

EMERGENT BEHAVIORS IN GROUP RING FLOCKS

SEUNG-YEAL HA AND HANSOL PARK

ABSTRACT. We present a first-order aggregation model on a group ring, and study its asymptotic dynamics. In a positive coupling strength regime, we show that the flow generated by the proposed model tends to an equilibrium manifold asymptotically. For this, we introduce a Lyapunov functional which is non-increasing along the flow, and using the temporal decay of the nonlinear functional and the LaSalle invariance principle, we show that the flow converges toward an equilibrium manifold asymptotically. We also show that the structure of an equilibrium manifold is strongly dependent on the structure of an underlying group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Collective behaviors of natural and man-made complex systems often appear in our nature and society, e.g., aggregation of bacteria [45], swarming of fish [15, 44], flocking of multi-agent system [12, 47], flashing of fireflies [6], heart beatings by pacemaker cells and hand clapping [39], self-organization of robot and multi-agent systems [19, 36] etc. We refer to survey articles and books [1, 2, 13, 18, 23, 40, 42, 48, 50] for collective dynamics. Despite of their ubiquity, mathematical modelings for collective behaviors are done only a half century ago by Winfree and Kuramoto in [30, 49]. Since their seminal works, several phenomenological consensus(or aggregation) models on manifolds were studied in literature. To name a few, the Kuramoto model on the unit circle [4, 10, 11, 17, 18, 22, 30], quaternion model [13], Lohe sphere model [7, 9, 29, 32, 33, 35, 43, 51], matrix-valued consensus models [5, 14, 16, 25, 28], the Lohe tensor model [26, 27] and the Schrödinger-Lohe model [8, 24]. We also refer to [3, 20, 21, 34, 41, 46] on the related consensus models on Riemannian manifolds).

In this paper, we are interested in the collective modeling of time-varying algebraic objects which are elements of a group ring [37, 38]. Throughout the paper, we take the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Then, the group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is a \mathbb{K} -vector space over a finite group G , and we consider an ensemble of N time-varying elements $\mathcal{X} = \{x^i(t)\} \subset \mathbb{K}[G]$. Then, we are interested in the following simple question:

Date: February 23, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 82C10, 82C22, 35B37.

Key words and phrases. Aggregation, equilibrium, group ring, LaSalle invariance principle.

Acknowledgment. The work of S.-Y. Ha is supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2020R1A2C3A01003881) and the work of H. Park is supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2019R1I1A1A01059585). Both authors would like to thank Mr. Lee, Gangsan for the suggestion of “Group ring”, and both authors are also would like to thank *Stack exchange*(link :<https://tex.stackexchange.com/questions/161888/how-to-draw-big-circle-intersection-of-a-plane-with-a-sphere>) for Figure 2.

“ Can we design a first-order aggregation model for \mathcal{X} which exhibits emergent behaviors? ”

Our main goal of this paper is to answer the aforementioned posed question, i.e., we provide a first-order aggregation model and study how the underlying group G affects system dynamics. Before we present our main results, we briefly discuss elementary operators in the group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Let x and y be elements in $\mathbb{K}[G]$ represented by

$$(1.1) \quad x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g \quad \text{and} \quad y = \sum_{g \in G} y_g g,$$

where coefficients x_g and y_g are elements of \mathbb{K} . Then, addition, scalar multiplication, group ring multiplication and hermitian conjugate are defined as follows: for $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{K}[G]$ in (1.1),

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} x + y &:= \sum_{g \in G} (x_g + y_g)g, & \lambda x &:= \sum_{g \in G} (\lambda x_g)g, \\ xy &:= \sum_{g', g'' \in G} x_{g'} y_{g''} (g' * g''), & x^\dagger &:= \sum_{g \in G} \overline{x_g} g^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g' * g''$ is a group operation of g' and g'' in G and g^{-1} is an inverse of g . Here $\overline{x_g}$ stands for the complex conjugate of x_g .

The main results of this paper are three-fold. First, we propose a first-order aggregation model on $\mathbb{K}[G]$ for an ensemble \mathcal{X} :

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{dx^i}{dt} = \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left[x^k (x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i (x^k)^\dagger x^i \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where κ is a nonnegative constant. Then, system (1.3) can also be written as a mean-field form:

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{dx^i}{dt} = \kappa \left[x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^i \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $x^c := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x^i$ is the centroid of the ensemble \mathcal{X} .

Note that the right-hand side of (1.4) is well-defined in the group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$ via operations (1.2). Other than the elementary operations in (1.2), we can also introduce a trace, associated inner product and norm on $\mathbb{K}[G]$ as well (see Definition 2.1 and (2.5)). Then, by straightforward calculations, we can show that $\|x^i\|_F$ is a constant of motion for (1.4) (see Lemma 3.1):

$$\|x^i(t)\|_F = \|x^i(0)\|_F, \quad t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, system (1.4) can be rewritten in terms of coefficient $x_g^i \in \mathbb{K}$ (see Lemma 2.2):

$$\frac{dx_g^i}{dt} = \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \right), \quad \forall g \in G, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Secondly, we show that the flow $X(t)$ converges to the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$:

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G]) := \left\{ X := (x^1, \dots, x^N) \in \mathbb{K}[G]^N : x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^i = 0_G, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \right\},$$

where $0_G = \sum_{g \in G} 0g$. For the convergence, we introduce a Lyapunov functional which is non-increasing along the flow $X(t)$ and its zero set for orbital derivative coincides with the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$. Then, by the LaSalle invariance principle, one can show that for a positive coupling strength $\kappa > 0$ and general initial data,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(X(t), \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])) = 0,$$

where $\text{dist}(X(t), \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])) = \inf_{X_{eq} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])} \|X(t) - X_{eq}\|_F$. We refer to Theorem 3.1 and Section 3.1 for details.

Thirdly, we provide a complete classification on the structure of the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$ as a disjoint union of three subsets, and present several quantitative estimates regarding the aforementioned three sets (see Theorem 4.1).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the basic properties of a group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$ and study basic properties of the aggregation model (1.4) on the group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$. In Section 3, we present asymptotic convergence of the flow generated by system (1.4) toward the equilibrium manifold. In Section 4, we provide structural results for the structure of the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$ for all finite group G . Finally, Section 5 is devoted to a brief summary of our main results and some remaining issues for a future work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first study basic properties of the group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$ and then present basic properties for the aggregation model (1.2) such as a conservation law and invariance by an automorphism group on G . For notational simplicity, we also denote $g_1 * g_2$ by $g_1 g_2$ by deleting $*$. First, we introduce a concept of a trace in following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g$ be an element in $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Then, the trace of x is given by the coefficient of the identity element e :

$$\text{tr}(x) = x_e.$$

Remark 2.1. It is easy to see that for $x, y \in \mathbb{K}[G]$,

$$\text{tr}(xy) := \sum_{g' * g'' = e} x_{g'} y_{g''}.$$

Next, we list basic properties for hermitian conjugate and trace in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let x and y be elements of the group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Then we have

$$(xy)^\dagger = y^\dagger x^\dagger, \quad \text{tr}(xy) = \text{tr}(yx).$$

Proof. We set

$$x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g \quad \text{and} \quad y = \sum_{g \in G} y_g g.$$

