

Nearly Frobenius dimension on Frobenius algebras

Dalia Artenstein, Ana González, Gustavo Mata.

November 20, 2020

Abstract

This article is divided into two parts. In the first part we work over a field \mathbb{k} and prove that the Frobenius space associated to a Frobenius algebra is generated as left A -module by the Frobenius coproduct. In particular, we prove that the Frobenius dimension coincides with the dimension of the algebra. In the second part we work with a commutative ring k . We introduce the concept of nearly Frobenius algebras in this context and construct solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation starting from elements in the Frobenius space. Also, we give a list of equivalent characterizations of nearly Frobenius algebras.

1 Introduction

The concept of nearly Frobenius algebra is motivated by the result proved in [8], which states that: the homology of the free loop space $H^*(LM)$ has the structure of a Frobenius algebra without counit. These objects were studied in [10] and their algebraic properties were developed in [4], [5] and [6]. In [4] the notion of Frobenius space associated to a \mathbb{k} -algebra A , \mathcal{E}_A , was defined and it was proved that if A has finite dimension then \mathcal{E}_A is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -vectorial space. The \mathbb{k} -dimension of \mathcal{E}_A is denoted by $\text{Frobdim } A$ and it follows that $\text{Frobdim } A \leq (\dim A)^2$. In [5] several classes of algebras with non trivial nearly Frobenius structure were described. Finally in [6] the notion of normalized nearly Frobenius structure was introduced and it was proved that an algebra A has a normalized nearly Frobenius structure if and only if A is a separable algebra if and only if the category of bimodules ${}_A\mathcal{M}_A$ is semisimple.

In this work we continue to deepen in the study of nearly Frobenius algebras. In the first part of the article we concentrate on answering the following question: What additional properties has the Frobenius space if we work with a Frobenius algebra? In the second part we go one step further in the generalization of the concept by extending the notion to algebras on commutative rings. Some properties are preserved and they are described in this work. Other characterizations of the concept emerge. Also a relationship with solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation arises.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 all the algebras involved are of finite dimension over a field \mathbb{k} . We define an A -module structures on the space \mathcal{E}_A and we obtain

the following result:

Theorem A If A is a Frobenius \mathbb{k} -algebra, then the Frobenius space \mathcal{E}_A is generated, as left A -module, by the Frobenius coproduct of A , let us call it Δ_0 . In other words, there exist $a \in A$ such that $\Delta = a \star \Delta_0$ for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{E}_A$.

As a straight consequence of the previous theorem we have the next result for Frobenius algebras.

Corollary A If A is a Frobenius algebra, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} A = \text{Frobdim } A.$$

In section 3 the notion of nearly Frobenius algebra over a commutative rings is introduced. We construct solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation starting from elements in the Frobenius space. As a consequence of the results developed in this section we obtain the following characterizations of nearly Frobenius algebras:

Corollary B Let A be an algebra over a commutative ring k . Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. A is a nearly Frobenius algebra.
2. There exist $Q \in A \otimes_k A$ central.
3. There exist $\eta_A : \text{End}_k(A) \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ an A -bimodule homomorphism.
4. The map $i : A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(R)$ given by $i(a)(b) = ab$ is a monomorphism of algebras, where $R \in \text{End}_A(A \otimes A)$ is a homomorphism of right A -modules solution of the equation:

$$R^{13} \circ R^{12} = R^{23} \circ R^{13} = R^{12} \circ R^{23}.$$

2 Frobenius algebras over fields

2.1 Abrams Theorem

In [3] Abrams proves a theorem that gives a characterization of Frobenius algebras in terms of the existence of a coassociative counital comultiplication $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ which is a map of A -bimodules. In his construction, $\Delta(1)$ can be expressed from a basis and the dual one related to the bilinear form of the Frobenius algebras. This expression will be useful to prove some new results. Let us recall Abrams Theorem and some of its implications.

Theorem 1. *An algebra A is a Frobenius algebra if and only if it has a coassociative counital comultiplication $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ which is a map of A -bimodules.*

In the proof Abrams constructs the coproduct using the isomorphism of right (or left) A -modules $\lambda_r : A \rightarrow A^*$ ($\lambda_l : A \rightarrow A^*$) as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & A \otimes A \\ \lambda_r \downarrow & & \uparrow \lambda_r^{-1} \otimes \lambda_r^{-1} \\ A^* & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & A^* \otimes A^* \end{array}, \quad (1)$$

where μ is the composition of the transposition $\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ with the product m of A .

