

Tensor fundamental theorems of invariant theory

Claudio Procesi

January 5, 2021

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to establish a first and second fundamental theorem for $GL(V)$ equivariant polynomial maps from k -tuples of matrix variables $End(V)^k$ to tensor spaces $End(V)^{\otimes n}$, in the spirit of H. Weyl's book *The classical groups* [23] and of symbolic algebra.

1 Introduction

In this paper V denotes a vector space over a field F of characteristic 0 of dimension d . In fact since all the formulas developed have rational coefficients it is enough to assume $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $End(V) = M_d$, matrices in a basis.

The aim of this paper is to establish a first and second fundamental theorem (FFT and SFT for short), in the spirit of H. Weyl's book *The classical groups* [23], for the algebras $\mathcal{T}_k^n(V) = \mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$ of $GL(V)$ equivariant polynomial maps $F : End(V)^k \rightarrow End(V)^{\otimes n}$, from k -tuples $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ of matrix variables $End(V)^k$ to tensor spaces $End(V)^{\otimes n} = M_d^{\otimes n}$.

From an algebraic point of view in a given basis, so that $End(V) = M_d(\mathbb{Q})$ is the algebra of $d \times d$ matrices, the polynomial functions on $M_d(\mathbb{Q})^k$ are the polynomials $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}]$ in the kd^2 variables $\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$; $a, b = 1, \dots, d$ which are thought of as the entries of the k generic matrices

$$\Xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\}, \quad \xi_i = (\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}). \quad (1)$$

On $M_d(\mathbb{Q})^k$ acts the group $GL(d, \mathbb{Q})$ by simultaneous conjugation inducing an action on $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}]$. The polynomial maps from $M_d(\mathbb{Q})^k$ to $M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$ form the algebra

$$M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}] \simeq M_{d^n}[\mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}]]. \quad (2)$$

On this algebra we have the diagonal action of $GL(d, \mathbb{Q})$ and finally one has

$$\mathcal{T}_k^n(V) = \left[M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}] \right]^{GL(d, \mathbb{Q})} \subset M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}], \quad (3)$$

The FFT, Theorem 2.18 is fairly simple, based on the basic Formula (31), the *interpretation Formula* from which follows that such maps are the evaluations in matrices of the symbolic twisted group algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$,

where $T\langle X \rangle$ is the free algebra with trace in the variables $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and S_n commutes with $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ by exchanging the tensor factors. In other words we have a surjective map

$$\pi_n : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X^n(V) = \left[M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}] \right]^{GL(d,\mathbb{Q})}. \quad (4)$$

from the symbolic algebra to the algebra of equivariant maps. The map π_n maps the variables x_i to the generic matrices ξ_i and the symmetric group S_n to its copy inside $M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$.

The SFT, Theorem 3.17 is the heart of the paper. In the spirit of T -ideals of universal algebra it describes the Kernels of the maps π_n .

One defines in Formula (44), for each d , the $d+2$ *tensor Cayley Hamilton identities* $\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)$, $k = 0, \dots, d+1$, homogeneous of degree k in the x and for $d+1-k$ tensor valued polynomials. One first deduces all identities from these ones, Theorem 3.7. For $k = 0, d, d+1$ these have classical interpretation as respectively the antisymmetrizer on $d+1$ elements, the Cayley–Hamilton identity and the expression of $tr(x^{d+1})$ in term of $tr(x^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

For the other k they are new identities. For instance $\mathfrak{C}_{1,3}(x)$, $\mathfrak{C}_{2,3}(x)$ are

$$\boxed{[(1, 2, 3) + (1, 3, 2) - (1, 2) - (1, 3) - (2, 3) + 1](x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x - tr(x))}$$

$$\boxed{(1 - (1, 2)) ([x^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^2 + x \otimes x] - tr(x)[x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x] + det(x))}.$$

Finally using a further operation $\mathfrak{t} : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n-1} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_{n-1}]$, a *partial trace*, corresponding to the natural trace contraction $M_d^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M_d^{\otimes n-1}$ one shows that

$$\text{Formula (60)} \quad \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x) = -n \cdot \mathfrak{C}_{k+1,d}(x)$$

Theorem (3.17). *All relations for equivariant maps, i.e. the elements of the kernels of the maps $\pi_n : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$ for all n , can be formally deduced from the antisymmetrizer $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma \sigma = \mathfrak{C}_{0,d}(x)$ and $tr(1) = d$.*

The meaning of the expression *formally deduced* is that of Universal algebra and T -ideals as explained in detail in Definitions 3.5 as modified in 3.16. This method is at the heart of the present paper.

This sheds a new light even on the very classical Cayley–Hamilton identity which is recursively constructed from the antisymmetrizer by Formula (60).

Before approaching these new Theorems 1.8 and 3.7, 3.17 let us recall how the first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory appear classically.

1.1 The classical Theory

The first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory appear in H. Weyl’s book *The classical groups* [23] as a basic tool to understand the representation Theory of classical groups. One remarkable feature of these Theorems is that they are ubiquitous, they appear classically in at least 3 different and apparently unrelated forms (see the next section).

The theorems were first developed in characteristic 0 and then extended, with considerable effort, to all characteristics, see [7], [9], [24], [8].

In this paper we present yet another form of these theorems and will restrict to characteristic 0 (but see the very last comment). We will also develop the theory only for the general linear group $GL(V)$, that is the group of all linear transformations of a vector space V finite dimensional over a field F (which one can assume to be \mathbb{Q}).

For the other classical groups similar results hold (one may for instance approach them as in [14]) and will be treated elsewhere.

1.1.1 The first fundamental theorem

The group $GL(V)$ acts on V , its defining representation, and on its dual V^* by the dual action. By convention we will write *vectors* in V with Roman letters while *covectors* in V^* with Greek letters. We use the bracket notation; for $\varphi \in V^*$, $v \in V$ we write often $\langle \varphi | v \rangle := \varphi(v)$, so that the dual action is given by the formula

$$\langle g\varphi | v \rangle := \langle \varphi | g^{-1}v \rangle, \quad \forall g \in GL(V), \quad v \in V, \quad \varphi \in V^*. \quad (5)$$

In other words $\langle g\varphi | gv \rangle = \langle \varphi | v \rangle$, $\forall g \in GL(V)$ that is the function $\langle \varphi | v \rangle$ of v and φ is invariant. For this group the *first fundamental theorem*, *FFT for short*, states that the previous functions generate all invariants of several copies of V and V^* . That is denoting

$$(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_h; \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k) \in V^{\oplus h} \oplus (V^*)^{\oplus k}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *The polynomial functions on $V^{\oplus h} \oplus (V^*)^{\oplus k}$ which are $GL(V)$ invariant are generated by the $h \cdot k$ basic functions $\langle \varphi_i | v_j \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, h$.*

One of the remarkable features of the Theory as presented by H. Weyl is the fact that this Theorem is equivalent to a second Theorem, with $h = k$.

Theorem 1.3. *The algebra of linear operators on $V^{\otimes h}$ which commute with the diagonal action of $GL(V)$ is generated by the elements of the symmetric group S_h . The two actions are*

$$g \cdot (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_h) = gv_1 \otimes gv_2 \otimes \dots \otimes gv_h, \quad g \in GL(V), \quad (6)$$

$$\sigma \cdot (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_h) = v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(h)}; \quad \sigma \in S_h. \quad (7)$$

1.3.1 The second fundamental theorem

Together with a first fundamental theorem one has a *second fundamental theorem*, *SFT for short*. In this theorem one describes the relations among the invariants as generated by basic ones.

For the setting of Theorem 1.2 one should think of

$$V^{\oplus h} = \text{hom}(F^h, V), \quad (V^*)^{\oplus k} = \text{hom}(V, F^k).$$

Then the invariants $\langle \varphi_i | v_j \rangle$ are the entries of the $h \times k$ matrix image of the map

$$\text{hom}(F^h, V) \times \text{hom}(V, F^k) \rightarrow \text{hom}(F^h, F^k), \quad (A, B) \mapsto B \circ A.$$

The image of this map is the variety of matrices of rank $\leq d := \dim V$.

The polynomial functions on $\text{hom}(F^h, F^k)$ are in the variables $x_{i,j}$ which can be viewed as entries of a *generic matrix* X and the SFT is:

Theorem 1.4. *The ideal of functions on the space of $h \times k$ matrices vanishing on the matrices of rank $\leq d$ is generated by the determinants of all the $d+1 \times d+1$ minors of the matrix X .*

This is just the first of a long list of ideas and theorems of geometric nature on special singularities.

Instead for the setting of Theorem 1.3 one has

Theorem 1.5. *Consider the mapping $\pi : F[S_h] \rightarrow \text{End}(V^{\otimes h})$ from the group algebra to the linear operators, given by Formula (7).*

The kernel of π is nonzero only if $\dim V = d < h$ and then it is the two sided ideal of $F[S_h]$ generated by an antisymmetrizer $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma \sigma$.

Here $S_{d+1} \subset S_h$ and $\epsilon_\sigma = \pm 1$ is the sign of the permutation. This is just the first of a long list of ideas and theorems in representation Theory.

1.6 Matrix invariants

There is still a third way in which the fundamental Theorems appear.

In this case we take as basic representation the direct sum of h copies of the matrix algebra $End(V)$ of linear maps of V , under simultaneous conjugation by $GL(V)$. Start with a remark.

Remark 1.7. Given a vector space W the symmetric group S_h acts on $W^{\otimes h}$ by the formula (7) thus $S_h \subset End(W^{\otimes h}) = End(W)^{\otimes h}$. Thus we have a priori two actions of S_n on $End(W)^{\otimes h}$, the one given by Formula (7) thinking of $End(W)^{\otimes h}$ as tensors and the conjugation action in $End(W)^{\otimes h}$ as algebra. If $\sigma \in S_h$, is a permutation of the tensor indices we have for a tensor $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_h \in M_d^{\otimes h}$.

$$\sigma \circ a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_h \circ \sigma^{-1} = a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\sigma^{-1}(h)} = \sigma \cdot a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_h. \quad (8)$$

Formula (8) states that these two actions coincide, cf. Formula (11).

We need to recall the multilinear invariants of m matrices, i.e. the invariant elements of the dual of $End(V)^{\otimes m}$. The theorem is, cf. [13]:

Theorem 1.8. *The space of multilinear invariants of m endomorphisms (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) of a d -dimensional vector space V is identified with $End_{GL(V)}(V^{\otimes m})$ and it will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_d(m)$. It is linearly spanned by the functions:*

$$t_\sigma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) := tr(\sigma^{-1} \circ x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m), \quad \sigma \in S_m. \quad (9)$$

If $\sigma = (i_1 i_2 \dots i_h) \dots (j_1 j_2 \dots j_\ell) (s_1 s_2 \dots s_t)$ is the cycle decomposition of σ then we have that $t_\sigma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ equals

$$= tr(x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_h}) \dots tr(x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_\ell}) tr(x_{s_1} x_{s_2} \dots x_{s_t}). \quad (10)$$

Proof. We recall the proof since this is a basic ingredient of this paper.

First remark that the dual of $End(V)^{\otimes m}$ can be identified, in a $GL(V)$ equivariant way to $End(V)^{\otimes m}$ by the pairing formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_1 \otimes A_2 \dots \otimes A_m | B_1 \otimes B_2 \dots \otimes B_m \rangle &:= tr(A_1 \otimes A_2 \dots \otimes A_m \circ B_1 \otimes B_2 \dots \otimes B_m) \\ &= \prod tr(A_i B_i). \end{aligned}$$

Under this isomorphism the multilinear invariants of matrices are identified with the $GL(V)$ invariants of $End(V)^{\otimes m}$ which in turn are spanned by the elements of the symmetric group, Theorem 1.3, hence by the elements of Formula (9).

As for Formula (10), since the identity is multilinear, in the variables x , it is enough to prove it on the decomposable tensors of $End(V) = V \otimes V^*$ which are the endomorphisms of rank 1, i.e. $u \otimes \varphi : v \mapsto \langle \varphi | v \rangle u$. We use the following basic formulas.

