# A REDUCTION APPROACH TO SILTING OBJECTS FOR DERIVED CATEGORIES OF HEREDITARY CATEGORIES

#### WEI DAI AND CHANGJIAN FU

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal H$  be a hereditary abelian category over a field k with finite dimensional Hom and Ext spaces. It is proved that the bounded derived category  $\mathcal D^b(\mathcal H)$  has a silting object iff  $\mathcal H$  has a tilting object iff  $\mathcal D^b(\mathcal H)$  has a simple-minded collection with acyclic Ext-quiver. Along the way, we obtain a new proof for the fact that every presilting object of  $\mathcal D^b(\mathcal H)$  is a partial silting object. We also consider the question of complements for pre-simple-minded collections. In contrast to presilting objects, a pre-simple-minded collection  $\mathcal R$  of  $\mathcal D^b(\mathcal H)$  can be completed into a simple-minded collection iff the Ext-quiver of  $\mathcal R$  is acyclic.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this note, let k be a field. By a hereditary abelian category, we mean a hereditary abelian category over k with finite dimensional Hom and Ext spaces.

Hereditary abelian categories with tilting objects and their bounded derived categories provide a framework for the classical tilting theory, which were extensively studied since early eighties. The main examples of such categories are the category mod H of finitely generated right modules over a finite dimensional hereditary k-algebra H and the category coh  $\mathbb X$  of coherent sheaves over an exceptional curve  $\mathbb X$  in the sense of Lenzing [21]. A remarkable theorem of Happel and Retiten [13] shows that a connected hereditary abelian category with tilting object is either derived equivalent to mod H or to coh  $\mathbb X$ .

Silting objects were first introduced in [19] as a generalization of tilting objects to parametrize bounded t-structures on derived categories of path algebras of Dynkin quivers. Recent years, the topic has obtained a lot of attention due to the work of Aihara and Iyama [3], in which a mutation theory for silting objects has been developed. Moreover, a reduction theorem has been proved, which establishes a correspondence between certain silting objects in a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  and silting objects in its Verdier quotient  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$  with respect to a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Various connections between silting objects and other topics in representation theory have been discovered, such as bounded t-structures, co-t-structures, torsion pairs and simple-minded collections and so on (cf. [20, 6] for instance).

The aim of this note is to study the bounded derived category  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  of a hereditary abelian category  $\mathcal{H}$  from the viewpoint of silting theory. It is known that there are triangulated categories which do not admit a silting object. Our first result is a characterization of the existence of silting objects of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category. The following are equivalent:

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16G10, 16E10, 18E30.

Key words and phrases. Hereditary category, Tilting object, Silting object, Simple-minded collection.

Partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971326).

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  has a tilting object;
- (2)  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  has a tilting object;
- (3)  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  has a silting object;
- (4)  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  has a simple-minded collection whose Ext-quiver is acyclic.

The equivalence between (1) and (2) was proved in [14, Theorem 1.7] and our result yields a new proof for this fact.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Krull-Schmidt triangulated category with silting objects. One of open questions in silting theory is whether a presilting object in  $\mathcal{T}$  can be completed into a silting object (cf. [6, Question 3.13] and [2, Question 2.14])? The following result gives a positive answer for the bounded derived category of a hereditary abelian category with tilting objects.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category with tilting objects. Every presilting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  can be completed into a silting object.

We remark that Theorem 1.2 is not new. In particular, Brüstle and Yang [6] have suggested a proof by the transitivity of the action of braided group on exceptional sequences. In [22, 23], the result has been proved for  $\mathcal{H} = \operatorname{mod} H$  for a finite dimensional hereditary k-algebra H by different methods.

Simple-minded collection is a dual notion of silting object. We consider the analogous question of complements for a pre-simple-minded collection in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . In contrast to presilting objects, there are pre-simple-minded collections which can not be completed into simple-minded collections.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category with tilting objects. A pre-simple-minded collection  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  can be completed into a simple-minded collection if and only if the Ext-quiver of  $\mathcal{X}$  is acyclic.

Our proofs of Theorem 1.1–1.3 are inspired by the reduction approach of [9], where the Iyama-Yoshino's reduction was applied to study the connectedness of cluster-tilting graph of a hereditary abelian category. In present paper, we apply silting reduction to investigate silting objects in the bounded derived category  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  of a hereditary abelian category  $\mathcal{H}$ . A key observation is that the localization of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  with respect to the thick subcategory generated by an exceptional object is triangle equivalent to the bounded derived category of another hereditary abelian category (cf. Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.8).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic results for silting theory ans simple-minded collections. In Section 3, we investigate the localization of the bounded derived category of a hereditary abelian category with respect to an exceptional object. In particular, Theorem 3.8 is proved. We present the proofs of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in Section 4.

