Die Hard Holographic Phenomenology of Cuprates

D. V. Khveshchenko

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599

This note discusses the attempts of fitting a number of the approximate power-law dependencies observed in the cuprates into one consistent holographic or holographically inspired hydrodynamic framework. Contrary to the expectations, the goal of reproducing as many as possible of the established behaviors of the thermodynamic and transport coefficients appears to be achievable within the simplistic picture of a non-degenerate fermion fluid with quadratic dispersion. While not immediately elucidating the essential physics of the cuprates, this observation suggests a possible reason for which the previous attempts towards that goal have remained inconclusive.

Transport in cuprates

The normal state of the cuprate superconductors has long remained a challenge defying many attempts of its theoretical understanding. In the continuing absence of a fully satisfactory microscopic description, a modest goal has been that of constructing a more or less successful phenomenological description capable of accounting for most of the observed transport properties.

Initially, the phenomenologies of the cuprates focused on the much publicized dichotomy between the robust power-law behaviors of the longitudinal conductivity observed in the optimally doped YBCO (and, to a lesser extent, LSCO) compounds

$$\sigma \sim T^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

with $\alpha_{exp} = -1$ and the Hall angle

$$\tan \theta_H \sim T^\beta \tag{2}$$

manifesting the exponent $\beta_{exp} = -2$.

In the early theoretical proposals, Eqs.(1,2) were argued to imply the existence of two distinct scattering times: $\tau \sim T^{-1}$ and $\tau_H \sim T^{-2}$ which were supposed to characterize the relaxation of either longitudinal vs transverse¹, charge-symmetric vs anti-symmetric² currents, or a two-fluid nature of charge and heat transport³. Yet another insightful proposal of the 'marginal Fermi liquid' phenomenology was put forward early on⁴.

Additional evidence of anomalous transport in the cuprates was provided by the magnetoresistivity

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho} \sim T^{\gamma} \tag{3}$$

that violates the conventional Kohler's law $\Delta \rho / \rho \sim B^2 / \rho^2$, instead featuring the exponent $\gamma_{exp} = -4^5$ (in strong fields $B \gg T$ the quadratic field dependence changes to a linear one).

The anomalous transport properties () appear to coexist with the fairly conventional thermodynamic ones, including the Fermi-liquid-like specific heat and entropy

$$c \sim s \sim T^{\nu}$$
 (4)

with $\nu_{exp} = 1^6$. In some compounds, upon approaching the pseudogap phase c(T) can also show a logarithmic enhancement, possibly signifying a quantum phase transition⁷.

In the presence of thermal gradients, the combined thermo-electric response is described by the coefficients relating the charge \mathbf{J} and heat \mathbf{Q} currents to the gradients of electric potential and temperature

$$\mathbf{J} = \hat{\sigma} \mathbf{E} - \hat{\alpha} \nabla T$$
$$\mathbf{Q} = T \hat{\alpha} \mathbf{E} - \hat{\kappa} \nabla T \tag{5}$$

where the 2 × 2 matrices such as, e.g., $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = \sigma \delta_{ij} + \sigma_H \epsilon_{ij}$, are composed of the longitudinal and transverse (Hall) components.

Early on the studies of heat transport focused on the Hall component as its longitudinal counterpart is believed to be dominated by the phonon contribution in most of the phase diagram⁸. However, in the presence of chiral spin structures a sizable κ_H signal might also stem from phonons or magnons, or even both⁹.

The list of the actually measured observables includes thermopower (Seebeck) coefficient, thermal conductivities at zero current, the Hall Lorenz number, and Nernst coefficient

$$S = \alpha/\sigma, \qquad \kappa = \bar{\kappa} - \alpha^2/\sigma$$
$$L_H = \kappa_H/T\sigma_H, \qquad e_N = \frac{\alpha_H \sigma - \alpha \sigma_H}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_H^2} \qquad (6)$$

For some of these quantities the available data still remain scarce and their independent verification is badly needed. Nonetheless, the above coefficients (in the case of thermopower, its deviation from a possible constant term) might also exhibit the power-law dependencies

$$\kappa_H \sim T^{\delta}, \quad L_H \sim T^{\lambda},$$

 $e_N \sim T^{\mu}, \quad S \sim T^{\rho}$
(7)

where $\rho_{exp} \approx 1$, δ_{exp} , $\mu_{exp} < 0$, and $\lambda_{exp} \ge 0$.