- (First relation): By group ring multiplication and hermitian conjugation defined in (1.2), we obtain the first identity:

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)^\dagger &= \left(\sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} x_{g_1} y_{g_2} g_1 g_2 \right)^\dagger = \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} \overline{x_{g_1} y_{g_2}} (g_1 g_2)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} \overline{y_{g_2} x_{g_1}} g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} = \left(\sum_{g_2 \in G} \overline{y_{g_2}} g_2^{-1} \right) \left(\sum_{g_1 \in G} \overline{x_{g_1}} g_1^{-1} \right) = y^\dagger x^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

- (Second relation): We use (1.2) and the fact that the left and right inverses are equal for all elements in G to see

$$\text{tr}(xy) = \sum_{g_1 * g_2 = e} x_{g_1} y_{g_2} = \sum_{g_2 * g_1 = e} y_{g_2} x_{g_1} = \text{tr}(yx).$$

□

In what follows, we study several properties of the aggregation model (1.4) on $\mathbb{K}[G]$. First, we provide other alternative representation of system (1.4) as a component form.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{x^i(t) = \sum_{g \in G} x_g^i(t)g\}$ be a solution to system (1.4). Then, for each $g \in G$, the coefficient x_g^i satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{dx_g^i}{dt} = \kappa \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof. We substitute the ansatz $x^i = \sum_{g \in G} x_g^i g$ into (1.4), and then compare the coefficients of g in the resulting relation to derive the desired system.

- (L.H.S (1.4)): Note that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{dx^i}{dt} = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{dx_g^i}{dt} \right) g.$$

- (R.H.S (1.4)): By definition of multiplication and hermitian conjugate, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^i &= \sum_{g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G} x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_3}^i (g_1 g_2^{-1} g_3) = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g_3 = g} x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_3}^i \right) g \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \right) g, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation $g_1 g_2^{-1} g_3 = g \iff g_3 = g_2 g_1^{-1} g$.

In an exact same manner, we also have

$$(2.4) \quad x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^i = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \right) g.$$

In (1.4), we collect all the relations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) to obtain

$$\sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{dx_g^i}{dt} \right) g = \kappa \sum_{g \in G} \left[\sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \right) \right] g.$$

Finally, we use the fact that G is a basis to derive the desired system (2.1). \square

Now, we are ready to introduce the Frobenius inner product and norm as follows. For $x, y \in \mathbb{K}[G]$,

$$(2.5) \quad \langle x, y \rangle_F := \text{tr}(x^\dagger y), \quad \|x\|_F := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_F}.$$

Then, it is easy to check that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ satisfies all the axioms for inner product and norm. In particular, one has

$$\langle x, y \rangle_F = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{x_g} y_g, \quad \langle x, y \rangle_F = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle_F}.$$

Moreover, one can also check

$$\|x + y\|_F \leq \|x\|_F + \|y\|_F \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle x, y \rangle_F| \leq \|x\|_F \cdot \|y\|_F.$$

Next, we show that the norm $\|x\|_F$ is a constant of motion for (1.4).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\{x^i\}$ be the solution to system (1.4) with initial data satisfying*

$$\|x^i(0)\|_F = 1 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Then, one has

$$\|x^i(t)\|_F = 1, \quad t > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof. We use (2.1) and (2.5) to find

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|x^i\|_F^2 = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{x_g^i} x_g^i = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{dx_g^i}{dt} \right) \overline{x_g^i} + (c.c.),$$

where (c.c.) is the complex conjugate of the first term in (2.6).

Note that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G} \left(\frac{dx_g^i}{dt} \right) \overline{x_g^i} &= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \right) \overline{x_g^i} \\ &= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use (2.6) and (2.7) to obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|x^i\|_F^2 &= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} \right) + (c.c.) \\ &= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} + \overline{x_{g_1}^c} x_{g_2}^i \overline{x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i} x_g^i - \overline{x_{g_1}^i} x_{g_2}^c \overline{x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i} x_g^i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since g_1, g_2 and g are dummy variables, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} + \overline{x_{g_1}^c x_{g_2}^i x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} - \overline{x_{g_1}^i x_{g_2}^c x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} \right) \\
&= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} + \overline{x_{g_2}^c x_{g_1}^i x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} - \overline{x_{g_2}^i x_{g_1}^c x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} \right) \\
&= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c (\overline{x_{g_2}^i x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} - \overline{x_{g_2}^i x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i}) + \overline{x_{g_2}^c (x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} - x_{g_1}^i x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i})} \right) \\
&= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} (x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} - \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i}) + \overline{x_{g_2}^c x_{g_1}^i} (\overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} - x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{g, g_1, g_2 \in G} x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} \left(x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} - \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} \right) + (c.c.) \\
&= \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} \left[x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} \sum_{g \in G} \left(x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} - \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i x_g^i} \right) \right] + (c.c.),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line, we used index exchange transformation $g_1 \longleftrightarrow g_2$. Now, we use the property of the dummy variables:

$$\sum_{g \in G} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} = \sum_{g \in G} x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} (g_1 g_2^{-1} g)}^i \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i} = \sum_{g \in G} x_g^i \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i},$$

i.e., for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$, one has

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{g \in G} (x_{g_2 g_1^{-1} g}^i \overline{x_g^i} - \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i} x_g^i) = \sum_{g \in G} (x_g^i \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i} - \overline{x_{g_1 g_2^{-1} g}^i} x_g^i) = 0.$$

Finally, we use (2.9) and (2.10) to get the desired estimate. \square

As a direct corollary of Proposition 2.1, we show that system (1.4) reduces to the Kuramoto model for identical oscillators as a special case.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $G = \{e\}$ and $\{x^i\}$ be a solution to system (1.4) with $\|x^i(0)\|_F = 1$, and we set*

$$(2.11) \quad x^i = e^{i\theta^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then the phase configuration $\{\theta^i\}$ satisfies the Kuramoto model for identical oscillators:

$$\frac{d\theta^i}{dt} = \frac{2\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sin(\theta^k - \theta^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1,

$$x^i = x_e^i e, \quad |x_e^i| = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and its component x_e^i satisfies

$$\frac{dx_e^i}{dt} = \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(x_e^k - x_e^i \overline{x_e^k} x_e^i \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Next, we substitute the ansatz (2) into (1.4) to get

$$e^{i\theta^i} i\dot{\theta}^i = \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(e^{i\theta^k} - e^{i(2\theta^i - \theta^k)} \right).$$

After further simplifications, one derive the desired Kuramoto model. \square

Next, we show the invariance of system (1.4) under a group automorphism on G . More precisely, let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ be a group automorphism. Then, we can define its extension $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{K}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[G]$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi} \left(\sum_{g \in G} x_g g \right) := \sum_{g \in G} x_g \varphi(g) = \sum_{g \in G} x_{\varphi^{-1}(g)} g.$$

Then, it is easy to see

$$\tilde{\varphi}(g) = \tilde{\varphi}(1g) = 1\varphi(g) = \varphi(g), \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \tilde{\varphi}|_G = \varphi.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{K}[G]$ and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Then, its extension $\tilde{\varphi}$ satisfies*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(xy) = \tilde{\varphi}(x)\tilde{\varphi}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x^\dagger) = \tilde{\varphi}(x)^\dagger.$$