Lemma 1.9.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1} u_1 \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_m \otimes \varphi_m &= u_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes u_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_{\sigma(m)} \otimes \varphi_m \\ u_1 \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_m \otimes \varphi_m \circ \sigma &= u_1 \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes u_2 \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes u_m \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(m)} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proof. Given $x_i := u_i \otimes \varphi_i$ and an element $\sigma \in S_m$ in the symmetric group we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1} u_1 \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_m \otimes \varphi_m (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_m) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^m \langle \varphi_i | v_i \rangle u_{\sigma(1)} \otimes u_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{\sigma(m)} \\ = u_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes u_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_{\sigma(m)} \otimes \varphi_m (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_m) \end{aligned}$$

similarly for the other formula. \square

So we need to understand in matrix formulas the invariants

$$\text{tr}(\sigma^{-1}u_1 \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_m \otimes \varphi_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m \langle \varphi_i | u_{\sigma(i)} \rangle. \quad (12)$$

We need to use the rules

$$u \otimes \varphi \circ v \otimes \psi = u \otimes \langle \varphi | v \rangle \psi, \quad \text{tr}(u \otimes \varphi) = \langle \varphi | u \rangle$$

from which Formula (10) easily follows by induction. \square

Remark 1.10.

$$t_{\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \text{tr}(\sigma^{-1} \circ x_{\tau(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\tau(m)}) = t_{\sigma}(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(m)}). \quad (13)$$

Theorem 1.11 (FFT for matrices). *The ring $\mathcal{T}_d = \mathcal{T}_d(X)$ of invariants of $d \times d$ matrices under simultaneous conjugation is generated by the elements*

$$\text{tr}(x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_{k-1}} x_{i_k}). \quad (14)$$

This formula means that we take all possible noncommutative monomials in the x_i and form their traces.

Proof. The ring of invariants of matrices contains the ring generated by the traces of monomials and both rings are stable under polarization and restitution hence, by Aronhold method, see [16] Chapter 3, it is enough to prove that they coincide on multilinear elements and this is the content of the previous Lemma. \square

Finally for the second fundamental Theorem for matrices one should first generalize that Theorem to a statement about the non commutative algebra of equivariant maps $F : \text{End}(V)^h \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$. This non commutative algebra is generated over the ring of invariants by the *coordinates* x_i , see [2].

In this case the SFT is formulated in terms of universal algebra and the language of T -ideals, see [15] and [20] or Chapter 12 of [2].

Theorem 1.12. *If $d = \dim_F V$, all relations among polynomial equivariant maps $F : \text{End}(V)^h \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ are consequences of the Cayley–Hamilton Theorem and $\text{tr}(1) = d$.*

The reader may look at the proof of the second fundamental theorem 1.12 as in [15], [16], [2] or [8] since we have taken this as a model for the more general Theorem 3.7 of this paper.

This is just the first of a long list of ideas and theorems in non commutative algebra, in particular the Theory of Cayley–Hamilton algebras as presented in the two recent preprints [17], [18].

Remark 1.13. The first and second fundamental Theorem for matrix invariants are not as precise as the other cases. In fact from these Theorems for matrices one can only infer estimates, see [20] or §12.2.4 of [2], and not a precise description of minimal generators and relations.

For 2×2 matrices the results are quite precise, due to the fact that in this case the action of $GL(2)$ on 2×2 matrices with 0 trace is equivalent to that of $SO(3)$ on its fundamental representation and then one can apply the first and second fundamental theorem for this group, see Chapter 9 of [2].

For 3×3 matrices there are the computations in [1].

2 Equivariant tensor polynomial maps

2.1 Tensor Polynomials

This paper is also an introduction to the study of polynomial maps $f : M_d^k \rightarrow M_d^{\otimes n}$ which are equivariant under the conjugation action of $GL(\mathbb{Q}, d)$. Or with intrinsic notations $f : \text{End}(V)^k \rightarrow \text{End}(V)^{\otimes n} = \text{End}(V^{\otimes n})$. These maps form a non commutative algebra using the algebra structure of $M_d^{\otimes n}$. Among these are the non commutative polynomials in the tensor variables $x_j^{(i)} := 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes x_j \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i}$, where x_j is a matrix variable.

Definition 2.2. We call the $x_j^{(i)}$ *tensor variables* and the (non commutative) polynomials they generate *tensor polynomials*.

The concept of *tensor polynomial* or of *tensor trace identities* as far as I know was not considered by algebraists. I wish to thank Felix Huber for pointing out this notion which seems to play some role in Quantum Information Theory, see [10] and [21].

Given a free algebra $F\langle X \rangle$ and an associative algebra A over a field F , a map $f : X \rightarrow A$ induces a homomorphism $f : F\langle X \rangle \rightarrow A$ and conversely a homomorphism $f : F\langle X \rangle \rightarrow A$ is determined by its values on X .

The polynomial identities of A are the elements of $F\langle X \rangle$ vanishing under all evaluations.

Now though, such a map f defines also, for all integers n , a homomorphism of the corresponding n -fold tensor products:

$$f^{\otimes n} : F\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}.$$

One can thus define as *n -fold tensor identity* for A an element $G \in F\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ vanishing for all evaluations in A , i.e. $f^{\otimes n}(G) = 0, \forall f : X \rightarrow A$.

A similar notion holds for A an algebra with trace.

By this we mean an algebra A together with a linear map $tr : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the following axioms, see [2] Chapter 2.3 or [17].

$$tr(a) \cdot b = b \cdot tr(a), \quad tr(a \cdot b) = tr(b \cdot a), \quad tr(tr(a) \cdot b) = tr(a) \cdot tr(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Then the image $tr(A)$ of tr is a central subalgebra, called the *trace algebra* and tr is $tr(A)$ linear.

Trace algebras form a category where maps are trace compatible homomorphisms.

Trace algebras admit free algebras. The free algebra with trace $T\langle X \rangle := F\langle X \rangle[tr(M)]$, is the polynomial algebra in the elements $tr(M)$ over the usual free algebra $F\langle X \rangle$.

By $tr(M)$ we denote the class of a monomial M up to cyclic equivalence, (cf. [17] for a detailed definition).

Definition 2.3. An *n -fold tensor trace identity* of A is an element of $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ vanishing for all evaluations in $A^{\otimes n}$.

In this case by $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ we mean the tensor product over the central subalgebra of traces $F[tr(M)]$. Therefore $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ is a free $F[tr(M)]$ module with basis the *tensor monomials* $M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$. Similarly $A^{\otimes n}$ is the tensor product over its trace algebra. Furthermore $A^{\otimes n}$ is an algebra with trace where

$$tr(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) := \prod_{i=1}^n tr(a_i)$$

and by evaluation we mean a homomorphism compatible with trace.

2.4 Equivariant maps and permutations

We now restrict to the case $A = M_d(F)$. Together with tensor polynomials we also have the usual invariants of matrices, described in Theorem 1.11, which may be viewed as scalar valued maps to $M_d^{\otimes n}$ i.e. multiples of the identity of $M_d^{\otimes n}$.

Finally one has the *constant* equivariant maps, that is the $GL(V)$ invariant elements of $M_d^{\otimes n}$. They form the subalgebra $\Sigma_n(V) \subset \text{End}(V)^{\otimes n}$ spanned by the permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ (Formula (7)) described by Theorem 1.5.

Thus we have 3 types of objects to consider, each contained in the next:

- Definition 2.5.*
1. The *tensor polynomial maps*, i.e. the polynomial maps of $A^X \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$ induced by $F\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$.
 2. The *trace tensor polynomial maps*, the maps of $A^X \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$ induced by $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$
 3. The *equivariant tensor polynomial maps*, i.e. the polynomial maps of $A^X \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$ equivariant under conjugation by $GL(d, F)$.

Under the multiplication of the algebra $M_d(F)^{\otimes n}$ each one of these spaces forms an algebra.

The way to understand the general form of such equivariant map, item 3., is to associate to such a map an invariant.

Consider an equivariant polynomial map $H(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ of $k, d \times d$ matrix variables with values in $M_d^{\otimes n}$. To this associate the invariant scalar function of $k+n, d \times d$ matrix variables $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$:

$$T(H)(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) := \text{tr}(H(x_1, \dots, x_k)y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n). \quad (15)$$

Since the trace form on $M_d^{\otimes n}$ is non degenerate we can reconstruct H from Formula (15).

By Theorem 1.8 we have that Formula (15) is a linear combination of products of elements of type $\text{tr}(M)$ with M a monomial in the variables x_1, \dots, x_k and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n and linear in these last variables.

So we say that H is *monomial* if it is of the following form. There exist monomials $M_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and N_j in the variables x_1, \dots, x_k , possibly empty, that is with value 1, such that, setting $z_i = M_i y_i$ we have:

$$T(H) = \prod_j \text{tr}(N_j) \text{tr}(z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \dots z_{i_{h_1}}) \text{tr}(z_{i_{h_1+1}} z_{i_{h_1+2}} \dots z_{i_{h_1+h_2}}) \dots \dots \text{tr}(z_{i_{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}}} \dots z_{i_n}). \quad (16)$$

If $\sigma \in S_n$ is the permutation of cycles

$$\sigma = (i_1 i_2 \dots i_{h_1})(i_{h_1+1} i_{h_1+2} \dots i_{h_1+h_2}) \dots \dots (i_{h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}} \dots i_n)$$

then Formula (2.4) becomes

$$T(H) = \prod_j \text{tr}(N_j) \text{tr}(\sigma^{-1} \circ M_1 y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n y_n) \quad (17)$$

$$= \text{tr} \left(\prod_j \text{tr}(N_j) (\sigma^{-1} \circ M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n) y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n \right) \quad (18)$$

$$\implies H = \prod_j \text{tr}(N_j) \sigma^{-1} \circ M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n. \quad (19)$$

Theorem 2.6. *Equivariant tensor polynomial maps are linear combinations of maps given by Formula (19).*

In other words the map $\pi_n : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$, from the symbolic algebra, defined in the next 2.7, to the algebra of equivariant maps is surjective.

This Theorem may be viewed as a First Fundamental Theorem for tensor valued equivariant functions on matrices.

The algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ From the point of view of universal algebra the FFT says that the n tensor valued equivariant maps are the evaluations in matrices of the elements of the *twisted* algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$.

Definition 2.7. 1. $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ is $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ but with the commuting relations

$$\sigma \circ M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n = M_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \circ \sigma, \quad \sigma \in S_n.$$

2. The elements of $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ from the point of view of universal algebra are *constants* and are canonically evaluated by the map π of Formula (7) in $M_d^{\otimes n}$ (cf. Theorem 1.5).
3. The algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ is an *algebra with trace* (according to the definition of page 6) where trace is defined by Formula (9) and (10), now thought as definitions. Its trace algebra coincides with the central trace algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\text{tr}(M)]$ of $T\langle X \rangle$ of Definition 2.3.

Remark 2.8. The tensor polynomial maps as algebra are identified to the algebra $F\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ modulo the vanishing elements, that is the tensor polynomial identities. Similar statement for trace tensor polynomial maps, and $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$, and general equivariant maps and the twisted algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$. Observe that $F\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \subset T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \subset T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$.

Splitting the cycles It order to treat the SFT it is useful to understand in a more precise way the multilinear case of the FFT.

If the map H , appearing in Formula (15), is linear also in the variables x_i then there is a permutation $\tau \in S_{n+k}$ such that Formula (2.4) equals

$$\text{tr}(H(x)y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n) := \text{tr}(\tau^{-1} \circ y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k). \quad (20)$$

Definition 2.9. We denote by $T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ the tensor valued map $H(x)$ associated to $\tau \in S_{n+k}$ by Formula (20).

Our next task is to describe the elements $T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$. in terms of the permutation τ . We will use a simple fact on permutations that we call *splitting the cycles*, used in [15] to prove the SFT for matrices, Theorem 1.12. By the *cycles* of a permutation we usually mean the non trivial cycles, the ones which move elements.

