

$(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ -summed form of QED effective action

Jose Navarro-Salas^{1,*} and Silvia Pla^{1,†}

¹*Departamento de Fisica Teorica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC. Facultad de Fisica, Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot-46100, Valencia, Spain.*

(Dated: December 4, 2020)

We conjecture that the proper-time series expansion of the one loop effective lagrangian of quantum electrodynamics can be summed in all terms containing the field strength invariants $\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}(x)$, $\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}(x)$, including those possessing also derivatives of the electromagnetic field strength. This partial resummation is exactly encapsulated in a factor with the same form as the Heisenberg-Euler Lagrangian density, except that now the electric and magnetic fields can depend arbitrarily on spacetime coordinates. We provide strong evidence for this conjecture, which is proved to sixth order in the proper time. Furthermore, and as a byproduct, we also generate some solvable electromagnetic backgrounds. We also find a generalization of the Schwinger formula for the pair production rate valid for non-constant, but slowly varying, electric fields.

Introduction and main results. The Heisenberg-Euler Lagrangian [1] describes the non-linearities of quantum electrodynamics (QED) when the fermionic degrees of freedom of matter are integrated out and the strength of the electromagnetic background is kept constant [2, 3]. At leading order, the quantum corrected term of the Heisenberg-Euler action reads

$$S^{(1)} = \frac{e^4 \hbar}{360\pi^2 m_e^4 c^8} \int d^4x [(\vec{E}^2 - \vec{B}^2)^2 + 7(\vec{E}\vec{B})^2] + \dots \quad (1)$$

where m_e is the mass of the electron. The intrinsic non-linearities of the quantum corrections have very important implications: light-by-light scattering, vacuum polarization, pair creation from vacuum, etc (see [4] and references therein). A similar action can also be constructed for scalar QED [5]. Both theories, when reexpressed in the modern language of quantum field theory [6], can be regarded as the archetypical models of effective field theories. Furthermore, the phenomena of spontaneous pair creation by electric fields is linked to the imaginary part of the effective action, as first showed by Schwinger for a constant electric field. Since the rate of particle production [6] has an essential singularity in the electric charge, it is also regarded as the prototype of an intrinsically non-perturbative effect. It could be at the verge of being experimentally detected [7]. Spontaneous particle creation is also fundamental in cosmology and black holes physics [8–12].

For arbitrary background configurations the form of the effective action is generically unknown, up to some specific exactly solvable cases [2]. However, one can construct a generic asymptotic expansion for the one-loop effective action for scalar/spinor QED based on the Schwinger proper-time expansion of the Feynman propagator [6]. It is closely connected to the heat-kernel expansion and related techniques [13]. More precisely, the

propagator can be expressed as (from now on we take $c = 1 = \hbar$)

$$G_F(x, x') = -i \int_0^\infty ds e^{-im^2 s} \langle x, s|x', 0 \rangle, \quad (2)$$

with the kernel $\langle x, s|x', 0 \rangle$ obeying an imaginary time diffusion equation with appropriate boundary condition. The “transition amplitude” $\langle x, s|x', 0 \rangle$ admits an asymptotic expansion in powers of “proper time” s which translates, at coincidence $x = x'$, into an expansion of the one-loop effective action $S^{(1)}$

$$S^{(1)} = -\frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-im^2 s} \text{tr} \langle x, s|x, 0 \rangle. \quad (3)$$

The role of the classical electromagnetic background can be replaced by the gravitational field, which is naturally coupled to quantized matter fields [14]. In general $\langle x, s|x, 0 \rangle \equiv \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} f(x, x; is)$ can be expanded as $\langle x, s|x, 0 \rangle = \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (is)^n a_n(x)$, where the DeWitt coefficients a_n are local, covariant, and gauge-invariant quantities of mass dimension $2n$ [14, 15] (see also [10–13]). In the purely gravitational context, there is also a special soluble case, namely, the static Einstein universe, for which the Feynman propagator, and hence the effective action, can be evaluated exactly [16]. Bekenstein and Parker found that $\langle x, s|x', 0 \rangle$ can be expressed as a Gaussian path integral, leading to $\langle x, s|x, 0 \rangle = \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} e^{-iR(x)(\xi - \frac{1}{6})s}$, where ξ is the coupling of the real scalar field with the Ricci scalar R . This is a non-perturbative result since involves all powers of s ($s \rightarrow 0$ represents the ultraviolet region, while $s \rightarrow \infty$ describes the infrared behavior). The remarkable point here is that the above solution captures the exact dependence on the Ricci scalar in the generic Schwinger-DeWitt, or heat-kernel, expansion. The general form of the kernel admits the following factorization

