# Twistor Actions for Integrable Systems 

Robert F. Penna<br>Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA<br>E-mail: rpenna@ias.edu


#### Abstract

Many integrable systems can be reformulated as holomorphic vector bundles on twistor space. This is a powerful organizing principle in the theory of integrable systems. One shortcoming is that it is formulated at the level of the equations of motion. From this perspective, it is mysterious that integrable systems have Lagrangians. In this paper, we study a Chern-Simons action on twistor space and use it to derive the Lagrangians of some integrable sigma models. Our focus is on examples that come from dimensionally reduced gravity and supergravity. The dimensional reduction of general relativity to two spacetime dimensions is an integrable coset sigma model coupled to a dilaton and 2d gravity. The dimensional reduction of supergravity to two spacetime dimensions is an integrable coset sigma model coupled to matter fermions, a dilaton, and 2d supergravity. We derive Lax operators and Lagrangians for these 2d integrable systems using the Chern-Simons theory on twistor space. In the supergravity example, we use an extended setup in which twistor Chern-Simons theory is coupled to a pair of matter fermions.
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## 1 Introduction

Many integrable systems can be obtained from dimensional reductions of the self-dual YangMills equations. This is a powerful organizing principle in the theory of integrable systems [1-3]. Solutions of the self-dual Yang-Mills equations can be reformulated as holomorphic vector bundles on twistor space. Thus many integrable systems can be realized as reductions of holomorphic vector bundles on twistor space. Some of the features of integrable systems that look mysterious on spacetime have natural and geometrical interpretations on twistor space. For instance, it is often useful to organize the data of an integrable system by introducing a formal, complex valued "spectral parameter." In the twistor formulation, the spectral parameter is just one of the dimensions of twistor space.

A basic object in the theory of integrable systems is the Lax operator. A Lax operator is a Lie algebra valued 1-form, $L$, obeying the flatness condition $d L+L \wedge L=0$. The flatness condition encodes the equations of motion of an integrable system. The Lax operator can
be reformulated as a $(0,1)$ connection, $A$, on twistor space. The flatness condition becomes the partial flatness condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} A+A \wedge A=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the equation of motion of the Chern-Simons action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \wedge C S(A), \quad C S(A)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A \wedge \bar{\partial} A+\frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a meromorphic ( 3,0 ) form. To get a sensible theory, we need boundary conditions for $A$ at the poles of $\Omega$. Equation (1.2) is similar to holomorphic Chern-Simons theory, except that holomorphic Chern-Simons theory requires a holomorphic ${ }^{1} \Omega$ and there is no holomorphic ( 3,0 ) form on twistor space (absent $N=4$ supersymmetry [5]).

This action (1.2) first appeared in a recent seminar talk of Costello [6]. He used it to study a four dimensional sigma model first studied by Losev, Moore, Nekrasov, and Shatashvili [7]. As our work was nearing completion, there appeared a related preprint by Bittleston and Skinner [8]. They use the Chern-Simons action (1.2) to study the relationship between the self-dual Yang-Mills equations, 4d Chern-Simons theory [9-12], and integrable sigma models.

We were led to study the twistor Chern-Simons action (1.2) as part of an investigation into dimensionally reduced gravity and supergravity. The dimensional reduction of general relativity from four to two dimensions is an integrable $S L(2, \mathbb{R}) / S O(2)$ coset sigma model coupled to a dilaton and 2 d gravity. The 2 d theory has an infinite dimensional symmetry called the Geroch group which underlies many solution generating techniques in general relativity [13-15]. Brietenlohner and Maison [14] found a Lax operator for this sigma model. Mason and Woodhouse [16] reformulated the Lax operator as a $(0,1)$ connection on twistor space. In Section 3 of the present paper, we will derive the Lax operator and the Lagrangian from the Chern-Simons action (1.2).

The dimensional reduction of $N=1$ supergravity from four to two dimensions is an $S L(2, \mathbb{R}) / S O(2)$ coset sigma model coupled to a pair of matter fermions, a dilaton, and 2d supergravity. Nicolai [17] found a Lax operator for this sigma model. In Section 4, we will derive the Lax operator and Lagrangian up to (and including) quadratic fermion terms using an extended action in which (1.2) is coupled to a pair of matter fermions. We comment on the extension to quartic fermion terms at the end.

The techniques developed herein apply to a wide range of integrable systems. In Section 3 , we discuss one of the simplest integrable sigma models, the 2 d principal chiral model in flat spacetime, to emphasize this point. In the future, it would be interesting to explore applications of twistor Chern-Simons theory to quantum integrable systems.

## 2 Twistors

This section is a review of twistor theory $[2,3]$. We introduce twistor space, $Z$, as a bundle of complex structures on Euclidean spacetime, $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Then we explain how to recover spacetime

[^0]from twistor space as the parameter space of real twistor lines. In the last subsection, we describe the action of infinitesimal spacetime conformal transformations on twistor space.

### 2.1 Twistor Space

Twistor space is a bundle of complex structures on spacetime. An almost complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is a linear map on each tangent space that squares to -1 . For example, the actions of

$$
I=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.1}\\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathrm{J}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathrm{K}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ define almost complex structures. In this example, we also have IJK $=-1$. This fact together with $\mathrm{I}^{2}=\mathrm{J}^{2}=\mathrm{K}^{2}=-1$ implies $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}$, and K all anticommute. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \mathrm{I}+b \mathrm{~J}+c \mathrm{~K})^{2}=-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means the linear combination $\mathbf{I}=a \mathrm{I}+b \mathrm{~J}+c \mathrm{~K}$ is an almost complex structure for each triple $(a, b, c)$ with $a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1$. We thus obtain a two-sphere of almost complex structures on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Let $\xi$ be the usual holomorphic coordinate on the northern patch of the two-sphere. A convenient parametrization for this two-sphere of almost complex structures is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{I}=\frac{1}{1+\xi \bar{\xi}}((1-\xi \bar{\xi}) \mathrm{I}+(\xi+\bar{\xi}) \mathrm{J}+i(\xi-\bar{\xi}) \mathrm{K}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The almost complex structures (2.3) have two properties that distinguish them from other almost complex structures on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. First, if $g$ is the flat Euclidean metric then $\mathbf{I}^{*} g=g$. Second, it is always possible to find an oriented orthonormal basis of the form $\left(e_{1}, \mathbf{I} e_{1}, e_{3}, \mathbf{I} e_{3}\right)$. So these almost complex structures are compatible with the standard metric and orientation.

It is not too hard to see that are no other almost complex structures on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ that are compatible with the standard metric and orientation. To see why, first observe that a linear map on tangent space with $\mathrm{j}^{*} g=g$ must be in $\mathrm{SO}(4)$. Asking for the existence of an oriented orthonormal basis of the form $\left(e_{1}, \mathrm{j} e_{1}, e_{3}, \mathrm{j} e_{3}\right)$ implies all of the almost complex structures we are considering are $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ conjugate (since they all look the same in the standard oriented basis).

This conjugacy class of almost complex structures will be a quotient of $\mathrm{SO}(4)$. To find the quotient, we need to compute the stabilizer. In other words, we need to pick an almost complex structure, j , and find all $M \in \mathrm{SO}(4)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{j}=M \mathrm{j} M^{-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to pick $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{K}$ and represent the matrices with $2 \times 2$ blocks. The equation to be solved becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{2.5}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this equation, $0,1, A, B, C$, and $D$ are regarded as $2 \times 2$ matrices. The solutions are the matrices $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ -B & A\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \otimes A+\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right) \otimes B$, which we may as well call $A+i B$. The fact that the matrix we started with was in $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ implies $A+i B \in \mathrm{U}(2)$.

