New cosmological solutions in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity from dynamical symmetries

Andronikos Paliathanasis[1,*]

[1] *Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology, Durban 4000, Republic of South Africa*

(Dated: December 20, 2021)

We investigate the existence of Liouville integrable cosmological models in hybrid metric-Palatini theory. Specifically we use the symmetry conditions for the existence of quadratic in the momentum conservation laws for the field equations as constraint conditions for the determination of the unknown functional form of the theory. The exact and analytic solutions of the integrable systems which found in this study are presented in terms of quadratics and Laurent expansions.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 95.35.+d, 95.36.+x
Keywords: Cosmology; Modified gravity; Exact solutions; Symmetries; Hybrid gravity

arXiv:2011.05615v2 [gr-qc] 13 Nov 2020

1. INTRODUCTION

Modified theories of gravity [1] are of special interest because they preserve the geometric character of the gravitational theory, as it has been introduced by General Relativity, while the modified gravitational theories provide geometric mechanisms for the explanation of the recent cosmological observations [2–6]. One of the first modification of the Einstein-Hilbert Action Integral which has been proposed in the literature is that of quadratic gravity where all possible terms quadratic in the curvature are introduced in the gravitational Action Integral [7]. Applications of quadratic gravity in cosmological studies can be found in [8–11] and references therein. When only the quadratic term of the Ricciscalar is introduced into the gravitational Action Integral, this specific model is also known as Starobinsky model for of Inflation [12]. The importance of the latter gravitational model is that it provides an inflationary scenario which is favoured by the observations. Generalizations of the Starobinsky mode have been proposed in the literature, where other functional forms of the Ricciscalar have been introduced in the gravitational Action Integral [13, 14], the proposed gravitational models form a family of theories known as $f(R)$-theories of gravity [15], in which R is the Ricciscalar corresponding to the metric tensor.

Nowadays, there is a family of modified theories of gravity which are known as $f(X)$-theories, where X is a geometric invariant. In these theories, a function f of the geometric invariant X is introduced into the gravitational Action Integral in order to provide new geometrodynamical terms which drive the dynamics in a way to explain the observations. In the case where X is the Ricciscalar of the underlying metric, then $f(R)$-theory is recovered [15], while, when X is the Palatini curvature scalar $\mathcal{R}$, the affine $f(\mathcal{R})$-theory is recovered [16]. Other $f-$theories of special interest are the, $f(T)$ teleparallel gravity [17–19], the $f(G)$ Gauss-Bonnet theory [20–23] and many others, for instance see [24–29] and references therein.

In this study we are interested in the integrability properties and in the existence of exact and analytic cosmological solutions in the so-called hybrid metric-Palatini theory [30–33]. In this specific modified theory a function f of the Palatini curvature scalar $\mathcal{R}$ it is introduced into the Einstein-Hilbert Action Integral. The resulting gravitational theory is a fourth-order theory and equivalent with a scalar tensor theory. There are various applications of hybrid metric Palatini theory in gravitational physics, gravitational waves studied in [34], exact wormhole solutions were studied in [35, 36], while in [40–42] some exact and analytic cosmological solutions in the classical and the quantum levels derived, while cosmological constraints on the theory from the background evolution were presented in [43]. For further applications and extensions of hybrid metric-Palatini theory we refer the reader in the recent review [44].

To determine exact and analytic cosmological solutions of the fourth-order hybrid metric-Palatini theory, we consider a mathematical treatment for the field equations mainly known in Analytic Mechanics. More precisely we apply the theory of invariant transformations such that to derive invariant surfaces on the phase space of the dynamical system which describe conservation laws for the gravitational field equations. That approach has been applied in other cosmological models and provided many interesting results, see the review in [45]. In this work we investigate the existence of dynamical/contact symmetries for the gravitational field equations. This kind of symmetry is more

*Electronic address: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

general than the point symmetries and can provide new results on the study of integrability of dynamical systems [46]. The structure of the paper is as follows.

In Section 2, we present the cosmological model of our consideration, which is that of hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational theory where in the Einstein-Hilbert Action Integral a function f of the $\mathcal{R}$ is the Palatini curvature scalar is introduced. With the use of Lagrange multiplier the theory can be written in the equivalent form of a scalar-tensor theory. In addition, for the cosmological background space we consider that of spatially flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker. One interesting property of that consideration is that the gravitational field equations are described by a point-like Lagrangian. That is an important characteristic for the rest of our analysis. The point-like Lagrangian is described by an unknown function related by the $f(\mathcal{R})$ function. In Section 3, we present the basic theory of dynamical symmetries and of singularity analysis. We perform the complete classification scheme of the gravitational point-like Lagrangian by determining all the functional forms of the unknown function for which the gravitational field equations admit conservation laws given by dynamical symmetries known as contact symmetries, which provide quadratic in the momentum conservation laws. In Section 4, for the integrable gravitational systems which followed from the classification scheme, we write the exact and analytic solutions by reducing the system in quadratures or by using the singularity analysis such that to write the analytic solutions with the use of Laurent expansions. The qualitative behaviour of the exact solutions is also discussed. Finally in Section 5 we draw our conclusions.

