

Tan's contact as an indicator of completeness and self-consistency of a theory.

Abdulla Rakhimov*

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Tashkent 100214, Uzbekistan

Abstract

It is well known that, Tan's contact could be calculated by using any of following three methods: by the asymptotic behavior of momentum distribution; by Tan's adiabatic sweep theorem; or by the operator product expansion as an expectation value of the interaction term. We argue that, if a theory describing Bose (or Fermi) system with the only contact interaction is self consistent, then it should lead to the same result in all three cases. As an example we considered MFT based approaches and established that among existing approximations of MFT, the Hartree - Fock - Bogoliubov (HFB) approach is the most self consistent. Actually, HFB is able to describe existing experimental data on Tan's contact for dilute Bose gas, but fails to predict its expected behavior at large gas parameter ($\gamma > 0.015$). So, for appropriate description of properties of a Bose gas even at zero temperature, this approximation needs to be expanded by taking into account fluctuations in higher order than the second one.

* rakhimovabd@yandex.ru

I. INTRODUCTION

The experimental discovery of superfluidity of ${}^4\text{He}$ at very low temperatures and atmospheric pressure and realization of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of alkali atoms [1] have given impact to development of various theories describing thermodynamics of the system of ultra cold atoms. Most of them are based on field theoretical approaches, developed before for high energy physics, and nicely reviewed by Andersen [2]. In accordance with the classification proposed by N. Proukakis and B. Jackson, the existing theoretical formalisms may be classified, loosely speaking, into three "classes" of approaches, based on certain common conceptual notions shared between them [3]. Namely, mean field theories (MFT), number - conserving perturbative treatments and stochastic approaches. Although there is no universally accepted 'optimal' theory for description of ultra cold Bose gases at low temperatures, a researcher may prefer one of those approaches depending on the nature of his main goal. For example, when the dynamics or the behavior of the system at critical point is not the issue, then mean field theory seems to be optimal.

Mean field approaches for ultra cold gases rely on spontaneous symmetry breaking, which mathematically manifests itself by splitting of the Bose field operator $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ into a mean field condensate contribution $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and an operator describing fluctuations (quantum, thermal) about this mean field. After such splitting, also called as Bogoliubov shift [4] the full system hamiltonian breaks down into various contributions as $H = H_0 + H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4$ based on the number of condensate and non- condensate factors contained in each of them. For example, H_0 has no operators, while, H_4 includes fluctuation operators in fourth order. Further, various approaches within MFT arise depending on the way of taking into account those fluctuations, since even simple $\lambda\phi^4$ model has no analytical complete solution. For example, for weakly interacting ultra cold Bose gases, characterized by a small diluteness gas parameter γ , one limits himself to simple Bogoliubov or to the one loop approximation, when only H_2 term is taken into account. All and all, in general, each approximation in the framework of MFT leads to a closed system of equations with respect to self energy, condensed fraction etc. Obviously, these equations should be solved self-consistently, which requires the self-consistency of a chosen approach or a theory as a whole by itself.

In present work we propose that, evaluation of Tan's contact of a system with contact s-wave interaction may serve as a check point for the self consistency of a model. As an example

we shall consider various approximations within MFT and check their self- consistency by evaluation of Tan's contact in different ways.

Nearly fifteen years ago Shina Tan introduced [5–7] a new quantity, C which is further referred in the literature as a Tan's contact. By using rigorous mathematical methods to study the system of fermions with contact interaction he obtained exact universal relations, which include the contact. He proved that, this quantity measures the density of pairs at short distances and determines the exact large momentum or high frequency behavior of various physical observables. Further, Tan's ideas were developed in works [8–13] and his relations have been rederived and extended by using alternative methods. Particularly, Combescot et al. [13] have shown that Tan's relations are valid not only for fermions, but also for bosons. It is remarkable that Tan's relations, including C , hold for any state of the system, few - body or many-body, homogenous or in a trapping potential, superfluid or normal, zero or nonzero temperatures [8].

Summarizing, Tan's contact for Bosons with zero range interaction may be theoretically evaluated (or measured experimentally) by using any of following equations [14]:

- By the asymptotic behavior of momentum distribution n_k

$$C_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^4 n_k \quad (1)$$

where n_k is normalized to the total number of particles N , such that $\sum_k n_k = N$. So¹, C has a dimensionality $length^{-4}$. .

- By Tan's adiabatic sweep theorem as

$$C_E = \frac{8\pi m a^2}{V} \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial a} \right) \quad (2)$$

where E and V are the total energy and volume of the system, respectively, a is the s-wave scattering length and m is the mass of particle. This equation manifests the relation between macroscopic thermodynamical parameter E and microscopic parameter a . Consequently, the variation of the total energy can be written in following general form [14, 15]:

$$dE = TdS - PdV + \mu dN + HdM + \frac{CV}{8\pi m a^2} da \quad (3)$$

In this sense Tan's contact and the scattering length may be considered as a conjugate parameters of a system regardless in the superfluid or normal phase.

¹ Here and below we adopt $\hbar = 1$ and $k_B = 1$ for convenience

- By the operator product expansion as an expectation value of the interaction term as [8]

$$C_\psi = \frac{(mg)^2}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \quad (4)$$

where $g = 4\pi a/m$ is the coupling constant of zero range interaction.

From Eq.s (1)-(4) it is seen that for the case of quantum particles with point like interactions, short range correlations are embedded in Tan's contact, which is proportional to the probability that two particles approach each other very closely.

