

ON A VARIATIONAL APPROACH TO THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

ARKADY POLIAKOVSKY ¹

Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev,
P.O.B. 653, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain. The initial-boundary value problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations is the following one,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (i) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_x (v \otimes v) + \nabla_x p = \nu \Delta_x v + f & \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ (ii) \quad \operatorname{div}_x v = 0 & \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ (iii) \quad v = 0 & \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ (iv) \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \forall x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $v = v(x, t) : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is an unknown velocity, $p = p(x, t) : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown pressure, associated with v , $\nu > 0$ is a given constant viscosity, $f : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a given force field and $v_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a given initial velocity. The existence of weak solution to (1.1) satisfying the Energy inequality was first proved in the celebrating works of Leray (1934). There are many different procedures for constructing weak solutions (see Leray [9],[10] (1934); Kiselev and Ladyzhenskaya [8] (1957); Shinbrot [12] (1973)). The most common methods are based on the so called Faedo-Galerkin approximation process. Application of Faedo-Galerkin method for (1.1) was first considered by Hopf in [7]. We also refer to Masuda [11] for the problem in higher dimension. In this paper we present a variational method to investigate the Navier-Stokes equations that we thought to be completely new, see however the remarks below. As an application of this method we give a relatively simple proof of the existence of weak solutions to the problem (1.1).

Let us briefly describe our method. Consider for simplicity $f = 0$ in (1.1). For every smooth $u : \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying conditions (ii) – (iv) of (1.1) define the

MY OLD UNPUBLISHED PAPER (2007)

¹E-mail: poliakov@math.bgu.ac.il

energy functional

$$(1.2) \quad E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\nu |\nabla_x u|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} |\nabla_x \bar{H}_u|^2 \right) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x, T)|^2 dx ,$$

where $\bar{H}_u(x, t)$ solves the following Stokes system for every $t \in (0, T)$,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_x \bar{H}_u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_x (u \otimes u) \right) + \nabla_x p & x \in \Omega , \\ \operatorname{div}_x \bar{H}_u = 0 & x \in \Omega , \\ \bar{H}_u = 0 & \forall x \in \partial\Omega . \end{cases}$$

A simple integration by parts gives

$$(1.4) \quad E(u) = \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nu \nabla_x u - \nabla_x \bar{H}_u|^2 \right) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |v_0(x)|^2 dx .$$

Therefore, if there exists at least a smooth solution to (1.1) (with $f = 0$) then a smooth function $u : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ will be a solution to (1.1) (with $f = 0$) if and only if it is a minimizer of the functional in (1.2) among all smooth divergence free vector fields satisfying the boundary and the initial value conditions of (1.1). For the rigorous formulations and statements, see Section 5. This remark relates the problem of existence of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations to that of minimizing the energy $E(u)$.

Unfortunately, when applying this method to the Navier-Stokes Equation one meets certain difficulties, for example in proving the existence of minimizers to E . But we can apply this method to a suitable approximation of problem (1.1). We approximate (1.1) by replacing the nonlinear term $\operatorname{div}_x (v \otimes v)$ with the terms $\operatorname{div}_x \{f_n(|v|^2)(v \otimes v)\}$, where $f_n : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are regular cutoff functions satisfying $f_n(s) = 1$ for $s \leq n$ and $f_n(s) = 0$ for $s > 2n$. The approximating problems are simpler than (1.1), since the nonlinear term has higher integrability. Next we consider the energies E_n corresponding to the approximating problems and investigate the Euler-Lagrange equations of E_n and the existence of minimizers. In this way we get solutions to the approximating problems which satisfy the energy equality (in fact these solutions will be regular if the initial data and the domain are). Next we pass to the limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and obtain a weak solution to (1.1). For the details see Section 3.

After completing the first version of this paper I learned that an energy-functional, very similar to (1.2), was used by Ghoussoub and his coauthors, see [3, 4, 5, 6], to prove existence of weak solutions for (1.1) and to study many other evolution equations. The basic variational principle behind this approach was first introduced

by Brezis and Ekeland, see [1] (I wasn't aware of this article as well). The main new feature of our method is that, unlike the previous works mentioned above, we manage to deduce directly from the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with (1.2) that the minimizer is a solution of the original problem (1.1).

We shall now demonstrate our method in the simple example of the heat equation. In this case, the energy-functional takes the form

$$(1.5) \quad \bar{E}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla_x u|^2 + |\nabla_x \{\Delta_x^{-1}(\partial_t u)\}|^2 \right) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x, T)|^2 dx,$$

where $\Delta^{-1}f$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta y = f & x \in \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \forall x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The variational functional of type (1.5) was first considered by Brezis and Ekeland [1] in the more general case of gradient flows. Let us investigate the Euler-Lagrange equation for (1.5). If u satisfies $u(x, t) = 0$ for every $(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ and $u(x, 0) = v_0(x)$, then, as before,

$$\bar{E}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla_x \{u - \Delta_x^{-1}(\partial_t u)\}|^2 \right) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_0^2(x) dx,$$

