

Reflection Identities of Harmonic Sums and pole decomposition of BFKL eigenvalue

Mohammad Joubat^(a) and Alex Prygarin^(b)

^(a) Department of Mathematics, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel

^(b) Department of Physics, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel

Abstract

We analyze known results of next-to-next-to-leading (NNLO) singlet BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM written in terms of harmonic sums. The nested harmonic sums building known NNLO BFKL eigenvalue for specific values of the conformal spin have poles at negative integers. We sort the harmonic sums according to the complexity with respect to their weight and depth and use their pole decomposition in terms of the reflection identities to find the most complicated terms of NNLO BFKL eigenvalue for an arbitrary value of the conformal spin. The obtained result is compatible with the Bethe-Salpeter approach to the BFKL evolution.

1 Introduction

In the present study we continue to discuss the eigenvalue of the Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation [1] in $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory. Despite the fact that the maximally supersymmetric $N = 4$ SYM is a more complicated theory than Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), the calculations and results in $N = 4$ SYM are often much simpler than that of QCD. The traditional techniques of loop calculations using Feynman diagrams are of rapidly increasing complexity with each loop order suggesting new and more advanced techniques to be developed for the perturbative calculations. Recent developments in the integrability approach (see a review by Alfimov, Gromov and Kazakov [2]) allowed to calculate the next-to-next-to-leading (NLO) order color singlet BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM in different regions of parameters (conformal spin and anomalous dimension). However, the full closed functional form of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue is still to be found. The leading order (LO) and the next-to-leading (NLO) order BFKL eigenvalue in QCD in the arbitrary color configuration is known for many years [3]. In $N = 4$ SYM the leading order BFKL eigenvalue coincides (up to coupling constant redefinition) with the QCD expression, while

the NLO eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM is built of the most complicated functions of the corresponding QCD result. The last fact is known as the property of *maximal transcendentality* formulated by Kotikov and Lipatov [4, 5] that allows to significantly simplify calculations as we show in the present paper.

The main goal of our study is to analyze the most complicated part of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue based on the available results and to derive its explicit functional form for arbitrary values of the conformal spin and the anomalous dimension. We use the pole decomposition of the cross products of harmonic sums of conjugate arguments and the reflection identities to reconstruct the full functional form of the most complicated part of the color singlet NNLO BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we discuss the of state of art of the perturbative calculations of the BFKL eigenvalue and define the harmonic sums. In the next section we show how the color singlet NLO BFKL eigenvalue for specific values of conformal spin ($n = 0$ and $n = 1$) can be decomposed using the reflection identities of harmonic sums into separate pieces having poles on either negative or non-negative values of the complex argument $z = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$. We explain the importance of this decomposition and compare our results to known expressions. The Conclusions and Discussions section summarizes the main results. The relevant reflection identities as well as some other technical details of our calculations are presented in Appendix.

2 BFKL eigenvalue and harmonic sums

In this section we follow the lines of works of Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6], Caron-Huot and Herranen [7], and Alfimov, Gromov and Sizov [9] and discuss how that the known leading order (LO) and the next-to-leading order (NLO) BFKL eigenvalues in $N = 4$ SYM can be expressed in terms of the nested harmonic sums analytically continued from positive integer values of the argument to the complex plane.

The nested harmonic sums are defined [10, 11, 12, 13] as nested summation for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$S_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k}(n) = \sum_{n \geq i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{\text{sign}(a_1)^{i_1}}{i_1^{|a_1|}} \dots \frac{\text{sign}(a_k)^{i_k}}{i_k^{|a_k|}} \quad (1)$$

We are interested only in harmonic sums with real integer values of a_i ,

which build the alphabet of the possible negative and positive indices and uniquely label them. For a given harmonic sum $S_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k}(n)$ the index k denotes the *depth* while $w = \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|$ is called the *weight* of the harmonic sum

The harmonic sums $S_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n}(z)$ can be analytically continued from integers values of the argument to the complex plane as discussed by Kotikov and Velizhanin [14]. There are two different analytic continuations of the harmonic sums to the complex plane *a)* the analytic continuation from the even integer values of the argument and *b)* the analytic continuation from the odd integer values. We follow the authors of Ref. [6] and use the analytic continuation of the harmonic sums from the even integer values of the argument, which is implemented in their dedicated Mathematica package. After the analytic continuation all the corresponding meromorphic functions *the same pole structure*, namely, poles at the negative integer values of the argument and the maximal pole order equals the weight of the corresponding harmonic sum.

The BFKL eigenvalue is the function of two transverse degrees of freedom that originates from the longitudinal and transverse momenta separation in the multi-Regge kinematics. The BFKL eigenvalue explicitly depends on the complex variable

$$z = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\nu}{2} + \frac{n}{2}, \quad (2)$$

that is obtained from the two dimensional Mellin transform of the BFKL amplitude. Here ν is the real continuous variable that is related to the anomalous dimension of twist-2 operators, while n takes values of real integers and has a meaning of conformal spin. The harmonic sums $S_\alpha(z)$ have poles at imaginary integer values of ν , namely

$$\nu = i(2k + n - 1) \quad (3)$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. It should be emphasized that all harmonic sums of the complex conjugate variable \bar{z} are finite at the values of ν given in eq. (3). This rather simple statement allows us to develop a powerful technique of pole decomposition based the fact that only a limited set of the transcendental constants can emerge at any given weight. It should be mentioned that our method only a slight modification of the one implemented by Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] in their Mathematica package in calculating

the next-to-next-to-leading (NNLO) order singlet BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM. Our modification comes the explicit calculation of the reflection identities up to weight five, which effectively decompose the product of two harmonic sums $s_{\{\alpha\}}(z)s_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ with mixed pole structure into a sum of terms that have separately poles at either right or left semiplanes of the complex z plane. This can be schematically depicted as follows

$$s_{\{\alpha\}}(z)s_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z}) = \sum_{\{\gamma\}} a_{\{\gamma\}}s_{\{\gamma\}}(z) + \sum_{\{\lambda\}} b_{\{\gamma\}}s_{\{\lambda\}}(\bar{z}), \quad (4)$$

where the summation is performed over all possible letter configurations of harmonic sums at given weight including the harmonic sums at lower weights times the corresponding transcendental constants, and the coefficients $a_{\{\gamma\}}$ and $b_{\{\gamma\}}$ are rational numbers.

The BFKL eigenvalue $\omega(z, \bar{z})$ in $N = 4$ SYM in the singlet color configuration can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(z, \bar{z}) &= \omega_0(z, \bar{z}) + \omega_1(z, \bar{z}) + \omega_2(z, \bar{z}) + \dots \\ &= 4a \left(g_0(z, \bar{z}) + a g_1(z, \bar{z}) + a^2 g_2(z, \bar{z}) + \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $a = \frac{g^2 N_c}{16\pi^2}$, $\omega_0(z, \bar{z}) = 4a g_0(z, \bar{z})$ is the leading-order (LO) BFKL eigenvalue, $\omega_1(z, \bar{z}) = 4a^2 g_1(z, \bar{z})$ is the next-to-leading-order (NLO) BFKL eigenvalue and, finally, $\omega_2(z, \bar{z}) = 4a^3 g_2(z, \bar{z})$ is the next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) BFKL eigenvalue. In the present paper we limit ourselves to the NNLO order, though our analysis can be extended to higher orders as well.

The known LO and NLO eigenvalues in $N = 4$ SYM [3, 4, 5] were originally derived in terms of polygamma functions and their generalizations and read

$$g_0(z, \bar{z}) = 2(2\psi(1) - \psi(z+1) - \psi(\bar{z}+1)) \quad (6)$$

and

$$g_1(z, \bar{z}) = \Phi(z+1) + \Phi(\bar{z}+1) - g_0(z, \bar{z}) \left(\beta'(z+1) + \beta'(\bar{z}+1) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right), \quad (7)$$

where the functions $\Phi(z)$ and $\beta'(z)$ are given by

$$\beta'(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{(z+r)^2} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\Phi(z) = 3\zeta(3) + \psi''(z) + 2\Phi_2(z) + 2\beta'(z)(\psi(1) - \psi(z)) \quad (9)$$

in terms of $\Phi_2(z)$ defined as follows

$$\Phi_2(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta'(k+1) + (-1)^k \psi'(k+1)}{k+z} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\psi(k+1) - \psi(1))}{(k+z)^2}. \quad (10)$$

All functions building eq. (6) and eq. (7) are meromorphic functions that have the same pole structure, namely, all of them have poles at negative integer values of the argument, where the maximal pole order is limited by the order of the perturbative expansion, simple pole in eq. (6) for one loop and third order pole in eq. (7) for two loops. In general, for any given order L of the perturbative expansion the maximal order of the poles of the functions building the corresponding BFKL eigenvalue is given by $2L - 1$. For example, at the three loop perturbative order (NNLO eigenvalue) all functions are limited to the fifth order pole at most. This fact is related to the *maximal transcendentality principle* formulated by Kotikov and Lipatov [4, 5] for anomalous dimension for twist-2 operators and restricts the complexity of the underlying functions. Despite the fact that this statement is still lacking a rigorous proof, it is natural that at any given loop order of the perturbative expansion the number of the nested integrations is limited by the loop order itself. As a result this limits the complexity of the resulting functions and their pole structure.