2. HYBRID GRAVITY

Hybrid metric-Palatini gravity is a modified theory of gravity which belongs to the so-called $f-$theories, where in the Einstein-Hilbert Action Integral a function $f=f(\mathcal{R})$ is introduced where $\mathcal{R}$ is the Palatini curvature scalar which is constructed by an independent connection $\tilde{\Gamma}$ [44].

More precisely, the gravitational Action Integral in hybrid metric-Palatini theory is defined as [31, 32]

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(R+f(\mathcal{R})\right)+S_m, \tag{1}$$

where R is the metric Ricci curvature scalar and S_m describes the Action Integral for the matter source. Variation with respect to the metric tensor of (1) provides the gravitational field equations which are [32]

$$G_{\mu\nu}+f'(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}f(\mathcal{R})g_{\mu\nu}=T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor for the metric $g_{\mu n}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is a Ricci tensor constructed by the conformally related metric $h_{\mu\nu}=f'(\mathcal{R})g_{\mu\nu}$, that is, [32]

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}=R_{\mu\nu}+\frac{3}{2}\frac{f(\mathcal{R})_{,\mu}f(\mathcal{R})_{,\nu}}{\left(f(\mathcal{R})\right)^2}-\frac{1}{f(\mathcal{R})}f(\mathcal{R})_{;\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2f(\mathcal{R})}g_{\mu\nu}\left(f(\mathcal{R})_{;\kappa\lambda}g^{\kappa\lambda}\right), \tag{3}$$

prime denotes total derivative with respect to the curvature $\mathcal{R}$, that is $f'(\mathcal{R})=\frac{d}{d\mathcal{R}}f(\mathcal{R})$, and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy momentum tensor related with the Action Integral S_m.

From (3) we observe that the field equations (2) are of higher-order and thus we can always define a Lagrange multiplier in (1) to reduce the order of the field equations and increase the dimension of the dynamical system, that is, the number of the dependent variables.

Consider the Lagrange multiplier λ, where the Action Integral becomes [32]

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(R+f(\hat{R})+\lambda\left(\mathcal{R}-\hat{R}\right)\right)+S_m, \tag{4}$$

while the new variable $\hat{R}$ is defined as $\hat{R}\equiv\mathcal{R}$.

Variation with respect the variable $\hat{R}$ in (4) gives the constraint for the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda=f'\left(\hat{R}\right)$ such that the Action Integral (4) is simplified as follows

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(R+f(\hat{R})+f'(\hat{R})\left(\mathcal{R}-\hat{R}\right)\right)+S_m, \tag{5}$$

by replacing in $\mathcal{R}=R+\frac{3}{2}\left(\left(\frac{f'(\mathcal{R})}{f(\mathcal{R})}\right)^2-2\left(f(\mathcal{R})_{;\kappa\lambda}g^{\kappa\lambda}\right)\right)$ and define the new field ϕ such that $\phi=f'(\mathcal{R})$ and the function $V(\phi)=\mathcal{R}f'(\mathcal{R})-f(\mathcal{R})$ the gravitational Action Integral can be written as the following Scalar-tensor theory

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left((1+\phi)R+\frac{3}{2\phi}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi-V(\phi)\right)+S_m. \tag{6}$$

2.1. Hybrid cosmology

In the case of spatially flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) spacetime [40]

$$ds^2=-N^2(t)\,dt^2+a^2(t)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right). \tag{7}$$

the gravitation field equations (2) are written in the form of the Scalar tensor equivalence (6) as follows [40]

$$6a\dot{a}^2(1+\phi)+6a^2\dot{a}\dot{\phi}+\frac{3}{2\phi}a^3\dot{\phi}^2-a^3V(\phi)=0 \tag{8}$$

$$4(1+\phi)\dot{H}+4H\dot{\phi}+6(1+\phi)H^2-\frac{3}{2}\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi}+2\ddot{\phi}-V(\phi)=0 \tag{9}$$

where $H=\frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble function and we have set $N(t)=1$; the Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field is

$$3\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\phi}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^2+9H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}+6\dot{H}+12H^2-V_{,\phi}=0. \tag{10}$$

The latter equation is nothing else that the definition of the scalar $\mathcal{R}$.

