

Homotopy quantum phase transitions

Janusz E. Jacak*

*Department of Quantum Technologies, Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology,
Wrocław University of Science and Technology,
Wyb. Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*

(Dated: December 16, 2021)

We present a new class of quantum phase transitions that refer neither to local order parameter and critical fluctuations nor to continuous symmetry breaking but are assigned by the step-wise change in topology of the multi-particle system expressed in homotopy terms. The energy for homotopy phases changes in a step-wise manner and the different homotopies induce specific correlations in planar interacting multi-particle system representing different commensurability patterns of quantum incompressible states. We illustrate the concept of the homotopy phase transition in the simplest quantum multi-particle system of two repulsing electrons on a 2D finite jellium exposed to a strong perpendicular magnetic field. The homotopy phases related to fractional quantum Hall states are described and compared with their experimental manifestation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Phase transitions are conventionally classified based on the criterion of discontinuity of the derivatives of thermodynamic potentials. The first-order phase transitions with latent heat are featured by the discontinuity of the first derivative of the free energy, whereas the second-order phase transitions, with discontinuity of the second-order derivative of the free energy. The latter can be accounted for by the Ginsburg-Landau theory of phase transitions¹. An arising new phase at a transition point is usually assigned by an order parameter (such as density for a gas-liquid transition or magnetization for magnetic transitions) which undergoes a discontinuous step at the first-order phase transition. At the second-order phase transitions the order parameter continuously peels off at some critical temperature from its zeroth value in the normal phase. Phase transitions are typically associated with a spontaneous break-down of some continuous symmetry. In the ordered phase with broken continuous symmetry a Goldstone boson-like collective mode occurs that restitutes the lost symmetry^{2,3}. Spin waves in an ordered magnetic phase reconstitute the broken rotational symmetry in ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic phases⁴, and phonons reconstitute broken translational symmetry at liquid-crystal transitions. For superfluid or superconducting phases with broken gauge symmetry², the zero sound mode locally restores the broken gauge symmetry (in the case of superfluid He³ with a 3×3 complex order parameter, spin waves also restore the gauge symmetry in the spin channel, although different than spin waves in magnetic systems^{5,6}). The second-order phase transitions can be characterized by long-range critical fluctuations of the order parameter, which leads to scaling invariance of the system. For contraction-scaling transformation a fixed point occurs according to the Banach theorem. This point is identified with a transition point and the idea of self-similarity at different scales has been developed toward the renormalization group approach to second-order phase transitions⁷. Due to discontinuity of the order parameter at the first order transitions with latent heat, the renormalization group approach is not applicable. The melting of crystal is an example of such a transition. This transition is associated with an outcome of income (depending on the direction of the transition) of some latent heat equivalent to the difference in the thermodynamic potentials of both phases at the transition point. In 2D systems, the second-order phase transitions are excluded by divergence of Goldstone-mode long-range correlations, which destabilizes the new phase^{8,9}. As an alternative, the idea of a topological transition in 2D was suggested via decoupling of vortex and anti-vortex pairs into separated vortices upon the scheme of Kosterlitz-Touless transitions¹⁰⁻¹².

In topologically rich systems other transitions have also been considered, neither assigned by

any local order parameter and its fluctuations nor associated with the breaking of any continuous symmetry. In the present paper we describe and illustrate some examples of such transitions in terms of an instant change of the homotopy classes associated with particular phases when the external parameters are varied at $T = 0$ or even at nonzero temperature provided that the temperature chaos kT does not exceed the activation energy of homotopy phases.

The homotopy related to these phase transitions can be expressed by the fundamental group, the first homotopy group π_1 , of the configuration space of multiparticle interacting system¹³⁻¹⁵. The homotopy group π_1 collects disjoint classes of trajectories (single-parameter maps)^{13,15} in this space that cannot be transformed into one another by continuous deformation without cutting trajectory lines. If a space is assigned with a nontrivial π_1 group, then such a space is considered as multiply-connected. Otherwise, when $\pi_1 = e$ (e is a neutral group element) the space is called as simply-connected. All configuration spaces of multi-particle systems are multiply-connected, and their nontrivial π_1 groups are named as braid groups^{13,16}. Two types of multi-particle systems are considered: with indistinguishable identical particles or distinguishable ones. The former refer to quantum systems, and the corresponding configuration space of N indistinguishable identical particles has the form $F_N = (M^N - \Delta)/S_N$, where M^N is the N -fold normal product of a manifold M where particles are located; Δ is the diagonal subset of M^N in which the coordinates of at least two particles coincide and is subtracted to ensure particle number conservation; and S_N is the permutation group introduced here via a quotient structure to identify points in F_N which differ only by an renumeration of particles. The braid group $\pi_1(F_N)$ is called the full braid group. The so-called pure braid group defined for classical distinguishable identical particles $\pi_1(M^N - \Delta)$ plays the auxiliary role and is a subgroup of the full braid group^{13,16,17}.

Multi-particle quantum systems of electrons typical in condensed matter may be also described in homotopy terms with the utilization of quantum full braid groups. When indistinguishable particles (electrons) are located in some physical space, mathematically represented by a manifold M , which can be in particular, an infinite 3D space, 2D (plane), 1D (wire) or a finite sphere, torus or finite 2D plaque, one can in each specific case identify an appropriate N -particle configuration space and its π_1 group (a full braid group). The braid groups critically depend on the dimensionality of the manifold M ¹⁶. For 3D manifolds, the braid groups are always the finite permutation groups S_N , whereas for 2D manifold M (or locally 2D) the groups are infinite (countable) and much more complicated^{16,18}.

II. INDISTINGUISHABILITY OF IDENTICAL PARTICLES IN THE QUANTUM CASE

The prerequisite for any quantum many-particle system of identical particles is the indistinguishability of particles, which is conventionally referred to the property resulting from the lack of particle trajectories in the quantum case¹⁹. However, the independence of the indistinguishability of identical particles from conventional axioms of quantum mechanics is still disputable¹⁹. This problem is more clearly visible upon the Feynman path formulation of the quantization^{20–23} in which indistinguishability of particles is added in topology-homotopy terms beyond the quantization scheme for distinguishing particles^{17,18,24,25}. In the case of a multi-particle system of N identical indistinguishing particles to each trajectory-bundle of N lines in the N -particle configuration space linking an initial point $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N$ on M at a time instant t with a final one $\mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_N$ at t' , a closed loop of mutually tangled N individual particle trajectory-threads can be attached at any intermediate point. This joined closed trajectory bundle may mix enumeration of particles but not their positions and thus can be represented by an arbitrary element of the full braid group of the multiparticle system (as visualized in an example in Fig. 1). Because distinct braids are non-homotopic, i.e., are topologically nonequivalent, the domain of the path integral decomposes into a sum of disjoint sectors assigned by the full braid group elements. The discontinuity between these sectors in the path space precludes a definition of a path measure on the entire path space, and this measure must be defined separately in each domain sector. The contributions of all path sectors to the total path integral must be summed up with individual unitary factors (due to causality constraints) for sectors assigned by full braid group elements.