$$\langle x, s|x, 0 \rangle = \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} e^{-iR(x)(\xi - \frac{1}{6})s} \bar{f}(x, x; is), \quad (4)$$

* jnavarro@ific.uv.es

† silvia.pla@uv.es

where the proper-time series of $\bar{f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (is)^n \bar{a}_n(x)$ contain no terms which vanishes when $R(x)$ is replaced by zero [12]. This was first conjectured by Parker and Toms [17], providing evidence to third order in the proper time (for a detailed proof see [18] and for the counterpart in adiabatic regularization see [19]). Therefore, the quantum piece of the gravitational effective lagrangian can be further expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{gravity}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{e^{-im^2 s}}{(4\pi is)^2} e^{-iR(x)(\xi - \frac{1}{6})s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (is)^n \bar{a}_n(x) \quad (5)$$

This factorization of the non-perturbative term $e^{-iR(x)(\xi - \frac{1}{6})s}$ has major physical consequences to account for the effective dynamics of the Universe and the observed cosmological acceleration [20–27].

In this Letter we point out a somewhat similar factorization for the electromagnetic interaction. We formulate the following conjecture: *the proper-time asymptotic expansion of the QED effective Lagrangian admits an exact resummation in all terms involving the field strength invariants $\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}(x)$, $\mathcal{G}(x) = \frac{1}{4}\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}(x)$. The form of the factor involved in this partial resummation is just the Heisenberg-Euler Lagrangian for QED, where the electric and magnetic fields depend arbitrary on space-time coordinates. We provide strong evidence of the validity of this conjecture, for both scalar and spinor QED. For simplicity we restrict ourself to Minkowski spacetime.*

Since our partial resummation involves two quantities, $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$, instead of the single Ricci scalar R , the factorization property described here is more involved than the factorization of the exponential term found in gravity. We find that (for computational purposes we find very useful to use the notation of ref. [12])

$$\mathcal{L}_{scalar}^{(1)} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{e^{-im^2 s}}{(4\pi is)^2} \left[\det \left(\frac{esF(x)}{\sinh(esF(x))} \right) \right]^{1/2} \times \bar{g}(x; is), \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{spinor}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{e^{-im^2 s}}{(4\pi is)^2} \left[\det \left(\frac{esF(x)}{\sinh(esF(x))} \right) \right]^{1/2} \times \text{tr} [e^{-\frac{1}{2}esF_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}}] \bar{h}(x; is), \quad (7)$$

with $F(x) \equiv F_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)$ and $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$. While the factors $\left[\det \left(\frac{esF(x)}{\sinh(esF(x))} \right) \right]^{1/2}$ and $\text{tr} [e^{-\frac{1}{2}esF_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}}]$ are functions depending only on $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$, the proper-time series for $\bar{g}(x; is)$ and $\bar{h}(x; is)$ contain no terms which vanish when $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$ (but not its derivative) is replaced by zero. Therefore, all dependence on $\mathcal{F}(x), \mathcal{G}(x)$ have been encapsulated in the Heisenberg-Euler type factors of the above expansions.

Our computations rely on previous results for the Schwinger-DeWitt expansion of the effective action obtained within the so-called string-inspired world-line formalism [28–31] (see also [32, 33]).

