

Holography of pp-waves in conformal gravity

Ansh Bhatnagar^a, Iva Lovrekovic^b

^a *Theoretical physics group, Blackett Laboratory,
Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, U.K.*

^b *Technische Universität Wien,
Wiedner Haupt. 8-10, 1040, Wien, Austria*

Abstract

We consider holography of two pp-wave metrics in conformal gravity, their one point functions, and asymptotic symmetries. One of the metrics is a generalization of the standard pp-waves in Einstein gravity to conformal gravity. The holography of this metric shows that within conformal gravity one can have realised solution which has non-vanishing partially massless response (PMR) tensor even for vanishing subleading term in the Fefferman-Graham expansion (i.e. Neumann boundary conditions), and vice-versa.

1 Introduction

Conformal gravity is a higher derivative theory of gravity which has its recurrent appearance in the literature. It is power-counting renormalizable and highly symmetric which makes it interesting for studying [1, 2]. Main argument against the theory is its non-unitarity, which manifests for example in two-point correlation functions [3]. That issue is addressed via known methods [1, 4], or the theory is considered as a toy model for its symmetry properties. From phenomenological aspects CG explains galactic rotation curves without addition of dark matter [5], and it was also stated to be an exact solution to perturbative cosmology in recombination era [6]. The analysis of the asymptotic symmetries of CG in 3+1 dimensions allows for classification of the asymptotic solutions [7]. There is no classification of the global cosmological solutions in CG, however number of Einstein gravity (EG) solutions have been generalized to CG [8, 9]. Four dimensional cosmological solutions of Einstein gravity (EG), have of course been most studied [10], and most classified. Most popular classifications to date are Bianchi classification, and Petrov [11] classification where the latter often uses Newman-Penrose formalism. Here, we calculate two general solutions of the pp-wave metric with and without a cylinder in CG and analyse their asymptotics.

CG holography has in the earlier studies showed that in the framework of AdS/CFT, there are two holographic stress energy tensors at the boundary. One of them analogous to Brown-York (BY) stress energy tensor and another called partially massless response (PMR) which does not have an analog in EG. Holographic analyses of Schwarzschild solution in EG, Mannheim-Kazanas-Riegert solution in CG [5], and rotating black hole solution in AdS with Rindler hair [8], showed that their PMR vanishes when generalized Fefferman-Graham boundary conditions reduce to standard Fefferman-Graham boundary conditions used in EG.¹ The pp-wave solutions which we analyse here, show that it is possible to have vanishing PMR for the generalized Fefferman-Graham (FG) boundary conditions

¹Generalized Fefferman-Graham boundary conditions allow for the subleading term in the expansion in holographic coordinate, around the boundary of the manifold. In standard Fefferman-Graham expansion this term is set to zero [9].

and that it is possible to have non-vanishing PMR for standard FG boundary conditions. Vanishing of the PMR, also implies vanishing of the corresponding two point correlation functions. Beside the holography of the solution, we consider its Killing vectors, charges, and asymptotic symmetry algebra (ASA) as well as speculate possibility of using the metric as a cosmological background for the string quantization.

2 Conformal gravity

Given a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and the coordinates x_i which we take to be (u, v, x, r) , action of conformal gravity is defined by

$$S_{CG} = \alpha_{CG} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} C^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma\rho} C^\beta{}_{\gamma\rho\alpha} \quad (2.1)$$

for $C^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma\rho}$ Weyl squared term, α_{CG} dimensionless constant, and $g_{\mu\nu}$ conformally invariant metric. Equation of motion of the action (2.1) is called Bach equation

$$(\nabla^\delta \nabla_\gamma + \frac{1}{2} R^\delta{}_\gamma) C^\gamma{}_{\alpha\delta\beta} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

The equation is fourth order in derivatives, and as a subset, it contains solutions of Einstein gravity. We want to consider the pp-wave metric which solves (2.2). Similar global solution of (2.2) from [7] showed that there can be interesting holography directly related to unitarity of the theory.

Ansätze 1 and 2. We consider metric of a form

$$ds^2 = \frac{f(r)}{h(r)} du^2 + \frac{2}{h(r)} dudv + k(r) dr^2 + k(r) dx^2 \quad (2.3)$$

which solves the Bach equation for

$$k = \frac{c_2 e^{-c_1 r}}{h(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \frac{c_1 c_2 - 2c_3 + r c_3 c_1}{c_1^3} + e^{-c_1 r} (c_4 + r c_5). \quad (2.4)$$