Given a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of the Frobenius algebra A with bilinear form $B : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, let $\{e_1^\#, \dots, e_n^\#\}$ be the *dual basis* of A relative to B , that means the elements satisfy $B(e_i^\#, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. On the other hand, $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ denotes de basis of A^* satisfying $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Then, using that $\lambda_r : A \rightarrow A^*$ is given by $\lambda_r(a)(b) = B(a, b)$ and the fact that

$$\lambda_r(e_i^\#)(e_j) = B(e_i^\#, e_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

we conclude that $e_i^\# = \lambda_r^{-1}(e_i^*)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proposition 2. *The coproduct $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ of the Frobenius algebra A given in equation (1) satisfies*

$$(i) \quad \Delta(1_A) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e_i^\#.$$

$$(ii) \quad \Delta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x e_i \otimes e_i^\# = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e_i^\# x, \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

Proof. We write $\Delta(1) = \sum_j u_j \otimes e_j^\#$. Then, using that Δ is a map of right A -modules and that ε , defined as $\varepsilon(x) = B(1_A, x)$, is the counit we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# x) u_j = x \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

In particular, if we take $x = e_i \Rightarrow e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# e_i) u_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{ij} u_j = u_i$. Then

$$\Delta(1) = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes e_j^\#.$$

The second claim is an immediate consequence of the fact that Δ is a map of A -bimodules. \square

2.2 Dimension of the Frobenius space on Frobenius algebras

In this section we recall the definition of a nearly Frobenius algebra and the associated Frobenius space. Frobenius algebras are also nearly Frobenius algebras so we can compute their Frobenius space. We will prove that the dimension of the Frobenius space of a Frobenius algebra coincides with the dimension of the algebra.

Definition 3. *An algebra A is a nearly Frobenius algebra if it admits a map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ of A -bimodules, that means, the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \\ \Delta \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes m} & A \otimes A \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \\ 1 \otimes \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1} & A \otimes A \end{array}$$

Definition 4. *The Frobenius space associated to an algebra A is the vector space of all the possible coproducts Δ that make it into a nearly Frobenius algebra (\mathcal{E}_A), see [4]. Its dimension over \mathbb{k} is called the Frobenius dimension of A , that is,*

$$\text{Frobdim } A = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{E}_A.$$

Remark 5. The Frobenius space \mathcal{E}_A associated to the algebra A admits a structure of left A -module as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A \times \mathcal{E}_A &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_A \\ (a, \Delta) &\mapsto a * \Delta \end{aligned}$$

$$(a * \Delta)(1_A) := (1 \otimes a)\Delta(1_A) = \sum x_i \otimes ay_i, \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ where } \Delta(1_A) = \sum x_i \otimes y_i.$$

Note that $a * \Delta \in \mathcal{E}_A$:

$$\begin{aligned} (x \otimes 1)(a * \Delta)(1) &= (x \otimes 1)(1 \otimes a)\Delta(1) = (1 \otimes a)(x \otimes 1)\Delta(1) \\ &= (1 \otimes a)\Delta(1)(1 \otimes x) = (a * \Delta)(1)(1 \otimes x). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that this operation defines a left action on \mathcal{E}_A .

Remark 6. If A is a Frobenius algebra we can twist the previous action using the Nakayama automorphism associated to A as follows: As A is a Frobenius algebra there exists $\nu : A \rightarrow A$ an automorphism of algebras such that $B(x, y) = B(y, \nu(x))$ for all $x, y \in A$. Then, we define the left action of A on \mathcal{E}_A as:

$$(a \star \Delta)(1) := \left(1 \otimes \nu^{-1}(a)\right)\Delta(1) \quad \text{for all } a \in A \text{ and } \Delta \in \mathcal{E}_A.$$

As ν is an algebra homomorphism so is ν^{-1} . Then \star is an action.

Note that if A is a symmetric algebra then the two actions previously defined coincide.

Proposition 7. *Let A be a Frobenius algebra with Frobenius structure (Δ, ε) and $M = A \star \Delta \subset \mathcal{E}_A$ the left A -module generated by Δ . Then,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} M = n.$$

Proof. We will prove that $\mathcal{D} = \{e_1 \star \Delta, \dots, e_n \star \Delta\}$ is a basis of M as vector space, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_1^\#, \dots, e_n^\#\}$ are dual bases of A related to the bilinear form B . First, note that \mathcal{D} is a generator of M by definition. Now, we will prove that \mathcal{D} is LI: suppose that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (e_j \star \Delta) = 0$$

then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (e_j \star \Delta)(1) = 0.$$

Remember that the Frobenius coproduct satisfies, by the Proposition 2, that $\Delta(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e_i^\#$.