Obviously, regardless of the way of evaluation (or measuring) of Tan's contact by using any of three equations (1), (2) or (4) one is supposed to obtain the same value i.e.

$$C = C_n = C_E = C_\psi \quad (5)$$

This trivial statement gives an opportunity to check self consistency of an applied theory. In the first part of the present work we shall derive explicit expressions for C and revise various versions of MFT in this way. In the second part of the work we shall compare our results with existing experimental data on C , and make an attempt to predict its behavior at large gas parameter.

Presently Tan's contact has been experimentally studied not only for fermions [16, 17], but also for bosons at ultra cold temperatures [18–21]. Particularly, Tan's relations on tails of the momentum distribution and the tail of the transition rate have been tested experimentally by using short time probes of ultra cold atoms. Moreover, C plays an important role in the radio frequency (rf) spectroscopy [8]. As it is expected the values of Tan's contact, obtained from both kind of measurements, ballistic and rf spectroscopy show a good agreement [17].

The present work is organized as follows. In Sect.2 we derive explicit expressions for Tan's contact in various approaches of MFT, in Sect. 3 we shall study self consistency of each approach by numerical analysis and compare our theoretical predictions with experimental values of C . In the last section we present our conclusions. The details of calculations and summary of working equations are presented in Appendices A and B , respectively.

II. TAN'S CONTACT FOR HOMOGENOUS BOSE GAS IN MFT

A grand canonical ensemble of Bose particles with a short range s - wave interaction is governed by the Euclidian action [2],

$$S[\psi, \psi^+] = \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \psi^+(\tau, \vec{r}) \left[\partial_\tau - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu \right] \psi(\tau, \vec{r}) + \frac{g}{2} [\psi^+(\tau, \vec{r}) \psi(\tau, \vec{r})]^2 \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where $\psi^+(\tau, \vec{r})$ is a complex field operator that creates a boson at the position \vec{r} , μ is the chemical potential, $\beta = 1/T$ the inverse of temperature T . This corresponds to the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \psi^+ \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu \right] \psi + \frac{g}{2} (\psi^+ \psi)^2 \right\} \quad (7)$$

The quantities, required for evaluation of Tan's contact can be obtained by using following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \langle \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi} \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k n_k, & F &= \Omega + \mu N, & E &= F + TS \\ \Omega &= -T \ln Z, & Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\psi^+ \exp\{-S[\psi, \psi^+]\}, & & (8) \\ \langle (\tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi})^2 \rangle &= \rho_0^2 + \rho_0 \int d\mathbf{r} [3\langle \psi_1^2 \rangle + \langle \psi_2^2 \rangle] + \frac{1}{4} \int d\mathbf{r} [\langle \psi_1^4 \rangle + 2\langle \psi_1^2 \psi_2^2 \rangle + \langle \psi_2^4 \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_1 is the density of uncondensed atoms, Ω - free energy, S is the entropy, ρ_0 is the condensed fraction introduced by standard Bogoliubov shift

$$\psi(\tau, \mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\rho_0} + \tilde{\psi}(\tau, \mathbf{r}) \quad (9)$$

and ψ_1, ψ_2 are the components of fluctuation field defined as

$$\tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 + i\psi_2), \quad \tilde{\psi}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 - i\psi_2) \quad (10)$$

After the insertion of Eq.s (10) into (6) the total effective action is separated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_0 + S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 \\ S_0 &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ -\mu \rho_0 + \frac{g\rho_0^2}{2} \right\}, \\ S_1 &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \sqrt{2\rho_0}(g\rho_0 - \mu)\psi_1 \right\}, \\ S_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \left[\partial_\tau - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu + 3g\rho_0 \right] \psi_1^2 + \left[\partial_\tau - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu + g\rho_0 \right] \psi_2^2 \right\}, \\ S_3 &= \frac{g\sqrt{\rho_0}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_1 [\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2], \\ S_4 &= \frac{g}{8} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \psi_1^4 + 2\psi_1^2 \psi_2^2 + \psi_2^4 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The Eqs. (6)-(11) are exact equations of MFT for a homogenous Bose gas and can not be evaluated exactly. The problem is hidden in the evaluation of the path integrals over the fluctuating fields: It is well known that "there is no handbook of path integrals", so one has to use an approximation. The only case when the path integral can be evaluated explicitly is so called Gaussian integral, based on following formula [22] ²

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{D}\psi_1 \mathcal{D}\psi_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int dx dx' \psi_a(x) G_{ab}^{-1}(x, x') \psi_b(x')} e^{\int dx j_a(x) \psi_a(x)} \\ & = (\sqrt{\text{Det}G}) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dx' j_a(x) \bar{G}_{ab}(x, x') j_b(x') \right] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $x = (\tau, \mathbf{r})$, and $\bar{G}_{ab}(x, y) = [G_{ab}(x, y) + G_{ba}(y, x)]/2$ is usually interpreted as a Green function.