The Feynman path integral attains thus the form^{21,24}

$$I(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t; \mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_N, t') = \sum_{l \in \pi_1(\Omega)} e^{i\alpha_l} \int d\lambda_l e^{iS[\lambda_l(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t; \mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_N, t')]/\hbar}, \quad (1)$$

where $I(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t; \mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_N, t')$ is the propagator, i.e., the matrix element of the evolution operator of the total N -particle system in the position representation that determines the probability amplitude (complex one) of quantum transition from the multi-particle coordination space point, $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N$, in time instant t to the other point in the configuration space, $\mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_N$, in time instant t' . $d\lambda_l$ is the measure in the path space sector enumerated by the l -th braid group $\pi_1(F_N)$ element (braid groups are countable or finite). $S[\lambda_l(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t; \mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_N, t')]$ is the classical action for the trajectory λ_l joining selected points in the configuration space F_N between time instances t, t' and lying in l -th sector of the trajectory space with the l th braid loop attached. The whole space of trajectories is decomposed into disjoint sectors enumerated by the braid group element discrete

index l (as for a countable group). It has been proved¹⁷ that the unitary factors (the weights) associated with contributions of the disjoint sectors of the path integral domain, $e^{i\alpha_l}$ in Eq. (1), establish a one-dimensional unitary representation (1DUR) of the full braid group. Distinct unitary weights in the path integral (i.e., distinct 1DURs of the braid group) determine different types of quantum particles corresponding to the same classical ones. Braids describe particle exchanges, and thus their 1DURs assign quantum statistics in the system.

Equivalently, the 1DUR of a particular braid defines a phase shift of the multi-particle wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)$ when its arguments $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N$ (classical coordinates of particles on the manifold M) mutually exchange themselves according to this braid^{26,27} (let us emphasize that these exchanges are *not* permutations, and the path is important, unless the manifold M is a three- or higher-dimensional space without linear topological defects, such as strings^{16,26,27}).




FIG. 1. For a multi-particle trajectory in the configuration space (in the illustration for $N = 3$, the configuration space of indistinguishable identical particles is $F_3 = (M^3 - \Delta)/S_3$), one can add an arbitrary element of the full braid group $\pi_1(F_3)$ —indicated by colored tangled lines. Due to nonhomotopy of various braids from the full braid group (linking positions of particles at same intermediate time instant that differ by permutation) the trajectories with various braids attached are topologically inequivalent, i.e., cannot be transformed one into another by continuous deformations—they are also nonhomotopic.

For a 3D manifold M , full braid groups $\pi_1(F_N)$, are always finite permutation groups S_N . Because the permutation group has only two different 1DURs, $\sigma_i \rightarrow \begin{cases} e^{i0}, \\ e^{i\pi}, \end{cases}$ (where $\sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, N-1$, are generators of the permutation group, i.e., exchanges of the i th particle with the $(i+1)$ -th one, at a certain enumeration of particles), then in 3D only two quantum statistics are possible, the bosonic and fermionic ones, for $+1$ and -1 unitary representations of generators, respectively. When the manifold M is two-dimensional, the full braid group is essentially different than the S_N group. For M being a 2D plane (R^2), the full braid group is usually assigned as B_N (Artin group¹⁶). It is an

infinite group with an infinite number of 1DURs, $\sigma_i \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}$, $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$, associated with anyons²⁵. The generators σ_i for the infinite full braid group for a 2D manifold are exchanges of particles i -th with $(i+1)$ -th ones (at certain particle enumeration), but they satisfy different conditions compared to 3D manifold. For the plane R^2 , the generators of B_N comply with the following conditions: $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-2$, and $\sigma_j \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N-1$, $|i-j| \geq 2$ (whereas for S_N , it was $\sigma_i^2 = e$).

Changes of the braid group causes changes of its 1DUR and of a related symmetry of the multiparticle wave function and energies averaged over this function. In a specific 2D case, when charged interacting N -particle system is placed on a surface S (in the thermodynamical limit on whole R^2 with kept $\frac{S}{N} = \text{const.}$), the strong perpendicular magnetic field may significantly change the braid group of this system. Although the braids display topology of trajectories and not specific dynamics, the static magnetic field perpendicular to the plane can change the homotopy of all trajectories simultaneously conserving a cylindrical symmetry. This exceptional situation is caused by the fact that charged classical particles must move along cyclotron orbits that are of finite size in 2D (in contrast to 3D charged particles for which the drift component of cyclotron movement makes orbits arbitrarily large). For a sufficiently strong magnetic field, the cyclotron orbits of 2D particles with the same kinetical energy (as in Landau levels or in other flat bands) may be shorter than the particle separation of electrons on the plane rigidly fixed by their Coulomb repulsion. This precludes braid exchanges of particles and the full braid group cannot be defined. A braid group must, however, be defined for any correlated multi-particle system, otherwise any quantum statistics cannot be assigned, and any correlated state cannot be organized.

We have proved that multi-loop braids in 2D can match particles separated by a distance too large for single-particle braids^{18,28}. These multi-loop braids are σ_i^q (q is an integer) and are elements of the original full braid group generated by σ_i . When σ_i cannot be defined, the new braid group (the subgroup of the full braid group) can be defined utilizing σ_i^q as its generators (for odd integers q , when σ_i^q still define exchanges of neighbors, i th and $(i+1)$ -th particles, what is, however, not true for q even integers). These subgroups of the full braid group we call as the cyclotron braid subgroups, and they display distinct homotopy classes for the 2D interacting multiparticle charged system upon a sufficiently strong magnetic field presence.

However, the implementation of a cyclotron braid subgroup is limited only to a discrete series of magnetic field values in the system of repulsing electrons with fixed planar concentration (with fixed N and S , or in the thermodynamic limit, with fixed $\rho = \frac{N}{S}$). Only for the commensurability of a multi-loop cyclotron braid with particle separation is it possible to define a cyclotron braid subgroup

and to arrange a correlated multi-particle state. Including the possibility of fitting each loop from the multi-loop orbit to particle separation and taking into account also next-nearest neighboring particles, we obtain the hierarchy of discrete magnetic field values at constant $\rho = \frac{N}{S}$, at which the multi-loop cyclotron braids can be commensurate with the electron distribution homogeneous and steady due to electron repulsion (electrons are located on the positive uniform jellium). The degeneracy of Landau levels (LLs) of 2D particles in the magnetic field is proportional to the field B , $N_0 = \frac{BS_e}{h}$, and changes of the LL filling factor, $\nu = \frac{N}{N_0}$, correspond to variation of the magnetic field. The discrete values of B at which cyclotron braid commensurability is admissible define the famous FQHE hierarchy with perfect consistence with experimental observations (ca. 150 various fractional fillings in conventional GaAs and in graphene have been experimentally observed with FQHE thus far^{28–30}).

Each commensurability pattern defines a specific homotopy class for trajectories in the considered system upon a rigidly accommodated magnetic field B (or, equivalently, at a corresponding LL filling factor). The 1DUR of the related specific cyclotron braid subgroup determines the symmetry of the corresponding wave function. In particular, in the lowest Landau level (LLL), these wave functions can be uniquely identified, taking into account that for interacting systems of N electrons in the LLL the wave function must be a holomorphic function. In the case of the simplest commensurability patterns, one can rederive in this way the Laughlin functions and next their generalizations at arbitrary, more complicated multi-loop cyclotron braid commensurability instances (corresponding to different homotopy classes).

For each homotopy class, the corresponding wave function attains the form restricted by the cyclotron braid-group symmetry, which leads to a specific mean energy of this state. This energy is different for various homotopy classes, and the transition between them can be treated as the homotopy phase transition undergoing due to variation of the magnetic field B . The homotopy phases do not have any order parameters but are distinguished by different patterns of the correlations expressed by the structure of a particular cyclotron braid subgroup.

The instant change in the commensurability pattern with variation of the magnetic field is particularly notable. The change in homotopy occurs instantly with magnetic field shift when the size of the classical cyclotron orbit changes in the system of charged repulsing particles with a steady fixed classical distribution on the 2D plane due to the interaction. It must be emphasized that the homotopy phase transitions, although characterized by changes in the classical braid group, are essentially quantum transitions at $T = 0$ K corresponding to the wave function reshaping according the symmetry and unitary representation of the particular cyclotron braid subgroups

(and the related step-wise change of the mean energy of interaction).