Proof. (i) We use the relation (1.2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(xy) &= \tilde{\varphi} \left(\sum_{g', g'' \in G} x_{g'} y_{g''} (g' g'') \right) = \sum_{g', g'' \in G} x_{g'} y_{g''} \varphi(g' g'') = \sum_{g', g'' \in G} x_{g'} y_{g''} \varphi(g') \varphi(g'') \\ &= \left(\sum_{g' \in G} x_{g'} \varphi(g') \right) \left(\sum_{g'' \in G} y_{g''} \varphi(g'') \right) = \tilde{\varphi}(x) \tilde{\varphi}(y). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By definition of hermitian conjugation, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x^\dagger) = \tilde{\varphi} \left(\sum_{g \in G} \bar{x}_g g^{-1} \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \bar{x}_g \varphi(g^{-1}) = \sum_{g \in G} \bar{x}_g \varphi(g)^{-1} = \left(\tilde{\varphi} \left(\sum_{g \in G} x_g g \right) \right)^\dagger = \tilde{\varphi}(x)^\dagger.$$

\square

In the following proposition, we show that the aggregation model (1.4) is invariant under the automorphism $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}[G])$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{X} := \{x^i\}$ be a solution to system (1.4), and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Then y^i defined by*

$$y^i := \tilde{\varphi}(x^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

satisfies the same system (1.4):

$$\frac{dy^i}{dt} = \kappa \left[y^c(y^i)^\dagger y^i - y^i(y^c)^\dagger y^i \right],$$

where $y^c := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y^i$.

Proof. Recall x^i satisfies

$$\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \kappa \left[(x^c(x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i(x^c)^\dagger x^i) \right].$$

Then we use Lemma 2.3 to see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy^i}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\varphi}(x^i) = \tilde{\varphi} \left(\frac{dx^i}{dt} \right) = \kappa \tilde{\varphi} \left(x^c(x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i(x^c)^\dagger x^i \right) \\ &= \kappa \left[\tilde{\varphi}(x^c) \tilde{\varphi}(x^i)^\dagger \tilde{\varphi}(x^i) - \tilde{\varphi}(x^i) \tilde{\varphi}(x^c)^\dagger \tilde{\varphi}(x^i) \right] = \kappa \left[y^c(y^i)^\dagger y^i - y^i(y^c)^\dagger y^i \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. LARGE-TIME BEHAVIOR OF THE FLOW

In this section, we study asymptotic convergence of (1.4) toward an equilibrium manifold, and analyze the geometric structure of the equilibrium manifold for the special finite groups $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and \mathbb{Z}_3 .

Recall the aggregation model:

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{dx^i}{dt} = \kappa \left[x^c(x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i(x^c)^\dagger x^i \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then, we define two sets associated with (3.1):

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G]) &:= \left\{ X \in \mathbb{K}[G]^N : x^c(x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i(x^c)^\dagger x^i = 0_G, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}[G]) &:= \left\{ X \in \mathbb{K}[G]^N : x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger = 0_G, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$ consists of all equilibria, and the completely aggregated state $X_{eq} = (x, \dots, x) \in \mathbb{K}[G]^N$ lies in the equilibrium set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$. In this case, since $x^c = x$,

$$x^c(x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i(x^c)^\dagger x^i = xx^\dagger x - xx^\dagger x = 0_G.$$

This yields

$$x^c(x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i(x^c)^\dagger x^i = (x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger)x^i = 0_G,$$

we can easily see

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}[G]) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G]).$$

The other inclusion is true, thus the above two sets are in fact the same (see Remark 3.1).

3.1. Asymptotic convergence to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$. In this subsection, we study the asymptotic convergence of the flow generated by system (1.4) using the LaSalle invariance principle [31]. For this, we define two nonlinear functionals measuring the degree of aggregation for the configuration $\mathcal{X} = \{x^i\}$ with $\|x^i\|_F = 1$:

$$(3.3) \quad R^2 := \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle x^i, x^j \rangle_F = \|x^c\|_F^2 \quad \text{and} \quad V := \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \|x^i - x^j\|_F^2.$$

Then, it is easy to see

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \leq R \leq 1, \quad V = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left(2 - \langle x^i, x^j \rangle_F - \langle x^j, x^i \rangle_F \right) = 2 - 2R^2 \geq 0.$$

In next lemma, we show that V satisfies a Lyapunov estimate along the flow (1.4).

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that the coupling strength and initial data satisfy*

$$\kappa > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x^i(0)\|_F = 1 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

and let $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a solution to system (1.4). Then, one has

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{2\kappa}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger\|_F^2 \leq 0.$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -2\frac{dR^2}{dt} = -\frac{2}{N^2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle x^i, x^j \rangle_F.$$

Hence it suffices to check

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle x^i, x^j \rangle_F = N\kappa \sum_{i=1}^N \|x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger\|_F^2.$$

Derivation of (3.5): We use (1.4) and Lemma 2.1 to find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle x^i, x^j \rangle_F &= \kappa \left\langle \frac{dx^i}{dt}, x^j \right\rangle_F + \kappa \left\langle x^i, \frac{dx^j}{dt} \right\rangle_F \\ &= \kappa \left\langle x^c(x^i)^\dagger x^i - x^i(x^c)^\dagger x^i, x^j \right\rangle_F + \kappa \left\langle x^i, x^c(x^j)^\dagger x^j - x^j(x^c)^\dagger x^j \right\rangle_F \\ &= \kappa \text{tr} \left((x^i)^\dagger x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^j - (x^i)^\dagger x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^j + (x^i)^\dagger x^c (x^j)^\dagger x^j - (x^i)^\dagger x^j (x^c)^\dagger x^j \right) \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \text{tr} \left((x^i)^\dagger x^i (x^k)^\dagger x^j - (x^i)^\dagger x^k (x^i)^\dagger x^j + (x^i)^\dagger x^k (x^j)^\dagger x^j - (x^i)^\dagger x^j (x^k)^\dagger x^j \right). \end{aligned}$$

We sum up the above relation over i, j to derive (3.5):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle x^i, x^j \rangle_F &= \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \text{tr} \left((x^i)^\dagger x^i (x^k)^\dagger x^j - (x^i)^\dagger x^k (x^i)^\dagger x^j + (x^i)^\dagger x^k (x^j)^\dagger x^j - (x^i)^\dagger x^j (x^k)^\dagger x^j \right) \\ &= N\kappa \sum_{i=1}^N \text{tr} \left((x^i)^\dagger x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^c - (x^i)^\dagger x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^c + (x^c)^\dagger x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^i - (x^c)^\dagger x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^i \right) \\ &= N\kappa \sum_{i=1}^N \text{tr} \left(x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^c (x^i)^\dagger - x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^c (x^i)^\dagger + x^c (x^i)^\dagger x^i (x^c)^\dagger - x^i (x^c)^\dagger x^i (x^c)^\dagger \right) \\ &= N\kappa \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle x^c (x^i)^\dagger - x^i (x^c)^\dagger, x^c (x^i)^\dagger - x^i (x^c)^\dagger \right\rangle_F \\ &= N\kappa \sum_{i=1}^N \|x^c (x^i)^\dagger - x^i (x^c)^\dagger\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

This and (3.3) yield

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{dR^2}{dt} = \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger\|_F^2 \geq 0.$$