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes \nu^{-1}(e_j) e_i^\# = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_j e_i \otimes \nu^{-1}(e_j) e_i^\# = 0.$$

Applying the map $(1 \otimes \varepsilon)$ we conclude that

$$0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_j e_i \varepsilon(\nu^{-1}(e_j) e_i^\#) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_j e_i \varepsilon(e_i^\# e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i \Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} M = n$. □

Theorem 8. *If A is a Frobenius \mathbb{k} -algebra, then the Frobenius space \mathcal{E}_A is generated, as left A -module, by the Frobenius coproduct of A , let us call it Δ_0 . In other words, there exist $a \in A$ such that $\Delta = a \star \Delta_0$ for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{E}_A$.*

Proof. We consider $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_1^\#, \dots, e_n^\#\}$ dual bases of A related to the bilinear form B and $\nu : A \rightarrow A$ the Nakayama automorphism associated to B . Then, by Proposition 2,

$$\Delta_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x e_i \otimes e_i^\#$$

is an A -bimodule homomorphism, and $\varepsilon(x) = B(1, x) = B(x, 1)$ is the corresponding trace. Note that the dual bases satisfy that:

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# x) e_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon(x e_j) e_j^\# \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

If we consider $\Delta \in \mathcal{E}_A$ we can write $\Delta(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes b_i$, where $b_i \in A$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Using that Δ is an A -bimodule homomorphism and the previous identifications of all $x \in A$,

we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n xe_i \otimes b_i = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# xe_i) e_j \otimes b_i = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# xe_i) b_i \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes b_j x.$$

Then $b_j x = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# xe_i) b_i$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, $x \in A$. Now, we define a homomorphism

$\sigma : A \rightarrow A$ of \mathbb{k} -vector spaces by $\sigma(e_j^\#) = b_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Note that

$$\sigma(e_j^\# x) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# xe_i) e_i^\#\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# xe_i) \sigma(e_i^\#) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j^\# xe_i) b_i = b_j x.$$

Then

$$\sigma(e_j^\# x) = b_j x, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

This proves that σ is a homomorphism of right A -modules and so there exists an element $b \in A$ such that $\sigma(y) = b \cdot y$ for all $y \in A$. Finally we define $a = \nu(b) \in A$, then $b_j = \sigma(e_j^\#) = \nu^{-1}(a) \cdot e_j^\#$, and $\Delta = a \star \Delta_0$. □

Corollary 9. *If A is a Frobenius algebra, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} A = \text{Frobdim } A.$$

Remark 10. Note that the previous result is not true if the nearly Frobenius algebra is not Frobenius. An example of this remark is the path algebra $A = \mathbb{k}Q$, here

$$Q = \bullet_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bullet_2$$

This algebra has, as a \mathbb{k} -vector space, dimension 3 and in [4] it is proven that $\text{Frobdim}_{\mathbb{k}} A = 1$. Then

$$\text{Frobdim}_{\mathbb{k}} A < \dim_{\mathbb{k}} A.$$

There are many ways to guarantee that this algebra is not Frobenius. We will verify this by showing that no nearly coproduct can be completed to a Frobenius one.

The nearly Frobenius space is generated by the coproduct Δ defined as:

$$\Delta(e_1) = \alpha \otimes e_1, \quad \Delta(e_2) = e_2 \otimes \alpha, \quad \Delta(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \alpha.$$

Note that it is impossible to define a trace $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ such that $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\Delta = \text{Id} = (1 \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta$. The next example shows that the Frobenius dimension can also be greater than the dimension of the algebra. Let A be the path algebra associated to the quiver

$$Q = \begin{array}{ccc} & \bullet_2 & \\ \alpha \nearrow & & \searrow \beta \\ \bullet_1 & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \bullet_3 \end{array}$$

with the relation $\gamma\alpha = 0$.