Set $W_u := u - \Delta_x^{-1}(\partial_t u)$. Then, for every minimizer u and for every smooth test function $\delta(x, t)$ satisfying $\delta(x, t) = 0$ for every $(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ and $\delta(x, 0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d\bar{E}(u + s\delta)}{ds} \Big|_{(s=0)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)}|^2 - |\nabla_x W_u|^2 \right) = \\ &\quad - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\Delta_x W_{(u+s\delta)} - \Delta_x W_u) \cdot (W_{(u+s\delta)} + W_u) = \\ &\quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta_x \delta + \partial_t \delta) \cdot (W_{(u+s\delta)} + W_u) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\nabla W_u \cdot \nabla_x \delta + W_u \cdot \partial_t \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Since δ was arbitrary (in particular $\delta(x, T)$ is free) we deduce that $\Delta_x W_u + \partial_t W_u = 0$, $W_u(x, T) = 0$ and $W_u = 0$ if $x \in \partial\Omega$. Changing variables $\tau := T - t$ gives

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\tau} W_u = \Delta_x W_u & \forall (x, \tau) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ W_u(x, 0) = 0, \\ W_u(x, \tau) = 0 & \forall (x, \tau) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

Therefore $W_u = 0$ and then $\Delta_x u = \partial_t u$, i.e., u is the solution of the heat equation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ with ij -th entries a_{ij} and b_{ij} respectively, we write $A : B := \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q a_{ij} b_{ij}$.

Given a vector valued function $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$) we denote by $\nabla_x f$ the $k \times N$ matrix with ij -th entry $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$.

For a matrix valued function $F(x) := \{F_{ij}(x)\} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}$ we denote by $\operatorname{div} F$ the \mathbb{R}^k -valued vector field defined by $\operatorname{div} F := (l_1, \dots, l_k)$ where $l_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$. Throughout the rest of the paper we assume that Ω is domain in \mathbb{R}^N .

Definition 2.1. We denote:

- By \mathcal{V}_N the space $\{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) : \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\}$ and by L_N the space, which is the closure of \mathcal{V}_N in the space $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, endowed with the norm $\|\varphi\| := (\int_\Omega |\varphi|^2 dx)^{1/2}$.
- By $\bar{H}_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $|||\varphi||| := (\int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx)^{1/2}$. This space differ from $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ only in the case of unbounded domain.
- By V_N the closure of \mathcal{V}_N in $\bar{H}_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.
- By V_N^{-1} the space dual to V_N .
- By \mathcal{Y} the space

$$\mathcal{Y} := \{\varphi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times [0, T], \mathbb{R}^N) : \operatorname{div}_x \varphi = 0\}.$$

Remark 2.1. It is obvious that $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ (rigorously the equivalence class of u , up to gradients) belongs to V_N^{-1} if and only if there exists $w \in V_N$ such that

$$\int_\Omega \nabla w : \nabla \delta \, dx = - \langle u, \delta \rangle \quad \forall \delta \in V_N.$$

In particular $\Delta w = u + \nabla p$ as a distribution and

$$|||w||| = \sup_{\delta \in V_N, |||\delta||| \leq 1} \langle u, \delta \rangle = |||u|||_{-1}.$$

Definition 2.2. We will say that the distribution $l \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ belongs to $L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$, if there exists $v(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$, such that for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$, satisfying $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$, we have

$$\langle l(\cdot, \cdot), \psi(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle = \int_0^T \langle v(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot, t) \rangle \, dt.$$

Remark 2.2. Let $v(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$. For a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ consider $V_v(\cdot, t)$ as in Remark 2.1, corresponding to $v(\cdot, t)$, i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla_x V_v(x, t) : \nabla_x \delta(x) dx = - \langle v(\cdot, t), \delta(\cdot) \rangle \quad \forall \delta \in V_N.$$

Then it is clear that $V_v(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ and

$$\|V_v\|_{L^2(0, T; V_N)} = \|v\|_{L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})}.$$

In the sequel we will need several lemmas. In all of them $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. The following Lemma can be proved in the same way as Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 in [2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ be such that $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$. Consider $V_0(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ as in Remark 2.2, corresponding to $\partial_t u$. Then we can redefine u on a subset of $[0, T]$ of Lebesgue measure zero, so that $u(\cdot, t)$ will be L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$. Moreover, for every $0 \leq a < b \leq T$ and for every $\psi(x, t) \in \mathcal{Y}$ (see Definition 2.1) we will have*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x V_0 : \nabla_x \psi dx dt - \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \partial_t \psi dx dt \\ = \int_{\Omega} u(x, a) \cdot \psi(x, a) dx - \int_{\Omega} u(x, b) \cdot \psi(x, b) dx.$$

Remark 2.3. Let $F \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$ satisfying $F(0) = 0$. Then for every $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ we have $F(u) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}))$ and therefore $div_x F(u) \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$. If in addition $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ then we obtain $\partial_t u + div_x F(u) \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$.

We have then the following Corollary to Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. *Let u be as in Lemma 2.1 and let $F \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$ satisfying $F(0) = 0$. Assume, in addition, that $u(\cdot, t)$ is L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$ (see Lemma 2.1). Consider $V(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ as in Remark 2.2, corresponding to $\partial_t u + div_x F(u)$. Then for every $0 \leq a < b \leq T$ and for every $\psi(x, t) \in \mathcal{Y}$ we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x V : \nabla_x \psi dx dt - \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \partial_t \psi + F(u) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt \\ = \int_{\Omega} u(x, a) \cdot \psi(x, a) dx - \int_{\Omega} u(x, b) \cdot \psi(x, b) dx.$$

We will need in the sequel the following compactness result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ be a subsequence, bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ and such that*

$$(2.3) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_N),$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad u_n(\cdot, t) \rightharpoonup u_0(\cdot, t) \quad \text{weakly in } L_N \quad \forall t \in (0, T).$$

Then

$$(2.5) \quad u_n \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L_N).$$

We will give the proof of this Lemma in the Appendix.