Where one can recognise the $\frac{1}{h(r)}$ as a conformal factor. Due to conformal invariance of Bach equation each metric with arbitrary conformal factor is also a solution. To investigate symmetries of this solution we examine its Killing vectors (KV). For arbitrary $h(r)$ conformal Killing equation $\nabla_\mu \xi_\nu + \nabla_\nu \xi_\mu = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ is satisfied by KVs of translations

$$\xi_x = (0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \xi_u = (1, 0, 0, 0) \quad \xi_v = (0, 1, 0, 0), \quad (2.5)$$

while in the special case of conformally flat metric, when $c_1 = 1$, $c_3 = 0$, $c_5 = 0$ (ansatz 2), there are two additional KVs,

$$\xi_1 = a_1 \left(0, e^{\frac{1}{2}(u+r)} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right), -e^{\frac{1}{2}(u-r)} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right), -e^{\frac{1}{2}(u-r)} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) \right) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\xi_2 = a_1 \left(0, -e^{\frac{1}{2}(u+r)} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right), -e^{\frac{1}{2}(u-r)} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right), e^{\frac{1}{2}(u-r)} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) \right). \quad (2.7)$$

This indicates that the solution in that special case becomes a plane wave. The KVS define commu-

tation relations

$$[\xi_x, \xi_2] = \frac{1}{2}\xi_1, \quad [\xi_x, \xi_1] = \frac{1}{2}\xi_2, \quad [\xi_u, \xi_2] = \frac{1}{2}\xi_2, \quad [\xi_u, \xi_1] = \frac{1}{2}\xi_1 \quad (2.8)$$

which can be recognized as two separate algebras. Redefining $\tilde{\xi}_x = 2\xi_x$ the first two commutation relations in (2.8) close Bianchi V algebra [12], while using $\tilde{\xi}_u = 2\xi_u$ the latter two close the Bianchi VII algebra. Some examples of Bianchi Universes of the type V can be found in [13], and types IV, VI_h, VII_h in [14]. For comparison to other Bianchi types, one can look at type I in [15], type III in [16], and type II, VIII, IX in [17].

The solution (2.3) is Type N solution in the Petrov classification which we calculate using Mathematica program RGTC. Conformal gravity solutions, particularly of Petrov N type, have been studied in [18]. The studies of the gravitational waves in quadratic curvature gravity using Newman-Penrose formulation have been studied for Petrov D solutions in [19].

3 Asymptotic Analysis

To analyse holography of (2.3) we transform coordinates $u \rightarrow aq - cy$ and $v \rightarrow bq + dy$, and take $h(r) = r^2$, obtaining the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}(c_2 dr^2 + dq dy (2H(r) + 2a^2 e^{-c_1 r} (c_5 r - 1)) + dq^2 (H(r) + e^{-c_1 r} (a^2 (c_5 r - 1) - 1)) + dy^2 (H(r) + e^{-c_1 r} (a^2 (c_5 r - 1) + 1)) + c_2 dx^2). \quad (3.1)$$

where $H(r) = a^2 \left(\frac{c_3 r}{c_1^2} + \frac{c_2}{c_1^2} - \frac{2c_3}{c_1^3} \right)$. The metric has a Ricci scalar equal to $R = -\frac{3(c_1^2 r^2 + 4c_1 r + 8)}{2c_2}$ which can not take Ricci flat form by suitable choice of parameters. Ricci scalar is inversely proportional to c_2 which if sent to infinity, would cause metric to diverge. We expand (3.1) to Fefferman-Graham (FG) form $ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}(dr^2 + \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j)$, for the γ_{ij} metric at the boundary, and r holographic coordinate. The metric at the boundary is expanded in the terms of the small perturbations around $r = 0$, such that $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} + \gamma_{ij}^{(1)} r + \gamma_{ij}^{(2)} r^2 + \gamma_{ij}^{(3)} r^3$, for $\gamma_{ij}^{(I)}$, $I = 0, 1, 2, 3$ matrices given in the expansion. When $b \rightarrow -\frac{a^2(c_4+1)+1}{2a}$, $d \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}a(c_4+1) + \frac{1}{2a}$ and $c \rightarrow -a$ we can choose the matrix $\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$ to be Minkowski metric, where the time coordinate is q . That defines the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrix to be

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{c_1 - c_3}{c_1^2} + c_5 \right) a^2 + c_1 & \frac{a^2(c_5 c_1^2 + c_1 - c_3)}{c_1^2} & 0 \\ \frac{a^2(c_5 c_1^2 + c_1 - c_3)}{c_1^2} & a^2 \left(\frac{c_1 - c_3}{c_1^2} + c_5 \right) - c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

This matrix can be compared with $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ term from the FG expansion for Mannheim-Kazanas-Riegert (MKR) solution [20–22]. The MKR solution is different from (3.1), however we can use its properties to better understand the meaning of parameters which appear in our case. In FG expansion of MKR solution, $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrix depends entirely on the term that describes Rindler acceleration. If we are drawing analogous conclusion in (3.2), this role is played by the combination of c_1, c_3, c_5 parameters. Parameter a from (3.1) can be absorbed in the coordinate, so it does not carry physical meaning. $\gamma_{ij}^{(2)}$ matrix of MKR does not show explicit dependence on mass parameter when Rindler acceleration

parameter vanishes, so we consider matrix $\gamma_{ij}^{(3)}$

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1^3 + a^2(3c_5c_1^2 + c_1 - 2c_3) & a^2(3c_5c_1^2 + c_1 - 2c_3) & 0 \\ a^2(3c_5c_1^2 + c_1 - 2c_3) & a^2(3c_5c_1^2 + c_1 - 2c_3) - c_1^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