A. Gaussian approximation

As a first approach we limit ourselves to the case when in Eq.s (11) the terms S_3 and S_4 are neglected ³. From explicit expression for S_2 in (11) one obtains the propagator $G_{ab}(x, x') = (1/V\beta) \sum_{n, \mathbf{k}} G_{ab}(\omega_n, \mathbf{k}) \exp(i\omega_n(\tau - \tau') + i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'))$, where in momentum space

$$G(\omega_n, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\omega_n^2 + E_k^2} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_k + g\rho_0 - \mu & \omega_n \\ -\omega_n & \varepsilon_k + 3g\rho_0 - \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

($a, b = 1, 2$) and $\omega_n = 2\pi nT$ is the Matsubara frequency, $E_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 3g\rho_0 - \mu} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + g\rho_0 - \mu}$ is the quasiparticle (Bogolon) dispersion with $\varepsilon_k = \mathbf{k}^2/2m$. Now using Eq.s. (8), (12) and (A10) leads to the following free energy at zero temperature

$$\Omega(T=0) = -V\mu\rho_0 + \frac{Vg\rho_0^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (E_k - \varepsilon_k) \quad (14)$$

In a stable equilibrium, this should be minimized with respect to ρ_0 to give:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \rho_0} &= -V\mu + Vg\rho_0 = 0, \\ \mu &= g\rho_0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

² Here, there is a summation over repeated indices, ($a, b = 1, 2$).

³ In quantum field theory this corresponds to the one loop approximation.

Now inserting this chemical potential into E_k one obtains a linear at low momentum dispersion

$$E_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2g\rho_0} = ck + O(k^3), \quad (16)$$

with the sound velocity $c = \sqrt{g\rho_0/m}$.

For the condensate depletion ρ_1 at zero temperature it is easy to obtain following equation

$$\rho_1(T=0) = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_k \left[\frac{\varepsilon_k + g\rho_0}{E_k} - 1 \right] \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_k n_k \quad (17)$$

where we used Eq.s (8), and (A10), and hence

$$C_n(\text{Gaussian}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^4 n_k = (gm\rho_0)^2 = (4\pi a\rho)^2 n_0^2 = C_{class} n_0^2 \quad (18)$$

where $n_0 = \rho_0/\rho$ is the condensate fraction, $\gamma = a^3\rho$ is the gas parameter, and $C_{class} = 16\pi^2\gamma^2/a^4$ is the Tan's contact, corresponding to the case when all fluctuations have been neglected. When the total number of particles (not the chemical potential) is fixed and given by the density ρ , the density of condensate ρ_0 in above equations can be found as a solution to the following equation

$$\rho_0 = \rho - \rho_1 = \rho - \frac{1}{2V} \sum_k \left[\frac{\varepsilon_k + g\rho_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2g\rho_0}} - 1 \right] = \rho - \frac{(mg\rho_0)^{3/2}}{3\pi^2} \quad (19)$$

Now we pass to calculation of C_E , defined by (2). First, using Eq.s (14) and (15) we represent the total energy at zero temperature as

$$E = \Omega + \mu N = \frac{Vg\rho^2}{2} - \frac{Vg\rho_1^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (E_k - \varepsilon_k) \quad (20)$$

Following the ideology of the Gaussian approach, when the fluctuations, explicitly higher than the first order, are neglected we can rewrite the last equation as

$$\begin{aligned} E &= E_0 + E_{fluc}, & E_0 &= \frac{Vg\rho^2}{2}, \\ E_{fluc} &= \frac{V}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk (\sqrt{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2g\rho_0} - \varepsilon_k) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The integral in Eq. (21) is divergent. This may be evaluated by using dimensional regularization [23] or just by subtracting infinite parts from the integrand, leading to the same result. So, using the method of subtraction one may easily obtain

$$E_{fluc} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (E_k - \varepsilon_k) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left[E_k - \varepsilon_k - g\rho_0 + \frac{(g\rho_0)^2}{2\varepsilon_k} \right] = \frac{8Vm^{3/2}(g\rho_0)^{5/2}}{15\pi^2} \quad (22)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to a requires an explicit expression for $d\rho_0/da$, which could be obtained by differentiation of both sides of (19) and solving it with respect to

$d\rho_0/da$. This gives

$$\frac{d\rho_0}{da} = -\frac{\rho_0}{a} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{n_0\gamma}}\right)} \quad (23)$$

Finally, by using Eq.s (2), (22) and (23) we obtain

$$C_E(\text{Gauss}) = C_{class} \left[1 + \frac{64n_0^{5/2}\sqrt{\gamma}}{3(\sqrt{\pi} + 4\sqrt{\gamma n_0})} \right] \quad (24)$$

As to the C_ψ , defined by (4) it can be easily found from equations (8) and (A10) as

$$C_\psi = C_{class} [1 + 2(n_1 + \tilde{\sigma})] \quad (25)$$

where $n_1 = \rho_1/\rho$. In Eq. (25) we neglected high order fluctuations and introduced the fraction of anomalous density as $\tilde{\sigma} = (\langle \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi}^+ + \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi} \rangle)/2\rho$.

B. Optimized Gaussian approximation

In the previous subsection we have taken into account the depletion ρ_1 and anomalous density σ only up to the linear order, neglecting the terms S_3 and S_4 in Eqs. (11). Below we extend those relations for Tan's contact by taking into account quantum fluctuations in a more accurate way. For this purpose, we employ variational perturbation theory, developed by Stevenson long years ago [24] for the $\lambda\phi^4$ theory and further referred as a δ - expansion method [25]. In this method one introduces an auxiliary parameter δ and uses a perturbative scheme in power series of δ , which is set to unity at the end of calculations. Note that, the main drawback of this theory is that, there is an arbitrariness in the choice of the expansion parameter δ .