Again, (3.6) and (3.4)₂ imply the desired estimate. □

Remark 3.1. *As a ramification of Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following assertions:*

- (1) *Since V is nonnegative and non-increasing over time, there exists an asymptotic limit V_∞ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(t) = V_\infty.$$

- (2) *Suppose that $\mathcal{Y} = \{y^i\}_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$ is an equilibrium, i.e., it is stationary. Thus R must be constant and $\frac{dR}{dt} = 0$. Then, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that*

$$0 = \frac{dR^2}{dt} = \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|y^c(y^i)^\dagger - y^i(y^c)^\dagger\|_F^2, \quad \text{i.e., } \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}[G]).$$

Thus, two sets defined in (3.2) are the same:

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G]) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}[G]).$$

Next, we state our first main result on the asymptotic convergence of the flow.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a solution to system (1.4) with the initial data satisfying*

$$\|x^i(0)\|_F = 1 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Then, the flow approaches to the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$ asymptotically in the sense that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(X(t), \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $X(t)$ be a given flow. Then, the bounded Lyapunov functional V satisfies Lyapunov estimate (see Lemma 3.1):

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{2\kappa}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger\|_F^2 \leq 0.$$

Then, the zero set of the orbital derivative $\frac{dV}{dt}$ exactly coincides with $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}[G])$ which is a subset of equilibrium manifold, (in fact, we will see that they are the same). Thus, the largest invariant subset of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}[G])$ is itself, and by LaSalle's invariance principle, we can confirm the asymptotic convergence of the flow $X(t)$ to the set $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}[G]) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}[G])$. □

In the following two subsections, we consider the group ring $\mathbb{R}[G]$ over the real number field, and study the structure of the equilibrium set for the following two special cases for G :

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{Z}_3.$$

3.2. Geometric structure of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2])$. In this subsection, we show that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2])$ can be the whole state space itself: every solution is an equilibrium.

Proposition 3.1. *The equilibrium set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2])$ is the whole state space:*

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2]) = \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2]^N.$$

Proof. We set $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, a\}$ and recall the equilibrium manifold for (1.4):

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2]) := \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2]^N : x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger = 0_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x^i\}$ be a solution to (1.4) on $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then, we claim that \mathcal{X} is an equilibrium for (1.4):

$$(3.7) \quad x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger = 0_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{dx^i}{dt} = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Proof of (3.7): Note that

$$(3.8) \quad g^2 = e \quad \forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1, \quad g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^c(x^i)^\dagger - x^i(x^c)^\dagger &= \sum_{g_1, g_2} \left(x_{g_1}^c \overline{x_{g_2}^i} g_1 g_2^{-1} - x_{g_1}^i \overline{x_{g_2}^c} g_1 g_2^{-1} \right) = \sum_{g_1, g_2} \left(x_{g_1}^c x_{g_2}^i g_1 g_2 - x_{g_2}^i x_{g_1}^c g_2 g_1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{g_1, g_2} \left(x_{g_1}^c x_{g_2}^i - x_{g_2}^i x_{g_1}^c \right) g_1 g_2 = 0_{\mathbb{Z}_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, X is an equilibrium. \square

Remark 3.2. *Note that the same argument employed in the proof can be applied to the following group:*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \underbrace{\{(\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)\}}_{n \text{ times}}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{E} \left(\mathbb{R} \left[\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_2 \right] \right) = \mathbb{R} \left[\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_2 \right]^N.$$

3.3. Geometric structure of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3])$. Consider the case $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{e, a, a^2\}$. Then, any element $x \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]$ with a unit norm has the unique representation for x :

$$x = x_e e + x_a a + x_{a^2} a^2, \quad x_e^2 + x_a^2 + x_{a^2}^2 = 1.$$

Note that $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]$ can be embedded into \mathbb{S}^2 : there exists an injective map ϕ :

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \text{by } \phi(x) = (x_e, x_a, x_{a^2}).$$

Proposition 3.2. *The equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3])$ can be a disjoint union of the following three submanifolds:*

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) = \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) \cup \mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]),$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) &:= \{ \{x^i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3] : x^c = 0_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) &:= \{ \{x^i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3] : \langle x^i, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for some } y \neq 0_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \}, \\ \mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) &:= \{ \{x^i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3] : \phi(x^c) \parallel (1, 1, 1) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N$ is an equilibrium solution:

$$(3.10) \quad x^c(x^i)^\dagger = x^i(x^c)^\dagger, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Now we set

$$(3.11) \quad x^c = x_e^c e + x_a^c a + x_{a^2}^c a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad x^i = x_e^i e + x_a^i a + x_{a^2}^i a^2.$$

Then, their hermitian conjugates are

$$(3.12) \quad (x^i)^\dagger = x_e^i e + x_{a^2}^i a + x_a^i a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (x^c)^\dagger = x_e^c e + x_{a^2}^c a + x_a^c a^2,$$

where we used

$$e^{-1} = e, \quad a^{-1} = a^2, \quad (a^2)^{-1} = a.$$

In (3.10), we use (3.11) and (3.12) to find that for each $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} x^c(x^i)^\dagger &= x_e^c x_e^i e * e + x_e^c x_{a^2}^i e * a + x_e^c x_a^i e * a^2 + x_a^c x_e^i a * e + x_a^c x_{a^2}^i a * a + x_a^c x_a^i a * a^2 \\ &\quad + x_{a^2}^c x_e^i a^2 * e + x_{a^2}^c x_{a^2}^i a^2 * a + x_{a^2}^c x_a^i a^2 * a^2 \\ &= \left(x_e^c x_e^i + x_a^c x_a^i + x_{a^2}^c x_{a^2}^i \right) e + \left(x_e^c x_{a^2}^i + x_a^c x_e^i + x_{a^2}^c x_a^i \right) a \\ &\quad + \left(x_e^c x_a^i + x_a^c x_{a^2}^i + x_{a^2}^c x_e^i \right) a^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} x^i(x^c)^\dagger &= \left(x_e^i x_e^c + x_a^i x_a^c + x_{a^2}^i x_{a^2}^c \right) e + \left(x_e^i x_{a^2}^c + x_a^i x_e^c + x_{a^2}^i x_a^c \right) a \\ &\quad + \left(x_e^i x_a^c + x_a^i x_{a^2}^c + x_{a^2}^i x_e^c \right) a^2. \end{aligned}$$

We compare (3.13) and (3.14) to get

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} e : \quad & x_e^c x_e^i + x_a^c x_a^i + x_{a^2}^c x_{a^2}^i = x_e^i x_e^c + x_a^i x_a^c + x_{a^2}^i x_{a^2}^c, \\ a : \quad & x_e^c x_{a^2}^i + x_a^c x_e^i + x_{a^2}^c x_a^i = x_e^i x_{a^2}^c + x_a^i x_e^c + x_{a^2}^i x_a^c, \\ a^2 : \quad & x_e^c x_a^i + x_a^c x_{a^2}^i + x_{a^2}^c x_e^i = x_e^i x_a^c + x_a^i x_{a^2}^c + x_{a^2}^i x_e^c. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the relation (3.15)₁ trivially true, and if we set

$$\phi^i := \phi(x^i), \quad i.e., \quad (x_e^i, x_a^i, x_{a^2}^i) = (\phi_1^i, \phi_2^i, \phi_3^i) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then relations (3.15)₂ and (3.15)₃ reduce to the following single relation:

$$\phi_1^c \phi_3^i + \phi_2^c \phi_1^i + \phi_3^c \phi_2^i = \phi_1^i \phi_3^c + \phi_2^i \phi_1^c + \phi_3^i \phi_2^c,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(3.16) \quad (\phi_2^c \phi_3^i - \phi_3^c \phi_2^i) + (\phi_3^c \phi_1^i - \phi_1^c \phi_3^i) + (\phi_1^c \phi_2^i - \phi_2^c \phi_1^i) = 0.$$

We can further simplify the relation (3.16) as

$$(1, 1, 1) \cdot (\phi^c \times \phi^i) = 0.$$

By the vector identity, this yields

$$(3.17) \quad \phi^i \cdot ((1, 1, 1) \times \phi^c) = 0.$$

The analysis for the relation (3.17) yields the complete classification of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3])$. Now, we consider all cases satisfying the relation (3.17).