So the set of all almost complex structures on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ compatible with the standard metric and orientation is $\mathrm{SO}(4) / \mathrm{U}(2) \simeq S^{2}$. This is the two-sphere of almost complex structures (2.3) we started with.

These almost complex structures are actually complex structures. Let $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$ be Cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. For each $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, define a pair of complex coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda=x^{1}+i x^{2}+i \bar{\xi}\left(x^{3}-i x^{4}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \mu=x^{3}+i x^{4}-i \bar{\xi}\left(x^{1}-i x^{2}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $(d \lambda, d \mu)$ is an I-holomorphic basis for (the complexification of) each cotangent space of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. So the almost complex structures we are discussing are in fact complex structures.

None of these complex structures are more natural than the others. One of the key ideas of twistor theory is to avoid making a choice of complex structure by studying the bundle of all metric and orientation compatible complex structures. Thus the twistor space of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is (as a real manifold)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\mathbb{R}^{4} \times S^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z$ has a natural complex structure. The complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is $\mathbf{I}$, which is now a function of the $S^{2}$ coordinate. The one forms

$$
\begin{align*}
d \lambda & =d x^{1}+i d x^{2}+i \bar{\xi}\left(d x^{3}-i d x^{4}\right)+i\left(x^{3}-i x^{4}\right) d \bar{\xi}  \tag{2.9}\\
d \mu & =d x^{3}+i d x^{4}-i \bar{\xi}\left(d x^{1}-i d x^{2}\right)-i\left(x^{1}-i x^{2}\right) d \bar{\xi} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

are holomorphic forms on twistor space if $d \bar{\xi}$ is holomorphic. So we endow $S^{2}$ with the opposite of the standard complex structure. Let $\zeta=i \bar{\xi}$. Then $(\lambda, \mu, \zeta)$ is a holomorphic chart on the northern patch of twistor space $(\zeta \in \mathbb{C})$.

Let $\widetilde{\zeta}$ be the holomorphic coordinate on the southern patch of $S^{2}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\lambda}=x^{3}-i x^{4}+\widetilde{\zeta}\left(x^{1}+i x^{2}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
& \widetilde{\mu}=-x^{1}+i x^{2}+\widetilde{\zeta}\left(x^{3}+i x^{4}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $(\tilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\zeta})$ is a holomorphic chart on the southern patch of twistor space $(\widetilde{\zeta} \in \mathbb{C})$. On the overlap region,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\lambda}=\lambda / \zeta, \quad \widetilde{\mu}=\mu / \zeta, \quad \widetilde{\zeta}=1 / \zeta \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result can be summarized by saying that $Z$ is the holomorphic vector bundle $O(1) \oplus$ $O(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$.

### 2.2 Real Twistor Lines

In the previous subsection, we constructed twistor space as the bundle of metric and orientation compatible complex structures on flat Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Replacing the flat metric,
$g$, with a conformally related metric, $\Omega^{2} g$, gives the same twistor space. So $Z$ is really associated to the conformal manifold $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4},[g]\right)$, where $[g]$ is the equivalence class of metrics conformally related to the flat metric. In this subsection, we will describe how to recover $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4},[g]\right)$ from $Z$.

Global sections of $Z=O(1) \oplus O(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ are defined by holomorphic functions, $f$ and $\tilde{f}$, on the northern and southern patches of $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, respectively, subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\zeta)=\zeta \tilde{f}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the overlap region. Expanding in power series forces the global sections to be linear. On the northern patch, they have the coordinate expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=a+b \zeta, \quad \mu=c+d \zeta, \quad(a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter space of global sections is $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. Call these sections complex twistor lines.
A real structure on a complex manifold is an antiholomorphic involution. Twistor space inherits a real structure from the fiberwise action of the antipodal map $\zeta \rightarrow-1 / \bar{\zeta}$. Recalling (2.6) and (2.7) gives the fiber action

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow\left(-\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\zeta}}, \overline{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{\bar{\zeta}}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines an antiholomophic involution and therefore a real structure. A complex twistor line (2.15) invariant under (2.16) is called a real twistor line. The real twistor lines are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=u+\bar{v} \zeta, \quad \mu=v-\bar{u} \zeta, \quad(u, v \in \mathbb{C}) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing with (2.6) and (2.7) gives $u=x^{1}+i x^{2}$ and $v=x^{3}+i x^{4}$. We thus recover $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ as the parameter space of real twistor lines.

Each point of twistor space lies on a unique real twistor line. This gives a nonholomorphic projection $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ sending each point in $Z$ to the corresponding line. The point $(\lambda, \mu, \zeta)$ lies on the line with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{\lambda-\bar{\mu} \zeta}{1+\zeta \bar{\zeta}}, \quad v=\frac{\mu+\bar{\lambda} \zeta}{1+\zeta \bar{\zeta}} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This projection defines a nonholomorphic chart, $(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, on twistor space that is particularly well-suited for recovering spacetime physics.

### 2.3 Conformal Transformations

This subsection describes the action of infinitesimal spacetime conformal transformations on twistor space. The results are summarized in Table 1. The conformal group of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is $\mathrm{SO}(5,1) . \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is the parameter space of real twistor lines, so the action of conformal transformations on real twistor lines is immediate. The problem is to extend this to an action on twistor space itself.

Recall that a complex twistor line has the coordinate expression (2.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=u+\hat{v} \zeta, \quad \mu=v+\hat{u} \zeta, \quad(u, v, \hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \mathbb{C}) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conformal structure on the moduli space of complex twistor lines, $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, is fixed by the requirement that two points in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ are null separated if and only if the twistor lines they represent intersect. This endows the moduli space of complex twistor lines with the conformal structure of the flat metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-d u d \hat{u}+d v d \hat{v} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Real twistor lines have $\hat{u}=-\bar{u}$ and $\hat{v}=\bar{v}$. Plugging these equations into (2.20) gives the expected flat conformal structure on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Infinitesimal conformal transformations are given by conformal Killing vectors.

Let $F$ be the space whose elements are pairs, $(L, p)$, where $L$ is a complex twistor line and $p$ is a point on $L$. There are many ways to lift a conformal Killing vector, $X$, to a vector field, $X^{\prime \prime}$, on $F$ because there are many ways to choose the action of $X^{\prime \prime}$ on $p$. However, in general, $X^{\prime \prime}$ will not have a well defined push forward to twistor space along the projection $(L, p) \rightarrow p$ because the action of $X^{\prime \prime}$ on $p$ can depend on $L$. The key to lifting the action of $X$ to twistor space is to choose $X^{\prime \prime}$ such that the push forward to twistor space is well defined.

Concretely, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=a \partial_{u}+b \partial_{v}+\hat{a} \partial_{\hat{u}}+\hat{b} \partial_{\hat{v}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a conformal Killing vector of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. An element of $F$ is labeled by five parameters, ( $u, v, \hat{u}, \hat{v}, \zeta$ ). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime \prime}=a \partial_{u}+b \partial_{v}+\hat{a} \partial_{\hat{u}}+\hat{b} \partial_{\hat{v}}+X^{\zeta} \partial_{\zeta} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

be one choice for the lift of $X$ to $F$. We want to choose $X^{\zeta}$ such that the push forward of $X^{\prime \prime}$ to twistor space along $(L, p) \rightarrow p$ is well defined. This projection, $(L, p) \rightarrow p$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v, \hat{u}, \hat{v}, \zeta) \rightarrow(\lambda, \mu, \zeta)=(u+\hat{v} \zeta, v+\hat{u} \zeta, \zeta) . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fibers of this projection have tangent vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\partial_{\hat{v}}-\zeta \partial_{u}, \quad m=\partial_{\hat{u}}-\zeta \partial_{v} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the push forward of $X^{\prime \prime}$ to twistor space will be well defined if $\left[X^{\prime \prime}, \ell\right]=\left[X^{\prime \prime}, m\right]=0$ modulo linear combinations of $\ell$ and $m$.

