

REVERSIBLE RING PROPERTY VIA IDEMPOTENT ELEMENTS

HANDAN KOSE, BURCU UNGOR, AND ABDULLAH HARMANCI

ABSTRACT. Regarding the question of how idempotent elements affect reversible property of rings, we study a version of reversibility depending on idempotents. In this perspective, we introduce *right* (resp., *left*) *e-reversible rings*. We show that this concept is not left-right symmetric. Basic properties of right *e-reversibility* in a ring are provided. Among others it is proved that if R is a semiprime ring, then R is right *e-reversible* if and only if it is right *e-reduced* if and only if it is *e-symmetric* if and only if it is right *e-semicommutative*. Also, for a right *e-reversible* ring R , R is a prime ring if and only if it is a domain. It is shown that the class of right *e-reversible* rings is strictly between that of *e-symmetric* rings and right *e-semicommutative* rings.

2010 MSC: 16U80, 16D80, 16N60, 16S50

Keywords: Reversible ring, *e-reduced* ring, *e-symmetric* ring, *e-semicommutative* ring, idempotent element

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with identity. For a ring R , let $N(R)$, $\text{Id}(R)$ and $C(R)$ denote the set of all nilpotents, the set of all idempotents and the center of R , respectively. We denote the $n \times n$ full (resp., upper triangular) matrix ring over R by $M_n(R)$ (resp., $U_n(R)$), and $D_n(R)$ stands for the subring of $U_n(R)$ having all diagonal entries are equal and $V_n(R) = \{(a_{ij}) \in D_n(R) \mid a_{ij} = a_{(i+1)(j+1)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-2 \text{ and } j = 2, \dots, n-1\}$ a subring of $D_n(R)$ and E_{ij} denote the matrix unit in $M_n(R)$ whose (i, j) -th entry is 1 and the others are zero. The rings \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_n denote the ring of integers and the ring of integers modulo n where n is an integer with $n \geq 2$.

A ring is usually called *reduced* if it has no nonzero nilpotents. In [2], a ring R is called *reversible* if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for $a, b \in R$. In [1] it is used the term ZC_2 for the reversible property. Lambek in [12] calls a ring R *symmetric* if, for all $a, b, c \in R$, $abc = 0$ implies $acb = 0$. ZC_3 is used for symmetricity for rings in [1]. Reduced rings are both reversible and symmetric in [13]. *e-symmetric* rings and right(left) *e-reduced* rings are introduced as a generalization of symmetric rings and

reduced rings respectively. Let R be a ring and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. The ring R is called *e-symmetric* if $abc = 0$ implies $acbe = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in R$, and also R is called *right* (resp., *left*) *e-reduced* if $N(R)e = 0$ (resp., $eN(R) = 0$). It is proved that right *e-reduced* rings are *e-symmetric*. An idempotent e of a ring R is called *left* (resp., *right*) *semicentral* if $ae = eae$ (resp., $ea = eae$) for each $a \in R$. In [10], a ring R is called *right* (resp. *left*) *e-semicommutative* if for any $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ implies $aRbe = 0$ (resp. $eaRb = 0$), R is *e-semicommutative* in case R is both right and left *e-semicommutative*. Every commutative ring and every right *e-reduced* ring is a right *e-semicommutative* ring.

2. RIGHT *e*-REVERSIBLE RINGS

In this section we deal with a generalization of an *e-symmetric* ring and that of a reduced ring, which shall be said to be *e-reversible*. *e-reversible* context also generalizes *e-reduced* concept in [13]. We show that reduced rings are *e-reversible*, and next that the class of *e-reversible* rings is quite large. For a ring R we investigate the idempotents of $D_n(R)$ and some subrings of $M_n(R)$ where $n \geq 2$, and apply this to observe the relation between the *e-reversibility* of R and the *E-reversible* ring property of $D_3(R)$ and some subrings of $M_n(R)$.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ with $e \neq 0$. Then R is called *right e-reversible* (resp., *left e-reversible*) if for any $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ implies $bae = 0$ (resp., $eba = 0$). The ring R is *e-reversible* if it is both left and right *e-reversible*.

In the next result, we give a characterization of right *e-reversibility* in terms of subsets of rings and its proof is straightforward.

Proposition 2.2. *The following are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is right *e-reversible*.
- (2) For any nonempty subsets A, B of R , being $AB = 0$ implies $BAe = 0$.

Clearly, a ring R is reversible if and only if R is 1-reversible. The following example shows that *e-reversibility* is not left-right symmetric. Also, the reversibility of a ring with respect to an idempotent e depends on e . There are rings R and idempotents e_1 and e_2 such that R is right e_1 -reversible but not right e_2 -reversible as the following example shows.

Example 2.3. Consider the ring $R = U_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then the following hold.

- (1) R is not reversible.

- (2) R is right E_1 -reversible but not left E_1 -reversible.
- (3) R is left E_2 -reversible but not right E_2 -reversible.

Proof. (1) R is not reversible, in fact, $E_2E_1 = 0$ but $E_1E_2 \neq 0$.

Let $A, B \in R$ with $AB = 0$. Then BA is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $x \neq 0$.

(2) On the one hand, $BAE_1 = 0$. Hence R is right E_1 -reversible. On the other hand, $E_1BA \neq 0$. Thus R is not left E_1 -reversible.

(3) $E_2BA = 0$ implies that R is left E_2 -reversible, and R is not right E_2 -reversible since $BAE_2 \neq 0$. \square

For a reduced ring R , we now show that $M_n(R)$ is neither right e -reversible nor left e -reversible for some $e \in \text{Id}(M_n(R))$.