The Coulomb-repulsed electrons that are located on the positively charged jellium define a classical Wigner web with the lowest energy distribution of particles with fixed inter-particle spacing (at $T = 0$ K). When the temperature grows, the thermal chaos $\sim kT$ overcomes the interaction and erodes the classical electron network. Hence, with temperature increase the commensurability might not be precisely defined, and the homotopy phase may disappear. The stronger the interaction, the higher the temperature at which this classical network disintegrates. We see that the interaction does not cause the homotopy phase transitions but is the crucial prerequisite for the commensurability pattern changes with variation of the magnetic field strength. This relationship agrees with the fact that the homotopy phase is not assigned by any local order parameter, unlike to the ordinary phase transitions occurring due to fluctuations of the order parameter. In particular, neither the mean field approach, which highlights the role of interaction in ordinary phase transitions, nor the renormalization group approach apply to the presented homotopy phase transitions. In a gas system, the homotopy phase transitions disappear because the braid commensurability loses its meaning when distances between gas particles can be arbitrary as for noninteracting particles.

The homotopy phase transition is purely quantum phase transition (QPT)—it occurs with varying magnetic field at $T = 0$ K, although (similarly to other QPTs) it persists to nonzero temperatures up to a certain temperature when the thermal fluctuations exceed the activation energy of a particular quantum phase. The activation energy is the energy gain due to homotopy correlations with respect to the uncorrelated phase and depends on the inter-particle interaction and on the interaction with the jellium for a specific homotopy pattern of correlations. At higher temperatures, the particle separation is not precisely defined, and the homotopy phase is no longer defined. Thus, for temperatures kT exceeding the activation energies of competing phases the homotopy transition is washed out by the thermal chaos. The ordinary phase transition is a different phenomenon—it is purely classical in terms of thermal fluctuations despite the organization of competing phases is quantum, like e.g., for superfluid or superconducting phases. Conventional QPTs also are governed by fluctuations, a similar role play here quantum fluctuations of some order parameter instead of thermal ones. The homotopy transitions are, however, different and are not associated with fluctuations of any local order parameter. They correspond to step-wise changes in the topology of trajectories; i.e., they are assigned to the homotopy transitions in the whole configuration space simultaneously.

III. EXAMPLES OF HOMOTOPY PHASES

Let us first consider the integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE) from the homotopy point of view. This is the correlated state when the LLL filling factor $\nu = \frac{N}{N_0} = 1$. The magnetic field B_0 corresponding to $\nu = 1$ satisfies thus the equation, $N_0 = \frac{B_0 S e}{h} = N$. In terms of the cyclotron orbit size, this equality can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\hbar}{eB_0}, \quad (2)$$

where on the left-hand-side of this equation stands $\frac{S}{N}$ which is the sample area per single particle (the measure of particle separation)) and on the right-hand-side, $\frac{\hbar}{eB_0}$, is the size of a surface of the cyclotron orbit in the LLL. Eq. (2) thus determines the homotopy pattern when cyclotron orbits ideally fit to particle separation, allowing braid exchanges, statistics determination and correlation organization.

However, if the magnetic field grows, the commensurability condition (2) cannot be longer satisfied. Apparently for $B > B_0$, the commensurability (2) is lost, i.e., $\frac{S}{N} > \frac{\hbar}{eB}$. In general, there are two possible ways to restore the commensurability necessary to arrange any other correlated state:

- to include correlations with next-nearest neighbors—then for the first generation of next-nearest neighbors we obtain on l.h.s instead of $\frac{S}{N}$ the quantity $\frac{S}{N/2} = \frac{2S}{N}$, which, however, only worsens the above inequality (next-nearest neighbor correlations can be, however, convenient in higher LLs at lower magnetic field, $B < B_0$, and thus larger cyclotron orbits³¹);
- to include braids with additional loops—for one additional loop, the simplest such braid is σ_i^3 —as we have proved²⁸ (cf. also paragraph IV), the size of the corresponding 3-loop cyclotron orbit will be $3\frac{\hbar}{eB}$, which restores the commensurability for the field value $B_{1/3} = 3B_0$,

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{3\hbar}{eB_{1/3}}, \quad (3)$$

or more generally $\frac{S}{N} = \frac{q\hbar}{eB_{1/q}}$, q -odd integer, $B_{1/q} = qB_0$; for this field, we obtain $\nu = \frac{1}{q}$ (in particular $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$ for $q = 3$).

We see that the condition (3) defines another homotopy class—the corresponding commensurability pattern holds for 3-loop cyclotron orbits, i.e., braids with one additional loop (because braid to exchange nearest particles utilizes half of a cyclotron orbit). As the subsequent loops can only

be added to braids one by one, q must be an *odd* integer: for $q = 1$ (i.e., for the ordinary single-loop cyclotron orbit), the corresponding braid takes $1/2$ of this orbit in order to match a neighboring particle; for $q = 3$, the corresponding braid takes $3/2$ of the 3-loop cyclotron orbit to match a neighbor; for $q = 5$, the braid takes $5/2$ of 5-loop cyclotron orbit, and so on.

The energies of distinct homotopy phases differ. One can evaluate these energies by the Metropolis Monte Carlo method³² via calculation of $\langle \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_N) | \hat{H} | \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_N) \rangle$ for the corresponding trial wave functions. For $\nu = \frac{1}{q}$, the Laughlin wave functions are used³³:

$$\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_N) = A \prod_{i>j} (z_i - z_j)^q e^{-\sum_i |z_i|^2 / 4l_B^2}, \quad (4)$$

$l_B = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{eB}}$ is the magnetic length, $z_i = x_i + iy_i$ is the complex notation of $\mathbf{r}_i = (x_i, y_i)$ position of i -th particle on the plane, A is the normalization constant. The resulted energies of various homotopy phases at distinct ν are different. Moreover, any shift in the magnetic field value, i.e., a shift in ν , disrupts the commensurability pattern, causing an instant homotopy quantum phase transition. The homotopy phase transition is of the topological type without an order parameter, corresponding to an instant change of the correlation type in the multi-particle system (with S and N constant) induced by changing the cyclotron braid size via the variation of the magnetic field B .

IV. MAGNETIC FIELD FLUX QUANTUM IN DIFFERENT HOMOTOPY PHASES—THE ORIGIN OF FQHE

Here we prove that the magnetic field flux quantum changes its value in various homotopy phases. This fact is the origin of the FQHE and was heuristically modeled³⁴ by auxiliary field quanta attached to electrons to construct a hypothetical composite fermions. Actually none composite fermions exist but the magnetic field flux quantum changes for various multiply connected spaces. In order to formally demonstrate the magnetic field flux quantum modification induced by a specific homotopy class, let us consider the Bohr-Sommerfeld rule, which links the area of the 1D phase space with the corresponding number of quantum states. The quasiclassical wave function in a 1D well, $U(x)$, with turning points a and b has the form $\Psi(x) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{p}} \sin \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_a^x p dx$ for $\Psi(a) = 0$ or $\Psi(x) = \frac{c'}{\sqrt{p}} \sin \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_b^x p dx$ for $\Psi(b) = 0$, where $p(x) = \sqrt{2m(E - U(x))}$ (for simplicity, assuming vertical infinite borders of the well). Uniqueness of the wave function requires $2 \int_a^b p dx = \oint p dx = S_{xp} = n2\pi\hbar = nh$, which is the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule (for non-vertical infinite borders, $S_{xp} = (n + \frac{1}{2})h$). The above has been derived upon the condition that

