

Tiling with Squares and Packing Dominos in Polynomial Time

Anders Aamand*

Mikkel Abrahamsen*

Thomas D. Ahle*

Peter M. R. Rasmussen*

November 9, 2020

Abstract

We consider planar tiling and packing problems with polyomino pieces and a polyomino container P . A polyomino is a polygonal region with axis parallel edges and corners of integral coordinates, which may have holes. We give two polynomial time algorithms, one for deciding if P can be tiled with 2×2 squares (that is, deciding if P is the union of a set of non-overlapping copies of the 2×2 square) and one for packing P with a maximum number of non-overlapping and axis-parallel 2×1 dominos, allowing rotations of 90° . As packing is more general than tiling, the latter algorithm can also be used to decide if P can be tiled by 2×1 dominos.

These are classical problems with important applications in VLSI design, and the related problem of finding a maximum packing of 2×2 squares is known to be NP-Hard [J. Algorithms 1990]. For our three problems there are known pseudo-polynomial time algorithms, that is, algorithms with running times polynomial in the *area* of P . However, the standard, compact way to represent a polygon is by listing the coordinates of the corners in binary. We use this representation, and thus present the first polynomial time algorithms for the problems. Concretely, we give a simple $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for tiling with squares, and a more involved $O(n^4)$ algorithm for packing and tiling with dominos.

*Basic Algorithms Research Copenhagen (BARC), University of Copenhagen. BARC is supported by the VILLUM Foundation grant 16582.

1 Introduction

Abstract

1946

1×2

polyomino,

hook

hook

$[1, 2]$ and $[0, 1]$

hook

hook, *polyomino*,

hook

hook

hook

hook

hook

hook

hook, 90° and 180° .

hook

hook

hook, $[1, 2]$ and $[0, 1]$

hook

hook, 2×2

hook

hook

hook

hook

hook

hook

hook

hook, $[1, 2]$ and $[0, 1]$

hook

hook

hook, $[1, 2]$ and $[0, 1]$

hook

hook, 1×1 in P ,

hook

62

31

1

P is tiled by


Q ,

Q

P is tiled by

Q ,

Q



P is tiled by

Q ,

Q

¹See e.g. the “hook problem” of the International Mathematical Olympiad 2004.

Let $G(P)$ be the graph with n vertices (ie, the

$O(n^{3/2})$ edges of P).

Our first algorithm

is based on [1] and

P is the

if P is convex

$1 \times m$ and $k \times 1$ sides

and $\max\{m, k\} \geq 3$ and $\min\{m, k\} \geq 2$. [K & M] discuss

10^6 vertices

hole-free polygons

in [1] and [2]

in 1966 [K & M] and

in [3] and [4] in the

1980s

3×3 grid

is not possible

2×2 grid

in [5] and [6]

As a first step

P is

represented

P is the

left

1 grid

P is the

area

representation and perimeter representation, [K & M]

is a simple polygon

is a simple polygon

is a simple polygon P is the

in [5] and [6] in the

1980s [K & M]

P is

represented

P is the

corner representation. [K & M]

is a simple polygon

[K & M] discuss

from P , [K & M]

k is

2×2 grid

P is

represented

k is

is a simple polygon

is a simple polygon

Our contribution. [K & M]

2×2 grid

P is the

is a simple polygon

is a simple polygon

$O(n \log n)$ is

is a simple polygon

P is

2×2 grid

n is

is P^2 [K & M]

P is

²We assume throughout the paper that we can make basic operations (additions, subtractions, comparisons) on the coordinates in $O(1)$ time. Otherwise, the time complexities of our two algorithms will be $O(nt \log n)$ and $O(n^3 t + n^4)$, respectively, where t is the time it takes to make one such operation.

in (63), both groups
 are in N

for 1×2 holes 90° rotations $O(n^4)$ if k is large
 equivalent to P
 single hole P only
 is not possible

\mathbb{F}	\mathbb{G}	\mathbb{P}
	$O(n^4)$ [5]	$O(n^4)$ [5]
	$O(n \log n)$ [5]	[5, 8]

El: [5]

Further related work. [6] [5]

[5] [5]

single hole P only $1 \times m$ d
 $k \times 1$ holes m d k . [5] P [5]
 single hole P only $k \times m$ d $m \times k$
 [5] [5]
 [5] [5]
 [5] $k \times m$ d $k' \times m'$.