If Rindler parameter in MKR solution is zero, it's $\gamma_{ij}^{(3)}$ matrix is given solely in terms of the mass parameter [22]. This implies that combination of parameters in (3.3) carries physical meanings of mass and Rindler acceleration. Now, one can compute the holographic stress energy tensors of (3.1) τ_{ij} and P_{ij} , by inserting $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$, $\gamma_{ij}^{(2)}$ and $\gamma_{ij}^{(3)}$ in the τ_{ij} and P_{ij} [22]. The stress energy tensor τ_{ij} and PMR are given by

$$\tau_{ij} = a^2 c_1^2 c_5 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_{ij} = \frac{a^2(c_1^2 c_5 - c_3)}{c_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.4)$$

respectively. The Ward identity of CG is satisfied with $2\tau_{ij}\gamma^{(0)ij} + P_{ij}\gamma^{(1)ij} = 0$. For $\chi^{(0)k}$ asymptotic KV, the current $J^i = Q^{ij}\chi_j^{(0)}$ is conserved $\mathcal{D}_i J^i = 0$. The corresponding charge $Q_{ij} = 2\tau_{ij} + P_{ik}\gamma_j^{(1)k} + P_{ki}\gamma_j^{(1)k}$ for (3.1)

$$Q_{ij} = 2a^2 c_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.5)$$

is given in terms of c_3 . For the specific case of the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} (c_2 dr^2 + 2a^2(c_2 - e^{-r}) dq dy + dq^2 (a^2 c_2 - (a^2 + 1)e^{-r}) + dy^2 (c_2(a^2 + 1) + (1 - a^2)e^{-r}) + c_2 dx^2), \quad (3.6)$$

with five KV's (2.5)-(2.7), when $c_1 = 1, c_3 = c_5 = 0$, the stress tensors $\tau_{ij} = P_{ij} = Q_{ij} = 0$ and charges exactly vanish, as we can see from the general expressions for the stress energy tensors (3.4), and charge (3.5). This is expected from the highly symmetric solution, which also describes conformally flat metric. That choice of parameters still does not give a metric which satisfies Einstein equations. Interestingly, there is no such choice of parameters which would make solution (3.1) satisfy Einstein vacuum equation.

It is well known that conformal gravity is non-unitary. In [3] the non-unitarity of conformal gravity was shown via two-point correlation functions. It manifests through the negative sign of the correlation function with PMR. Since PMR is zero for the (3.6) that issue is avoided. However, we have also vanishing τ_{ij} and a vanishing Q_{ij} . The coformal flatness means that the entropy of the solution (visible also from Weyl squared) is going to be zero. From (3.4) we see that P_{ij} will vanish for $c_3 = c_5$ when $c_1 = 1$. This choice of metric has only three global KVs but it is not conformally flat. The stress energy tensor τ_{ij} and charge Q_{ij} are visible from (3.4) and (3.5) and they do not vanish. This appears as well in [22] for the example of rotating black hole [8], where $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ does not vanish while P_{ij} vanishes. If in our example (3.1), we demand $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ to be zero, that implies P_{ij} (3.4) is as well zero. The vanishing of γ_{ij} however, does not always automatically imply vanishing of the P_{ij} .

On the example of the pp-wave solution [7],

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}(dr^2 + (-1 + f(r))dx^2 + 2f(r)dx dy + (1 + f(r))dy^2 + dz^2) \quad (3.7)$$

where $f(r) = c_1 + c_2 r + c_3 r^2 + c_4 r^3$ one can fix the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ to be zero (setting $c_2 = 0$), without affecting the P_{ij} which becomes defined solely by the $\gamma_{ij}^{(2)}$ and c_3 .

The charges that we calculated, express stress energy tensors and charge in a sense of [23] which differ from the other such charges (for example those defined by Hamiltonian method as in [24]) only by a "constant offset" determined by boundary fields alone. The algebra generated by the charges in conformal gravity is equivalent to the Lie algebra of the transformations preserving boundary conditions, i. e. asymptotic symmetry algebra [25].