The gravitational field equations (8)-(10) can be derived by the singular point-like Lagrangian [40]

$$\mathcal{L}\left(N,a,\dot{a},\phi,\dot{\phi}\right)=\frac{1}{N}\left(6a\dot{a}^2(1+\phi)+6a^2\dot{a}\dot{\phi}+\frac{3}{2\phi}a^3\dot{\phi}^2\right)+Na^3V(\phi), \tag{11}$$

where the constraint equation is derived from the variation of $\mathcal{L}\left(N,a,\dot{a},\phi,\dot{\phi}\right)$ with respect to the singular variable N, that is $\frac{\partial L}{\partial N}=0$. On the other hand, the second-order differential equations are derived with respect to the scale factor $a(t)$ and the scalar field $\phi(t)$, respectively.

At this point it is important to mention that the field equations (8)-(10) constitute a Hamiltonian system, where the constraint equation (8) is a conservation law for the field equations with a constraint value. However, because the dimension of the dynamical system is two, in order to infer about the integrability of the dynamical system and study the existence of closed-form solutions we should investigate the existence of additional conservation laws for specific functional forms of the potential $V(\phi)$, that is, the form of $f(\mathcal{R})$. In a previous study [40] the above system has been constrained according to the existence of point symmetries.

In this work we investigate the case for which conservation laws quadratic in the momentum exist for the gravitational field equations. These conservation laws are related with the existence of dynamical symmetries; the latter are constructed by the admitted Killing symmetries of the line element which defines the kinetic energy of the point-like Lagrangian (11). This specific line element is also known as minisuperspace.

3. DYNAMICAL SYMMETRIES

We assume the system of second-order differential equations

$$\ddot{q}^a=\omega^a(t,q,\dot{q}). \tag{12}$$

and the infinitesimal transformation with generator

$$X=\xi(t,q,\dot{q})\partial_t+\eta^a(t,q,\dot{q})\partial_{q^a} \tag{13}$$

which is defined in the jet space $J^1\{t,q^a,\dot{q}\}$. The vector field X is called a Lie symmetry for the system of differential equations (12) when the transformation under the vector X preserves the form of the equation and transforms solutions of the dynamical system into solutions. In the case for which $\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial\dot{q}}=\frac{\partial\eta^\alpha}{\partial\dot{q}}=0$, the symmetry vector is called a Lie point symmetry, while when functions ξ and η^α are linear in $\dot{q}$, the vector field X is called contact symmetry or dynamical symmetry.

The mathematical condition for the vector field X to be a symmetry vector of (12) is [47, 48]

$$[X^{[1]},\Gamma]=\lambda(t,q,\dot{q})\Gamma. \tag{14}$$

where Γ is the Hamiltonian vector $\Gamma=\frac{d}{dt}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\dot{q}^a\frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}+\omega^a\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{q}^a}$, and $X^{[1]}$ is the first extension of X defined as $X^{[1]}=\xi(t,q,\dot{q})\partial_t+\eta^a(t,q,\dot{q})\partial_{q^a}+\left(\dot{\eta}^a-\dot{q}^a\dot{\xi}\right)\partial_{\dot{q}^a}$. It is important to mention that in the case of dynamical symmetries one has an extra degree of freedom which is removed if one demands an extra condition , usually someone requires the gauge condition $\xi=0$ so that the generator is simplified to $X^{[1]}=\eta^a(t,q,\dot{q})\partial_{q^a}+\dot{\eta}^a\partial_{\dot{q}^a}$.

If (12) follows from the variation of the Action Integral $S=\int L\left(t,q,\dot{q}\right)dt$, then under the transformation with generator X, the Euler-Lagrange equations of $L\left(t,q,\dot{q}\right)$ are invariant when there exists a function $f=f\left(t,q,\dot{q}\right)$ such that [49, 50]

$$X^{[1]}L+\frac{d\xi}{dt}L=\frac{df}{dt}. \tag{15}$$

Function f is a boundary term introduced to allow for the infinitesimal changes in Action Integral produced by the infinitesimal change in the boundary of the domain caused by the transformation of the variables in the Action Integral [51].

Latter condition is known as the first Noether's theorem [52]. In addition, if condition (15) according to the second Noether's theorem the quantity

$$\Phi\left(t,q,\dot{q}\right)=\xi\left(\dot{q}^a\frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{q}^a}-L\right)-\eta^a\frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{q}^a}+f \tag{16}$$

is a conservation law for the dynamical system (12), that is $\Gamma\left(\Phi\left(t,q,\dot{q}\right)\right)\equiv 0$.