Scalar QED. Let us consider a quantized complex scalar field ϕ coupled to an electromagnetic background field. The scalar field satisfies the equation $(D_{\mu}D^{\mu} + m^2)\phi = 0$, where $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu}$. The one-loop effective action of the scalar field $S_{scalar}^{(1)}$ admits an adiabatic expansion, of the form (3), consisting of an expansion in the number of external fields and the number of derivatives. [It is also related to the so-called large mass or inverse mass expansion]. This expansion can be re-expressed, using the string-inspired method in the world-line formalism [28], in the form

$$S_{scalar}^{(1)} = -i \int d^4x \int_0^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} e^{-ism^2} g(x; is) \quad (8)$$

where ($O_0 = 1$)

$$g(x; is) = \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-is)^n}{n!} O_n(x). \quad (9)$$

$g(x; is)$ is directly related to the heat-kernel, up to total derivatives

$$g(x; is) = \langle x, s | x, 0 \rangle + \text{total derivatives} \quad (10)$$

The advantage now is that it is possible to find a minimal basis for the coefficients $O_n(x)$ using the Bianchi identity, the antisymmetry of $F_{\mu\nu}$ and also integration by parts [34]. In this basis, the gauge invariant coefficients of the expansion O_n are written in the most compact form possible. The coefficients O_n have been obtained up to 12th adiabatic order ($n = 6$) [28]. The expressions given in [28] are also valid for non-abelian gauge backgrounds and also include a matrix valued scalar potential $V(x)$. The first five coefficients of this expansion are given by

$$O_1 = 0, \quad O_2 = -\frac{e^2}{6} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad O_3 = -\frac{e^2}{20} \partial_{\mu} F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^{\mu} F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (11)$$

$$O_4 = \frac{e^4}{15} F_{\kappa}^{\mu} F^{\kappa\lambda} F_{\lambda}^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{e^4}{12} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{e^2}{70} \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^{\nu} \partial^{\mu} F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (12)$$

$$O_5 = \frac{2e^4}{7} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\lambda} F_{\nu\rho} \partial_{\mu} F_{\kappa}^{\rho} - \frac{4e^4}{63} F_{\kappa}^{\mu} F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} F^{\nu\rho} \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{e^4}{9} F_{\kappa}^{\mu} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\nu\rho} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{16e^4}{63} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} F_{\kappa}^{\rho} \partial_{\nu} F_{\lambda\rho} + \frac{5e^4}{18} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho} F_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\rho} F_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{34e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} F_{\lambda\rho} \partial^{\rho} F_{\kappa\mu} + \frac{25e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho} F_{\lambda\nu} \partial^{\rho} F_{\kappa\mu} + \frac{4e^4}{21} F_{\kappa}^{\mu} F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_{\rho} F_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\rho} F_{\lambda}^{\nu} + \frac{e^4}{12} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_{\rho} F_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\rho} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{e^2}{252} \partial_{\rho} \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\nu} \partial^{\mu} F^{\kappa\lambda}.$$

We remark again that the above proper-time expansion can be regarded as an adiabatic expansion, with the adiabatic assignment 1 for A_{μ} , 2 for $F_{\mu\nu}$, 3 for $\partial_{\rho} F_{\mu\nu}$, etc. The adiabatic order for O_n is $2n$. This expansion is different from a purely derivative expansion of the effective action, as given for instance in [35].

Our claim is that we can make a partial resummation of the proper-time asymptotic expansion of the effective action, factorizing all terms containing the field strength invariants $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$, namely

$$g(x; is) = \left[\det \left(\frac{esF(x)}{\sinh(esF(x))} \right) \right]^{1/2} \bar{g}(x; is) \quad (14)$$

$$\equiv U(x)\bar{g}(x;is),$$

where $\bar{g}(x;is)$ can be adiabatically expanded as

$$\bar{g}(x;is) = \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{O}_n}{n!} (-is)^n. \quad (15)$$

The first terms of the adiabatic/proper-time expansion of the resummed function are

$$U(x) \sim 1 + U_2(x)(-is)^2 + U_4(x)(-is)^4 + U_6(x)(-is)^6 + \dots, \quad (16)$$

where

$$U_2(x) = \frac{e^2}{12} \text{Tr}(F^2), \quad (17)$$

$$U_4(x) = \frac{e^4}{288} \text{Tr}(F^2)^2 + \frac{e^4}{360} \text{Tr}(F^4), \quad (18)$$

$$U_6(x) = \frac{e^6}{10368} \text{Tr}(F^2)^3 + \frac{e^6}{4320} \text{Tr}(F^2) \text{Tr}(F^4) + \frac{e^6}{5670} \text{Tr}(F^6). \quad (19)$$