the trajectory is single-loop. For a different homotopy class and for a multi-loop trajectory one obtains, however, $2 \int_a^b p dx = \oint p dx = S_{px} = (2k+1)n2\pi\hbar = n(2k+1)h$ for a trajectory (a, b) with additional k loops. Each loop of all $2k$ loops symmetrically pinned (by k) to both branches, 'upper' $(+p)$ and 'lower' $(-p)$, of the closed trajectory between a and b in the integral $\oint p dx$ adds 2π . This is of particular importance when the Bohr-Sommerfeld rule is applied to a effective 2D phase-space (P_y, Y) of y, x components of the 2D kinematic momentum in the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field. The kinematic momentum components, $P_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $P_y = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - eBx$ (at the Landau gauge, $\mathbf{A} = (0, Bx, 0)$) do not commute. $[P_y, P_x]_- = -i\hbar eB$ and the pair of operators, $Y = \frac{1}{eB}P_x$ and P_y , can be treated as operators of canonically conjugated generalized position Y and momentum P_y because $[P_y, Y]_- = -i\hbar$. Thus, the 2D effective phase space (Y, P_y) is actually the (P_x, P_y) space. This 2D kinematic momentum space is, on the other hand, the renormalized by the factor $\frac{1}{(eB)^2}$ and turned in plane by $\pi/2$ the ordinary 2D space (x, y) due to the quasiclassical formula for the Lorentz force, $\mathbf{F} = \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = e \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \times \mathbf{B}$, which gives $dP_{x(y)} = eB dy(-x)$. In the 2D position space, (x, y) trajectories may belong to different homotopy classes and may be attributed to non-contractible additional loops (as in charged multi-particle planar systems at sufficiently strong magnetic field). Hence, in this homotopy-rich 2D case, from the generalized Bohr-Sommerfeld rule, $S_{YP_y} = n(2k+1)h$, or in (x, y) space, $S_{x,y} = \frac{(2k+1)nh}{eB}$, which defines the quantum of the magnetic field flux,

$$\Phi_k = \Delta S_{xy} B = \frac{(2k+1)h}{e}. \quad (5)$$

Only for $k = 0$, i.e., for the homotopy class without additional loops, the flux quantum equals $\Phi_0 = \frac{h}{e}$.

Different magnetic field flux quanta define different size of multi-loop cyclotron orbits. The IQHE corresponds to $k = 0$ (the homotopy class of single-loop cyclotron orbits) and the cyclotron orbit size for $k = 0$ equals to $\Delta S_{xy} = \frac{h}{eB_0} = \frac{S}{N} = \frac{S}{N_0}$, $\nu = \frac{N}{N_0} = 1$, ($N_0 = \frac{BS_0}{h}$ is the LL degeneracy taken here for B_0 , S is the sample surface size, N is the number of electrons, B_0 is the magnetic field for $\nu = 1$). The FQHE-main line corresponds to $k = 1, 2, \dots$ (the homotopy class with $q = (2k+1)$ -loop cyclotron orbits or braids with k additional loops); e.g., for $k = 1$ (the simplest Laughlin state), the three-loop cyclotron orbit has the size $\Delta S_{xy} = \frac{3h}{eB}$. This orbit for $B = 3B_0$ fits to interparticle separation $\frac{S}{N}$ —hence, from the commensurability condition, $\frac{3h}{eB} = \frac{S}{N}$, one obtains, $\nu = \frac{N}{N_0} = \frac{N}{BS_0/h} = \frac{1}{3}$. It is thus evident that none composite fermions exist but the flux quantum changes. The Laughlin correlations expressed by exponential $q = 2k+1$ in the Jastrow polynomial manifesting itself by the phase shift $q\pi$ when particle interchange, is not a

result of the Aharonov-Bohm phase generated by fluxes attached to composite fermions, but this phase shift is the scalar unitary representation of the braid generator with additional k loops¹⁸. The cyclotron braid group theory fully explains FQHE without any fictitious objects like composite fermions with heuristic assertion of somehow pinned flux quanta to each particle.

It must be emphasized that the Chern-Simons field theory has supported the mystification of composite fermions using the confusing name of gauge field. Despite of this conventional name the Chern-Simon field causes non-canonical transformation of particles and changes their statistics on demand equally artificially as composite fermions do. Thus, the Chern-Simon field theory does not derive composite fermions but offers only a field type representation for the auxiliary fictitious model. In the next paragraphs it is formally proven to which homotopy phases the composite fermion picture can be applied and which homotopy phases cannot be illustrated by the composite fermions. In particular, so-called enigmatic FQHE states in the LLL of monolayer 2DEG systems do not admit composite fermion model as well as all FQHE states in bilayer systems with tunneling of electrons between layers, as in bilayer graphene^{30,35–37} or in closely adjacent GaAs layers^{38,39}. The FQHE states in higher LLs both in Hall monolayers and bilayers are also not of composite fermion character^{30,31,37,40}.

The quasiclassical method of Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization applied to many particle systems is interaction independent, i.e., it holds for arbitrarily strongly interacting multiparticle systems. The sizes of magnetic flux quanta are also interaction independent for different homotopy classes, although the existence of nonhomotopic trajectories in (x, y) space is conditioned by the Coulomb interaction of 2D charged particles. In a gas system of noninteracting particles, their mutual positions are arbitrary, which dismisses correlations and nontrivial homotopies.

V. TWO-PARTICLE ILLUSTRATION OF HOMOTOPY CLASSES IN 2D

To better visualize the homotopy phase transition, let us consider the simplest multi-particle, the two-electron 2D system ($N = 2$) located on the surface S (positive jellium) and exposed to a perpendicular strong magnetic field. The system of two not-bounded electrons has been frequently considered (but without the jellium) because it permits an analytical solution at a countable series of magnetic field values reflecting hidden symmetries of the related Schrödinger equation^{41,42}. In addition, two electrons in quantum dots were studied, in hyperbolic⁴³ or cylindrical dots⁴⁴.

In the case, when the jellium is present, one can apply the same approach as for many electron 2D systems for IQHE and FQHE. For the field B_0 , such that the degeneracy of corresponding LLs,




FIG. 2. Example of two distinct homotopy phases for two repulsing electrons placed on the uniform positive jellium with constant surface density $\frac{N}{S}$ at magnetic field B_0 (left) corresponding to $\nu = \frac{N}{N_0} = 1$ and $B_{1/3} = 3B_0$ (right) corresponding to $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$. The braid trajectory for each electron is a cyclotron semi-loop, with a single-loop cyclotron trajectory for B_0 but with a three-loop cyclotron trajectory for $B_{1/3}$ (in the illustration, the classical cyclotron orbits in the interacting system are schematically presented as circles but may have different shapes with the same surface as circles (e.g., for the commensurability condition $\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\hbar}{eB}$, the single-loop cyclotron orbits with the surface $\frac{\hbar}{eB}$ cannot be circular because it is impossible to fill the plane with circles). For the sake of electrostatic energy minimization, two classical electrons at $T = 0$ K are symmetrically positioned on the jellium with radius r at the radius ca. $0.7r$ (the simplest Wigner distribution).