It is clear that this algebra has dimension 9 as a \mathbb{k} -vector space. The Frobenius space has dimension 10, and a general nearly Frobenius coproduct is expressed as

$$\Delta(e_2) = a\beta\gamma \otimes \alpha, \quad \Delta(e_3) = a\gamma \otimes \alpha\beta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_1) &= a(e_1 \otimes \alpha\beta\gamma + \alpha\beta\gamma) + b_1\alpha \otimes \gamma + b_2\alpha \otimes \beta\gamma + b_3\alpha \otimes \alpha\beta\gamma + b_4\alpha\beta \otimes \gamma \\ &= b_5\alpha\beta \otimes \beta\gamma + b_6\alpha\beta \otimes \alpha\beta\gamma + b_7\alpha\beta\gamma \otimes \gamma + b_8\alpha\beta\gamma \otimes \beta\gamma + b_9\alpha\beta\gamma \otimes \alpha\beta\gamma \end{aligned}$$

As before it is impossible to define a trace for these coproducts, which means that A is not a Frobenius algebra.

3 Frobenius structures over commutative rings

In this section we will consider Frobenius and nearly Frobenius algebras over commutative rings instead of fields. In this context we will prove a version of Theorem 8. In the last subsection we construct solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation starting from elements in the Frobenius space \mathcal{E}_A . Finally we give several characterizations to nearly Frobenius algebras over a commutative ring.

Throughout this section k is a commutative ring.

3.1 Frobenius algebras over commutative rings

Definition 11. *A k -algebra A is Frobenius if A is finitely generated and projective as a k -module, and there exists a left A -module isomorphism*

$$\lambda_l : A \rightarrow A^*.$$

Remark 12. Take an arbitrary ring extension $B \subset A$ and let

$$F : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{M}$$

be the restriction of scalars functor. Then F has a left adjoint, the induction functor

$$G = A \otimes_B - : {}_B\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}$$

and a right adjoint, the coinduction functor

$$T = \text{Hom}_B(A, -) : {}_B\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}.$$

The left A -module structure on $T(N) = \text{Hom}_B(A, N)$ is given by $(a \cdot \gamma)(b) = \gamma(ba)$, for all $a, b \in A$ and $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_B(A, N)$. For a left B -module map f , we put $T(f) = f \circ -$.

Definition 13. *A covariant functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called a Frobenius functor if it has isomorphic left and right adjoints.*

Theorem 14 (Theorem 2.4 of [9]). *Let $B \subset A$ be an arbitrary ring extension. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The restriction of scalars functor $F : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{M}$ is Frobenius (or, equivalently, $B \subset A$ is a Frobenius extension).*
2. *There exist a pair (Δ, ε) such that*
 - (a) $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$ *is an A -bimodule map,*
 - (b) $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow B$ *is a B -bimodule map, and a counit for Δ , that is*

$$(1 \otimes_B \varepsilon)\Delta = (\varepsilon \otimes_B 1)\Delta = \text{Id}_A.$$

Corollary 15 (Corollary 2.6 of [9]). *For an algebra A over a commutative ring k , the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *A is a Frobenius algebra.*
2. *There exist a coalgebra structure (A, Δ, ε) on A such that the coproduct $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ is an A -bimodule map.*
3. *There exist $Q = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e_i^\# \in A \otimes_k A$ and $\varepsilon \in A^*$ such that Q is A -central and the normalizing Frobenius condition*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(e_i) e_i^\# = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \varepsilon(e_i^\#) = 1_A$$

is satisfied. (Q, ε) is called a Frobenius pair.

Remark 16. As a consequence of the previous results we have the following properties:

- (1) Q is A -central then $\sum_{i=1}^n x e_i \otimes e_i^\# = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e_i^\# x$ for all $x \in A$.
- (2) The normalizing condition implies that: $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(x e_i) e_i^\# = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(e_i^\# x) e_i$ for all $x \in A$.
- (3) The sets $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_1^\#, \dots, e_n^\#\}$ are generators of A as k -module. We call them *dual generators* of A .
- (4) The element Q does not depend on the choice of dual generators $e_i, e_i^\#, i = 1, \dots, n$ of A .

3.2 Nearly Frobenius algebras over commutative rings

Definition 17. An associative k -algebra A is a nearly Frobenius algebra if it admits an homomorphism $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ of k -modules such that Δ is an homomorphism of A -bimodules.