A short calculation using the conformal Killing equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, \ell] \cong Q \partial_{u}, \quad[X, m] \cong Q \partial_{v}, \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cong$ means equality modulo linear combinations of $\ell$ and $m$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=-\partial_{\hat{v}} a+\zeta\left(\partial_{u} a-\partial_{\hat{v}} \hat{b}\right)+\zeta^{2} \partial_{u} \hat{b} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $X$ | $X^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x^{1} \partial_{x^{2}}-x^{2} \partial_{x^{1}}$ | $i\left(\lambda \partial_{\lambda}+\zeta \partial_{\zeta}\right)$ |
| $x^{1} \partial_{x^{3}}-x^{3} \partial_{x^{1}}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}\left[(\mu-\zeta \lambda) \partial_{\lambda}-(\lambda+\zeta \mu) \partial_{\mu}-\left(1+\zeta^{2}\right) \partial_{\zeta}\right]$ |
| $x^{1} \partial_{x^{4}}-x^{4} \partial_{x^{1}}$ | $-\frac{1}{2} i\left[-(\mu-\zeta \lambda) \partial_{\lambda}-(\lambda-\zeta \mu) \partial_{\mu}-\left(1-\zeta^{2}\right) \partial_{\zeta}\right]$ |
| $x^{2} \partial_{x^{3}}-x^{3} \partial_{x^{2}}$ | $-\frac{1}{2} i\left[(\mu+\zeta \lambda) \partial_{\lambda}+(\lambda+\zeta \mu) \partial_{\mu}-\left(1-\zeta^{2}\right) \partial_{\zeta}\right]$ |
| $x^{2} \partial_{x^{4}}-x^{4} \partial_{x^{2}}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}\left[(\mu+\zeta \lambda) \partial_{\lambda}-(\lambda-\zeta \mu) \partial_{\mu}+\left(1+\zeta^{2}\right) \partial_{\zeta}\right]$ |
| $x^{3} \partial_{x^{4}}-x^{4} \partial_{x^{3}}$ | $i\left(\mu \partial_{\mu}+\zeta \partial_{\zeta}\right)$ |
| $\partial_{x^{1}}$ | $\partial_{\lambda}-\zeta \partial_{\mu}$ |
| $\partial_{x^{2}}$ | $i\left(\partial_{\lambda}+\zeta \partial_{\mu}\right)$ |
| $\partial_{x^{3}}$ | $\zeta \partial_{\lambda}+\partial_{\mu}$ |
| $\partial_{x^{4}}$ | $-i\left(\zeta \partial_{\lambda}-\partial_{\mu}\right)$ |
| $x^{i} \partial_{i}$ | $\lambda \partial_{\lambda}+\mu \partial_{\mu}$ |
| $2 x^{1} x^{i} \partial_{i}-(x \cdot x) \partial_{x^{1}}$ | $\lambda^{2} \partial_{\lambda}+\lambda \mu \partial_{\mu}+(\mu+\zeta \lambda) \partial_{\zeta}$ |
| $2 x^{2} x^{i} \partial_{i}-(x \cdot x) \partial_{x^{2}}$ | $-i\left[\lambda^{2} \partial_{\lambda}+\lambda \mu \partial_{\mu}-(\mu-\zeta \lambda) \partial_{\zeta}\right]$ |
| $2 x^{3} x^{i} \partial_{i}-(x \cdot x) \partial_{x^{3}}$ | $\lambda \mu \partial_{\lambda}+\mu^{2} \partial_{\mu}-(\lambda-\zeta \mu) \partial_{\zeta}$ |
| $2 x^{4} x^{i} \partial_{i}-(x \cdot x) \partial_{x^{4}}$ | $-i\left[\lambda \mu \partial_{\lambda}+\mu^{2} \partial_{\mu}+(\lambda+\zeta \mu) \partial_{\zeta}\right]$ |

Table 1. Conformal Killing vectors and their lifts to twistor space.
$Q$ is constant along $\ell$ and $m$. So setting $X^{\zeta}=Q$ gives $\left[X^{\prime \prime}, \ell\right] \cong\left[X^{\prime \prime}, m\right] \cong 0$. This means $X^{\prime \prime}$ has a well defined projection to twistor space, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}=(a+\zeta \hat{b}+Q \hat{v}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}+(b+\zeta \hat{a}+Q \hat{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}+Q \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of $X^{\prime}$ are constant along $\ell$ and $m$ and therefore functions of $(\lambda, \mu, \zeta)$ alone. $X^{\prime}$ is the lift of the conformal Killing vector $X$ to twistor space. The conformal Killing vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and their lifts to twistor space are listed in Table 1.

## 3 Chern-Simons Action

The Penrose-Ward correspondence relates integrable systems to holomorphic vector bundles on twistor space. Under this correspondence, the Lax operator becomes a $(0,1)$ connection,
$A$, on twistor space obeying the partial flatness condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} A+A^{2}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows, we are dropping the wedge product symbol for brevity. Equation (3.1) is the equation of motion of the Chern-Simons action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega C S(A), \quad C S(A)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A \bar{\partial} A+\frac{2}{3} A^{3}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a $(3,0)$ form. There is no holomorphic $(3,0)$ form on twistor space so we will choose, in some sense, the next best thing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\frac{d \lambda d \mu d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Omega$ has second order poles at $\zeta=0$ and $\zeta=\infty$ (recall 2.13). To get a sensible theory, we need boundary conditions on $A$ at the poles of $\Omega$.

### 3.1 Lorentz invariance

The poles of $\Omega$ break 4d Lorentz invariance. However, it turns out that $\Omega$ is compatible with 2d Lorentz invariance. So the Chern-Simons action we are discussing is a reasonable starting point for 2 d integrable models.

Let us elaborate on this point in a simple example. Consider the nonholomorphic twistor coordinates $(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ defined by (2.18). Recall $u=x^{1}+i x^{2}$ and $v=x^{3}+i x^{4}$ and suppose we dimensionally reduce along $x^{2}$ and $x^{4}$ to get a 2 d theory with spacetime coordinates $x^{1}$ and $x^{3}$. The spacetime Killing vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=x^{3} \partial_{x^{1}}-x^{1} \partial_{x^{3}}+x^{4} \partial_{x^{2}}-x^{2} \partial_{x^{4}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

lifts to the twistor space vector field (see Table 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}=\mu \partial_{\lambda}+\lambda \partial_{\mu} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

After dimensional reduction, $X$ is the generator of the 2d Lorentz group (which in this example is just $\mathrm{SO}(2))$. On twistor space, $X^{\prime}$ has no component along $\partial_{\zeta}$, so it acts trivially on the poles of $\Omega$. Thus $\Omega$ is compatible with 2 d Lorentz invariance in this simple example. All of the other examples we will discuss work similarly.