Combining the expansions above we can immediately obtain the form of the \bar{O}_n adiabatic coefficients. For the first terms we find $\bar{O}_1 = \bar{O}_2 = 0$, $\bar{O}_3 = O_3$,

$$\bar{O}_4 = -\frac{e^2}{70} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^\nu \partial^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{O}_5 = & \frac{2e^4}{7} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\rho} - \frac{4e^4}{63} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\lambda F^{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} (21) \\ & - \frac{e^4}{9} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{16e^4}{63} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu F_{\kappa}{}^\rho \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\rho} \\ & + \frac{5e^4}{18} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{34e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\rho} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\mu} \\ & + \frac{25e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho F_{\lambda\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\mu} + \frac{4e^4}{21} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\lambda}{}^\nu \\ & - \frac{e^2}{252} \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^\rho \partial^\nu \partial^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the \bar{O}_n coefficients do not contain any term going as $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$, or, equivalently, they do not contain any term proportional to $\text{Tr}(F^2)$, $\text{Tr}(F^4)$, $\text{Tr}(F^6) \dots$. We have verified our conjecture to sixth order in proper time. The expression for O_6 contains 41 terms and it is, as far as we know, the highest available coefficient in the literature.

If we include an additional scalar field background $\Phi(x)$ the quantized charged scalar satisfies $(D_\mu D^\mu + m^2 + \Phi(x))\phi = 0$. We have verified that the factorization of the Heisenberg-Euler type factor also occurs. Furthermore, we find that in this case we can also factorize an exponential term, that is,

$$g(x;is) = \left[\det \left(\frac{esF(x)}{\sinh(esF(x))} \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{-is\Phi(x)} \bar{g}(x;is). \quad (22)$$

The exponential factorization was also found in [18, 30] without the electromagnetic background. For the case given in (22) O_6 has 97 terms while \bar{O}_6 has 62.

We note finally that a purely derivative expansion [35] does not satisfies the factorization property obtained within the proper-time expansion.

Spinor QED. Let us consider now a quantized charged spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field ψ . The second-order equation for the spinor field is $(D_\mu D^\mu + m^2 - \frac{i}{2} e F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu})\psi = 0$. As

in the scalar case, the induced one-loop effective action admits the following adiabatic expansion

$$\mathcal{S}_{spinor}^{(1)} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-im^2 s} h(x;is), \quad (23)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} h(x;is) &= \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} \text{tr} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-is)^n}{n!} O_n(x) \quad (24) \\ &\equiv \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-is)^n}{n!} o_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

and where we have defined $o_n = \text{tr} O_n$. Note that $O_0 = I_{4 \times 4}$ and $o_0 = 4$. Again, we will use the expansion given in [28] (with the appropriate redefinitions). The leading terms of this adiabatic expansion are

$$o_1 = 0, \quad o_2 = \frac{4e^2}{3} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad o_3 = \frac{4e^2}{5} \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} o_4 = & -\frac{56e^4}{15} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} F_{\lambda}{}^\nu F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{4e^4}{3} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad (26) \\ & + \frac{12e^4}{35} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^\nu \partial^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} o_5 = & \frac{8e^4}{7} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu F_{\kappa}{}^\rho - \frac{16e^4}{63} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\lambda F^{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} \quad (27) \\ & + \frac{8e^4}{9} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{232e^4}{63} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu F_{\kappa}{}^\rho \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\rho} \\ & + \frac{40e^4}{9} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{136e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\rho} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\mu} \\ & - \frac{656e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho F_{\lambda\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\mu} - \frac{320e^4}{21} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\lambda}{}^\nu \\ & + \frac{8e^4}{3} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{8e^2}{63} \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^\rho \partial^\nu \partial^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the resummed expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h(x;is) &= \left[\det \left(\frac{esF}{\sinh(esF)} \right) \right]^{1/2} \text{tr} [e^{-es\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}}] \bar{h}(x;is) \\ &\equiv W(x) \bar{h}(x;is), \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{h}(x;is) = \frac{i}{(4\pi is)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-is)^n}{n!} \bar{o}_n(x). \quad (29)$$