Let (A, Δ_A) and (B, Δ_B) be two nearly Frobenius algebras. An homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a nearly Frobenius homomorphism if it is a morphism of k -algebras and the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \Delta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_B \\ A \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{f \otimes f} & B \otimes_k B \end{array} .$$

Remark 18. If we have an associative k -algebra A we can consider the set \mathcal{E}_A of all coproducts $(\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A)$ that make it into a nearly Frobenius algebra. The set \mathcal{E}_A is a k -module. The proof that $(\mathcal{E}_A, +)$ is an abelian group is found in [4]. We define the operation $\cdot : k \times \mathcal{E}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_A$ as follows:

$$(r \cdot \Delta)(x) = (r \otimes_k 1)\Delta(x) = \sum r \cdot x_1 \otimes x_2, \text{ if } \Delta(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes_k x_2,$$

for all $r \in k$ and $\Delta \in \mathcal{E}_A$. It is easy to see that this operation defines an action of A on \mathcal{E}_A .

Finally, we define the *Frobenius space over k* associated to an algebra A as the k -module \mathcal{E}_A .

Note that $\mathcal{E}_A = \text{Hom}_{A-A}(A, A \otimes_k A)$.

Definition 19. Let A be an associative k -algebra. Denote by $C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$ the set of A -centralising elements of $A \otimes_k A$, i.e

$$C_{A \otimes_k A}(A) = \left\{ \sum_i x_i \otimes_k y_i \in A \otimes_k A : \sum_i a x_i \otimes_k y_i = \sum_i x_i \otimes_k y_i a, \text{ for all } a \in A \right\}.$$

Remark 20. The evaluation homomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{E}_A \rightarrow C_{A \otimes_k A}(A) \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta \mapsto \Delta(1_A)$$

is an isomorphism of k -modules.

In the previous remark we see that \mathcal{E}_A is an k -module. The set $C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$ is a natural k -submodule of $A \otimes_k A$ and it is clear that Ψ is a morphism of k -modules.

Note that if we know the value of Δ on 1_A then we can determine the value on all element of A as: $\Delta(x) = x \cdot \Delta(1_A)$. Then Ψ is surjective. In a similar way, if we have two coproducts Δ_1 and Δ_2 such that $\Delta_1(1_A) = \Delta_2(1_A)$, then $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ because they are morphisms of A -bimodules. Then Ψ is injective.

Remark 21. If A is a Frobenius algebra the space $C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$ has structure of left A -module as follows

$$a \star \sum_i x_i \otimes_k y_i := \sum_i x_i \otimes_k \nu^{-1}(a)y_i \quad \text{for all } a \in A,$$

where $\nu : A \rightarrow A$ is the Nakayama automorphism of A .

Theorem 22. *Let A be a Frobenius algebra over a commutative ring k with Nakayama automorphism $\nu : A \rightarrow A$ and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{e_1^\#, \dots, e_n^\#\}$ be dual generators of A and*

$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e_i^\# \in A \otimes_k A$. Then $C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$ is a free rank one left A -submodule of $A \otimes_k A$ with basis $\{Q\}$.

Proof. It is clear that $C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$ is a left A -submodule of $A \otimes_k A$ with the action \star . Now consider the left A -module $A \star Q$ and note that $A \star Q \subset C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$. First we prove that $A \star Q$ is free: suppose that $a \star Q = 0$ then

$$0 = (1 \otimes \varepsilon)(a \star Q) = (1 \otimes \varepsilon) \left(\sum_i e_i \otimes \nu^{-1}(a)e_i^\# \right) = \sum_i \varepsilon(\nu^{-1}(a)e_i^\#)e_i = \sum_i \varepsilon(e_i^\# a)e_i = a,$$

then $a = 0$. To finish it is enough to prove that $A \star Q = C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$.

Using localization to maximal ideals of k arguments we can suppose that A is a free k -module and reproduce the prove of Theorem 8 to guarantee that $A \star Q = C_{A \otimes_k A}(A)$. \square

The next result is an adaptation of Theorem 14 to the case of nearly Frobenius algebras.

Theorem 23. *Let $B \subset A$ be an arbitrary ring extension. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists an A -bimodule map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$.*
- (b) *There exists a natural transformation $\eta : T \rightarrow G$, with $G, T : {}_B\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}$ defined in Remark 12.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) For $N \in {}_B\mathcal{M}$ we define $\eta_N : \text{Hom}_B(A, N) \rightarrow A \otimes_B N$ as

$$\eta_N(f) = \sum e_1 \otimes_B f(e_2), \quad \text{where } \Delta(1_A) = \sum e_1 \otimes_B e_2.$$