1.1 Our techniques

Tiling with 2×2 squares. [5]

single hole l hole P from [5] P in x -d
 [5] P [5] l hole A
 l hole P , [5] l hole P
 hole l hole [5]

Packing with dominos. [5]

$O(n^3)$ [5] P [5] G^* [5] G^* [5] G^*
 [5] P [5] G^* [5] G^*
 [5] $P_1 \subset P$ [5] P_1 [5] G^*
 [5] $P_2 \subset P_1$. [5] G^* [5] $O(n^3)$. [5] G^*
 [5] P [5] P_2 : [5] P_2 , [5]
 [5] $Q \subset P_2$ [5] $\Omega(n)$ [5]

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\text{dist}(a, b) = \|a - b\|_\infty$. Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf_{(a,b) \in A \times B} \text{dist}(a, b).$$

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \geq 0$, define the *offset* $B(A, r)$ as

$$B(A, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \text{dist}(x, A) \leq r\},$$

and define $B(A, r) := B(A^c, -r)^c$. If $r \geq 0$, then $A \subset B(A, r)$ and $B(A, r) \subset A$.

Given a graph G and a matching M of G , an *augmenting path* is a path P in G such that M is a matching of $G \setminus P$ and $M \oplus P$ is a matching of G . Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k be vertices of G such that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k is a path in G and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k is not a matching of G . Then $P = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ is an augmenting path.


Lemma 1 (Berge). Let G be a graph and M a matching of G which is not maximum. Then there exists an augmenting path between two unmatched vertices in G .

3 Tiling with 2 by 2 squares

Naive algorithm. Let P be a set of 2×2 squares in the plane. Let S be the set of squares in P that are not covered by other squares in P . Let S be the set of squares in P that are not covered by other squares in P .

Sweep line algorithm. Let P be a set of 2×2 squares in the plane. Let l be a vertical line. Let I be the set of intervals I_i on l such that I_i is the projection of a square in P onto l . Let $T(P)$ be the set of squares in P that are not covered by other squares in P .

$P \cap \ell$ is a union of intervals I_i in \mathbb{R} .
 $P \cap \ell = \bigcup_{i \in [m]} I_i$.
 I_i has parity $p(I_i) \in \{0, 1\}$.
 P is parity invariant.



$P \cap \ell$ is a union of intervals I_i in \mathbb{R} .
 $P \cap \ell = \bigcup_{i \in [m]} I_i$.
 I_i has parity $p(I_i) \in \{0, 1\}$.
 P is parity invariant.

- If $p(I_i) \neq x(\ell)$, then $I_i \subset T(P)$, i.e. I_i is in $T(P)$.
- If $p(I_i) = x(\ell)$, then $I_i \cap T(P) = \emptyset$, i.e. I_i is not in $T(P)$.


$I_i, I_{i+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ are true if I_i and I_{i+1} are neighbor invariant:

$I_i, I_{i+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ are true if I_i and I_{i+1} are neighbor invariant:

$P \cap \ell$ is a union of intervals I_i in \mathbb{R} .
 $P \cap \ell = \bigcup_{i \in [m]} I_i$.
 I_i has parity $p(I_i) \in \{0, 1\}$.
 P is parity invariant.

$e_j = \{x\} \times [y_0, y_1]$.
 $I_i \in \mathcal{I}$ has parity $p(I_i) \in \{0, 1\}$.
 I_i and I_{i+1} are neighbor invariant if $p(I_i) = p(I_{i+1})$.

With j has P_j and $P = P_k$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.
 $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \dots \subset P_k$.
 $P_j = P_{j+1}$.
 $x(\ell)$, P_{j+1} .



P_j step P $x = x(e_j)$ P_j is $x(e_{j+1}) - x(e_j)$ 2×2


$x(e_j) < x(e_{j+1})$. $P_j \subset P_{j+1}$. P_j is $P_{j+1} \setminus P_j$.
 $x = x(e_j) + 1$, $x(e_{j+1}) > x(e_{j+1}) + 1$.
 I_1, \dots, I_m I e_j I_i , P_j P_{j+1} , $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ P_j P_{j+1} , X $P_{j+1} \setminus P_j$.