For the effective action (6) the method includes two variational parameters, which may be fixed by principle of minimal sensitivity. In present section we apply variational perturbation theory to derive explicit expressions for Tan's contact, limiting ourselves to the first order in δ , which is referred in the literature as an Optimized Gaussian Approximation. This will give us an opportunity to take into account ρ_1 as well as σ up to the second order explicitly. Below we present the main equations, needed for calculation of Tan's contact, referring a reader to the Appendix A for details. Note that, present approximation is equivalent to Hartree - Fock - Bogoliubov (HFB) approach [3, 4] used in Hamiltonian formalism. The preference of the path integral formalism is that, in contrast to Hamiltonians one, it gives a

natural opportunity for going beyond HFB approximation, as it was shown by Stancu and Stevenson [26].

Thus, for the free energy and densities we have ⁴

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(T=0) &= -N\mu + \frac{Vg\rho^2}{2} + \frac{Vg(\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1\sigma - \sigma^2)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (E_k - \varepsilon_k), \\ \rho_1(T=0) &= \frac{1}{2V} \sum_k \left[\frac{\varepsilon_k + \Delta}{E_k} - 1 \right] \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_k n_k = \frac{(\Delta m)^{3/2}}{3\pi^2}, \\ \sigma(T=0) &\equiv \rho\tilde{\sigma} = -\frac{\Delta}{2V} \sum_k \left[\frac{1}{E_k} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k} \right] = \frac{(\Delta m)^{3/2}}{\pi^2} \approx \frac{\Delta m^{3/2}}{\pi^2} \sqrt{g\rho_0}\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

where the energy dispersion is similar to the Bogoliubov one:

$$E_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2\Delta} \quad (27)$$

For the zero temperature energy, from the relation $E = \Omega + V\rho\mu$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}E(T=0) &= \frac{Vg\rho^2}{2} + \frac{Vg}{2} [\rho_1^2 - \sigma^2 - 2\rho_1\sigma] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (E_k - \varepsilon_k - \Delta + \frac{\Delta^2}{2\varepsilon_k}) = \\ &\frac{Vg\rho^2}{2} + \frac{Vg}{2} [\rho_1^2 - \sigma^2 - 2\rho_1\sigma] + \frac{8V\Delta^{5/2}m^{3/2}}{15\pi^2}\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

where the subtraction terms were introduced. The Eq.s (26)-(28) include a key parameter Δ , which may be found by the physical solution ($\Delta \geq 0$) of following equation of MFT:

$$\Delta = g(\rho_0 + \sigma) = g(\rho - \rho_1 + \sigma) \quad (29)$$

This equation gives following explicit expression for the derivative of Δ with respect to a as

$$\Delta'_a = \frac{\Delta}{a} \frac{1}{[1 + 6\pi a(\rho_1 - \sigma)/m\Delta]} \quad (30)$$

which is needed for evaluation of dE/da by using Eq.s (2) and (28). Therefore, in HFB approach we obtain following expressions for Tan's contact

$$C_n = (\Delta m)^2 = (cm)^4 \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned}C_E &= C_{class}(1 + W_E), \\ W_E &= n_\sigma + \Delta'_a \left[\frac{2mn_1}{\pi\rho} + \frac{3an_\sigma}{\Delta} \right]\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

$$C_\psi = C_{class}(1 + W_\psi), \quad (33)$$

$$W_\psi = 2(n_1 + \tilde{\sigma} - 2n_1\tilde{\sigma}) - n_\sigma$$

with $n_\sigma = n_1^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2 - 2n_1\tilde{\sigma}$. From equation (31) it is seen that, Tan's contact C_n , calculated from the tail of density distribution, is related to the sound velocity $c = \sqrt{\Delta/m}$ and may be directly observed experimentally by sound velocity measurements.

⁴ See Appendix A for the details

C. Bogoliubov approach

In Bogoliubov approximation [27] the energy dispersion and the total energy are given as

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_k &= \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2g\rho} \\
 E(T=0) &= \frac{Vg\rho^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (E_k - \varepsilon_k - g\rho + \frac{(g\rho)^2}{2\varepsilon_k}) = \\
 &\frac{2V\pi\gamma^2}{ma^5} \left[1 + \frac{128\sqrt{\gamma}}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and hence

$$C_E = C_{class} \left[1 + \frac{64\sqrt{\gamma}}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \right] \tag{35}$$

Remarkably, the expression for the total energy in (34) coincides with that one obtained long years ago by Lee Huang and Yang (LHY) [28] in hard core boson model, and the equation (35) for C_E does with the result by Schakel [29] derived in a similar way.

The question arises, what is the difference between Gaussian and Bogoliubov approximations? The main difference is that in Gaussian approximation one has preliminary solve the equation (19) with respect to ρ_0 for a given γ , while in Bogoliubov one there is no need to solve any equation. This fact makes Bogoliubov approximation attractive and the most practical one in order to make a fast estimation of a physical quantity in the BEC regime.