1

More specifically, in Ref.⁸ the data on L_H in the untwinned samples of optimally doped YBaCuO were fitted into a linear dependence ($\lambda = 1$) while the Nernst signal e_N was found to increase dramatically with decreasing temperature. This effect was attributed to the superconducting fluctuations and/or disordered vortex pairs whose (positive) contribution dominates over that of the quasiparticles (whose sign, in turn, depends on the dominant type of carriers) upon approaching T_c . Besides, e_N turned out to be strongly affected by a proximity to the pseudogap regime and can even become anisotropic¹⁰. However, the subsequent Ref.¹¹ reported somewhat different results for σ_H and κ_H . and the concomitant slower temperature dependence of L_H in the LaSrCuO, EuBaCuO, and YBaCuO compounds. Specifically, in the twinned YBaCuO samples the measured exponents were

$$\beta_{exp}^{11} = -1.7, \qquad \delta_{exp}^{11} = -1.2, \qquad \lambda_{exp}^{11} = 0.5$$
 (8)

Unlike Ref.⁸, the measurements in Ref.¹¹ of, both, σ_H and κ_H were carried out on the same, rather than different, samples.

Adding to the puzzle of the cuprates' transport properties, there have been persistent reports of the Fermi liquid-like rate of inelastic quasiparticle scattering¹²

$$\Gamma_{qp} \sim T^2$$
 (9)

in contrast to the almost uniformly accepted¹³ and seemingly ubiquitous (see, however, Ref.¹⁴) 'Planckian' dissipation rate that is believed to control local equilibration/thermalization

$$\Gamma_{eq} \sim T$$
 (10)

Generally, the latter would be expected in a quantumcritical phase associated with a quantum phase transition and in the absence of an intrinsic energy scale, other than temperature.

In the context of the cuprates, a number of the potentially viable quantum critical transitions have been discussed, their list including superconducting, spin, charge, nematic, as well as other, even more exotic, instabilities. However, some data¹⁵ might indicate that the quantum critical scenario may not necessarily be at work.

However, the staunch belief in the universality of Eq.(10) and its interpretation as a key evidence in support of the strong (as opposed to just moderate) correlations in the cuprates has brought to life a number of proposals based on the various 'ad hoc' generalizations of the original ground-breaking conjecture of holographic correspondence.

Applied holography

In its own words, the 'bottom-up' applied holography (a.k.a. AdS/CMT or Anti-de-Sitter/Condensed matter theory correspondence) purports to offer a unique, intrinsically strong-coupling, approach to a variety of the traditionally hard condensed matter problems¹⁶. On the technical side, this intriguing (albeit still lacking a solid proof) scheme borrows its computational apparatus (in essence, 'ad verbatim') from the original machinery of the conjectured holographic AdS/CFT (Anti-de-Sitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence which was developed and professed in the 'bona fide' string/field theory.

From the conceptual standpoint, searching for a common cause of the observed properties would indeed make perfect sense if the sought-after universality were indeed present. However, under a closer inspection even some close members of the same family of materials often demonstrate different behaviors and exhibit different power-laws. Obviously, any significant diversity between the related compounds would be rather difficult to accommodate under the holographic paradigm, since virtually every compound would then require individual treatment and a material-specific dual bulk geometry.

Such potential difficulties notwithstanding, the decadelong vigorous work on the AdS/CMT opportunistically explored a variety of the popular geometries (Reissner-Nordstrom, Lifshitz, hyperscaling-violating, Bianchi, Qlattices, etc.)¹⁶. Such exploratory studies resulted in a number of rather exotic proposals for obtaining some of the exponents (1-4,7), although in order to reproduce even the basic Eqs.(1,2) such analyses would often go to quite a length.

For example, one of the popular schemes¹⁷ invokes the extreme 'ultra-local' AdS_2 limit where, both, the dynamical critical index z and the 'hyperscaling-violation' exponent θ take infinite values, thereby conspiring to make the conductivity inversely proportional to the entropy density

$$\sigma \sim T^{(\theta - 2 - z)/z} \sim s^{-1} T^{-2/z}$$
 (11)

in order to conform to Eq.(1) for $z \to \infty$.

Another, more comprehensive, attempt was made in Ref.¹⁸ where the values z = 4/3 and $\theta = 0$ were argued to reproduce the observed behavior of the transport coefficients (1-3) while $\lambda_{holo}^{18} = 1$ was chosen as one of the constitutive relations (despite the fact that, unlike Ref.⁸, the works of Refs.^{10,11} reported a slower-than-linear in - or even decreasing with - T electronic Lorenz ratio), yet still other exponents

$$\nu_{holo}^{18} = 1.5, \quad \rho_{holo}^{18} = 0.5, \quad \mu_{holo}^{18} = -1.5 \quad (12)$$

were markedly off their targeted values (4) and

$$\rho_{exp} = 0 \quad or \quad 1 \tag{13}$$

depending on whether the goal was to fit the constant (which may or may not have been of electronic origin) or the linear term in the experimental plot for $LaSrCuO^{19}$

$$S = a - bT \tag{14}$$

In that regard, the analysis of Ref.¹⁸ ignored the constant and went straight for the T-dependent term.