Let us verify that η_N is an A -module morphism:

$$a \cdot \eta_N(f) = a \cdot \left(\sum e_1 \otimes_B f(e_2) \right) = \sum a e_1 \otimes_B f(e_2).$$

On the other hand,

$$\eta_N(a \cdot f) = \sum e_1 \otimes_B (a \cdot f)(e_2) = \sum e_1 \otimes_B f(e_2 a).$$

Using that $\Delta \in \mathcal{E}_A$ we have that

$$(1 \otimes f) \left(\sum a e_1 \otimes_B e_2 \right) = (1 \otimes f) \left(\sum e_1 \otimes_B e_2 a \right)$$

Then, $\sum ae_1 \otimes_B f(e_2) = \sum e_1 \otimes_B f(e_2a)$, therefore $a \cdot \eta_N(f) = \eta_N(a \cdot f)$.

Let us prove that η natural transformation: For $M, N \in {}_B\mathcal{M}$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_B(M, N)$ the following diagram must commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_B(A, M) & \xrightarrow{\eta_M} & A \otimes_B M \\ \text{Hom}_B(A, f) \downarrow & & \downarrow A \otimes_B f \\ \text{Hom}_B(A, N) & \xrightarrow{\eta_N} & A \otimes_B N \end{array}$$

Let be $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_B(A, M)$, then $(A \otimes_B f)\eta_M(\varphi) = \sum e_1 \otimes_B f\varphi(e_2) = \eta_N(f \circ \varphi) = \eta_N \circ \text{Hom}_B(A, f)(\varphi)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) For $a \in A$, we define $\varphi_a : A \rightarrow A$ by $\varphi_a(b) = ba$. Then the map $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(A, A)$ mapping a to φ_a is a left A -module morphism. Now take

$$\Delta = \eta_A(\varphi) \quad (\text{i.e. } \Delta(a) = \eta_A(\varphi_a)).$$

The map Δ is left A -module morphism, because η_A and φ are left A -module morphisms. As η is a natural transformation we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_B(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & A \otimes_B A \\ \text{Hom}_B(A, \varphi_a) \downarrow & & \downarrow A \otimes_B \varphi_a \\ \text{Hom}_B(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & A \otimes_B A \end{array}$$

Applying the diagram to $I_A = \varphi_1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum e_1 \otimes_B e_2a &= (A \otimes_B \varphi_a)\Delta(1_A) = (A \otimes_B \varphi_a) \circ \eta_A(\varphi_1) = \eta_A \circ \text{Hom}_B(A, \varphi_a)(\varphi_1) \\ &= \eta_A(\varphi_a \circ \varphi_1) = \eta_A(\varphi_a) = \Delta(a). \end{aligned}$$

Then Δ is a right A -module morphism. □

3.3 The quantum Yang-Baxter equation

The quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE) is one of the basic equations in mathematical physics that lies in the foundation of the theory of quantum groups. In the last years, many solutions of this equation were found, and the related algebraic structures (Hopf algebras) have been intensively studied.

In [7] it is shown that every Frobenius algebra over a commutative ring determines a class of solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. In this article we adapt the previous result to nearly Frobenius algebras.

As before k is an associative commutative ring with 1 and A an associative k -algebra. Let $R \in \text{End}(A \otimes_k A)$, $R^{12} = R \otimes \text{Id}$, $R^{23} = \text{Id} \otimes R$, and $R^{13} = (\text{Id} \otimes \tau)(R \otimes \text{Id})(\text{Id} \otimes \tau)$ maps in $\text{End}(A \otimes_k A \otimes_k A)$, where $\tau : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ is the twist mapping. We shall say that R is a *solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation* (QYBE), if

$$R^{12} \circ R^{13} \circ R^{23} = R^{23} \circ R^{13} \circ R^{12}.$$

Proposition 24. *Let A be a k -algebra and $Q \in C_{A \otimes_k A}(A) \simeq \mathcal{E}_A$ (the Frobenius space of A). Then*

1. $Q^{13}Q^{12} = Q^{23}Q^{13} = Q^{12}Q^{23}$,
2. $Q^{12}Q^{23}Q^{12} = Q^{23}Q^{12}Q^{23}$,

where $Q^{12} = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1$, $Q^{13} = \sum_i x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i$ and $Q^{23} = \sum_i 1 \otimes x_i \otimes y_i$ if $Q = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i$.