Formally, Eq.s (34) may be derived from HFB approach by setting there $\Delta = g\rho$, $\rho_1^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ explicitly in Eq.s (26)-(28). So, particularly, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_1(T=0) &= \frac{1}{2V} \sum_k \left[\frac{\varepsilon_k + g\rho}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2g\rho}} - 1 \right] \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_k n_k = \frac{(g\rho m)^{3/2}}{3\pi^2}, \\
 n_0 &= 1 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} = 1 - \frac{8\sqrt{\gamma}}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \\
 C_n &= C_\psi = C_{class}
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

From Eq. (36) one may conclude that, Bogoliubov approximation takes into account the gas parameter up to the first order in the expansion by $\sqrt{\gamma}$ in evaluation of the condensed fraction. The net results of the present section are summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Now we are in the position of studying three versions of MFT for self consistency in the spirit of the requirement in Eq. (5). In Figs.1 we present Tan's contact obtained in

Bogoliubov (Fig.1a), Gaussian (Fig.1b) and HFB (Fig.1c) approximations. Here dashed, solid and dotted curves correspond to C_n , C_E and C_ψ defined by equations (1), (2) and (4) respectively. From Fig.1a it is seen that Bogoliubov approximation satisfies the first equality $C_n = C_\psi$, but does not the second one, i.e. $C_\psi \neq C_E$. As to the Gaussian (one loop) approximation the difference between these three quantities is rather notable (see Fig.1b). In this sense, Bogoliubov approximation, seems more reliable than the Gaussian one. This fact can explain popularity of Bogoliubov approximation, including LHY terms [28] in the literature [18, 30]. From Fig.1c it is seen that the discrepancy between C_n , C_E and C_ψ is rather small for the Variational Gaussian approximation. Hence, one may conclude that HFB approximation can be regarded as the most complete and self consistent one among other existing MFT based approaches. Nevertheless, strongly speaking, HFB is also needed corrections, especially for $\gamma > 0.002$, arising from the high order quantum fluctuations. The intensity of such fluctuations is almost proportional to the fraction of uncondensed particles n_1 . As it is seen from Fig.1d even at $\gamma \sim 0.005$ the depletion is about 15%. Note that, in superfluid helium ^4He , $n_1 \approx 90\%$.

On the other hand, one may judge about an appropriateness of any theory just by comparing its predictions with experimental measurements. In Fig.2a we compare our predictions for Tan's contact given by HFB approach with the experimental data on ^{85}Rb atomic condensate at fixed density $\rho = 5.8\mu\text{m}^{-3}$. It is seen that HFB approximation is able to describe C rather satisfactory up to $a/a_B < 1200$, which corresponds to $\gamma \approx 0.0015$. Moreover, HFB predictions for the Tan's contact is in a good agreement with path-integral ground - state (PIGS) Monte Carlo calculations performed by Rossi and Salasnich [31].

Unfortunately, presently Tan's contact for a Bose gas has been measured at very small values of the gas parameter, $\gamma \leq 0.002$. To predict its behavior at larger γ we calculated Tan's contact in the region $0 \leq \gamma \leq 0.2$ ⁵ and presented the results in Fig.2b. It is seen that PIGS Monte Carlo method predicts a smooth increasing of C , while the latter remains practically unchanged in HFB approximation for $\gamma > 0.05$

⁵ For superfluid helium $\gamma \approx 0.6$




FIG. 1. Tan's contact as a function of the gas parameter $\gamma = \rho a^3$ in Bogoliubov (a), Gaussian (b) and HFB approximations (c). Dashed, solid and dotted lines are obtained with equations (1), (2) and (4), respectively. The corresponding condensate depletions, $n_1 = N_1/N$, are presented in Fig. 1 (d).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have derived explicit expressions for Tan's contact of Bosons at zero temperature within various approximations based on mean field theory. Numerical analysis, made with these equations gave us an opportunity to study such approximations for completeness and self consistency. We have shown that in this concept Hartree - Fock - Bogoliubov approx-




FIG. 2. (a): The contact in natural units $(\mu\text{m})^{-4}$ vs scattering length a computed in PIGS Monte Carlo method [31] (dashed curve) and HFB approximation (solid curve). Filled circles are experimental data of Wild et al. [18] obtained for ^{85}Rb atomic condensate. The scattering length a is given in units of the Bohr radius $a_B = 5.310^{-5}\mu\text{m}$;

(b): Tan's contact at large values of the gas parameter ρa^3 in HFB (solid line) and PIGS Monte Carlo (dashed line) [31]. In both figures the density is fixed in its typical value as $\rho = 5.8\mu\text{m}^{-3}$.

imation, derived within optimized Gaussian perturbation theory satisfies the requirement $C_n = C_E = C_\psi$ better than one loop or Bogoliubov approximations.

Moreover, HFB predictions are in a good agreement with existing experimental data as well as with Monte Carlo calculations for small values of the gas parameter. However, for large values of γ HFB needs serious corrections. These could be performed by extension of present approach in the spirit of Post Gaussian Perturbative approximation, which includes the second order δ - expansion [26]. It is expected that, such extension would give rise to a desired logarithmic term, which is used in the literature [29, 31, 32]. The work is on progress.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are obliged to B. Tanatar for useful discussions. This work is partly supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) and Ministry of

Innovative Development of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

Appendix A: Derivation of Ω

In this appendix we present the derivation of the free energy Ω given in Eq. (26). Inserting Eq. (9) into the action Eq. (6), the latter can be divided into the following parts

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= S_0 + S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 \\
S_0 &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ -\mu\rho_0 + \frac{g\rho_0^2}{2} \right\} \\
S_1 &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \left[g\rho_0^{3/2} - \mu\sqrt{\rho_0} \right] \tilde{\psi} + h.c \right\} \\
S_2 &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \tilde{\psi}^+ \left[\partial_\tau - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + 2g\rho_0 - \mu \right] \tilde{\psi} + \frac{g\rho_0}{2} (\tilde{\psi}^{+2} + \tilde{\psi}^2) \right\} \\
S_3 &= g\sqrt{\rho_0} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi}^2 + \tilde{\psi}^{+2} \tilde{\psi} \right\} \\
S_4 &= \frac{g}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