3. EXISTENCE OF THE WEAK SOLUTION TO THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

Throughout this section we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain.

Definition 3.1. Let $F(v) = \{F_{ij}(v)\} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}) \cap Lip$ satisfy $F(0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial v_m}(v) = \frac{\partial F_{mj}}{\partial v_i}(v)$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $m, i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Denote the class of all such F by \mathfrak{F} .

Remark 3.1. Let $F \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then it is clear that there exists $G(v) = (G_1(v), \dots, G_N(v)) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $\frac{\partial G_i}{\partial v_j}(v) = F_{ij}(v)$ i.e. $\nabla_v G(v) = (F(v))^T$.

Using our variational approach, we will prove in the sequel the existence of a solution of the following problem

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_x F(v) + \nabla_x p = \Delta_x v & \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}_x v = 0 & \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ v = 0 & \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \forall x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

for every $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, which in addition satisfies the Energy Equality (see Theorem 4.1). But first of all, in the proof of the following theorem we would like to explain how this fact implies the existence of weak solution to the Navier-Stokes Equation.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $v_0(x) \in L_N$. Then there exists $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ satisfying*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} v_0(x) \cdot \psi(x, 0) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \partial_t \psi + (u \otimes u) : \nabla_x \psi) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x u : \nabla_x \psi,$$

for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times [0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$, i.e.

$$\Delta_x u = \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x(u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p, \quad \text{and } u(x, 0) = v_0(x).$$

Moreover, for a.e. $\tau \in [0, T]$ we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega |\nabla_x u|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega v_0^2(x) dx - \int_\Omega u^2(x, \tau) dx \right).$$

Proof. Fix some $h(s) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$, satisfying $h(s) = 1 \ \forall s \leq 1$ and $h(s) = 0 \ \forall s \geq 2$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $f_n(s) := h(s/n)$. Consider

$$(3.4) \quad F_n(v) := f_n(|v|^2)(v \otimes v) + g_n(|v|^2)I_N,$$

where I_N is a $N \times N$ -unit matrix and $g_n(r) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r f_n(s) ds$. Then for every n we have $F_n \in \mathfrak{F}$ and there exists $A > 0$ such that $|F_n(v)| \leq A|v|^2$ for every v and n . Fix also some sequence $\{v_0^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{V}_N$ such that $v_0^{(n)} \rightarrow v_0$ strongly in L_N as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 4.1, below, for every n there exist a function $u_n \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$, such that $\partial_t u_n \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ and $u_n(\cdot, t)$ is L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$, which satisfy

$$(3.5) \quad \int_\Omega v_0^{(n)}(x) \cdot \psi(x, 0) + \int_0^T \int_\Omega (u_n \cdot \partial_t \psi + F_n(u_n) : \nabla_x \psi) = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x u_n : \nabla_x \psi,$$

for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times [0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$. Moreover, by the same Theorem, for every $\tau \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_n^2(x, \tau) dx + \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega |\nabla_x u_n|^2 dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega (v_0^{(n)})^2(x) dx.$$

Therefore, since $v_0^{(n)}$ is bounded in L_N we obtain that there exists $C > 0$ independent of n and t such that

$$(3.7) \quad \|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L_N} \leq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$. By (3.5) and (2.2), for every $t \in [0, T]$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_N$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Omega v_0^{(n)}(x) \cdot \phi(x) dx - \int_0^t \int_\Omega \nabla_x u_n : \nabla_x \phi + \int_0^t \int_\Omega F_n(u_n) : \nabla_x \phi \\ = \int_\Omega u_n(x, t) \cdot \phi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|F_n(u_n)| \leq C|u_n|^2$, by (3.7),

$$(3.9) \quad \|F_n(u_n(\cdot, t))\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})} \leq \bar{C} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, T].$$

In particular $\{F_n(u_n)\}$ is bounded in $L^1(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$. Therefore, there exists a finite Radon measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$, such that, up to a subsequence, $F_n(u_n) \rightharpoonup \mu$ weakly as a sequence of finite Radon measures. Then for every $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$ we have

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega F_n(u_n) : \psi \, dx dt = \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \psi : d\mu.$$

Moreover, by (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad |\mu|(\Omega \times (a, b)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_\Omega |F_n(u_n)| \, dx dt \leq \bar{C}(b - a).$$

Then, by (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), for every $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_N$ and every $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \int_\Omega F_n(u_n(x, s)) : \nabla_x \phi(x) \, dx ds = \int_{\Omega \times (0, t)} \nabla_x \phi(x) : d\mu(x, s).$$

But since u_n is bounded in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$, up to a subsequence, it converge weakly in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$ to the limit u_0 . We also know that $u_n(\cdot, 0) \rightharpoonup v_0(\cdot)$ weakly in L_N . Plugging these facts and (3.12) into (3.8), for every $t \in [0, T]$ and every $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_N$ we infer

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega u_n(x, t) \cdot \phi(x) dx = \int_\Omega v_0(x) \cdot \phi(x) dx - \int_0^t \int_\Omega \nabla_x u_0 : \nabla_x \phi + \int_{\Omega \times (0, t)} \nabla_x \phi(x) : d\mu(x, s).$$