Asymptotic symmetry algebra (ASA) for conformal gravity has been studied in [7]. To obtain ASA for (3.1) one has to study the expansion of the the conformal Killing equation (CKE) in the coordinate r . The metric at the boundary was chosen to be $\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$ Minkowski metric, which leads to full conformal algebra in the leading order of expansion of CKE. The subleading order of CKE equation [7]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi^{(0)}} \gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{D}_k \xi_{(0)}^k \gamma_{ij}^{(1)} \quad (3.8)$$

defines the ASA

$$[\xi_t, \xi_{L_1}] = \xi_x, \quad [\xi_t, \xi_{L_2}] = \xi_y + \frac{1}{2} \xi_t, \quad [\xi_y, \xi_{L_1}] = -\xi_x, \quad [\xi_y, \xi_{L_2}] = \frac{1}{2} \xi_t + \xi_y, \quad (3.9)$$

$$[\xi_x, \xi_{L_1}] = \xi_t + \xi_y, \quad [\xi_x, \xi_{L_2}] = \xi_x, \quad [\xi_{L_1}, \xi_{L_2}] = \frac{1}{2} \xi_{L_1} \quad (3.10)$$

with KVs

$$\xi_t = (1, 0, 0), \quad \xi_x = (0, 0, 1) \quad \xi_y = (0, 1, 0) \quad (3.11)$$

$$\xi_{L_1} = (x, x, t - y) \quad \xi_{L_2} = (t + \frac{1}{2}y, \frac{1}{2}t + y, x) \quad . \quad (3.12)$$

The ASA is unaffected by the choice of the parameters c_i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$, and it is equal for each of the special cases of the solution (3.1). It belongs to the ASA $a_{5,4}^a$ for $a = \frac{1}{2}$ from [26]. The classes of five dimensional ASAs have been encountered in CG [7].

Applications of the metric. If we look at the metric as Einstein solution with an additional matter, we can have following considerations. After the transformation of coordinates $e^{c_1 r/2} \rightarrow z$ and choice for conformal factor $h(r) = 1/(4z^2 \ln(z))$ and $c_1 = 1$, one can relate the metric (2.3) with the metric

$$ds^2 = 2dudv + f(z)du^2 + z^2 dx^2 + dz^2 \quad (3.13)$$

which solves Bach equation for $f(z) = (\frac{1}{4} - 2c_3)z^2 + c_4 + 2c_5 \log z + 2z^2 c_3 \log z$, and $c_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $x = 2\tilde{x}$. Where we omit " $\sim$ " for simplicity. This solution is similar to the metric considered in [27]. There, the metric

$$ds^2 = 2dudv - \lambda(u)x^2 du^2 + dx^i dx^i \quad (3.14)$$

was studied for the propagation of string modes and first-quantized point-particle in this time-dependent background. Where $dx^i dx^i = dx^2 + dz^2$ Euclidean metric.

Ansatz 3. Generalization of the metric (3.13) by multiplying $f(z)$ with $\lambda(u)$ does not influence the solvability of Bach equation. One may wonder if further simple generalizations are possible. We consider the metric

$$ds^2 = 2dudv + f(u, x, z)du^2 + dx^2 + dz^2 \quad (3.15)$$

where we immediately crossed from cylindrical to Euclidean coordinates. The generalization by introducing the dependency z so that $f(z) \rightarrow f(x, z)$ in (3.13) leads to the fourth order equation which can be decomposed into $(-\partial_z + i\partial_x)^2(\partial_z + i\partial_x)^2 f(x, z) = 0$. The solution is

$$f(x, z) \rightarrow (d_1 + d_2x + d_3z)f_1(-ix + z) + (d_4 + d_5x + d_6z)f_2(ix + z) \quad (3.16)$$

They can obviously become of the interesting form for trigonometric and exponential functions (we will mention specific cases later).²

The assumption $f(x, z) \rightarrow f(u, x, z)$ will let us write the solution as

$$f(u, x, z) = (d_1 + d_2x + d_3z)f_1(u, -ix + z) + (d_4 + d_5x + d_6z)f_2(u, ix + z). \quad (3.18)$$

Where we took into account that each of the functions depending on (x, z) can be multiplied by arbitrary function of u . The metric (3.15) is completely equal to the ansatz metric in [28] after appropriate transformation of the coordinates. The statement that Einstein equations in vacuum are satisfied for every harmonic function f which is a function of x and z , whatever was the dependence on u , is now generalized. The Bach equations in vacuum are satisfied for every harmonic function f which is a function of x and z multiplied by arbitrary function of u and by the $(d_1 + d_2x + d_3z)$ or $(d_4 + d_5x + d_6z)$ for $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6$ which are arbitrary, or defined, depending on the function we want to express. For example

- $f(u, x, z) = 2(d_1 + d_2x + d_3z) \arctan(\frac{z}{x})$, for $f_1 = i \log(x - iz)$, $f_2 = i \log(x + iz)$, and $d_1 = -d_4, d_2 = -d_5$ and $d_3 = -d_6$. This is a term from rotation of metric analogous to (3.13) from cylindrical to Euclidean
- $f(u, x, z) = b_2(x^2 + z^2) \log(x^2 + z^2)$, for $f_1 = (x - iz) \log(x - iz)$, $f_2 = (x + iz) \log(x + iz)$, and $d_3 = id_2, d_5 = d_2$, and $d_6 = -id_2$. This is an additional term in generalization of plane wave metric (3.14), [27].