Now employing the δ -expansion method, we add to the total action Eq. (A1), the term $(1-\delta) \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left[\Sigma_n(\tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi}) + (1/2)\Sigma_{an}(\tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi}^+ + \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}) \right]$ and make replacement $g \rightarrow \delta g$. Then, after presenting $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}^+$ in Cartesian form as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 + i\psi_2). \\
\tilde{\psi}^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 - i\psi_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

the total action may be rewritten as follows [33]

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= S_0 + S_{free} + S_{int} \\
S_{free} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ i\epsilon_{ab}\psi_a \partial_\tau \psi_b + \psi_1 \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + X_1 \right) \psi_1 + \psi_2 \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + X_2 \right) \psi_2 \right\}. \\
S_{int} &= S_{int}^{(1)} + S_{int}^{(2)} + S_{int}^{(3)} + S_{int}^{(4)}, \\
S_{int}^{(1)} &= \delta \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \psi_1 \sqrt{2}\rho_0 (-\mu + g\rho_0) \right\}, \\
S_{int}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \beta_1 \psi_1^2 + \beta_2 \psi_2^2 \right\}, \\
S_{int}^{(3)} &= \frac{\delta g \sqrt{2}\rho_0}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) \psi_1 \right\}, \\
S_{int}^{(4)} &= \frac{\delta g}{8} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \psi_1^4 + 2\psi_1^2 \psi_2^2 + \psi_2^4 \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

where

$$\beta_1 = -\mu - X_1 + 3g\rho_0, \quad \beta_2 = -\mu - X_2 + g\rho_0, \tag{A4}$$

and X_1 and X_2 are the variational parameters, related to the normal Σ_n and anomalous Σ_{an} self energies as $X_1 = \Sigma_n + \Sigma_{an} - \mu$ and $X_2 = \Sigma_n - \Sigma_{an} - \mu$. The free energy Ω can be evaluated as

$$\Omega = -T \ln Z(j_1, j_2) \Big|_{j_1=0, j_2=0}, \tag{A5}$$

where the grand partition function is

$$Z(j_1, j_2) = e^{-S_0} \int D\psi_1 D\psi_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int dx \int dx' \psi_a(x) G_{ab}^{-1}(x, x') \psi_b(x')} e^{-S_{int}} e^{\int dx [j_1(x) \psi_1(x) + j_2(x) \psi_2(x)]} \quad (\text{A6})$$

in which we introduced $x = (\tau, \vec{r})$ and $\int dx \equiv \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\vec{r}$. For a uniform system, Green function is translationally invariant

$$G_{ab}(\vec{r}, \tau; \vec{r}', \tau') = \frac{1}{V\beta} \sum_{n, k} e^{i\omega_n(\tau - \tau')} e^{i\vec{k}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')} G_{ab}(\vec{k}, \omega_n) \quad (\text{A7})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11}(\vec{k}, \omega_n) &= \frac{\epsilon_k + X_2}{\omega_n^2 + E_k^2}, \\ G_{22}(\vec{k}, \omega_n) &= \frac{\epsilon_k + X_1}{\omega_n^2 + E_k^2}, \\ G_{12}(\vec{k}, \omega_n) &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_n^2 + E_k^2}, \\ G_{21}(\vec{k}, \omega_n) &= -G_{12}(\vec{k}, \omega_n), \\ E_k^2 &= (\epsilon_k + X_1)(\epsilon_k + X_2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

where $\omega_n = 2\pi nT$ is the Matsubara frequency. In the path integral formalism the expectation value of an operator $\langle \hat{O}(\tilde{\psi}^+, \tilde{\psi}) \rangle$ is defined as

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_0} \int D\tilde{\psi}^+ D\tilde{\psi} \hat{O}(\tilde{\psi}^+, \tilde{\psi}) e^{-S(\tilde{\psi}^+, \tilde{\psi})}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where $Z_0 = Z(j_1 = 0, j_2 = 0, S_{int} = 0)$ is the noninteracting partition function.

Particularly, using the well-known formula (12) and following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \text{Det}[G^{-1}] &= \sum_{n, k} \ln(E_k^2 + \omega_n^2) = \sum_k [\beta E_k + 2 \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E_k})], \\ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=\infty} \frac{1}{(\omega_n^2 + E_k^2)} &= \frac{\beta}{2E_k} \coth(\beta E_k/2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

one may show that [34]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{O}(\psi_a(x) \psi_b(y)) \rangle &= \hat{O} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta j_a(x)}, \frac{\delta}{\delta j_b(y)} \right) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int j_a(x) G_{ab}(x, y) j_b(y) dx dy \right], \\ \langle \psi_a(x) \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle \psi_a(x) \psi_b(x') \rangle = G_{ab}(x, x'), \\ \langle \psi_1(x) \psi_2(x) \rangle &= G_{12}(0) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_n G_{12}(\vec{k}, \omega_n) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_n^2 + E_k^2} = 0, \\ \langle \psi_a^A(x) \rangle &= 3G_{aa}^2(0), \\ \langle \psi_1^2(x) \psi_2^2(x) \rangle &= G_{11}(0) G_{22}(0), \\ G_{ab}(0) &\equiv \frac{1}{V\beta} \sum_{k, n} G_{ab}(k, \omega_n), \\ \langle \psi_{a_1}, \psi_{a_2} \dots \psi_{a_n} \rangle &= 0, \quad n = 1, 3, 5 \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