For point-like Lagrangians of the form

$$L\left(q^k,\dot{q}^k\right)=\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}\left(q^k\right)\dot{q}^a\dot{q}^b-V\left(q^k\right), \tag{17}$$

and for the generator $X=K^a_b\left(t,q^k\right)\dot{q}^b\partial_a$, the Noether symmetry condition (15) is simplified as [53]

$$K_{(ab;c)}=0 \tag{18}$$

$$K_{ab,t}=0\ \ ,\ \ f_{,t}=0 \tag{19}$$

$$K_{ab}V^{,b}+f_{,a}=0. \tag{20}$$

where ";" denotes covariant derivative with respect to the connection coefficients of the tensor γ_{ab}. From the symmetry condition (19) we refer that $K_{ab}=K_{ab}\left(q^k\right)$ and $f=f\left(q^k\right)$. Condition (18) is a geometric condition which means that $K_{ab}\left(q^k\right)$ is a Killing tensor of second rank for the metric tensor γ_{ab}, while the existence condition of function $f\left(q^k\right)$ is (20). Thus, if (18)-(20) are true for a specific potential, the dynamical system with Lagrangian (17) admits the conservation law quadratic in the momentum

$$\Phi=K_{ab}\left(q^k\right)\dot{q}^a\dot{q}^b-f. \tag{21}$$

For an recent discussion on quadratic conservation laws in Analytic Mechanics we refer the reader in [54].

We continue with the application of the symmetry conditions for the gravitational point-like Lagrangian of hybrid metric-Palatini cosmology.

3.1. Integrable dynamical systems in hybrid cosmology

The cosmological dynamical system described by the point-like Lagrangian (11) and for $N(t) = a(t)$, for the following functional forms of the potential $V(\phi)$,

$$V_A(\phi) = V_0 (1+\phi)^2 \ , \tag{22}$$

$$V_B(\phi) = V_0 + V_1 \phi^2 \ , \tag{23}$$

$$V_C(\phi) = V_0 \sqrt{\phi}(1+\phi) + V_1 \left(1 + 6\phi + \phi^2\right), \tag{24}$$

$$V_D(\phi) = V_0 \left(1 + 12\phi + 16\phi^2\right) + V_1 \left(5 + 20\phi + 16\phi^2\right) \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1+\phi}} \ , \tag{25}$$

admits conservation laws quadratic in the momentum. From the potentials we can always determine the functional form of $f(\mathcal{R})$ by solving the Clairaut equation

$$V(f'(\mathcal{R})) = \mathcal{R} f'(\mathcal{R}) - f(\mathcal{R}) .$$

For potential $V_A(\phi)$ we have $f_A(\mathcal{R}) = -\mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{4V_0}\mathcal{R}^2$, while from $V_B(\phi)$ we find $f_B(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{1}{4V_1}\mathcal{R}^2 - V_0$. In a similar way for the remainder of the potential functions we find the closed form functions $f_C(\mathcal{R}) = -\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{R} + \frac{2}{27V_0^2}\mathcal{R}^3 + \left(\mathcal{R}^2 - 3V_0^2\right)^{\frac{2}{2}}$ for $V_1 = 0$, or $f_C(\mathcal{R}) = -3\mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{4V_1}\mathcal{R}^2 + 8V_1$ for $V_0 = 0$; $f_D(\mathcal{R}) = -\frac{3}{8}\mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{64V_0}\mathcal{R}^2 + \frac{5}{4}V_0$, for $V_1 = 0$. At this point it is interesting to mention that most of the potentials are related with the $f(\mathcal{R}) = \alpha\mathcal{R} + \beta\mathcal{R}^2 + \gamma$ for specific values of the free parameters α, β and γ.

The extra corresponding conservation laws of the gravitational field equations are calculated for each potential by using Noether's second theorem, they are

$$\Phi_A = \frac{a^4}{\phi}\dot{\phi}^2 \ , \tag{26}$$

$$\Phi_B = 6\dot{a}^2 - V_0 a^4 \ , \tag{27}$$

$$\Phi_C = -\frac{18}{\sqrt{\phi}}\left(2\phi\dot{a}^2 + a\dot{a}\dot{\phi}\right) + \frac{3}{4}a^4\left(V_0\left(1 + 6\phi + \phi^2\right) + 16V_1\sqrt{\phi}(1+\phi)\right) \ , \tag{28}$$

and

$$\Phi_D = \frac{a^2}{\sqrt{\phi}}\dot{a}\dot{\phi} - \left(\frac{2}{3}V_0\sqrt{\phi}(1+2\phi) + \frac{V_1}{6}a^5\frac{1 + 8\phi(1+\phi)}{\sqrt{1+\phi}}\right) \ . \tag{29}$$

3.2. Singularity analysis

Until now we have used Lie's theory and specifically Noether's theorem in order to study the existence of conservation laws for the gravitational field equations. Thus in the following section singularity analysis is applied in order to write the solution of cosmological equations by using Laurent expansions, thus the basic elements of the singularity analysis are discussed.