**Notation.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  two full subcategories of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

- We always denote by [1] the suspension functor of  $\mathcal{T}$  unless otherwise stated.
- Denote by  $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$  the subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  consisting of objects Z which admits a triangle  $X \to Z \to Y \to X[1]$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{X}, Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

- For an integer l, set  $\mathcal{X}[l] := \{X[l] \mid \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\}.$
- Let add  $\mathcal{X}$  be the smallest full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  which is closed under finite coproducts, summands, isomorphisms and containing  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  consists of a single object X, we simply denote it by add X.
- Denote by thick( $\mathcal{X}$ ) the thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- If  $\mathcal{T}$  is Krull-Schmidt and  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ , denote by |M| the number of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of M.

#### 2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Perpendicular category and Verdier quotient.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Krull-Schmidt triangulated category and  $\mathcal{M}$  a subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$ . A morphism  $f: M \to N$  is a *right M-approximation* of  $N \in \mathcal{T}$  if  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M',f)$  is surjective for any  $M' \in \mathcal{M}$ . The subcategory  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{T}$  is *contravariantly finite* if every object in  $\mathcal{T}$  has a right  $\mathcal{M}$ -approximation. Dually, we define a *left M-approximation* and *covariantly finite subcategory*. We say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is *functorially finite* if it is contravariantly finite and covariantly finite.

Define

$$\mathcal{M}^{\perp} := \{ N \in \mathcal{T} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M, N) = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{M} \}$$

and

$$^{\perp}\mathcal{M} := \{ N \in \mathcal{T} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$

The subcategory  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$  (resp.  $^{\perp}\mathcal{M}$ ) is called the *right* (resp. *left) perpendicular* category of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then both  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$  and  $^{\perp}\mathcal{M}$  are triangulated subcategories of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Recall that a pair of subcategories  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is a *torsion pair* of  $\mathcal{T}$ , if  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{T}$ . The following useful result is known as Wakamatsu's Lemma (cf. [3, Lemma 2.22]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let*  $\mathcal{M}$  *be a subcategory of*  $\mathcal{T}$  *such that*  $\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ .

- (1) If  $\mathcal{M}$  is contravariantly finite, then  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\perp})$  is a torsion pair of  $\mathcal{T}$ ;
- (2) If  $\mathcal{M}$  is covariantly finite, then  $({}^{\perp}\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$  is a torsion pair of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $\mathcal S$  be a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal T$  and  $\mathcal T/\mathcal S$  the Verdier quotient of  $\mathcal T$  with respect to  $\mathcal S$ . Denote by  $\mathbb L:\mathcal T\to\mathcal T/\mathcal S$  the localization functor. We denote by  $\iota:\mathcal S^\perp\hookrightarrow\mathcal T$  (resp.  $\iota:^\perp\mathcal S\hookrightarrow\mathcal T$ ) the inclusion functor. The following is well-known, which identifies the Verdier quotient  $\mathcal T/\mathcal S$  with certain subcategories of  $\mathcal T$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let S be a thick subcategory of T.

- (1) If S is contravariantly finite, then the composition  $\mathbb{L} \circ \iota : S^{\perp} \to \mathcal{T}/S$  is an equivalence of triangulated categories;
- (2) If S is covariantly finite, then the composition  $\mathbb{L} \circ \iota : {}^{\perp}S \to \mathcal{T}/S$  is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that the functor  $\mathscr{L} := \mathbb{L} \circ \iota$  induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X,Y) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{L}(X),\mathcal{L}(Y))$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  (resp.  $^{\perp}\mathcal{S}$ ). On the other hand, the functor  $\mathscr{L}$  is dense by Lemma 2.1.

2.2. **Silting theory.** We follow [3]. For simplicity, we only consider Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt triangulated categories and silting objects.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt triangulated category. An object M of  $\mathcal{T}$  is a *presilting* object if  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M,M[i])=0$  for all i>0. A presilting object M is *silting* if  $\mathsf{thick}(M)=\mathcal{T}$ . A silting object M of  $\mathcal{T}$  is a *tilting object* if  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M,M[i])=0$  for  $i\neq 0$ . It is known that there exist  $\mathsf{Hom}$ -finite Krull-Schmidt triangulated categories which do not admit silting objects.

Let  $T = M \oplus \overline{T}$  be a basic silting object of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Consider the triangle

$$N \to T_M \xrightarrow{f_M} M \to N[1],$$

where  $f_M$  is a minimal right add  $\overline{T}$ -approximation of M. According to [3, Theorem 2.31],  $N \oplus \overline{T}$  is a basic silting object of T and  $N \oplus \overline{T}$  is called the *right mutation* of T with respect to M. Dually, if we consider the triangle induced by a minimal left add  $\overline{T}$ -approximation of M, we obtain the *left mutation* of T with respect to M.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt triangulated category with a silting object T. It follows from [3, Theorem 2.27] that the Grothendieck group  $G_0(\mathcal{T})$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is a free abelian group of rank |T|. In particular, the images of the indecomposable direct summands of T in  $G_0(\mathcal{T})$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $G_0(\mathcal{T})$ . As a consequence, each silting object of  $\mathcal{T}$  has the same number of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands. A presilting object  $M \in \mathcal{T}$  is a partial silting object if there is an object  $N \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $M \oplus N$  is a silting object. It is an open question that whether a presilting object in  $\mathcal{T}$  is a partial silting object (cf. [6, Question 3.13])?