The leading order (LO) and the next-to-leading (NLO) order BFKL eigenvalues in $N = 4$ SYM given in eq. (6) and eq. (7) can be written in terms of harmonic sums as follows

$$g_0(z, \bar{z}) = -2(S_1(z) + S_1(\bar{z})) \quad (11)$$

and

$$g_1(z, \bar{z}) = \Phi(z+1) + \Phi(\bar{z}+1) - 2[S_1(z) + S_1(\bar{z})][S_{-2}(z) + S_{-2}(\bar{z})], \quad (12)$$

where the functions $\Phi(z)$ defined in eq. (9) in terms of the harmonic sums reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z+1) &= 4S_{1,-2}(z) - 2S_{-3}(z) + 2S_3(z) + \frac{\pi^2}{3}S_1(z) \\ &= -4S_{-2,1}(z) + 2S_{-3}(z) + \frac{\pi^2}{3}S_1(z) + 4S_{-2}(z)S_1(z) + 2S_3(z) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In eq. (12) and eq. (13) the harmonic sums are analytically continued from even integer values of the argument to the complex plane. The analytic continuation from odd integers results into a slightly different expressions, which are beyond the scope of the present paper. In the next section we use the reflection identities for harmonic sums to show how the cross products $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ can be pole decomposed into two separate pieces $F(z)$ and $F(\bar{z})$ for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, reproducing the corresponding results of Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6], Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] and Caron-Huot [8] .

3 Pole Decomposition of BFKL eigenvalue

In this section we demonstrate how the pole decomposition of the BFKL eigenvalue can be done using the reflection identities of harmonic sums derived by the authors [16, 20, 21].

The NLO BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM in the color singlet channel is given by eq. (7). It can be written in terms of the analytically continued harmonic sums as follows (see eq. (12))

$$g_1(z, \bar{z}) = \Phi(z + 1) + \Phi(\bar{z} + 1) - 2[S_1(z) + S_1(\bar{z})][S_{-2}(z) + S_{-2}(\bar{z})] \quad (14)$$

where the functions $\Phi(z)$ defined in eq. (9) in terms of the harmonic sums reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z + 1) &= 4S_{1,-2}(z) - 2S_{-3}(z) + 2S_3(z) + \frac{\pi^2}{3}S_1(z) \\ &= -4S_{-2,1}(z) + 2S_{-3}(z) + \frac{\pi^2}{3}S_1(z) + 4S_{-2}(z)S_1(z) + 2S_3(z) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The first line of eq. (15) is in so-called linear basis, whereas the second line is presented in the non-linear functional basis. Both linear and non-linear basis are equivalent and the transition between the two can be easily done using quasi-shuffle identities of the harmonic sums. The quasi shuffle identities are implemented in *Harmonic Sums* package [15]. The linear basis allows to use the reflection identities in a more efficient way, this is the major reason for choosing the linear basis for our analysis. Only the last term has the mixed pole structure due to the product of two functions: one of argument z and the another one of argument \bar{z} , namely

$$S_1(z)S_{-2}(\bar{z}) + S_1(\bar{z})S_{-2}(z). \quad (16)$$

Let us take a close look at $S_1(z)S_{-2}(\bar{z})$ for $n = 0$, where z and \bar{z} are not independent anymore and related by $\bar{z} = -1 - z$. The expression

$$S_1(z)S_{-2}(-1 - z) \quad (17)$$

has poles at both positive and negative integers as well as at zero, while $S_1(z)$ has poles only at negative integer values of z and $S_{-2}(-1 - z)$ has poles at non-negative integer values of z . We use the reflection identity [16]

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z)S_{-2}(-1 - z) &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \log(2) + \frac{3\zeta(3)}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 S_{-1}(-1 - z) - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 S_{-1}(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 S_1(-1 - z) - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 S_1(z) + S_{-2,1}(z) + S_{1,-2}(-1 - z) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

to decompose the product into two pieces, one with poles in the left semi-plane and the another one in the right semi-plane of the complex z plane. Adding the symmetric expression $S_1(\bar{z})S_{-2}(z)$ we write the whole cross term as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z)S_{-2}(-1 - z) + S_1(-1 - z)S_{-2}(z) &= -\pi^2 \ln 2 + \frac{3}{2}\zeta(3) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi^2}{2} (S_{-1}(z) + S_{-1}(-1 - z)) + S_{-2,1}(z) + S_{-2,1}(-1 - z) \\ &\quad + S_{1,-2}(z) + S_{1,-2}(-1 - z) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Plugging this into eq. (14) and using the quasi-shuffle identity for harmonic sums

$$S_{1,-2}(z) = S_{-3}(z) + S_{-2}(z)S_1(z) - S_{-2,1}(z) \quad (20)$$

we obtain the full expression for the NLO BFKL singlet eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM for $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z, -1 - z) &= -4S_{-2,1}(z) - 4S_{-2,1}(-1 - z) + \pi^2 S_{-1}(z) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} S_1(z) \\ &\quad + 2S_3(z) + \pi^2 S_{-1}(-1 - z) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} S_1(-1 - z) \\ &\quad + 2S_3(-1 - z) - 3\zeta(3) + 2\pi^2 \ln 2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This expression is manifestly symmetrical with respect to $z \leftrightarrow \bar{z}$ and can be compactly written as a sum of two terms

$$g_1(z, \bar{z})^{n=0} = F_2^{n=0}(z) + F_2^{n=0}(\bar{z}), \quad (22)$$

where the function $F_2^{n=0}(z)$ reproduces the function introduced by Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] (see eq. (4) in their paper) and it is given by

$$F_2^{n=0}(z) = -\frac{3}{2}\zeta(3) + \pi^2 \ln 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}S_1(z) + 2S_3(z) + \pi^2 S_{-1}(z) - 4S_{-2,1}(z) \quad (23)$$

It should be emphasized that we do not present an alternative way to that of Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] who calculated this expression by direct pole decomposition. Instead, we show that the reflection identities based on the techniques used by in Ref. [6] can give clear picture of separating the whole expression into two equal pieces for any given value of the conformal spin n . It also works in the opposite direction, namely, the power of reflection identities is in restoring the full functional dependence on z and \bar{z} from the decomposed expression in eq. (22). In going back from eq. (22) to the full NLO expression in eq. (7) we need to consider all possible cross terms at weight three of the type $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ and then decompose them using the reflection identities calculated by one of the authors in Ref. [16].

In a similar way we decompose the NLO BFKL eigenvalue in eq. (7) for $n = 1$ as follows

$$g_1(z, \bar{z})^{n=1} = F_2^{n=1}(z) + F_2^{n=1}(\bar{z}), \quad (24)$$

where $F_2^{n=1}(z)$ is calculated using the reflection identities for harmonic sums (see Appendix A) and reads

$$F_2^{n=1}(z) = -\frac{2S_1(z)}{z^2} + \frac{2S_{-2}(z)}{z} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 S_1(z) + 2S_3(z) + \zeta(3) \quad (25)$$

The expression in eq. (24) reproduces result of Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] of the NLO BFKL eigenvalue for $n = 1$ (see eq. (C4) of their paper).

The full expression for the BFKL eigenvalue at any order is a functions of only two variables $z = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\nu}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$ and $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\nu}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$. It is useful to consider two cases of either $z + \bar{z} = -1 + n$ or $z - \bar{z} = i\nu$ kept fixed. In the present study we focus on $z + \bar{z} = -1 + n$ case for specific values of n , namely $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ for which $\bar{z} = -1 - z$ and $\bar{z} = -z$ respectively. In this case it natural to reduce two dimensional problem of z and \bar{z} dependence to a problem where the full expression depends on either only z or \bar{z} . This rather simple statement regarding any representation similar to that of eq. (23) allows us to reformulate the problem of finding the full expression for the

BFKL eigenvalue in the following way. Our claim is that knowing $F_L^n(z)$ at given loop order L for any two specific values of n is enough to restore the full functional dependence of the BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM at that loop order. The additional information one should have is about the space of functions and the relations between the cross terms of the type $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ for those two specific values of n . Fortunately, the harmonic sums build the complete basis of functions of one variable¹ and the reflection identities provide all possible relations between the cross terms for any two specific values of n . The reflections identities for $n = 0$ are all known up to weight five needed for the loop eigenvalue, while the complete set of them for $n = 1$ is still to be calculated. Our statement is based on the factorization assumption that any function of two variables z and \bar{z} in the eigenvalue can be written in terms of a product of two harmonic sums $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)$ and $S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$. The factorization assumption follows from the holomorphic separability property of the BFKL eigenvalue, which in its turn originates from the Bethe-Salpeter approach to the BFKL evolution introduced by Kotikov and Lipatov [4, 5](see also Ref. [17]).

In the next section we show how one can restore the most complicated part of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue in the singlet channel in $N = 4$ SYM using the factorization assumption and the reflection identities of the harmonic sums.

4 Restoring NNLO eigenvalue using shifted reflection identities

In this section we show how one can restore the most complicated part of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue using reflection identities for harmonic sums. We use NNLO expression for $n = 0$ by Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] as a starting point in our analysis. As it was already mentioned in the previous section it is not enough to use only the $n = 0$ expression for the NNLO eigenvalue and we need to supplement with some additional information. The natural choice would be to make use of the $n = 1$ expression for the NNLO eigenvalue by Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] as it was independently

¹It is worth mentioning that the harmonic sums form over-complete basis due to the quasi shuffle identities in eq. (4) between them. The redundancy is removed by choosing either linear or non-linear basis. Our analysis is based on linear basis, which does not include bilinear, trilinear etc. terms of harmonic sums of the same argument.

derived using a different technique. However, we prefer to use some other input and leave the results of Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] for cross checking our results.

The additional information we use comes from the observation that the most complex harmonic sums of the largest depth appearing in $\Phi(z)$ of eq. (15) are canceled against the terms coming from the decomposition of the cross products $S_1(z)S_{-2}(\bar{z}) + S_1(\bar{z})S_{-2}(z)$ for $n = 1$ in eq. (25). This conclusion is compatible with the result of Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] for NNLO eigenvalue for $n = 1$ (see eq. (C.5) of their paper).