Nowadays, the application of singularity analysis is described by the ARS algorithm [55–57]. The first step of the ARS algorithm is based upon the determination of the leading-order term to prove that a moveable singularity exists. The determination of the resonances which indicates the position of the constants of integration is the second step, while the consistency test is the third and final step of the ARS algorithm. For the consistency test, we write a Painlevé Series with exponent and step as determined in the previous step and study if it is a solution of the original differential equation. Finally, in the consistency test, the constants of integration are determined while the coefficients of the Laurent expansions are derived, which provide the exact form of the algebraic solution for the given differential equation. In the review article [58] various applications of the ARS algorithm are presented, while the various criteria which should be satisfied are discussed in detail. Singularity analysis has been applied before in various cosmological models for the determination of exact solutions, for instance see [59–62] and references therein.

In the following Section we continue with the determination of exact and analytic solutions for these specific scalar-tensor potentials.

4. EXACT AND ANALYTIC COSMOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

In order to determine exact and analytical solutions for the gravitational field equations we make use of the existence of the extra conservation law for the specific forms of the potential $V(\phi)$ that we found in the previous Section. The existence of two conservation laws which are independent and in involution, that is, the dynamical system which is formed by the gravitational theory for these specific forms of the potentials, satisfies the criteria of Liouville integrability.

4.1. Potential $V_A(\phi)$

For the theory with scalar field potential $V_A(\phi)$ we perform the change of variables

$$a = r\cos\theta \ , \ \phi = \tan^2\theta, \tag{30}$$

where the point-like Lagrangian is simplified as

$$L\left(r,\dot{r},\theta,\dot{\theta}\right) = 6\left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\theta}^2\right) + V_0 r^4, \tag{31}$$

where the gravitational field equations are

$$\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 - \frac{V_0}{3}r^3 = 0 \ , \ \ddot{\theta} + 2\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\dot{\theta} = 0 \tag{32}$$

with constraint $6\left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\theta}^2\right) - V_0 r^4 = 0$. The conservation law becomes $\Phi_A = 4r^4\dot{\theta}^2$ from which we find the reduced first-order ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{r}^2 + \frac{\Phi_A}{4r^2} - \frac{V_0}{6}r^4 = 0. \tag{33}$$

The latter equation can be integrated by quadratures as follows

$$\int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{V_0}{6}r^4 - \frac{\Phi_A}{4r^2}}} = t - t_0. \tag{34}$$

In order to understand this solution we integrate (33) by using Laurent expansions. Specifically we apply the theory of singularity analysis. The differential equation (33) admits two leading-order terms with singular solution

$$r_A(t) = r_0\left(t - t_0\right)^{-1} \quad \text{with } V_0 = \frac{6}{r_0^6} \tag{35}$$

and

$$r_B(t) = r_0\left(t - t_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ with } \Phi_A = -r_0^4. \tag{36}$$

The singular behaviour $r_A(t)$ describes a universe in which the scalar field potential dominates, while $r_B(t)$ describes a universe in which the kinematic quantities dominate. Variable $\theta(t)$ at the leading-order terms becomes

$$\theta_A(t) \simeq \left(t - t_0\right)^3 \text{ and } \theta_B(t) \simeq \ln\left(\left(t - t_0\right)\right) + \theta_1 \tag{37}$$

from which we infer that near the singularity, $t \to t_0$ the scale factor is $a_A(t) \simeq r_A(t)$ and $a_B(t) \simeq r_B(t)$.

We investigate whether equation (33) possesses the Painlevé property. To do that we replace $r(t) = r_0\left(t - t_0\right)^p + m\left(t - t_0\right)^{p+s}$, where $p = -1, \frac{1}{2}$ in (33) and we linearize around the value $m \simeq 0$. The leading-order terms of the leading-order terms vanish when $s = -1$, which indicates that the singularities are movable poles for both solutions and we can write the analytic solution by using Laurent expansions.

For $p = -1$ we find the solution

$$r_A(t) = r_0\left(t - t_0\right)^{-1} + r_6\left(t - t_0\right)^5 + r_{12}\left(t - t_0\right)^{11} + r_{18}\left(t - t_0\right)^{17} + ... \text{ with } V_0 = \frac{6}{r_0^6} \ , \tag{38}$$

where $r_6=\frac{\Phi_A}{56r_0^3},\ r_{12}=-\frac{9\Phi^2}{81536r_0^7},...$.

On the other hand for $p=\frac{1}{2}$ we find

$$r_B(t)=r_0(t-t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}+r_3(t-t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}+3}+r_6(t-t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}+6}+... \text{ with } \Phi_A=-r_0^4 \tag{39}$$

and $r_3=\frac{r_0^3V_0}{24}\ ,\ r_6=\frac{9r_3^2}{14r_0},...$.