Proposition 2.10. [*Splitting the cycles*] Decompose $\{1, 2, \dots, m\} = A \cup B$ as disjoint union of two subsets A, B .

Every permutation $\tau \in S_m$ can be uniquely decomposed as the product $\tau = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3$ where:

1. Each non trivial cycle of τ_1 contains exactly one element of A .
2. $\tau_2 \in S_B$ is formed by the cycles of τ permuting only the indices in B . It commutes with τ_1 and τ_3 since the indices which it moves are disjoint from the ones moved by τ_1, τ_2 .
3. $\tau_3 \in S_A$ permutes only the indices in A .

Proof. First we may split $\tau = \bar{\tau} \tau_2$ where τ_2 collects all the cycles of τ permuting only the indices in B . Replacing τ with $\bar{\tau}$ we may assume that $\tau = \bar{\tau}_1 \bar{\tau}_3$ where $\bar{\tau}_3$ collects all the cycles of τ permuting only the indices in A . Thus $\bar{\tau}_1$ collects all the cycles of τ involving both the indices in A and in B .

By construction the 3 permutations $\bar{\tau}_1, \tau_2, \bar{\tau}_3$ commute with each other and the indices moved by τ_2 are disjoint from the ones moved by $\bar{\tau}_1$ and $\bar{\tau}_3$.

Then the construction is based, by induction on the number of cycles, on the following identity. For $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_j$ numbers in A and C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k strings of numbers in B , each number appearing only once, consider the splitting of the permutation cycle:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} &:= (C_1, a_1, C_2, a_2, C_3, a_3, \dots, C_j, a_j) \\ &= (a_1, C_1)(a_2, C_2)(a_3, C_3) \dots (a_j, C_j) \circ (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_j) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This we call *splitting a cycle* with respect to A, B .

e.g. $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$; $C_1 = 7, 8, 4$; $C_2 = 6, 3$: $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 2$

$$(1, 7, 8, 4, 2, 6, 3) = (\boxed{2}, 7, 8, 4)(\boxed{1}, 6, 3) \circ (1, 2).$$

We then split each cycle of $\bar{\tau}_1$ obtaining for each cycle c_i of $\bar{\tau}_1$ a product $c_i = d_i \circ e_i$ with e_i permuting only the indices of A appearing in c_i and each cycle of d_i contains exactly one of the indices of A appearing in c_i . Since the c_i involve moving disjoint indices we have $\bar{\tau}_1 = \prod_i c_i = \prod_i d_i \circ \prod_i e_i$. We finally set

$$\tau_1 := \prod_i d_i; \quad \tau_3 := \prod_i e_i \circ \bar{\tau}_3 \implies \bar{\tau}_1 \bar{\tau}_3 = \tau_1 \tau_3 \implies \tau = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3. \quad (22)$$

The uniqueness is as follows. τ_2 is well defined from τ . So assume we have $\sigma_1 \sigma_3 = \tau_1 \tau_3$ with σ_3, τ_3 permuting only the indices in A and each cycle of σ_1 and of τ_1 contains exactly one element of A . Multiplying both sides by τ_3^{-1} we may assume $\tau_3 = 1$ so assume $\sigma_1 \sigma_3 = \tau_1$. If $\sigma_3 \neq 1$ the nontrivial cycles of σ_3 cannot be disjoint from those of σ_1 otherwise they would be cycles of τ_1 a contradiction since the cycles of τ_1 contain some index in B .

But then there is a cycle c of σ_3 and some cycles (β_i, i) of σ_1 with β_i a non trivial string in B and i appears in c . The product $c \prod_i (\beta_i, i)$ gives rise (by gluing the cycles) to a cycle of τ_1 with more than one element in A , a contradiction. \square

Remark 2.11. Of course one could also split the cycle c from the *left* as:

$$= (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_j) \circ (a_j, C_1)(a_1, C_2)(a_2, C_3) \dots (a_{j-1}, C_j) \quad (23)$$

Definition 2.12. Denote by $U_A(B)$ the set of permutations in $S_m = S_{A \cup B}$ with the property that in each cycle appears at most one element of A .

We may say that, Proposition 2.10, [Splitting the cycles] states that the product map $\pi : U_A(B) \times S_A \rightarrow S_{A \cup B}$, $(\tau, \sigma) \mapsto \tau \circ \sigma$ is a bijection with inverse $\gamma \mapsto (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$.

Remark 2.13. 1) By the uniqueness of the decomposition it follows that, if $\tau \in S_A$ we have $(\sigma \circ \tau)_3 = \sigma_3 \circ \tau$ for all $\sigma \in S_{A \cup B}$.

2) Assume $\tau = \gamma \rho$ with γ and ρ permutations on two disjoint sets of indices, $(A_1 \cup B_1), (A_2 \cup B_2)$ and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ then,

$$\tau_i = \gamma_i \rho_i, \quad i = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad \text{for the respective decompositions.} \quad (24)$$

3) If $\sigma = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \in S_A \times S_B$ we have:

$$(\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1})_1 = \sigma \tau_1 \sigma^{-1}, \quad (\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1})_2 = \sigma_2 \tau_2 \sigma_2^{-1}, \quad (\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1})_3 = \sigma_1 \tau_3 \sigma_1^{-1}. \quad (25)$$

4) $U_A(B)$ is stable under conjugation by elements of $S_A \times S_B$.

2.13.1 Formulas

We want to apply the previous Proposition 2.10 to Formula (20).

Decompose $\{1, 2, \dots, n+k\} = A \cup B$ with A the indices of type y and B the ones of type x :

$$A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad B = \{n+1, \dots, n+k\}.$$

We identify $S_B = S_k$. Denote, for simplicity of notations, with $Y_A := y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n$; and $X_B := x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k$. So equation (20) is written as

$$\text{tr}(H(x)Y_A) := \text{tr}(\tau^{-1} \circ Y_A \otimes X_B). \quad (26)$$

If $\sigma \in S_A$, $\tau \in S_B$ we have

$$\sigma \circ \tau \circ Y_A \otimes X_B = (\sigma \circ Y_A) \otimes (\tau \circ X_B)$$

Notice next that Remark 1.10 gives:

Lemma 2.14. 1) If $\sigma \in S_B$ permutes the indices B we have

$$T_{k,\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)}). \quad (27)$$

2) If $\gamma \in S_A$ permutes the indices A we have

$$T_{k,\gamma\tau\gamma^{-1}}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \gamma T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \gamma^{-1} \quad (28)$$

is a permutation of the tensor factors.

Proof. 1)

$$\text{tr}((\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1})^{-1} \circ Y_A \otimes X_B) = \text{tr}(\tau^{-1} \circ Y_A \otimes (\sigma^{-1} \circ X_B \circ \sigma))$$

and, by Formula (8)

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ X_B \circ \sigma = x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes x_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)}.$$

2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}((\gamma\tau\gamma^{-1})^{-1} \circ Y_A \otimes X_B) &= \text{tr}(\tau^{-1} \circ (\gamma^{-1} \circ Y_A \circ \gamma) \otimes X_B) \\ \gamma^{-1} \circ Y_A \circ \gamma &= y_{\gamma(1)} \otimes y_{\gamma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes y_{\gamma(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_{k,\gamma\tau\gamma^{-1}}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) Y_A) &= \text{tr}(T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) y_{\gamma(1)} \otimes y_{\gamma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes y_{\gamma(n)}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\gamma T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \gamma^{-1} y_{\gamma(1)} \otimes y_{\gamma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes y_{\gamma(n)} \gamma^{-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\gamma T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \gamma^{-1} y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Assume that $\tau = \gamma_1\gamma_2$ with γ_1 and γ_2 permutations on two disjoint sets of indices, $(A_1 \cup B_1), (A_2 \cup B_2)$ and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2$. Then, up to conjugating with a permutation of $S_A \times S_B$, and using Lemma 2.14, we may assume $A_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ the first p indices and similarly for $B_1 = \{n+1, n+2, \dots, n+h\}$ so that

$$Y_A = Y_{A_1} \otimes Y_{A_2}, \quad X_B = X_{B_1} \otimes X_{B_2}.$$

$$\text{tr}((\gamma_1\gamma_2)^{-1} \circ Y_A \otimes X_B) = \text{tr}(\gamma_1^{-1} \circ Y_{A_1} \otimes X_{B_1}) \text{tr}(\gamma_2^{-1} \circ Y_{A_2} \otimes X_{B_2}) \quad (29)$$

We finally deduce

$$T_{k,\gamma_1\gamma_2}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = T_{h,\gamma_1}^{(p)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_h) \otimes T_{k-h,\gamma_2}^{(n-p)}(x_{h+1}, x_{h+2}, \dots, x_k). \quad (30)$$

Here if either A_1 or A_2 is empty, i.e. $p = 0$ or $p = n$, the corresponding element is a scalar and the tensor product is to be understood as multiplication.

We use the following notation.

Definition 2.15. If $C = n + j_1, n + j_2, \dots, n + j_p$ is a string of indices in B we say that the monomial $M := x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots, x_{j_p}$ is associated to C .

Proposition 2.16. If $\tau = \tau_1\tau_2\tau_3$ is the splitting relative to the decomposition $(1, 2, \dots, n) \cup (n+1, n+2, \dots, n+k)$ and h is the number of elements of B moved by τ_1 we have:

$$T_{k,\tau}^{(n)} = \tau_3^{-1} \circ t_{\tau_2}(x) T_{h,\tau_1}^{(n)}(x); \quad t_{\tau_2}(x) = \prod_{\ell} \text{tr}(N_{\ell}), \quad T_{h,\tau_1}^{(n)}(x) = M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n. \quad (31)$$

With $N_{\ell} = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_{\ell}}$ the monomials corresponding to the cycles $(n+j_1, n+j_2, \dots, n+j_{\ell})$ of τ_2 and with $M_i = x_{f_1} x_{f_2} \dots x_{f_p}$ (as in Formula (17)) the monomial corresponding to the cycle $(i, n+f_1, n+f_2, \dots, n+f_p)$ of τ_1 .

Proof. Here we use the notation $T_{h,\tau_1}^{(n)}(x)$ to mean a map in h of the variables x not necessarily the first.