$N_0 = \frac{B_0 S e}{\hbar} = 2$, two electrons completely fill the LLL, i.e., $\nu = \frac{N}{N_0} = 1$. The related homotopy phase is defined here by the same commensurability condition as for IQHE, $\frac{S}{2} = \frac{\hbar}{eB_0}$, which means that the cyclotron braid (half of the cyclotron orbit) perfectly fits the electron separation, as visualized in Fig. 2 (left). The wave function for this simplest homotopy phase at B_0 is $\Psi_1(z_1, z_2) = \mathcal{A}(z_1 - z_2)e^{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)/4l_B^2}$, ($z_i = x_i + iy_i$ is a convenient complex representation of the 2D position of the i th particle, $\mathbf{r}_i = (x_i, y_i)$, $l_B = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{eB}}$ is the magnetic length at field B). This function is the Laughlin function for $N = 2$ and $q = 1$, i.e., the Slater function for the completely filled LLL. It must be emphasized here that this Slater function, being, on the other hand the wave function of two noninteracting particles in the LLL of gas, is simultaneously the ground state for the interacting electrons at $\nu = 1$. It is an exceptional situation when the different systems, with and without interaction, have the same ground state eigenfunction corresponding, however, to different Hamiltonians (and different energies), $H_{nint} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{(\hat{p}_i - eA_i)^2}{2m}$, $A_i = \frac{1}{2}(-By_i, Bx_i)$ in the




FIG. 3. Single-particle density of the wave function ($\int d^2r_2 |\Psi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)|^2$, cross-section for better visibility) for $\nu = 1$ (blue) and $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$ (brown)—pushing of charge density out of the center is visible for homotopy class $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$ (left panel). The averaged separation of particles, $\int d^2r_2 \int d^2r_1 \Psi_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| \Psi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$, is larger for the homotopy class for $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$ (the averaged distance is presented by the circle diameter) (right panel).

symmetrical gauge for $B = B_0$, and $H_{int} = H_{nint} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} + H_{jj} + H_{ej}$, where the jellium-jellium interaction $H_{jj} = \frac{\rho_0^2}{2} \int_S d^2r \int_S d^2r' \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$ (with the charge density $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi l_{B_0}^2}$) and the electron-jellium interaction $H_{el} = -\rho_0 \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_S d^2r \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i|}$ (ϵ_0 and ϵ are the dielectric constant and the material permittivity, respectively). The commensurability pattern, $\frac{S}{2} = \frac{\hbar}{eB_0}$, uniquely determines the symmetry of the wave function (in the LLL, the wave function of interacting electrons must be a holomorphic function, defined uniquely by its nodes). Here, the 1DUR of the braid group is $\sigma_i \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}$, where $\alpha = \pi$ is chosen for original fermions, which induces the $z_1 - z_2$ polynomial part. The finite range exponent $e^{-(|z_i|^2 + |z_j|^2)/4l_{B_0}^2}$ invariant for particle exchange is the same common factor in the subspaces of the two-particle Hilbert space spanned by eigenfunctions of noninteracting particles in the LLL for any filling rate (also for $\nu = 1$). The resulted two-particle wave function has the form of the Slater function for $N = 2$ in the LLL of noninteracting particles. Let us emphasize that this function, if related to H_{nint} , is not any correlated state (in the gas, none correlations can be present, and any braid commensurability also cannot be defined in the gas), whereas the same function if related to H_{int} (as uniquely determined by the braid group 1DUR for $\nu = 1$) describes the strongly correlated state of IQHE; this is the simplest homotopy phase. We thus see that this homotopy correlation is not explicitly built in the wave function form. Notably, the charge distribution and the averaged electron distance at $\nu = 1$ shown in Fig. 3 (right) are the same for interacting and noninteracting systems because the two-particle wave function has the same form in both systems. In the gas case, the finite separation of electrons is caused by fermionic 'repulsion' and is called Pauli virtual crystallization⁴⁵, although no other homotopic class exists in the gaseous

system. In gas any commensurability does not hold and does not impose any restrictions on a full braid group.

The other homotopy phase for two interacting electrons corresponds e.g., to the commensurability pattern $\frac{S}{2} = \frac{3\hbar}{eB_{1/3}}$ at $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$, i.e., to the distinct homotopy class with three-loop cyclotron orbits and thus braids with one additional loop for $B_{1/3} = 3B_0$ (cf. Fig. 2, (right)). The Laughlin wave function for this state has the form, $\Psi_{1/3}(z_1, z_2) = \mathcal{B}(z_1 - z_2)^3 e^{-(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)/4l_B^2}$.

The energies for both homotopy phases shown above can be calculated directly as $\Delta E = \langle \Psi_\nu(z_1, z_2) | \hat{H}_{int} - \hat{H}_{nint} | \Psi_\nu(z_1, z_2) \rangle$ for $\nu = 1$ or $\nu = 1/3$. From the Metropolis Monte Carlo estimation we obtain the energies $\frac{\Delta E}{N} = -0.58 \left[\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon l_B} \right]$ for $\nu = 1$, $\frac{\Delta E}{N} = -0.39 \left[\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon l_B} \right]$ for $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$, and $\frac{\Delta E}{N} = -0.32 \left[\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon l_B} \right]$ for $\nu = \frac{1}{5}$ (the minus indicates stability, i.e., that the jellium-electron attraction energy overcomes the jellium-jellium repulsion and electron-electron repulsion energies). Thus, the energies for distinct homotopy phases are different.

For both homotopy classes, one can compare the charge density distribution, $\int d^2r_2 |\Psi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)|^2$, and the averaged separation of electrons, $\int d^2r_1 \int d^2r_2 \Psi_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| \Psi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$, for $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$, respectively. As is shown in Fig. 3 for multi-loop cyclotron braids the single-particle charge distribution is pushed out from the center of the jellium plaque resulting in a larger mean separation of the electron distribution, which minimizes the electron repulsion energy for the related homotopy class. A comparison of the energies for both phases reveals that the reduction of electron repulsion causes an increase in the electron-jellium interaction, which prefers a more uniform charge distribution. Both homotopy phases are, however, stable with respect to the nearby quantum state, which cannot be correlated if ν is slightly shifted out of the homotopy condition.

These simple examples of the homotopy phases in the case of $N = 2$ can be generalized to large electron number systems (also in the thermodynamic limit, provided that the planar density remains constant).

VI. HOMOTOPY PHASES CORRESPONDING TO FQHE HIERARCHY IN THE LLL

The general form of the braid commensurability in the 2D charged multi-electron system in magnetic field can be identified via a generalization of the genuine homotopy pattern for IQHE, $\frac{S}{N} = \frac{S}{N_0}$, when the cyclotron orbit size, equal to $\frac{\Phi_0}{B_0} = \frac{S}{N_0}$ ($N_0 = \frac{eB_0 S}{h}$ is the LL degeneracy), fits perfectly to the interacting electron separation $\frac{S}{N}$. At fractional fillings of the LLL (i.e., at a larger magnetic field $B > B_0$), the cyclotron orbits $\frac{S}{N_0} = \frac{h}{eB}$ are always smaller than $\frac{S}{N}$ (kept constant here) and the single-loop cyclotron braids cannot match neighboring electrons. To establish any

correlated state particle exchanges are, however, necessary to define the statistics of quantum particles via the choice of a braid group 1DUR in the path integral (1). Exclusively in 2D, the multi-loop cyclotron orbits have larger sizes compared to the single-loop ones at the same magnetic fields^{18,28,46} (cf. the proof in paragraph IV). This property is due to the obligatory distribution of the external field B flux among all loops of the multi-loop cyclotron orbit in 2D. These loops are all located in the same plane for the 2D system and the total flux of the external field passing through the multi-loop orbit is the same as through the single-loop orbit. Hence, the fraction of this flux falls per loop (in contrast to a coil in 3D, in which each scroll adds its own surface, thus enhancing the total flux of an external field passing through a 3D coil).

The multi-loop braids can thus match neighboring electrons distributed too distantly for braids without additional loops. Therefore, the multi-loop cyclotron orbit commensurability condition attains the following general form, including matching by multi-loop orbits of nearest or next-nearest electrons:

$$\frac{BS}{N} = (q-1)\frac{h}{ex} \pm \frac{h}{ey}, \quad (6)$$

where q is the number of loops (q must be an odd integer to ensure that the corresponding braid describes particle exchange). In Eq. (6), $x \geq 1$ (integer) indicates the commensurability of $q-1$ single loops from the q -loop cyclotron orbit with every x -th particle on the plane ($x=1$ corresponds to nearest neighbors, whereas $x > 1$ to next-nearest ones of x -th order); $y \geq x$ (also integer) indicates the commensurability of the last loop of the q -loop orbit with every y -th particle (next-nearest neighbors for $y > 1$); \pm indicates the same or opposite (of a figure-eight shape) orientation of the last, i.e., q -th, loop. From (6) the following hierarchy for filling rates is obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \frac{N}{N_0} = \frac{xy}{(q-1)y \pm x}, \text{ for LL band electrons,} \\ \nu &= 1 - \frac{xy}{(q-1)y \pm x}, \text{ for LL band holes.} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This general hierarchy reproduces perfectly all experimentally observed filling rates in the LLL for FQHE⁴⁷. For $x=1$, the hierarchy (7) reproduces the conventional CF hierarchy³⁴. For $x > 1$, the hierarchy (7) is beyond the ability of the CF model and displays filling rates for FQHE in the LLL including those outside the CF hierarchy called as enigmatic states (e.g., $\nu = \frac{5}{13}, \frac{4}{11}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{17}, \frac{4}{19}, \dots$), which are, however, observed in the experiment in GaAs 2DEG⁴⁷. The comparison of the hierarchy (7) with the experimental data is summarized in Fig. 4.