We remark that the spacetime near the singularity solutions becomes

$$ds^2=(t-t_0)^{2p}\left(-dt^2+dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right)\ ,\ p=-1,\frac{1}{2}. \tag{40}$$

For $p=-1$, we have the de Sitter solution, while for $p=\frac{1}{2}$ the stiff fluid solution follows.

4.2. Potential $V_B(\phi)$

For potential $V_B(\phi)$ we apply the change of variables $\phi=\frac{\psi^2}{a^2}$, where the point-like Lagrangian is written in the simple form

$$L\left(a,\dot{a},\psi,\dot{\psi}\right)=6\left(\dot{a}^2+\dot{\psi}^2\right)+V_0a^4+V_1\psi^4. \tag{41}$$

The gravitational field equations are

$$\ddot{a}-\frac{V_0}{3}a^3=0\ ,\ \ddot{\psi}-\frac{V_1}{3}\psi^3=0 \tag{42}$$

with constraint equation $6\left(\dot{a}^2+\dot{\psi}^2\right)-V_0a^4-V_1\psi^4=0$. Easily it follows that the gravitational field equations are equivalent with the system

$$6\dot{a}^2-V_0a^4=h_1\ ,\ 6\dot{\psi}^2-V_1\psi^4=-h_1, \tag{43}$$

which means that the analytic solution is expressed in terms of the Jacobi elliptic function. When $h_1=0$, exact solution of the field equations is $a(t)=\frac{24}{V_0}(t-t_0)^{-2}$ and $\psi(t)=\frac{24}{V_1}(t-t_0)^{-2}$. Moreover, with the use of the singularity analysis, by following the steps for potential $V_A(\phi)$, the analytic solution can be expressed in terms of Laurent expansion as follows

$$a(t)=\frac{24}{V_0}(t-t_0)^{-2}+a_6(t-t_0)^4+a_{12}(t-t_0)^{10}+..., \tag{44}$$

with $a_6=-\frac{V_0h_1}{4032}\ ,...$. Similarly, for the field $\psi(t)$ we find

$$\psi(t)=\frac{24}{V_0}(t-t_0)^{-2}+\psi_6(t-t_0)^4+\psi_{12}(t-t_0)^{10}+... \ . \tag{45}$$

However, we have not considered the case for which $V_0=0$, which means that the cosmological constant term is zero. In that consideration the exact solution for the scale factor is $a(t)=h_1(t-t_0)$. The exact solution with V_0 and $h_1=0$ provides that the its geometry is described by the line element

$$ds^2=(t-t_0)^4\left(-dt^2+dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right). \tag{46}$$

The latter spacetime describes a universe dominated by a dust fluid source.

4.3. Potential $V_C(\phi)$

We apply the coordinate transformation

$$a=u+v\ ,\ \phi=\left(\frac{u-v}{u+v}\right)^2, \tag{47}$$

where the point-like Lagrangian is simplified as

$$L\left(u,\dot{u},v,\dot{v}\right)=6\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{v}^{2}\right)+\bar{V}_{0}u^{4}+\bar{V}_{1}v^{4}$$

with $\bar{V}_0 = V_0 + 4V_1$ and $\bar{V}_1 = 4V_1 - V_0$. Thus, in a similar way as above the gravitational field equations are reduced to the system

$$6\dot{u}^{2}-\bar{V}_{0}u^{4}=h_{1}\ ,\ 6\dot{v}^{2}-V_{1}v^{4}=-h_{1}, \tag{48}$$

while in terms of Laurent expansion the analytic solution is expressed as in (45), in which the leading-order behaviour describes the matter dominated era.

4.4. Potential $V_D(\phi)$

In order to write the solution of the gravitational field equations for the potential $V_D(\phi)$ we prefer to work in the parabolic coordinates given by the coordinates

$$a=uv\ ,\ \phi=\left(\frac{u^{2}-v^{2}}{2uv}\right)^{2}, \tag{49}$$

in which the point-like Lagrangian becomes

$$L\left(u,\dot{u},v,\dot{v}\right)=6\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{v}^{2}\right)+\frac{\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right)u^{10}+\left(V_{0}-V_{1}\right)v^{10}}{u^{2}+v^{2}}. \tag{50}$$

The constraint equation can be written with the use of the momentum $p_u = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}},\ p_v = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{v}}$ as follows

$$\frac{1}{6\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{v}^{2}\right)}\left(p_{u}^{2}+p_{v}^{2}-6\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right)u^{10}+6\left(V_{0}-V_{1}\right)v^{10}\right)=0. \tag{51}$$