Example 2.4. Let R be a reduced ring and E_{ij} denote the matrix unit in $M_n(R)$ whose (i, j) -th entry is 1 and the others are zero. Consider $A = E_{23}$, $B = E_{12}$ and $E = E_{11} + E_{33} \in M_n(R)$. Then $AB = 0$ and $BA \neq 0$. Also, $BAE \neq 0$. Hence $M_n(R)$ is not right E -reversible. Similarly, $EBA \neq 0$. Thus $M_n(R)$ is not left E -reversible. Therefore $M_n(R)$ is neither right E -reversible nor left E -reversible.

The following examples provide another sources of examples for right e -reversible rings.

- Examples 2.5.** (1) Every reversible ring is e -reversible.
 (2) Every right e -reduced ring is right e -reversible.
 (3) Every e -symmetric ring is right e -reversible.

Proof. (1) Let R be a reversible ring with $e^2 = e \in R$. Assume that $ab = 0$. Then $ba = 0$ and so $bae = 0$ and $eba = 0$.

(2) Let R be a right e -reduced ring and $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. Then ba is nilpotent. Being R right e -reduced, $bae = 0$.

(3) It is clear since the rings have identity. \square

There are right e -reversible rings R for some $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ but not reversible as shown below. This yields that the converse of Examples 2.5(1) need not be true in general.

Example 2.6. For a reversible ring R , the ring $U_2(R)$ is right E -reversible for some $E \in \text{Id}(U_2(R))$ but not reversible.

Proof. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in U_2(R)$, we get $AB = 0$ but $BA \neq 0$, hence

$U_2(R)$ is not reversible. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \in U_2(R)$ such that $AB = 0$.

Then we have $BA = \begin{bmatrix} xa & * \\ 0 & zc \end{bmatrix}$. The reversibility of R yields $xa = 0$ and $zc = 0$.

Let $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We get $BAE = 0$. Thus R is right E -reversible. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let R be right e -reversible and right $1 - e$ -reversible ring. Then R is semiprime if and only if R is reduced if and only if R is reversible.*

Proof. "Only if" part. Assume that R is semiprime right e -reversible and right $1 - e$ -reversible ring. Let $a^n = 0$ and $b = a^{n-1}$. Then $b^2 = 0$. Right e -reversibility of R yields $brbe = 0$ for each $r \in R$. Since r is arbitrary in R , we may replace r by er to get $berbe = 0$ for each $r \in R$. Being R semiprime yields $be = 0$. Hence by induction $ae = 0$. By replacing e by $1 - e$ in this proof we may have that $a(1 - e) = 0$. Hence $a = 0$. Thus R is reduced. \square

Let R be a ring with a ring homomorphism σ . Consider the ring $U_2(R)_\sigma$ consisting of all elements of $U_2(R)$ with usual matrix addition in $U_2(R)$ and multiplication defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax & ay + b\sigma(z) \\ 0 & cz \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \in U_2(R)_\sigma.$$

Example 2.8. Let R be a ring, σ a ring homomorphism of R and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. If $U_2(R)_\sigma$ is right eI_2 -reversible, then R is right e -reversible. The converse holds if $e \in \text{Ker}\sigma$.

It is well known that every reversible ring is abelian, but this is not the case for right e -reversibility, Example 2.3 illustrates this claim. However, according to the following result, right e -reversibility implies abelianness of the corner ring eRe of a ring R . In the meantime, we give the main characterization of right e -reversibility.

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a ring and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then R is a right e -reversible ring if and only if eRe is a reversible ring and e is left semicentral.*

Proof. For the necessity, assume that R is a right e -reversible ring. Then $e(1 - e)x = 0$ for all $x \in R$. By assumption $(1 - e)xe = 0$. So $xe = exe$. Hence e is left semicentral. Let $x, y \in R$ with $(exe)(eye) = 0$. By assumption, $(eye)(exe) = 0$. Hence eRe is reversible. For the sufficiency, suppose that eRe is a reversible ring

and e is left semicentral. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$. The idempotent e being left semicentral and $abe = 0$ imply $(eae)(ebe) = 0$. Reversibility of eRe gives rise to $(ebe)(eae) = 0$. Again we invoke being e left semicentral to get $bae = 0$. Thus R is right e -reversible. \square

Similar to Theorem 2.9, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.10. *Let R be a ring and $e \in Id(R)$. Then R is left e -reversible if and only if e is right semicentral in R and eRe is reversible.*

Proposition 2.11. *Every right e -reversible ring is right e -semicommutative.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. For any $r \in R$, by hypothesis, $baer = 0$ and again applying hypothesis to $baer = 0$ we get $aerbe = 0$. By Theorem 2.9, e is left semicentral. Hence $arbe = 0$ for all $r \in R$. Therefore R is right e -semicommutative. \square

In [13, Corollary 4.3] it is proved that every right e -reduced ring is e -symmetric. Then we have the picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{reduced} & \rightleftarrows & \text{symmetric} & \rightleftarrows & \text{reversible} & \rightleftarrows & \text{semicommutative} \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 \text{right } e\text{-reduced} & \implies & e\text{-symmetric} & \implies & \text{right } e\text{-reversible} & \implies & \text{right } e\text{-semicommutative}.
 \end{array}$$

There are right e -semicommutative rings but not right e -reversible. It is known that there are semicommutative rings that are not reversible.

Example 2.12. Let R be a semicommutative ring that is not reversible. Consider the ring $H_{(1,1)}(R)$ in [10, Theorem 3.2] and $E = E_{11} + E_{21}$. It is proved that $H_{(1,1)}(R)$ is right E -semicommutative. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$ and $ba \neq 0$. The existence of a and b comes from R not being reversible. Let $A = aE, B = bE \in H_{(1,1)}(R)$. Then $AB = 0$ but $BAE = BA \neq 0$. Hence $H_{(1,1)}(R)$ is right E -semicommutative but not right E -reversible.