We denote by silt  $\mathcal{T}$  the set of isomorphism classes of basic silting objects of  $\mathcal{T}$ . The following reduction theorem plays a central role in our investigation.

**Theorem 2.3.** [3, Theorem 2.37] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt triangulated category,  $\mathcal{S}$  a functorially finite thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$  the Verdier quotient. Denote by  $\mathbb{L}: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$  the localization functor. For any  $D \in \mathsf{silt}\ \mathcal{S}$ , there is a bijective map

$$\{T \in \operatorname{silt} \, \mathcal{T} \mid D \in \operatorname{add} T\} \to \operatorname{silt} \, \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$$

given by  $T \mapsto \mathbb{L}(T)$ .

Let us recall the inverse map of the bijection following the proof of [3, Theorem 2.37]. Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_D^{\leq 0} := \cup_{l \geq 0} \operatorname{add} D * \operatorname{add} D[1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} D[l]$  and  $\mathcal{S}_D^{< 0} := \mathcal{S}_D^{\leq 0}[1]$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{S}_D^{< 0}$  is covariantly finite in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is functorially finite, we may identify  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$  with  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ . Let  $N \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  be a silting object of  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ . Consider the following triangle

$$S_N \to T_N \to N \xrightarrow{g} S_N[1],$$

where  $S_N[1] \in \mathcal{S}_D^{<0}$  and g is a minimal left  $\mathcal{S}_D^{<0}$ -approximation. According to the proof of [3, Theorem 2.37],  $T_N \oplus D$  is a silting object of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathbb{L}(T_N \oplus D) = \mathbb{L}(N)$ .

- 2.3. Simple-minded collections. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a Hom-finite k-linear triangulated category and  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_r\}$  a collection of objects. We call  $\mathcal{X}$  a pre-simple-minded collection(=pre-SMC) if the following conditions hold for  $i, j = 1, \ldots, r$ 
  - $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X_i, X_i[m]) = 0$  for any m < 0;
  - End<sub>T</sub> $(X_i)$  is a division algebra and Hom<sub>T</sub> $(X_i, X_j)$  vanishes for  $i \neq j$ ;

In particular, every object in a pre-SMC is indecomposable. For a pre-SMC  $\mathcal{X}$ , its Ext-quiver  $Q_{\mathcal{X}}$  is defined as follows.

- The vertices of  $Q_{\mathcal{X}}$  are indexed by objects of  $\mathcal{X}$ ;
- For  $X_i, X_j \in \mathcal{X}$ , there are  $\frac{\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X_i, X_j[1])}{\dim_k End_{\mathcal{T}}(X_i)}$  arrows from  $X_i$  to  $X_j$ .

A pre-SMC  $\mathcal X$  of  $\mathcal T$  is a *simple-minded collection*(=SMC)(*cohomologically Schurian* in [4]) if  $\mathsf{thick}(\mathcal X) = \mathcal T$ . Similar to the case of silting objects, if  $\mathcal T$  admits a SMC  $\mathcal X$ , then the Grothendieck group  $\mathsf G_0(\mathcal T)$  of  $\mathcal T$  is a free abelian group of rank  $|\mathcal X|$ . We denote by SMC  $\mathcal T$  the set of isomorphism classes of SMCs of  $\mathcal T$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a pre-SMC of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Denote by  $\mathsf{SMC}_{\mathcal{R}}\,\mathcal{T}$  the set of isomorphism classes of SMCs of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$  be the smallest extension-closed subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing  $\mathcal{R}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{R}[\geq 0]^{\perp} \cap {}^{\perp}\mathcal{R}[\leq 0].$$

The following reduction theorem for SMCs has been established in [17].

**Theorem 2.4.** [17, Theorem 3.1] Assume that  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$  is contravariantly finite in  $\mathcal{R}[>0]^{\perp}$  and covariantly finite in  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{R}[<0]$ ;
- For any  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ , we have  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X,\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}[i]) = 0 = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}},X[i])$  for  $i \ll 0$ .

Then

- (1) The composition  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}/\operatorname{thick}(\mathcal{R})$  is an additive equivalence  $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}/\operatorname{thick}(\mathcal{R})$ ;
- (2) There is a bijection

$$\mathsf{SMC}_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{T}\to \mathsf{SMC}\mathcal{T}/\operatorname{thick}(\mathcal{R})$$

sending 
$$\mathcal{X} \in \mathsf{SMC}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{T}$$
 to  $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{R} \in \mathsf{SMC} \mathcal{T} / \mathsf{thick}(\mathcal{R})$ .

We may regard  $\mathcal{Z}$  as a triangulated category via the additive equivalence  $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}/\operatorname{thick}(\mathcal{R})$  in Theorem 2.4 (1). Denote by  $\langle 1 \rangle$  the suspension functor of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then for each object  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}, Z\langle 1 \rangle$  is determined by the following triangle of  $\mathcal{T}$ 

$$R_Z \xrightarrow{f_Z} Z[1] \to Z\langle 1 \rangle \to R_Z[1],$$

where  $f_Z$  is a minimal right  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ -approximation of Z[1] (cf. [17, Lemma 3.4]).