In this case, the adiabatic expansion of the resummed function is given by

$$W(x) \sim \text{tr} I + W_2(x)(-is)^2 + W_4(x)(-is)^4 + W_6(x)(-is)^6 + \dots, \quad (30)$$

where

$$W_2(x) = -\frac{2e^2}{3} \text{Tr}(F^2), \quad (31)$$

$$W_4(x) = \frac{e^4}{18} \text{Tr}(F^2)^2 - \frac{7e^4}{45} \text{Tr}(F^4), \quad (32)$$

$$W_6(x) = -\frac{e^6}{324} \text{Tr}(F^2)^3 + \frac{7e^6}{270} \text{Tr}(F^2) \text{Tr}(F^4) - \frac{124e^6}{2835} \text{Tr}(F^6). \quad (33)$$

Then, combining all previous expansions, we find the following coefficients for the resummed expansion, $\bar{o}_0 = 1$, $\bar{o}_1 = \bar{o}_2 = 0$, $4\bar{o}_3 = o_3$,

$$4\bar{o}_4 = \frac{12e^2}{35} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^\nu \partial^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4\bar{o}_5 = & \frac{8e^4}{7} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu F_{\kappa}{}^\rho - \frac{16e^4}{63} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\lambda F^{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} \quad (35) \\ & + \frac{8e^4}{9} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{232e^4}{63} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu F_{\kappa}{}^\rho \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\rho} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{40e^4}{9} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{136e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\rho} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\mu} \\
& - \frac{656e^4}{189} F^{\kappa\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho F_{\lambda\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\kappa\mu} - \frac{320e^4}{21} F_{\kappa}{}^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\lambda}{}^\nu \\
& \frac{8e^2}{63} \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\mu F_{\kappa\lambda} \partial^\rho \partial^\nu \partial^\mu F^{\kappa\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note here that we have proposed a factorization of the one-loop effective action given by Eq. (28), but this does not preclude the possibility of finding a somewhat similar factorization for the heat-kernel itself, as it does happen in the gravitational case. This deserves further analysis. Furthermore, the proposed form of the effective action could also be of interest to analyze the backreaction issue in the pair creation process (for a recent analysis in 1+1 dimensions see [36]).

Exactly solvable electromagnetic backgrounds.

An involved test of the factorization property is provided by the exact one-loop effective action obtained for an electric field which point in the \hat{z} direction and depends arbitrary on the light-cone coordinate $x^+ \equiv (t+z)$, as described for spinor QED in [37, 38]. In this case it is convenient to evaluate the factors $\bar{g}(x; is)$ and $\bar{h}(x; is)$ in (6) and (7). We found that all coefficients of the expansions (15) and (29) are zero, $\bar{O}_{n>0} = 0 = \bar{o}_{n>0}$. We checked this up to and including the sixth order in the proper time expansion. Then we get $\bar{g}(x; is) = \bar{h}(x; is) = i/(4\pi is)^2$. This implies that, the (unrenormalized) quantum effective lagrangians become

$$\mathcal{L}_{scalar}^{(1)} = \frac{-1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} e^{-im^2 s} \frac{esE(x^+)}{\sinh esE(x^+)} \quad (36)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{spinor}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} e^{-im^2 s} \frac{esE(x^+) \cosh esE(x^+)}{\sinh esE(x^+)} \quad (37)$$

Our result (37) agrees with that obtained in [37]. Renormalization can be simply done by subtracting off all terms up to adiabatic order 4 (or, equivalently, up to second order in the proper time expansion).