- Case A: Suppose

$$(1, 1, 1) \times \phi^c = 0.$$

In this case, we have the following two cases:

$$\text{Either } \phi^c = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \neq \phi^c \not\parallel (1, 1, 1).$$

- Case B: Suppose

$$(1, 1, 1) \times \phi^c \neq 0.$$

In this case, if we set $y := (1, 1, 1) \times \phi^c \neq 0$, then

$$\langle \phi^i, y \rangle = \langle x^i, y \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Hence, it follows from Case A and Case B that the equilibrium set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3])$ in (3.2) can be decomposed into three pieces:

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) = \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) \cup \mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) := \{\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3] : x^c = 0_G\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) := \{\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3] : \langle x^i, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for some } y \neq 0_G\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]) := \{\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3] : \phi(x^c) \not\parallel (1, 1, 1)\}.$$

If we visualize the element of $\mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3])$ with embedding ϕ , $\{\phi(x^i)\}_{i=1}^N$ lies on the same great circle as following figure. \square




FIGURE 1. Geometric diagrams of $\mathcal{E}_2(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3])$

In the following section, we consider the structure of the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G])$ for a group ring $\mathbb{R}[G]$.

4. GEOMETRY OF THE EQUILIBRIUM MANIFOLD

In this section, we extend the results for $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_3]$ to the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G])$ for $\mathbb{R}[G]$.

Recall the equilibrium set for (1.4):

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G]) = \left\{ Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c(y^i)^\dagger - y^i(y^c)^\dagger = 0_G, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \right\},$$

where $y^c := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y^i$.

4.1. Geometric structure of an equilibrium manifold. To provide an alternative representation of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G])$, we introduce an elementary matrix-like object $A^g \in \mathbb{R}^{G \times G}$ for each $g \in G$:

$$(4.2) \quad [A^g]_{g_1, g_2} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } g_1 g_2^{-1} = g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the following lemma, we study several properties of A^g .

Lemma 4.1. *For $g \in G$, let A^g be a matrix-like object defined by (4.2). Then, it satisfies*

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} [A^g]_{g_1, g_2} &= [A^{g^{-1}}]_{g_2, g_1}, \quad (A^g)^T = A^{g^{-1}}, \quad A^g A^{\tilde{g}} = A^{g\tilde{g}}, \\ A^{g_1} &\neq A^{g_2} \quad \text{if } g_1 \neq g_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. • (First identity): For $g \in G$, we use (4.2) to see

$$[A^g]_{g_1, g_2} = 1 \iff g_1 g_2^{-1} = g \iff g_2 g_1^{-1} = g^{-1} \iff [A^{g^{-1}}]_{g_2, g_1} = 1.$$

• (Second identity): Now we take a transpose the first relation: for $g_1, g_2 \in G$,

$$[(A^g)^T]_{g_1, g_2} = [A^g]_{g_2, g_1} = [A^{g^{-1}}]_{g_1, g_2},$$

which yields the desired second identity.

• (Third identity): It suffices to check

$$\sum_{g_2 \in G} [A^g]_{g_1, g_2} [A^{\tilde{g}}]_{g_2, g_3} = [A^{g\tilde{g}}]_{g_1, g_3}.$$

Recall Kronecker-delta function defined on a group G :

$$\delta_{g_1, g_2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } g_1 = g_2 \in G, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $g_1, g_3 \in G$, one has

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{g_2 \in G} [A^g]_{g_1, g_2} [A^{\tilde{g}}]_{g_2, g_3} = \sum_{g_2 \in G} \delta_{g, g_1 g_2^{-1}} \delta_{\tilde{g}, g_2 g_3^{-1}}.$$

On the other hand, we use

$$\delta_{g, g_1 g_2^{-1}} = \delta_{g g_2, g_1} = \delta_{g_2, g^{-1} g_1}$$

to find

$$g_2 = g^{-1} g_1 \iff \delta_{g, g_1 g_2^{-1}} = 1.$$

Thus, one has

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{g_2 \in G} \delta_{g, g_1 g_2^{-1}} \delta_{\tilde{g}, g_2 g_3^{-1}} = \delta_{\tilde{g}, g_2 g_3^{-1}} \Big|_{g_2 = g^{-1} g_1} = \delta_{\tilde{g}, g^{-1} g_1 g_3^{-1}} = \delta_{g \tilde{g}, g_1 g_3^{-1}} = [A^{g \tilde{g}}]_{g_1, g_3}.$$

Finally, we combine (4.4) and (4.5) to find the desired estimate.

- (Forth identity): Suppose $g_1 \neq g_2$. Then, we have

$$[A^{g_1}]_{g_1, e} = 1, \quad [A^{g_2}]_{g_2, e} = 0,$$

which yields $A^{g_1} \neq A^{g_2}$. \square

Remark 4.1. Since A^g is a matrix-like object with real entries, the hermitian conjugate of A^g is simply the transpose of it:

$$[(A^g)^\dagger]_{g_1 g_2} = [A^g]_{g_2 g_1}.$$

Note that elements of A^g are real, so the transpose of A^g can be defined as above.

Next, we provide our third set of results in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. The following assertions hold.

- (1) The equilibrium manifold (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G]) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{R}[G]) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{a \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\bigcap_{g \in G} \mathcal{E}_{a_g}^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) \right) \right),$$

where the index set $\mathcal{I} = \{a \in \mathbb{C}[G] : a_g = 1 \text{ or } 2 \quad \forall g \in G\}$, and

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{R}[G]) &:= \{Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c = 0_G\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_1^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) &:= \left\{Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c (A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) = 0_G\right\} \setminus \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{R}[G]) \\ &= \left\{Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c \in \text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) \setminus \{0_G\}\right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) &:= \left\{Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c (A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) (y^i)^T = 0_G\right\} \setminus (\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{R}[G]) \cup \mathcal{E}_1^g(\mathbb{R}[G])). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) For $g \in G$, the null space $\text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}})$ appearing in the defining relations of $\mathcal{E}_1^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ and $\mathcal{E}_2^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ in (4.6) satisfies

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) &= \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}[G] : x_{g_1} = x_{g_2} \quad \text{if } H(g)g_1 = H(g)g_2\right\}, \\ \text{Nullity}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) &= \frac{|G|}{|H(g)|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H(g)$ is a subgroup of G defined by

$$H(g) := \langle g^2 \rangle = \{g^{2n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof. Since the proof is rather lengthy, we leave its proof in the following two subsections. \square

4.2. Proof of the first assertion. In this subsection, we verify the proof of the first part of Theorem 4.1 in two steps.