The above metric (3.15) conserves only one KV, that is ∂_v . If we want to consider the solution (3.15) (with $f(u, x, z)$ from (3.18)) as the background for the string propagation, we are going to need to transform it to Rosen coordinates, following the procedure of [27]. For functions f_1 and f_2 such that the metric (3.15) is $l(u)(x^2 + z^2)$ reduces to case studied earlier in [27]. Here we focus on the study of the situation when f_1, f_2 are more general. In the asymptotic analysis we are going to keep

²We can bring the solution (3.18) to the form of the metric studied in [14], by considering the transformation $x \rightarrow ix_1 + \frac{i}{2}x_2$ and $z \rightarrow x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2$. The obtained metric reads

$$ds^2 = H_1(x_1, x_2)du^2 + 2dudv - 2dx_1dx_2 \quad (3.17)$$

The general form of the solution for obtained from the Bach equation would lead to $H_1 = f_1(x_2) + x_1f_2(x_1) + f_3(x_1) + x_2f_4(x_1)$. Only keeping f_1 and f_3 satisfies Einstein solution and can be cast into form studied in [14], while CG solution involves all four functions.

writing $f(u, x, z)$ in terms of functions dependent on $x + iz$ and $x - iz$, however this is only to keep the functional dependence, while one needs to keep in mind that for each specific case metric needs to be of course real.

Asymptotic analysis. Transformations $u \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2a_3}(q + y)$, $v \rightarrow a_3(q - y)$ where we can choose for simplicity $a_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$, lead from (3.15) and (3.18) to

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}((-1 + g_1(q + y, x, z))dq^2 + 2(g_1(q + y, x, z))dydq + (1 + g_1(q + y, x, z))dy^2 + dx^2 + dz^2) \quad (3.19)$$

for $g_1(q + y, x, z) = (d_1 + d_2x + d_3z)f_1(q + y, x - iz) + (d_3 + d_5x + d_6z)f_2(q + y, x + iz)$. The Ricci scalar of the metric is -12, while it is zero for conformally invariant metric (3.15) multiplied by z^2 , and the KV is ∂_v . FG expansion of the metric (3.15) in z coordinate, done analogously to the expansion of (3.1), requires $d_1 = d_3, d_5 = d_2$ and $f_1(q + y, x) = -f_2(q + y, x)$, and it results with the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrix

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_1 & 0 \\ h_1 & h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{ij}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.20)$$

for $h_1 = h_1(q + y, x) = (d_6 - d_3)f_2(q + y, x) - 2i(d_5x + d_4)f_2^{(0,1)}(q + y, x)$ and $h_2 = h_2(q + y, x) = \frac{1}{3}i(d_3 + d_6)f_2^{(0,3)}(q + y, x)$. From h_1 and h_2 and comparison to the (3.2) and (7.1) respectively, we can see that Rindler parameter and mass are given by combination of the parameters d_6, d_3, d_5 and d_4 . Expressing the stress tensors in terms of the function $f_2(q + y, x)$ and its derivatives, allows to see functional dependence from the metric directly in the response functions and charge. Stress tensor τ_{ij} is given by

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1h_2 + h_3 & -\frac{6}{7}h_1h_2 & 0 \\ -\frac{6}{7}h_1h_2 & -\frac{3}{4}h_1h_2 + \frac{3}{2}h_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{5}h_1h_2 + \frac{6}{5}h_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.21)$$

for $h_3 = h_3(q + y, x) = \frac{1}{60}(5(d_6 - d_3)f_2^{(0,4)}(q + y, x) - 2i(d_4 + d_5x)f_2^{(0,5)}(q + y, x))$ and

$$P_{ij} = -\frac{1}{3}h_2, \quad (3.22)$$

while definition of charge is given in terms of h_1, h_2 and h_3 , see appendix (7.2). It is important to notice that for this metric, one can choose $d_6 = d_3$ and $d_5 = d_4 = 0$ which will lead to vanishing of the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$, while the PMR tensor will not vanish. This is due to proportionality of P_{ij} to $\gamma_{ij}^{(2)}$ and non-vanishing $\gamma_{ij}^{(2)}$. This is specific property of the solution, observed only for the pp-wave solution solution in [7].

By choosing $g_1(q + y, x, z)$ we can set the metric to be

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}(-(1 + 4zf(q + y, x, z))dq^2 - 8zf(q + y, x, z)dqdy + (1 - 4zf(q + y, x, z))dy^2 + dx^2 + dz^2) \quad (3.23)$$

for $f(q + y, x, z) = b(5x^4 - 10z^2x^2 + z^4) \cos(q + y)$. The stress tensor

$$\tau_{ij} = \text{diag}(-8b \cos(q + y), -16b \cos(q + y), 8b \cos(q + y))$$

is defined from only non-vanishing matrices in the FG expansion, $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $\gamma_{ij}^{(3)}$ given in the appendix (7.3). Since $\gamma_{ij}^{(2)}$ is zero, the partially massless response tensor, P_{ij} vanishes, which results with $Q_{ij} = 2\tau_{ij}$. For the only parameter b which we have here, we can conclude to have a role similar as a Rindler parameter.