Since \mathcal{V}_N is dense in L_N , by (3.7), and (3.13), for every $t \in [0, T]$ there exists $u(\cdot, t) \in L_N$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad u_n(\cdot, t) \rightharpoonup u(\cdot, t) \quad \text{weakly in } L_N \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

Moreover, $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L_N} \leq C$. But we have $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$, therefore $u = u_0$ and so $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$. Then we can use (3.7), (3.14) and Lemma 2.2, to deduce that $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(0, T; L_N)$. Then, up to a subsequence, we have $u_n(x, t) \rightarrow u(x, t)$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. In particular $f_n(|u_n(x, t)|^2) \rightarrow 1$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega |f_n(|u_n|^2)(u_n \otimes u_n) - (u \otimes u)| \, dx dt \leq \\ & \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega |f_n(|u_n|^2)| \cdot |(u_n \otimes u_n) - (u \otimes u)| \, dx dt + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega u^2 |f_n(|u_n|^2) - 1| \, dx dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting n tend to ∞ in (3.5), we obtain (3.2). Moreover, by (3.6), for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain (3.3). This completes the proof. \square

4. PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO (3.1)

Throughout this section we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. The following Lemma can be proved in the same way as Theorem 4.1 in [2].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ be such that $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ and let $u(\cdot, t)$ be L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$ (see Lemma 2.1). Consider $V_0(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ as in Remark 2.2, corresponding to $\partial_t u$. Then for every $\tau \in [0, T]$ we have*

$$\int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \nabla_x u : \nabla_x V_0 \, dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega u^2(x, 0) dx - \int_\Omega u^2(x, \tau) dx \right).$$

Corollary 4.1. *Let $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ be such that $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$. Then $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$.*

We will give the proof of this Corollary in the Appendix.

Next we have the second Corollary to Lemma 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and let $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ be such that $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ and let $u(\cdot, t)$ be L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$ (see Lemma 2.1). Consider $V(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ as in Remark 2.2, corresponding to $\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x F(u)$ (see Remark 2.3). Then for every $\tau \in [0, T]$ we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \nabla_x u : \nabla_x V \, dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega u^2(x, 0) dx - \int_\Omega u^2(x, \tau) dx \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, for every $\tau \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \nabla_x V : \nabla_x u \, dx dt - \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega F(u) : \nabla_x u \, dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega u^2(x, 0) dx - \int_\Omega u^2(x, \tau) dx \right).$$

But for almost every $t \in [0, T]$ $u(\cdot, t) \in V_N$, therefore, for every such fixed t there exists a sequence $\{\delta_n(\cdot)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{V}_N$, such that $\delta_n(\cdot) \rightarrow u(\cdot, t)$ in V_N . But for every $\delta \in \mathcal{V}_N$ we obtain

$$\int_\Omega F(\delta) : \nabla_x \delta = \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N : F_{ij}(\delta) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial x_j} = \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial G_j}{\partial v_i}(\delta) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial x_j} = \int_\Omega \operatorname{div}_x G(\delta) = 0,$$

where G is as in Remark 3.1. Therefore, since F is Lipschitz function, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega F(u(x, t)) : \nabla_x u(x, t) \, dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega F(\delta_n(x)) : \nabla_x \delta_n(x) \, dx = 0.$$

Therefore, using (4.2), we obtain (4.1) and the result follows. \square

Definition 4.1. Let $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ be such that $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ and such that $u(\cdot, t)$ is L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$. Denote the set of all such functions u by \mathcal{R} . For a fixed $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and for every $u \in \mathcal{R}$ let $H_u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ be as in Remark 2.2, corresponding to $\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x F(u)$. That is for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$ we have

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega (u \cdot \partial_t \psi + F(u) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x H_u : \nabla_x \psi dx dt.$$

Define a functional $I_F(u) : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(4.3) \quad I_F(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^T \int_\Omega (|\nabla_x u|^2 + |\nabla_x H_u|^2) dx dt + \int_\Omega |u(x, T)|^2 dx \right),$$

and for every $v_0 \in V_N$ consider the minimization problem

$$(4.4) \quad \inf \{ I_F(u) : u \in \mathcal{R}, u(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot) \}.$$

Remark 4.1. Since by Corollary 4.2 we have

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x u : \nabla_x H_u dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega |u(x, 0)|^2 dx - \int_\Omega |u(x, T)|^2 dx \right),$$

we can rewrite the definition of I_F in (4.3) by

$$(4.5) \quad I_F(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_x u - \nabla_x H_u|^2 dx dt + \int_\Omega |u(x, 0)|^2 dx \right) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Lemma 4.2. For every $u \in \mathcal{R}$ and every $\delta(x, t) \in \mathcal{Y}$, such that $\delta(x, 0) = 0$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_F(u + s\delta) - I_F(u)}{s} = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left\{ \nabla_x W_u : \nabla_x \delta + \partial_t \delta \cdot W_u - \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \delta_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u \right\} dx dt,$$

where we denote $W_u := u - H_u$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad & \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)}|^2 - |\nabla_x W_u|^2 \right) = \\
& \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)} - \nabla_x W_u) : (\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)} + \nabla_x W_u) = \\
& \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^T \left\langle \left(s \cdot \partial_t \delta - s \Delta_x \delta + \operatorname{div}_x F(u+s\delta) - \operatorname{div}_x F(u) \right) (\cdot, t), (W_{(u+s\delta)} + W_u)(\cdot, t) \right\rangle dt \\
& = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)} + \nabla_x W_u) : \nabla_x \delta + \partial_t \delta(x, t) \cdot \frac{1}{2} (W_{(u+s\delta)}(x, t) + W_u(x, t)) \right\} \\
& \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{s} (F(u+s\delta) - F(u)) : \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)} + \nabla_x W_u) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since F is Lipschitz and C^1 , we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{1}{s} (F(u+s\delta) - F(u)) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}).$$