Up to conjugating with a permutation of $S_A \times S_B$, we may apply Remark 2.13, and Formula (30). We thus reduce to τ a single cycle \mathbf{c} . If \mathbf{c} consists only of indices in B then it gives a contribution $\text{tr}(N)$, otherwise, for some $j \geq 1$, $A = \{1, 2, \dots, j\}$ and $B = \{j+1, j+2, \dots, j+h\}$ by conjugating with a permutation of S_A we may further assume

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} &:= (C_1, 1, C_2, 2, C_3, 3, \dots, C_j, j) \\ &= (1, C_1)(2, C_2)(3, C_3) \dots (j, C_j) \circ (1, 2, 3, \dots, j) = \mathbf{c}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_3. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

If $C_i = j + a_1, j + a_2, \dots, j + a_{i_p}$ set $M_i := x_{a_1} x_{a_2} \dots, x_{a_{i_p}}$ its associated monomial, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{c}^{-1} Y_j \otimes X_h) &= \text{tr}(M_1 y_1 M_2 y_2 M_3 y_3 \dots M_j y_j) \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} \text{tr}((1, 2, 3, \dots, j)^{-1} M_1 y_1 \otimes M_2 y_2 \otimes M_3 y_3 \otimes \dots \otimes M_j y_j) \\ &= \text{tr}((1, 2, 3, \dots, j)^{-1} M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes M_3 \otimes \dots \otimes M_j \cdot y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes y_3 \otimes \dots \otimes y_j) \\ &\implies T_{h,\mathbf{c}}^{(j)}(X) = \mathbf{c}_3^{-1} M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes M_3 \otimes \dots \otimes M_j. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{c}_1^{-1} Y_j \otimes X_h) &= \prod_{i=1}^j \text{tr}(M_i y_i) = \text{tr}(M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes M_3 \otimes \dots \otimes M_j \cdot y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes y_3 \otimes \dots \otimes y_j) \\ &\implies T_{h,\mathbf{c}_1}^{(j)}(X) = M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes M_3 \otimes \dots \otimes M_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.17. *Assume $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ with*

$$B_1 := \{n+1, n+2, \dots, n+h\}, \quad B_2 := \{n+h+1, n+h+2, \dots, n+k\}.$$

1. *Take $\rho \in S_{A \cup B_1}$ and $\gamma = (i, u)$ a cycle, with $i \in A$, and u a string of all the indices of B_2 . Set $\ell := \rho_3^{-1}(i)$. If M is the monomial associated to u (Definition 2.15), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,\gamma\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= T_{h,\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_h) \cdot 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes M \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i} \\ T_{k,\rho\gamma}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= 1^{\otimes \ell-1} \otimes M \otimes 1^{\otimes n-\ell} \cdot T_{h,\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_h). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

2. *Take $\gamma = (i, u)$ a cycle, with $i \in B_1$, and u a string of all the indices of B_2 . If M is the monomial associated to u (Definition 2.15), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,\rho\gamma}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= T_{h,\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_i M, \dots, x_h), \\ T_{k,\gamma\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= T_{h,\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, M x_i, \dots, x_h). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

3. *If $\gamma \in S_A$*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,\rho\gamma}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) &= \gamma^{-1} T_{k,\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), \\ T_{k,\gamma\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) &= T_{k,\rho}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \gamma^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

4. *The inclusion $i_n : S_{n-1+k} \subset S_{n+k}$ as permutations fixing nn gives, for $\tau \in S_{n+k-1}$, that $T_{k,i_n(\tau)}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = T_{k,\tau}^{(n-1)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \otimes 1$.*
5. *The inclusion $i_k : S_{n+k-1} \subset S_{n+k}$ as permutations fixing $n+k$ gives, for $\tau \in S_{n-1+k}$, that $T_{k,i_k(\tau)}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}) \text{tr}(x_k)$.*

Proof. 1) Consider the splitting $\rho = \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3$ for $A \cup B_1$.

In order to understand $(u, i) \rho_1$ decompose $\rho_1 = \prod_{j=1}^n (v_j, j)$ into its cycles with the v_j 's strings of indices of type B_1 . Then

$$(u, i) \rho_1 = \prod_{j \neq i} (v_j, j) (u, i) (v_i, i) = \prod_{j \neq i} (v_j, j) (i, v_i, u).$$

Thus the splitting of $\gamma\rho = (\gamma\rho_1)(\gamma\rho_2)(\gamma\rho_3)$ for $A \cup B$ is

$$(\gamma\rho)_1 = (u, i)\rho_1 = \prod_{j \neq i} (v_j, j)(i, v_i, u), \quad (\gamma\rho)_2 = \rho_2, \quad (\gamma\rho)_3 = \rho_3.$$

The cycle (i, v_i) has been replaced by the cycle (i, v_i, u) thus if M_i denotes the monomial associated to the string v_i , we have that the monomial associated to the string v_i, u is $M_i M$. Formula (33) gives the first part of Formula (34). For the second part we use the fact that $\rho_3(u, i) = (u, \rho_3^{-1}(i))\rho_3 = (u, h)\rho_3$ commutes with ρ_2 so $\rho(u, i) = \rho_1(u, h)\rho_2\rho_3 = \prod_{j \neq h} (v_j, j)(h, u, v_h)\rho_2\rho_3$, so M_h is replaced by MM_h .

e.g. $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $B = \{4, 5, 6\} \cup \{7\}$, $\rho = (1, 2)(2, 5)(3, 4, 6)$, $T_{3, \rho}^{(3)} = (1, 2) \cdot x_2 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 x_3$

$$\gamma = (1, 7), \gamma\rho = (1, 2)(2, 5, 7)(3, 4, 6), \quad T_{4, \sigma}^{(3)} = (1, 2) \cdot x_2 x_4 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 x_3.$$

2) This follows again from the fact that by multiplying ρ by γ , in $\gamma\rho$ we modify only the cycle containing i by replacing i with the string u, i while in $\rho\gamma$ we replace i with the string i, u .

3) The first formula follows from Remark 2.13 as for the second one can use the left splitting or conjugation by γ ; and 4) is clear. \square

Theorem 2.18. *The space $\mathcal{T}_d^{\otimes n}(k)$ of multilinear $GL(V)$ -equivariant maps of k endomorphism (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) of an d -dimensional vector space V to $End(V)^{\otimes n}$ is identified with $End_{GL(V)}(V^{\otimes n+k})$. It is linearly spanned by the elements $T_{k, \tau}^{(n)}$, $\tau \in S_{n+k}$ of Formula (31).*

For instance, $n = 2$, $k = 1$:

$$tr(x_1 y_2 y_1) = tr((1, 2) \circ y_1 \otimes x_1 y_2) \implies T_{1, (2, 1, 3)}^{(2)}(x_1) = (1, 2) \circ 1 \otimes x_1.$$

$$n = k = 3, \quad tr(x_3 x_1 y_2 y_1 x_2 y_3) = tr((1, 2, 3) \circ y_1 \otimes x_3 x_1 y_2 \otimes x_2 y_3) \implies$$

$$T_{3, (6, 4, 2, 1, 5, 3)}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 2, 3) \circ 1 \otimes x_3 x_1 \otimes x_2.$$

Remark 2.19. The elements $T_{k, \tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ should also be considered symbolically as elements of the algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$.

They form the space $\mathcal{T}_{mult}(k, n)$ of multilinear elements of degree k of the algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$.

Definition 2.20. Given $\sum_{\tau \in S_{n+k}} a_\tau \tau$ the symbolic map

$$T_k^{(n)}\left(\sum_{\tau \in S_{n+k}} a_\tau \tau\right) := \sum_{\tau \in S_{n+k}} a_\tau T_{k, \tau}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \quad (37)$$

is called the n -interpretation of $\sum_{\tau \in S_{n+k}} a_\tau \tau$. It is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbb{Q}[S_{n+k}]$ and $\mathcal{T}_{mult}(k, n)$.

In particular the explicit Formula for $T_{k, \tau}^{(n)}$ in Formula (31), is the n interpretation of the permutation τ .

By the classical method of polarization and restitution one has that Formula (31) describes a general, not necessarily multilinear $GL(V)$ -equivariant map.

For $n = 0$ this is the classical theorem of generation of invariants of matrices. For $n = 1$ the classical theorem of generation of equivariant maps from matrices to matrices. For $k = 0$ on the other hand it is also the classical theorem that the span of the symmetric group is the centralizer of the linear group $G = GL(d, \mathbb{Q})$ on n^{th} tensor space, $V^{\otimes n}$ i.e.:

$$(M_d^{\otimes n})^G = End_G(V^{\otimes n}) = \Sigma_n(V) = \pi(\mathbb{Q}[S_n]).$$

3 The Second Fundamental Theorem

3.1 The $d + 2$ basic relations

Now, together with the First Fundamental Theorem we have the Second Fundamental Theorem giving the relations among the equivariant tensor valued maps.

In this case we want to describe, for given d and each n , the elements of the twisted algebras $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ vanishing under all evaluations $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow M_d^{\otimes n}$ induced by evaluations $X \rightarrow M_d$ in $d \times d$ matrices, see Definition 2.7.

Again by the classical method of polarization and restitution one reduces to study the multilinear relations that is the kernel of the interpretation map of Formula (37).

One starts from Theorem 1.5 that is $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma = 0$ as operator on $V^{\otimes d+1}$ or $\bigwedge^{d+1} V = \{0\}$. Hence the basic identity, for $d \times d$ matrices:

$$\text{tr} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma \sigma \circ z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes z_3 \otimes \dots \otimes z_{d+1} \right) = 0. \quad (38)$$

If we use for every $0 \leq k \leq d + 1$ for the variables $z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_{d+1}$ the $d \times d$ matrix variables $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{d+1-k}, x_1, \dots, x_k$, Formula (15) produces from Formula (38), $d + 2$ relations

$$F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k) := (-1)^k \sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma T_{k,\sigma}^{(d+1-k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, d + 1. \quad (39)$$

This is a multilinear relation of degree k in the variables x_1, \dots, x_k , for $d + 1 - k$ tensor valued equivariant maps:

$$\text{tr}(F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k) y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \dots \otimes y_{d+1-k}) = 0. \quad (40)$$

For $k = 0, d, d + 1$ these relations have classical interpretations. For $k = d$ this is the polarized form of the Cayley–Hamilton identity

$$x^d + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) x^{d-i}$$

and and for $k = d + 1$ the polarized expression of $\text{tr}(x^{d+1})$ in terms of $\text{tr}(x^i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, or the expression of the $d + 1$ Newton symmetric function $\psi_{d+1}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{i=1}^d t_i^{d+1}$ in term of the Newton symmetric function ψ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

$$\text{tr}(x^{d+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) \text{tr}(x^{d-i+1}) = 0.$$

In both cases this is due to the symmetry of formula 38 with respect to permuting the z_i . For $k = 0$ it is the relation $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma \sigma = 0$ as operator on $V^{\otimes d+1}$.

For intermediate $2 \leq k \leq d$, this is still symmetric in the variables x_1, \dots, x_k so it can still be viewed as the polarized form of a tensor identity $\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)$, in one variable x , for maps to $d + 1 - k$ tensors, obtained by restitution:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) := \frac{1}{k!} F_{k,d}(x, \dots, x), \quad \text{cf. Formula (44).}$$

For instance, $d = 2, k = 1$ we have:

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{C}_{1,2}(x) := (1 - (1, 2)) \circ [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - \text{tr}(x)1 \otimes 1] = 0.}$$

For $d = 3, k = 1$ and $d = 3, k = 2$

$$\boxed{[(1, 2, 3) + (1, 3, 2) - (1, 2) - (1, 3) - (2, 3) + 1](x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x - \text{tr}(x)1^{\otimes 3})}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_{2,3}(x) &= (1-(1,2)) \left([x^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^2 + x \otimes x] - \text{tr}(x)[x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x] + \frac{\text{tr}(x)^2 - \text{tr}(x^2)}{2} \right) \\ &= \boxed{(1-(1,2)) ([x^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^2 + x \otimes x] - \text{tr}(x)[x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x] + \det(x))}. \end{aligned}$$

We see a remarkable *factorization theorem* through two remarkable factors.

In order to see this in general, Theorem 3.2, let us first make a definition and recall some classical facts.

Given two numbers $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the set $\mathcal{P}(i, n)$ of all partitions $\underline{h} \vdash i$ of height $\leq n$ that is of the form $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_n \geq 0$. For $\underline{h} \in \mathcal{P}(i, n)$ let $T_{\underline{h}}(x)$ be the symmetrization of $x^{h_1} \otimes x^{h_2} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{h_n}$ as tensor. E.g.

$$\underline{h} = 2, 2, 0; \quad T_{\underline{h}} = x^2 \otimes x^2 \otimes 1 + x^2 \otimes 1 \otimes x^2 + 1 \otimes x^2 \otimes x^2, \quad T_{1,1,1} = x \otimes x \otimes x.$$

$$\underline{h} = 2, 1, 0; \quad T_{\underline{h}} = x^2 \otimes x \otimes 1 + x^2 \otimes 1 \otimes x + x \otimes x^2 \otimes 1 + x \otimes 1 \otimes x^2 + 1 \otimes x^2 \otimes x + 1 \otimes x \otimes x^2.$$

We then define, for $i, n \geq 0$:

$$\mathfrak{T}_{i,n}(x) := \sum_{\underline{h} \in \mathcal{P}(i,n)} T_{\underline{h}}(x), \quad \text{e.g. } \mathfrak{T}_{2,2}(x) = \boxed{x^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^2 + x \otimes x}. \quad (41)$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_{i,1}(x) = x^i, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{3,3}(x) = T_{3,0,0} + T_{2,1,0} + T_{1,1,1}, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{3,2}(x) = T_{3,0} + T_{2,1}.$$

Denote by

$$\det(t-x) = t^d + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) t^{d-i}, \quad \text{the characteristic polynomial of } x.$$

Recall the formulas (cf. [8])

$$\sigma_r(x) = \sum_{\substack{h_1+2h_2+\dots+rh_r=r \\ h_1 \geq 0, \dots, h_r \geq 0}} (-1)^r \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{(-\text{tr}(x^j))^{h_j}}{h_j! j^{h_j}} = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \epsilon_{\sigma} t_{\sigma}(x). \quad (42)$$

Now given d , $0 \leq k \leq d+1$ set $n := d+1-k$, decompose $\{1, 2, \dots, d+1\} = A \cup B$, $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Recall that, Definition 2.12, $U_A(B)$ denotes the set of permutations in $S_{A \cup B}$ with the property that in each cycle appears at most one element of A . Proposition 2.10, [Splitting the cycles] states that the product map $U_A(B) \times S_A \rightarrow S_{d+1}$, $(\tau, \sigma) \mapsto \tau \circ \sigma$ is a bijection.

