The Study of Réyni Holographic Dark Energy Model in Chern-Simons Modified Gravity

Sarfraz Ali *, Sarfaraz Khan [†] and Sadia Sattar [‡] Department of Mathematics, Division of Science and Technology, University of Education Lahore, Pakistan, Department of Mathematics, Riphah International University Faisalabad Campus Pakistan, Department of Mathematics and Statistics The University of Lahore, Sub Campus Sargodha, Pakistan.

Abstract

In this paper, we study Rényi holographic dark energy model in the context of Chern Simons (CS) modified gravity theory. Different cosmological parameters such as energy density, deceleration parameter, equation of state, square of sound speed and cosmological plane are discussed using FRW metric. Two separate solutions of CS field equations arose. The Rényi HDE model showed the transition from deceleration to acceleration phase which is fully consistent with the observational data while in the second case it represented a decelerated phase of expansion only. In fact, EoS illustrates the era of dominance of the universe by certain components. Our results predicted that the universe is under the influence of dark energy as EoS showed accelerated expansion phase. On the other hand case two advocated the influence of Λ CDM. In both cases, $\omega < 0$, $\omega' < 0$ indicated that the

^{*}sarfaraz.ali@ue.edu.pk

[†]sfz4ukhan@gmail.com

[‡]sadiasattar30@yahoo.com

Rényi HDE model is in the freezing region and cosmic expansion is more accelerating in the context of CS modified gravity. It is also observed that the value of ν_s^2 is positive $\forall z \in \Re$ a sufficient condition for the stability of the system. Hence it is concluded that the Rényi HDE model is supported by the results of general relativity in the framework of CS modified gravity.

PACS: 04.20.-q

Keywords: Chern Simons Modified Gravity, Cosmological Parameters, Rényi Holographic Dark Energy Model.

1 Introduction

The astonishing revelation of the accelerated expansion of the universe is one of the energizing advances in cosmology over the most recent decade of years [1, 2, 3]. It has been demonstrated by perceptions that the universe is flat, profoundly homogeneous and isotropic on large scales. Then again, dark energy is an extraordinary type of energy with negative pressure that has been recommended clarifying the cosmic acceleration. On behalf of the standard model of cosmology, dark energy is 68% of the total content of the universe and contribution of mass energies in the form of dark matter and ordinary matters are 27% and 5% respectively.

Chern Simons (CS) modified gravity is a notable powerful augmentation of general relativity (GR) that considers non-minimal coupling between a gravitational scalar degree of freedom and the topological Pontryagin density in four dimensions [4]. This theory is roused by anomaly cancellation in curved spacetimes, string theory, and particle physics. Its non-minimal coupling might clarify flat galaxy pivot bends without presenting dark matter [5, 6, 7, 8] frame-dragging, gyroscopic precession, and amplitude birefringence in the propagation and detection of gravitational waves.

Among many modified gravity theories, the CS theory stands out as one of only a handful of barely any examples whose Dirichlet boundary problem has been all around examined. Known answers for this hypothesis incorporate the Schwarzschild black hole and a slowly rotating black hole.Ding and Wang [9] studied the late-time action growth rates of the Wheeler-DeWitt patch for the Schwarzschild and slowly rotating black holes using Dirichlet boundary problem in the context of CS modified gravity. Utilizing holographic rule, Hooft [10] proposed an exceptionally straightforward and ad-

vantageous model to research the issues raised in DE, named holographic dark energy (HDE) model. This model is utilized in various situations with Hubble radius and cosmological conformal time of particle horizon [11, 12]. A fascinating Ricci holographic dark energy (RHDE) model characterized as $L = |R|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where R is Ricci curvature scalar, was proposed by Gao et al [13]. Silva and Santos [14] analyzed the RDE of the FRW universe and found it similar to generalized chaplain gas (GCG) in the context of CS modified gravity. Jamil and Sarfraz [15] worked the same for the amended FRW universe and present their outcomes graphically. We [16] also investigated cosmological parameters using the modified RHDE model and reconstructed different scalar field models such as quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton models in the context of CS modified gravity. Mathematically, it is observed that the equation of state parameter predicted by the fact that dark energy is the dominant component of the universe, is responsible for the accelerated expansion. Jawad and Sohail [17] considering modified QCD ghost dark energy models exploring the elements of scalar field and possibilities of different scalar field models in the structure of dynamical CS modified gravity. Jamil and Sarfraz [18] considering the HDE model found the accelerated expansion conduct of the universe under specific limitations on the boundary α . Pasqua et al. [19] investigated the HDE, modified holographic Ricci dark energy (MHRDE) and another model which is a combination of higher order derivatives of the Hubble parameter in the framework of CS modified gravity. A recently introduced Ricci-Gauss-Bonnet HDE model is studied in the context of CS modified gravity by Nasr [20].