The asymptotic symmetry algebra for this metric is three dimensional, consisting of KVs $\xi_1 = (0, 0, 2a_1)$, $\xi_2 = (-a_2, a_2, 0)$, $\xi_3 = (-\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{a_3}{2}(q + y))$ which close the algebra $[\xi_1, \xi_2] = \frac{a_1}{a_2}\xi_3$, which is for $a_1 = a_2 = 1$, Bianchi II algebra, also called Heisenberg-Weyl algebra.

Metric (3.19) can be reduced to a Ricci flat metric via conformal transformation, multiplying it with z^2 . That metric can be transformed to a flat metric which one can naturally write in the Rosen form.

4 Background for wave propagation

Comment on metric as background for studying string propagation. To see if the metrics (3.15) for f given in (3.18), could be considered as a background for studying the string propagation we follow the procedure in [27]. To embed the metric into string theory one needs to compensate the non-zero Ricci tensor R_{uu} of the metric with the contribution from other background fields. Without restricting parameters, the R_{uu} of our metric (3.15) gives

$$R_{uu} = -(d_1 + id_2) f_1^{(0,1)}(q, x + iz) - (d_4 - id_5) f_2^{(0,1)}(q, x - iz) \quad (4.1)$$

which indicates that choosing $d_1 = -id_2$, $d_4 = id_5 + \frac{1}{2}$, and $f_2 = (x - iz)\lambda(u)$ will lead to the similar R_{uu} as in [27], i.e. $R_{uu} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda(u)$. This implies that the metric-dilaton cosmological background as a pp-wave analog, can be given by

$$ds^2 = 2dudv + F(u, x, z)du^2 + dx^i dx^i, \quad \phi = \phi(u) \quad (4.2)$$

for

$$F(u, x, z) = (d_0 + d_2(z - ix)) f_1(q, x + iz) + \lambda(u)(x - iz) \left(d_5(z + ix) + d_3 + \frac{x}{2} \right) \quad (4.3)$$

where ϕ is u dependent dilaton field. The conformal invariance condition for Ricci tensor (4) is [27] $R_{uu} = -2\partial_u^2 \phi$ and it leads to $\phi''(u) = \frac{d}{2}\lambda(u)$. For the special case $\lambda = \frac{k}{u^2}$ the family of pp-wave backgrounds that one obtains is

$$ds^2 = 2dudv - \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{u^2} F(u, x, z) du^2 + dx^i dx^i \quad (4.4)$$

$$e^{2\phi} = u^{kd} e^{2u} \quad (4.5)$$

Before one can approach to the string theory quantization in the metric-dilaton background, one usually considers quantum theory of a propagation of scalar relativistic particle in that background. Covariant quantization of a relativistic particle gives Klein-Gordon equation for the considered background. The covariant-quantization of this case can be related to the quantization on the light-cone

gauge due to $V = \partial_v$ isometry of the background. In the case of the background (3.14) and $\lambda(u) = \frac{k}{u^2}$ [27] this light-cone quantization reduces to a problem of harmonic-oscillator with time dependent frequency.

For the Φ a space time-field which represents a massive scalar-string mode and $\tilde{\Phi} = e^{-\phi}\Phi$, one can write the string free field Klein-Gordon equation as [27]

$$\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{G}G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu) + m^2\right)\tilde{\Phi} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

The equation depends on the string-frame metric $G^{\mu\nu}$, and not on the dilaton ϕ .

The general solution to the KG equation which is real is [27] $\tilde{\Phi} = \sum_k [\alpha_k \varphi_k(x) + \alpha_k^* \varphi_k^*(x)]$ for $\{\varphi_k, \varphi_k^*\}$ a set of special solutions. The basis φ_x, φ_k^* has an explicit form which depends on the choice of coordinates and boundary conditions, and it has normalisation given in the appendix B.

The choice of coordinates that one can consider are for example conformally-flat coordinates and Brinkmann coordinates. In conformally-flat coordinates, the function (4.3) of the metric (4.2) becomes $F(u, x, z) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(q)(x-iz)(4d_3 + x + iz) + d_2(z-ix)(\lambda_2(q) + \lambda_3(q)(x-iz)) + d_0$ based on the condition of conformal-flatness and requirement that Ricci tensor is (4).

In the Brinkmann coordinates, the massless KG equation for the background (4.4), (4.5) reads

$$(2\partial_u\partial_v + F(u, x, z)\partial_v^2 + \delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j)\tilde{\Phi}_0 = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_0 = \int dp_v e^{ip_v v} \psi(u, x, z; p_v)$. In the case when $F(u, x, z)$ in (4.4) reduces to $x^i x_i$, the problem considers harmonic oscillator with time-dependent frequency. Otherwise one needs to consider modified harmonic-oscillator problem, and transformation of metric (4.4) to Rosen coordinates.