Runtime analysis. $O(n \log n)$ $O(1)$ I

e_j , y $[y_0, y_1]$, $O(\log n)$ I . P e_j , $[y_0, y_1] \subset \bigcup_{i \in [m]} I_i$. $O(1)$ P $O(1)$ I , $O(\log n)$ e_j . P e_j , $O(1)$ I .

$S' = S + (2i, 2j)$, $S' \subseteq P$ or $S' \cap P = \emptyset$.

Algorithm 8:




$P \setminus P_1$

Step 1: Compute the unique maximal polyomino $P_1 \subset P$ with all coordinates even.

Step 2: Compute a polyomino $P_2 \subset P_1$ with no holes and consistent parity by carving channels in P_1 .

Algorithm 9:

$2 \times 2k$ or $2k \times 2$ in L - P
 $P'_{i+1} := P'_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k S_j$, h
 $P_2 := P'_i$ h
 $i \geq 1$, h
 P_2 h $3n$ h
 $O(n^3)$.




Q h h
 P_2 h Q h
 $P_3 := P \setminus Q$. h

Step 3: Compute the offset $Q := B(P_2, -\lfloor 3n/2 \rfloor)$ and then $P_3 := P \setminus Q$. h
 Q h P h P_3 h
 P_2 h Q h $3n$ h $P_3 := P \setminus Q$
 $4n$ h P_3 h $x \in P_3$, h
 $\text{dist}(x, \partial P_3) = O(n)$. h $O(n \log n)$.

Step 4: Find the long pipes of P_3 . h T_1, \dots, T_r in P_3 h
 $O(n)$ h $O(n)$. h
 $O(n \log n)$.

Step 5: Shorten the pipes and compute the associated graph G^* . h $G_3 := G(P_3)$.
 G_3 h $1 \leq i \leq r$; h
 T_i h $T_i = [0, \ell] \times [0, k]$ h ℓ h
 $k \leq \ell/3$ h $\ell \leq 6$, h $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, h
 $S_j = [k+2, r] \times [j, j+1]$, h $r := 2\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - k - 2$, h $G(S_j)$ h
 G_3 h $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, h
 S_j h G_3 , h

$[k+1, k+2] \times [j, j+1]$ and $[r, r+1] \times [j, j+1]$ (ie, G^* is $O(n^3)$).
 Step 5: Find the size of a maximum domino packing of P . Why? $k = O(n)$.
 $O(k^2) = O(n^2)$ and $O(n^3)$.




Step 5: Find the size of a maximum domino packing of P . Why?

Step 6: Find the size of a maximum domino packing of P . Why? G^* is $O(n^3)$.
 $\alpha \leq N_0$
 $\alpha + (N_0 - N_2)/2$
 $O(n^4)$.

Lemma 1.6.2, 1.6.3, 1.6.4, 1.6.5, G^* is $O(n^3)$.

4.2 Structural results on polyominoes and domino packings

Proposition 1.6.1



Lemma 2. Let P be an orthogonal polygon with n corners and h holes. P can be divided into at most $n/2 + h - 1$ rectangular pieces by adding only vertical line segments to the interior of P . If P is a polyomino, the rectangular pieces can be chosen to be polyominoes too.




Fig. 10: The polygon P_0 and the holes Q (in green).

Let $R = P \setminus P_0$ be the region between the boundary of P and P_0 . Let n be the number of vertices of P . Then $|R| = \frac{3}{4}n$. Let $A_i = B(P_0, -i) \setminus B(P_0, -i-1)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let Q be a hole of P_0 . Let $G(Q)$ be the grid of Q . Let M be the set of vertices of R . Let C_1, \dots, C_k be a set of k connected components of $R \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^i A_j$. Let $|M| + k$ be the number of vertices of M plus the number of components. Let $r = \lfloor \frac{3}{8}n \rfloor$. Let $G(Q)$ be the grid of Q . Let $k = 0$. Let C_1, \dots, C_{k-1} be a set of $k-1$ connected components of $R \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j$. Let C_k be a connected component of $R \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^i A_j$. Let $C_k : u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2\ell} = v$. Let D be the set of vertices of C_k .