Jawad et al. [21] found the accelerated expansion of the universe in the phantom as well as quintessence region in the framework of DGP braneworld and dynamical CS modified gravity. They [22] also studied various modified entropies such as Bekenstein, logarithmic, power law correction and Reyni along-with the investigations of first law of thermodynamics and generalized second law of thermodynamics on the apparent horizon. Porfirio and his team [23] discussed causality aspects of the dynamical CS modified gravity like relativistic fluid, cosmological constant, scalar, and electromagnetic fields using Gödal metric. In recent studies [24]-[27], HDE model has been considered broadly and analyzed as $\rho \propto \Lambda^4$ using the connections between IR, UV cutoff and the entropy such that $\Lambda^3 L^3 \leq S^{\frac{3}{4}}$. Working on the same lines, the relation of IR cut-offs along-with entropy gives rise of energy density of HDE model which depends on Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S = \frac{A}{4G}$. The vacuum

energy density is related with the UV cut-off Ricci scalar, particle horizon, Hubble horizon and event horizon, etc. i.e. large scale structure of the universe, is related with the infrared (IR) cut-off. The HDE model perseveres through the choice of IR cut-off issue. A lot of literature is available on the investigations of different IR cut-off's in [28]-[34]. Some typical dark energy models, including λ CDM, wCDM, CPL, and HDE models, are discussed by Zhang [35].

In past few years, different entropies such as Tsallis [36], Réyni [37] and Sharma-Mittal [38] HDE models have been investigated for the cosmological and gravitational incidences. Chen [39] introduced recent developments on holographic entanglement entropy. Varying from regular HDE models with Bekenstein entropy, such models evolve late time accelerated universe. It is studied that the Réyni HDE model exhibited stable behavior in case of non-interacting universe [37]. Keeping in view the above motivations, we investigated the Réyni HDE using the FRW metric in the context of CS modified gravity.

The article is organized as follows: in Sec. 2, the formalism of CS modified gravity theory and its field equations for FRW metric are presented. In Sec. 3, we discussed Réyni HDE model in the context of CS modified gravity theory taking into account the redshift parameter. Sec. 4 is devoted to exploring the stability of the system using square of sound speed. Universe evolution is discussed in section 5. Results are concluded at the end.

2 Formalism Of Chern-Simons Modified Gravity

A trenchant modification of GR is CS modified gravity theory which developed on the principle of leading-order gravitational parity violation. This modification is inspired by peculiarity cancellation in particle physics as well as string theory. The Einstein Hilbert action is modified as

$$S = S_{EH} + S_{CS} + S_{\Theta} + S_{mat},\tag{1}$$

where Einstein Hilbert term is denoted as

$$S_{EH} = \kappa \int_{v} d^4x \sqrt{-g} R, \qquad (2)$$

the CS term is represented as

$$S_{CS} = +\alpha \frac{1}{4} \int_{v} d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \Theta \ ^{*}RR, \qquad (3)$$

the scalar field is expressed

$$S_{\Theta} = -\beta \frac{1}{2} \int_{v} d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} [g^{ab}(\nabla_{a}\Theta)(\nabla_{b}\Theta) + 2V(\Theta)].$$
(4)

and an additional undefined matter contribution is given as

$$S_{mat} = \int_{v} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{mat},\tag{5}$$

where \pounds_{mat} represent some matter Lagrangian density, $\kappa = \frac{1}{16\pi G}$, g is determinant of metric, ∇_a covariant derivative, R is a Ricci scalar and integrals represent the volume executed anywhere on the manifold ν . Pontryagin density **RR* mathematically given as **RR* = $R\tilde{R} = R\tilde{R} = R_{bcd}R_{acd}^{b}$ and the dual Riemannian tensor is defined as * $R_{bcd}^{acd} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{cdef}R_{bef}^{a}$, where ϵ^{cdef} four dimensional Levi-Civita tensor. Formally, **RR* $\propto R \wedge R$, however, the curvature tensor is supposed to be Riemannian tensor.