By Theorem 2.17 2) we have, if $\tau \in S_A$ and $\sigma \in S_{d+1}$ that $T_{k, \sigma \tau}^{(n)} = \tau^{-1} T_{k, \sigma}^{(n)}$ so that Formula (39) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= (-1)^k \sum_{\gamma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_{\gamma} T_{k, \gamma}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= (-1)^k (\sum_{\tau \in S_A} \epsilon_{\tau} \tau) \circ \sum_{\sigma \in U_A(B)} \epsilon_{\sigma} T_{k, \sigma}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Theorem 3.2. *The polynomial $F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is the full polarization of the tensor Cayley Hamilton polynomial ($n := d+1-k$, $A = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $B = \{n+1, \dots, n+k\}$):*

$$\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) := \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_A} \epsilon_{\tau} \tau \right) \circ \left[\mathfrak{T}_{k,n}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \sigma_j(x) \mathfrak{T}_{k-j,n}(x) \right]. \quad (44)$$

Proof. In order to prove Formula (44) consider, from Formula (43):

$$G_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in U_A(B)} \epsilon_{\sigma} T_{k, \sigma}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k). \quad (45)$$

The set $U_A(B)$ is stable under conjugation by the group S_B and such conjugation corresponds to a permutation of the variables x_i in $G_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. So, since $G_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$

is symmetric, it is the polarization of $\frac{1}{k!}G_{k,d}(x, \dots, x)$. We thus need to understand $\frac{1}{k!}G_{k,d}(x, \dots, x)$.

According to its definition, or Proposition 2.10, each permutation σ of $U_A(B)$ is a product $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_2\sigma_1$.

These two permutations σ_1, σ_2 determine a partition of $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ in two subsets. With B_2 the indices moved by σ_1 , and σ_2 is a product of cycles in B_1 . Then σ_1 is a product of exactly n cycles c_j (possibly trivial) of some lengths $h_1 + 1, h_2 + 1, \dots, h_n + 1$, $h_j \geq 0$ with c_j containing the index $j \in A$ and the remaining h_j indices in B_2 .

$$\sigma_1 = c_1c_2 \dots c_n, \quad c_j = (i_{j,1}, i_{j,2}, \dots, i_{j,h_j}, j), \quad j \in A, \quad i_{j,1}, i_{j,2}, \dots, i_{j,h_j} \in B_2. \quad (46)$$

One has $|B_1| = k - \sum_i h_i$ and further, by Formula (30)

$$T_{k,\sigma}^{(n)} = T_{\sigma_2}^{(0)} T_{k,\sigma_1}^{(n)}$$

where $T_{\sigma_2}^{(0)}$ is an invariant product of traces of monomials, in the x variables B_1 , while $T_{k,\sigma_1}^{(n)}$ is of the special form $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$ with the M_j 's monomials in the x variables B_2 .

Given a partition of $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ denote by U_{A,B_2} the set of permutations of $A \cup B_2$ which decompose in exactly n cycles each containing one index $i = 1, \dots, n$, or $i \in A$. So we have a decomposition

$$U_A(B) = \bigcup_{B=B_1 \cup B_2} S_{B_1} \times U_{A,B_2}$$

and the following expansion of Formula (45) into the various decompositions $B = B_1 \cup B_2$:

$$G_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{B=B_1 \cup B_2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{B_1}} \epsilon_\sigma T_{j,\sigma}^{(0)}(X_1) \sum_{\tau \in U_{A,B_2}} \epsilon_\tau T_{k-j,\tau}^{(n)}(X_2) \quad (47)$$

where by (X_1) resp (X_2) we mean the variables among the (x_1, \dots, x_k) relative to the indices of B_1 , resp B_2 .

When we evaluate all variables x_i in a single variable x all the contributions relative to the subsets B_1 with the same cardinality j become equal so that

$$\text{If } |B_1| = j, \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_{B_1}} \epsilon_\sigma T_\sigma^{(0)}(x) \stackrel{(42)}{=} j! \sigma_j(x).$$

Next compute $\sum_{\tau \in U_{A,B_2}} \epsilon_\tau T_{k-j,\tau}^{(n)}(x)$. An element $\tau \in U_{A,B_2}$ is uniquely of the form

$$\tau = c_1c_2 \dots c_n, \quad c_a = (i_{a,1}, i_{a,2}, \dots, i_{a,h_j}, a), \quad a = 1, \dots, n$$

with the elements $i \in B_2$ and B_2 has cardinality $k - j$.

Given n integers h_1, \dots, h_n summing to $k - j$ we have exactly

$$\prod h_i! \binom{k-j}{h_1, \dots, h_n} = (k-j)!$$

such permutations which have sign $(-1)^{k-j}$. When we evaluate all variables x_i in a single variable x all the contributions become equal giving $(k-j)!$ times the summand $(-1)^{k-j} T_{k-j,\sigma}(x) = x^{h_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{h_n}$. The sequence h_1, \dots, h_n is obtained by reordering a partition $\underline{h} \in \mathcal{P}(k-j, n)$ so

$$\text{If } |B_2| = k - j, \quad \sum_{\tau \in U_{A,B_2}} \epsilon_\tau T_{k-j,\tau}^{(n)}(x) \stackrel{(41)}{=} (-1)^{k-j} (k-j)! \mathfrak{T}_{k-j,n}(x).$$

Formula (47) for $G_{k,d}(x, \dots, x)$ becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} j! \sigma_j(x) (k-j)! \mathfrak{T}_{k-j,n}(x) = k! (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \sigma_j(x) \mathfrak{T}_{k-j,n}(x).$$

Substituting in Formula (43) we finally have

$$F_{k,d}(x) = k! \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_A} \epsilon_\tau \tau \right) \circ \left[\mathfrak{T}_{k,n}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \sigma_j(x) \mathfrak{T}_{k-j,n}(x) \right] \quad (48)$$

is the desired formula. \square

3.3 The second fundamental theorem

3.3.1 T -ideals

Universal algebra is a concept first introduced by Garrett Birkhoff see [3] and see P. Chon [6] or [5] for a more extensive treatment. If one has a class of algebras admitting free algebras $\mathcal{F}(X)$ in some set of variables X a T -ideal is an ideal of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ closed under all algebra endomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}(X)$, which in turn are determined by *substitution maps* $X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$. T -ideals appear naturally as ideals of identities of algebras in the given class of algebras. In this paper we need a small generalization of this notion to take care of the tensor structure, see Definition 3.5 and 3.16.

We have already remarked the relationship between the antisymmetrizer and the d -Cayley–Hamilton identity. A well known result of Razmyslov and Procesi states that, the T -ideal in the free algebra with trace, of relations for $d \times d$ matrices is generated by the d -Cayley–Hamilton identity (and $\text{tr}(1) = d$) (see [8]).

We start from Remark 2.8 stating that the equivariant maps are the evaluations in matrices of the elements of the *twisted algebra* $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$. Here by $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots\}$ we indicate variables indexed by \mathbb{N} . Thus

Definition 3.4. A *tensor identity* or *relation* for $d \times d$ matrices is an element of the algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ vanishing under all evaluations of X in $d \times d$ matrices.

We denote by $I_d(n) \subset T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ this set of tensor identities.

Clearly $I_d(n)$ is a two sided ideal of $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ and the algebra $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$, of $GL(V)$ equivariant polynomial maps, equals $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]/I_d(n)$.

Now there are certain operations under which tensor identities map to tensor identities.

First consider the endomorphisms, as trace algebra, of $T\langle X \rangle$, which are given by *substitution maps* $g : X \rightarrow T\langle X \rangle$. Such a map g induces the map $g^{\otimes n} : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rightarrow T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ which commutes with S_n and hence finally induces a map, identity on S_n

$$g^{\otimes n} \rtimes 1 : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n].$$

The ideal $I_d(n)$ is clearly stable under these *substitution maps* $g^{\otimes n} \rtimes 1$.

Next the natural inclusion $S_m \times S_n \subset S_{m+n}$ induces a homomorphism of algebras, in fact an inclusion:

$$T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes m} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_m] \otimes T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes m+n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_{m+n}] \quad (49)$$

$$A \in T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes m}, B \in T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}, \sigma \in S_m, \tau \in S_n; \quad A\sigma \otimes B\tau \mapsto A \otimes B\sigma\tau$$

and we have

$$I_d(m) \otimes T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n] + T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes m} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_m] \otimes I_d(n) \subset I_d(m+n). \quad (50)$$

Denote for simplicity $\mathcal{T}(X, m) := T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes m} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_m]$.

Definition 3.5. A sequence $\{J(n)\}$ of ideals $J(n) \subset \mathcal{T}(X, n)$ will be called a T -ideal if

$$g^{\otimes n} \rtimes 1(J(n)) \subset J(n), \quad \forall g : T\langle X \rangle \rightarrow T\langle X \rangle$$

$$J(n) \otimes \mathcal{T}(X, m) + \mathcal{T}(X, m) \otimes J(n) \subset J(m+n), \quad \forall m, n. \quad (51)$$

Clearly the intersection of T -ideals is still a T -ideal, so we define.

A T -ideal $\{J(n)\}$ is *generated* by a subset $S \subset \bigcup_m \mathcal{T}(X, m)$ if it is the minimal T -ideal containing S .

We also say that the elements of each $\{J(n)\}$ are *deduced* from the elements S . We leave to the reader to understand how the previous Formulas translate into the *rules* of deduction of the elements in the T -ideal $\{J(n)\}$ from the generating set S .

3.5.1 The T -ideal of tensor identities

Clearly the relations for $d \times d$ matrices $\{I_d(n)\}$ form a T -ideal. By the classical method of polarization and restitution one can, studying relations or T -ideals, restrict to multilinear elements $\mathcal{T}_{mult}(k, n)$. That is:

Proposition 3.6. *If $\{J_1(n)\}$ and $\{J_2(n)\}$ are two T -ideals having the same multilinear elements they coincide.*

Here by the space $\mathcal{T}_{mult}(k, n)$ of multilinear elements of degree k in $\mathcal{T}(X, n)$ we take as definition the span of the elements depending linearly only upon the first k variables x_1, \dots, x_k . We should remark that this subspace can be identified to $\mathbb{Q}[S_{k+n}]$ by the Formula (31), through the interpretation map $T_k^{(n)} : \tau \mapsto T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}$, Definition 2.20.

As for the T -ideal $\{I_d(n)\}$ of tensor identities for $d \times d$ matrices, we start from the $d+2$ interpretations $F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ of the antisymmetrizer as tensor identities for $d \times d$ matrices given by Formula (39). Equivalently, using polarization which is one of the rules of deduction, one could start with the 1-variable relations given by Formula (44). We claim

Theorem 3.7. *$\{I_d(n)\}$ is generated, as T -ideal, from the $d+2$ interpretations $\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)$ of the antisymmetrizer and $tr(1) = d$.*

In other words we may say that, every relation for equivariant tensor valued polynomials maps from $d \times d$ matrices to tensor products of $d \times d$ matrices can be deduced from the $d+2$ identities of Formula (44) and $tr(1) = d$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.6 it is enough to restrict to multilinear relations.