The inverse map of the bijection in Theorem 2.4 (2) is constructed as follows. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  be a SMC of  $\mathcal{T}/\operatorname{thick}(\mathcal{R})$ . Denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathcal{Z}$  the preimage of  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  via the equivalence in (1). Then  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \cup \mathcal{R}$  is a SMC of  $\mathcal{T}$ , which is the preimage of  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ .

## 3. HEREDITARY ABELIAN CATEGORIES WITH TILTING OBJECTS

3.1. Hereditary abelian categories. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category and  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  the bounded derived category of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recall that an object  $M \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  is rigid if  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(M, M[1]) = 0$ . It is *exceptional* if it is rigid and indecomposable. The following fundamental result is due to Happel and Ringel [12].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let E and F be indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(F, E[1]) = 0$ . Then any nonzero homomorphism  $f: E \to F$  is a monomorphism or epimorphism. In particular, the endomorphism ring of an exceptional object is a division algebra.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  an indecomposable object. A path in  $\mathcal{M}$  from M to itself is a *cycle* in  $\mathcal{M}$ , that is a sequence of nonzero non-isomorphism between indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{M}$  of the form

$$M = M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_r} M_r = M.$$

The following is a consequence of Lemma 3.1 (cf. [8, Lemma 4.2] or [12, Corollary 4.2]).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let T be an object in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T,T[1])=0$ . Then the subcategory add T has no cycle.

3.2. Hereditary categories with tilting objects. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category. A rigid object  $T \in \mathcal{H}$  is a *tilting object* provided that for  $X \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(T,X) = 0 = \mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(T,X)$ , we have X = 0.

Throughout this subsection, we always assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  admits a tilting object. As a consequence, the Grothendieck group  $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$  is a free abelian group of finite rank. We denote by  $\mathsf{rank}\,\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$  the rank of  $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$ . If  $T\in\mathcal{H}$  is a tilting object, then  $\mathsf{rank}\,\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))=|T|$ . The existence of tilting objects also implies that  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  admits almost split triangles and hence  $\mathcal{H}$  has almost split sequences [15]. Denote by  $\tau:\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})\to\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  the Auslander-Reiten(=AR) translation functor, which restricts to the AR translation  $\tau:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}$ . The following is a reformulation of [13, Proposition 1.2(a)].

**Proposition 3.3.** Assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is connected. If  $\mathcal{H}$  is not equivalent to  $\operatorname{mod} H$  for a finite dimensional hereditary k-algebra H, then  $\mathcal{H}$  has neither nonzero projective objects nor nonzero injective objects. Consequently, the AR translation  $\tau: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  is an equivalence.

*Proof.* According to [13, Proposition 1.2(a)],  $\mathcal{H}$  has no nonzero projective objects. Hence for each indecomposable  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $\tau X \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let X be an indecomposable object of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $X \to E \to \tau^{-1} X \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  be the almost split triangle starting at X. To show that X is not injective, it suffices to show that  $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since h is nonzero, we conclude that  $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{H}$  or  $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{H}[1]$ . Suppose that  $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{H}[1]$ , then  $\tau^{-1}X[-1] \in \mathcal{H}$ . We have  $X[-1] = \tau(\tau^{-1}X[-1]) \in \mathcal{H}$ , a contradiction. In particular,  $\mathcal{H}$  has no nonzero injective objects.  $\square$ 

We also have the following result from [11, Lemma 3.7], where the proof is valid for arbitrary field.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary category with tilting object. Let  $M \in \mathcal{H}$  be a rigid object such that  $|M| = \operatorname{rank} G_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$ , then M is a tilting object.

A rigid object  $M \in \mathcal{H}$  is a partial tilting if there is an object  $N \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $M \oplus N$  is a tilting object. The following seems to be known for experts, which has been proved by Happel and Unger [16, Proposition 3.1] over algebraically closed field. Here we sketch a proof for arbitrary fields by cluster-tilting theory and we refer to [7] for unexplained terminology in cluster-tilting theory.

**Proposition 3.5.** Each rigid object of  $\mathcal{H}$  is a partial tilting object.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is connected. Let M be a rigid object of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\mathcal{H} = \text{mod } H$  for a finite dimensional hereditary k-algebra H, it is well-known that M is a partial tilting module by classical tilting theory.

Let us assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is not equivalent to mod H for a finite dimensional hereditary k-algebra H. By Proposition 3.3,  $\mathcal{H}$  has neither nonzero projective objects nor nonzero injective objects. Let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\tau^{-1} \circ [1]$  be the cluster category of  $\mathcal{H}$ , *i.e.* the orbit category of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  by the equivalent functor  $\tau^{-1} \circ [1]$  (cf. [18]). The cluster category  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$  admits a canonical triangle structure such that the projection functor  $\pi: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$  is a triangle functor. The projection functor  $\pi$  induces a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{H}$  and the set of isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, an object  $X \in \mathcal{H}$  is rigid if and only if  $\pi(X)$  is rigid in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$  (cf. [7, 24]).