The variation of action of Eq.(1) w.r.t. metric g_{ab} and scalar field Θ , we obtained a set of field equations of CS modified gravity in the following forms

$$G_{ab} + \alpha C_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} (T^m_{ab} + T^\theta_{ab}), \qquad (6)$$

$$g^{ab}\nabla_a\nabla_b\Theta = -\frac{\kappa\alpha}{4} *RR,\tag{7}$$

 G_{ab} is Einstein tensor, α coupling constant, C_{ab} is cotton tensor defined as

$$C^{ab} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} [\upsilon_{\sigma} \epsilon^{\sigma\mu\zeta\eta} \nabla_{\zeta} R^{\nu}_{\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \upsilon_{\sigma\tau} \epsilon^{\sigma\nu\zeta\eta} R^{\tau\mu}_{\zeta\eta}], \qquad (8)$$

where $v_{\sigma} = \nabla_{\sigma} \Theta$ and $v_{\sigma\tau} = \nabla_{\sigma} \nabla_{\tau} \Theta$. The energy momentum tensor T_{ab} comprises of matter part and external field part defined as

$$T_{ab}^m = (\rho + p)U_a U_b - pg_{ab},\tag{9}$$

$$T_{ab}^{\Theta} = \eta(\partial_a \Theta)(\partial_b \Theta) - \frac{\eta}{2} g_{ab}(\partial^{\alpha} \Theta)(\partial_{\alpha} \Theta), \qquad (10)$$

Here p, ρ and U are pressure, energy density and the four-vector velocity in co-moving coordinates of the spacetime respectively.

Most of the study of CS gravitational modification in the non-dynamical framework. In this context, the scalar field is a non-dynamical term and it is supposed to be the prior prescriptive function of spacetime. These types of investigations introduce the evaluation of approximate solutions, exact solution, cosmology, astrophysical tests and matter interaction. In nondynamical CS modified gravity theoretical problematic association between Schwarzschild black hole perturbation theory, the occurrence of static and axisymmetric solutions and uniqueness of solutions theory has been demonstrated. But there are numerous issues in non-dynamical theory such as (i) During the rotation of black hole singularities of curvature to be seen on the rotational axis (ii) oscillation modes of the massive group of black holes are hidden (iii) Commonly ghost arises. Therefore, the dynamical CS modified gravity including kinetic term for scalar field is prescribed by several authors to overcome above mentioned issues and to preserve the self-stability of the theory. Problem (i) and (ii) mentioned above do not arise in the dynamic theory and last one does not occur in a particular condition. Consequently, the dynamical CS modified gravity has captured more interest in recent age.

The FRW model is considered the standard model of contemporary cosmology. Mathematically it can be written as:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t) \left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \kappa r^{2}} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) \right].$$
 (11)

Where a(t) is a scale factor, t is cosmic time parameter, r represent the radial component and θ and ϕ are angular coordinates. κ is known as the Gaussian curvature and universe is considered open, closed or flat for $\kappa = -1, 1, 0$ respectively.

The non vanishing components of Einstein tensor for the metric given in Eq.(11) are calculated as:

$$G_{00} = \frac{3}{a^2} (\dot{a}^2 + k),$$

$$G_{11} = -\left(\frac{2a\ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2 + k}{1 - kr^2}\right),$$

$$G_{22} = -r^2 (\kappa + \dot{a}^2 + 2a\ddot{a}),$$

$$G_{33} = -r^2 \sin^2 \theta (\kappa + \dot{a}^2 + 2a\ddot{a}).$$
(12)

Using Eq.(10), the 00-component of energy momentum tensor of external field is evaluated as:

$$\rho_{\Theta} = T_{00}^{\Theta} = \frac{\dot{\Theta}^2}{2}.$$
(13)

Fortunately, for FRW metric all the components of Cotton tensor became zero identically.

$$C_{ab} = 0. \tag{14}$$

The term ***RR** is also turned zero for the FRW metric. It is a necessary condition for a metric to be a solution of Einstein field equations that the Pontryagin term ***RR** = 0. So, Eq.(7) reduced to:

$$g^{ab}\nabla_a\nabla_b\Theta = g^{ab}[\partial_a\partial_b\Theta - \Gamma^{\rho}_{ab}\partial_{\rho}\Theta] = 0.$$
(15)

Since the external field Θ is a function of spacetime coordinates but for the sake of simplicity, it is assumed as a function of time parameter only hence Eq.(18) gives the result in the following form:

$$\ddot{\Theta} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Theta} = 0. \tag{16}$$

Using the method of separation of variables, we solved the differential Eq.(16) to obtain.

$$\dot{\Theta} = \rho_{\Theta_0} a^{-3},\tag{17}$$

where ρ_{Θ_0} is a constant of integration.