As the first step in calculating the most complicated term of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue we take a close look at the $n = 0$ result of Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6]

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{F_3}{256} = & -\frac{5s_{-5}}{8} - \frac{s_{-4,1}}{2} + \frac{s_1s_{-3,1}}{2} + \frac{s_{-3,2}}{2} - \frac{5s_2s_{-2,1}}{4} + \frac{s_{-4}s_1}{4} \\
& + \frac{s_{-3}s_2}{8} + \frac{3s_{3,-2}}{4} - \frac{3s_{-3,1,1}}{2} - s_1s_{-2,1,1} + s_{2,-2,1} + 3s_{-2,1,1,1} \\
& - \frac{3s_{-2}s_3}{4} - \frac{s_5}{8} + \frac{s_{-2}s_1s_2}{4} + \pi^2 \left(\frac{s_{-2,1}}{8} - \frac{7s_{-3}}{48} - \frac{s_{-2}s_1}{12} + \frac{s_1s_2}{48} \right) \\
& - \pi^4 \left(\frac{2s_{-1}}{45} - \frac{s_1}{96} \right) + \zeta_3 \left(-\frac{7s_{-1,1}}{4} + \frac{7s_{-2}}{8} + \frac{7s_{-1}s_1}{4} - \frac{s_2}{16} \right) \\
& \left(2\text{Li}_4 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\pi^2 \ln^2 2}{12} + \frac{\ln^4 2}{12} \right) (s_{-1} - s_1) + \frac{\ln^5 2}{60} - \frac{\pi^2 \ln^3 2}{36} \\
& - \frac{2\pi^4 \ln 2}{45} - \frac{\pi^2 \zeta_3}{24} + \frac{49\zeta_5}{32} - 2\text{Li}_5 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use a compact notation of $s_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)$ and $\bar{s}_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(\bar{z})$. It is useful to write the same expression in the linear basis

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{F_3}{256} = & s_{-1}Z_{-3,1} - s_1Z_{-3,1} + \frac{7\zeta_3s_{1,-1}}{4} + \frac{s_{-4,1}}{4} - \frac{s_{-3,2}}{8} + \frac{\pi^2s_{-2,1}}{24} \\
& + \frac{s_{-2,3}}{4} - \frac{\pi^2s_{1,-2}}{12} - \frac{s_{2,-3}}{8} - \frac{s_{3,-2}}{4} + \frac{s_{-3,1,1}}{2} + \frac{s_{1,-3,1}}{2} + \frac{s_{1,-2,2}}{4} \\
& + \frac{s_{1,2,-2}}{4} + \frac{s_{2,1,-2}}{4} - s_{1,-2,1,1} + Z_{-3,1,1} + \ln 2 Z_{-3,1} - \frac{7s_{-2}\zeta_3}{8} \\
& - \frac{7s_{-1}}{4}\zeta_3 \ln 2 + \frac{7s_1}{4}\zeta_3 \ln 2 - \frac{\pi^2s_{-3}}{16} - \frac{17\pi^4s_{-1}}{720} - \frac{\pi^4s_1}{96} + \frac{\pi^2s_1s_2}{48} \\
& - \frac{s_5}{8} - \frac{\pi^2\zeta_3}{8} + \frac{\zeta_5}{2} - \frac{7\zeta_3}{8} \ln^2 2 - \frac{17\pi^4 \ln 2}{720} - \frac{s_2\zeta_3}{16}, \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

The constants $Z_{-3,1}$ and $Z_{-3,1,1}$ in eq. (27) are given by

$$Z_{-3,1} = 2 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{7}{4}\zeta_3 \ln 2 - \frac{\pi^4}{48} + \frac{\ln^4 2}{12} - \frac{\pi^2 \ln^2 2}{12} \simeq 0.0877857 \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{-3,1,1} = & -2\operatorname{Li}_5\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - 2\operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln 2 + \frac{\pi^2 \zeta_3}{12} + \frac{33\zeta_5}{32} \\ & - \frac{7}{8}\zeta_3 \ln^2 2 - \frac{\ln^5 2}{15} + \frac{\pi^2 \ln^3 2}{18} \simeq -0.00960157 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We can sort the terms in eq. (27) by complexity of the harmonic sums, i.e. by largest depth for a given weight. For example, the sums $s_{-1,1,1,1,1}$ would be one of the most complicated functions because it is of the highest weight $w = 5$ and the largest depth $d = 5$, but it is absent in eq. (27) as well as any other sum of depth $d = 5$. It follows directly from the definition of the harmonic sums in eq. (1) that the maximal depth d for a given sum is limited by its weight w according to $d \leq w$. At weight $w = 5$ and depth $d = 4$ there is only one sum $s_{1,-2,1,1}$ in eq. (27), at weight $w = 5$ and depth $d = 3$ there are $s_{-3,1,1}$, $s_{1,-3,1}$, $s_{1,-2,2}$, $s_{1,2,-2}$, $s_{2,1,-2}$ etc. All the harmonic sums build the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue for $n = 0$ in the linear basis given by in eq. (27) are listed in Tables 1-4.

Table 1: Harmonic sums of weight $w = 5$ appearing in the NNLO expression for $n = 0$ of eq. (27).

	weight 5
depth 5	none
depth 4	$s_{1,-2,1,1}$
depth 3	$s_{-3,1,1}$, $s_{1,-3,1}$, $s_{1,-2,2}$, $s_{1,2,-2}$, $s_{2,1,-2}$
depth 2	$s_{-4,1}$, $s_{-3,2}$, $s_{-2,3}$, $s_{2,-3}$, $s_{3,-2}$
depth 1	s_5

Next, we build an alphabet of possible indices (letters) of the harmonic sums. We treat separately the harmonic sums multiplied by the transcendental constant π^2 , ζ_3 , $\operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ etc. and those that are not, which we call them the *pure* functions. The pure function appearing in F_3 in eq. (27) are all of weight $w = 5$ and they are listed in Table 1. We are interested in their alphabet because our goal is to find the most complex part of the NNLO BFKL

Table 2: Harmonic sums of weight $w = 4$ appearing in the NNLO expression for $n = 0$ of eq. (27).

	weight 4
depth 4	none
depth 3	none
depth 2	none
depth 1	none

Table 3: Harmonic sums of weight $w = 3$ appearing in the NNLO expression for $n = 0$ of eq. (27).

	weight 3
depth 3	none
depth 2	$s_{-2,1}, s_{1,-2}$
depth 1	s_{-3}

eigenvalue. From the harmonic sums listed in Table 1 we draw two major conclusions: *a*) index -1 is absent for pure functions; *b*) all the harmonic sums have exactly one negative number in their index, which is most probably related to the cylindrical topology of the singlet BFKL equation as it was discussed by one of the authors in Ref. [18](see also Ref. [19]). Based on those two observations the possible choice for most complicated cross terms is rather limited and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2}, \quad s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1}, \quad s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1}, \\
& s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{1,-2}, \quad s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{-2,1}, \quad s_{1,1,1} \bar{s}_{-2},
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where we use a compact notation of $s_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)$ and $\bar{s}_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(\bar{z})$.

Symmetrizing the expressions in eq. (30) and adding all possible harmonic sums of weight $w = 5$ we can build an ansatz for the most complicated part of the full NNLO BFKL eigenvalue as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3(z, \bar{z}) &= a_1(s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2} + \bar{s}_1 s_{1,1,-2}) + a_2(s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1} + \bar{s}_1 s_{1,-2,1}) \\
&+ a_3(s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1} + \bar{s}_1 s_{-2,1,1}) + a_4(s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{1,-2} + \bar{s}_{1,1} s_{1,-2}) \\
&+ a_5(s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{-2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1} s_{-2,1}) + a_6(s_{1,1,1} \bar{s}_{-2} + \bar{s}_{1,1,1} s_{-2}) \\
&+ \phi + \bar{\phi},
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Table 4: Harmonic sums of weight $w = 2$ appearing in the NNLO expression for $n = 0$ of eq. (27).

	weight 2
depth 2	$s_{1,-1}$
depth 1	s_2, s_{-2}

Table 5: Harmonic sums of weight $w = 1$ appearing in the NNLO expression of eq. (27).

	weight 1
depth 1	s_1, s_{-1}

where a_i are the rational coefficients to be fixed and ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$ is linear combination (with rational coefficients) of the most complicated possible harmonic sums of weight $w = 5$ of argument z and \bar{z} respectively. Based on the outlined arguments the list of the most complicated harmonic sums reads

$$s_{1,1,1,-2}, s_{1,1,-2,1}, s_{1,-2,1,1}, s_{-2,1,1,1} \quad (32)$$

This makes the total number of free coefficients to be fixed in $A_3(z, \bar{z})$ in eq. (31) to be equal to ten. The ansatz $A_3(z, \bar{z})$ in eq. (31) have different pole decomposition for $n = 0$ ($\bar{z} = -1 - z$) and $n = 1$ ($\bar{z} = -z$). We use only the known result for $n = 0$ and an assumption that there should be no pure harmonic sums for the $n = 1$ pole decomposition of the NNLO eigenvalue. As it was already mentioned this assumption is based on the property of the next-to-leading expansion for $n = 1$ and is compatible with the NNLO result of Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] for $n = 1$. Using those two assumptions we fix seven out of ten free coefficients and obtain the NNLO eigenvalue g_2 in eq. (5) for $n = 1$ as follows

$$g_2(z, -z) = \frac{128s_{1,-2,1}}{z} - \frac{128s_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \frac{128\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{(-z)} - \frac{128\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{(-z)} + \text{simpler terms} \quad (33)$$

It should be emphasized that the expression in eq. (33) is completely fixed despite the fact that we are left with three free coefficients in our ansatz $A_3(z, \bar{z})$ in eq. (31). This calculation is based on reflection identities derived by the authors [16, 20, 21] and presented in Appendix D. Our result in eq. (33)

has a structure similar to that of Ref. [7](see eq. (C.5) of their paper), which reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{64s_{1,-2,1}}{z} - \frac{128s_{-2,1,1}}{z} - \frac{128s_{1,1,-2}}{z} + \frac{64\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{(-z)} - \frac{128\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{(-z)} - \frac{128\bar{s}_{1,1,-2}}{(-z)} \\ & + \text{simpler terms} \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

This similarity is very encouraging, though the precise connection between the two results requires further analysis that will be presented by us elsewhere.