Proposition 2.13. *Every right e -semicommutative reflexive ring is right e -reversible.*

Proof. Let R be a right e -semicommutative reflexive ring and $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$. Right e -semicommutativity implies $aRbe = 0$, and then reflexivity yields $beRa = 0$. Since $bea = 0$, we have $bRea = 0$, and so $bReae = 0$. By [10, Theorem 2.4.], e is left semicentral. This entails $bRae = 0$. Therefore $bae = 0$. \square

Remark 2.14. Clearly, every reversible ring is reflexive. But this is not the case for right e -reversible rings. For example, the ring R in Example 2.3 is right E_1 -reversible but it is not reflexive, in fact, for $a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in R, aRb = 0$ but $bRa \neq 0$.

There are also right e -reversible rings but not e -symmetric.

Example 2.15. Let R be a reversible ring that is not symmetric. So R is semicommutative. On the one hand, by [10, Examples 2.9(2)], $U_2(R)$ is not E -symmetric where $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. On the other hand, $U_2(R)$ is right E -reversible as in the proof of Example 2.6.

Lemma 2.16. *Let R be a right e -reversible ring and $a \in R$. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *If $ea = 0$, then $aRe = 0$.*
- (2) *If $ae = 0$, then $aRe = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $ea = 0$. For any $r \in R, ear = 0$. By hypothesis, $are = 0$.
 (2) Suppose that $ae = 0$. For any $r \in R, aer = 0$. By hypothesis, $erae = 0$. Since e is left semicentral, $rae = 0$. By right e -reversibility of R , we have $aere = 0$. Again, e being left semicentral yields $are = 0$. \square

Corollary 2.17. *For a ring R , consider the following conditions:*

- (1) *R is right e -reversible,*
- (2) *The right annihilator $r_R(eR)$ of eR is contained in the left annihilator $l_R(Re)$ of Re ,*
- (3) *For any nonempty subset A in R , $(eR)A = 0$ implies $A(Re) = 0$.*

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $a \in r_R(eR)$. Then $eRa = 0$. Since R has an identity, $ea = 0$. By Lemma 2.16(2), $aRe = 0$. It follows that $a \in l_R(Re)$. Thus $r_R(eR) \subseteq l_R(Re)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) $(eR)A = 0$ implies $A \subseteq r_R(eR)$. Since $r_R(eR) \subseteq l_R(Re)$, $A \subseteq l_R(Re)$ and so $A(Re) = 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Clear. \square

We now give an example to show that there are rings in which Corollary 2.17 ((3) \Rightarrow (1)) does not hold.

Example 2.18. Let R be a reduced ring and $S = M_n(R)$. Since R is reduced, it is reflexive. This yields by [8, Theorem 2.6.(2)] that S is a reflexive ring entailing

that $(eS)A = 0$ implies $A(Se) = 0$ for any $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$ and $e^2 = e \in S$. On the other hand, S is not right e -reversible for some idempotent $e \in S$ by Example 2.4.

Right idempotent reflexivity need not imply right e -reversibility as shown below.

Example 2.19. Let R denote the ring of [8, Example 3.3]. Let $S = F\langle a, b, c \rangle$ be the free algebra with non-commuting indeterminates a , b and c over a field F of characteristic zero and the ideal $I = \langle aSb, a^2 - a \rangle$ and $R = S/I$. Consider $e = a \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then $ab = 0$ but $bae \neq 0$. Hence R is not right e -reversible. Let $h \in R$ and any $e^2 = e \in \text{Id}(R)$, it is proved that $hRe = 0$ implies $eRh = 0$, i.e., R is right idempotent reflexive. It also follows that for any subset A of R , $ARe = 0$ implies $eRA = 0$.

It is well known that every reversible ring is abelian, i.e., every idempotent is central.

Corollary 2.20. *If R is a right e -reversible ring and $f \in \text{Id}(R)$, then the following hold.*

- (1) eRe is an abelian ring,
- (2) $afe = fae$ for any $a \in R$,
- (3) If $f \in eRe$, then f is left semicentral in R .

Proof. Since R is right e -reversible, e is left semicentral and eRe is reversible by Theorem 2.9.

- (1) eRe being reversible implies that it is abelian.
- (2) Let $r \in R$. Since e is left semicentral and $fe = efe$ is an idempotent in eRe , we have $rfe = (ere)(efe)$. The abelian property of eRe yields $(ere)(efe) = (efe)(ere)$. So the left semicentrality of e entails $rfe = (ere)(efe) = (efe)(ere) = fre$.
- (3) Let $r \in R$. The ring eRe being abelian and e being left semicentral imply $rf = rfe = erfe = (ere)(efe) = (efe)(ere)(efe) = frf$. \square

Proposition 2.21. *The following are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is right e -reversible.
- (2) For any $a, b \in R$, if $ab \in \text{Id}(R)$, then $bae \in \text{Id}(R)$ and e is left semicentral.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be a right e -reversible ring and $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in \text{Id}(R)$. By Theorem 2.9, e is left semicentral. Being $ab \in \text{Id}(R)$ implies $a(1 - ba)b = 0$. Then $(1 - ba)bae = 0$ by (1). Since e is left semicentral, $bae = baebae$. So $bae \in \text{Id}(R)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that for any $a, b \in R$ being $ab \in \text{Id}(R)$ implies $bae \in \text{Id}(R)$. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. Then $ab \in \text{Id}(R)$ entailing $bae \in \text{Id}(R)$. Hence $bae =$