• (Step A): For a fixed $g \in G$, we define the manifold $\mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ as

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) := \left\{ Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c A^g (y^i)^T = y^i A^g (y^c)^T, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \right\}.$$

Then, we claim:

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G]) = \bigcap_{g \in G} \mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G]),$$

Proof of (4.9): By definition of A^g in (4.2), one has

$$y^c (y^i)^\dagger = \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} y_{g_1}^c \overline{y_{g_2}^i} g_1 g_2^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{g_1 g_2^{-1} = g} y_{g_1}^c \overline{y_{g_2}^i} \right) g = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} y_{g_1}^c [A^g]_{g_1 g_2} \overline{y_{g_2}^i} \right) g.$$

This yields

$$\left(y^c (y^i)^\dagger \right)_g = \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} y_{g_1}^c [A^g]_{g_1 g_2} \overline{y_{g_2}^i}.$$

By treating y^i and y^c as row vectors, one has

$$(4.10) \quad y^c A^g (y^i)^\dagger = \left(y^c (y^i)^\dagger \right)_g.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.11) \quad y^i A^g (y^c)^\dagger = \left(y^i (y^c)^\dagger \right)_g.$$

Therefore, the relations (4.10) and (4.11) yield

$$y^c (y^i)^\dagger = y^i (y^c)^\dagger \iff y^c A^g (y^i)^\dagger = y^i A^g (y^c)^\dagger, \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Finally, we verify (4.9):

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G]) &= \left\{ \{y^i\} \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c A^g (y^i)^\dagger = y^i A^g (y^c)^\dagger, \quad \forall g \in G, \forall i = 1, \dots, N \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{g \in G} \left\{ \{y^i\} \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c A^g (y^i)^\dagger = y^i A^g (y^c)^\dagger, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{g \in G} \mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G]). \end{aligned}$$

Before we proceed further, we give a comment on the representation (4.12) for system (1.4) on $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$.

Consider system (1.4) defined on $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$. In this case, due to (3.8), one has

$$A^g = A^{g^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad x^i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then, we use the above relations and $x^c A^g (x^i)^T \in \mathbb{R}$ to get

$$x^c A^g (x^i)^\dagger = x^c A^g (x^i)^T = (x^c A^g (x^i)^T)^T = x^i (A^g)^T (x^c)^T = x^i A^{g^{-1}} (x^c)^T = x^i A^g (x^c)^\dagger.$$

Hence $X \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G])$.

• (Step B): We further analyze the set $\mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ as follows. Suppose that $Y \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G])$. Then, one has

$$(4.13) \quad y^c A^g (y^i)^T = y^i A^g (y^c)^T \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Since each component is real-valued, we can use a transpose instead of \dagger . Then, we use the conditions (4.13) to see

$$y^c A^g (y^i)^T = y^i A^g (y^c)^T = (y^i A^g (y^c)^T)^T = y^c (A^g)^T (y^i)^T.$$

This yields

$$y^c (A^g - (A^g)^T) (y^i)^T = 0$$

for all $g \in G$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$.

It follows from the second identity in Lemma 4.1 that

$$y^c (A^g - (A^g)^T) (y^i)^T = 0 \implies y^c (A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) (y^i)^T = 0.$$

Thus, the set $\mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ in (4.8) can be rewritten as

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) := \left\{ Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c (A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) (y^i)^T = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \right\}.$$

Now we can decompose $\mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ as a disjoint union of three sets:

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{E}^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{R}[G]) \sqcup \mathcal{E}_1^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) \sqcup \mathcal{E}_2^g(\mathbb{R}[G]).$$

Finally, we combine (4.9) and (4.15) to see

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}[G]) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{R}[G]) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{a \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\bigcap_{g \in G} \mathcal{E}_{a_g}^g(\mathbb{R}[G]) \right) \right),$$

where the index set $\mathcal{I} = \{a \in \mathbb{R}(G) : a_g = 1 \text{ or } 2 \quad \forall g \in G\}$.

4.3. Proof of the second assertion. Our second statement in Theorem 4.1 is concerned with the structure of $\text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}})$ appearing in the set $\mathcal{E}_1^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ of (4.6).

Recall the subgroup $H(g)$:

$$H(g) := \langle g^2 \rangle = \{g^{2n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and we claim: for $g \in G$,

$$(i) \quad \text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}(G) : x_{g_1} = x_{g_2} \quad \text{for } g_1 \text{ and } g_2 \text{ such that } H(g)g_1 = H(g)g_2 \right\}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Nullity}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) = \frac{|G|}{|H(g)|}.$$

• (Derivation of (i)): Let $g \in G$ be any, and suppose that $x \in \text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}})$:

$$(A^g - A^{g^{-1}})x = 0_G.$$

Then, g_1 -th component of above relation is

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{g_2 \in G} [A^g - A^{g^{-1}}]_{g_1, g_2} x_{g_2} = 0.$$

By definition of A^g in (4.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad \sum_{g_2 \in G} \left[A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right]_{g_1, g_2} x_{g_2} &= \sum_{g_2 \in G} \left(\delta_{g, g_1 g_2^{-1}} - \delta_{g^{-1}, g_1 g_2^{-1}} \right) x_{g_2} \\
 &= \sum_{g_2 \in G} \left(\delta_{g_2, g^{-1} g_1} - \delta_{g_2, g g_1} \right) x_{g_2} = x_{g^{-1} g_1} - x_{g g_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.16) and (4.17) that

$$x_{g^{-1} g_1} = x_{g g_1} \quad \forall g_1 \in G.$$

This yields

$$(4.18) \quad x_{g_1} = x_{g^2 g_1} \quad \forall g_1 \in G.$$

Hence, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.19) \quad x \in \text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) &\iff x_{g_1} = x_{g^2 g_1} \quad \forall g_1 \in G \\
 &\iff x_{g_1} = x_{g^{2k} g_1} \quad \forall g_1 \in G, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that

$$(4.20) \quad H(g)g_1 = H(g)g_2 \iff g_2 = (g^2)^k g_1 \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, it follows from (4.19) and (4.20) that

$$(4.21) \quad x \in \text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) \iff x_{g_1} = x_{g_2} \quad \text{for } g_1, g_2 \text{ such that } H(g)g_1 = H(g)g_2.$$

which verifies the first estimate (i).

• (Derivation of the estimate (ii)): By the relation (4.21), if $x \in \text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right)$, then the coefficients in the same right coset of $H(g)$ must be the same. Hence, the dimension M of $\text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right)$ must be the same with the number of distinct right cosets of $H(g)$, i.e., we can choose a subset $\{\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_M\}$ of G with following property:

$$H\tilde{g}_i \neq H\tilde{g}_j$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq M$. Since each right coset has the same cardinality (by Theorem of Lagrange), one has

$$M = \frac{|G|}{|H(g)|}.$$

This verifies the second estimate (ii).