3 Rényi Holographic Dark Energy Model

In modern cosmology, the origin and nature of dark energy is a mysterious issue. A lot of solutions for this problem are presented and holographic dark energy (HDE) is one of them. According to this model $\rho_{DE} = 3d^2M_p^2L^{-2}$ here d is a numerical factor, M_p is reduced Planck's mass and L is the event horizon of the universe. The Rényi [37] HDE model is represented as.

$$\rho_R = \frac{3C^2 H^2}{8\pi (1 + \frac{\delta\pi}{H^2})},$$
(18)

where, $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is a Hubble parameter and C is a constant. By law of conservation of energy-momentum density is given that $\rho_m = \rho_0 a^{-3}$

For flat FRW universe, the CS modified field equations are tabled as:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}(\rho_m + \rho_R + \rho_\Theta) \tag{19}$$

$$H^2 + \frac{2H}{3} = -\frac{8\pi}{3}(P_d).$$
 (20)

Substituting the values of ρ_R , ρ_m , ρ_{Θ} in Eq.(19), one obtained the following result.

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3}\rho_{0}a^{-3} + \frac{C^{2}H^{2}}{1 + \frac{\delta\pi}{H^{2}}} + \frac{4\pi}{3}\rho_{\Theta_{0}}a^{-6}.$$
 (21)

Let us make a use of $H(z) = E(z)H_0$, $1+z = a^{-1}$ in Eq.(21) and simplifying, authors got the following expression:

$$E^{2}(z) = \Omega_{m}(1+z)^{3} + \left(\frac{C^{2}E^{2}(z)}{1+\frac{\delta\pi}{E^{2}(z)H_{0}^{2}}}\right) + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^{6},$$
(22)

here, $\frac{8\pi\rho_0}{3H_0^2} = \Omega_m$ and $\frac{4\pi\rho_{\Theta 0}}{3H_0^2} = \Omega_{\Theta}$, z is redshift parameter and H_0 is the value of Hubble parameter at t = 0 respectively. Using initial conditions z = 0 and E(z) = 1, C^2 can be explored as $C^2 = \{1 - (\Omega_m + \Omega_{\Theta})\} \left(1 + \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right)$. Using the value of C^2 in Eq. (18) the expression for Rényi HDE model is given as.

$$\rho_R = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi \left(1 + \frac{\delta\pi}{H^2}\right)} \left\{1 - \left(\Omega_m + \Omega_\Theta\right)\right\} \left(1 + \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right).$$
(23)

4 Square of Sound Speed

To investigate the stability of Rényi HDE model one must study the evolution of square of sound speed defined as $\nu_s^2 = \frac{dP_d}{d\rho_R} = \frac{\frac{d}{dz}(P_d)}{\frac{d}{dz}(\rho_R)}$. The solution of Eq.(20) in term of redshift parameter is evaluated as

$$P_d = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[(1+z)^{-1} - 4(1+z)^{-2} \right], \tag{24}$$

and Eq.(18) reduced to

$$\rho_R = \frac{3C^2(1+z)^{-4}}{8\pi\{(1+z)^{-2} + \delta\pi\}}.$$
(25)

Using elementary operations, the square of sound speed is elaborated as

$$\nu_s^2 = \frac{2(-1+8\pi)(1+z)\{1+(1+z)^2\delta\pi\}^2}{3\{4+(1+z)^2\delta\}}$$
(26)

The graph of ν_s^2 vs z is plotted to investigate the behavior of the system.



Figure 1: The evaluation of square speed of sound versus redshift z.

It is obvious that the square speed of sound is positive, expressing the stability of Rényi HDE model. In the absence of δ we explored the following result.

$$\nu_s^2 = \left(\frac{8\pi - 1}{6}\right)(1+z) \tag{27}$$

It is concluded that $\nu_s^2 \ge 0$ for all $-1 \le z \le 1$ which is a necessary condition for the stability of any system.