$baebae = babae = 0$ by the facts that $ab = 0$ and e is left semicentral. Thus $bae = 0$. Therefore R is right e -reversible. \square

Corollary 2.22. *The following are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is right e -reversible.
- (2) For any $a, b \in R$, if $ab \in \text{Id}(R)$, then $abe = bae$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be a right e -reversible ring and $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in \text{Id}(R)$. By Proposition 2.21, $bae \in \text{Id}(R)$. By Theorem 2.9, we use the facts that eRe is reversible and so abelian and e is left semicentral to get

$$\begin{aligned} bae &= baebae = ebeaebebae = ebe(eabe)eae = (eabe)(ebe)(eae) = (eabe)(ebae) = \\ &= (eae)(ebe)(ebae) = (eae)(ebae)(ebe) = eaebaeebe = (abe)(abe) = ababe = abe. \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. By (2), $abe = bae$, and so $bae = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Let R be a ring. Then $f \in \text{Id}(R)$ is called a *left minimal idempotent* if the left ideal Rf is minimal. The set of all left minimal idempotents of R is denoted by $\text{ME}_l(R)$. Recall that the ring R is called *left min-abel* if either $\text{ME}_l(R) = \emptyset$ or every element of $\text{ME}_l(R)$ is left semicentral. In [11], a ring R is *left (or right) quasi-duo* if every maximal left (or right) ideal of R is an ideal, respectively and R is MELT if every essential maximal left ideal of R is an ideal. Clearly, every left quasi-duo ring is MELT.

Theorem 2.23. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a left min-abel ring.
- (2) R is e -symmetric for each $e \in \text{ME}_l(R)$.
- (3) R is right e -reversible for each $e \in \text{ME}_l(R)$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) Clear by [13].

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By Examples 2.5.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $e \in \text{ME}_l(R)$. Then e is left minimal idempotent. By Theorem 2.9, e is left semicentral. We invoke the definition of left min-abel ring to obtain that R is a left min-abel ring. \square

In [13], it is proved that R is a left quasi-duo ring if and only if R is a left min-abel MELT ring. In this vein, we state and prove the following.

Theorem 2.24. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a left quasi-duo ring.
- (2) R is a right e -reversible MELT ring for each $e \in \text{ME}_l(R)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be a left quasi-duo ring. By definition, R is MELT and R is left min-abel by [14, Theorem 1.2]. Theorem 2.23 implies that R is right e -reversible.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that R is a right e -reversible MELT ring for each $e \in ME_l(R)$. By Theorem 2.23, R is a left min-abel ring. Hence R is a left quasi-duo ring by [13, Corollary 2.6]. \square

In the next example, we illustrate that for any ring R and its idempotent e and ideal I , the ring R/I being right \bar{e} -reversible need not imply R being right e -reversible, and then we investigate under which condition this property satisfies.

Example 2.25. Consider the ring $R = U_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and its idempotent $E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. By

Example 2.3, R is not right E -reversible. Consider the ideal $I = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ of R . Then

$R/I = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} + I \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. For any $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} + I, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} + I \in R/I$ with $AB = 0$, we have $ab = 0$ entailing that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. It follows that $A = 0$ or $B = 0$. Hence $BA\bar{E} = 0$. Therefore R/I is right \bar{E} -reversible.

Lemma 2.26. *Let R be a ring, $e \in Id(R)$ and I an ideal of R with I reduced as a ring without identity. If R/I is right \bar{e} -reversible, then e is left semicentral.*

Proof. Let $r \in R$. Since $\overline{\bar{e}(1-e)r} = 0$ and R/I is right \bar{e} -reversible, we have $\overline{(1-e)r\bar{e}} = 0$. Hence $re - ere \in I$. Since $(re - ere)^2 = 0$ and I is reduced, $re = ere$. Therefore e is left semicentral. \square

Theorem 2.27. *Let R be a ring with an ideal I and $e \in Id(R)$. If R/I is right \bar{e} -reversible and I is a reduced ring without identity, then R is right e -reversible.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. Then $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0$ in R/I . Since R/I is right \bar{e} -reversible, $\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{e} = 0$. So $bae \in I$. By Lemma 2.26, e is left semicentral in R . It gives rise to $(bae)^2 = baebae = babae = 0$. The ideal I being reduced implies $bae = 0$. It follows that R is right e -reversible. \square

It is known by [6, Example 2.1], there exists a ring R and its ideal I such that R is reversible, but R/I is not reversible. Since reversibility and 1-reversibility are the same, we deduce that the ring R being right e -reversible need not imply R/I being right \bar{e} -reversible. In the next result, we show when we have an affirmative answer.

Proposition 2.28. *Let R be an e -symmetric ring and I an ideal of R with $I = r_R(J)$ for some subset J of R . Then R/I is right \bar{e} -reversible.*

Proof. Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in R/I$ such that $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0$. It follows that $Jab = 0$. The e -symmetricity of R entails $Jbae = 0$. Hence $bae \in I$. This implies $\overline{bae} = 0$. Therefore R/I is right $e + I$ -reversible. \square

Theorem 2.29. *Let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of rings for some index set I and $e_i^2 = e_i \in R_i$ for each $i \in I$ and set $e = (e_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i$. Then R_i is right e_i -reversible for each $i \in I$ if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ is right e -reversible.*

Proof. Assume that R_i is right e_i -reversible for each $i \in I$. Let $a = (a_i), b = (b_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ with $ab = 0$. Then $a_i b_i = 0$ for each $i \in I$. By assumption $b_i a_i e_i = 0$ for each $i \in I$. Then $bae = 0$. Conversely, suppose that $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ is right e -reversible. Let $a_i, b_i \in R_i$ with $a_i b_i = 0$. Let $a = (a_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ with i^{th} component is a_i , elsewhere is 0 and $b = (b_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ with i^{th} component is b_i , elsewhere is 0. Then $ab = 0$. By supposition $bae = 0$. Hence $b_i a_i e_i = 0$. So R_i is right e_i -reversible for each $i \in I$. \square

Recall that in [13], a ring R is called *right* (resp. *left*) *e -reduced* if $N(R)e = 0$ (resp. $eN(R) = 0$), and R is called *e -symmetric* if $abc = 0$ implies $acbe = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in R$. By [13, Corollary 4.3], the converse statement holds in case that R is semiprime.