### 3.2 Boundary conditions

The first integrable model we consider is the 2d principal chiral model (PCM), one of the simplest integrable sigma models. To describe the boundary conditions for this model, first define $w=x^{1}+i x^{3}$ and $\bar{w}=x^{1}-i x^{3}$. The chart $\left(w, \bar{w}, x^{2}, x^{4}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}\right)$ is convenient for dimensional reduction. Reducing along $x^{2}$ and $x^{4}$ gives a 2d theory with coordinates $w$ and $\bar{w}$.

We need to ensure that $\Omega C S(A)$ has no poles at $\zeta=0$ and $\zeta=\infty$. Write ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{w} \bar{\partial} w+A_{\bar{w}} \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \bar{w}+A_{\bar{\zeta}} d \bar{\zeta} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The required boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\zeta=0: & A_{w}=O(\zeta), & A_{\bar{w}}=O(\zeta), & A_{\bar{\zeta}}=O(1) \\
\zeta=\infty: & A_{w}=O(1 / \zeta), & A_{\bar{w}}=O(1 / \zeta), & A_{\bar{\zeta}}=O\left(1 / \zeta^{2}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{array}
$$

We also require gauge transformations to vanish at $\zeta=0$ and $\zeta=\infty$. These conditions ensure that $\Omega C S(A)$ is smooth ${ }^{3}$.

We assume further that $A$ is trivial on real twistor lines. This is a standard part of the Penrose-Ward correspondence. It is a natural condition on $A$ because real twistor lines correspond to points in spacetime and we are ultimately interested in recovering spacetime fields. Note that $\bar{\partial} w=\bar{\partial} \bar{w}=0$ on real twistor lines, so this assumption guarantees the existence of a Lie group valued function, $\hat{\sigma}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\bar{\zeta}}=\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \hat{\sigma} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{\sigma}$ is ambiguous up to left multiplication, $\hat{\sigma} \rightarrow g \hat{\sigma}$, by a Lie group valued function, $g=$ $g(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v})$. To fix this ambiguity, set $\hat{\sigma}=\mathrm{id}$ at $\zeta=\infty$. Then the value of $\hat{\sigma}$ at $\zeta=0$ defines a Lie group valued function, $\sigma$. We assume without loss of generality that $\hat{\sigma}$ is independent of $\arg \zeta^{4}$.

### 3.3 Solution

For dimensional reduction, we drop all dependence on $x^{2}$ and $x^{4}$. So $\sigma=\sigma(w, \bar{w})$ and $\hat{\sigma}=(w, \bar{w},|\zeta|)$. The solution of $F_{\bar{\zeta} w}=F_{\bar{\zeta} \bar{w}}=0$ that is compatible with the boundary conditions is

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \hat{\sigma}+\hat{\sigma}^{-1} A^{\prime} \hat{\sigma}  \tag{3.10}\\
A^{\prime} & =\frac{i}{\zeta-i}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \bar{\partial} w-\frac{i}{\zeta+i}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$A$ clearly satisfies the boundary conditions (3.7)-(3.8). $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are gauge equivalent, so it is enough to check the equations of motion $F_{\bar{\zeta} w}=F_{\bar{\zeta} \bar{w}}=0$ for $A^{\prime}$. The poles at $\zeta= \pm i$ look like they might spell trouble, but $\bar{\partial} w \sim(\zeta-i)$ and $\bar{\partial} \bar{w} \sim(\zeta+i)$, so $F_{\bar{\zeta} w}=F_{\bar{\zeta} \bar{w}}=0$.

The Lax operator is defined to be

$$
\begin{align*}
L & \equiv A_{w}^{\prime} d w+A_{\bar{w}}^{\prime} d \bar{w}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =\frac{i}{\zeta-i}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} d w-\frac{i}{\zeta+i}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} d \bar{w} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]$L$ is a family of one-forms on spacetime labeled by a parameter, $\zeta$, the spectral parameter. $L$ is defined using $A^{\prime}$ rather than $A$ because the Lax operator is simplest in the gauge with $A_{\bar{\zeta}}=0$. In this gauge, the Chern-Simons equations of motion $F_{\bar{\zeta} w}=F_{\bar{\zeta} \bar{w}}=0$ imply that $A_{w}$ and $A_{\bar{w}}$ are independent of $\zeta$.

The Chern-Simons equation of motion $\partial_{w} A_{\bar{w}}^{\prime}-\partial_{\bar{w}} A_{w}^{\prime}+\left[A_{w}^{\prime}, A_{\bar{w}}^{\prime}\right]=0$ implies the flatness condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
d L+L^{2}=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Lax operator. The equations of motion of the PCM can be obtained by expanding (3.14) in powers of $\zeta$. The existence of the Lax formulation implies the integrability of the PCM.

Reinserting $A$ into the Chern-Simons action gives the action of the PCM. It is convenient to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{0}+A_{1} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0}=\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \hat{\sigma},  \tag{3.16}\\
& A_{1}=\frac{i}{\zeta-i} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} w-\frac{i}{\zeta+i} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} \bar{w} . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

$A_{0}$ obeys the Chern-Simons equation of motion $\bar{\partial} A_{0}+A_{0}^{2}=0$, and $A_{1}^{3}=0$. The ChernSimons functional reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C S(A)=-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)+2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{1}^{2}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{1} \bar{\partial} A_{1}\right)-\bar{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{1}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first three terms do not contribute to the action. The calculations are similar in all three cases, so we will only bother to discuss the first term. The integral to be evaluated is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{6 \pi i} \int \frac{d \lambda d \mu d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}^{3}\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrand is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)=3 \bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} d \bar{\zeta} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \hat{\sigma}\right)\left[\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \hat{\sigma}\right), \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \hat{\sigma}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $(0,3)$ form appearing here is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} d \bar{\zeta}=-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\zeta}^{2} \frac{\zeta^{2}+1}{(1+\zeta \bar{\zeta})^{2}} \bar{\Omega} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the integral we are discussing is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int \Omega \bar{\Omega} \bar{\zeta}^{2} \frac{\zeta^{2}+1}{(1+\zeta \bar{\zeta})^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \hat{\sigma}\right)\left[\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \hat{\sigma}\right), \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \hat{\sigma}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The volume form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \bar{\Omega}=-\frac{(1+\zeta \bar{\zeta})^{2}}{\zeta^{2} \bar{\zeta}^{2}} d u d \bar{u} d v d \bar{v} d \zeta d \bar{\zeta} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the integral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int d u d \bar{u} d v d \bar{v} d \zeta d \bar{\zeta}\left(1+\frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \hat{\sigma}\right)\left[\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \hat{\sigma}\right), \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \hat{\sigma}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\zeta=\rho e^{i \varphi}$. Then the integral over $\varphi$ vanishes because $\hat{\sigma}$ is independent of $\varphi$ in these coordinates. Similar calculations show that the second and third terms in (3.18) do not contribute to the action either.