5 Universe Evolution

To understand the structure and dynamics of an astrophysical system, the equation of state is usually necessary. By 'equation of state of the Universe', we mean the relation between the total energy density of cosmic matter and the total pressure. Another model called deceleration parameter is a dimensionless measure of the cosmic acceleration of the expansion of space studied in cosmology. To study about the nature of universe, the value of E(z) is calculated using Eq. (22)

$$E^{2}(z)(1-C^{2}) + \frac{\delta\pi}{H_{0}^{2}} = \left\{ (1+z)^{3}\Omega_{m} + (1+z)^{6}\Omega_{\Theta} \right\} \left(\frac{\frac{\delta\pi}{H_{0}^{2}} + E^{2}(z)}{E^{2}(z)} \right), \quad (28)$$

Eq.(28) give rise to two solutions and we will discuss them as two separate cases:

5.1 Case 1

Taking into account the positive root of the quadratic equation in E(z) in Eq.(28)

$$E(z) = \left[\frac{\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta} (1+z)^6}{1-C^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} (1-C^2)\right) + (\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta} (1+z)^6)}{\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right) + (\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta} (1+z)^6)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (29)

Differentiate Eq. (29) with respect to z and simplification given as

$$\frac{d}{dz}E(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right)^2 \{3\Omega_m(1+z)^2 + 6\Omega_\Theta(1+z)^5\}\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m.(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta.(1+z)^6\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta(1+z)^6\}\{3\Omega_m(1+z)^2 + 6\Omega_\Theta(1+z)^5\}\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-C^2}\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta(1+z)^6\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta(1+z)^6}{2\sqrt{1-C^2}\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta(1+z)^6\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$
(30)

5.1.1 Deceleration Parameter

It is a dimensionless quantity in cosmology denoted by q and mathematically defined as $q = -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2}$. If $\ddot{a} > 0$ and q < 0, then the expansion rate of the

universe will be accelerated. The most familiar method of achieving such type of a scenario is to consider the parametrization for the deceleration parameter as a function of the scale factor a or the redshift z or the cosmic time t. The deceleration parameter in terms of Hubble scale parameter is evaluated as:

$$q = -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}.\tag{31}$$

Differentiating with respect to redshift parameter z, we obtained $\dot{H} = H_0 \frac{d}{dz} E(z)$ and Eq. (31) became

$$q = -1 + \left(\frac{1+z}{E(z)}\right) \cdot \frac{d}{dz}(E(z)).$$
(32)

Substituting Eq (29) and Eq.(30) in Eq.(32),one explored the following expression.

$$q = \frac{\Omega_m + 4\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3}{2\left[\Omega_m + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3\right]} + \frac{(1+z)^3}{2} \left[\frac{\left(C^2 \frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2}\right) (3\Omega_m + 6\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3)}{\left(\frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^6\right)} \right] \times \left[\frac{1}{\frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^6 - C^2 \frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2}} \right].$$
(33)

To investigate the deceleration parameter using Réyni HDE in the context of CS modified gravity, we plotted a graph shown in Fig.(2). We opted the restrictions on parameters $\Omega_m = 0.23$, $\Omega_\Theta = 0.25$, $C^2 = 20$, $H_0 = 71 Km/s/Mpc$ and δ .



Figure 2: Deceleration Parameter q versus redshift z.

The graph illustrated the decelerated phase q > 0 for -1 < z < 0.2 to accelerated phase q < 0 at .2 < z < 1 in Fig. (2). Also, it is observed that the behavior of deceleration parameter is very similar in all three cases and our graphical representation advocated that the transition from deceleration to accelerated phase is fully consistent with the observational data.

5.1.2 Equation of State

The equation of state represented by ω is a ratio of pressure P and energy density ρ , mathematically, $\omega = \frac{P}{\rho}$. One can obtain relation between ω and deceleration parameter q using Eq. (20) and Eq. (31) expressed as:

$$\omega = \frac{2}{3} \left(q - \frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{34}$$

Making use of Eq.(33) in Eq.(34), one can found ω such that

$$\omega = \left(\frac{-z\Omega_m - (1+z)^4\Omega_{\Theta} + 2(1+z)^3\Omega_{\Theta}}{\Omega_m (1+z) + \Omega_{\Theta} (1+z)^4}\right) + \frac{1+z}{2} \\ \times \left[\frac{\left(C^2 \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right) (3\Omega_m (1+z)^2 + 6\Omega_{\Theta} (1+z)^5)}{\left(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta} (1+z)^6\right)}\right] \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta} (1+z)^6 - C^2 \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}}\right].$$
(35)

From Eq. (35) it's obvious that the EoS ω is a function of z along-with dependence on some cosmological parameters. To understand about the cosmological evaluation of the universe, we plotted a graph given in Fig.(3).