On the other hand, for every $h(x, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad & \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)} - \nabla_x W_u) : \nabla_x h(x, t) = \\
& \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(s \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\partial_t \delta - \Delta_x \delta) \cdot h dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (F(u+s\delta) - F(u)) : \nabla_x h dx dt \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4.10) \quad W_{(u+s\delta)} \rightharpoonup W_u \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_N).$$

In particular $W_{(u+s\delta)}$ remains bounded in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, by (4.7), we obtain

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)}|^2 - |\nabla_x W_u|^2) dx dt = 0.$$

So

$$(4.11) \quad W_{(u+s\delta)} \rightarrow W_u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; V_N).$$

Therefore, using (4.11) and (4.8) in (4.7), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad & \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x W_{(u+s\delta)}|^2 - |\nabla_x W_u|^2) = \\
& \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \nabla_x W_u : \nabla_x \delta + \partial_t \delta \cdot W_u - \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \delta_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u \right\} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

So, by (4.5) and (4.12), we obtain that for every $\delta(x, t) \in \mathcal{Y}$, such that $\delta(x, 0) = 0$, we must have (4.6). \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $u \in \mathcal{R}$ be a minimizer to (4.4). Then $H_u = u$, i.e.*

$$\Delta_x u = \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x F(u) + \nabla_x p.$$

Proof. Let $\delta(x, t) \in \mathcal{Y}$ be such that $\delta(x, 0) = 0$. Then for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ $(u + s\delta) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(u + s\delta)(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot)$. Therefore,

$$(4.13) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_F(u + s\delta) - I_F(u)}{s} = 0.$$

So, by (4.6) in Lemma 4.2, for every $\delta(x, t) \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\delta(x, 0) = 0$ we must have

$$(4.14) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \nabla_x W_u : \nabla_x \delta + \partial_t \delta \cdot W_u - \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \delta_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u \right\} dx dt = 0,$$

where $W_u \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ defined by $W_u = u - H_u$. Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j} \in L^\infty$, we obtain that the functional $L(\phi) : V_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$L(\phi) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \phi_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u dx$$

belongs to V_N^{-1} for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$. Moreover there exists $Q(x, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ such that for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$ we have

$$L(\phi) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \phi_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x Q(x, t) : \nabla_x \phi(x) dx \quad \forall \phi \in V_N.$$

Then from (4.14) we obtain that $\partial_t W_u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ and we have

$$(4.15) \quad \langle \partial_t W_u(\cdot, \cdot), \psi(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x (Q - W_u) : \nabla_x \psi dx dt$$

$$\forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 4.1 $W_u \in L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ and by Lemma 2.1 we can redefine $W_u(\cdot, t)$ on a set of Lebesgue measure zero on $[0, T]$ so that $W_u(\cdot, t)$ be L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$. From now we consider such W_u . Moreover, by (2.2) and (4.15), for every $\delta \in \mathcal{Y}$, such that $\delta(x, 0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x (Q - W_u) : \nabla_x \delta dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} W_u \cdot \partial_t \delta dx dt = - \int_{\Omega} W_u(x, T) \cdot \delta(x, T) dx,$$

or in the another form

$$(4.16) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x W_u : \nabla_x \delta \, dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \delta_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u \, dx dt \\ + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} W_u \cdot \partial_t \delta \, dx dt - \int_{\Omega} W_u(x, T) \cdot \delta(x, T) dx = 0.$$

Comparing (4.16) with (4.14), we obtain that $W_u(\cdot, T) = 0$. Therefore, by Corollary 4.1 and Lemma 4.1, for every $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$\int_t^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x W_u : \nabla_x (Q - W_u) \, dx ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} W_u^2(x, t) dx,$$

or in the equivalent form

$$(4.17) \quad \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x W_u|^2 \, dx ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} W_u^2(x, t) dx = \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N (W_u)_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u \, dx ds.$$

In particular there exists $C > 0$, independent of t , such that

$$\int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x W_u|^2 \, dx ds \leq \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N (W_u)_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u \, dx ds \\ \leq C \left(\int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x W_u|^2 \, dx ds \cdot \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |W_u|^2 \, dx ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

So

$$(4.18) \quad \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x W_u|^2 \, dx ds \leq C^2 \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |W_u|^2 \, dx ds.$$

Then, using (4.17) and (4.18) we obtain

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} W_u^2(x, t) dx \leq \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N (W_u)_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}(u) \right) : \nabla_x W_u \, dx ds \\ \leq C \left(\int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x W_u|^2 \, dx ds \cdot \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |W_u|^2 \, dx ds \right)^{1/2} \leq C^2 \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |W_u|^2 \, dx ds.$$

Then by Gronwall's Lemma $\int_{\Omega} W_u^2(x, t) dx = 0$. So, by definition of W_u we obtain $H_u = u$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.1. *For every $v_0(\cdot) \in V_N$ there exists a minimizer u to (4.4). It satisfies $H_u = u$, i.e.*

$$\Delta_x u = \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x F(u) + \nabla_x p,$$

$u(x, 0) = v_0(x)$ and

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, \tau) dx + \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x u|^2 dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_0^2(x) dx \quad \forall \tau \in [0, T].$$

Moreover if $v \in \mathcal{R}$ satisfy $v(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot)$ and $H_v = v$, i.e. $\Delta_x v = \partial_t v + \operatorname{div}_x F(v) + \nabla_x p$, then v is a minimizer to (4.4).