By Theorem (3.7) one sees that the space $\mathcal{T}_{mult}(k, n) \cap I_d(n)$ of multilinear relations is 0 unless $m := k + n \geq d + 1$.

In this case it is the image, under the mapping $\psi_k : \tau \mapsto T_{k,\tau}^{(n)}, \tau \in S_{k+n}$ of the two sided ideal of $\mathbb{Q}[S_{k+n}]$ generated by the antisymmetrizer A_{d+1} . Thus it is formed by linear combinations of the k -interpretation (Definition 2.20) in terms of tensor valued maps of the basic relations, which we write as $\sigma \circ \tau \circ A_{d+1} \circ \tau^{-1}, \sigma, \tau \in S_{k+n}$.

For each k with $0 \leq k \leq d+1$, setting $n = d+1 - k$ we just have, up to scale, the $d+2$ basic relations, each homogeneous of degree k in $I_d(n) \cap \mathcal{T}_{mult}(k, n) = \mathbb{Q} \cdot F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$.

For fixed $m > d+1, k$ we then decompose $\{1, 2, \dots, m\} = A \cup B$ with B the last k indices (the x indices) and A the first $n = m - k$ indices (the y indices).

Finally we see that, the conjugation action by elements of $S_A \times S_B$, by Lemma 2.14, commutes with the interpretation, where S_A permutes the tensor factors while S_B permutes the x variables.

We need thus to understand, for $m > d+1$ and $\sigma \circ \tau \circ A_{d+1} \circ \tau^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[S_m]$, the equivariant maps $T_k^{(n)}(\sigma \circ \tau \circ A_{d+1} \circ \tau^{-1}), k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, Formula (37), interpretations of $\sigma \circ \tau \circ A_{d+1} \circ \tau^{-1}$, and prove that they are deduced from the basic relations.

Given any set I of $d+1$ indices out of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ we denote by $A_{d+1}(I) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_I} \epsilon_\sigma \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}[S_m]$ the antisymmetrizer in those indices.

The element $\tau \circ A_{d+1} \circ \tau^{-1}$ is, up to sign, the antisymmetrizer on the $d+1$ elements of $C := \tau(1, 2, \dots, d+1)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{d+1} := A_{d+1}(C)$.

We need to understand $T_k^{(n)}(\sigma \mathfrak{A}_{d+1})$.

Decompose $\{1, 2, \dots, m\} = C \cup D$ and apply Proposition 2.10 to $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$ for this decomposition. Since σ_3 is a permutation of the indices C we have $\sigma_3 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1} = \pm \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$ so we need only analyze $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$. Now since the indices of σ_2 are disjoint from those of $\sigma_1 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$ the interpretation of $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$ is up to permuting the tensor factors, the tensor product

of the two interpretations of σ_2 and of $\sigma_1 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$, Formula (30). Therefore the interpretation of $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$ is deduced from that of $\sigma_1 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$ and we assume we are in this case from start.

We are thus left to understand the interpretation of $\sigma_1 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}$ where $\sigma_1 = \prod_i c^i$ is a product of its cycles c^i each containing exactly one element of C . For simplicity now we write $\sigma_1 = \varphi$ and use the same notations $\{1, 2, \dots, m\} = C \cup D = A \cup B$, $k = |B|$, $n = |A|$ for this relation $T_k^{(n)}(\varphi \mathfrak{A}_{d+1})$ (and $m = k + n$).

Assume first $\varphi = 1$ and let $k := |B \cap C|$, $n := |A \cap C|$, $k + n = d + 1$. Then consider a permutation $\gamma = \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \in S_A \times S_B$ such that

$$\gamma_1(A \cap C) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad \gamma_2(B \cap C) = \{n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + k\},$$

and let $I := \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cup \{n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + k\}$. Then by Lemma 2.14 we have

$$T_k^{(p)}(A_{d+1}(C))(x_1, \dots, x_h) = \gamma_1^{-1} T_k^{(p)}(A_{d+1}(I))(x_{\gamma_2(1)}, \dots, x_{\gamma_2(h)}) \gamma$$

is deduced from $T_k^{(p)}(A_{d+1}(I))(x_1, \dots, x_h)$. Again by Theorem 2.17 we have

$$T_k^{(p)}(A_{d+1}(I))(x_1, \dots, x_h) = (-1)^h \prod_{j=h+1}^k \text{tr}(x_j) F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_h) \otimes 1^{p-n}. \quad (52)$$

For a general φ let us denote by E the set of indices appearing (that is moved by) in φ and decompose $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ with $E_1 = E \cap A$ the set of indices in E of type y and $E_2 = E \cap B$ is formed by indices of type x . Next split $\varphi = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3$ as in Proposition 2.10 with respect to this decomposition of E . We have $\varphi = \sigma_1 = \prod_j c^j$ is a product of its cycles c^j each containing exactly one element of C . Thus we split each cycle $c^j = c_1^j c_2^j c_3^j$ and for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ we have that $\varphi_i = \prod_j c_i^j$ (cf. Formula (24)). Recall that, if c^j is formed only of elements of A we have $c^j = c_3^j$; let us call this set of indices S_3 . If it is formed entirely of elements of B then $c^j = c_2^j$; let us call this set of indices S_2 , otherwise it is $c^j = c_1^j c_3^j$ and all indices of A appearing in c^j form the cycle c_3^j while each of these indices appear in one of the cycles of c_1^j ; let us call this set of indices S_1 .

Since φ_3 is a permutation of indices of type y by Theorem 2.17 2. we have, with $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} = A_{d+1}(\varphi_3(C))$:

$$T_k^{(n)}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1}) = T_k^{(n)}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3 \mathfrak{A}_{d+1} \varphi_3^{-1}) = \varphi_3^{-1} T_k^{(n)}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1}).$$

We are thus reduced to study

$$T_k^{(n)}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1}) = T_k^{(n)}\left(\prod_{j \in S_1} c_1^j \prod_{j \in S_2} c^j \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1}\right).$$

By assumption each cycle c^j contains a unique element h_j of C . If $j \in S_2$ then $h_j \in B$, and $c^j = (h_j, u_j)$ with u_j a string of elements of $D \cap B$. Thus, by Formula (35), we have that $T_k^{(n)}(\prod_{j \in S_1} c_1^j \prod_{j \in S_2} c^j \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1})$ is obtained from $T_k^{(n)}(\prod_{j \in S_1} c_1^j \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1})$ by replacing the variable x_{h_j} with the monomial $M_j x_{h_j}$ with M_j associated to the string u_j .

Up to permuting the variables, and renaming the values of n, k , we are finally reduced to analyze $T_k^{(n)}(\prod_{j \in S_1} c_1^j \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1})$.

We have to distinguish two cases, the indices S_1^B such that $h_j \in B$ and the ones S_1^A such that $h_j \in A$. If $h_j \in A$ all the cycles decomposing c_1^j are of the form (a, v_a) , $a \in A$ and v_a a string in $B \cap D$ which is disjoint from \overline{C} . Therefore to these elements we may apply either Formula (34) if $a \in \overline{C}$ or Formula (30) if $a \notin \overline{C}$. We are finally reduced to analyze $T_k^{(n)}(\prod_{j \in S_1^B} c_1^j \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1})$.

Now for $j \in S_1^B$ we first remark that the elements $a \in A$ appearing in c^j are also in D so c_3^j commutes with $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1}$ and these indices are also not in \overline{C} . c_1^j is again a product of cycles (a, v_a) , $a \in A$, $a \notin \overline{C}$ and v_a a string in $B \cap D$, these are treated as before, and finally a cycle (a, u_a, h_j, v_a) , $a \in A$, $a \notin \overline{C}$ and u_a, v_a two strings in $B \cap D$. These cycles correspond to some subset \overline{A} of indices of A and we will write $h_a := h_j$.

Split

$$(a, u_a, h_a, v_a) = (a, v_a)(h_a, u_a)(a, h_a) = (h_a, u_a)(a, v_a)(a, h_a).$$

Let $\sigma = \prod_a (a, h_a) = \sigma^{-1}$ and write

$$\rho \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} = \rho \sigma (\sigma \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} \sigma^{-1}) \sigma.$$

Then $\sigma \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} \sigma^{-1} = A_{d+1}(\sigma(\bar{C}))$ is also an antisymmetrizer on $d+1$ indices, let us denote it by $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1}$. Only now the x indices h_a corresponding to the a have been replaced by the y indices $a \in \bar{A}$ and $\rho \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1}$ has been replaced by $\rho \sigma \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} \sigma$. The permutation $\rho \sigma = \prod_a (h_a, u_a)(a, v_a)$ is such that the indices of $\prod_j (h_a, u_a)$ are all x indices, the indices u_a are disjoint from the indices in $\prod_a (a, v_j) \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} \sigma$ therefore the interpretation of $\rho \sigma \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} \sigma$ is obtained from the interpretation of $\prod_a (a, v_a) \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} \prod_a (a, h_a)$ by substituting the variables x_{h_a} with the monomial associated to h_a, u_a , one of the rules of deduction.

We are thus left with $\prod_a (a, v_a) \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{d+1} \prod_a (a, h_a)$, where $a \in \sigma(\bar{C})$ and $v_a, h_a \notin \sigma(\bar{C})$. Thus $\prod_a (a, v_a)$ and $\prod_a (a, h_a)$ are formed by a product of cycles for $\sigma(\bar{C})$ for which we can apply the two Formulas (34) and conclude that the interpretation of $\rho \sigma A_{d+1}(\sigma(C)) \sigma$ is obtained from that of $A_{d+1}(\sigma(C))$, by multiplying from the right and from the left by tensor products of monomials.

Finally this last interpretation is treated by Formula (52). □

3.8 The final theorem

3.8.1 Operations on equivariant maps

Some operations on equivariant maps from matrices to tensors can be interpreted as operations on permutations.

Consider the following basic operations on elements of $M_d^{\otimes n}$.

$$\sigma \in S_n, \sigma \cdot X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n = X_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes X_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \in M_d^{\otimes n}, \quad (53)$$

$$m : X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{n-1} \otimes X_n \mapsto X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n X_{n-1} \in M_d^{\otimes n-1} \quad (54)$$

$$\mathfrak{t} : X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{n-1} \otimes X_n \mapsto \text{tr}(X_n) X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{n-1} \in M_d^{\otimes n-1}. \quad (55)$$

One obtains many similar operations by combining these basic ones.

Lemma 3.9.

$$\mathfrak{t}((n, i) \circ X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n) = X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n X_i \otimes \dots \otimes X_{n-1} \quad (56)$$

Proof. We may assume that $X_i := u_i \otimes \varphi_i$ be n decomposable endomorphisms,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}((n, i) \circ X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n) &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \mathfrak{t}(u_1 \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_n \otimes \varphi_i \otimes \dots \otimes u_i \otimes \varphi_n) \\ &= u_1 \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \langle \varphi_n | u_i \rangle u_n \otimes \varphi_i \otimes \dots \otimes u_{n-1} \otimes \varphi_{n-1}) \\ &= X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n X_i \otimes \dots \otimes X_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular $m = \mathfrak{t} \circ (n, n-1)$. Then remark that if $\sigma \in S_n$ fixes n then $\sigma \circ \mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t} \circ \sigma$. So consider $S_{n-1} \subset S_n$ the permutations fixing n . We have the coset decomposition

$$S_n = S_{n-1} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{n-1}(n, i).$$

From the previous Lemma we deduce, for $n \geq 2$:

Proposition 3.10. *If $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ then $\mathfrak{t} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \mathfrak{t}$ and*

$$\mathfrak{t}(\sigma \circ X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n) = \sigma \circ \text{tr}(X_n)X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{n-1}, \quad (57)$$

in particular $\mathfrak{t}(\sigma) = \sigma \cdot \text{tr}(1)$.