Let T be a tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\pi(T)$  is a cluster-tilting object of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$  (cf. [5]). Since M is rigid,  $\pi(M)$  is rigid, which can be completed to a cluster-tilting object of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$  by [1, Theorem 4.1], say  $N \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi(M) \oplus \pi(N)$  is a cluster-tilting object of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ . As a consequence,  $M \oplus N$  is rigid. According to [1, Corollary 4.5],  $|M \oplus N| = |\pi(M) \oplus \pi(N)| = |T|$ . We conclude that  $M \oplus N$  is a tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$  by Lemma 3.4.

3.3. **Perpendicular category and localization.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category and  $E \in \mathcal{H}$  an exceptional object. Define

$$E^{\perp} := \{X \in \mathcal{H} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(E, X) = 0 = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(E, X)\}$$

and

$$^{\perp}E:=\{X\in\mathcal{H}\mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(X,E)=0=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{H}}(X,E)\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $E^{\perp}$  and  $^{\perp}E$  are hereditary abelian subcategories of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category and  $E \in \mathcal{H}$  an exceptional object. We have  $\mathcal{D}^b(E^{\perp}) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}) / \operatorname{thick}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{D}^b(^{\perp}E) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}) / \operatorname{thick}(E)$ .

*Proof.* Since E is exceptional, according to Lemma 3.1, the indecomposable objects of  $\operatorname{thick}(E)$  are precisely  $E[i], i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{thick}(E)$  is a functorially finite subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\operatorname{thick}(E)^{\perp} \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E)$ . Consider the inclusion functor  $\iota: E^{\perp} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , which induces a fully faithful triangle functor  $\widehat{\iota}: \mathcal{D}^b(E^{\perp}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . It is straightforward to check that the image of  $\widehat{\iota}$  coincides with  $\operatorname{thick}(E)^{\perp}$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{D}^b(E^{\perp}) \cong \operatorname{thick}(E)^{\perp} \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E)$ . Similarly, one can prove  $\mathcal{D}^b(^{\perp}E) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E)$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category and  $E \in \mathcal{H}$  an exceptional object. Assume that  $E^{\perp}$  has a tilting object M. Then  $M \oplus E[1]$  is a silting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, the right mutation of  $M \oplus E[1]$  with respect to E[1] is a tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $M \in E^{\perp}$  is a tilting object and  $\mathcal{H}$  is hereditary, we clearly have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(M \oplus E[1], M[i] \oplus E[i+1]) = 0 \text{ for all } i > 0.$$

Recall that thick(E) is a functorially finite subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . For any  $X \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ , consider the following triangle

$$E_X \xrightarrow{f_X} X \to Z \to E_X[1],$$

where  $f_X$  is a minimal right thick(E)-approximation of X. It follows that  $Z \in \text{thick}(E)^{\perp}$  by Lemma 2.1. As in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we may identify  $\mathcal{D}^b(E^{\perp})$  with thick $(E)^{\perp}$ . By the

assumption that M is a tilting object of  $E^{\perp}$ , we conclude that  $\operatorname{thick}(M) = \operatorname{thick}(E)^{\perp}$ . Consequently,  $X \in \operatorname{thick}(M \oplus E[1])$ . Hence  $\operatorname{thick}(M \oplus E[1]) = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . In particular, we have proved that  $M \oplus E[1]$  is a silting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $M' \xrightarrow{f_{E[1]}} E[1]$  be a minimal right add M-approximation of E[1], which fits into the following triangle

$$E \to N \to M' \xrightarrow{f_{E[1]}} E[1].$$

It follows from [3, Theorem 2.31] that  $M \oplus N$  is a silting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an extension-closed subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ , we conclude that  $N \in \mathcal{H}$ . It is straightforward to show that  $N \oplus M$  is a tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, since  $N \oplus M$  is a silting object, we have  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(N \oplus M, N \oplus M) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{thick}(N \oplus M) = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $L \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(N \oplus M, L) = 0 = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(N \oplus M, L)$ . We clearly have  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(N \oplus M, L[i]) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently, for any object  $Y \in \operatorname{thick}(N \oplus M) = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ , we have  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(Y, L) = 0$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(L, L) = 0$ , which implies that L = 0. This completes the proof.

The following result plays a fundamental role in our reduction approach to silting objects in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category with tilting objects and  $E \in \mathcal{H}$  an exceptional object. There is a hereditary abelian category  $\mathcal{H}'$  with tilting objects such that  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}')$  is triangle equivalent to  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E)$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{rank} G_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})) = \operatorname{rank} G_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}')) + 1$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is connected. By [13, Theorem 3.5],  $\mathcal{H}$  is either derived equivalent to the category mod H of finitely generated modules over a finite dimensional hereditary k-algebra H or the category  $\operatorname{coh} \mathbb{X}$  of coherent sheaves over an exceptional curve  $\mathbb{X}$  in the sense of Lenzing [21]. Since we are working with derived categories, we may further assume that  $\mathcal{H} = \operatorname{mod} H$  or  $\mathcal{H} = \operatorname{coh} \mathbb{X}$ .