Figure 3: The evaluation of equation of state w versus redshift z.

The particular restrictions are imposed on the parameters such as $\Omega_m = 0.23$, $\Omega_{\Theta} = 0.25$, $C^2 = 20$, $H_0 = 71 Km/s/Mpc$ and three different values of δ . In fact, EoS illustrates the era of dominance of the universe by certain components. For example, $\omega = 0, \frac{1}{3}$ and 1 predict that the universe is under dust, radiation and stiff fluid influence respectively. While $\omega = -\frac{1}{3}, -1$ and $\omega < -1$ stand for quintessence DE, Λ CDM and Phantom eras respectively. From the graph it is clear that the universe is under the influence of dark energy as the equation of state predicted accelerated expansion phase.

5.1.3 $\omega - \omega'$ Plane

Caldwell and Linder [42] introduced $\omega - \omega'$ plane to study the cosmic evolution of the quintessence dark energy model. They made a conclusion that the area contained by any dark energy model in this plane is divided into freezing $(\omega < 0, \ \omega' < 0)$ and thawing $(\omega < 0, \ \omega' > 0)$ regions. It is observed that the cosmic expansion of the universe is more accelerating in the freezing region as compared to thawing. Differentiating Eq.(35) w.r.t. z, one explored that

$$\omega' = \left[\frac{\{2(1+z)^2\}(\Omega_m + 5\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3) \cdot \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}C^2}{\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^6) - C^2 \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}} \right]
- \frac{1}{(1+z)^3} \left[\frac{\{\Omega_m + 2\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3\}}{(\Omega_m + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3)^2} \right] + \frac{1}{1+z} \left[\frac{\Omega_m + 2\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3}{\Omega_m + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3} \right]
- \left[\frac{\{3(1+z)^5\}(\Omega_m + 2\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3)^2 \cdot \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}C^2}{\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^6) - C^2 \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} \right] \cdot (\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^6) - C^2 \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} \right]
- \left[\frac{\{3(1+z)^5\}(\Omega_m + 2\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3)^2 \cdot \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}C^2}{(\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^6) - C^2 \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} \right]^2 \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^6) \right]
+ \frac{1}{(1+z)^7} \left[\frac{\{2\Omega_m + 10\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3\}\{\Omega_m + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3\}}{(\Omega_m + \Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^3)^2} \right].$$
(36)

Taking into account the particular values of parameters $\Omega_m = 0.23$, $\Omega_{\Theta} = 0.25$, $C^2 = 20$, $H_0 = 71 Km/s/Mpc$ and three different values of δ , a graph of ω' is plotted as.



Figure 4: ω' versus redshift z.

The graphical behavior represented $\omega < 0$, $\omega' < 0$ indicated that the Rényi HDE model is in freezing region and cosmic expansion is more accelerating in the context of CS modified gravity.

6 Case 2

The negative root of the Eq.(31) turned out to be

$$E(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}}{1 - C^2} \left[\frac{C^2 \{\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta (1+z)^6\}}{\frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta (1+z)^6} \right]}.$$
 (37)

Working on the same lines used in case1, we explored the expression for deceleration parameter q given as

$$q = -1 + \left[\frac{(1+z)^3 (3\Omega_m + 6\Omega_\Theta (1+z)^3)}{\frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta (1+z)^6} \right] \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{(C^2 - 1)(\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta (1+z)^6) - \frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2}} \right].$$
(38)

The graph of Eq.(39) is being plotted, opted the restrictions on parameters $\Omega_m = 0.23$, $\Omega_\Theta = 0.25$, $C^2 = 20$, $H_0 = 71 Km/s/Mpc$ and δ shown in below.



Figure 5: The evaluation of deceleration parameter q versus redshift z in the absence of δ

•

Fig.(5) represents that the universe is in a decelerated phase of expansion as q < 0 for each value of redshift parameter z using Réyni HDE in the context of CS modified gravity.