4.4. Application to the cyclic group. In this subsection, we further analyze the detailed structure of an equilibrium set for the cyclic group $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$. As a direct corollary of the argument in the proof of the second statement of Theorem 4.1, one has the following assertions with the cyclic group $G = \mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let \mathbb{Z}_n be a cyclic group with order n . (i.e. $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$). Then, the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, then we have*

$$\text{Nullity} \left(A^{\bar{m}} - A^{\bar{m}^{-1}} \right) = \frac{n}{|\langle 2\bar{m} \rangle|} = \gcd(2m, n).$$

(2) If $n = p \geq 3$ and p is a prime, then we have

$$\text{Nullity} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{when } g = \bar{0}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a later use, we also present the following useful lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a finite group. Then, for $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has*

$$(4.22) \quad \text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) \subseteq \text{Null} \left(A^{g^n} - A^{g^{-n}} \right).$$

Proof. By symmetry in (4.22), it suffices to consider the case when $n \geq 0$.

- Case A ($n = 0$): In this case, the estimate (4.22) is trivially true since

$$A^{g^0} - A^{g^0} = O \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Null} \left(A^{g^0} - A^{g^0} \right) = \mathbb{R}[G].$$

- Case B ($n = 1$): Again, this relation is tautology.

- Case C ($n \geq 2$): it follows from (4.3)₃ in Lemma 4.1 (ii) that

$$\left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) \left(A^{g^{n-1}} + \dots + A^g + A^{g^0} + A^{g^{-1}} + \dots + A^{g^{-(n-1)}} \right) = A^{g^n} - A^{g^{-n}}.$$

If $x \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) = 0_G$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \left(A^{g^n} - A^{g^{-n}} \right) &= x \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) \left(A^{g^{n-1}} + \dots + A^g + A^{g^0} + A^{g^{-1}} + \dots + A^{g^{-(n-1)}} \right) \\ &= 0_G. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired relation. \square

Next, we further investigate the structure of the equilibrium manifold for the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p with a prime order $p \geq 3$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that one has the following corollary.

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathbb{Z}_p be the cyclic group with prime order $p \geq 3$. Then, for all $g, \tilde{g} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{e\}$,*

$$\text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) = \text{Null} \left(A^{\tilde{g}} - A^{\tilde{g}^{-1}} \right).$$

Proof. Let $g, \tilde{g} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{e\}$. By the property of \mathbb{Z}_p , g and \tilde{g} are generators of \mathbb{Z}_p . This means there exist two integer n, \tilde{n} such that

$$g^n = \tilde{g}, \quad \tilde{g}^{\tilde{n}} = g.$$

Now we apply Lemma 4.2 to obtain

$$\text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) \subseteq \text{Null} \left(A^{\tilde{g}} - A^{\tilde{g}^{-1}} \right), \quad \text{Null} \left(A^{\tilde{g}} - A^{\tilde{g}^{-1}} \right) \subseteq \text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right).$$

This yields the desired estimate. \square

Remark 4.2. *By (4.7)₂, we can also find the explicit form of the null space of $A^g - A^{g^{-1}}$:*

$$\text{Null} \left(A^g - A^{g^{-1}} \right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}(G), & \text{if } g = e, \\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}(G) : x_{\tilde{g}} = a \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \tilde{g} \in G\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}})$ does not depend on $g \in G$, $\mathcal{E}_1^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ defined in (4.6) also does not depend on $g \in G$. This implies that, if $\{y^i\} \in \mathcal{E}_1^g(\mathbb{R}[G])$ for $g \neq e$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{Y} := \{y^i\} \in \mathcal{E}_1^{\tilde{g}}(\mathbb{R}[G])$ for all $\tilde{g} \in G \setminus \{e\}$.

Based on (3.2) and Remark 4.2, we define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &:= \left\{ Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c \in \text{Null}(A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) \quad \forall g \in G \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C} &:= \bigcap_{g \in G} \left\{ \{Y \in \mathbb{R}[G]^N : y^c (A^g - A^{g^{-1}})(y^i)^T = 0\} \setminus \mathcal{L} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\{y^i\} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There exists $(|G| - 1)$ -dimensional hyperplane containing $\{y^i\}$.*
- (2) *There exists a $(|G| - 2)$ -dimensional sphere centered at 0_G containing $\{y^i\}$.*

Proof. Let $\{y^i\} \in \mathcal{C}$ and fix $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$. Since $\{y^i\} \notin \mathcal{L}$, we know

$$v(Y, g) := x^c (A^g - A^{g^{-1}}) \neq 0_G.$$

By definition of \mathcal{C} , we have

$$v(Y, g)(y^i)^T = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$

This means that y^i is orthogonal to non-zero vector $v(Y, g)$, and hence there exists $(|G| - 1)$ -dimensional hyperplane which contains $\{y^i\}$ and origin 0_G . Moreover, we can also see that there exists $(|G| - 2)$ -dimensional sphere centered at 0_G containing $\{y^i\}$ (see Figure 2). \square




FIGURE 2. Schematic diagram of the set \mathcal{C}

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied how the aggregation dynamics on a group ring can interplay with the group structure. For this, we have proposed a first-order aggregation model on group ring, and showed that the flow generated by the proposed model approaches to the equilibrium manifold for all initial data in a positive coupling regime. Our convergence result is based on the Lyapunov functional approach and the LaSalle invariance principle. Unfortunately, we cannot guarantee whether the flow will converge to some equilibrium along the full flow, since we do not have enough information on the explicit structure of the equilibrium manifold. In a full generic setting, we can decompose the equilibrium manifold as a disjoint union of three submanifolds. The geometric structure of one of such manifold depends on the group structure. For a cyclic group with prime order, we explicit analyzed the structures of the equilibrium sets. There are several issues that we do not consider here. For example, we did not show whether the flow can converge to some equilibrium along the full flow, i.e., we did not exclude a possibility of limit cycle in current analysis. Moreover, our convergence rate to the equilibrium manifold is also not explicit. Our proposed model is only for the aggregate modeling of identical particles. Thus, how to incorporate non-identical particles in our current modeling is also an interesting open question. We leave these interesting problems for a future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Acebron, J. A., Bonilla, L. L., Pérez Vicente, C. J. P., Ritort, F. and Spigler, R.: *The Kuramoto model: A simple paradigm for synchronization phenomena*. Rev. Mod. Phys. **77** (2005), 137-185.
- [2] Albi, G., Bellomo, N., Fermo, L., Ha, S.-Y., Kim, J., Pareschi, L., Poyato, D. and Soler, J.: *Vehicular traffic, crowds and swarms: From kinetic theory and multiscale methods to applications and research perspectives*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **29** (2019), 1901-2005.
- [3] Aydoğdu, A., McQuade, S. T. and Duteil, N. P.: *Opinion dynamics on a general compact Riemannian manifold*. Netw. Heterog. Media **12** (2017), 489-523.
- [4] Benedetto, D., Caglioti, E. and Montemagno, U.: *On the complete phase synchronization for the Kuramoto model in the mean-field limit*. Commun. Math. Sci. **13** (2015), 1775-1786.
- [5] Bronski, J., Carty, T. and Simpson, S.: *A matrix valued Kuramoto model*. J. Stat. Phys. **178** (2020), 595-624.
- [6] Buck, J. and Buck, E.: *Biology of synchronous flashing of fireflies*. Nature **211** (1966), 562.
- [7] Chi, D., Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Emergent behaviors of a holonomic particle system on a sphere*. J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014), 052703.
- [8] Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Quantum synchronization of the Schrödinger-Lohe model*. J. Phys. A: Mathematical and Theoretical **47** (2014), 355104.
- [9] Choi, S.-H. and Ha, S.-Y.: *Complete entrainment of Lohe oscillators under attractive and repulsive couplings*. SIAM. J. App. Dyn. **13** (2013), 1417-1441.
- [10] Choi, Y., Ha, S.-Y., Jung, S. and Kim, Y.: *Asymptotic formation and orbital stability of phase-locked states for the Kuramoto model*. Physica D **241** (2012), 735-754.
- [11] Chopra, N. and Spong, M. W.: *On exponential synchronization of Kuramoto oscillators*. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control **54** (2009), 353-357.
- [12] Cucker, F. and Smale, S.: *Emergent behavior in flocks*. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **52** (2007), 852-862.
- [13] Degond, P., Frouvelle, A., Merino-Aceituno, S., Trescases, A.: *Quaternions in collective dynamics*. Multiscale Model. Simul. **16** (2018), 28-77.
- [14] Degond, P., Frouvelle, A., Merino-Aceituno, S.: *A new flocking model through body attitude coordination*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **27** (2017), 1005-1049.
- [15] Degond, P. and Motsch, S.: *Large-scale dynamics of the persistent turing walker model of fish behavior*. J. Stat. Phys. **131** (2008), 989-1022.
- [16] DeVille, L.: *Synchronization and stability for quantum Kuramoto*. J. Stat. Phys. **174** (2019), 160-187.