Let us consider the case  $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{coh}\mathbb{X}$ . By Proposition 3.5, there is an object  $M\in\mathcal{H}$  such that  $X\oplus M$  is a tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Applying [13, Proposition 1.4], we conclude that  $\mathcal{H}':=E^\perp$  is a connected hereditary abelian category with tilting object. By Lemma 3.6, we obtain that  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}')\cong\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E)$ . Let N be a basic tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}'=E^\perp$ , it follows from Lemma 3.7 that  $N\oplus E[1]$  is a basic silting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Consequently,  $\operatorname{rank} G_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))=\operatorname{rank} G_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}'))+1$ .

Now we turn to the case  $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{mod} H.$  Without loss of generality, we may assume that E is not projective. There is an H-module M such that  $E\oplus M$  is a tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$  and M is a tilting object of  $E^{\perp}$ . In particular,  $E^{\perp}$  is a hereditary abelian category with tilting object M and the result follows from Lemma 3.6.

# 4. Proofs of the main results

## 4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$ are obviously.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Since  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  admits silting objects, the Grothendieck group  $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$  is a free abelian group of finite rank. We prove the statement by induction on  $n := \mathsf{rank}\,\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$ . This is clearly for the case n = 1. Now assume that this is true for  $n < n_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian

category with rank  $G_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})) = n_0$ . Let  $T = E \oplus \overline{T}$  be a basic silting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ , where E is an indecomposable direct summand. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $E \in \mathcal{H}$ . In particular, E is an exceptional object. By Lemma 3.6, we have  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(E^\perp)$ . Clearly, E is a silting object of  $\operatorname{thick}(E)$  and  $\operatorname{thick}(E)$  is functorially finite in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{L}: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E)$  the localization functor. By Theorem 2.3,  $\mathbb{L}(\overline{T})$  is a silting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(E) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(E^\perp)$ . Consequently,  $\operatorname{rank} G_0(\mathcal{D}^b(E^\perp)) = n_0 - 1$ . By induction,  $E^\perp$  has a tilting object. We conclude that  $\mathcal{H}$  has a tilting object by Lemma 3.7.

- $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ : Let T be a basic tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Denote by  $A = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$  the endomorphism algebra of T. Let  $\operatorname{mod} A$  be the category of finitely generated right A-modules. Denote by  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  the pairwise non-isomorphic simple A-modules. We have an equivalence of triangulated categories  $\mathbb{F}: \mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod} A) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{F}(S_1), \ldots, \mathbb{F}(S_n)$  is a SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, its Ext-quiver is acyclic by Lemma 3.2.
- $(4)\Rightarrow (1)$ : Since  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  admits a SMC, the Grothendieck group  $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$  is a free abelian group of finite rank. We prove the statement by induction on  $n:=\mathsf{rank}\,\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$ . This is clearly true for n=1. Assume that this is true for  $n< n_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category with  $\mathsf{rank}\,\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))=n_0$  and  $\mathcal{X}=\{X_1,\ldots,X_{n_0}\}$  a SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  with acyclic Ext-quiver. Since the Ext-quiver of  $\mathcal{X}$  is acyclic, we deduce that  $X_1,\ldots,X_{n_0}$  are exceptional. Furthermore, we may renumerate  $X_i$  to assume that

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X_i,X_i[1])=0$$
 whenever  $i>j.$ 

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{X_1}$  the smallest extension-closed subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  containing  $X_1$ . Since  $X_1$  is exceptional, we have  $\mathcal{H}_{X_1} = \operatorname{add} X_1$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{H}_{X_1}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Let  $\mathcal{Z} := X_1 [\geq 0]^{\perp} \cap^{\perp} X_1 [\leq 0]$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is a SMC, we deduce that  $X_2, \ldots, X_{n_0} \in \mathcal{Z}$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\{X_2, \ldots, X_{n_0}\}$  is a SMC of  $\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(X_1)$ . According to Lemma 3.6,  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(X_1) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(X_1^{\perp})$ . Hence  $\operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(X_1^{\perp})) = n_0 - 1$ .