Proof. 1.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{13}Q^{12} &= \left(\sum_i x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i \right) \left(\sum_j x_j \otimes y_j \otimes 1 \right) = \sum_i (x_i \otimes 1) \sum_j (x_j \otimes y_j) \otimes y_i \\ &= \sum_{i,j} x_j \otimes y_j x_i \otimes y_i = \sum_j x_j \otimes (y_j \otimes 1) \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i = \sum_{i,j} x_j \otimes x_i \otimes y_i y_j = Q^{23}Q^{13}, \\ Q^{12}Q^{23} &= \left(\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1 \right) \left(\sum_j 1 \otimes x_j \otimes y_j \right) = \sum_i x_i \otimes (y_i \otimes 1) \sum_j x_j \otimes y_j \\ &= \sum_i x_i \otimes \sum_j x_j \otimes y_j y_i = \left(\sum_j 1 \otimes x_j \otimes y_j \right) \left(\sum_i x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i \right) = Q^{23}Q^{13}. \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$(Q^{12}Q^{23})Q^{12} = (Q^{23}Q^{13})Q^{12} = Q^{23}(Q^{13}Q^{12}) = Q^{23}(Q^{12}Q^{23}).$$

□

Using the previous proposition and Lemma 2. of [7] we have the following result.

Corollary 25. *Let $R = Q\tau$, where $\tau : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ is the twist map. Then R is a solution of the QYBE.*

The following results are based on the article [9].

Definition 26. *Let A be an algebra over a commutative ring k and $R \in \text{End}_k(A \otimes_k A)$ a solution of the equation*

$$R^{13} \circ R^{12} = R^{23} \circ R^{13} = R^{12} \circ R^{23}. \quad (2)$$

We define the k -subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(R)$ of $\text{End}_k(A)$ as

$$\mathcal{A}(R) := \{f \in \text{End}_k(A) : f \cdot R = R \cdot f\},$$

with unit Id_A .

Remark 27. Note that $\mathcal{A}(R) = \{f \in \text{End}_k(A) : (f \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ R = R \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes f)\}$ and R is $\mathcal{A}(R)$ -central. Moreover, if $\text{End}_k(A)$ is flat as a k -module $R \in \mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$: $\mathcal{A}(R)$ can be seen as $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, with $\varphi : \text{End}_k(A) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(A \otimes A)$ defined by

$$\varphi(f) = (f \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ R - R \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes f).$$

Assuming $\text{End}_k(A)$ is flat as a k -module, $\mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \text{End}_k(A) = \text{Ker}(\varphi \otimes \text{Id}_{\text{End}_k(A)})$. In particular, if $R = \sum R^1 \otimes R^2$

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \otimes \text{Id}_{\text{End}_k(A)})(R) &= \sum R^1 \circ R^1 \otimes R^2 \otimes R^2 - \sum R^1 \otimes R^2 \circ R^1 \otimes R^2 \\ &= R^{13} \circ R^{12} - R^{12} \circ R^{23} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then $R \in \mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \text{End}_k(A)$. In similar way, using the other condition we can see that $R \in \text{End}_k(A) \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$. Then $R \in \mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$.

Theorem 28. *For an algebra A over a commutative ring k , the following statements are equivalent:*

1. A is a nearly Frobenius algebra.
2. The map $i : A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(R)$ given by $i(a)(b) = ab$ is a monomorphism of algebras, where $R \in \text{End}_A(A \otimes A)$ is a homomorphism of right A -modules solution of (2).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let Δ be the nearly Frobenius coproduct and $Q = \Delta(1) = \sum_i e_i \otimes e^i$ the corresponding A -central element. Then, the map

$$R : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad R(a \otimes b) = \sum_i e_i a \otimes e^i b$$

is a solution of (2). Consequently, we can construct the algebra $\mathcal{A}(R) = \{f \in \text{End}_k(A) : (f \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ R = R \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes f)\} \subseteq \text{End}_k(A)$, and define the injection map $i : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(A)$ as $i(a)(b) = ab$, for all $a, b \in A$. We will prove that $i(A) \subset \mathcal{A}(R)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (i(a) \otimes \text{Id}_A)R(x \otimes y) &= (i(a) \otimes \text{Id}_A) \left(\sum_i e_i x \otimes e^i y \right) = \sum_i a e_i x \otimes e^i y \\ &= \left(\sum_i a e_i \otimes e^i \right) (x \otimes y) = \left(\sum_i e_i \otimes e^i a \right) (x \otimes y) \\ &= \sum_i e_i x \otimes e^i a y = \left(\sum_i e_i \otimes e^i \right) (x \otimes a y) \\ &= R(x \otimes a y) = R(\text{Id}_A \otimes i(a))(x \otimes y), \quad \forall x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(i(a) \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ R = R \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes i(a))$, and $i(A) \subset \mathcal{A}(R)$.