Proof. First of all we want to note that the set $A_{v_0} := \{u \in \mathcal{R} : u(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot)\}$ is not empty. In particular the function $u_0(\cdot, t) := v_0(\cdot)$ belongs to A_{v_0} . Let

$$K := \inf_{u \in A_{v_0}} I_F(u).$$

Then $K \geq 0$. Consider the minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset A_{v_0}$, i.e. the sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_F(u_n) = K$. Then, by the definition of I_F in (4.3), we obtain that there exists $C > 0$, independent of n , such that

$$(4.21) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x u_n|^2 + |\nabla_x H_{u_n}|^2) dx dt \leq C.$$

Then up to a subsequence,

$$(4.22) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_N) \text{ and } H_{u_n} \rightharpoonup \bar{H} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_N).$$

From the other hand, by Corollary 4.2, for every $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n^2(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_n^2(x, 0) dx - 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x u_n : \nabla_x H_{u_n}.$$

Therefore, since, u_n and H_{u_n} are bounded in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$ by (4.21) and $u_n(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot)$ we obtain that there exists $C > 0$ independent of n and t such that

$$(4.23) \quad \|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L_N} \leq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, T].$$

In particular, up to a further subsequence $F(u_n) \rightharpoonup \bar{F}$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$.

Then by (4.22) and (2.2), for every $t \in [0, T]$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_N$, we have

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x, t) \cdot \phi(x) dx &= \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n(x, 0) \cdot \phi(x) dx - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x H_{u_n} : \nabla_x \phi + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} F(u_n) : \nabla_x \phi \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} v_0(x) \cdot \phi(x) dx - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \bar{H} : \nabla_x \phi + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \bar{F} : \nabla_x \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{V}_N is dense in L_N , by (4.23), and (4.24), for every $t \in [0, T]$ there exists $u(\cdot, t) \in L_N$ such that

$$(4.25) \quad u_n(\cdot, t) \rightharpoonup u(\cdot, t) \quad \text{weakly in } L_N \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L_N} \leq C$. But we have $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; L_N)$, therefore $u = u_0$ a.e. and so $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$. Then using (4.23), (4.22), (4.25) and Lemma 2.2 we deduce that

$$(4.26) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L_N).$$

Moreover, by (4.24) we obtain that $u(\cdot, t)$ is L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$. Therefore, by (4.25) and (4.22),

$$(4.27) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_x u|^2 dx dt + \int_\Omega |u(x, T)|^2 dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_x u_n|^2 dx dt + \int_\Omega |u_n(x, T)|^2 dx \right).$$

Next for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$ we obtain

$$(4.28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega (u_n \cdot \partial_t \psi + F(u_n) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x H_{u_n} : \nabla_x \psi dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x \bar{H} : \nabla_x \psi dx dt.$$

But since F is a Lipschitz function, by (4.26) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega (u_n \cdot \partial_t \psi + F(u_n) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega (u \cdot \partial_t \psi + F(u) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt$$

So, by (4.28), for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$ we deduce

$$(4.29) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega (u \cdot \partial_t \psi + F(u) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x \bar{H} : \nabla_x \psi dx dt.$$

In particular $\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x F(u) \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$. Therefore $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ and then $u \in A_{v_0} = \{u \in \mathcal{R} : u(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot)\}$. Moreover, by (4.29), we obtain that $H_u = \bar{H}$.

So, as before,

$$(4.30) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_x H_u|^2 dx dt \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_x H_{u_n}|^2 dx dt.$$

Combining (4.30) with (4.27), we infer

$$I_F(u) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_F(u_n) = K.$$

Therefore, u is a minimizer to (4.4). By Lemma 4.3 it satisfies $H_u = u$, i.e.

$$\Delta_x u = \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x F(u) + \nabla_x p.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.1, for every $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x u : \nabla_x H_u = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} v_0^2(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, t) dx \right).$$

Therefore we obtain (4.20). Moreover, $I_F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_0^2(x) dx$. Finally if $v \in \mathcal{R}$ satisfy $v(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot)$ and $H_v = v$ then by (4.5) we have $I_F(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_0^2(x) dx = I_F(u)$. So v is a minimizer to (4.4). \square

Remark 4.2. For a fixed $r(x, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ we can define a functional $\bar{I}_{\{F, r\}}(u) : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(4.31) \quad \bar{I}_{\{F, r\}}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_x u + \nabla_x r|^2 + |\nabla_x H_u - \nabla_x r|^2) dx dt + \int_{\Omega} |u(x, T)|^2 dx \right),$$

and for every $v_0 \in V_N$ we can consider the minimization problem

$$(4.32) \quad \inf \{ \bar{I}_{\{F, r\}}(u) : u \in \mathcal{R}, u(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot) \}.$$

Then similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.1 we can prove that there exists a minimizer u to (4.32) and it satisfies $H_u = u + r$, i.e.

$$\Delta_x u + \Delta_x r = \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x F(u) + \nabla_x p.$$

Then, using this fact, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can deduce the existence of a weak solution to (1.1) with $f \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$.