The remaining three coefficients in our ansatz can be fixed imposing an additional condition of having at most logarithmic divergent of the most complicated terms as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. The condition is more strict than compliance with the asymptotic behavior of the cusp anomalous dimension, which is automatically satisfied by our result even with the three remaining coefficients left unfixed. This happens because in fixing the first seven coefficients we used $n = 0$ result of Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6], which is constrained by the asymptotic expansion of the cusp anomalous dimension. The details of fixing the remaining three coefficients of our ansatz are given in Appendix D and the resulting expression built of the most complicated terms for arbitrary z and \bar{z} (i.e. arbitrary ν and n) reads

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(z, \bar{z}) = & -128(s_1\bar{s}_{1,-2,1} + s_1\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}) + 256(s_{1,1,-2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1}) \\ & + \text{simpler terms} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Here we use a compact notation $s_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)$ and $\bar{s}_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(\bar{z})$.

5 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper we analyzed the analytic properties of the BFKL eigenvalue in the singlet channel in $N = 4$ SYM as a functions of two real variables, the discrete parameter called the conformal spin n and the continuous ν related to the anomalous dimension of twist-2 operators. It is well known that the BFKL eigenvalue can be written in terms of analytic functions of the complex variable $z = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$ and its complex conjugate $\bar{z} = -\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$. We analyze the analytic structure of the known BFKL eigenvalues expressed in terms of the analytically continued harmonic sums and make use of the fact that all the harmonic sums have the same pole structure, namely they

have poles at the negative integer values of the argument. While the physical meaning of those poles is still to be clarified, one can develop a powerful computational techniques that simplifies the calculations of the BFKL eigenvalue expanding it around the poles. This technique was originally introduced by Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] and our analysis is based on their dedicated Mathematica package.

In the first section we explain how using the reflection identities of harmonic sums the next-to-leading (NLO) BFKL eigenvalue can be decomposed into two equal pieces (one is a function of z and the another one is the same function of \bar{z}) for specific values of n . This way we confirm our technique with known decomposition for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ NLO expressions by Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] and Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] respectively.

In the next section we analyze the known NNLO BFKL eigenvalue for $n = 0$ in $N = 4$ SYM by Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] and write the ansatz for the most complicated terms for the case of an arbitrary n . Our ansatz has ten free coefficients and we fix seven of them using only the known $n = 0$ expression and assumption that pure harmonic sums (harmonic sums not multiplied by any non-zero power or argument) are not allowed in the NNLO eigenvalue for $n = 1$. This assumption is compatible with the corresponding result of Caron-Huot and Herranen [7], which has a structure similar but not identical to the one we obtain using our approach. The close similarity of two results is very encouraging, while the difference between the two may signal that either Bethe–Salpeter approach to the BFKL equation we use is not valid or the result of Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] cannot be expressed using functions of one variable or any cross product of them. In any case this requires further analysis, which will be published by us elsewhere.

The last three free coefficients in our ansatz for the most complicated term of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM we fix imposing an additional condition of having only simple logarithmic divergence as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ removing all higher powers of the logarithmically divergent pieces, which should cancel among the most complicated terms in our ansatz. This condition is more strict than the asymptotic behavior of the cusp anomalous dimension, which is automatically satisfied by our ansatz with seven fixed coefficients, because we fixed them based on the $n = 0$ NNLO result of Ref. [6], which was in its turn derived using the asymptotic expansion of the cusp anomalous dimension. The resulting expression for the most complicated terms of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM for arbitrary ν and n is given in

eq. (35) and presents the main result of our work.

APPENDIX

A. Pole decomposition of NLO eigenvalue for $n = 1$

In this section we present the details of the pole decomposition of the NLO BFKL eigenvalue in $N = 4$ SYM in the case of the conformal spin equal unity, i.e. $n = 1$. In our analysis we use the shifted reflection identities for $\bar{z} = -z$ obtained from the reflection identities for $\bar{z} = -1 - z$ by shifting the argument of the harmonic sums by unity. For example, we shift the argument of $S_{-2}(z)$

$$S_{-2}(-1 - z) = -S_{-2}(-z) + \frac{1}{z^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \quad (\text{A-1})$$

then multiply it by $S_1(z)$

$$S_1(z)S_{-2}(-z) = -S_1(z)S_{-2}(-1 - z) + \frac{S_1(z)}{z^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{6}S_1(z) \quad (\text{A-2})$$

and finally plug it into the reflection identity of eq. (18). The resulting shifted reflection identity reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_{-2}(z)S_1(-z) &= -S_{-2,1}(z) - S_{-2,1}(-z) - \frac{S_{-2}(z)}{z} + S_{-3}(z) - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 S_{-1}(z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 S_1(z) + S_{-2}(z)S_1(z) + \frac{S_1(-z)}{z^2} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 S_{-1}(-z) \\ &- \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 S_1(-z) + \frac{\pi^2}{6z} - \frac{\zeta(3)}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-3})$$

After the symmetrization with respect to $\bar{z} \leftrightarrow z$ we obtain the only cross term of the NLO BFKL in eq. (12) eigenvalue decomposed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z)S_{-2}(-z) + S_1(-z)S_{-2}(z) &= -2S_{-2,1}(z) - 2S_{-2,1}(-z) + \frac{S_1(z)}{z^2} \\ &- \frac{S_{-2}(z)}{z} + S_{-3}(z) + S_{-2}(z)S_1(z) + \frac{S_1(-z)}{z^2} + \frac{S_{-2}(-z)}{z} \\ &+ S_{-3}(-z) + S_{-2}(-z)S_1(-z) - \zeta(3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-4})$$

Next we shift the argument of the harmonic sums appearing in the function Φ in eq.(15)

$$S_{-2,1}(-1-z) = -S_{-2,1}(-z) + \frac{S_1(-z)}{z^2} - \frac{5}{4}\zeta(3) \quad (\text{A-5})$$

and

$$S_{-3}(-1-z) = -S_{-3}(-z) - \frac{1}{z^3} - \frac{3}{2}\zeta(3) \quad (\text{A-6})$$

as well as

$$S_1(-1-z) = S_1(-z) + \frac{1}{z}. \quad (\text{A-7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,-2}(-1-z) &= S_{-2,1}(-z) - S_{-3}(-z) - S_{-2}(-z)S_1(-z) \\ &\quad - \frac{S_{-2}(-z)}{z} + \frac{3}{2}\zeta(3) - \frac{\pi^2}{6}\frac{1}{z} + \frac{5}{4}\zeta(3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,-2}(-1-z) &= S_{-2,1}(-z) - \frac{S_{-2}(-z)}{z} - S_{-3}(-z) \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi^2}{6}S_1(-z) - S_{-2}(-z)S_1(-z) + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\frac{1}{z} + \frac{11}{4}\zeta(3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-9})$$

Finally, using the expressions in eq. (A-4) and eq. (15) we write the BFKL eigenvalue in eq. (24) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z, -z) &= -\frac{2S_1(z)}{z^2} + \frac{2S_{-2}(z)}{z} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 S_1(z) + 2S_3(z) \\ &\quad - \frac{2S_1(-z)}{z^2} - \frac{2S_{-2}(-z)}{z} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 S_1(-z) + 2S_3(-z) + 2\zeta(3) \\ &= -\frac{2S_1(z)}{z^2} + \frac{2S_{-2}(z)}{z} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 S_1(z) + 2S_3(z) + \zeta(3) \\ &\quad - \frac{2S_1(-z)}{(-z)^2} + \frac{2S_{-2}(-z)}{(-z)} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 S_1(-z) + 2S_3(-z) + \zeta(3), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-10})$$

which is symmetrical with respect to $z \leftrightarrow \bar{z}$ for $n = 1$. This allows to write the NLO eigenvalue in a compact way

$$\delta(z, \bar{z})^{n=1} = F_2^{n=1}(z) + F_2^{n=1}(\bar{z}) \quad (\text{A-11})$$

using the function of one variable

$$F_2^{n=1}(z) = -\frac{2S_1(z)}{z^2} + \frac{2S_{-2}(z)}{z} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 S_1(z) + 2S_3(z) + \zeta(3) \quad (\text{A-12})$$

Note, the function $F_2^{n=1}(z)$ in eq. (A-12) is the function obtained by Caron-Huot and Herranen [7] except for overall normalization factor which stems from a different definition of the perturbative expansion.

B. Pole decomposition of most complicated cross products at weight five for $n = 0$

In this section we present the pole decomposition of cross products $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ for $n = 0$ i.e. for $\bar{z} = -1 - z$. All the relevant cross products are presented in the compact notation $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z) = s_{\{\alpha\}}$, $S_{\{\alpha\}}(\bar{z}) = \bar{s}_{\{\alpha\}}$, $\ln(2) = \ln_2$, $\zeta(n) = \zeta_n$ and $\text{Li}_n = \text{Li}_n(\frac{1}{2})$, where $\zeta(n)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $\text{Li}_n(\frac{1}{2})$ is the polylogarithm of argument one half. The transcendental constants appearing here together with π build the irreducible set of the transcendental constants, which was used to construct the functional basis for the pole expansion. Each cross product $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ is decomposed into two functions, one of z and another one of \bar{z} . Below each decomposition we write an expression that contains only the most complicated functions building it. In the present study we focus only on the most complicated functions in deriving the most complicated part of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue for arbitrary ν and n . The reflection identities listed here were calculated by the authors in Ref. [21].