Our factorization conjecture can be used to easily predict the one-loop effective actions for some new families of configurations for which $\bar{O}_{n>0} = \bar{o}_{n>0} = 0$. In fact, we find this cancellation happens for an electric and magnetic fields pointing in the \hat{z} direction that depend arbitrarily on the light cone coordinate $x^+ = (t+z)$. Then, the unrenormalized effective lagrangians are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{scalar}^{(1)} = \frac{-1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} e^{-im^2 s} \frac{e^2 s^2 E(x^+) B(x^+)}{\sinh esE(x^+) \sin esB(x^+)} \quad (38)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{spinor}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} e^{-im^2 s} \frac{e^2 s^2 E(x^+) B(x^+)}{\tanh esE(x^+) \tan esB(x^+)}. \quad (39)$$

This general result includes the case of a purely electric field explained above and also the case of a pure magnetic field of the form $\vec{B} = B(x^+) \hat{z}$, reinforcing the

expectation that a purely magnetic field, even if it is not constant, cannot create pairs. Formulas above also encapsulate the case in which one of the fields is constant, and are consistent with the results found in [39]. The same results (38) and (39) appear if we consider the coordinate dependence on $x^- = (t-z)$.

Another interesting example is the single plane wave field. As explicitly proved in [6] the spinor one-loop effective action vanishes. This result can be easily understood from the factorization property in (6) and (7). For a plane wave both Lorentz invariants $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x)$ vanish, and hence the corresponding effective actions.

Generalization of Schwinger's formula for the pair production rate. As a byproduct of our results we can estimate the imaginary part of the one-loop effective action according to (7). Following standard arguments, it can be obtained by rotating the integration contour from along the positive real axis to the negative imaginary axis. The poles are located at $s_n = n\pi/e|\vec{E}(x)|$. This leads to the (proper-time) expansion for the pair creation rate

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im } S_{spinor}^{(1)} &= \int d^4x \frac{e^2 \vec{E}^2(x)}{8\pi^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{-\frac{n\pi m^2}{c|\vec{E}(x)|}} \\
&\times \left(1 - \frac{n^3 \pi^3}{6e^3 |\vec{E}|^3} \bar{o}_3(x) + \frac{n^4 \pi^4}{24e^4 |\vec{E}|^4} \bar{o}_4(x) + \dots \right)
\end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where \bar{o}_3 and \bar{o}_4 are the coefficients given in (34) for the case $F_{0i} = E_i(x)$ and $F_{ij} = 0$. For instance, $\bar{o}_3 = \frac{2}{5}((\partial_j E^i(x))^2 - (\partial_0 E^i(x))^2)$.

The leading factor of the integrand reproduces Schwinger's result for the rate of electron-positron pair production, except that now the electric field can (slowly) vary on x . The new subleading terms are derived from $\bar{o}_{3,4}$, they depend on derivatives of the electric field, and can be interpreted as the correction of the rate for a non-constant electric field, but adiabatically dependent on spacetime coordinates. Note that the above proper-time expansion is different from the derivative expansion worked out in [35].

Conclusions and final comments. Inspired by the exact R -summed property of the effective action in gravity we have proposed a somewhat similar factorization in the proper-time form of the QED effective action in terms of the two field strength invariants \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} . The functional dependence of the conjectured resummation factor has the same functional form as that obtained by Heisenberg and Euler for constant electromagnetic fields. This is displayed in Eqs. (6) and (7). We have checked this conjecture to sixth order in the proper time expansion, and for both scalar and spinor electrodynamics. As a straightforward application of this proposal we have easily identified families of electromagnetic backgrounds

for which the effective action can be exactly solved. Furthermore, a generalization of the Schwinger result for pair production is also obtained. We expect that a similar factorization of the effective lagrangian density could also be found for non-abelian gauge theories.

Acknowledgments. We thank P. R. Anderson, P. Beltrán-Palau, A. Ferreiro, G. Olmo and I. Shovkovy for useful comments and discussions. Most of the computa-

tions in this paper have been done with the help of the xAct package of the Mathematica software. The traces of products of gamma matrices have been computed with the FeynCalc package of Mathematica (references for the programs listed above can be found in [40]). This work was supported by Spanish Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad Grants No. FIS2017-84440-C2-1-P and No. FIS2017-91161-EXP. S. P. is supported by a Ph.D. fellowship, Grant No. FPU16/05287.