To study the metrics within a framework of conformal gravity, one would have to require that R_{uu} vanishes, since there is no notion of dilaton-metric background for the conformal gravity. From the equation (4.7) one could see the form of the KG equation obtained for each of these metrics in Brinkmann form. Limiting the solution to Einstein solution and asking that $R_{uu} = 0$ might lead to tractable problems. In this case the pp-wave metrics that would be considered would be exclusively those defined by metrics in [28].

5 Conclusion

We have studied the pp-wave solution of conformal gravity and its symmetries. The most general form of the solution admits three translational Killing vectors, while choosing specific parameters the symmetries can be increased to five KVs. Via asymptotic analysis we calculate holographic stress energy tensors of conformal gravity. The most symmetric solution has both stress energy tensors vanishing, as well as vanishing Weyl and charge. For the specific choice of parameters we find vanishing PMR for a metric which is not conformally flat, and does not have vanishing charge or Brown-York stress tensor. In other words, zero PMR does not imply that the global solution becomes Einstein solution. The interesting thing is that non-unitarity of the conformal gravity manifests through PMR, when PMR is zero, this is not the case, which renders this solution important. The second pp-wave solution we study is also most general solution of its respective form, and it is a generalisation of the pp-waves in Einstein gravity studied in [28]. The holography of this solution shows that one can have vanishing subleading term in the FG expansion and non-vanishing PMR, which makes it a first example of its kind.

We also considered possible application of the studied pp-wave metrics. In the future studies it would be interesting to use these metrics as a background for the certain calculations as string propagation. It would be also interesting to use concrete example of the metric on the calculation as [4] and further see if it could be written directly using partially-massless response function.

6 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Arkady Tseytlin and Daniel Grumiller for the discussions and comments, and Toby Wiseman, and Matthew Roberts for discussions. I.L. was supported by the FWF Schrödinger grant J 4129-N27.

7 Appendix A

Matrix $\gamma_{ij}^{(2)}$ in the FG expansion of (3.1) is

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 \left(\frac{2c_3}{c_1} - 2c_1c_5 - 1 \right) - c_1^2 & -\frac{a^2(2c_5c_1^2 + c_1 - 2c_3)}{c_1} & 0 \\ -\frac{a^2(2c_5c_1^2 + c_1 - 2c_3)}{c_1} & \left(\frac{2c_3}{c_1} - 2c_1c_5 - 1 \right) a^2 + c_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.1)$$

The charge defined by the (3.21) and (3.22) is

$$Q_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{4}h_2h_1 + \frac{3}{2}h_3 & -\frac{3}{11}h_2h_1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{11}h_2h_1 & -\frac{1}{4}h_2h_1 + h_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{5}h_2h_1 + h_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.2)$$

The $\gamma_{ij}^{(k)}$ for $k=1,2,3$ matrices for the metric (3.23), are given by

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = -20bx^4 \cos(q+y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{ij}^{(3)} = -24b \cos(q+y) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.3)$$

8 Appendix B

Normalisation of the specific solutions is

$$\int d^{D-1}x \sqrt{-G} G^{0\mu} (\varphi_k^* \partial_\mu \varphi'_k - \varphi'_k \partial_\mu \varphi_k^*) = -i\delta_{kk'} \quad (8.1)$$

9 Appendix C

We consider the geodesics of the metric (3.1) after the transformation $e^{c_1 r/2} \rightarrow z$ and for the choice of parameters $c_5 = \frac{1}{2}, c_3 = 0, a_2 = 1/2$ while the other parameters are set to 1. The geodesic equations

lead to

$$u''(s) - \frac{u'(s)z'(s)}{z(s)\log(z(s))} = 0 \quad (9.1)$$

$$\frac{u'(s)\log(z(s))(2z(s)y'(s) + (z(s)^2 + 2)z'(s)) - 2v'(s)z'(s)}{2z(s)\log(z(s))} + v''(s) = 0 \quad (9.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8z(s)\log(z(s))}(8u'(s)v'(s) + u'(s)^2(4(y(s) + 2) + z(s)^2 - 2z(s)^2\log(z(s))) \\ & + 4z(s)^2y'(s)^2(1 - 2\log(z(s))) - 4z'(s)^2) + z''(s) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

$$- \frac{u'(s)^2}{2z(s)^2} + y''(s) + \frac{y'(s)z'(s)(2\log(z(s)) - 1)}{z(s)\log(z(s))} = 0 \quad (9.4)$$

They can be solved numerically for the set of initial conditions which are given under the images,