Hence the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is

$$\frac{1}{6\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{v}^{2}\right)}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}S\left(u,v\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}S\left(u,v\right)\right)^{2}-6\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right)u^{10}-6\left(V_{0}-V_{1}\right)v^{10}\right)=0 \tag{52}$$

with $p_u = \frac{\partial S}{\partial u}$ and $p_v = \frac{\partial S}{\partial v}$, that is,

$$S\left(u,v\right)=-\int\sqrt{h_{1}+6\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right)u^{10}}du-\int\sqrt{-h_{1}+6\left(V_{0}-V_{1}\right)v^{10}}dv. \tag{53}$$

With the use of the latter Action the gravitational field equations are reduced to the following system of first-order ode

$$12\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\dot{u}=-\sqrt{h_{1}+6\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right)u^{10}} \tag{54}$$

and

$$12\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\dot{v}=-\sqrt{-h_{1}+6\left(V_{0}-V_{1}\right)v^{10}}. \tag{55}$$

From there we find the parametric solution

$$\frac{du}{dv}=\frac{\sqrt{h_{1}+6\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right)u^{10}}}{\sqrt{-h_{1}+6\left(V_{0}-V_{1}\right)v^{10}}}. \tag{56}$$

In the special case for which h_1 we find the special solution

$$\frac{u^{-4}}{\sqrt{V_{0}+V_{1}}}=\frac{v^{-4}}{\sqrt{V_{0}-V_{1}}}. \tag{57}$$

From the later expression it follows that $u^2 \simeq v^2$, from which it follows $\dot{u} = -c_0 u^3$,that is, $u(t) = (2c(t-t_0))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, from which it follows that $a(t) \simeq (t-t_0)^{-1}$, which describes the de Sitter universe.

5. CONCLUSION

We applied the theory of Lie symmetries for the determination of conservation laws for the cosmological field equations in hybrid metric-Palatini theory. In particular, we made use the symmetry conditions for the existence of quadratic in the momentum conservation laws, such that to constrain the unknown functional form of the metric-Palatini theory. For simplicity on our calculations we worked in the equivalent scalar-tensor theory, from where we found that four potential functions for which the gravitational field equations admit at least a second conservation law and the cosmological field equations are Liouville integrable.

For the four different scalar-tensor potentials, we were able to reduce the field equations into a system of two first-order ordinary differential equations, which can be solved in all cases in quadratures. For specific values of the initial conditions we were able to write closed-form exact solutions; while we made use of the singularity analysis such that to write the analytic solutions with Laurent expansions. We found that important cosmological eras in the cosmological solutions are provided by the analytic solutions of our models.

This work contributes in the subject of integrability of modified gravitational theories. The existence of integrable models for a given gravitational model is essential for the mathematical validity of a theory. Indeed, the existence of integrable trajectories is important such that to relate numerical solutions with actual/real solutions of the dynamical system. Moreover, the integrable models can be used as toy models for the study of the specific theory. In a forthcoming work we plan to investigate further these analytic solutions and study the asymptotic behaviour and the resulting cosmological history.