We now expand $\exp(-S_{int})$ in Eqs. (A3) in powers of δ

$$e^{-S_{int}} = 1 - S_{int}^{(1)} - S_{int}^{(2)} - S_{int}^{(3)} - S_{int}^{(4)} + O(\delta^2) \quad (\text{A12})$$

Expressing the “noninteracting” partition function as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0(j) &= \int D\psi_1 D\psi_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int dx dx' \psi_a(x) G_{ab}^{-1}(x, x') \psi_b(x')} e^{\int dx j_a(x) \psi_a(x)} \\ &= (\sqrt{\text{Det}G}) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dx' j_a(x) \bar{G}_{ab}(x, x') j_b(x') \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

where $\bar{G}_{ab}(x, y) = [G_{ab}(x, y) + G_{ba}(y, x)]/2$, one may obtain

$$Z(j) = e^{-S_0} \left[Z_0(j) - \langle S_{int}^{(1)} \rangle - \langle S_{int}^{(2)} \rangle - \langle S_{int}^{(3)} \rangle - \langle S_{int}^{(4)} \rangle \right] \quad (\text{A14})$$

where $\langle \hat{O} \rangle = [\int D\psi_1 D\psi_2 e^{-S_{free}} \hat{O}(\psi_1, \psi_2)] / Z_0(j)|_{(j=0)}$ and $Z_0(j)|_{(j=0)} = 1/\sqrt{\text{Det}G^{-1}}$. The expectation values in (A14) can be easily calculated by using Eqs. (A11) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{int}^{(1)} \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle S_{int}^{(3)} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle S_{int}^{(2)} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx (\beta_1 G_{11}(0) + \beta_2 G_{22}(0)) = \frac{\beta}{2} (\beta_1 B + \beta_2 A) \\ \langle S_{int}^{(4)} \rangle &= \frac{g\beta}{8} [3G_{11}^2(0) + 3G_{22}^2(0) + 2G_{11}(0)G_{22}(0)] = \frac{g\beta}{8} [3B^2 + 3A^2 + 2AB]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

where $A = V(\rho_1 - \sigma)$, $B = V(\rho_1 + \sigma)$. Thus, using the formula $\ln(1+x) \approx x$ we obtain

$$\Omega = -T \ln Z(j)|_{j=0} = -T \ln e^{-S_0} - T \ln Z_0 + T \langle S_{int}^{(2)} \rangle + T \langle S_{int}^{(4)} \rangle \quad (\text{A16})$$

where we set $\delta = 1$. Finally, using (A15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \Omega_0 + \Omega_{free} + \Omega_2 + \Omega_4 \\ \Omega_0 &= -\mu V \rho_0 + \frac{gV\rho_0^2}{2}, \\ \Omega_{free} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (E_k - \epsilon_k) + T \sum_k \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E_k}), \\ \Omega_2 &= \frac{1}{2} [\beta_1 B + \beta_2 A] \\ \Omega_4 &= \frac{g}{8V} [3A^2 + 3B^2 + 2AB], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

In above equations $X_1 \equiv 2\Delta$ can be found from equation $\partial\Omega/\partial X_1 = 0$ which leads to MFA equation (29). As to X_2 it should be set to zero, $X_2 = 0$, in order to make the dispersion similar to the Bogoliubov one: $E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k} \sqrt{\epsilon_k + 2\Delta}$ in accordance with Hugenholtz - Pines theorem [35]. As a result, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= 2g(\rho_0 + \sigma) = 2g\rho + 2g(\sigma - \rho_1), \\ \mu &= g\rho + g\rho_1 - g\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A18})$$

Appendix B: Summary of main equations in various approaches of MFT

We present the total energy of a Bose system at $T = 0$ as:

$$E = \frac{V\rho^2g}{2}(1 + \tilde{E}_0) + \frac{8Vm^{3/2}}{15\pi^2}\tilde{E}_{fluc} \quad (\text{B1})$$

where, \tilde{E}_0 and \tilde{E}_{fluc} are shown on Table 1 (columns IV, V). Tan's contact, calculated from any of equations (1)-(4) may be simply presented as $C_x = 16\pi^2a^2\rho^2(1 + W_x)$, ($x = n, E, \psi$) where W_x are given on columns VI-VIII of Table 1. The second column of this table includes equations for the condensed fraction ρ_0 and for the reduced self energy $\Delta = (\Sigma_n + \Sigma_{an} - \mu)/2$. Note that, ρ_0 is fixed as $\rho_0 = n_0\rho = \rho(1 - 8\sqrt{\gamma}/3\sqrt{\pi})$ in Bogoliubov approximation, while it should be numerically evaluated as solutions of MFT equations in other approaches.