Theorem 2.30. *Let R be a semiprime ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is right e -reversible,
- (2) R is right e -reduced,
- (3) R is e -symmetric,
- (4) R is right e -semicommutative.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $a \in R$ with $a^n = 0$ for some integer n . We may assume that $n = 2k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Then $a^k(a^k r) = 0$ for any $r \in R$. By hypothesis, $(a^k r)a^k e = 0$. The ring R being right e -reversible implies that $(ra^k e)a^k e = 0$. Again using the right e -reversibility of R we get $(a^k e)r(a^k e) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. We invoke here R to be a semiprime ring, we get $a^k e = 0$. By the left semicentrality of e , we have $(ae)^k = 0$. If $k \neq 1$, we may assume that $k = 2l$ for some integer $l \geq 1$. By a similar discussion, we reach $(ae)^l = 0$. Continuing in this way, we may have $ae = 0$. Therefore R is right e -reduced.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Clear by Examples 2.5. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) is proved in [10, Proposition 2.10]. \square

Since every von Neumann regular ring is semiprime, we have the following result as an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.30.

Corollary 2.31. *Let R be a von Neumann regular ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is right e -reversible,
- (2) R is right e -reduced,
- (3) R is e -symmetric,
- (4) R is right e -semicommutative.

Proposition 2.32. *A ring R is right e -reversible and prime if and only if R is a domain.*

Proof. One way is clear. For the other way, let R be a right e -reversible prime ring and $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. For any $r \in R$, $abr = 0$. By hypothesis, $brae = 0$. Then $bRae = 0$. Since R is prime, $ae = 0$ or $b = 0$. If $b = 0$, then there is nothing to do. So $ae = 0$. Multiplying $ae = 0$ from the right by re for any $r \in R$ yields $aere = 0$. Since e is left semicentral, $aere = 0$ implies $are = 0$. It follows that $a = 0$ since R is prime and $e \neq 0$. \square

Corollary 2.33. *Let R be a right e -reversible prime ring. Then R is directly finite.*

There are directly finite rings R with an idempotent e such that R is neither right e -reversible nor a prime ring as the following example shows.

Example 2.34. Let F be a field of characteristic not equal to 2 and consider the ring $R = U_2(F)$. It is well known that R is directly finite but not prime. Let $E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Id}(R)$. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in R$, $AB = 0$ but $BAE \neq 0$. Hence R is not right E -reversible.

3. EXTENSIONS OF e -REVERSIBLE RINGS

Let R be an algebra over a commutative ring S . Due to Dorroh [4], consider the abelian group $R \oplus S$ with multiplication defined by $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac + da + bc, bd)$ where $a, c \in R$, $b, d \in S$. By this operation $R \oplus S$ becomes a ring called *Dorroh extension of R by S* and denoted by $D(R, S)$. By definition, S is isomorphic to a subring of R . By this reason we may assume that S is contained in the center of R and use this fact in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(a, b) \in D(R, S)$. Then $(a, b) \in \text{Id}(D(R, S))$ if and only if $a + b \in \text{Id}(R)$, $b \in \text{Id}(S)$.*

Proof. Let $(a, b) \in \text{Id}(D(R, S))$. Then $(a, b)^2 = (a^2 + 2ba, b^2) = (a, b)$ implies $b^2 = b$ and $a^2 + 2ba + b^2 = a + b$. Conversely, assume that $a^2 + 2ba + b^2 = a + b$

and $b^2 = b$. Then $a^2 + 2ba = a$. So $(a^2 + 2ba, b^2) = (a, b)$. Hence $(a, b)^2 = (a, b) \in \text{Id}(D(R, S))$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then R is right e -reversible if and only if $D(R, S)$ is right $(e, 0)$ -reversible.*

Proof. For the necessity, assume that R is right e -reversible. Let $A = (a, b)$, $B = (c, d) \in D(R, S)$ with $AB = 0$. Then $ac + da + bc = 0$ and $bd = 0$. Hence $ac + da + bc + bd = 0$. Since S is contained in the center of R , $ac + da + bc + bd = 0$ implies $(a + b)(c + d) = 0$. By assumption, $(c + d)(a + b)e = 0$. It follows that $(c, d)(a, b)(e, 0) = 0$. For the sufficiency, suppose that $D(R, S)$ is right $(e, 0)$ -reversible. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. Then $(a, 0)(b, 0) = 0$. By supposition $(b, 0)(a, 0)(e, 0) = 0$. It implies $(bae, 0) = 0$. Then $bae = 0$. Hence R is right e -reversible. \square

[6, Example 2.1] shows that a ring R being reversible need not imply $R[x]$ being reversible. Due to this fact, we can say that the ring R being right e -reversible need not imply $R[x]$ being right e -reversible. We now deal with this property for Armendariz rings. Recall that a ring R is called *Armendariz* if for any $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x]$, being $f(x)g(x) = 0$ implies $a_i b_j = 0$ where $0 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq m$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be an Armendariz ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a right e -reversible ring for each $e \in \text{Id}(R)$.
- (2) $R[x]$ is a right e -reversible ring for each $e \in \text{Id}(R[x])$.
- (3) $R[[x]]$ is a right e -reversible ring for each $e \in \text{Id}(R[[x]])$.