Fig. 11: The polyomino $G(A_k)$.

Let $G(A_k)$ be the polyomino defined by the grid in Fig. 11. Let C_k be the set of cells u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_j is a white cell. Let D be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let C'_k be the set of cells u_i, u_{i+1} in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let P_0 be the set of cells u, v in $G(A_k)$ such that $u, v \in R$ and u, v are adjacent. Let Q be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let A_j be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let $R \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^k A_j$ be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. \square

Step 6 is sufficient. Let P be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let Q be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let $P_0 \subset P$. Let $n^{O(1)}$ be the number of cells in P . Let $n/10$ be the number of cells in P . \square

Lemma 7. Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with ℓ even. Let $L \subseteq [-1, 0] \times [0, k]$, $R \subseteq [\ell, \ell + 1] \times [0, k]$ be polyominoes and define $P = L \cup R \cup ([0, \ell] \times [0, k])$. Color the cells of the plane in a chessboard like fashion and let b and w be respectively the number of black and white cells contained in P . Assume without loss of generality that $b \geq w$. If $\ell \geq 2k$, then the number of uncovered cells in a maximum domino packing of P is exactly $b - w$.

Proof. Let P be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let Q be the set of cells u_i in $G(A_k)$ such that u_i is a black cell and u_{i+1} is a white cell. Let $P_0 \subset P$. Let $n^{O(1)}$ be the number of cells in P . Let $n/10$ be the number of cells in P . \square




Fig. 12: (a) P , (b) G , (c) G^* , (d) Γ

P , (b) G , (c) G^* , (d) Γ

Let L and R be the

left and right

subproblems.

(b) In the left subproblem

we have

$b' \leq b$

and

$w' \leq w$

in L and R .

Let L and R be the

left and right

subproblems.

(b) In the left subproblem

we have

$b' \leq b$

and

$w' \leq w$

in L and R .

Let L and R be the

left and right

subproblems.

(b) In the left subproblem

we have

$b' \leq b$

and

$w' \leq w$

in L and R .

Let L and R be the

left and right

subproblems.

(b) In the left subproblem

we have

$b' \leq b$

and

$w' \leq w$

in L and R .

Let L and R be the

left and right

subproblems.

(b) In the left subproblem

we have

$b' \leq b$

and

$w' \leq w$

in L and R .

Let L and R be the

left and right

subproblems.

(b) In the left subproblem

we have

$b' \leq b$

and

$w' \leq w$

in L and R .

2. Let

k be

$b' - w'$

and

$l \geq 2k$

in

G_3

to

G^*

is

possible

for

$k \leq l/2$

and

$l \geq 2k$

is

possible

for

$k \leq l/2$

and

R .

Let

k be

$b' - w'$

and

$l \geq 2k$

in

G_3

to

G^*

is

possible

for

$k \leq l/2$

and

$l \geq 2k$

is

possible

for

$k \leq l/2$

and

\square




Fig. 13: Escaping Q_i and Q_j steps Q_i and Q_j steps ∂P into Q_i and Q_j .

$(n-4)/4$ $5n/2 < 3n$ n_1 $\text{dist}(\partial P, Q) \geq \lfloor 3n/2 \rfloor \geq \lfloor \frac{3}{8}n_1 \rfloor$ G_0 G_3 $G(Q)$ G_0 and G_1 G_3 and G^* G^* G_3 S_j 's side M_1 G_3 $(T_i)_{i=1}^r$ T_i T_i $L_i \supseteq T_i'$ $(L_i)_{i=1}^r$ M_1 $G(L_i)$ $1 \leq i \leq r$ M_2 M_1' L_i $G_2^{(i)}$ $1 \leq i \leq r$ $G_3^{(i)}$ and $G_2^{(i)}$ M_2 and M_1

4.4 Bounding the size of the reduced instance

G^* $O(n^4)$ G^* $O(n^3)$ G^* .