If $\sigma = \tau(n, i)$, $\tau \in S_{n-1}$ then

$$\mathfrak{t}(\sigma \circ X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n) = \tau \circ X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_i X_n \otimes \dots \otimes X_{n-1}. \quad (58)$$

In particular $\mathfrak{t}(\sigma) = \tau$.

Proposition 3.11. *Using Formulas (57) and (58) one can define \mathfrak{t} as a formal operation $\mathfrak{t} : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n-1} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_{n-1}]$, a partial trace which is linear with respect to multiplication by invariants.*

From formulas (57) and (58) we have that $\mathfrak{t}(I_d(n)) \subset I_d(n-1)$.

Recall that the element, with $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) := \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_A} \epsilon_\tau \tau \right) \circ \left[\mathfrak{T}_{k,n}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \sigma_j(x) \mathfrak{T}_{k-j,n}(x) \right] \quad (59)$$

of Formula (44) is an n -tensor identity of degree k when evaluated in $d \times d$ matrices, $d = n + k - 1$.

Remark 3.12. From Theorem 3.7 follows in particular that there are no identities in degree k on $s < d + 1 - k$ tensors and furthermore, up to a scalar constant, $\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)$ is the unique identity in degree k on $n = d + 1 - k$ tensors.

Theorem 3.13. *Upon specializing $\text{tr}(1) = d$ we have, for $n \geq 1 \iff k \leq d$:*

$$\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x) = -n \cdot \mathfrak{C}_{k+1,d}(x) \quad (60)$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, $k = d$ we have $\mathfrak{C}_{d,d}(x) = x^d + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) x^{d-i}$ is the Cayley–Hamilton element and

$$\mathfrak{t}(x^d + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) x^{d-i}) = \text{tr}(x^d) + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) \text{tr}(x^{d-i}) = 0,$$

is the recursive formula expressing Newton symmetric functions in term of elementary ones. Finally

$$\mathfrak{t}(x^{d+1} + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) x^{d-i+1}) = \text{tr}(x^{d+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \sigma_i(x) \text{tr}(x^{d-i+1})$$

is the Formula expressing the $d + 1$ Newton symmetric function in d variables in term of the preceding ones. So assume $n \geq 2$, both $\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x))$ and $\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x)$ are tensor identities on $n - 1 = d - k$ tensors, respectively of degree k and $k + 1$ for $d \times d$ matrices. Thus by the previous remark we have $\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x) = \alpha \cdot \mathfrak{C}_{k+1,d}(x)$ for some scalar α .

In order to compute α it is enough to compare some leading terms.

For $\mathfrak{C}_{k+1,d}(x)$ we take $(\sum_{\tau \in S_{1,2,\dots,n-1}} \epsilon_\tau \tau) \circ T_{k+1,n-1}(x)$ while for $\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x)$ we look at $\mathfrak{t}((\sum_{\tau \in S_{1,2,\dots,n}} \epsilon_\tau \tau) \circ T_{k,n}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x)$.

Observe that, by Proposition 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau \in S_{1,2,\dots,n}} \epsilon_\tau \tau &= \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_{1,2,\dots,n-1}} \epsilon_\tau \tau \right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, n) \right) \\ \implies \mathfrak{t} \left(\left(\sum_{\tau \in S_{1,2,\dots,n}} \epsilon_\tau \tau \right) \circ T_{k,n}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(57)}{=} \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_{1,2,\dots,n-1}} \epsilon_\tau \tau \right) \mathfrak{t} \left(\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, n) \right) \circ T_{k,n}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x \right).$$

We need to compute the coefficient of the term $1^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x^{k+1}$ of $T_{k+1,n-1}(x)$ in the sum $\mathfrak{t} \left(\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, n) \right) \circ T_{k,n}(x) \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x \right)$.

Now $T_{k,n}(x)$ is the sum of the n terms $1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes x^k \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i}$ so we have a contribution $-1^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x^{k+1}$ from each $\mathfrak{t} \left((i, n) 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes x^k \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i} \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x \right)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-2$.

For $i = n-1$ we have two contributions, the first from

$$\mathfrak{t} \left((n-1, n) 1^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x^k \otimes 1 \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x \right) = t \left((n-1, n) 1^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x^k \otimes x \right) = 1^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x^{k+1}$$

and then from

$$\mathfrak{t} \left((n-1, n) 1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x^k \cdot 1^{n-1} \otimes x \right) = \mathfrak{t} \left((n-1, n) 1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x^{k+1} \right) = 1^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x^{k+1}.$$

Hence $\alpha = -n$. □

The specialization $tr(1) = d$ is necessary since for instance formally

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{1,2}(x)) &= \mathfrak{t}(1 - (1, 2)) \circ [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - tr(x)] \\ &= tr(1)x + tr(x) - tr(x)tr(1) - 2x + tr(x) = (tr(1) - 2)(x - tr(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3.14. $\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)) = (tr(1) - d)\mathfrak{C}_{k,d-1}(x)$, $\forall k \leq d$.

Remark 3.15. For the multilinear identities of Formula (39) we have

$$F_{k+1,d}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \mathfrak{t}(F_{k,d}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cdot 1^{\otimes d-k} \otimes x_{k+1}).$$

At this point one should introduce the operation \mathfrak{t} in the operations used to deduce an identity from another. So we change the definition 3.5 of T ideal asking:

Definition 3.16. A sequence $\{J(n)\}$ of ideals $J(n) \subset \mathcal{T}(X, n)$ will be called a T -ideal if besides the conditions of Definition 3.5 it is also stable under \mathfrak{t} .

Under this new definition we finally have the result.

Theorem 3.17. [SFT for equivariant maps] $\{I_d(n)\}$ is generated, as T -ideal, by the antisymmetrizer $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma \sigma$ and $tr(1) = d$.

Proof. Formula (60) gives recursively the $d+2$ formulas $\mathfrak{C}_{k,d}(x)$ from the antisymmetrizer $\mathfrak{C}_{0,d}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon_\sigma \sigma$ and then we apply Theorem 3.7. □

There is a final remarkable fact. Assume we take the algebras $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]/\bar{I}_d(n)$ modulo the T -ideal \bar{I}_d generated by the antisymmetrizer A_{d+1} and no condition on $tr(1)$. From Exercise 3.14 we have

$$\mathfrak{t}(A_{d+1}) = (tr(1) - d)A_d \implies \mathfrak{t}^d(A_{d+1}) = \prod_{i=1}^d (tr(1) - i) \in \bar{I}_d.$$

The algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda]/\prod_{i=1}^d (\lambda - i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Q}$ and so $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]/\bar{I}_d(n)$ decomposes as a direct sum of d summands, in the i^{th} summand we have $tr(1) = i$. But now by the same formula $\mathfrak{t}(A_{d+1}) = (tr(1) - d)A_d$ we deduce from A_{d+1} and $tr(1) = i$ that in the i^{th} summand we have also $A_{i+1} = 0$. Therefore we deduce the decomposition as direct sum of the d algebras of equivariant maps for $i \times i$ matrices, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Theorem 3.18.

$$T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]/\bar{I}_d(n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]/I_i(n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{T}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}^i).$$

3.18.1 The structure of $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$

What can we say about the algebra $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$? We assume that X has at least 2 elements, the case of just one variable being special. Let us first recall the Theory for $n = 1$, for a detailed study we refer to the book [2]. The algebra $T_d(\Xi) := \mathcal{T}_X^1(V)$ is the free algebra with trace $T\langle X \rangle$ modulo the d -Cayley Hamilton identity. This algebra is a domain generated by k generic matrices $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\}$ of Formula (1), and the traces of their monomials.

Its center is the algebra of invariants $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$. If $Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$ is the field of fractions of $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$ then $Q_d(\Xi) := T_d(\Xi) \otimes_{T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)} Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$ is a division algebra of dimension d^2 over its center *the equivariant rational functions*.

The subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k] \subset T_d(\Xi)$ is called the *algebra of generic matrices* and it is the free algebra modulo the polynomial identities of $d \times d$ matrices. One of the remarkable Theorems of the theory is that $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k]$ has a non trivial center $\mathfrak{Z}_d(X) \subset T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$.

An element $c \in \mathfrak{Z}_d(X)$ with no constant coefficient is called a *central polynomial*. Moreover the fields of fractions of $\mathfrak{Z}_d(X)$ and $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$ coincide. In fact from a strong Theorem of M. Artin one has that, if c is a central polynomial

$$\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}] = T_d(\Xi)[c^{-1}] \quad (61)$$

is an Azumaya algebra of rank d^2 over its center $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)[c^{-1}]$.

Take the tensor product

$$Q_d(\Xi)^{\otimes n} := Q_d(\Xi) \otimes_{Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)} Q_d(\Xi) \dots \otimes_{Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)} Q_d(\Xi) \otimes_{Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)} Q_d(\Xi)$$

of n copies of $Q_d(\Xi)$ over its center $Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$. This is a central simple algebra contained in the matrix algebra

$$M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}))^{\otimes n} := M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})} M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})) \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})} M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})} M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}))$$

and

$$M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}))^{\otimes n} = M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})$$

Lemma 3.19.

$$Q_d(\Xi)^{\otimes n} \otimes_{Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)} \mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}) = M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}))^{\otimes n} \implies Q_d(\Xi)^{\otimes n} = \left(M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}))^{\otimes n} \right)^{GL(d, \mathbb{Q})}. \quad (62)$$

Proof. The natural map of $Q_d(\Xi)^{\otimes n} \otimes_{Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi)} \mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})$ to $M_d(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}))^{\otimes n}$ is an isomorphism since they are both central simple algebras of the same dimension d^{2n} over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})$.

Since $Q_d^{(0)}(\Xi) = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_{h,k}^{(i)})^{GL(d, \mathbb{Q})}$ the second claim follows. \square

In the same way we have thhe universal faithfully flat splitting, [2] Corollary 10.4.3.

$$\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]^{\otimes n} \otimes_{T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)[c^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}][c^{-1}] = M_d(\mathbb{Q}[\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}][c^{-1}])^{\otimes n} \quad (63)$$

and an isomorphism at the level of Azumaya algebra

$$\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]^{\otimes n} = \left(M_d(\mathbb{Q}[\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}][c^{-1}])^{\otimes n} \right)^{GL(d, \mathbb{Q})} = \left(M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}][c^{-1}] \right)^{GL(d, \mathbb{Q})}, \quad (64)$$

From Formula (3) we have that $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V) \subset Q_d(\Xi)^{\otimes n}$ an we claim

Theorem 3.20. $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$ is a prime algebra, if $|X| > 1$ its center is $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$ and, for all central polynomial c we have

$$\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)[c^{-1}] = \mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]^{\otimes n} \quad (65)$$

The tensor power is with respect to the center of $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]$.

If $|X| > 1$ we have that two generic matrices generate $d \times d$ matrices and their corresponding tensor variables generate the tensor power which is a matrix algebra with center \mathbb{Q} therefore the center of $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$ is formed by the scalar valued equivariant maps, that is the invariants $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$.

In order to prove the remaining part of the Theorem let us recall a Theorem which is attributed to Oscar Goldman in the book of M. A. Knus, M. Ojanguren, *Théorie de la descente et algèbres d'Azumaya* page 112 [12].

Theorem 3.21. *If R is a rank n^2 Azumaya algebra over its center A there exists a unique element $\mathbf{s} \in R \otimes_A R$ with*

$$\mathbf{t}^2 = 1, \quad \mathbf{s}(a \otimes b)\mathbf{s}^{-1} = b \otimes a \quad (66)$$

Proof. By definition the map $\pi : R \otimes_A R^{op} \rightarrow \text{End}_A(R)$, $\pi(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i)(x) = \sum_i a_i x b_i$ is an isomorphism, moreover there is a faithfully flat extension $A \rightarrow B$ so that $B \otimes_A R \simeq M_n(B)$ and the trace of $M_n(B)$ restricted to R takes values in A .