Remark 4.3. Similar method as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can apply to the unbounded domain Ω . In this case we consider a sequence of smooth bounded domains $\{\Omega_n\}$, such that $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \Omega$, and a sequence $v_0^{(n)} \rightarrow v_0$ in L_N , such that $\operatorname{supp} v_0^{(n)} \subset \Omega_n$. Consider $u_n(x, t) \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega_n)$, such that $u_n(\cdot, 0) = v_0^{(n)}(\cdot)$ and for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_n \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$, satisfying $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$, we have (3.5), where F_n is defined by (3.4). Then we can deduce that there exists $u \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L_{loc}^2(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$. Then u will satisfy conditions of Theorem 3.1.

5. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE FOR MORE REGULAR SOLUTIONS OF THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain with Lipschitz boundary (not necessarily bounded). We denote by H_N the closure of \mathcal{V}_N in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ (the spaces H_N and V_N differ only in the case of unbounded domain). For every $u \in L^4(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$(u \otimes u) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}))$ and therefore $\operatorname{div}_x(u \otimes u) \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$. If in addition $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ then we obtain $\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x(u \otimes u) \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$.

Definition 5.1. Let $u \in L^2(0, T; H_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$ be such that $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V_N^{-1})$ and such that $u(\cdot, t)$ is L_N -weakly continuous in t on $[0, T]$. Denote the set of all such functions u by \mathcal{R}' . Denote the set $\mathcal{R}' \cap L^4(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ by \mathcal{P} . For every $u \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\bar{H}_u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ be as in Remark 2.2, corresponding to $\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x(u \otimes u)$. That is for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$ we have

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega (u \cdot \partial_t \psi + (u \otimes u) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x \bar{H}_u : \nabla_x \psi dx dt.$$

For a fixed $r(x, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ define a functional $J_{\{\varphi, r\}}(u) : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(5.1) \quad J_{\{\varphi, r\}}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^T \int_\Omega (|\nabla_x u + \nabla_x r|^2 + |\nabla_x \bar{H}_u - \nabla_x r|^2) dx dt + \int_\Omega |u(x, T)|^2 dx \right).$$

Theorem 5.1. Let $v_0 \in L_N$ and $r(x, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$. Assume that there exists $u \in \mathcal{P}$ which satisfies $u(x, 0) = v_0(x)$, and

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega (u \cdot \partial_t \psi + (u \otimes u) : \nabla_x \psi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\nabla_x u + \nabla_x r) : \nabla_x \psi dx dt$$

for every $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $\operatorname{div}_x \psi = 0$, i.e.

$$\Delta_x u = \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_x(u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p - \Delta_x r.$$

Then u is a minimizer of the following problem

$$(5.3) \quad \inf \{ J_{\{\varphi, r\}}(u) : u \in \mathcal{P}, u(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot) \}.$$

Moreover if \bar{u} is a minimizer to (5.3), then \bar{u} is a solution to (5.2).

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [2] we obtain that for every $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{P}$ we must have

$$(5.4) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x \bar{u} : \nabla_x H_{\bar{u}} dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega \bar{u}^2(x, 0) dx - \int_\Omega \bar{u}^2(x, T) dx \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(5.5) \quad J_{\{\varphi, r\}}(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_\Omega (|\nabla_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x r - \nabla_x H_{\bar{u}}|^2 + |\nabla_x r|^2) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \bar{u}^2(x, 0) dx.$$

Therefore, $u \in \mathcal{P}$ which satisfy $u(x, 0) = v_0(x)$ and $\nabla_x u + \nabla_x r = \nabla_x H_u$ will be the minimizer to (5.3). Then also every minimizer \bar{u} will satisfy $\nabla_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x r = \nabla_x H_{\bar{u}}$, i.e. will satisfy (5.2). \square

APPENDIX A.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Since for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and every $t \in (0, T)$ the functional $l_{n,t}(\phi) := \int_{\Omega} u_n(x, t) \cdot \phi(x) dx$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, by Rietz Representation Theorem for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and every $t \in [0, T]$ there exists $w_n(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$(A.1) \quad l_{n,t}(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} u_n(x, t) \cdot \phi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x w_n(x, t) : \nabla_x \phi(x) dx \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N),$$

Equation (A.1) gives in particular

$$(A.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_n(x, t) \cdot w_n(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x w_n(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

Then we obtain that there exist $C_0, C > 0$, independent of n and t , such that

$$(A.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x w_n(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C.$$

Moreover, using (2.4), (A.2) and the compact embedding of $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$(A.4) \quad w_n(\cdot, t) \rightarrow w_0(\cdot, t) \quad \text{strongly in } H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \quad \forall t \in (0, T).$$

We have $w_n(\cdot, \cdot) \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N))$ and moreover, by (A.4) and (A.3), we obtain

$$(A.5) \quad w_n(\cdot, \cdot) \rightarrow w_0(\cdot, \cdot) \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)).$$