The only nonzero contribution to the action comes from

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \frac{d \lambda d \mu d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \bar{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{1}\right) . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a boundary term and the only contribution is from the pole at $\zeta=0$. The trace is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{1}\right)= & -\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{i}{\zeta+i}\left(\partial_{w} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}+\frac{i}{\zeta-i}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] \bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \hat{\sigma}\right) d \bar{\zeta} A_{1}\right] . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling (3.21), the action becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4 \pi i} \int \Omega \bar{\Omega} \bar{\zeta}^{2} \frac{\zeta-i}{(1+\zeta \bar{\zeta})^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{w} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi i} \int \Omega \bar{\Omega} \bar{\zeta}^{2} \frac{\zeta+i}{(1+\zeta \bar{\zeta})^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the volume form using (3.23) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{4 \pi} \int d u d \bar{u} d v d \bar{v} d \zeta d \bar{\zeta} \frac{\zeta-i}{\zeta^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{w} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] \\
& +\frac{i}{4 \pi} \int d u d \bar{u} d v d \bar{v} d \zeta d \bar{\zeta} \frac{\zeta+i}{\zeta^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

The only contribution is from the pole at $\zeta=0$, where $\hat{\sigma}=\sigma$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d u d \bar{u} d v d \bar{v} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field $\sigma=\sigma(w, \bar{w})$ is a function of $w=x^{1}+i x^{3}$ and $\bar{w}=x^{1}-i x^{3}$ only. Integrating over $x^{2}$ and $x^{4}$ gives the action of the $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{PCM}^{5}$.

### 3.4 Dimensionally Reduced Gravity

Dimensionally reducing general relativity with respect to a time translation and a rotation gives the Breitenlohner-Maison (BM) model [14, 17]. It is an $S L(2, \mathbb{R}) / S O(2)$ coset sigma model. The solution space includes black holes. For example, the Kerr metric is stationary and axisymmetric, so it is a solution of the BM model. The BM model is defined on a flat

[^2]Euclidean half-plane. In the black hole spacetime, the half-plane is a slice at fixed time and azimuthal angle. In this subsection, we derive the Lax operator and action of the BM model from the Chern-Simons action (3.2)-(3.3) on twistor space.

As before, consider the nonholomorphic chart $(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ on twistor space. Introduce a new chart, $(w, \bar{w}, \theta, t, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=r e^{i \theta}, \quad v=z+i t, \quad w=z+i r \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to dimensionally reduce with respect to $\theta$ and $t$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{w} \bar{\partial} w+A_{\bar{w}} \bar{\partial} \bar{w}+A_{\bar{\zeta}} d \bar{\zeta} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

To ensure that $\Omega C S(A)$ is nonsingular, we choose the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\zeta=0: & A_{w}=O(\zeta), & A_{\bar{w}}=O(\zeta), & A_{\bar{\zeta}}=O(1) \\
\zeta=\infty: & A_{w}=O(1 / \zeta), & A_{\bar{w}}=O(1 / \zeta), & A_{\bar{\zeta}}=O\left(1 / \zeta^{2}\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{array}
$$

The requirement that $A$ is trivial on real twistor lines fixes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\bar{\zeta}}=\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \hat{\sigma} . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, set $\hat{\sigma}=\mathrm{id}$ at $\zeta=\infty$ and let $\sigma$ be the value of $\hat{\sigma}$ at $\zeta=0$. For dimensional reduction, assume $\sigma=\sigma(w, \bar{w})$ and $\hat{\sigma}=\hat{\sigma}(w, \bar{w},|\zeta|)$ are independent of $\theta$ and $t$. To get the BM model, further assume that $\sigma$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ are positive definite and symmetric $\left(\sigma=\sigma^{T}\right)$ $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ matrices.

Define $\mathbf{z}=e^{-i \theta} \zeta$. The boundary conditions and the $F_{\overline{\mathbf{z}} w}=F_{\overline{\mathbf{z}} \bar{w}}=0$ equations of motion imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \equiv A_{0}+A_{1} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0}=\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \hat{\sigma}  \tag{3.36}\\
& A_{1}=-\frac{i}{\mathrm{z}+i} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} w+\frac{i}{\mathrm{z}-i} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

The Lax operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-\frac{i}{\mathbf{z}+i}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} d w+\frac{i}{\mathrm{z}-i}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} d \bar{w} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Getting the equations of motion of the BM model from the Lax operator requires some care. The spacetime Killing vectors, $X_{t}=\partial_{t}$ and $X_{\theta}=\partial_{\theta}$, lift to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{\prime}=i \partial_{\mu}-i \zeta \partial_{\lambda}, \quad X_{\theta}^{\prime}=i \lambda \partial_{\lambda}+i \zeta \partial_{\zeta} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

on twistor space (see Table 1). The orbits of $X_{t}^{\prime}$ and $X_{\theta}^{\prime}$ are the surfaces with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w} \equiv \mu+\lambda / \zeta=2 z+r\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{z}\right)=\mathrm{constant} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fixes the position dependence of the spectral parameter, $z$, to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathrm{z}=-\frac{\mathrm{z}}{2 i r}\left(\frac{\mathrm{z}-i}{\mathrm{z}+i} d w-\frac{\mathrm{z}+i}{\mathrm{z}-i} d \bar{w}\right) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations of motion of the BM model are obtained by computing $d L+L^{2}=0$ using (3.41) and expanding in powers of $\mathbf{z}$.

Reinserting (3.35)-(3.37) into the Chern-Simons action produces four possible terms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C S(A)=-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)+2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{1}^{2}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{1} \bar{\partial} A_{1}\right)-\bar{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{1}\right), \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the only nonzero contribution comes from the fourth term. The final result is the spacetime action

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \int d u d v d \bar{u} d \bar{v} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right], \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating over $t$ and $\theta$ gives the BM model with spacetime coordinates $w=z+i r$ and $w=z-i r$.

### 3.5 Lorentzian Signature

So far we have been working in Euclidean signature. In the remainder of this section, we briefly discuss Lorentzian signature.

Complexified spacetime, $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, is the moduli space of complex twistor lines. Euclidean spacetime, $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, is the moduli space of real twistor lines, with respect to the real structure (2.16). To get Lorentzian signature spacetimes, we need to change the real structure. The new real structure is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda, \mu, \zeta) \rightarrow\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\zeta}}, \bar{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}, \bar{\zeta}\right) . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an antiholomorphic involution on twistor space and therefore a real structure.
Complex twistor lines are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\mathrm{u}+\hat{\mathrm{v}} \zeta, \quad \mu=\mathrm{v}+\hat{\mathrm{u}} \zeta, \quad\left(\mathrm{u}, \hat{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{v}, \hat{\mathrm{v}} \in \mathbb{C}^{4}\right) . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

A complex twistor line is invariant under (3.44) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{u}}=\overline{\mathrm{u}}, \quad \hat{\mathrm{v}}=\overline{\mathrm{v}} . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging (3.46) into the complexifed Minkowski metric (2.20) gives the split signature metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. This becomes $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ after dimensional reduction.

Unlike the real structure (2.16) introduced earlier, the new real structure has fixed points. The fixed points have $|\zeta|=1$ and $\lambda=\bar{\mu} \zeta$. The space of fixed points is $Z_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$.

Let $(\lambda, \mu, \zeta)$ be a point in twistor space with $|\zeta| \neq 1$. Then $(\lambda, \mu, \zeta)$ lies on a unique invariant twistor line (3.46) with moduli

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}=\frac{\lambda-\bar{\mu} \zeta}{1-\zeta \bar{\zeta}}, \quad \mathrm{v}=\frac{\mu-\bar{\lambda} \zeta}{1-\zeta \bar{\zeta}} . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines a nonholomorphic chart ( $\mathrm{u}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{v}, \overline{\mathrm{v}}, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}$ ) on twistor space which is singular at $|\zeta|=1$.

Let $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{t}+i \mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{r} e^{i \theta}$. Define $x^{ \pm}=\mathrm{t} \pm \mathrm{r}$. The chart $\left(x^{+}, x^{-}, \mathrm{z}, \theta, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}\right)$ is convenient for dimensional reduction. Dimensionally reducing along z and $\theta$ gives the Lorentzian version of the BM model. The sigma model coordinates are $x^{ \pm}$.