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_1 s_{-2,1,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1} &= \bar{s}_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{3} \pi^2 \bar{s}_{-2,1} - 2\bar{s}_{-3,1,1} - 2\bar{s}_{-2,2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} \\
&+ \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} - 2\text{Li}_4 \bar{s}_{-1} + 3\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{7}{4} \zeta_3 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{-1} \\
&- \frac{1}{6} \pi^2 \bar{s}_{-3} - \frac{43}{720} \pi^4 \bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{1}{12} \ln_2^4 \bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{1}{12} \pi^2 \ln_2^2 \bar{s}_{-1} \\
&+ s_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{3} \pi^2 s_{-2,1} - 2s_{-3,1,1} - 2s_{-2,2,1} + 3s_{-2,1,1,1} \\
&+ s_{1,-2,1,1} + \frac{\pi^2 \zeta_3}{48} + \frac{7\zeta_5}{2} - \frac{7}{4} \zeta_3 \ln_2^2 - 2\text{Li}_4 s_{-1} + 4\text{Li}_5 \\
&+ 3\zeta_3 s_{-2} - \frac{7}{4} \zeta_3 s_{-1} \ln_2 - \frac{1}{6} \pi^2 s_{-3} - \frac{43}{720} \pi^4 s_{-1} \\
&- \frac{1}{12} s_{-1} \ln_2^4 + \frac{1}{12} \pi^2 s_{-1} \ln_2^2 - \frac{\ln_2^5}{30} + \frac{1}{18} \pi^2 \ln_2^3 - \frac{43\pi^4 \ln_2}{360}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-1}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\bar{s}_1 s_{-2,1,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1} \rightarrow 3\bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + 3s_{-2,1,1,1} + s_{1,-2,1,1} \tag{B-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_1 s_{1,-2,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1} &= -\frac{21}{4} \zeta_3 \bar{s}_{1,-1} + \bar{s}_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{3} \pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,-2} - \bar{s}_{-3,1,1} - 2\bar{s}_{1,-3,1} \\
&- \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} - 6\text{Li}_4 \bar{s}_{-1} + 6\text{Li}_4 \bar{s}_1 \\
&+ \frac{21}{8} \zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{5}{8} \zeta_3 \bar{s}_2 - \frac{1}{6} \pi^2 \bar{s}_{-3} + \frac{1}{120} \pi^4 \bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{11}{288} \pi^4 \bar{s}_1 \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \ln_2^4 \bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \ln_2^4 \bar{s}_1 + \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 \ln_2^2 \bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 \ln_2^2 \bar{s}_1 \\
&- \frac{21}{4} \zeta_3 s_{1,-1} + s_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{3} \pi^2 s_{1,-2} - s_{-3,1,1} - 2s_{1,-3,1} \\
&- s_{2,-2,1} + 2s_{1,-2,1,1} + 2s_{1,1,-2,1} - \frac{7\pi^2 \zeta_3}{12} - \frac{107\zeta_5}{16} \\
&- 6\text{Li}_4 s_{-1} + 6\text{Li}_4 s_1 + 12\text{Li}_5 + \frac{21}{8} \zeta_3 s_{-2} - \frac{5\zeta_3 s_2}{8} \\
&- \frac{1}{6} \pi^2 s_{-3} + \frac{1}{120} \pi^4 s_{-1} - \frac{11\pi^4 s_1}{288} - \frac{1}{4} s_{-1} \ln_2^4 + \frac{1}{4} s_1 \ln_2^4 \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 s_{-1} \ln_2^2 - \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 s_1 \ln_2^2 - \frac{\ln_2^5}{10} + \frac{1}{6} \pi^2 \ln_2^3 + \frac{\pi^4 \ln_2}{60}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-3}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\bar{s}_1 s_{1,-2,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1} \rightarrow 2\bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 2s_{1,-2,1,1} + 2s_{1,1,-2,1} \quad (\text{B-4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}_1 s_{1,1,-2} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2} = & -\frac{7}{2}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{1,-1} + \frac{3}{4}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{1,1} + \bar{s}_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,2} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{2,-1} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{2,1} - \bar{s}_{1,-3,1} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,1,-1} - \bar{s}_{1,2,-2} \\ & - \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} - \bar{s}_{2,1,-2} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{1,-1} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{1,1} - 2\text{Li}_4 \bar{s}_{-1} + 4\text{Li}_4 \bar{s}_1 + \frac{7}{4}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{3}{4}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_2 \\ & + \frac{7}{4}\zeta_3 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-3} + \frac{1}{360}\pi^4 \bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{7}{120}\pi^4 \bar{s}_1 \\ & - \frac{1}{12}\ln_2^4 \bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{1}{6}\ln_2^4 \bar{s}_1 - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 \ln_2^2 \bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \ln_2^2 \bar{s}_1 \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{-2} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_2 - \frac{7}{2}\zeta_3 s_{1,-1} + \frac{3}{4}\zeta_3 s_{1,1} \\ & + s_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{1,2} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_{2,-1} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{2,1} \\ & - s_{1,-3,1} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 s_{1,1,-1} - s_{1,2,-2} - s_{2,-2,1} - s_{2,1,-2} \\ & + s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \ln_2 s_{1,-1} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \ln_2 s_{1,1} \\ & - \frac{3\pi^2 \zeta_3}{4} - \frac{19\zeta_5}{16} + \frac{7}{4}\zeta_3 \ln_2^2 - 2\text{Li}_4 s_{-1} + 4\text{Li}_4 s_1 + 4\text{Li}_5 \\ & + \frac{7}{4}\zeta_3 s_{-2} - \frac{3\zeta_3 s_2}{4} + \frac{7}{4}\zeta_3 s_{-1} \ln_2 - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 s_{-3} + \frac{1}{360}\pi^4 s_{-1} \\ & - \frac{7\pi^4 s_1}{120} - \frac{1}{12}s_{-1} \ln_2^4 + \frac{1}{6}s_1 \ln_2^4 - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 s_{-1} \ln_2^2 + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_1 \ln_2^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_{-2} \ln_2 + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_2 \ln_2 - \frac{\ln_2^5}{30} - \frac{1}{9}\pi^2 \ln_2^3 + \frac{\pi^4 \ln_2}{180} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-5})$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\bar{s}_1 s_{1,1,-2} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2} \rightarrow \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} + s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2} \quad (\text{B-6})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{-2,1} + s_{-2,1}\bar{s}_{1,1} = & -\frac{21}{4}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{1,-1} + 2\bar{s}_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2\bar{s}_{-2,1} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,-2} - 3\bar{s}_{-3,1,1} \\
& - 2\bar{s}_{-2,2,1} - 2\bar{s}_{1,-3,1} - \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} \\
& + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} - 6\text{Li}_4\bar{s}_{-1} + 6\text{Li}_4\bar{s}_1 + \frac{45}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{5}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_2 \\
& - \frac{1}{3}\pi^2\bar{s}_{-3} - \frac{13}{240}\pi^4\bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{11}{288}\pi^4\bar{s}_1 - \frac{1}{4}\ln_2^4\bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{1}{4}\ln_2^4\bar{s}_1 \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\ln_2^2\bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\ln_2^2\bar{s}_1 - \frac{21}{4}\zeta_3s_{1,-1} + 2s_{-4,1} \\
& + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2s_{-2,1} + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2s_{1,-2} - 3s_{-3,1,1} - 2s_{-2,2,1} - 2s_{1,-3,1} \\
& - s_{2,-2,1} + 3s_{-2,1,1,1} + 2s_{1,-2,1,1} + s_{1,1,-2,1} - \frac{11\zeta_5}{8} \\
& - 6\text{Li}_4s_{-1} + 6\text{Li}_4s_1 + 12\text{Li}_5 + \frac{45}{8}\zeta_3s_{-2} - \frac{5\zeta_3s_2}{8} \\
& - \frac{1}{3}\pi^2s_{-3} - \frac{13}{240}\pi^4s_{-1} - \frac{11\pi^4s_1}{288} - \frac{1}{4}s_{-1}\ln_2^4 + \frac{1}{4}s_1\ln_2^4 \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2s_{-1}\ln_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2s_1\ln_2^2 - \frac{\ln_2^5}{10} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2\ln_2^3 - \frac{13\pi^4\ln_2}{120}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-7}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{-2,1} + s_{-2,1}\bar{s}_{1,1} \rightarrow & 3\bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} \\
& + 3s_{-2,1,1,1} + 2s_{1,-2,1,1} + s_{1,1,-2,1}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{1,-2} + s_{1,-2}\bar{s}_{1,1} = & -\frac{35}{4}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{1,-1} + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{1,1} + \bar{s}_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,2} \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\bar{s}_{2,-1} + \bar{s}_{3,-2} - \bar{s}_{-3,1,1} - 2\bar{s}_{1,-3,1} - \pi^2\bar{s}_{1,1,-1} - 2\bar{s}_{1,2,-2} \\
& - \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} - 2\bar{s}_{2,1,-2} + \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} \\
& + \pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_{1,-1} - \pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_{1,1} - 10\text{Li}_4\bar{s}_{-1} + 10\text{Li}_4\bar{s}_1 \\
& + \frac{35}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{7}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_2 - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\bar{s}_{-3} + \frac{1}{18}\pi^4\bar{s}_{-1} - \frac{149\pi^4\bar{s}_1}{1440} \\
& + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\bar{s}_3 - \frac{5}{12}\ln_2^4\bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{5}{12}\ln_2^4\bar{s}_1 - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\ln_2^2\bar{s}_{-1} \\
& + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\ln_2^2\bar{s}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_2 - \frac{35}{4}\zeta_3s_{1,-1} \\
& + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_3s_{1,1} + s_{-4,1} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2s_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2s_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2s_{2,-1} + s_{3,-2} \\
& - s_{-3,1,1} - 2s_{1,-3,1} - \pi^2s_{1,1,-1} - 2s_{1,2,-2} - s_{2,-2,1} - 2s_{2,1,-2} \\
& + s_{1,-2,1,1} + 2s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2} + \pi^2\ln_2s_{1,-1} - \pi^2\ln_2s_{1,1} \\
& - \pi^2\zeta_3 - \frac{185\zeta_5}{16} - 10\text{Li}_4s_{-1} + 10\text{Li}_4s_1 + 20\text{Li}_5 + \frac{35}{8}\zeta_3s_{-2} \\
& - \frac{7\zeta_3s_2}{8} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2s_{-3} + \frac{1}{18}\pi^4s_{-1} - \frac{149\pi^4s_1}{1440} + \frac{\pi^2s_3}{12} \\
& - \frac{5}{12}s_{-1}\ln_2^4 + \frac{5}{12}s_1\ln_2^4 - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2s_{-1}\ln_2^2 + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2s_1\ln_2^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2s_{-2}\ln_2 + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2s_2\ln_2 - \frac{\ln_2^5}{6} - \frac{1}{18}\pi^2\ln_2^3 + \frac{\pi^4\ln_2}{9}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-9}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{1,-2} + s_{1,-2}\bar{s}_{1,1} \rightarrow & \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} \\
& + s_{1,-2,1,1} + 2s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_{-2}s_{1,1,1} + s_{-2}\bar{s}_{1,1,1} = & -\frac{21}{4}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{1,-1} + \frac{3}{4}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{1,1} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,2} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\bar{s}_{2,-1} \\
& - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\bar{s}_{2,1} + \bar{s}_{3,-2} - \bar{s}_{-3,1,1} - \bar{s}_{1,-3,1} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,1,-1} \\
& - \bar{s}_{1,2,-2} - \bar{s}_{2,1,-2} + \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} \\
& + \bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_{1,-1} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_{1,1} - 6\text{Li}_4\bar{s}_{-1} \\
& + 6\text{Li}_4\bar{s}_1 + \frac{21}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{1}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_2 - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\bar{s}_{-3} + \frac{1}{120}\pi^4\bar{s}_{-1} \\
& - \frac{13}{288}\pi^4\bar{s}_1 + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\bar{s}_3 - \frac{1}{4}\ln_2^4\bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{1}{4}\ln_2^4\bar{s}_1 - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_{-2} \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2\ln_2\bar{s}_2 - \frac{21}{4}\zeta_3s_{1,-1} + \frac{3}{4}\zeta_3s_{1,1} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2s_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2s_{1,2} \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2s_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2s_{2,1} + s_{3,-2} - s_{-3,1,1} - s_{1,-3,1} \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2s_{1,1,-1} - s_{1,2,-2} - s_{2,1,-2} + s_{-2,1,1,1} + s_{1,-2,1,1} \\
& + s_{1,1,-2,1} + s_{1,1,1,-2} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\ln_2s_{1,-1} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\ln_2s_{1,1} - \frac{3\pi^2\zeta_3}{16} \\
& - \frac{107\zeta_5}{16} - 6\text{Li}_4s_{-1} + 6\text{Li}_4s_1 + 12\text{Li}_5 + \frac{21}{8}\zeta_3s_{-2} - \frac{\zeta_3s_2}{8} \\
& - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2s_{-3} + \frac{1}{120}\pi^4s_{-1} - \frac{13\pi^4s_1}{288} + \frac{\pi^2s_3}{12} - \frac{1}{4}s_{-1}\ln_2^4 \\
& + \frac{1}{4}s_1\ln_2^4 - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2s_{-2}\ln_2 + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2s_2\ln_2 - \frac{\ln_2^5}{10} + \frac{\pi^4\ln_2}{60}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-11}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_{-2}s_{1,1,1} + s_{-2}\bar{s}_{1,1,1} \rightarrow & \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} \\
& + s_{-2,1,1,1} + s_{1,-2,1,1} + s_{1,1,-2,1} + s_{1,1,1,-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{B-12}$$