Further, putting Eq. (38) in Eq. (34), the value of EoS ω is elaborated as:

$$\omega = -1 + \left[\frac{2(1+z)^3 \left(3\Omega_m + 6\Omega_\Theta (1+z)^3 \right)}{\frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2} + \Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta (1+z)^6} \right] \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{3(C^2 - 1)(\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta (1+z)^6) - \frac{\delta \pi}{H_0^2}} \right].$$
(39)

The graphical analysis of Eq.(40) is plotted as



Figure 6: The evaluation of equation of state versus redshift

In Fig.(6), the parametric values are considered such that $\Omega_m = 0.23$, $\Omega_{\Theta} = 0.25$, $C^2 = 20$, $H_0 = 71 Km/s/Mpc$ and three different values of δ . The graph predicted that the universe is under the influence of Λ CDM.

Differentiate the Eq.(40) with respect to the redshift parameter z which gives the following expression.

$$\omega' = \frac{2(1+z)\left(6\Omega_m + 30\Omega_\Theta(1+z)^4\right) + 2\left(3\Omega_m(1+z)^2 + 6\Omega_\Theta(1+z)^5\right)}{3\left((C^2 - 1)(\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta(1+z)^6 - \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right)\left(\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Theta(1+z)^6 + \frac{\delta\pi}{H_0^2}\right)}$$

$$-\frac{2(C^{2}-1)(1+z)^{5}(3\Omega_{m}+6\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^{3})^{2}}{3\left((C^{2}-1)(\Omega_{m}(1+z)^{3}+\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^{6}-\frac{\delta\pi}{H_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}(\Omega_{m}(1+z)^{3}+\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^{6}+\frac{\delta\pi}{H_{0}^{2}})} -\frac{2(1+z)^{7}(3\Omega_{m}+6\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^{5}3)^{2}}{3\left((C^{2}-1)(\Omega_{m}(1+z)^{3}+\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^{6}-\frac{\delta\pi}{H_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}(\Omega_{m}(1+z)^{3}+\Omega_{\Theta}(1+z)^{6}+\frac{\delta\pi}{H_{0}^{2}})^{2}}$$

$$(40)$$

The graph of Eq.(40) is plotted under the restrictions on parameters $\Omega_m=0.23,\ ,\Omega_\Theta=0.25$, $C^2=20,\ H_0=71Km/s/Mpc$ and δ shown in below.



Figure 7: ω' versus redshift z

In this case $\omega < 0$, $\omega' < 0$ indicated that the Rényi HDE model is also in freezing region and cosmic expansion will be more accelerating in the context of CS modified gravity.

7 Conclusions

In present work we studied Rényi HDE model in the context of CS modified gravity. We explored the equation of state, deceleration parameter, cosmological plane using and the stability of the model in the interacting scenarios. We examine and use all these cosmological parameters in the form of redshift. We concluded that the value of ν_s^2 is positive for all real values of z and hence

the system is stable. It noted that the CS field equations gave two different solutions and we discussed them separately. In the first case, the graph of deceleration parameter illustrated the decelerated phase q > 0 for -1 < z < 0.2to accelerated phase q < 0 at 2 < z < 1 in Fig. (2). Also, it is observed the behavior of deceleration parameter is very similar in all three values of δ of Rényi HDE model and our graphical representation advocated that the transition from deceleration to accelerated phase is fully consistent with the observational data. In fact, EoS illustrates the era of dominance of the universe by certain components. For example, $\omega = 0, \frac{1}{3}$ and 1 predict that the universe is under dust, radiation and stiff fluid influence respectively. While $\omega = -\frac{1}{3}, -1$ and $\omega < -1$ stand for quintessence DE, ACDM and Phantom eras respectively. From Fig.(3) it is clear that the universe is under the influence of dark energy as the equation of state predicted accelerated expansion phase. Caldwell and Linder [42] introduced that the area contained by any dark energy model in the $\omega - \omega'$ plane is divided into freezing and that regions. It is also observed that the cosmic expansion of the universe is more accelerating in the freezing region as compared to thawing. The graphical behavior of Fig.(4) represented $\omega < 0$, $\omega' < 0$ indicated that the Rényi HDE model is in freezing region and cosmic expansion is more accelerating in the context of CS modified gravity. In the second case, Fig.(5) represented that the universe is in a decelerated phase of expansion as q < 0 for each value of redshift parameter z using Réyni HDE in the context of CS modified gravity. In Fig.(6), the graph of EoS predicted that the universe is under the influence of ACDM. Finally, $\omega - \omega'$ plane indicated that the Rényi HDE model is also in the freezing region and cosmic expansion will be more accelerating in the context of CS modified gravity. Hence it is concluded that the Rényi HDE model is supported by the results of general relativity in the framework of CS modified gravity.