Recall that  $\langle 1 \rangle$  is the suspension functor of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . For every  $X_i$ ,  $i=2,\ldots,n_0$ , we have a triangle in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ 

$$R_{X_i} \xrightarrow{f_i} X_i[1] \to X_i\langle 1 \rangle \to R_{X_i}[1],$$

where  $f_i$  is a minimal right add  $X_1$ -approximation of  $X_i[1]$ . Applying  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X_j,-)$  to the above triangle, we obtain

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X_j,X_i[1]) \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X_j,X_i\langle 1\rangle) \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X_j,R_{X_i}[1]) \to \cdots.$$

Consequently, for any  $1 < i \le j$ , we obtain  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(X_j, X_i \langle 1 \rangle) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X_j, X_i \langle 1 \rangle) = 0$ . In particular, the Ext-quiver of the SMC  $\{X_2, \dots, X_{n_0}\}$  of  $\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(X_1) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(X_1^{\perp})$  is acyclic. By induction,  $X_1^{\perp}$  has a tilting object. We conclude that  $\mathcal{H}$  has a tilting object by Lemma 3.7.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We prove this statement by induction on the rank  $n := \operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$  of the Grothendieck group  $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$ . This is clear for n=1. Assume that this is true for  $n < n_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category with tilting objects such that  $\operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})) = n_0$ . Let  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_r$  be a basic presilting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  with indecomposable direct summands  $T_1, \ldots, T_r$ . We may assume that  $T_i \in \mathcal{H}[t_i]$  such that

- $\circ t_1 \le t_2 \le \cdots \le t_r = 0;$
- $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T_i, T_j) = 0$  whenever i > j by Lemma 3.2.

Let us rewrite  $\overline{T}:=T_1\oplus\cdots\oplus T_{r-1}$ . We clearly have  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(T_r[i],\overline{T})=0$  for all  $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular,  $\overline{T}\in\operatorname{thick}(T_r)^\perp$ . Recall that we have an equivalence  $\operatorname{thick}(T_r)^\perp\cong\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(T_r)$  by Lemma 3.6. As a consequence,  $\overline{T}$  is a presilting of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(T_r)$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 3.8,  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(T_r)$  is triangle equivalent to  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}')$  for a hereditary abelian category  $\mathcal{H}'$  with tilting objects such that  $\operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}'))=n_0-1$ . By induction, every presilting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}')$  is a partial silting object. Consequently, there is an object  $N\in\operatorname{thick}(T_r)^\perp$  such that  $\overline{T}\oplus N$  is a silting object of  $\operatorname{thick}(T_r)^\perp\cong\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(T_r)$ . By Theorem 2.3, there is a silting object M of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $T_r\in\operatorname{add} M$  and  $\mathbb{L}(M)=\mathbb{L}(\overline{T}\oplus N)$ , where  $\mathbb{L}:\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})\to\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(T_r)$  is the localization functor. It remains to show that  $\overline{T}\in\operatorname{add} M$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_{T_r}^{\leq 0} = \cup_{l\geq 0}$  add  $T_r*$  add  $T_r[1]*\cdots*$  add  $T_r[l]$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{T_r}^{< 0} = \mathcal{S}_{T_r}^{\leq 0}[1]$ . Since  $T = \overline{T} \oplus T_r$  is a presilting object, we conclude that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\overline{T},X) = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{S}_{T_r}^{< 0}$ . By the construction of M (cf. Section 2.2), we conclude that  $\overline{T}$  is a direct summand of M. In particular, T is a partial silting object.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let T be a basic tilting object of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(T)$  the endomorphism algebra of T, which has global dimension at most 2. Let mod  $\Lambda$  be the category of finitely generated right  $\Lambda$ -modules and  $\mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda)$  its bounded derived category. It is well-known that  $\mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . By [20, Theorem 6.1], there is a one-to-one correspondence between silt  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\operatorname{SMC}\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Let P be a basic silting object of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  and  $A := \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(P)$  the endomorphism algebra of P. Denote by mod A the category of finitely generated right A-modules. Agian by [20, Theorem 6.1], P determines a bounded t-structure of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  whose heart is equivalent to mod A (cf. also [8, Section 2.4]). Via this equivalence, the simple A-modules form a SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  corresponding to P. According to Lemma 3.2, we conclude that the Ext-quiver of an arbitrary SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  is acyclic. In particular, this implies the 'only if' part.

It remains to prove the 'if' part and we prove it by induction on the rank  $n := \operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(H))$  of the Grothendieck group  $\mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}))$ . This is clear for n=1. Assume that this is true for  $n < n_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary abelian category with tilting objects such that  $\operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})) = n_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$  be a pre-SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  whose Ext-quiver is acyclic. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X_1 \in \mathcal{H}$  and

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})}(X_i,X_j[1])=0$$
 whenever  $i\geq j$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{R}:=\{X_1\}$ , which is a pre-SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$  be the smallest extension-closed subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  containing  $\mathcal{R}$ . Since  $X_1$  is exceptional, we have  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}=$  add  $X_1$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4 and there is a bijection between  $\mathsf{SCM}_{\mathcal{R}}\,\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathsf{SCM}\,\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\mathsf{thick}(X_1)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Z}:=\mathcal{R}[\geq 0]^\perp\cap^\perp\mathcal{R}[\leq 0]$ . By definition of pre-SMC, we clearly have  $X_2,\ldots,X_r\in\mathcal{Z}$ . Recall that  $\langle 1\rangle$  is the suspension functor of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . By definition of  $\langle 1\rangle$  (cf. Section 2.3), for each object  $X\in\mathcal{Z}$  and n>0, we have  $X\langle n\rangle\in X[n]*\mathsf{add}\,X_1[n]*\cdots*\mathsf{add}\,X_1[1]$ . As a consequence, we obtain  $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(X_i\langle n\rangle,X_j)=0$  for  $2\leq i,j\leq r$  and n>0. In particular,  $\{X_2,\ldots,X_r\}$  is a pre-SMC of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\mathbb{L}:\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})\to\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\mathsf{thick}(X_1)$  the localization functor. It follows from Theorem 2.4 (1) that