In the next section we write the pole decomposition for the cross terms for $n = 1$, i.e. when $\bar{z} = -z$.

C. Pole decomposition of most complicated cross products for $n = 1$

In this section we present the pole decomposition of cross products $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ for $n = 1$ i.e. for $\bar{z} = -z$. All the relevant cross products are presented in the compact notation $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z) = s_{\{\alpha\}}$, $S_{\{\alpha\}}(\bar{z}) = \bar{s}_{\{\alpha\}}$, $\ln(2) = \ln_2$, $\zeta(n) = \zeta_n$ and $\text{Li}_n = \text{Li}_n(\frac{1}{2})$, where $\zeta(n)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $\text{Li}_n(\frac{1}{2})$ is the polylogarithm of argument one half. The transcendental constants appearing here together with π build the irreducible set of the transcendental constants, which was used to construct the functional basis for the pole expansion. Each cross product $S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)S_{\{\beta\}}(\bar{z})$ is decomposed into two functions, one of z and another one of \bar{z} . Below each decomposition we write an expression that contains only the most complicated functions building it. In the present study we focus only on the most complicated functions in deriving the most complicated part of the NNLO BFKL eigenvalue for arbitrary ν and n . The reflection identities listed here were calculated by the authors in Ref. [21].

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_1 s_{-2,1,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1} = & \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1}}{z^3} + \frac{-\bar{s}_{2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,1} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 \bar{s}_1 + 2\zeta_3}{z^2} + \frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{z} - \bar{s}_{-4,1} \\
& + \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} - \zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-2} + \frac{\bar{s}_1}{z^4} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-3} - \frac{s_{1,1}}{z^3} \\
& + \frac{-s_{2,1} + s_{1,1,1} + 2\zeta_3 + \frac{\pi^2 s_1}{6}}{z^2} - \frac{s_{-2,1,1}}{z} - s_{-4,1} + s_{-2,1,1,1} \\
& + s_{1,-2,1,1} + \frac{19\pi^2 \zeta_3}{48} - \frac{57\zeta_5}{16} - \zeta_3 s_{-2} + \frac{s_1}{z^4} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 s_{-3}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-1}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\bar{s}_1 s_{-2,1,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1} \rightarrow \frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} - \frac{s_{-2,1,1}}{z} + s_{-2,1,1,1} + s_{1,-2,1,1} \tag{C-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_1 s_{1,-2,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1} &= \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1}}{z^3} \\
&- \frac{-\bar{s}_{-3,1} + \bar{s}_{-2,1,1} - \bar{s}_{1,-2,1} - \frac{21}{8}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-1} + \frac{5}{8}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_1 + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-2}}{z} \\
&- \bar{s}_{-4,1} + \bar{s}_{-3,1,1} - \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} + 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} - \frac{11}{8}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-2} \\
&- \frac{5}{8}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_2 + \frac{\bar{s}_1}{z^4} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-3} + \frac{1}{480}\pi^4 \bar{s}_1 - \frac{s_{1,1}}{z^3} \\
&+ \frac{-s_{-3,1} + s_{-2,1,1} - s_{1,-2,1} - \frac{21}{8}\zeta_3 s_{-1} + \frac{5\zeta_3 s_1}{8} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 s_{-2}}{z} \\
&- s_{-4,1} + s_{-3,1,1} - s_{2,-2,1} + 2s_{1,1,-2,1} - \frac{\pi^2 \zeta_3}{6} - \frac{49\zeta_5}{16} \\
&- \frac{11}{8}\zeta_3 s_{-2} - \frac{5\zeta_3 s_2}{8} + \frac{s_1}{z^4} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 s_{-3} + \frac{\pi^4 s_1}{480} + \frac{4\zeta_3}{z^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-3}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\bar{s}_1 s_{1,-2,1} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1} \rightarrow -\frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{z} + 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + \frac{s_{-2,1,1}}{z} - \frac{s_{1,-2,1}}{z} + 2s_{1,1,-2,1} \tag{C-4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_1 s_{1,1,-2} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2} = & -\frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{1,1} - \frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1}}{z^2} + \frac{\bar{s}_{-3,1}}{z} + \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,-1}}{4z} - \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,1}}{12z} - \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{z} \\
& + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1,-2}}{z} - \bar{s}_{-4,1} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,2} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{2,-1} \\
& + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,-3,1} - \bar{s}_{1,2,-2} + \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} - \bar{s}_{2,1,-2} - \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} \\
& + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} - \frac{3}{2}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_2 + \frac{\bar{s}_1}{z^4} + \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-1}}{4z^2} - \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_1}{12z^2} \\
& + \frac{7\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-1}}{4z} - \frac{3\zeta_3 \bar{s}_1}{4z} - \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-2}}{6z} - \frac{\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{-1}}{4z} + \frac{\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_1}{4z} \\
& + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-3} - \frac{7}{240}\pi^4 \bar{s}_1 + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 s_{1,1} \\
& - \frac{s_{-2,1}}{z^2} - \frac{s_{-3,1}}{z} - \frac{\pi^2 s_{1,-1}}{4z} + \frac{\pi^2 s_{1,1}}{12z} + \frac{s_{1,-2,1}}{z} - \frac{s_{1,1,-2}}{z} \\
& - s_{-4,1} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{1,2} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_{2,-1} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{2,1} \\
& + s_{1,-3,1} - s_{1,2,-2} + s_{2,-2,1} - s_{2,1,-2} - s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2} \\
& - \frac{3\pi^2 \zeta_3}{8} - \frac{39\zeta_5}{16} - \frac{3}{2}\zeta_3 s_{-2} + \frac{\zeta_3 s_2}{2} + \frac{s_1}{z^4} + \frac{\pi^2 s_{-1}}{4z^2} - \frac{\pi^2 s_1}{12z^2} \\
& - \frac{7\zeta_3 s_{-1}}{4z} + \frac{3\zeta_3 s_1}{4z} + \frac{\pi^2 s_{-2}}{6z} + \frac{\pi^2 s_{-1} \ln_2}{4z} - \frac{\pi^2 s_1 \ln_2}{4z} \\
& + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 s_{-3} - \frac{7\pi^4 s_1}{240} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_{-2} \ln_2 - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_2 \ln_2 + \frac{2\zeta_3}{z^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-5}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_1 s_{1,1,-2} + s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2} \rightarrow & -\frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{z} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1,-2}}{z} - \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} \\
& + \frac{s_{1,-2,1}}{z} - \frac{s_{1,1,-2}}{z} - s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{-2,1} + s_{-2,1}\bar{s}_{1,1} &= \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1}}{z^3} + \frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1}}{z^2} - \frac{\bar{s}_{2,1}}{z^2} + \frac{2\bar{s}_{1,1,1}}{z^2} - \frac{\bar{s}_{-3,1}}{z} + \frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{z} \\