We note that the CF model agrees with the simplest commensurability case ($x=1$) and breaks down in more complicated commensurability instances as given by Eq. (7) for $x > 1$. The addition of auxiliary flux quanta to CFs to gain the proper Laughlin phase shift⁴⁸ can be interpreted as




FIG. 4. Comparison of the hierarchy (7) with all measured fractional filling rates for FQHE features in the LLL GaAs (spin polarized). The hierarchy series acc. (7) for several y are displayed. Filling rates beyond the conventional hierarchy of Jain's CFs are shown in red (Hall metal state fraction $1/2$ is marked).

an effective model of additional loops in braids needed to reach the nearest neighbors. When the matching of next-nearest electrons is required in some homotopy phase, then such loops (with $x > 1$ in Eq. (7)) are not equivalent to the conventional structure of CFs with pinned flux quanta. In Fig. 4, filling rates beyond the main Jain's CF hierarchy are indicated in red; these filling rates are visible in experiments and all are successfully reproduced by the general hierarchy (7) with $x > 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Diagram 1: } \nu = \frac{2}{5} \rightarrow b_i^{3,1,2,+} = \sigma_i^3 \cdot \sigma_{i+1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} \\
 & \text{Diagram 2: } \nu = \frac{2}{7} \rightarrow b_i^{5,1,2,-} = \sigma_i^5 \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} \\
 & \text{Diagram 3: } \nu = \frac{3}{8} \rightarrow b_i^{7,2,3,-} = \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^7 \cdot \sigma_{i+2}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

FIG. 5. Examples of the braid cyclotron subgroup generators for several filling fractions; the third is an example of a filling fraction that cannot be derived using the conventional CF model (the notation for the generator according to Eq. (9) is $b_i^{q,x,y,\pm}$, where q is the number of loops in the cyclotron orbit, x is the next-neighbor order for nesting of the first $(q-1)$ loops, y is the next-neighbor order of the last loop, and \pm corresponds to the same (+) or inverted (-) orientation of the last loop with respect to the preceding ones).

The limit $y \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (7) displays the general hierarchy of the Hall metal in exactly the same manner as for the archetype of the Hall metal at $\nu = 1/2$ (the last orbit, associated with

y , is then infinite and fits to infinitely distant particles as in the normal Fermi liquid without any magnetic field—this is the Hall metal). The general Hall metal hierarchy in the LLL thus attains the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \frac{x}{q-1}, \text{ for electrons,} \\ \nu &= 1 - \frac{x}{q-1}, \text{ for holes.}\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

The Hall metal correlations can manifest themselves at fractions that do not necessarily have even denominators (for $x > 1$ even, beyond the conventional Jain's CF concept of Hall metal, e.g., for $x = 2$ in Eq. (8)). Similarly the hierarchy (7) can display fractions with both odd and even denominators in compliance with the experimental observations⁴⁷. Some fractions are repeated in various lines of the general hierarchy (7). This fact reveals the possibility of realization of various commensurability patterns of multi-loop cyclotron orbits with inter-particle spacing $\frac{S}{N}$ at the same ν . The advantage of one commensurability pattern over the others (alternative ones at the same filling rate) is related to energy minimization, i.e., minimization of the Coulomb interaction.

The hierarchy predicted by homotopy phases reproduces perfectly the experimentally observed series of filling rates for FQHE in the LLL⁴⁷ as well as in higher LLs both in conventional GaAs 2DEG⁴⁹ and in graphene monolayer²⁹ and bilayer³⁰. In bilayer graphene, the homotopy phases successfully explain an unconventional FQHE^{30,35-37}, which is out of reach for the CF model because of the specific braid homotopy modification induced by the interlayer hopping of electrons and cannot be modeled by fictitious flux quanta pinned to electrons³⁰.

A. Comparison of energy for various homotopy phases

The forms of the cyclotron braid generators for particular homotopy phases permit the construction of trial wave functions that transform themselves according to a selected 1DURs of the cyclotron braid subgroups. In the case of the LLL in which the wave function must be a holomorphic function, the cyclotron braid symmetry determines the wave function unambiguously. For the simplest line of the hierarchy (7) with $x = y = 1$, i.e., $\nu = \frac{1}{q}$, $q - \text{odd}$, the corresponding trial wave functions reproduce the family of Laughlin functions³³ given by Eq. (4) with the uniquely defined polynomial parts in the form of the Jastrow polynomials, $\prod_{i,j,i>j}^{N,N} (z_i - z_j)^q$. The defining characteristic of the Laughlin function is that the q -fold zero at each particle keeps planar particle density apart (the stronger the higher q is) and thus diminishes the Coulomb electron-electron interaction energy, which we have visualized in the simple example in Fig. 3. The function (4) transforms in compliance with the 1DUR of the cyclotron braid subgroup with generators σ_i^q i.e.,

$\sigma_i^q \rightarrow e^{iq\pi}$ (for the fixed 1DUR of the full braid group, $\sigma_i \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}$ with $\alpha = \pi$ choosen to describe original fermions), which agrees with the Laughlin phase.

For more general homotopy classes corresponding to the hierarchy (7), the generators (elementary braids) are as follows (for \pm in (7)):

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_i^{q,x,y,+} &= (\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+x-2} \cdot \sigma_{i+x-1} \cdot \sigma_{i+x-2}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1})^{q-1} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+y-2} \cdot \sigma_{i+y-1} \cdot \sigma_{i+y-2}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} \\
 \text{and} \\
 b_i^{q,x,y,-} &= (\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+x-2} \cdot \sigma_{i+x-1} \cdot \sigma_{i+x-2}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1})^{q-1} \\
 &\quad \cdot (\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+y-2} \cdot \sigma_{i+y-1} \cdot \sigma_{i+y-2}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1})^{-1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with 1DURs (for $\alpha = \pi$) $e^{iq\pi}$ (for $+$) and $e^{i(q-2)\pi}$ (for $-$) (with supplement of the above notation for $x(y) = 1$, $\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+x-2} \cdot \sigma_{i+x-1} \cdot \sigma_{i+x-2}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_i$). A few examples of these generators are presented graphically in Fig. 5. These generators induce modifications of the Jastrow polynomials in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_q^{x,y,+}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) &= \mathcal{A} \prod_{i,j=1; i < i \bmod x+(j-1)x}^{N,N/x} (z_i - z_{i \bmod x+(j-1)x})^{q-1} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{i,j=1; i < i \bmod y+(j-1)y}^{N,N/y} (z_i - z_{i \bmod y+(j-1)y}) e^{-\sum_i^N \frac{|z_i|^2}{4l_B^2}}, \\
 \Psi_q^{x,y,-}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) &= \mathcal{A} \prod_{i,j=1; i < i \bmod x+(j-1)x}^{N,N/x} (z_i - z_{i \bmod x+(j-1)x})^{q-1} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{i,j=1; i < i \bmod y+(j-1)y}^{N,N/y} (z_{i \bmod y+(j-1)y} - z_i) e^{-\sum_i^N \frac{|z_i|^2}{4l_B^2}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From Eq. (7) we notice that for $x = 1$ it displays the conventional CF hierarchy. Hence, the above functions correspond to CFs for $x = 1$, as given by Eq. (11). It must be emphasized, however, that in the Jain's CF model⁴⁸ trial wave functions are chosen in a different way, utilizing a projection from higher LLs onto the LLL in order to dispose of poles in higher LL wave function and arrive at a pole-less holomorphic function suitable to the LLL. This procedure is not uniquely defined but is rather empirically adjusted to optimize energy, which violates the wave function symmetry in an accidental manner. The wave functions (11) (as a particular case of Eq. (10)) are, however, not connected with any LL projection and thus are free of the related uncertainty and symmetry