Lemma 10. *The polyomino P_3 contains no $63n \times 63n$ square subpolyomino.*

Proof. $n' = \lfloor 3n/2 \rfloor$ P_3 in $41n' \times 41n'$ $S \subseteq P_3$

Step 4 (c). C'' . $k \leq \ell + 2$. \square

Step 5. C_1 and C_2 . $S_2^{(2)}$ and $S_2^{(5)}$. C_2 and C_3 . $S_2^{(3)}$. $3n' + 4$. C_2 and C_3 . S_2 . C_1 and C_3 . S_3 . $\ell \times 18n'$. P_3 . $41n' \times 41n'$. \square

Remark. \square

Corollary 11. For any $x \in P_3$, we have $\text{dist}(x, \partial P_3) \leq 32n$.

Proof. P_3 is $63n \times 63n$. \square

Step 1. P_3 is $O(n)$. T_1, \dots, T_r . $r = O(n)$. G^* . $O(n^3)$. G^* is $O(n^3)$.

Lemma 12. The reduced instance G^* found by our algorithm has $O(n^3)$ vertices and edges.

Proof. T_i and T_i' . R and $P_3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r T_i'$. e is $\partial R \setminus \partial P_3$. R is $O(n^3)$. R is $O(n)$. $x \in R$ is $O(n)$. $c := 32$. R is $O(n^3)$. $\partial R \cap S$ is $2cn$. R is $O(n)$. \square

$6cn$ $2cn$, i.e. $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$.
 T is the union of T_1, \dots, T_r and $T \subset R$. T'_i is the union of R and $\partial R \setminus \partial P_3$.
 P_3 is the union of P_1 and $\partial R \setminus \partial P_3$.
 ∂R is the union of $\partial R \setminus \partial P_3$ and ∂P_3 .
 $\partial R \setminus \partial P_3$ is the union of $\partial R \setminus \partial P_3$ and ∂P_3 .
 P_3 is the union of P_1 and $\partial R \setminus \partial P_3$.
 S is the union of ∂R and $x \in R$.
 $3cn = O(n)$ and R is a polyomino. \square

4.5 Implementation of the individual steps

Step 1: Compute the unique maximal polyomino $P_1 \subset P$ with all coordinates even.

Step 1: Compute the unique maximal polyomino $P_1 \subset P$ with all coordinates even. \mathcal{W}
 $P_1 \subset P$ and P_1 is the union of P and ∂P .
 P is the union of P and ∂P .
 P_1 is the union of P_1 and ∂P_1 .
 $O(n \log n)$ is the union of x and y .
 x is the union of x and y .
 e_1, \dots, e_k is the union of e_i and e_j .
 e_i is the union of e_i and e_j .
 $e_k, k \in \{i+1, \dots, j-1\}$ is the union of e_i and e_k .
 P_1 is the union of P_1 and e_i .
 $O(n)$ is the union of $O(n)$ and $O(n \log n)$.

Step 2: Compute a polyomino $P_2 \subset P_1$ with no holes and consistent parity by carving channels in P_1 .

Step 2: Compute a polyomino $P_2 \subset P_1$ with no holes and consistent parity by carving channels in P_1 .
 2×2 is the union of S_1, \dots, S_k .
 P'_i is the union of P'_i and P'_i .
 $O(1)$ is the union of $O(1)$ and $O(1)$.
 $O(n^2)$ is the union of $O(n^2)$ and $O(n^2)$.
 $\partial P'_i$ is the union of $\partial P'_i$ and $\partial P'_i$.
 $S_j, 2 \leq j \leq k-1$ is the union of S_j and S_j .
 $P'_{i+1} := P'_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k S_j$ is the union of P'_{i+1} and $O(n)$.
 $O(1)$ is the union of $O(1)$ and $O(1)$.
 $O(n^3)$ is the union of $O(n^3)$ and $O(n^3)$.

Step 3: Compute the offset $Q := B(P_2, -\lfloor 3n/2 \rfloor)$ and then $P_3 := P \setminus Q$.

Step 3: Compute the offset $Q := B(P_2, -\lfloor 3n/2 \rfloor)$ and then $P_3 := P \setminus Q$.
 $Q \subset P_2$ and $Q := B(P_2, -\lfloor 3n/4 \rfloor)$.
 L_∞ is the union of $VD := VD(P_2)$ and P_2 .
 VD is the union of VD and VD .




