S. Lustig, D. Wesolowski, J. S. Wettlaufer, W.-Y. Ching, M. Finnis, F. Houlihan, O. A. von Lilienfeld, C. J. van Oss, and T. Zemb, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1887 (2010).
  - [10] *Casimir Physics*, Vol. 834 of Lecture Notes Phys., ed. by D. Dalvit, P. Milonni, D. Roberts, and F. Rosa (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2011).
  - [11] L. M. Woods, D. A. R. Dalvit, A. Tkatchenko, P. Rodriguez-Lopez, A. W. Rodriguez, and R. Podgornik, Rev. Mod. Phys. **88**, 045003 (2016).
  - [12] G. W. Ford, J. T. Lewis, and R. F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2273 (1985).
  - [13] G. Ford, J. Lewis, and R. O'Connell, Ann. Physics **185**, 270 (1988).
  - [14] G. W. Ford, J. T. Lewis, and R. F. O'Connell, J. Stat. Phys. **53**, 439 (1988).
  - [15] G. W. Ford and R. F. O'Connell, Physica E **29**, 82 (2005).
  - [16] P. Hänggi and G.-L. Ingold, Acta Phys. Polon. B **37**, 1537 (2006).
  - [17] G. W. Ford and R. F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 134301 (2007).
  - [18] C. Hörhammer and H. Büttner, J. Stat. Phys. **133**, 1161 (2008).
  - [19] P. Hänggi, G.-L. Ingold, and P. Talkner, New J. Phys. **10**, 115008 (2008).
  - [20] G.-L. Ingold, P. Hänggi, and P. Talkner, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 061105 (2009).
  - [21] T. G. Philbin, New J. Phys. **14**, 083043 (2012).
  - [22] B. Spreng, G.-L. Ingold, and U. Weiss, EPL **103**, 60007 (2013).
  - [23] R. Adamietz, G.-L. Ingold, and U. Weiss, Eur. Phys. J. B **87**, 90 (2014).
  - [24] T. G. Philbin and J. Anders, J. Phys. A **49**, 215303 (2016).
  - [25] M. Kolář, A. Ryabov, and R. Filip, Sci. Rep. **9**, 10855 (2019).
  - [26] P. Talkner and P. Hänggi, Rev. Mod. Phys. **92**, 041002 (2020).
  - [27] V. B. Magalinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **9**, 1381 (1959).
  - [28] G. W. Ford, M. Kac, and P. Mazur, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 504 (1965).
  - [29] P. Ullersma, Physica **32**, 27, 56, 74, 90 (1966).
  - [30] R. Zwanzig, J. Stat. Phys. **9**, 215 (1973).
  - [31] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 211 (1981).
  - [32] A. Schmid, J. Low Temp. Phys. **49**, 609 (1982).
  - [33] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Annals of Physics **149**, 374 (1983).
  - [34] H. Grabert, U. Weiss, and P. Talkner, Z. Phys. B **55**, 87 (1984).
  - [35] P. S. Riseborough, P. Hänggi, and U. Weiss, Phys. Rev. A **31**, 471 (1985).
  - [36] G. W. Ford and M. Kac, J. Stat. Phys. **46**, 803 (1987).
  - [37] H. Grabert, P. Schramm, and G.-L. Ingold, Phys. Rep. **168**, 115 (1988).
  - [38] G. W. Ford, J. T. Lewis, and R. F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. A **37**, 4419 (1988).
  - [39] P. Hänggi and G.-L. Ingold, Chaos **15**, 026105 (2005).
  - [40] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems*, 4th ed., World Scientific, Singapore (2012).
  - [41] A. O. Caldeira, *An Introduction to Macroscopic Quantum Phenomena and Quantum Dissipation* (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2014).
  - [42] A. J. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A. T. Dorsey, M. P. A. Fisher, A. Garg, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 1 (1987).
  - [43] G.-L. Ingold, A. Lambrecht, and S. Reynaud, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 041113 (2009).
  - [44] Yu. S. Barash and V. L. Ginzburg, JETP Lett. **15**, 403 (1972).
  - [45] Following the analogy identified here between the results of the two theories, the dissipative material properties should be compared solely with the dissipative properties of the heat bath rather than the system oscillator. The role of the latter in the model is analogous to that played by the fluctuational electromagnetic field in the theory of Casimir and van der Waals forces.
  - [46] C. H. Obcemea, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **31**, 113 (1987).
  - [47] F. London, Trans. Faraday Soc. **33**, 8b (1937).
  - [48] H. B. G. Casimir, Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. **51**, 793 (1948).
  - [49] N. Van Kampen, B. Nijboer, and K. Schram, Phys. Lett. A **26**, 307 (1968).
  - [50] B. W. Ninham, V. A. Parsegian, and G. H. Weiss, J. Stat. Phys. **2**, 323 (1970).
  - [51] Y. S. Barash and V. L. Ginzburg, Sov. Phys. Usp. **18**, 305 (1975).