The Chern-Simons action is the same as before,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega C S(A), \quad \Omega=\frac{d \lambda d \mu d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}}, \quad C S(A)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A \bar{\partial} A+\frac{2}{3} A^{3}\right) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\zeta=0: & A_{+}=O(\zeta), & A_{-}=O(\zeta), & A_{\bar{\zeta}}=O(1) \\
\zeta=\infty: & A_{+}=O(1 / \zeta), & A_{-}=O(1 / \zeta), & A_{\bar{\zeta}}=O\left(1 / \zeta^{2}\right) . \tag{3.50}
\end{array}
$$

Define $\zeta \equiv e^{-i \theta} \zeta$. The general solution is $A=A_{0}+A_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0}=\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \hat{\sigma}  \tag{3.51}\\
& A_{1}=\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\zeta-1}\left(\partial_{+} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \bar{\partial} x^{+}-\frac{1}{\zeta+1}\left(\partial_{-} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \bar{\partial} x^{-}\right] \hat{\sigma} \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Reinserting $A$ into the Chern-Simons action gives the 2 d action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\pi i \int d \mathrm{u} d \mathrm{v} d \overline{\mathrm{u}} d \overline{\mathrm{v}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{+} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\left(\partial_{-} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] . \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This action governs the dimensional reduction of general relativity to two spacetime dimensions in the case for which the 2 d spacetime has Lorentzian signature.

## 4 Chern-Simon-Matter Action

Dimensional reducing $N=1$ supergravity from four dimensions to two dimensions gives a supersymmetric version of the BM model. The physical degrees of freedom are described by an $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) / S O(2)$ coset sigma model coupled to a pair of matter fermions. Nicolai [17] found a Lax operator for this model. In this subsection, we derive the Lax operator and action of the Nicolai model from a Chern-Simons-matter action on twistor space. The Chern-Simons-matter action is defined by coupling (3.2)-(3.3) to a pair of fermions supported on branes in twistor space.

The Chern-Simons part of the action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega C S(A), \quad C S(A)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A \bar{\partial} A+\frac{2}{3} A^{3}\right), \quad \Omega=\frac{d \lambda d \mu d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This has the solution (3.35)-(3.37)

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \hat{\sigma}+\hat{\sigma}^{-1} A^{\prime} \hat{\sigma},  \tag{4.2}\\
A^{\prime} & =-\frac{i}{z+i}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \bar{\partial} w+\frac{i}{z-i}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \bar{\partial} \bar{w} . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$\sigma$ is a positive definite, symmetric $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ matrix.
It is convenient to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=U^{T} U, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is an $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-valued field. Note $\sigma^{T}=\left(U^{T} U\right)^{T}=U^{T} U=\sigma$. Of course, $U$ is not unique. Indeed, we can rotate $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta U=-h U, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is an $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$-valued field. Under this $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$ action,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \sigma=\delta\left(U^{T} U\right)=-U^{T} h^{T} U-U^{T} h U=0, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $h^{T}=-h$.
The Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} \equiv \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{R})$, decomposes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{s o}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Note

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}, \quad[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{h} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(\bar{\partial} U) U^{-1}=Q+P, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $P \in \mathfrak{k}$. Under the action of (4.5), $Q$ transforms as an $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ gauge field,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Q=\bar{\partial} h . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next subsection, we are going to couple $Q$ to a pair of fermions with an $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ global symmetry.

### 4.1 Coupling to Fermions

First, consider the free fermion action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \int\left(\delta_{\mathbf{z}=i} \Omega \bar{\Omega}\right) \bar{\chi}^{I} \gamma^{w} \partial_{w} \chi^{I} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\chi^{I}=\chi^{I}(w, \bar{w})$ are a pair of Majorana fermions $(I=1,2)$. The delta function, $\delta_{\mathrm{z}=i}$, localizes the integral to a brane at $\mathbf{z}=e^{-i \theta} \zeta=i$ in twistor space. The integrand is independent of $t$ and $\theta$, so we could integrate out those directions and obtain a 2 d action.

The fermions have an $\operatorname{SO}(2)$ global symmetry, with infinitesimal action $(\epsilon \in \mathbb{R})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \chi^{1}=\epsilon \chi^{2},  \tag{4.12}\\
& \delta \chi^{2}=-\epsilon \chi^{1} . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

So we can couple the fermions to $Q$, the $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ gauge field introduced in the previous subsection. We do this by promoting $\partial_{w} \rightarrow D_{w}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{w} \equiv \partial_{w}+\frac{3}{2} Q_{w} \epsilon^{I J} \chi^{J} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ charge is set equal to $3 / 2$ to get the Nicolai model. We have suppressed an $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$ Lie algebra index on $Q_{w}$. In this formula, $Q_{w}$ is the component of $Q_{w}$ with respect to the $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$ basis element $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

We define a Chern-Simons-matter action by coupling the Chern-Simons action (4.1) to a pair of fermions supported on branes at $\mathbf{z}= \pm i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega C S(A)+\frac{1}{4} \int\left(\delta_{\mathbf{z}=i} \Omega \bar{\Omega}\right) \bar{\chi}^{I} \gamma^{w} D_{w} \chi^{I}+\frac{1}{4} \int\left(\delta_{\mathbf{z}=-i} \Omega \bar{\Omega}\right) \bar{\chi}^{I} \gamma^{\bar{w}} D_{\bar{w}} \chi^{I} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Omega$ is regular at $\mathbf{z}= \pm i$, so we do not need to impose any boundary conditions on the fields and gauge transformations at the brane. There are two gauge fields in the Chern-Simons matter action, $Q$ and $A$. The action is invariant under gauge transformations of either field. The fermions and $D$ are inert under gauge transformations of $A$. The fermion Lagrangian is manifestly invariant under gauge transformations of $Q$. Note that the action (4.15) is only defined on the subset of configurations for which $\sigma$ is a symmetric matrix and for which the gauge field is trivial on twistor lines. This is in contrast to the action without matter fields (3.2), which could be defined without these additional assumptions.

The solution (4.2)-(4.3) of the bosonic theory needs to be modified in the extended setup. In the next subsection, we derive the modified solution and use it to get the Lax operator of the Nicolai model. In subsection 4.3, we derive the action of the Nicolai model by reinserting $A$ into (4.15).

### 4.2 Dimensionally Reduced Supergravity

To begin, it is helpful to rewrite the uncoupled solution (4.2)-(4.3) in terms of $P$ and $Q$, since $Q$ is what couples to the fermions. First, use the basic identity $(\bar{\partial} \sigma) \sigma^{-1}=-2 U^{T} P\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1}$ to eliminate $\sigma$. Then make a gauge transformation to eliminate factors of $U^{T}$. Let $A^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} \bar{\partial} U^{T}+\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} A^{\prime} U^{T}$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime \prime}=Q-\frac{\mathbf{z}-i}{\mathbf{z}+i} P_{w} \bar{\partial} w-\frac{\mathbf{z}+i}{\mathbf{z}-i} P_{\bar{w}} \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the coupled theory, $A^{\prime \prime}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime \prime}=Q-\frac{\mathrm{z}-i}{\mathrm{z}+i} P_{w} \bar{\partial} w-\frac{\mathrm{z}+i}{\mathrm{z}-i} P_{\bar{w}} \bar{\partial} \bar{w}+\widetilde{A} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{A}$ is a sum of fermion bilinears. We expect $\widetilde{A} \in \mathfrak{s o}(2)$ because the fermions couple to $A$ through $Q \in \mathfrak{s o}(2)$. We also assume $\widetilde{A}_{\bar{\zeta}}=0$, and write $\widetilde{A}=\widetilde{A}_{w} \bar{\partial} w+\widetilde{A}_{\bar{w}} \bar{\partial} \bar{w}$, because otherwise $A$ would be nontrivial on real twistor lines.