Proof. Note first that by [5], for any Armendariz ring R , the idempotents in $R[x]$ and $R[[x]]$ belong to R and R is an abelian ring itself.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that R is a right e -reversible ring for $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x]$ with $f(x)g(x) = 0$. By hypothesis, $a_i b_j = 0$. The ring R being right e -reversible yields $b_j a_i e = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq m$. This implies that $g(x)f(x)e = 0$. So $R[x]$ is right e -reversible.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Clear. (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) By a similar discussion in (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). \square

Let R be a reduced ring. Then $D_2(R)$ is e -reversible for each idempotent e . In fact, $D_2(R)$ and $V_n(R)$ are reversible for each positive integer n , therefore they are e -reversible for every idempotent e . The following example shows that the assumption R being reduced in proving $D_2(R)$ being reversible is not superfluous.

Example 3.4. Let R be a reduced ring and consider the ring $D_2(U_2(R))$. Let

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{c} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right] \text{ and } B = \left[\begin{array}{c} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right] \text{ and } B = \left[\begin{array}{c} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right] \text{ and con-}$$

$$\text{sider the idempotent } E = \left[\begin{array}{c} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right] \in D_2(U_2(R)). \text{ Then } AB = 0$$

but $BAE \neq 0$. Hence $D_2(U_2(R))$ is not right E -reversible.

For a reduced ring R , $D_3(R)$ need not be reversible as noted in [6, Example 1.5]. We note also the following.

In case $n \geq 3$, the ring R being reduced and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ need not imply $D_n(R)$ being eI_n -reversible as illustrated below.

Example 3.5. Let R be a reduced ring and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ with $E = eI_3$. Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in D_3(R). \text{ Then } AB = 0 \text{ and } BAE \neq 0.$$

However, there are reversible rings and idempotents E in $D_3(R)$ such that $D_3(R)$ is right E -reversible.

Theorem 3.6. Let R be a reduced ring and n any positive integer such that $n \geq 3$. Then $D_n(R)$ is right E_{22} -reversible ring.

Proof. Consider $n = 3$. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ 0 & x & t \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{bmatrix} \in D_3(R)$ with

$$AB = 0. \text{ Being } R \text{ reduced gives rise to } BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Hence } BAE = 0. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.7. Let R be a reduced ring, $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and any positive integer $n \geq 3$. Then $V_n(R)$ is right eI_n -reversible.

Proof. Clear by [6, Theorem 2.5]. □

Let R be a ring and S a subring of R with the same identity as that of R and

$$T[R, S] = \{(r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n, s, s, s, \dots) : r_i \in R, s \in S; i, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then $T[R, S]$ is a ring under the componentwise addition and multiplication.

Proposition 3.8. *Let R be a ring and S a subring of R with the same identity as that of R . Let $e \in \text{Id}(S)$ and $E = (e, e, e, \dots) \in \text{Id}(T[R, S])$. Then R is right e -reversible if and only if $T[R, S]$ is right $E = (e, e, e, \dots)$ -reversible.*

Proof. For the necessity, assume that R is a right e -reversible ring. Let $A, B \in T[R, S]$ with $A = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, s, s, s, \dots)$, $B = (b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_m, t, t, t, \dots) \in T[R, S]$ and $AB = 0$. We may assume that $n \leq m$. Then $a_i b_i = 0$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$, so $b_i a_i e = 0$. If $n + 1 \leq i$, then $s b_i = 0$ and $s t = 0$. Hence $b_i s e = 0$ and $t s e = 0$. It follows that $BAE = 0$. Similarly, if $m > n$, then we obtain $BAE = 0$. So $T[R, S]$ is right $E = (e, e, e, \dots)$ -reversible. For the sufficiency, suppose that $T[R, S]$ is right $E = (e, e, e, \dots)$ -reversible. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. Set $A = (a, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, $B = (b, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \in T[R, S]$. Then $AB = 0$. By supposition, $BAE = 0$. Hence $bae = 0$. That is, R is right e -reversible. \square

As an illustration of Proposition 3.8, we give the following example.

Example 3.9. Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $S = U_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $e = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $s, t \in S$ arbitrary. Then $xy = 0$. Consider $A = (x, x, x, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, $B = (y, y, y, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $E = (e, e, e, e, \dots)$. However, $xy = 0$ but $yx \neq 0$. Hence $AB = 0$ but $BAE \neq 0$. By Example 2.3, although, $U_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is right e -reversible, since $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is not right e -reversible, $T[M_2(\mathbb{Z}), U_2(\mathbb{Z})]$ is not right E -reversible.

By a similar discussion in the proof of Proposition 3.8, we attain the next result.

Proposition 3.10. *Let R be a ring and S a subring of R with the same identity as that of R . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *Let $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then R is right e -reversible if and only if $T[R, S]$ is right $(\underbrace{e, \dots, e}_{n \text{ times}}, 0, 0, \dots)$ -reversible for every integer $n \geq 1$.*
- (2) *Let $e_0 \in \text{Id}(S)$ and $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then R is right e_i -reversible for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ if and only if $T[R, S]$ is right $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_0, e_0, \dots)$ -reversible.*

Let R be a ring and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R consisting of central regular elements. Let $S^{-1}R = \{a/s \mid a \in R, s \in S\}$ denote the localization of R at S . Note that for any $a/s, b/t \in S^{-1}R$, $(a/s)(b/t) = 0$ if and only if $ab = 0$. Let $a/s \in S^{-1}R$. Then $a/s \in \text{Id}(S^{-1}R)$ if and only if $a^2 = as$. We state and prove the following.