  - [52] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gor'kov, I. Y. Dzyaloshinskii, *Quantum Field Theoretical Methods in Statistical*

- Physics*, 2nd ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1965).
- [53] E. M. Lifshitz, L. P. Pitaevskii, *Statistical Physics. Part 2. Theory of the Condensed State* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1995).
  - [54] Y. S. Barash, *Van der Waals Forces* (Nauka, Moscow, 1988) [in Russian].
  - [55] Y. S. Barash and V. L. Ginzburg, in *The Dielectric Function of Condensed Systems*, Vol. 24 of Modern Problems in Condensed Matter Sciences, Ed. by L. V. Keldysh, D. A. Kirzhnits, and A. A. Maradudin, (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989), Chap. 6, p. 389.
  - [56] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Course of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 5: *Statistical Physics*, 3rd ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1980).
  - [57] A. A. Abrikosov, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Metals* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988).
  - [58] That had been done by Lifshitz before the Matsubara's paper was published.
  - [59] F. S. S. Rosa, D. A. R. Dalvit, and P. W. Milonni, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 033812 (2010).
  - [60] G. Barton, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 113045 (2010).
  - [61] T. G. Philbin, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 123008 (2010).
  - [62] F. S. S. Rosa, D. A. R. Dalvit, and P. W. Milonni, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 053813 (2011).
  - [63] T. G. Philbin, *New J. Phys.* **13**, 063026 (2011).
  - [64] D. Kupiszewska, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 2286 (1992).
  - [65] G. Barton, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **453**, 2461 (1997).
  - [66] S. Y. Buhmann and D.-G. Welsch, *Prog. Quantum Electron.* **31**, 51 (2007).
  - [67] S. Scheel and S. Y. Buhmann, *Acta Phys. Slov.* **58**, 675 (2008).
  - [68] F. S. S. Rosa, D. A. R. Dalvit, and P. W. Milonni, in *Doing Physics: A Festschrift for Thomas Erber*, ed. by P. W. Johnson (Illinois Inst. Technol. Press, Chicago, IL, 2010), Chap. 18, p. 187; [arXiv:0912.0279](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0279).
  - [69] G. Barton, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **23**, 355004 (2011).
  - [70] C. Eberlein and R. Zietal, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 062507 (2012).
  - [71] R. Bennett, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 062512 (2014).
  - [72] M. Bordag, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 062504 (2017).
  - [73] M. A. Braun, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **190**, 237 (2017).
  - [74] J. Klatt, M. B. Farías, D. A. R. Dalvit, and S. Y. Buhmann, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 052510 (2017).
  - [75] M. Bordag, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **195**, 834 (2018).
  - [76] H. Safari, P. Barcellona, S. Y. Buhmann, and A. Salam, *New J. Phys.* **22**, 053049 (2020).
  - [77] U. Fano, *Phys. Rev.* **103**, 1202 (1956).
  - [78] J. J. Hopfield, *Phys. Rev.* **112**, 1555 (1958).
  - [79] B. Huttner and S. M. Barnett, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 4306 (1992).
  - [80] U. Fano, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 1866 (1961).
  - [81] S. M. Barnett, B. Huttner, and R. Loudon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 3698 (1992).
  - [82] T. Gruner and D.-G. Welsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 3246 (1995).
  - [83] R. Matloob, R. Loudon, S. M. Barnett, and J. Jeffers, *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 4823 (1995).
  - [84] R. Matloob and R. Loudon, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 4567 (1996).
  - [85] T. Gruner and D.-G. Welsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 1818 (1996).
  - [86] H. T. Dung, L. Knöll, and D.-G. Welsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 3931 (1998).
  - [87] A. Tip, L. Knöll, S. Scheel, and D.-G. Welsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 043806 (2001).
  - [88] L. G. Suttorp and M. Wubs, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 013816 (2004).
  - [89] N. A. R. Bhat and J. E. Sipe, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 063808 (2006).
  - [90] C. Eberlein and R. Zietal, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 022111 (2012).
  - [91] R. Bennett and C. Eberlein, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 012107 (2013).
  - [92] S. Y. Buhmann, *Dispersion Forces I: Macroscopic Quantum Electrodynamics and Ground-State Casimir, Casimir-Polder and van der Waals Forces*, Vol. 247 of Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013).
  - [93] A. Drezet, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 033849 (2017).
  - [94] M. Kosik, O. Burlayenko, C. Rockstuhl, I. Fernandez-Corbaton, and K. Słowik, *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 10855 (2020).
  - [95] C. Forestiere, G. Miano, M. Pascale, and R. Tricarico, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 043704 (2020).
  - [96] C. You, A. C. Nelliikka, I. D. Leon, and O. S. Magaña-Loaiza, *Nanophotonics* **9**, 1243 (01 Jun. 2020).
  - [97] V. I. Perel' and Y. M. Pinskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **27**, 1014 (1968).
  - [98] L. P. Pitaevskii, in Ref. [10], Chap. 2, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **834**, 23 (2011).