Note that $A$ and $A^{\prime \prime}$ are gauge equivalent but only $A$ (and not $A^{\prime \prime}$ ) obeys the boundary conditions (3.32)-(3.33). Therefore, in the next subsection, when we compute the dimensionally reduced action, it is important that we reinsert $A$ (and not $A^{\prime \prime}$ ). However, in the present section, we are going to compute equations of motion. The equations of motion are the same in either gauge (at least up to boundary terms which, in the present work, we ignore). So we will compute the equations of motion using $A^{\prime \prime}$.

To compute $\tilde{A}$, reinsert (4.17) into the coupled action and solve the $\delta Q_{w}$ and $\delta Q_{\bar{w}}$ equations of motion, using the boundary conditions (3.7)-(3.8). First consider the ChernSimons term,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega C S\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime \prime} \bar{\partial} A^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{3}\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can discard the cubic term because $A_{\bar{\zeta}}^{\prime \prime}=0$. The kinetic terms containing $Q$ are $\operatorname{Tr}(Q \bar{\partial} \tilde{A}+Q \bar{\partial} Q+\tilde{A} \bar{\partial} Q)$, because $P$ is in the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$. The $\bar{\partial} Q$ terms vanish because $Q$ is independent of $\bar{\zeta}$. We are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \operatorname{Tr}(Q \bar{\partial} \widetilde{A})=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int \Omega \bar{\Omega} \overline{\mathbf{z}}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{z}^{2}+1}{(1+\mathrm{z} \overline{\mathbf{z}})^{2}}\left(Q_{w} \partial_{\bar{z}} \widetilde{A}_{\bar{w}}-Q_{\bar{w}} \partial_{\overline{\mathbf{z}}} \tilde{A}_{w}\right) . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathfrak{s o ( 2 )}$ Lie algebra indices have been suppressed from the final expression for brevity.
Now return to the action of the coupled theory (4.15), and vary with respect to $Q_{w}$ and $Q_{\bar{w}}$, to obtain the equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{2 \pi}(\mathbf{z}+i) \partial_{\bar{z}} \widetilde{A}_{w}=3 \delta_{-i} \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{w} \chi^{2} Y^{3},  \tag{4.20}\\
& \frac{i}{2 \pi}(\mathbf{z}-i) \partial_{\bar{z}} \widetilde{A}_{\bar{w}}=3 \delta_{i} \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{\bar{w}} \chi^{2} Y^{3}, \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Y^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ is the generator of $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$ and we are using the shorthand notation $\delta_{ \pm i}=\delta_{\mathrm{z}= \pm i}$. These equations are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}=3 \frac{z}{(z+i)^{2}} \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{w} \chi^{2} Y^{3} \bar{\partial} w-3 \frac{z}{(z-i)^{2}} \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{\bar{w}} \chi^{2} Y^{3} \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factors of z in the numerators have been fixed by the boundary conditions (3.7)-(3.8).
The Lax operator is

$$
\begin{align*}
L & \equiv A_{w}^{\prime \prime} d w+A_{\bar{w}}^{\prime \prime} d \bar{w}  \tag{4.23}\\
& =Q-\frac{\mathrm{z}-i}{\mathrm{z}+i} P_{w} d w-\frac{\mathrm{z}+i}{\mathrm{z}-i} P_{\bar{w}} d \bar{w}+3 \frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}+i)^{2}} \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{w} \chi^{2} Y^{3} d w-3 \frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}} \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{\bar{w}} \chi^{2} Y^{3} d \bar{w} . \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the BM model, the spectral parameter is position dependent, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathbf{z}=-\frac{\mathrm{z}}{2 i r}\left(\frac{\mathrm{z}-i}{\mathrm{z}+i} d w-\frac{\mathrm{z}+i}{\mathrm{z}-i} d \bar{w}\right) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is essentially the Lax operator discovered by Nicolai [17] (the main difference is that we are in Euclidean signature and Nicolai [17] studied the Lorentzian signature version).

### 4.3 2d Action

Reinserting $A$ into the Chern-Simons-matter action (4.15) gives the action of the Nicolai model. We work up to (and including) quadratic fermion terms. We comment on quartic fermion terms at the end.

Define $j_{w}=3 \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{w} \chi^{2} Y^{3}$ and $j_{\bar{w}}=3 \bar{\chi}^{1} \gamma_{\bar{w}} \chi^{2} Y^{3}$. The gauge field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{0}+A_{1}+A_{2} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0}=\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \hat{\sigma}  \tag{4.27}\\
& A_{1}=-\frac{i}{\mathrm{z}+i} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} w+\frac{i}{\mathrm{z}-i} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} \bar{w}  \tag{4.28}\\
& A_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}+i)^{2}} \hat{\sigma}^{-1} U^{T} j_{w}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} w-\frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}} \hat{\sigma}^{-1} U^{T} j_{\bar{w}}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Reinserting $A$ into the Chern-Simons-matter action (4.15), we obtain bosonic terms, quadratic fermion terms, and quartic fermion terms. We consider each case separately.

### 4.3.1 Bosonic Terms

The bosonic terms are the same as in the BM model (see subsection 3.4). They come from the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \bar{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{1}\right) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the Chern-Simons action. Expanding this out, obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \bar{\partial} \operatorname{Tr} A_{0} A_{1}= & -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{i}{z-i} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{w} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] \bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{i}{z+i} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\partial_{\bar{w}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right] \bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w}\right) \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The factors of $(z \pm i)^{-1}$ can be pulled outside of the $\bar{\partial}$ because they are multiplied by $\bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \sim(z+i)(z-i)$. The resulting integral has residues at $z=0$ and $z=\infty$. The residue at $z=\infty$ does not contribute because $\hat{\sigma}=$ id there. The end result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \int d u d v d \bar{u} d \bar{v} \operatorname{Tr} P_{w} P_{\bar{w}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall $u=r e^{i \theta}, v=z+i t$, and $P=-\frac{1}{2}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1}(\bar{\partial} \sigma) \sigma^{-1} U^{T}$. Integrating over $t$ and $\theta$ gives the BM action. The spacetime coordinates are $w=z+i r$ and $\bar{w}=z-i r$.

### 4.3.2 Quadratic Fermion Terms

Inserting $A$ (4.26)-(4.29) into the Chern-Simons action produces seven quadratic fermion terms. They sum to zero. Here are four of them:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{1} \bar{\partial} A_{2}+A_{2} \bar{\partial} A_{1}+2 A_{0} A_{1} A_{2}+2 A_{0} A_{2} A_{1}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{2}$ is a sum of fermion bilinears. The nonvanishing $A A A$ terms each contain a factor of $A_{0}$ because this is the only piece of the gauge field with a component along $d \bar{\zeta}$.