perturbations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_q^{x=1,y,+}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) &= A \prod_{i,j=1, i < j}^{N,N} (z_i - z_j)^{q-1} \\
&\times \prod_{i,j=1, i < i \bmod y + (j-1)y}^{N,N/y} (z_i - z_{i \bmod y + (j-1)y}) e^{-\sum_i^N \frac{|z_i|^2}{4l_B^2}}, \\
\Psi_q^{x=1,y,-}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) &= \mathcal{A} \prod_{i,j=1, i < j}^{N,N} (z_i - z_j)^{q-1} \\
&\times \prod_{i,j=1, i < i \bmod y + (j-1)y}^{N,N/y} (z_{i \bmod y + (j-1)y} - z_i) e^{-\sum_i^N \frac{|z_i|^2}{4l_B^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The functions (10) are proposed as the trial wave functions for correlated states for filling rates (7), for which elementary exchanges of particles are defined by braids (9) for the case when $x, y > 1$. These functions are similar to multicomponent Halperin functions⁵⁰.

The energy gain in the homotopy phase is mostly due to the lowering of the Coulomb repulsion energy $\langle \Psi | \sum_{i,j,i > j}^{N,N} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon} \frac{e^2}{|z_i - z_j|} | \Psi \rangle$. It is clear that the energy reduction for function (10) is weaker at higher x (for the same q and y). This follows from the dilution of correlated particles for larger $x > 1$ (the correlation concerns every x -th electron only) as expressed in the modified Laughlin-type function (10) by reducing the domain of the product. This leads to the diminishing of the repulsion energy gain due to the averaging of the Coulomb energy, $\sum_{i,j,i > j}^{N,N} \frac{e^2}{|z_i - z_j|}$, with the wave function (10) instead of (11) (or (4)) because $q-1$ fold zero in these functions prevents the approaching of not all electrons in the case of function (10) but only its $1/x$ fraction (opposite to the case of function (4) or (11), for which $x = 1$). Therefore, states with lower x better reduce electron-electron interaction, and one can expect that states with $x = 1$ energetically prevail over states with $x > 1$. To confront the energy values obtained from exact diagonalization for different FQHE fillings, the numerical estimation of energy for the newly proposed functions (10) and (11) was performed according to the Monte Carlo Metropolis scheme^{32,51,52}. Some exemplary results revealing very good overlap with the exact diagonalization are presented in Table I.

| $\nu = N/N_0$ | 2/5 | 3/7 | 4/9 | 5/11 | 2/9 | 3/13 | 4/17 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| MMC sim. | -0.432677 | -0.441974 | -0.446474 | -0.451056 | -0.342379 | -0.348134 | -0.351857 |
| Ex. diag. | -0.432804 | -0.442281 | -0.447442 | -0.450797 | -0.342742 | -0.348349 | -0.351189 |

TABLE I. Comparison of energy values (per particle in units, $\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon l_B}$) obtained by exact diagonalization (Ex. Diag.) and by quantum Monte Carlo simulation (MMC sim.) for some exemplary filling fractions for FQHE (Metropolis Monte Carlo simulation for the proposed topology-based wave functions, acc. to Eq. (11), for 200 particles).

With regard to multi-loop cyclotron orbits, any particular loop is not featured (all are equivalent)

and thus, in general, each loop can be accommodated to the particle separation independently. Thus, for a q -loop orbit, one would deal with the ordered series $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_q$, simplified in (7) to $x_1 = \dots = x_{q-1} = x$, $x_q = y$. Apparently, the Coulomb repulsion minimization prefers $x_1 = \dots = x_{q-1}$, for which the minimization domain is reduced resulting in weaker electron-electron interaction and more efficient energy gain than for distinct distributions of x_i . This explains the choice of the uniform behavior of $q - 1$ loops (i.e., $x_1 = \dots = x_{q-1} = x$). However, this is not a rule, and for many fractions, various energetically competitive commensurability opportunities might be considered.

For a particular filling rate ν , various competing patterns of multi-loop braid commensurability are available in general. Each of the patterns defines a distinct homotopy class with distinct energy (the lowest one defines the ground state at this ν). Various homotopy patterns at a fixed ν contribute, however, to the Feynman path integral for a nonstationary case, in which energy is not defined and various trajectory classes leading to different energies must be taken into account upon summation over trajectories in total. We have demonstrated²⁸ that the variation of the number of homotopy classes when the magnetic field is shifted reproduces the longitudinal resistivity R_{xx} experimentally measured in GaAs 2DEG⁴⁷. The conductivity in the quantum system is proportional to the corresponding path integral, but for a nonstationary case when a distinct number of homotopy classes contributes to the path summation for various ν , the propagator will relatively enhance or diminish in dependence on ν . Resulted variation of the propagator coincides with local minima and maxima of R_{xx} experimentally observed²⁸.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Homotopy topological phases have been identified in 2D charged multi-particle systems exposed to a perpendicular strong magnetic field with multiply connected configuration space. The transition between different homotopy phases does not comply with the conventional phase transition scenario because topological correlations for various braid homotopies are not assigned by any local order parameter. The homotopy class changes instantly according to the cyclotron braid commensurability pattern for planar interacting multiparticle system, which changes in a step-wise manner with variation of the external magnetic field. Exclusively in 2D multi-particle systems, different multi-loop cyclotron orbits possess larger sizes compared to the single-loop orbits because of larger magnetic field flux quantum in multiply connected 2D space with homotopy controlled by a magnetic field. This leads to complicated patterns of multi-loop cyclotron braid commensura-

bility with Coulomb-repulsing 2D electrons homogeneously distributed on uniform positive jellium and including braid nesting with nearest and next-nearest neighbors. The resulted homotopy class hierarchy agrees with the experimentally observed FQHE hierarchy in conventional GaAs 2DEG and in graphene monolayer and bilayer. The homotopy classes include and with mathematical rigor explain the heuristic CF approach as the pictorial effective model of the simplest instance of braid commensurability concerning nearest neighbors only, which limits the ability of CFs to illustrate FQHE hierarchy. CFs are usable only in the LLL of monolayer 2DEG system except of the homotopy classes for so-called enigmatic filling fractions in the LLL when nesting of braids with next-nearest electrons for first $q - 1$ loops of the q -loop orbit is required out of reach for conventional CF model. CFs fail also in bilayer Hall systems and in higher LLs both of monolayer and bilayer Hall systems. The homotopy classes are characterized by specific quantum statistic symmetries of corresponding cyclotron braid group generators defining the polynomial part of the related wave functions and their average energies. These energies agree with the activation energies experimentally observed in Hall experiments and with the energies from exact diagonalization in small models at the corresponding filling rates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by the NCN projects P.2011/02/A/ST3/00116 and P.2016/21/D/ST3/00958.

* janusz.jacak@pwr.edu.pl

¹ L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *Statistical Physics*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1969.

² Y. Nambu. Quasiparticles and gauge invariance in the theory of superconductivity. *Physical Review*, 117:648, 1960.