Note that R is homomorphism of right A -module by definition: $R((a \otimes b) \cdot x) = R(a \otimes b x) = Q(a \otimes b x) = Q(a \otimes b)(1 \otimes x) = R(a \otimes b)(1 \otimes x) = R(a \otimes b) \cdot x$, for all $a, b, x \in A$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) We define $Q := R(1 \otimes 1) = \sum_i e_i \otimes f_i$. By Corollary 24 it is enough to prove that Q is A -central.

As $i(a) \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ we have that $i(a) \cdot R = R \cdot i(a)$. Then

$$[(i(a) \otimes \text{Id}) \circ R](1 \otimes 1) = [R \cdot (\text{Id} \otimes i(a))](1 \otimes 1) \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_i i(a)(e_i) \otimes f_i = \sum_i ae_i \otimes f_i = R(1 \otimes a) = R((1 \otimes 1) \cdot a) = R(1 \otimes 1) \cdot a = Q \cdot a.$$

Then $a \cdot Q = Q \cdot a$, for all $a \in A$. □

Finally we summarize some equivalences to nearly Frobenius algebras over a commutative ring.

Corollary 29. *Let A be an algebra over a commutative ring k . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. A is a nearly Frobenius algebra.
2. There exist $Q \in A \otimes_k A$ central.
3. There exist $\eta_A : \text{End}_k(A) \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ an A -bimodule homomorphism.
4. The map $i : A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(R)$ given by $i(a)(b) = ab$ is a monomorphism of algebras, where $R \in \text{End}_A(A \otimes A)$ is a homomorphism of right A -modules solution of (2).

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from Theorem 23 and (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from Theorem 28. □

References

- [1] Abrams, Lowell, *Two-dimensional topological quantum field theories and Frobenius algebras*. J. Knot Theory Ramifications 5 (1996), no. 5, 569-587.
- [2] Abrams, Lowell, *Frobenius Algebra Structures in Topological Quantum Field Theory and Quantum Cohomology*. Doctoral dissertation. The Johns Hopkins University, May 1997.
- [3] Abrams, Lowell, *Modules, Comodules, and Cotensor Products over Frobenius algebras*. Journal of Algebra 219 (1999), pp. 201-213.
- [4] D. Artenstein, A. González, and M. Lanzilotta, *Constructing Nearly Frobenius Algebras*. Algebras and Representation Theory (2015) Volume 18, pp. 339-367.
- [5] Artenstein, Dalia; González, Ana; Mata, Gustavo *Nearly Frobenius structures in some families of algebras*. São Paulo J. Math. Sci. 14 (2020), no. 1, pp. 165-184.
- [6] Artenstein, Dalia; González, Ana; Mata, Gustavo, *Nearly Frobenius theory and semisimplicity of bimodules*. preprint arXiv:1903.12512
- [7] Beidar, K. I.; Fong, Y.; Stolin, A. *On Frobenius algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 9, 3823-3836.
- [8] Ralph L. Cohen and Véronique Godin, *A polarized view of string topology*. Topology, geometry and quantum field theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 308, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (2004), pp. 127-154.

- [9] S. Caenepeel, Bogdan Ion, G. Militaru. *The Structure of Frobenius Algebras and Separable Algebras*. K-Theory v. 19 (2000), no. 4, pp. 365-402.
- [10] A. González, E. Lupercio, C. Segovia and B. Uribe, *Orbifold Topological Quantum Field Theories in Dimension 2*. Preprint.
- [11] Lombardi, Henri and Quitté, Claude, *Finitely Generated Projective Modules, 1*. Commutative Algebra: Constructive Methods: Finite Projective Modules (2015), pp. 239-293.
- [12] Christian Lomp, *Integrals in Hopf Algebras over Rings*. Communications in Algebra (2004) Volume 32, n 12, pp. 4687-4711.
- [13] Majid, S., *Quasitriangular Hopf Algebras and Yang-Baxter Equations*. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, volume 5 (1990), pp. 1-91.
- [14] Skowroński, Andrzej; Yamagata, Kunio *Frobenius algebras. I. Basic representation theory*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011. xii+650 pp.