TABLE I. MFT equations, the total energy and Tan's contact in MFT

| MFT app. | ρ_0 and MFT equations | Dispersion | \tilde{E}_0 | \tilde{E}_{fluc} | W_n | W_E | W_ψ |
|------------|---|---|---|--------------------|--|---|---|
| HFBS | $\rho_0 = \rho - \rho_1$ $\Delta = g(\rho_0 + \sigma)$ $\rho_1 = (\Delta m)^{3/2}/3\pi^2$ $\sigma = m^{3/2}\Delta\sqrt{g\rho_0}/\pi^2$ | $E_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2\Delta}$ | $\tilde{E}_0 = n_1^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2 - 2n_1\tilde{\sigma}$ | $\Delta^{5/2}$ | $(n_1 - \tilde{\sigma})^*$ $(n_1 - \tilde{\sigma} - 2)$ | $n_\sigma + \Delta'_a \left[\frac{2mn_1}{\pi\rho} + \frac{3an_\sigma}{\Delta} \right]$ $n_\sigma = n_1^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2 - 2n_1\tilde{\sigma}$ $\Delta'_a = \frac{\Delta}{a} \frac{1}{[1+6\pi a(\rho_1 - \sigma)]/m\Delta}$ | $2(n_1 + \tilde{\sigma} - 2n_1\tilde{\sigma}) - n_\sigma$ |
| Gaussian | $\tilde{n}_0^3 + p\tilde{n}_0^2 - p = 0,$ $\tilde{n}_0 = \sqrt{n_0},$ $p = 3\sqrt{\pi}/8\sqrt{\gamma}$ | $E_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2g\rho_0}$ | 0 | $(g\rho_0)^{5/2}$ | $n_1(n_1 - 2)$ | $\frac{64n_0^{5/2}\sqrt{\gamma}}{3(\sqrt{\pi} + 4\sqrt{\gamma}n_0)}$ | $\frac{64n_0^{3/2}\sqrt{\gamma}}{3\sqrt{\pi}}$ |
| Bogoliubov | $n_0 = 1 - 8\sqrt{\gamma}/3\sqrt{\pi}$ | $E_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + 2gp}$ | 0 | $(gp)^{5/2}$ | 1 | $\frac{64\sqrt{\gamma}}{3\sqrt{\pi}}$ | $\frac{64\sqrt{\gamma}}{3\sqrt{\pi}}$ |

-
- [1] Anderson, M. H., J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, *Science* **269**, 198 (1995)
- [2] J. O. Andersen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76** 599 (2004)
- [3] N.P. Proukakis, B. Jackson, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **41** 203002 (2008)
- [4] V.I. Yukalov, *Ann. Phys.* **323** 461 (2008)
- [5] S. Tan, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **323**, 2952 (2008).
- [6] S. Tan, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **323**, 2971 (2008).
- [7] S. Tan, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **323**, 2987 (2008).
- [8] E. Braaten and L. Platter *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 205301 (2008)
- [9] E. Braaten, D. Kang, and L. Platter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** 223004 (2010).
- [10] E. Braaten, Daekyoung Kang, and L. Platter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 153005 (2011)
- [11] G. Lang, P. Vignolo, and A. Minguzzi, *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* **226**, 1583 (2017)
- [12] F. Werner, and Y. Castin, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 053633 (2012)
- [13] R. Combescot, F. Alzetto and X. Leyronas, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 053640 (2009)
- [14] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari , *Bose- Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity* , Oxford University Press, 2015
- [15] A. Rakhimov, M. Nishonov, and B. Tanatar, *Phys. Lett.* **A384** 126313 (2020)
- [16] Y. Sagi, T. E. Drake, R. Paudel, and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 220402 (2012)
- [17] J. T. Stewart, J. P. Gaebler T. E. Drake and D. S. Jin , *Phys. Lett.* **104** 235301 (2010)
- [18] R. J. Wild, P. Makotyn, J. M. Pino, E. A. Cornell, and D. S. Jin *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** , 145305 (2012)
- [19] P. Makotyn, C. E. Klauss, D. L. Goldberger, E. A. Cornell, D. S. Jin *Nature Physics* **10**, 116 (2014)
- [20] R. Chang, Q. Bouton, H. Cayla, C. Qu, A. Aspect, C. I. Westbrook, and D. Clement, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 235303 (2016)
- [21] R. J. Fletcher, R. Lopes, J. Man, N. Navon, R. P. Smith, M. W. Zwierlein, Z. Hadzibabic, *Science* **355** , 377 (2017)
- [22] L. D. Faddeev, A. A. Slavnov *Gauge fields, introduction to quantum theory*. Perseus Books, 1991.

- [23] A. Rakhimov, C. K. Kim, S.-H. Kim, and J. H. Yee, Phys. Rev. A **77** 033626 (2008).
- [24] P. M. Stevenson Phys. Rev. D **32**, 1389, (1985).
- [25] F. F. de Souza Cruz, M. B. Pinto, and R. O. Ramos, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 014515 (2001)
- [26] I. Stancu and P. M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. D **42** 2710, (1990).
- [27] N.N. Bogoliubov, J. Phys. USSR, **11**, 23 (1947)
- [28] T. D. Lee, Kerson Huang, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **106**, 1135 (1957).
- [29] A. M. J. Schakel arXiv:1007.3452
- [30] D. S. Petrov. Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 155302 (2015).
- [31] M. Rossi and L. Salasnich, Phys. Rev. **A 88** , 053617 (2013)
- [32] E. Braaten and A. Nieto, Eur. Phys. J. B**11**, 143 (1999).
- [33] A. Rakhimov, A. Khudoyberdiev, L Rani, and B. Tanatar, arXiv:1909.00281
- [34] H. Kleinert, Z. Narzikulov, and A. Rakhimov, J. Stat. Mech. **P01003** 1742, (2014).
- [35] N. M. Hugenholtz and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. **116** 489506 (1959).