Figure 1: Initial conditions of the two left graphics are $y(0) = 0, z(0) = 2, v(0) = 0, z'(0) = 0, y'(0) = 0, v'(0) = 0, u(0) = 0, u'(0) = 1$, while the initial conditions of the right graphics are $y(0) = 0, z(0) = 2, v(0) = 0, z'(0) = 1, y'(0) = 2, v'(0) = 0, u(0) = 0, u'(0) = 1$

Bibliography

- [1] Philip D. Mannheim. Making the Case for Conformal Gravity. *Found. Phys.*, 42:388–420, 2012.
- [2] Gerard 't Hooft. Probing the small distance structure of canonical quantum gravity using the conformal group. 9 2010.
- [3] Ahmad Ghodsi, Behnoush Khavari, and Ali Naseh. Holographic Two-Point Functions in Conformal Gravity. *JHEP*, 01:137, 2015.
- [4] Carl M. Bender and Philip D. Mannheim. No-ghost theorem for the fourth-order derivative Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:110402, 2008.
- [5] Philip D. Mannheim and Demosthenes Kazanas. Exact Vacuum Solution to Conformal Weyl Gravity and Galactic Rotation Curves. *Astrophys. J.*, 342:635–638, 1989.
- [6] Philip D. Mannheim. Exact solution to perturbative conformal cosmology in the recombination era. 9 2020.
- [7] M. Irakleidou and I. Lovrekovic. Asymptotic symmetry algebra of conformal gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 96(10):104009, 2017.

- [8] Hai-Shan Liu and H. Lu. Charged Rotating AdS Black Hole and Its Thermodynamics in Conformal Gravity. *JHEP*, 02:139, 2013.
- [9] Alexei A. Starobinsky. Isotropization of arbitrary cosmological expansion given an effective cosmological constant. *JETP Lett.*, 37:66–69, 1983.
- [10] Hans Stephani, D. Kramer, Malcolm A.H. MacCallum, Cornelius Hoenselaers, and Eduard Herlt. *Exact solutions of Einstein’s field equations*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [11] A.Z. Petrov. The Classification of spaces defining gravitational fields. *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 32:1661–1663, 2000.
- [12] Roman O. Popovych, Vyacheslav M. Boyko, Maryna O. Nesterenko, and Maxim W. Lutfullin. Realizations of real low-dimensional Lie algebras. *J. Phys. A*, 36:7337–7360, 2003.
- [13] Fawad Khan, Tahir Hussain, and Sumaira Saleem Akhtar. Conformal Ricci collineations in LRS Bianchi type V spacetimes with perfect fluid matter. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 32(24):1750124, 2017.
- [14] M E Araujo and J E F Skea. The automorphism groups for Bianchi universe models and computer-aided invariant classification of metrics. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 5:537, 1988.
- [15] Jacques Demaret, Laurent Querella, and Christian Scheen. Hamiltonian formulation and exact solutions of the Bianchi type I space-time in conformal gravity. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 16:749–768, 1999.
- [16] D.R.K. Reddy, R. Santikumar, and R.L. Naidu. Bianchi type-III cosmological model in $f(R,T)$ theory of gravity. *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 342:249–252, 2012.
- [17] Bijan Saha. Some remarks on Bianchi type-II, VIII and IX models. *Grav. Cosmol.*, 19:65–69, 2013.
- [18] B. Fiedler and R. Schimming. Exact solutions of the Bach field equations of general relativity. *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 17:15–36, 1980.
- [19] Ahmet Baykal. Gravitational wave solutions of quadratic curvature gravity using a null coframe formulation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 89(6):064054, 2014.
- [20] Ronald J. Riegert. Birkhoff’s Theorem in Conformal Gravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 53:315–318, 1984.
- [21] Daniel Grumiller. Model for gravity at large distances. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:211303, 2010. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 106, 039901 (2011)].
- [22] D. Grumiller, M. Irakleidou, I. Lovrekovic, and R. McNees. Conformal gravity holography in four dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112:111102, 2014.
- [23] Stefan Hollands, Akihiro Ishibashi, and Donald Marolf. Counter-term charges generate bulk symmetries. *Phys. Rev. D*, 72:104025, 2005.
- [24] Chiara Caprini, Patric Hölscher, and Dominik J. Schwarz. Astrophysical Gravitational Waves in Conformal Gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 98(8):084002, 2018.
- [25] Maria Irakleidou, Iva Lovrekovic, and Florian Preis. Canonical charges and asymptotic symmetry algebra of conformal gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 91:104037, 2015.
- [26] J. Patera, R.T. Sharp, P. Winternitz, and H. Zassenhaus. Continuous Subgroups of the Fundamental Groups of Physics. 3. The de Sitter Groups. *J. Math. Phys.*, 18:2259, 1977.
- [27] G. Papadopoulos, J.G. Russo, and Arkady A. Tseytlin. Solvable model of strings in a time dependent plane wave background. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 20:969–1016, 2003.
- [28] Asher Peres. PP waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 3:571, 1959.