The terms containing $j_{\bar{w}}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{\mathrm{z}+i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \partial_{\overline{\mathrm{z}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}} \hat{\sigma}^{-1} U^{T} j_{\bar{w}}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} \hat{\sigma}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\sigma}^{-1} U^{T} j_{\bar{w}}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\frac{i}{\mathrm{z}+i} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} \hat{\sigma}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{2 i \mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}+i)(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\partial_{\mathrm{z}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} U^{T} j_{\bar{w}}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 i \mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}+i)(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\partial_{\mathrm{z}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1} U^{T} j_{\bar{w}}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1}\right) \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\partial_{\overline{\mathrm{z}}}$ acts on $\mathrm{z} /(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}$ or on $i /(\mathrm{z}+i)$, we obtain a term proportional to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial_{w} \sigma\right) \sigma^{-1} U^{T} j_{\bar{w}}\right)=-2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{w} j_{\bar{w}}\right)=0, \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which vanishes because $P$ is in the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$. So we can pull $\mathrm{z} /(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}$ and $i /(z+i)$ outside of the $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ 's. The resulting expression sums to zero because the trace is cyclic. The terms in (4.33) containing $j_{w}$ work similarly.

This eliminates four of the quadratic fermion terms from the Chern-Simons action. The remaining three sum to a total derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} \bar{\partial} A_{2}+A_{2} \bar{\partial} A_{0}+2 A_{0}^{2} A 2\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \bar{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0} A_{2}\right) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We used the fact that $A_{0}$ is pure gauge to set $\bar{\partial} A_{0}+A_{0}^{2}=0$. It is not immediately obvious that this integral vanishes because there could be contributions from the poles at $\mathrm{z}=0, \mathrm{z}=\infty$, and $\mathrm{z}= \pm i$. The pole at $\mathrm{z}=0$ does not contribute because it gives terms proportional to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{w} j_{\bar{w}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{\bar{w}} j_{w}\right)=0$. The pole at $\mathbf{z}=\infty$ does not contribute because $\hat{\sigma}=$ id there. The poles at $\mathrm{z}= \pm i$ are more tricky. Nothing we have said so far forces them to vanish. However, we can eliminate them by demanding that $\hat{\sigma}=\mathrm{id}$ at $\mathrm{z}= \pm i$. This is legal because the only boundary conditions on the gauge transformations are at $\mathbf{z}=0$ and $\mathrm{z}=\infty$. We are going to make this choice, and set (4.36) to zero. It is an interesting problem, which we leave for the future, to understand if the dimensionally reduced action could have additional quadratic fermion terms in a different gauge.

### 4.3.3 Result

The 2 d action picks up quadratic fermion terms from the fermion part of the twistor action (4.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \int\left(\delta_{\mathrm{z}=i} \Omega \bar{\Omega}\right) \bar{\chi}^{I} \gamma^{w} D_{w} \chi^{I}+\frac{1}{4} \int\left(\delta_{\mathrm{z}=-i} \Omega \bar{\Omega}\right) \bar{\chi}^{I} \gamma^{\bar{w}} D_{\bar{w}} \chi^{I} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining these terms with (4.32) gives the action of the Nicolai model up to (and including) quadratic fermion terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=4 \int d u d v d \bar{u} d \bar{v}\left(\operatorname{Tr} P_{w} P_{\bar{w}}-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\chi}^{I} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \chi^{I}\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=w, \bar{w}$. The coordinates are $u=r e^{i \theta}, v=z+i t$ and $w=z+i r$. The integrand is independent of $t$ and $\theta$, so we can integrate over those directions and get a 2 d action.

### 4.3.4 Comments on Quartic Fermion Terms

In our calculation, the only quartic fermion term comes from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \Omega \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{2} \bar{\partial} A_{2}\right) \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrand contains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}+i)^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\sigma}^{-1} U^{T} j_{w}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} \hat{\sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{z}}{(\mathrm{z}-i)^{2}} \hat{\sigma}^{-1} U^{T} j_{\bar{w}}\left(U^{T}\right)^{-1} \hat{\sigma}\right)\right) \bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} d \bar{\zeta} \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a similar term with $w$ and $\bar{w}$ interchanged. Distributing $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ using the product rule gives terms proportional to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(j_{w} j_{\bar{w}}\left(\partial_{\overline{\mathbf{z}}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(j_{\bar{w}} j_{w}\left(\partial_{\overline{\mathbf{z}}} \hat{\sigma}\right) \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\right)=0$, which vanish because $j_{w}, j_{\bar{w}} \in \mathfrak{s o}(2)$ (recall that Pauli matrices satisfy $\left.\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b} \sigma_{c}\right)=2 i \epsilon_{a b c}\right)$. We also get a term containing $\partial_{\overline{\mathbf{z}}}\left[\mathrm{z}(z+i)^{-2}\right]$. This term looks divergent but it gives a finite contribution because it is multiplied by $\bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} \sim(\mathbf{z}+i)(\mathbf{z}-i)$.

The end result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int d u d v d \bar{u} d \bar{v} \operatorname{Tr}\left(j_{w} j_{\bar{w}}\right) \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is not the quartic fermion term of the Nicolai action. Recall that the quartic fermion terms of the supergravity model are fixed by supersymmetry and we have not imposed supersymmetry in the twistor setup. It might be that we need to impose supersymmetry on the twistor side to get the correct quartic fermion terms in the action.

The story at the level of the equations of motion is simpler. Nicolai [17] has shown that the flatness condition for the Lax operator correctly reproduces the equations of motion, including quartic fermion terms, despite the fact the Lax operator (4.23) only has quadratic fermion terms. In other words, the quadratic fermion terms in the Lax operator and the integrability of the model completely fix the quartic fermion terms in the equations of motion. It would be interesting to find a similar principle at the level of the action. Can the quartic fermion terms in the action be fully fixed by the quadratic fermion terms in the action and integrability? We leave this interesting open question for the future.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The theory is fairly straightforward if $\Omega$ has first order poles [4], but the simplest $(3,0)$ form on twistor space has second order poles.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The $(0,1)$ forms appearing here are $\bar{\partial} w=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+\zeta \bar{\zeta}} \frac{\zeta-i}{-i}(d \bar{\lambda}+i d \bar{\mu}+(i u-v) d \bar{\zeta})$ and $\bar{\partial} \bar{w}=$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+\zeta \bar{\zeta}} \frac{\zeta+i}{i}(d \bar{\lambda}-i d \bar{\mu}-(i u+v) d \bar{\zeta})$.
    Note that $\bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} d \bar{\zeta}=-\frac{i}{2}(1+\zeta \zeta)$
    ${ }^{3}$ Note that $\bar{\partial} w \bar{\partial} \bar{w} d \bar{\zeta}=-\frac{i}{2}(1+\zeta \bar{\zeta})^{-2} \bar{\zeta}^{2}\left(1+\zeta^{2}\right) \bar{\Omega}$ is itself a smooth form
    ${ }^{4}$ After a gauge transformation, $A \rightarrow g^{-1} \bar{\partial} g+g^{-1} A g$, and $A_{\bar{\zeta}}=(\hat{\sigma} g)^{-1} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}}(\hat{\sigma} g)$. Our boundary conditions require $g=\operatorname{id}$ at $\zeta=0$ and at $\zeta=\infty$, but $g$ is otherwise arbitrary. So we can assume $\hat{\sigma}$ is a independent of $\arg \zeta$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ This is the PCM without Wess-Zumino term. It is useful to compare our (3.11) with equations (10.6)(10.8) of [12]. Our setup is analogous to their setup with $z_{0}=i$ and $z_{1}=-i$. In this case, the coefficient of the Wess-Zumino term in their setup is proportional to $z_{0}+z_{1}=0$.