But, by (A.1), we have,

$$(A.6) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x w_n(x, t) : \nabla_x u_n(x, t) dx dt,$$

and since $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$, by (A.5), we obtain

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x w_n(x, t) : \nabla_x u_n(x, t) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x w_0(x, t) : \nabla_x u_0(x, t) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |u_0(x, t)|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

But by (2.3) we have

$$(A.8) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L_N).$$

Therefore, by (A.8) and (A.7) we obtain (2.5). □

Proof of Corollary 4.1. Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be a mollifying kernel, satisfying $\eta \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t) dt = 1$, $\text{supp } \eta \subset [-1, 1]$ and $\eta(-t) = \eta(t) \forall t$. Given small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\psi(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (2\varepsilon, T - 2\varepsilon), \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\text{div}_x \psi = 0$, define

$$(A.9) \quad \psi_\varepsilon(x, t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \eta\left(\frac{s-t}{\varepsilon}\right) \psi(x, s) ds.$$

Then $\psi_\varepsilon(x, t) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T), \mathbb{R}^N)$ and satisfies $\text{div}_x \psi_\varepsilon = 0$. Therefore we obtain

$$(A.10) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega u \cdot \partial_t \psi_\varepsilon dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x V_u : \nabla_x \psi_\varepsilon dx dt,$$

where $V_u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; V_N)$ is as in Remark 2.2, corresponding to $\partial_t u$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega u \cdot \partial_t \psi_\varepsilon dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega u(x, t) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \eta\left(\frac{s-t}{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_s \psi(x, s) ds \right) dx dt = \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_t \psi(x, t) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \eta\left(\frac{s-t}{\varepsilon}\right) u(x, s) ds \right) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_t \psi(x, t) \cdot u_\varepsilon(x, t) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_\varepsilon(x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \eta((s-t)/\varepsilon) u(x, s) ds$. By the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x V_u : \nabla_x \psi_\varepsilon dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x V_u(x, t) : \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \eta\left(\frac{s-t}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_x \psi(x, s) ds \right) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x \psi(x, t) : \nabla_x \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \eta\left(\frac{s-t}{\varepsilon}\right) V_u(x, s) ds \right) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x \psi(x, t) : \nabla_x (V_u)_\varepsilon(x, t) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $(V_u)_\varepsilon(x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \eta((s-t)/\varepsilon) V_u(x, s) ds$. Therefore, by (A.10), we infer

$$(A.11) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_t \psi dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla_x (V_u)_\varepsilon : \nabla_x \psi dx dt.$$

So $\partial_t u_\varepsilon \in L^2(2\varepsilon, T - 2\varepsilon; V_N^{-1})$. Moreover $u_\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; V_N) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$. We have $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ and $(V_u)_\varepsilon \rightarrow V_u$ strongly in $L^2(0, T; V_N)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, up to a subsequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, we have $u_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u(\cdot, t)$ strongly in L_N a.e. in $[0, T]$. In addition, by Lemma 4.1, for every $a, b \in [2\varepsilon, T - 2\varepsilon]$ we have

$$(A.12) \quad \int_a^b \int_\Omega \nabla_x u_\varepsilon : \nabla_x (V_u)_\varepsilon dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^2(x, a) dx - \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^2(x, b) dx \right).$$

Then letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (A.12), we obtain that for almost every a and b in $(0, T)$ we have

$$\int_a^b \int_\Omega \nabla_x u : \nabla_x V_u dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega u^2(x, a) dx - \int_\Omega u^2(x, b) dx \right).$$

So $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L_N)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Brezis and I. Ekeland, *Un principe variationnel associé à certaines équations paraboliques, Le cas indépendant du temps*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **282**, A971–A974 (1976)
- [2] G.P. Galdi, *An introduction to the Navier-Stokes initial-boundary value problem*, Fundamental directions in mathematical fluid mechanics, 1–70, Adv. Math. Fluid Mech., Birkhauser, Basel (2000).
- [3] N. Ghoussoub, *Antisymmetric Hamiltonians: variational resolutions for Navier-Stokes and other nonlinear evolutions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **60** (2007), 619–653.
- [4] N. Ghoussoub and A. Moameni, *Anti-symmetric Hamiltonians (II): Variational resolutions for Navier-Stokes and other nonlinear evolutions*, preprint: arXiv:math/0702339v1.
- [5] N. Ghoussoub, A. Moameni, *Selfdual variational principles for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian and other dynamical systems*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), 771–795.
- [6] N. Ghoussoub and L. Tzou, *A variational principle for gradient flows*, Math. Ann. **330** (2004), 519–549.
- [7] E. Hopf, *Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen*, Math. Nachr. **4** (1951), 213–231.
- [8] A.A. Kiselev and O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, *On the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the nonstationary problem for a viscous, incompressible fluid*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **21** (1957), 655–680.
- [9] J. Leray, *Essai sur les mouvements plans d’un liquide visqueux que limitent des parois*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **13** (1934), 331–418.
- [10] J. Leray, *Sur les Mouvements d’un Liquide Visqueux Emplissant l’Espace*, Acta. Math. **63** (1934), 193–248.
- [11] K. Masuda, *Weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **36** (1984), 623–646.
- [12] M. Shinbrot, *Lectures on Fluid Mechanics*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973.
- [13] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations*, North Holland, 1977.