³ J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg. Broken symmetries. *Physical Review*, 127:965, 1962.

⁴ S. V. Tiablikov. *Methods in the Quantum Theory of Magnetism*. Springer, New York, 1967.

⁵ A. Leggett. A theoretical description of the new phases of liquid He-3. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 48:357, 1976.

⁶ J. Czerwoko. Spin susceptibility of the pseudoisotropic phase of superfluid He-3 in the acoustic limit (spin waves). *Journal of Exp. and Theor. Phys.*, 44:575, 1976.

⁷ K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut. The renormalization group and the ϵ expansion. *Physics Reports*, 12:75, 1974.

⁸ N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner. Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one- or two-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 17:1133, 1966.

- ⁹ P. C. Hohenberg. Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions. *Phys. Rev.*, 158:383, 1967.
- ¹⁰ W. L. Berezinskii. Destruction of long-range order in one-dimensional and two-dimensional systems having a continuous symmetry group i, classical systems. *Journal of Exp. Teor. Phys.*, 32, 493 1970.
- ¹¹ W. L. Berezinskii. Destruction of long-range order in one-dimensional and two-dimensional systems possessing a continuous symmetry group. ii. quantum systems. *Journal of Exp. Teor. Phys.*, 61, 1144 1971.
- ¹² J. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless. Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems. *Journal of Physics C*, 6:1181, 1973.
- ¹³ N. Mermin. The topological theory of defects in ordered media. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 51:591, 1979.
- ¹⁴ L. H. Ryder. *Quantum Field Theory, 2nd ed.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- ¹⁵ E. Spanier. *Algebraic topology.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- ¹⁶ J. S. Birman. *Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups.* Princeton UP, Princeton, 1974.
- ¹⁷ M. G. Laidlaw and C. M. DeWitt. Feynman functional integrals for systems of indistinguishable particles. *Phys. Rev. D*, 3:1375, 1971.
- ¹⁸ J. Jacak, R. Gonczarek, L. Jacak, and I. Jóźwiak. *Application of Braid Groups in 2D Hall System Physics: Composite Fermion Structure.* World Scientific, Singapore, 2012.
- ¹⁹ L.D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *Quantum mechanics, no-relativistic theory.* Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965.
- ²⁰ R. P. Feynman. *The principle of least action in quantum mechanics.* Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1942.
- ²¹ R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals.* McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.
- ²² M. Chaichian and A. Demichev. *Path Integrals in Physics Volume I Stochastic Processes and Quantum Mechanics.* IOP Publishing Ltd, Bristol; Philadelphia, 2001.
- ²³ M. Chaichian and A. Demichev. *Path Integrals in Physics Volume II Quantum Field Theory, Statistical Physics and other Modern Applications.* IOP Publishing Ltd, Bristol; Philadelphia, 2001.
- ²⁴ Y. S. Wu. General theory for quantum statistics in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 52:2103, 1984.
- ²⁵ F. Wilczek. *Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity.* World Scientific, Singapore, 1990.
- ²⁶ E. C. G. Sudarshan, T. D. Imbo, and T. R. Govindarajan. Configuration space topology and quantum internal symmetries. *Phys. Lett. B*, 213:471, 1988.
- ²⁷ T. D. Imbo, C. S. Imbo, and C. S. Sudarshan. Identical particles, exotic statistics and braid groups. *Phys. Lett. B*, 234:103, 1990.
- ²⁸ J. Jacak. Application of the path integral quantization to indistinguishable particle systems topologically confined by a magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. A*, 97:012108, 2018.
- ²⁹ P. Łydzba, L. Jacak, and J. Jacak. Hierarchy of fillings for the FQHE in monolayer graphene. *Sci. Rep.*, 5:14287, 2015.
- ³⁰ J. Jacak. Unconventional fractional quantum Hall effect in bilayer graphene. *Sci. Rep.*, 7:8720, 2017.

- ³¹ J. Jacak and L. Jacak. The commensurability condition and fractional quantum hall effect hierarchy in higher Landau levels. *JETP Letters*, 102:19—25, 2015.
- ³² Orion Ciftja and Carlos Wexler. Monte carlo simulation method for laughlin-like states in a disk geometry. *Phys. Rev. B*, 67:075304, 2003.
- ³³ R. B. Laughlin. Anomalous quantum Hall effect: an incompressible quantum fluid with fractionally charged excitations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 50:1395, 1983.
- ³⁴ J. K. Jain. Composite-fermion approach for the fractional quantum Hall effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 63:199, 1989.
- ³⁵ J. Jacak. Unconventional fractional quantum Hall effect in bilayer graphene. *Sci. Rep. Supplementary Information*, 7:8720(1–14), 2017.
- ³⁶ D. K. Ki, V. I. Falko, D. A. Abanin, and A. Morpurgo. Observation of even denominator fractional quantum Hall effect in suspended bilayer graphene. *Nano Lett.*, 14:2135, 2014.
- ³⁷ Georgi Diankov, Chi-Te Liang, FranÅ§ois Amet, Patrick Gallagher, Menyoung Lee, Andrew J. Bestwick, Kevin Tharratt, William Coniglio, Jan Jaroszynski, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, and David Goldhaber-Gordon. Robust fractional quantum hall effect in the $n=2$ landau level in bilayer graphene. *Nature Comm.*, 7:13908, 2016.
- ³⁸ Y. W. Suen, L. W. Engel, M. B. Santos, M. Shayegan, and D. C. Tsui. Observation of a $\nu = 1/2$ fractional quantum Hall state in a double-layer electron system. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 68:1379, 1992.
- ³⁹ P. Eisenstein, G. S. Boebinger, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. West, and Song He. New fractional quantum Hall state in double-layer two-dimensional electron systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 68:1383, 1992.
- ⁴⁰ F. Amet, A. J. Bestwick, J. R. Williams, L. Balicas, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon. Composite fermions and broken symmetries in graphene. *Nat. Commun.*, 6(6838), 2015.
- ⁴¹ T. Kramer. Two interacting electrons in a magnetic field: comparison of semiclassical, quantum, and variational solutions. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1323:178, 2010. arXiv:1009.6051.
- ⁴² M. Taut. Two electrons in a homogeneous magnetic field: particular analytical solutions. *Journal of Physics A*, 27:1045, 1994.
- ⁴³ U. Merkt, J. Huser, and M. Wagner. Energy spectra of two electrons in a harmonic quantum dot. *Phys. Rev. B*, 43:7320, 1991.
- ⁴⁴ A. Mathew and M. K. Nandy. Two electrons in a cylindrical quantum dot under constant magnetic field. *Physica B*, 421:127, 2013.
- ⁴⁵ P. Łydzba and J. Jacak. Identifying particle correlations in quantum Hall regime. *Annalen der Physik*, 49:1700221, 2017.
- ⁴⁶ J. Jacak and L. Jacak. Recovery of Laughlin correlations with cyclotron braids. *EPL*, 92:60002, 2010.
- ⁴⁷ W. Pan, H. L. Störmer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West. Fractional quantum Hall effect of composite fermions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 90:016801, 2003.
- ⁴⁸ J. K. Jain. *Composite Fermions*. Cambridge UP, Cambridge, 2007.

- ⁴⁹ L. Jacak J. Jacak. Commensurability condition and fractional quantum Hall effect hierarchy in higher Landau levels. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys. Letters*, 102:19, 2015.
- ⁵⁰ B. I. Halperin. Theory of the quantized Hall conductance. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 56:75, 1983.
- ⁵¹ R. Morf and B. I. Halperin. Monte carlo evaluation of trial wavefunctions for the fractional quantized hall effect: Spherical geometry. *Z. Phys. B Condensed Matter*, 68:391, 1987.
- ⁵² N. Metropolis, A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. M. Teller, and E. Teller. Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1953.