Then define \mathbf{s} by $\pi(\mathbf{s})(x) = \text{tr}(x)$.

Under the faithfully flat extension $A \rightarrow B$ the element $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes e_{j,i}$, by uniqueness since this element satisfies the same property:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} e_{h,k} e_{j,i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } h \neq k \\ 1 & \text{if } h = k \end{cases} .$$

$$\mathbf{s}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes e_{j,i} \sum_{h,k=1}^n e_{h,k} \otimes e_{k,h} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes e_{j,i}$$

The second property can again be verified in the split algebra and it is

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes e_{j,i} e_{a,b} \otimes e_{c,d} \sum_{h,k=1}^n e_{h,k} \otimes e_{k,h} = e_{c,d} \otimes e_{a,b} .$$

Finally \mathbf{s} is the switch operator on $B^n \otimes B^n$ since

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes e_{j,i} e_a \otimes e_b = e_b \otimes e_a .$$

□

If R is a free rank n^2 module over A with basis a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n^2} then there is a unique dual basis for the trace form $\text{tr}(xy)$ that is there are unique elements $a_1^*, a_2^*, \dots, a_{n^2}^*$ with $\text{tr}(a_i a_j^*) = \delta_i^j$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} a_i \otimes a_i^* .$$

This depends upon the fact that the element $\sum_{i=1}^{n^2} a_i \otimes a_i^*$ is independent of the basis chosen so under the faithfully flat splitting it coincides with $\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes e_{j,i}$ since these is given by the basis dual to that of elementary matrices.

Proof of Theorem 3.20. We have a homomorphism π_n of Formula (4)

$$\pi_n : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \times \mathbb{Q}[S_n] \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[\xi_h^{(i)}], \quad x_i \mapsto \xi_i, \quad S_n \mapsto S_n \subset M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$$

which factors through the algebra $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$.

By Theorem 3.21 we have the Goldman element $\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]^{\otimes 2}$ and in the same way elements $\mathbf{s}_i \in \mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]^{\otimes n}$ which in the splitting become the generators $(i, i+1)$ of the symmetric group S_n .

Therefore $\pi_n(i, i + 1) = \mathfrak{s}_i$ (by uniqueness) and since by Formula (61) the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]$ is closed under trace we have $\pi_n(\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)[c^{-1}]) = \mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]^{\otimes n}$. Since π_n on $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)[c^{-1}]$ is injective we have the second claim. The fact that $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V)$ is a prime algebra follows from the fact that it is contained in $M_d(\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}]$ so it is torsion free for its center $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi) \subset \mathbb{Q}[\xi_{h,k}^{(i)}]$ and localizes to the Azumaya algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]^{\otimes n}$ of rank d^{2n} over its center a domain. \square

Remark 3.22. It is an interesting problem, cf. [21], to understand formulas for the canonical element \mathfrak{s} as a fraction of a tensor polynomial by a central element. In the paper [21] the numerator of this expression is called a *swap polynomial*. This problem is treated to some extent in the preprint [19] where we construct *balanced* swap polynomials.

3.22.1 The spectrum

Since the algebra $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V) \subset M_{d^n}[\mathbb{Q}[\xi_{a,b}^{(i)}]]$, Formula (2), is closed under trace then it is a d^n -Cayley–Hamilton algebra, according to the Theory developed in [17]. Its trace algebra equals its center $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi)$. Therefore, when we extend the scalars from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{C} the variety with coordinate ring $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ parametrizes the semisimple representations of dimension d^n of the algebra $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Now by the same reason the variety with coordinate ring $T_d^{(0)}(\Xi) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ parametrizes the semisimple representations of dimension d of the free algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle \Xi \rangle$ or of the free d -Cayley–Hamilton algebra, that is if, X has k elements, conjugacy classes of k -tuples of $d \times d$ matrices $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in M_d(\mathbb{C})^k$ generating a semisimple algebra. To this k -tuple is then associated a map $\mathcal{T}_X^n(V) \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ and the subalgebra of $M_d(\mathbb{C})^k$ generated by the elements $1^{\otimes i} \otimes a_j \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i-1}$ and S_n is semisimple. It is the entire algebra $M_d(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ if and only if the representation is irreducible which means it is a point of the spectrum of one of the Azumaya algebras $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k][c^{-1}]$. When the representation is semisimple and not irreducible we have a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\alpha} V_j$ into irreducibles which induces a decomposition $V^{\otimes n} V_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{i_n}$ whose terms are permuted by the group S_n . In each orbit there is a term $W := V_1^{\otimes h_1} \otimes V_2^{\otimes h_2} \otimes \dots \otimes V_k^{\otimes h_a}$, $\sum h_i = n$ which is stabilized by a Young subgroup $H = S_{h_1} \times S_{h_1} \times \dots \times S_{h_a}$ giving the semisimple representation $\text{Ind}_H^{S_n} W$.

A comment 1) Most of the results of this paper hold in a characteristic free way. In particular all identities with integer coefficients continue to hold. Theorem 2.6 still holds, from the Theory of Donkin [9], provided in Formula (19) one replaces the factors $\text{tr}(N_i)$ by $\sigma_j(N_i)$. The Theorem of M. Artin has been generalized by Procesi to all rings, [2].

The only result which should require a particular care is Theorem 3.7.

In fact in order to carry out the proof in positive characteristic one would need to follow closely the rather difficult and non trivial calculations of Zubkov, see [24] or [8].

I have not tried to do this since it would have made the treatment very hard to follow but I believe that the argument can be generalized to this setting.

2) The algebra $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ contains the two subalgebras $T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathbb{Q}\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$. The identities belonging to the first subalgebra are the *tensor trace identities*, the ones belonging to the second subalgebra are the *tensor polynomial identities*.

Although it is true that these can be deduced from the antisymmetrizer their structure is far from being understood. A start in the study of tensor polynomial identities appears in the preprint with F. Huber [11].

As for tensor trace identities we know that for $n = 1$ they are generated by the d -Cayley Hamilton identity.

For higher n the situation is more complex since the algebra of equivariant maps is not flat over its trace algebra. Let us explain what happens.

We have a map $j : T\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Q_d(\Xi)^{\otimes n}$. A simple argument shows that its Kernel is formed by the tensor trace identities since we may view this as a specialization to generic

matrices. Now when we use the d -Cayley Hamilton identity this map factors through a map $j_d : T_d\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Q_d\langle \Xi \rangle^{\otimes n}$. By simple localization arguments we then see that if $a \in T_d\langle X \rangle^{\otimes n}$ is in the Kernel of j_d then there is an invariant b so that $ba = 0$. In fact if b is any central polynomial we have $b^k a = 0$ for some k .

We can also take any nonzero discriminant $\delta := \det(\text{tr}(b_i b_j))$ of d^2 elements $b_i \in T_d\langle X \rangle$. Then after localizing $T_d\langle X \rangle[\delta^{-1}]$ is a free module with basis the b_i over the localized trace algebra $T_d^{(0)}\langle X \rangle[\delta^{-1}]$, so its n^{th} tensor power is also a free module and embeds in $Q_d\langle \Xi \rangle^{\otimes n}$.

So we may say that up to multiplication by some power of this discriminant a tensor trace identity can be deduced from the d -Cayley Hamilton identity.

On the other hand the situation is similar to that of functional identities, so, as in the paper [4], one should have tensor trace identities not deduced from the d -Cayley Hamilton identity. For instance for $d = 2$ the tensor polynomial identity $St(2) = \text{Alt}_X x_1 x_2 \otimes x_3 x_4$, see [11] is not a consequence of the 2-Cayley Hamilton identity.

One can try to see which of the tensor polynomial identities discussed in [11] are not a consequence of the d -Cayley Hamilton identity.

References

- [1] S. Abeasis, M. Pittaluga, *On a minimal set of generators for the invariants of 3×3 matrices*. Comm. Algebra **17** (1989), no. 2, 487–499. [1.13](#)
- [2] E. Aljadeff, A. Giambruno, C. Procesi, A. Regev. *Rings with polynomial identities and finite dimensional representations of algebras*, A.M.S. Colloquium Publications, to appear. [1.6](#), [1.6](#), [1.13](#), [2.1](#), [3.18.1](#), [3.18.1](#), [3.22.1](#)
- [3] Birkhoff, Garrett, *Universal algebra*. Comptes Rendus du Premier Congrès Canadien de Mathématiques, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, (1946) pp. 310–326. [3.3.1](#)
- [4] M. Bresar, C. Procesi, S. Spenko, *Functional identities on matrices and the Cayley-Hamilton polynomial*, Adv. Math. **280** (2015), 439–471. [3.22.1](#)
- [5] Burris, Stanley N., and H.P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra* Springer-Verlag (1981). Free online edition. [3.3.1](#)
- [6] Cohn, Paul Moritz, *Universal Algebra*. (1981) Dordrecht, Netherlands: D.Reidel Publishing. [3.3.1](#)
- [7] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), 330–354. [1.1](#)
- [8] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *The invariant theory of matrices* A.M.S. University Lecture Series v. **69**, 151 pp. (2017). [1.1](#), [1.6](#), [3.1](#), [3.3.1](#), [3.22.1](#)
- [9] Donkin, Stephen, *Invariants of several matrices*, Inventiones Mathematicae, v. 110, 1992, n. 2, pp. 389–401. [1.1](#), [3.22.1](#)
- [10] F. Huber, *Positive Maps and Matrix Contractions from the Symmetric Group* arXiv:2002.12887 28–2–2020. [2.1](#)
- [11] F. Huber, C. Procesi *Tensor polynomial identities* <http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.04362> [3.22.1](#)
- [12] M.-A. Knus, M. Ojanguren, *Théorie de la descente et algèbres d’Azumaya*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **389** Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974. iv+163 pp. [3.18.1](#)
- [13] B. Kostant, *A theorem of Frobenius, a theorem of Amitsur-Levitzki and cohomology theory*, J. Mathematics and Mechanics **7** (1958), no. 2, 237–264. [1.6](#)
- [14] Lehrer, Gustav; Zhang, Ruibin *The second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthogonal group*. Ann. of Math. (2) 176 (2012), no. 3, 2031–2054. [1.1](#)
- [15] C. Procesi, *The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices*, Adv. Math. **19** (1976), 306–381. [1.6](#), [1.6](#), [2.4](#)

- [16] C. Procesi, *Lie Groups, An approach through invariants and representations*, Springer Universitext, 2007 pp. xxiv+596, [1.6](#), [1.6](#)
- [17] C. Procesi, *T-ideals of Cayley Hamilton algebras*, 2020, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.02222> [1.6](#), [2.1](#), [3.22.1](#)
- [18] C. Procesi, *Norms and Cayley Hamilton algebras*, 2020, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.04909> [1.6](#)
- [19] C. Procesi, *A construction of swap or switch polynomials*, 2020, in preparation [3.22](#)
- [20] Yu. P. Razmyslov, *Trace identities of matrix algebras via a field of characteristic zero*, Math. USSR Izvestia (translation). **8** (1974), 727–760. [1.6](#), [1.13](#)
- [21] David Trillo, Benjamin Dive, and Miguel Navascués, *Translating Uncontrolled Systems in Time*, arXiv:1903.10568v2 [quant-ph] 28 May 2020. [2.1](#), [3.22](#)
- [22] Yu. P. Razmyslov, *Trace identities of full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, Math. USSR Izv. **8** (1974), 724–760.
- [23] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Representations*, One of Princeton University Press’s Notable Centenary Titles. Paperback, 1997 ([document](#)), [1](#), [1.1](#)
- [24] Zubkov, A. N., On a generalization of the Razmyslov-Procesi theorem, Algebra i Logika, Sibirskii Fond Algebry i Logiki. Algebra i Logika, V. 35, 1996, n 4, pp. 433–457. [1.1](#), [3.22.1](#)