&+ \bar{s}_{-3,1,1} - \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} - \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} - \frac{3}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{-2} - \frac{5}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_2 \\
&+ \frac{\pi^2\bar{s}_1}{6z^2} - \frac{21\zeta_3\bar{s}_{-1}}{8z} + \frac{5\zeta_3\bar{s}_1}{8z} + \frac{\pi^2\bar{s}_{-2}}{6z} + \frac{1}{480}\pi^4\bar{s}_1 - \frac{s_{1,1}}{z^3} \\
&+ \frac{s_{-2,1}}{z^2} - \frac{s_{2,1}}{z^2} + \frac{2s_{1,1,1}}{z^2} + \frac{s_{-3,1}}{z} - \frac{s_{-2,1,1}}{z} - \frac{s_{1,-2,1}}{z} + s_{-3,1,1} \\
&- s_{2,-2,1} - s_{-2,1,1,1} + s_{1,1,-2,1} - \frac{13\zeta_5}{16} - \frac{3}{8}\zeta_3s_{-2} - \frac{5\zeta_3s_2}{8} \\
&+ \frac{\pi^2s_1}{6z^2} + \frac{21\zeta_3s_{-1}}{8z} - \frac{5\zeta_3s_1}{8z} - \frac{\pi^2s_{-2}}{6z} + \frac{\pi^4s_1}{480} + \frac{2\zeta_3}{z^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-7}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{-2,1} + s_{-2,1}\bar{s}_{1,1} &\rightarrow \frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{z} - \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} \\
&- \frac{s_{-2,1,1}}{z} - \frac{s_{1,-2,1}}{z} - s_{-2,1,1,1} + s_{1,1,-2,1}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{1,-2} + s_{1,-2}\bar{s}_{1,1} = & -\zeta_3\bar{s}_{1,1} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1}}{z^3} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2}}{z^2} + \frac{\bar{s}_{-3,1}}{z} - \frac{\bar{s}_{2,-2}}{z} - \frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{z} - \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{z} \\
& + \frac{2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2}}{z} - \bar{s}_{-4,1} - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,-2} - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2\bar{s}_{1,2} + \bar{s}_{3,-2} + \bar{s}_{-3,1,1} \\
& + 2\bar{s}_{1,-3,1} - 2\bar{s}_{1,2,-2} + \bar{s}_{2,-2,1} - 2\bar{s}_{2,1,-2} - \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} \\
& - 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} - \frac{7}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_{-2} + \frac{3}{8}\zeta_3\bar{s}_2 + \frac{7\zeta_3\bar{s}_{-1}}{8z} \\
& - \frac{3\zeta_3\bar{s}_1}{8z} - \frac{\pi^2\bar{s}_{-2}}{12z} - \frac{\pi^2\bar{s}_2}{12z} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\bar{s}_{-3} - \frac{11}{288}\pi^4\bar{s}_1 \\
& + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2\bar{s}_3 - \zeta_3s_{1,1} - \frac{s_{1,1}}{z^3} + \frac{s_{1,-2}}{z^2} - \frac{s_{-3,1}}{z} + \frac{s_{2,-2}}{z} \\
& + \frac{s_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \frac{s_{1,-2,1}}{z} - \frac{2s_{1,1,-2}}{z} - s_{-4,1} - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2s_{1,-2} \\
& - \frac{1}{6}\pi^2s_{1,2} + s_{3,-2} + s_{-3,1,1} + 2s_{1,-3,1} - 2s_{1,2,-2} \\
& + s_{2,-2,1} - 2s_{2,1,-2} - s_{1,-2,1,1} - 2s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2} \\
& - \frac{5\pi^2\zeta_3}{12} - \frac{21\zeta_5}{16} - \frac{7}{8}\zeta_3s_{-2} + \frac{3\zeta_3s_2}{8} - \frac{7\zeta_3s_{-1}}{8z} + \frac{3\zeta_3s_1}{8z} \\
& + \frac{\pi^2s_{-2}}{12z} + \frac{\pi^2s_2}{12z} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2s_{-3} - \frac{11\pi^4s_1}{288} + \frac{\pi^2s_3}{12} + \frac{2\zeta_3}{z^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-9}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1,1}\bar{s}_{1,-2} + s_{1,-2}\bar{s}_{1,1} \rightarrow & -\frac{\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{z} - \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{z} + \frac{2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2}}{z} - \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} \\
& - 2\bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + 3\bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} + \frac{s_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \frac{s_{1,-2,1}}{z} \\
& - \frac{2s_{1,1,-2}}{z} - s_{1,-2,1,1} - 2s_{1,1,-2,1} + 3s_{1,1,1,-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_{-2}s_{1,1,1} + s_{-2}\bar{s}_{1,1,1} = & -\frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{-3} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 s_{-2} - \frac{\pi^2 s_{-2}}{12z} - \frac{s_{-2}}{z^3} - \frac{\pi^2 \ln_2 s_{-1}}{4z} \\
& - \frac{\pi^2 s_{-1}}{4z^2} + \frac{\pi^2 \ln_2 s_1}{4z} + \frac{\pi^2 s_1}{12z^2} - \frac{13\pi^4 s_1}{1440} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 s_2 + \frac{\pi^2 s_2}{12z} \\
& + \frac{\pi^2 s_3}{12} + \frac{5}{8}s_{-2}\zeta_3 + \frac{21s_{-1}\zeta_3}{8z} - \frac{s_1\zeta_3}{8z} - \frac{s_2\zeta_3}{8} - \frac{3\zeta_3}{z^2} - \frac{13\pi^2\zeta_3}{48} \\
& + \frac{23\zeta_5}{16} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-3} - \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{-2} + \frac{5}{8}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-2} + \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-2}}{12z} + \frac{\bar{s}_{-2}}{z^3} \\
& + \frac{\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_{-1}}{4z} - \frac{21\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{-1}}{8z} - \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{-1}}{4z^2} - \frac{\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_1}{4z} + \frac{\zeta_3 \bar{s}_1}{8z} + \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_1}{12z^2} \\
& - \frac{13\pi^4 \bar{s}_1}{1440} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \ln_2 \bar{s}_2 - \frac{1}{8}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_2 - \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_2}{12z} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_3 + \frac{s_{1,-2}}{z^2} \\
& - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{1,-2} + \frac{\pi^2 s_{1,-1}}{4z} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 s_{1,1} - \frac{\pi^2 s_{1,1}}{12z} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{1,2} \\
& + \frac{s_{2,-2}}{z} + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 s_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 s_{2,1} + s_{3,-2} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,-2}}{z^2} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,-2} \\
& - \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,-1}}{4z} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \bar{s}_{1,1} + \frac{\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,1}}{12z} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{1,2} - \frac{\bar{s}_{2,-2}}{z} \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 \bar{s}_{2,1} + \bar{s}_{3,-2} + s_{-3,1,1} + s_{1,-3,1} - \frac{s_{1,1,-2}}{z} \\
& + \frac{s_{1,1,1}}{z^2} - s_{1,2,-2} - s_{2,1,-2} + \bar{s}_{-3,1,1} + \bar{s}_{1,-3,1} + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1,-2}}{z} \\
& + \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1,1}}{z^2} - \bar{s}_{1,2,-2} - \bar{s}_{2,1,-2} - s_{-2,1,1,1} - s_{1,-2,1,1} - s_{1,1,-2,1} \\
& + s_{1,1,1,-2} - \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} - \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} - \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-11}$$

and its the most complicated part is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{s}_{-2}s_{1,1,1} + s_{-2}\bar{s}_{1,1,1} \rightarrow & \frac{\bar{s}_{1,1,-2}}{z} - \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1} - \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} - \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} \\
& - \frac{s_{1,1,-2}}{z} - s_{-2,1,1,1} - s_{1,-2,1,1} - s_{1,1,-2,1} + s_{1,1,1,-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-12}$$