-
- [1] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, *Zeit. f. Phys.* **98**, 714 (1936). English translation: arXiv:physics/0605038.
- [2] G. D. Dunne, “Heisenberg- Euler effective Lagrangians: Basics and extensions”, hep-th/0406216. Proceedings of the I. Kogan Memorial, *From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics*, Eds: M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein and J. Wheeler.
- [3] M. D. Schwartz, *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (2014).
- [4] G. V. Dunne, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **27**, 1260004 (2012).
- [5] V. Weisskopf, “The electrodynamics of the vacuum based on the quantum theory of the electron”, *Kong. Dans. Vid. Selsk. Math-fys. Medd.* XIV No. 6 (1936).
- [6] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 664 (1951).
- [7] G. V. Dunne, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **55**, 327-340 (2009).
- [8] L. Parker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **21**, 562 (1968); *Phys. Rev.* **183**, 1057 (1969).
- [9] S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975).
- [10] N. D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (1982).
- [11] S. A. Fulling, *Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, (1989).
- [12] L. Parker and D. J. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (2009).
- [13] D. V. Vassilevich, *Phys. Rep.* **388**, 279 (2003).
- [14] B. S. DeWitt, *Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields*, Gordon and Breach, New York, (1965).
- [15] P. B. Gilkey, *J. Differ. Geom.* **10**, 601 (1975).
- [16] J.D. Bekenstein and L. Parker, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 2850 (1981).
- [17] L. Parker and D. J. Toms, *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 953 (1985).
- [18] I. Jack and L. Parker, *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 2439 (1985).
- [19] A. Ferreiro, J. Navarro-Salas and S. Pla, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** 105011 (2020)
- [20] L. Parker and A. Raval, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 063512 (1999).
- [21] L. Parker and A. Raval, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 083503 (2000).
- [22] L. Parker and D. A. T. Vanzella, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 104009 (2004).
- [23] R.R. Caldwell, W. Komp, L. Parker, and D.A.T. Vanzella, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 023513 (2006).
- [24] E. Di Valentino, E. V. Linder, and A. Melchiorri, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 043528 (2018).
- [25] T. Markkanen, S. Nurmi, A. Rajantie, and S. Stopyr, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2018) 040.
- [26] E. V. Castro, A. Flachi, P. Ribeiro, and V. Vitagliano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 221601 (2018).
- [27] O. Czerwinska, Z. Lalak and L. Nakonieczny *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2015) 207.
- [28] D. Fliedner, P. Haberl, M.G. Schmidt and C. Schubert, *Annals Phys.* **264**, 51 (1998).
- [29] D. Fliedner, M.G. Schmidt and C. Schubert, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **389**, 374 (1997); arXiv:hep-th/9702092.
- [30] D. Fliedner, M. G. Schmidt, C. Schubert, *Z. Phys.* **C64** 111 (1994).
- [31] M. Reuter, M. G. Schmidt, C. Schubert, *Annals Phys.* 259 313-365 (1997).
- [32] M. G. Schmidt and C. Schubert, *Phys. Lett. B* **318**, 438 (1993).
- [33] Z. Bern and D. A. Kosower, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1669 (1991).
- [34] U. Müller, *New Computing Techniques in Physics Research IV*, p. 193, World Scientific 1996; DESY-96-154 (hep-th/9701124).
- [35] V. P. Gusynin and I. A. Shovkovy, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 5406 (1999).
- [36] S. Pla, I. M. Newsome, R. S. Link, P. R. Anderson and J. Navarro-Salas. *Validity of the semiclassical approximation for pair production due to an electric field in 1+1 dimensions*, arXiv: 2010.09811.
- [37] H. M. Fried and R. P. Woodard, *Phys. Lett. B* **524**, 233 (2002).
- [38] T. N. Tomaras, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 125005 (2000).
- [39] A. Ilderton, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2014**, 166 (2014).
- [40] J. M. Martín-García, “xAct: Efficient tensor computer algebra for the Wolfram language”. <https://www.xAct.es>. R. Mertig, M. Böhm, and A. Denner, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **64**, 345-359, (1991).