References

- A. G Riess, A. V. Filippenko, P. Challis et al., The Astronomical Journal, 116, 1009 (1998).
- [2] E. Komatsu, K. M. Smith, and J.Dunkley, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 192(2),18 (2011)

- [3] K. Ichiki and Y. Keum, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2008(6), 5, (2008).
- [4] R. Jackiw, S.Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D68, 104012 (2003).
- [5] K. Konno, T. Matsuyama, Y. Asano, S. Tanda, Phys. Rev. D78, 024037 (2008)
- [6] S. Alexander, N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 241101 (2007)
- [7] S. Alexander, L.S. Finn, N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D78, 066005 (2008)
- [8] S.H. Alexander, N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D97(6), 064033 (2018).
- [9] Y.C Ding and T. Wang, arXiv:1811.08113v1.
- [10] G.ť Hooft, arxiv preprint gr-qc/9310026.
- [11] S. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 081301.
- [12] R.G. Cai, B. Hu and Y. Zhan, Commun. Theor. Phys. **51** (2009) 954.
- [13] C. Gao, F. Wu, X. Chen, and Y.G. Shen, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 043511.
- [14] J. G. Silva and A.F. Santos, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) 2500.
- [15] M.J. Amir and S. Ali, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 54 (2015) 1362.
- [16] S. Ali and M.J. Amir, Advances in High Energy Physics, 2019(2019)3709472.
- [17] A. Jawad and A. Sohail, Astrophys Space Sci. 55 (2015) 359.
- [18] S. Ali and M.J. Amir, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 55 (2016) 5095.
- [19] A. Pasqua, R. da Rochab and S. Chattopadhyay, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 44.
- [20] A. Nasr, Mod. Phys. Let. A **35**(2020)05.
- [21] A. Jawad, S. Qummer, S. Rani and M. Younas, Mod. Phys. Let. A, 34(2019)35.

- [22] A. Jawad, S. Rani and S. Rafique, Advances in High Energy Physics, 2018(2018) 9471346.
- [23] P. J. Porfírio, J. B. Fonseca-Neto, J. R. Nascimento, and A. Yu. Petrov, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016)104057.
- [24] M. Li, Phys. Lett. B 603 (2004) 1.
- [25] Sheykhi A. Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 107302.
- [26] B. Hu and Y. Ling, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 123510.
- [27] Y. Z. Ma, Y. Gong and X. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. C 60 (2009) 303.
- [28] S. D. H. Hsu, "Phys. Lett. B 594 (2004) 13.
- [29] H. Wei and S. N. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 063003.
- [30] C. Gao and F. Wu et al., Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 043511.
- [31] L. N. Granda and A. Oliveros, "Phys. Lett. B 669 (2008) 275.
- [32] L. N. Granda and A. Oliveros, Phys. Lett. B 671 (2009) 199.
- [33] K. Karami and J. Fehri, Phys. Lett. B 684 (2010) 61.
- [34] S. Wang and Y. Wang et al., Phys. Rept. 696 (2017) 1.
- [35] X. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. (2020) https://doi.org/10.1088/1572-9494/abbb84.
- [36] M. Tavayef, A. Sheykhi, K. Bamba and H. Moradpour, Phys. Lett. B 781 (2018) 195.
- [37] H. Moradpour, S. A. Moosavi, I. P. Lobo, J. P. Morais Graa, A. Jawad and I. G. Salako, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) no.10, 829.
- [38] A. Sayahian Jahromi, S. A. Moosavi, H. Moradpour, J. P. Morais Graa, I. P. Lobo, I. G. Salako and A. Jawad, Phys. Lett. B 780 (2018) 21.
- [39] B. Chen, Commun. Theor. Phys. 71 (2019) 837.
- [40] A. Jawad and Rani, S. (2015), Advances in High Energy Physics, 2015(2)14.

- [41] X. Zhang, Wu, F. and Zgang, J., Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 1 (2006) 601-605.
- $\left[42\right]$ R.R. Caldwell, and Linder, E.V. , Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 141301.