Fig. 5: Left: L_∞ VD of P_2 . Right: $VD = VD(P_2)$ of P_2 . d is the distance from ∂P_2 .

Let $x \in R(e_i)$ and $\text{dist}(x, e_i) = \text{dist}(x, \partial P_2)$. Then $\angle x e_i \partial P_2 = 45^\circ$. VD is the union of $R(e_i)$ for $e_i \in \partial P_2$. G is the graph of VD . P_2 is a $[3n/4]$ -gon. ∂P_2 is a $[3n/4]$ -gon.

VD is $O(n \log n)$.

[9] In [6] it is shown that VD is $O(n)$.

[6] In [6] it is shown that VD is $O(n)$.

[6] In [6] it is shown that VD is $O(n)$.

[6] In [6] it is shown that VD is $O(n)$.

[6] In [6] it is shown that VD is $O(n)$.

[6] In [6] it is shown that VD is $O(n)$.

Step 4: Find the long pipes of P_3 .

Let $T_i \subset P_3$ be a long pipe. ∂P_3 is a 3 -gon. $VD_1 := VD(P_3)$ is a 3 -gon. T_i is a 3 -gon.

$O(n \log n)$ pipes. $T_i = [0, \ell] \times [0, k]$ with $\ell \leq k \leq \ell/3$.

Let $e := [k/2, \ell - k/2] \times k/2$. T_i is a 3 -gon.

VD_1 is a 3 -gon. $p \in e$. P_3 is a 3 -gon. $p \in T_i$.

Let $s_1, s_2 \in e$. $e \in VD_1$.

Let $s_1, s_2 \in e$. $e \in VD_1$.

Let $s_1, s_2 \in e$. $e \in VD_1$.

$O(n)$ pipes. $O(n \log n)$ pipes.

Step 5: Shorten the pipes and compute the associated graph G^* .

$G_3 := G(P_3)$. G^* is a 3 -gon.

G^* is a 3 -gon. P_3 is a 3 -gon.

G^* is $O(n^3)$. G^* is $O(n^3)$.

Step 6: Find the size of a maximum domino packing of P . G^* is
 P_3 . Let $R \subset P_3$ be a set of $O(n)$ dominoes. R is
 R_1, \dots, R_k . R_i is a set of $O(n)$ dominoes in G^* .
 $O(n^4)$ in G^* is $O(n^3)$ in P .

Remark. We find a set Q of dominoes in P .

References

- [1] J. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, *Computational Geometry*, 5(1):1–25, 1995.
- [2] B. Grünbaum, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(66), 1966.
- [3] M. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, *Journal of Algorithms*, 11(2):153–184, 1990.
- [4] M. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, 1982. <https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1321&context=cstech>.
- [5] M. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, *IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing*, 14(2):157–162, 2001.
- [6] J. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 53(2):183 – 208, 1990.
- [7] M. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, *IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing*, 18(1):136–139, 2005.
- [8] M. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, *Proceedings of the 21st Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry (CCCG 2009)*, 33–36, 2009.
- [9] M. Demetrescu and S. Eisenberg, *Information processing letters*, 12(3):133–137, 1981.
- [10] G. J. Gammie, *Puzzle-math*. 1958.

- [1] S. W. Golub, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 61(10):675–682, 1954.
- [2] M. G. A. O’Rourke, *Computer-Aided Design*, 26(3):189–203, 1994.
- [3] S. H. Whiteside, *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 32(1):130–136, 1985.
- [4] S. J. Kelly, H. S. K. Ang, and S. K. A. Yip, *IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing*, 32(4):400–407, 2019.
- [5] C. P. Kirshenbaum, *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 1992)*, 610–619, 1992.
- [6] J. M. Niechjawa, *31st Eurographics (EG 2010)*, 2010.
- [7] H. K. W. Shi, *IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing*, 20(2):68–76, 2007.
- [8] B. Grünbaum, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 120(7):1804 – 1816, 2013.
- [9] M. J. Heule, *L_∞ Norms*, *International Journal of Computational Geometry & Applications*, 11(05):503–528, 2001.
- [10] M. J. Heule, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 34(2):313–330, 2005.
- [11] M. J. Heule, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 97(8):757–773, 1990.