Proposition 3.11. *Let R be a ring and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R consisting of central regular elements with $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Then R is right e -reversible if and only if $S^{-1}R$ is right $(e/1)$ -reversible.*

Proof. Assume that R is right e -reversible and let $a/s, b/t \in S^{-1}R$ with $(a/s)(b/t) = 0$. Then $ab = 0$. Hence $bae = 0$. It follows that $(b/t)(a/s)(e/1) = 0$. Conversely, suppose that $S^{-1}R$ is right $(e/1)$ -reversible. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. Then $(a/1)(b/1) = 0$. So $(b/1)(a/1)(e/1) = 0$. Hence $bae = 0$. \square

4. SOME E-REVERSIBLE SUBRINGS OF MATRIX RINGS

In preceding sections we show that full matrix rings $M_n(R)$ and upper triangular matrix rings $U_n(R)$ need not be right(left) e -reversible for some idempotent e and for some ring R . In this section we investigate the conditions under which right(left) e -reversibility properties holds in some subrings of $M_n(R)$.

The rings $H_{(s,t)}(R)$: Let R be a ring and $s, t \in C(R)$ be invertible in R . Let

$$H_{(s,t)}(R) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & f \\ 0 & 0 & g \end{bmatrix} \in M_3(R) \mid a, c, d, f, g \in R, a - d = sc, d - g = tf \right\}.$$

Then $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is a subring of $M_3(R)$.

In the following we state and prove the conditions under which $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is e -reversible. Note that R is a commutative ring if and only if $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is a commutative ring.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be a ring with $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and $E = eI_3 \in H_{(s,t)}(R)$. Then R is a right e -reversible ring if and only if $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is a right E -reversible ring.*

Proof. For the necessity, assume that R is a right e -reversible ring. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & f \\ 0 & 0 & g \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ y & z & u \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \in H_{(s,t)}(R)$ with $AB = 0$. Then $ax = 0$, $dz = 0$, $gv = 0$, $cx + dy = 0$ and $du + fv = 0$. Then $xae = 0$, $zde = 0$, $vge = 0$. First we show $(ya + zc)e = 0$ and $(zf + ug)e = 0$ to reach $BAE = 0$. We use $a - d = sc$, $d - g = tf$, $x - z = sy$ and $z - v = tu$ in the sequel without reference. By using these equalities, we have $ya + zc = s^{-1}(x - z)a + zc = s^{-1}xa - s^{-1}za + s^{-1}(szc) = s^{-1}xa - s^{-1}z(a - sc) = s^{-1}xa - s^{-1}zd = s^{-1}(xa - zd)$. Multiplying the latter equalities on the right by e yields $(ya + zc)e = s^{-1}(xa - zd)e = s^{-1}xae - s^{-1}zde = 0$ since $xae = 0$ and $zde = 0$. Similarly, $zf + ug = t^{-1}(ztf) + t^{-1}(z - v)g = t^{-1}z(tf + g) - t^{-1}vg = t^{-1}zd - t^{-1}vg$. Multiplying the latter equalities on the right

by e we get $(zf + ug)e = t^{-1}zde - t^{-1}vge = 0$ since $zde = 0$ and $vge = 0$. It follows that $BAE = 0$. Therefore $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is right E -reversible. For the sufficiency, suppose that $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is a right E -reversible ring. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab = 0$. Let $A = aI_3, B = bI_3$. Then $AB = 0$. By supposition, $BAE = 0$. It gives us $bae = 0$. Hence R is right e -reversible. \square

We use the proof of Lemma 4.1 to complete the proof of the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let R be a ring with $e \in Id(R)$ and consider the ring $H_{(s,t)}(R)$.*

- (1) *Let $s = t = 1$. Then $Id(H_{(1,1)}(R)) = \{eI_3, E_1 = ee_{11} + ee_{21}, E_2 = -ee_{23} + ee_{33}, E_3 = E_1 + E_2, E_4 = -ee_{21} + ee_{22} + ee_{23}, E_5 = ee_{11} + ee_{22} + ee_{23}, E_6 = -ee_{21} + ee_{22} + ee_{33}\}$ is a collection of idempotents in $H_{(1,1)}(R)$. Moreover, R is right e -reversible if and only if $H_{(1,1)}(R)$ is right E -reversible for each $E \in Id(H_{(1,1)}(R))$.*
- (2) *Let $s \neq 1$ and $t = 1$. Then $Id(H_{(s,1)}(R)) = \{eI_3, F_1 = ee_{11} + ee_{22} + ee_{23}, F_2 = -s^{-1}ee_{21} + ee_{22} + ee_{33}\}$ is a collection of idempotents in $H_{(s,1)}(R)$. Moreover, R is right e -reversible if and only if $H_{(s,1)}(R)$ is right E -reversible for each $E \in Id(H_{(s,1)}(R))$.*
- (3) *Let $s = 1$ and $t \neq 1$. Then $Id(H_{(1,t)}(R)) = \{eI_3, G_1 = ee_{11} + ee_{22} + t^{-1}ee_{23}, G_2 = -ee_{21} + ee_{22} + ee_{33}\}$ is a collection of idempotents in $H_{(1,t)}(R)$. Moreover, R is right e -reversible if and only if $H_{(1,t)}(R)$ is right E -reversible for each $E \in Id(H_{(1,t)}(R))$.*
- (4) *Let $s \neq 1$ and $t \neq 1$. Then $Id(H_{(s,t)}(R)) = \{eI_3, H_1 = F_2, H_2 = G_1, H_3 = -s^{-1}ee_{21} + ee_{22} + t^{-1}ee_{23}\}$ is a collection of idempotents in $H_{(s,t)}(R)$. Moreover, R is right e -reversible if and only if $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is right E -reversible for each $E \in Id(H_{(s,t)}(R))$.*