D. Ansatz for most complicated terms in NNLO eigenvalue

Based on the arguments presented in the main text we can write two types of the most complicated terms, the cross products

$$s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1}, \quad s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1}, \quad s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2}, \quad s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{-2,1}, \quad s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{1,-2}, \quad s_{-2} \bar{s}_{1,1,1} \quad (\text{D-1})$$

and the functions of one variable

$$s_{1,1,1,-2}, \quad s_{1,1,-2,1}, \quad s_{1,-2,1,1}, \quad s_{-2,1,1,1} \quad (\text{D-2})$$

as well as their counterpart with $z \leftrightarrow \bar{z}$. Here we use a compact notation of $s_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(z)$ and $\bar{s}_{\{\alpha\}} = S_{\{\alpha\}}(\bar{z})$. There are also simpler terms that include harmonic sums of lower depth and transcendental constants, which are to be treated separately. The corresponding ansatz discussed in eq. (31) read

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(z, \bar{z}) = & a_1(s_1 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1} + \bar{s}_1 s_{-2,1,1}) + a_2(s_1 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1} + \bar{s}_1 s_{1,-2,1}) \\ & + a_3(s_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2} + \bar{s}_1 s_{1,1,-2}) + a_4(s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{-2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1} s_{-2,1}) \\ & + a_5(s_{1,1} \bar{s}_{1,-2} + \bar{s}_{1,1} s_{1,-2}) + a_6(s_{1,1,1} \bar{s}_{-2} + \bar{s}_{1,1,1} s_{-2}) \\ & + \phi + \bar{\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D-3})$$

where ϕ is given by

$$\phi = b_1 s_{1,1,1,-2} + b_2 s_{1,1,-2,1} + b_3 s_{1,-2,1,1} + b_4 s_{-2,1,1,1} \quad (\text{D-4})$$

and

$$\bar{\phi} = b_1 \bar{s}_{1,1,1,-2} + b_2 \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1} + b_3 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1} + b_4 \bar{s}_{-2,1,1,1}. \quad (\text{D-5})$$

Firstly, we decompose $A_3(z, \bar{z})$ for $n = 0$ using the reflection identities of Appendix B and compare this to the NNLO result of Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk and Sizov [6] for $n = 0$ in eq. (27), which has only one relevant most complicated harmonic sum $-256 s_{1,-2,1,1} - 256 \bar{s}_{1,-2,1,1}$. All other harmonic sum $s_{-2,1,1,1}$, $s_{1,1,-2,1}$ and $s_{1,1,1,-2}$ and their $z \leftrightarrow \bar{z}$ counterparts are absent. This fixes four out of ten coefficients a_i and b_i . Next, we perform pole decomposition of $A_3(z, \bar{z})$ for $n = 1$ using the shifted reflection identities in Appendix C and impose a condition the most complicated pure harmonic sums are absent. This fixes another three coefficients and we are left with

unknown a_1 , a_2 and a_4 , while the rest of the coefficients are expressed in terms of those three as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3 &\rightarrow -a_1 + 2a_2 + 256 & (D-6) \\
a_5 &\rightarrow a_1 - a_2 + a_4 - 128 \\
a_6 &\rightarrow -a_1 - 2a_4 \\
b_7 &\rightarrow -384 + a_1 - 3a_2 - a_4 \\
b_8 &\rightarrow -2a_2 - a_4 \\
b_9 &\rightarrow -128 - a_1 - a_2 - a_4 \\
b_{10} &\rightarrow -2a_1 - a_4
\end{aligned}$$

This unambiguously fixes the rest of the terms for the pole decomposition for $n = 1$ resulting in

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(z, -z) &= \frac{128s_{1,-2,1}}{z} - \frac{128s_{-2,1,1}}{z} + \frac{128\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}}{(-z)} - \frac{128\bar{s}_{-2,1,1}}{(-z)} \\
&\quad + \text{simpler terms} & (D-7)
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining free coefficients can be fixed by implying an additional condition of the absence of $\ln^2|z|$ and $\ln^3|z|$ divergences as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in the ansatz $A_3(z, \bar{z})$ as was discussed in the main text. This sets $a_1 = a_4 = 0$ and $a_2 = -128$ and then

$$a_3 = a_5 = a_6 = b_7 = b_9 = b_{10} = 0, \quad b_8 = 256. \quad (D-8)$$

Plugging this into $A_3(z, \bar{z})$ in eq. (D-3) we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(z, \bar{z}) &= -128(s_1\bar{s}_{1,-2,1} + s_1\bar{s}_{1,-2,1}) + 256(s_{1,1,-2,1} + \bar{s}_{1,1,-2,1}) \\
&\quad + \text{simpler terms} & (D-9)
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **23** (1976) 338;
V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev and L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. **B 60** (1975) 50;
E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP **44** (1976) 443; **45** (1977) 199;
Ya. Ya. Balitskii and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28** (1978) 822.

- [2] M. Alfimov, N. Gromov and V. Kazakov, “ $N = 4$ SYM Quantum Spectral Curve in BFKL regime,” [arXiv:2003.03536 [hep-th]].
- [3] V. S. Fadin and L. N. Lipatov, “BFKL pomeron in the next-to-leading approximation,” Phys. Lett. B **429**, 127 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00473-0 [hep-ph/9802290].
- [4] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, “DGLAP and BFKL evolution equations in the $N=4$ supersymmetric gauge theory,” hep-ph/0112346.
- [5] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, “DGLAP and BFKL equations in the $N = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **661**, 19 (2003) Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B **685**, 405 (2004)] doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00264-5, 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.02.032 [hep-ph/0208220].
- [6] N. Gromov, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk and G. Sizov, “Pomeron Eigenvalue at Three Loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 25, 251601 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.251601 [arXiv:1507.04010 [hep-th]].
- [7] S. Caron-Huot and M. Herranen, “High-energy evolution to three loops,” JHEP **1802**, 058 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2018)058 [arXiv:1604.07417 [hep-ph]].
- [8] S. Caron-Huot, “Resummation of non-global logarithms and the BFKL equation,” JHEP **03**, 036 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2018)036 [arXiv:1501.03754 [hep-ph]].
- [9] M. Alfimov, N. Gromov and G. Sizov, “BFKL spectrum of $\mathcal{N} = 4$: non-zero conformal spin,” JHEP **07**, 181 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2018)181 [arXiv:1802.06908 [hep-th]].
- [10] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo, C. Lopez, and F.J. Yndurain, Nucl. Phys. **B153** (1979) 161;
A. Gonzalez-Arroyo and C. Lopez, Nucl. Phys. **B166** (1980) 429.
- [11] J. A. M. Vermaseren, “Harmonic sums, Mellin transforms and integrals,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14**, 2037 (1999) doi:10.1142/S0217751X99001032 [hep-ph/9806280].

- [12] J. Blumlein and S. Kurth, “Harmonic sums and Mellin transforms up to two loop order,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 014018 (1999) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.60.014018 [hep-ph/9810241].
- [13] E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15**, 725 (2000) doi:10.1142/S0217751X00000367 [hep-ph/9905237].
- [14] A. V. Kotikov and V. N. Velizhanin, “Analytic continuation of the Mellin moments of deep inelastic structure functions,” hep-ph/0501274.
- [15] J. Ablinger. A Computer Algebra Toolbox for Harmonic Sums Related to Particle Physics. Johannes Kepler University. Diploma Thesis. February 2009. [arXiv:1011.1176 [math-ph]].
- J. Ablinger. Computer Algebra Algorithms for Special Functions in Particle Physics. Johannes Kepler University. PhD Thesis. April 2012.
- J. Ablinger. Computing the Inverse Mellin Transform of Holonomic Sequences using Kovacic’s Algorithm. PoS RADCOR2017, 069, 2017. [arXiv:1801.01039 [cs.SC]].
- J. Ablinger. Inverse Mellin Transform of Holonomic Sequences. Johannes Kepler University. PoS LL 2016, 067, 2016. [arXiv:1606.02845 [cs.SC]].
- J. Ablinger. The package HarmonicSums: Computer Algebra and Analytic aspects of Nested Sums. Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory - LL 2014. [arXiv:1407.6180 [cs.SC]].
- J. Ablinger. Discovering and Proving Infinite Pochhammer Sum Identities. [arXiv:1902.11001 [math.CO]].
- J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider. Analytic and Algorithmic Aspects of Generalized Harmonic Sums and Polylogarithms. [arXiv:1302.0378 [math-ph]].
- J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider. Harmonic Sums and Polylogarithms Generated by Cyclotomic Polynomials. *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011) 102301. [arXiv:1105.6063 [math-ph]].
- J. Blümlein. Structural Relations of Harmonic Sums and Mellin Transforms up to Weight $w = 5$. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 2218. [arXiv:0901.3106 [hep-ph]].
- E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren. Harmonic polylogarithms. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** (2000) 725. [hep-ph/9905237].

- J. A. M. Vermaseren. Harmonic sums, Mellin transforms and integrals. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 14 (1999) 2037. [hep-ph/9806280].
- [16] A. Prygarin, “Reflection identities of harmonic sums up to weight three,” [arXiv:1808.09307 [hep-th]].
- [17] M. Joubat and A. Prygarin, “Hermitian Separability of BFKL eigenvalue in Bethe Salpeter approach,” [arXiv:2007.15388 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Prygarin, “BFKL Eigenvalue and Maximal Alternation of Harmonic Sums,” doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114734 [arXiv:1901.05248 [hep-th]].
- [19] S. Bondarenko and A. Prygarin, “Hermitian separability and transition from singlet to adjoint BFKL equations in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills Theory,” [arXiv:1510.00589 [hep-th]].
- [20] A. Prygarin, “Reflection identities of harmonic sums of weight four,” *Universe* **5**, 77 (2019) doi:10.3390/universe5030077 [arXiv:1809.06696 [math.NT]].
- [21] M. Joubat and A. Prygarin, “The analytic structure of the BFKL equation and reflection identities of harmonic sums at weight five,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **34**, no.11, 1950064 (2019) doi:10.1142/S0217751X19500647 [arXiv:1903.06773 [hep-th]].