- [17] Dong, J.-G. and Xue, X.: *Synchronization analysis of Kuramoto oscillators*. Commun. Math. Sci. **11** (2013), 465-480.
- [18] Dörfler, F. and Bullo, F.: *Synchronization in complex networks of phase oscillators: A survey*. Automatica **50** (2014), 1539-1564.
- [19] Ferrante, E., Turgut, A. E., Stranieri, A., Pinciroli, C. and Dorigo, M.: *Self-organized flocking with a mobile robot swarm: a novel motion control method*. Adapt. Behav. **20** (2012), 460-477.
- [20] Fetecau, R. C., Park, H. and Patacchini, F. S.: *Well-posedness and asymptotic behaviour of an aggregation model with intrinsic interactions on sphere and other manifolds*. Submitted.
- [21] Fetecau, R. C. and Zhang, B.: *Self-organization on Riemannian manifolds*. J. Geom. Mech. **11** (2019), 397-426.
- [22] Ha, S.-Y., Kim, H. W. and Ryoo, S. W.: *Emergence of phase-locked states for the Kuramoto model in a large coupling regime*. Commun. Math. Sci. **14** (2016), 1073-1091.
- [23] Ha, S.-Y., Ko, D., Park, J. and Zhang, X.: *Collective synchronization of classical and quantum oscillators*. To appear in EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences **3** (2016), 209-267.
- [24] Ha, S.-Y. and Park, H.: *On the Schrödinger-Lohe hierarchy for aggregation and its emergent dynamics*. To appear in J. Stat. Phys.
- [25] Ha, S.-Y. and Park, H.: *Emergent behaviors of the generalized Lohe matrix model*. To appear in Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B.
- [26] Ha, S.-Y. and Park, H.: *Complete aggregation of the Lohe tensor model with the same free flow*. J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), 102702.
- [27] Ha, S.-Y. and Park, H.: *Emergent behaviors of Lohe tensor flock*. J. Stat. Phys. **178** (2020), 1268-1292.
- [28] Ha, S.-Y. and Ryoo, S.W.: *On the emergence and orbital Stability of phase-locked states for the Lohe model*. J. Stat. Phys. **163** (2016), 411-439.
- [29] Jaćimović, V. and Crnković, A.: *Low-dimensional dynamics in non-Abelian Kuramoto model on the 3-sphere*. Chaos **28** (2018), 083105.
- [30] Kuramoto, Y.: *International symposium on mathematical problems in mathematical physics*. Lecture Notes Theor. Phys. **30** (1975), 420.
- [31] LaSalle, J. P.: *Stability theory for ordinary differential equations*. J. Differential Equations **4** (1968), 57-65.
- [32] Lohe, M. A.: *Quantum synchronization over quantum networks*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010), 465301.
- [33] Lohe, M. A.: *Non-abelian Kuramoto model and synchronization*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009), 395101.
- [34] Markdahl, J.: *Synchronization on Riemannian manifolds: Multiply connected implies multistable*. Available in <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.07452>
- [35] Markdahl, J., Thunberg, J. and Goncalves, J.: *Almost global consensus on the n-sphere*. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **63** (2018), 1664-1675.
- [36] Olfati-Saber, R.: *Flocking for multi-agent dynamic systems: algorithms and theory*. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr. **51** (2006), 401-420.
- [37] Passman, D. S.: *What is a group ring?* Amer. Math. Monthly **83** (1976), 173-185.
- [38] Passman, D. S.: *Infinite group rings*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971.
- [39] Peskin, C. S.: *Mathematical aspects of heart physiology*. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 1975.
- [40] Pikovsky, A., Rosenblum, M. and Kurths, J.: *Synchronization: A universal concept in nonlinear sciences*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [41] Sarlette, A. and Sepulchre, R.: *Consensus optimization on manifolds*. SIAM J. Control Optim. **48** (2009), 56-76.
- [42] Strogatz, S. H.: *From Kuramoto to Crawford: exploring the onset of synchronization in populations of coupled oscillators*. Physica D **143** (2000), 1-20.
- [43] Thunberg, J., Markdahl, J., Bernard, F. and Goncalves, J.: *A lifting method for analyzing distributed synchronization on the unit sphere*. Automatica J. IFAC **96** (2018), 253-258.
- [44] Toner, J. and Tu, Y.: *Flocks, herds, and schools: A quantitative theory of flocking*. Phys. Rev. E **58** (1998), 4828-4858.
- [45] Topaz, C. M. and Bertozzi, A. L.: *Swarming patterns in a two-dimensional kinematic model for biological groups*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **65** (2004), 152-174.

- [46] Tron, R., Afsari, B. and Vidal, R.: *Riemannian consensus for manifolds with bounded curvature*. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr. **58** (2013), 921–934.
- [47] Vicsek, T., Czirók, A., Ben-Jacob, E., Cohen, I. and Schochet, O.: *Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995)., 1226-1229.
- [48] Vicsek, T. and Zefeiris, A.: *Collective motion*. Phys. Rep. **517** (2012), 71-140.
- [49] Winfree, A. T.: *Biological rhythms and the behavior of populations of coupled oscillators*. J. Theor. Biol. **16** (1967), 15-42.
- [50] Winfree, A. T.: *The geometry of biological time*. Springer, New York, 1980.
- [51] Zhu, J.: *Synchronization of Kuramoto model in a high-dimensional linear space* Physics Letters A **377** (2013), 2939-2943.

(Seung-Yeal Ha)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826 AND
 KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, HOEGIRO 85, 02455, SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: syha@snu.ac.kr

(Hansol Park)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
 SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: hansol960612@snu.ac.kr