Proof. For the sufficiency in each case, since $H_{(s,t)}(R)$ is right eI_3 -reversible, R is right e -reversible by Lemma 4.1. For the necessity, let R be right e -reversible.

$$(1) \text{ Assume that } s = t = 1. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & f \\ 0 & 0 & g \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ y & z & u \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \in H_{(1,1)}(R)$$

with $AB = 0$. Then $ax = 0, dz = 0, gv = 0, cx + dy = 0$ and $du + fv = 0$. Since R is right e -reversible, we have $xae = 0, zde = 0, vge = 0, (ya + zc)e = 0$ and $(zf + ug)e = 0$. Consider the following items:

- $BAE_1 = 0$ since $zde = 0$ and $(ya + zc)e = 0$.
- $BAE_2 = 0$ since $-zde + (zf + ug)e = 0$ and $vge = 0$.
- $E_3 = E_1 + E_2$ yields $BAE_3 = 0$.
- $BAE_4 = 0$ since $zde = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet \text{ } BAE_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} xae & 0 & 0 \\ (ya+zc)e & zde & zde \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ due to } xae = 0, zde = 0 \text{ and} \\
 & xae - zde = (ya+zc)e = 0. \\
 \bullet \text{ } BAE_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -zde & zde & (zf+ug)e \\ 0 & 0 & vge \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ due to } zde = 0, vge = 0 \text{ and} \\
 & (zf+ug)e = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The rest is proved similarly. \square

Generalized matrix rings: Let R be a ring and s a central element of R . Then $\begin{bmatrix} R & R \\ R & R \end{bmatrix}$ becomes a ring denoted by $K_s(R)$ with addition defined componentwise and multiplication defined in [7] by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ y_1 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ y_2 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1a_2 + sx_1y_2 & a_1x_2 + x_1b_2 \\ y_1a_2 + b_1y_2 & sy_1x_2 + b_1b_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In [7], $K_s(R)$ is called a *generalized matrix ring over R* . There are rings R such that $K_0(R)$ need not be right E -reversible for each $0 \neq E \in \text{Id}(K_0(R))$ as shown below.

Proposition 4.3. *The ring $K_0(\mathbb{Z})$ is not E -reversible for each $0 \neq E \in \text{Id}(K_0(\mathbb{Z}))$.*

Proof. It is easily checked that $\text{Id}(K_0(\mathbb{Z}))$ consists of $0, I_2$, all matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and all matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the following cases:

- (1) Assume that $E = I_2$. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in K_0(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $AB = 0$ but $BAE \neq 0$.
- (2) Assume that E is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in K_0(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $AB = 0$ but $BAE \neq 0$.
- (3) Assume that E is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in K_0(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $AB = 0$ but $BAE \neq 0$.

\square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Anderson and V. Camillo, *Semigroups and rings whose zero products commute*, Comm. Algebra, 27(6)(1999), 2847-2852.
- [2] P. M. Cohn, *Reversible rings*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31(6)(1999), 641-648.
- [3] K. J. Choi, T. K. Kwak and Y. Lee, *Reversibility and symmetry over centers*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 56(3)(2019), 723-738.
- [4] J. L. Dorroh, *Concerning adjunctions to algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 38(2)(1932), 85-88.
- [5] N. K. Kim and Y. Lee, *Armendariz rings and reduced rings*, J. Algebra, 223(2)(2000), 477-488.
- [6] N. K. Kim and Y. Lee, *Extensions of reversible rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 185(2003), 207-223.
- [7] P. A. Krylov and A. A. Tuganbaev, *Modules over formal matrix rings*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.), 171(2)(2010), 248-295.
- [8] T. K. Kwak and Y. Lee, *Reflexive property of rings*, Comm. Algebra, 40(4)(2012), 1576-1594.
- [9] D. H. Kim, Y. Lee, H. J. Sung, and S. J. Yun, *Symmetry over centers*, Honam Math. J., 37(2015)(4), 377-386.
- [10] H. Kose, B. Ungor and A. Harmanci, *Semicommutativity of rings by the way of idempotents*, Filomat, 33(11)(2019), 3497-3508.
- [11] T. Y. Lam and A. S. Dugas, *Quasi-duo rings and stable range descent*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 195(3)(2005), 243-259.
- [12] J. Lambek, *On the representation of modules by sheaves of factor modules*, Canad. Math. Bull. 14(1971), 359-368.
- [13] F. Meng and J. Wei, *e-symmetric rings*, Comm. Contemp. Math., 20(3)(2018), 1750039 (8 pp).
- [14] J. Wei, *Certain rings whose simple singular modules are nil-injective*, Turkish J. Math., 32(2008), 393-408.

HANDAN KOSE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AHI EVRAN UNIVERSITY, KIRSEHIR, TURKEY
Email address: `handan.kose@ahievran.edu.tr`

BURCU UNGOR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANKARA UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, TURKEY
Email address: `bungor@science.ankara.edu.tr`

ABDULLAH HARMANCI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HACETTEPE UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, TURKEY
Email address: `harmanci@hacettepe.edu.tr`