[1] T. Clifton, P.G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, Phys. Rept. 513, 1 (2012)
[2] M. Tegmark et al., Astrophys. J. 606 702 (2004)
[3] M. Kowalski et al., Astrophys. J. 686 749 (2008)
[4] E. Komatsu et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 180 330 (2009)
[5] P.A.R. Ade et al., Astron. Astroph. 571 A15 (2014)
[6] N. Aghanim et al., Astron. Astroph. 641, A6 (2020)
[7] A. Salvio, Front. in Phys. 6, 77 (2018)
[8] J.D. Barrow and H. Sirousse-Zia, Phys. Rev. D 39, (1989); Erratum: Phys. Rev. D 41, 1362 (2020)
[9] J.D. Barrow and J. Middleton, Phys. Rev. D 75, 123515 (2017)
[10] S. Cotsakis, A. Tsokaros, Phys. Lett. B 651, 341 (2007)
[11] S. Cotsakis, S. Kadry and D. Trachilis, IJMPA 31, 1650130 (2016)
[12] A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B 91, 99 (1980)
[13] S.M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M.S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 70, 043528 (2004)
[14] Q.-G. Huang, JCAP 02, 035 (2014)
[15] H.A. Buchdahl, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 150, 1 (1970)
[16] T.P. Sotiriou and S. Liberati, Annals of Physics 322, 935 (2007)
[17] G.R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, Phys. Rev. D **79,** 124019 (2009)
[18] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **75,** 084031 (2007)
[19] E.V. Linder, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 127301 (2010)
[20] B. Li, J.D. Barrow and D.F. Mota, Phys. Rev. D 94, 044027 (2007)
[21] S. Carloni and J.P. Mimoso, EPJC 77, 547 (2017)
[22] S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou and S. Banerjee, Nucl. Phys. B 938, 935 (2019)
[23] E. Elizable, S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou and T. Paul, Nucl. Phys. B 954, 114984 (2020)
[24] G. Kofinas and E.N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 90, 084045 (2014)
[25] T. Harko, F.S.N. Lobo, G. Otalora and E.N. Saridakis, JCAP 12, 021 (2014)
[26] C. Erices, E. Papantonopoulos and E.N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 99, 123527 (2019)
[27] E.N. Saridakis, S. Myrzakul, K. Myrzakulov and K. Yerzhanov, Phys. Rev. D 102, 023525 (2020)
[28] A. Paliathanasis, JCAP 08, 027 (2017)
[29] S. Carloni, T.S. Koivisto and F.S.N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D 92, 064035 (2015)
[30] N. Tamanini and C.G. Boehmer, Phys. Rev. D 87, 084031 (2013)
[31] T. Harko, T.S. Koivisto, F.S.N. Lobo and G.J. Olmo, Phys. Rev. D 85, 084016 (2012)
[32] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T.S. Koivisto, F.S.N. Lobo, G.J Olmo, JCAP 04, 011 (2013)
[33] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T.S.Koivisto, F.S.N. Lobo, G.J. Olmo, Universe 1, 199 (2015)
[34] H.R. Kausar, Astroph. Sp. Sci. 363, 238 (2018)
[35] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T.S. Koivisto, F.S.N. Lobo, Astroparticle Physics 50, 65 (2013)
[36] K.A. Bronnikov, S.V. Bolokhov, M.V. Skvortsova, Gravit. Cosmol. 26, 212 (2020)
[37] J.L. Rosa, J.P.S. Lemos and F.S.N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D 98, 064054 (2018)
[38] J.L. Rosa, J.P.S. Lemos and F.S.N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D 101, 044055 (2020

[39] J.L. Rosa, D.A. Ferreira, D. Bazeia and F.S.N. Lobo, arXiv:2010.10074 (2020)
[40] A. Borowiec, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, F.S.N. Lobo, A. Paliathanasis, M. Paolella and A. Wojnar, Phys. Rev. D 91, 023517 (2015)
[41] J.L. Rosa, S. Carloni, J.P.S. Lemos and F.S.N. Lobo, Phys. Rev D. 95, 124035 (2017)
[42] J.L. Rosa, S. Carloni and J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D 101, 104056 (2020)
[43] N.A. Lima, V.S. Barreto, Ap. J. 818, 186 (2016)
[44] T. Harko and F.S.N. Lobo, IJMPD 29, 2030008 (2020)
[45] M. Tsamparlis and A. Paliathanasis, Symmetry 10, 233 (2018)
[46] P.A. Terzis, N. Dimakis, T. Christodoulakis, A. Paliathanasis and M. Tsamparlis, J. Geom. Phys. 101, 52 (2016)
[47] H. Stephani, Differential Equations: Their Solutions Using Symmetry, Cambridge University Press, New York (1989)
[48] G. Bluman and S. Kumei, Symmetries and Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag New York, Heidelberg, Berlin (1989)
[49] W. Sarlet and F. Cantrijin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14, 479 (1981)
[50] W. Sarlet and F. Cantrijin, SIAM Review 23, 467 (1981)
[51] G.P. Flessas, P.G.L. Leach and S. Cotsakis, Can. J. Phys. 73, 543 (1995)
[52] E. Noether, Nachr. d. König. Gesellsch. d. Wiss. zu Göttingen, Math-phys. Klasse, 235, (1918) (translated in English by M.A. Tavel [physics/0503066])
[53] T.M. Kalotas and B.G. Wybourne, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **15** 2077 (1982)
[54] L. Karpathopoulos, M. Tsamparlis and A. Paliathanasis, J. Geom. Phys. 133, 279 (2018)
[55] M.J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, Lettere al Nuovo Cimento 23, 333 (1978)
[56] M.J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, J. Math. Phys. 21, 715 (1980)
[57] M.J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, J. Math. Phys. 21, 1006 (1980)
[58] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and T. Bountis, Physics Reports, 180, 159 (1989)
[59] S. Cotsakis, J. Demaret, Y. De Rop and L. Querella, Phys. Rev. D 48, 4595 (1993)
[60] A. Paliathanasis, J.D. Barrow and P.G.L. Leach, Phys. Rev. D 94, 023525 (2016)
[61] J. Miritzis, P.G.L. Leach and S. Cotsakis, Grav. Cosmol. 6, 282 (2000)
[62] A. Paliathanasis, EPJC 77, 438 (2017)