 $\{\mathbb{L}(X_2),\ldots,\mathbb{L}(X_r)\}$  is a pre-SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(X_1)$ . Similar to the proof of  $(4)\Rightarrow (1)$  of Theorem 1.1, one can show that the Ext-quiver of  $\{\mathbb{L}(X_2),\ldots,\mathbb{L}(X_r)\}$  is acyclic. According to Theorem 3.8, we have  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(X_1)\cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}')$ , where  $\mathcal{H}'$  is a hereditary abelian category with tilting objects such that  $\operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H}'))=n_0-1$ . By induction,  $\{\mathbb{L}(X_2),\ldots,\mathbb{L}(X_r)\}$  can be completed into a SMC of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{H})/\operatorname{thick}(X_1)$ . Now the result follows from Theorem 2.4 (2).

### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Adachi, O. Iyama and I. Reiten, *τ-tilting theory*, Compos. Math. **150**(2014), no. 3, 415-452.
- [2] T. Aihara, Tilting-connected symmetric algebras, Algebra Representation Theory 16(2013), no. 3, 873-894.
- [3] T. Aihara and O. Iyama, *Silting mutation in triangulated categories*, J London Math. Soc.**85**(2012), no. 2, 633-668.
- [4] S. Al-Nofayee, Simple objects in the heart of a t-structure, J Pure Appl. Algebra 213(2009), no. 1, 54-59.
- [5] C. Amiot, Cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2 and quivers with potential, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59**(2009), no. 6, 2525-2590.
- [6] T. Brustle and D. Yang, *Ordered exchange graphs*, Advances in representation theory of algebras, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2013, 135-193.
- [7] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten and G. Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Adv. Math. **204**(2006), no. 2, 572-618.
- [8] C. Fu, c-vectors via  $\tau$ -tilting theory, J Algebra 473(2017), 194-220.
- [9] C. Fu and S. Geng, On cluster-tilting graphs for hereditary categories, arXiv.1811.04735
- [10] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser, Vol 119, 1988.
- [11] D. Happel, Quasitilted algebras, Proc. ICRA VIII (Tronheim), CMS Conf. Proc., Vol. 23. Algebras and Modules I, 1998, 55-83.
- [12] D. Happel and C. M. Ringel, *Tilted algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274**(1982), no. 2, 399-443.
- [13] D. Happel and I. Reiten, *Hereditary abelian categories with tilting object over arbitrary base field.* J Algebra **256**(2002), 414-432.
- [14] D. Happel and I. Reiten, *Directing objects in hereditary categories*, Trends in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras (Seattle, WA, 1997), Contemp. Math., 229, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, 169-179.
- [15] D. Happel, I. Reiten abd S.O. Smalø, *Tilting in abelian categories and qua- sitilted algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol **575**, 1996.
- [16] D. Happel and L. Unger, *On the set of tilting objects in hereditary categories*, Representations of algebras and related topics, Fields Inst. Commun., 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, 141-159.
- [17] H. Jin, Reductions of triangulated categories and simple-minded collections, arXiv:1907.051142v2.
- [18] B. Keller, On triangulated orbit categories, Doc. Math. 10(2005), 551-581.
- [19] B. Keller and D. Vossieck, Aisles in derived categories, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. S ér. A 44(1988), no. 2, 239-253.
- [20] S. Koenig and D. Yang, Silting objects, simple-minded collections, t-structures and co-t-structures for finitedimensional algebras, Doc. Math. 19(2014), 403-438.
- [21] H. Lenzing, *Representations of finite dimensional algebras and singularity theory*, Trends in ring theory, CMS Conf. Proc, **22**(1988), 71-97.
- [22] H. Liu and L. Liu, *Complements of partial silting objects in triangulated categories* (in Chinese), Journal of Shangdong Unversity (Natural Science), **54**(2019), no. 4, 67-71.
- [23] J. Xu and Y. Yang, A Bongartz-type lemma for silting complexes over a hereditary algebra, Arch. Math. 114(2020), 383-389.
- [24] B. Zhu, Equivalences between cluster categories, J Algebra 304(2006), 832-850.

 $Wei\ Dai,\ Department\ of\ Mathematics,\ SiChuan\ University,\ 610064\ Chengdu,\ P.R.\ Chinanger (Chinanger)$ 

Email address: 375670160@qq.com

CHANGJIAN FU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, 610064 CHENGDU, P.R.CHINA

Email address: changjianfu@scu.edu.cn