For the sake of completeness and as one example of an alternate scaling scheme characterized by the least exotic values $z = \theta = 1$ it might be worth mentioning the little known (let alone, cited) Ref.²⁰ where, the exponents Eqs.(1-4), alongside

$$\nu_{holo}^{20} = 1, \quad \rho_{holo}^{20} = 1$$
(15)

and, to a lesser extent, $\lambda_{holo}^{20} = 0$ were found to generally agree with experiment (there was not enough data available for ascertaining the predicted values of $\mu_{holo}^{20} = -1$ and $\delta_{holo}^{20} = -2$, though).

Furthermore, the results of Ref.¹⁸ were argued to compare favorably with certain characteristics of the energyand momentum-dependent magnetic susceptibility, as probed by inelastic neutron scattering in LaSrCuO. Specifically, the predicted behavior of the ratio (here **Q** is the antiferromagnetic vector)

$$\frac{\chi_s(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{\omega}|_{\omega \to 0} \sim |\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{Q}|^{\eta} \tag{16}$$

was found to be governed by the exponent $\eta_{holo} = -10/3$ which was indeed close to the measured $\eta_{exp} = -3^{21}$. Curiously, though, the alternate scheme of Ref.²⁰ yielded the exponent $\eta = -3$ which was right on the data.

Also, the momentum integral $T \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{\chi_s(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{\omega} |_{\omega \to 0}$ turned out to be constant in both schemes of Refs.¹⁸ and²⁰, again in agreement with the data of Ref.²¹.

In turn, the uniform magnetic and charge susceptibilities

$$\chi_s = \frac{d^2 f}{dB^2} \sim T^{\xi}, \qquad \chi_c = \frac{d^2 f}{d\mu^2} \sim T^{\zeta}$$
(17)

were found to be governed by the exponents

$$\xi_{holo}^{18} = -1.5, \qquad \zeta_{holo}^{18} = 0.5$$
 (18)

and

$$\xi_{holo}^{20} = -2, \qquad \zeta_{holo}^{20} = 0 \tag{19}$$

in Refs.¹⁸ and²⁰, respectively, thus providing additional means of discriminating between the two scenaria. Notably, in either scheme the Wilson ratio $c/\chi_c T$ conformed to a constant, again in accord with experiment.

Although a couple of subsequent publications²² acknowledged (somewhat reluctantly) the somewhat better agreement with the mundane predictions of Ref.²⁰, great many other (remarkably look-alike and customarily verbose) holographic papers pursued these and related topics relentlessly, as if their sheer number and volume²³ were to live up to the celebrated 'more is different'²⁴ principle.

However, being often published in such (rather uncommon for condensed matter) venues as *JHEP* or *Phys.Rev.D* quite a few of those works seem to have escaped the attention of (and, incidentally, avoided a closer scrutiny by) the traditional condensed matter community.

Recently, though, the number of papers on the topic of AdS/CMT has markedly decreased from what once seemed like an endless flurry down to a mere trickle. Conceivably, this was a reflection of the fact that, despite much of the initial enthusiasm and effort, all the previous attempts of putting the holographic phenomenology on a

firm foundation (either along the lines of the geometrized RG flow or entanglement dynamics in tensor networks, or by using artificial thermodynamic/information (Fisher-Ruppeiner, Fubini-Study, etc.) metrics, or else) have so far remained consistently inconclusive.

In that regard, the currently popular solvable lowdimensional examples of holographic correspondence involving the $0 + 1 \rightarrow 1 + 1$ -dimensional ones (e.g., SYK/JT) and their $1 + 1 \rightarrow 2 + 1$ counterparts (e.g., KdV/BTZ) can not be viewed as genuinely holographic. Indeed, the gravitational sectors of their bulk duals (1+1and 2 + 1- dimensional, respectively) are non-dynamical and fully determined by their boundary (that is, 0 + 1and 1 + 1-dimensional) degrees of freedom, thus merely revealing some intricate connections between the different realizations of the conformal (Virasoro) group (chiral in 0 + 1 and doubled non-chiral in 1 + 1 dimensions, respectively) and the different variants of its co-adjoint orbit quantization.

That said, it might be, of course, still possible for some form of generalized holography to be derivable from a certain fundamental principle, thereby making its original string-theoretical connection largely historic and unnecessary²⁵.

To that end, in the meantime the practical AdS/CMT has been reinventing itself as advanced hydrodynamics of strongly coupled quantum matter. Correspondingly, instead of the once ubiquitous futuristic pictures of esoteric black holes, nowadays a typical presentation on the topic of AdS/CMT is more likely to feature water flows, whirlpools, and other Earthly hydrodynamic patterns²⁶.

The renewed appreciation for and novel applications of such a well established field as hydrodynamics (which, while suggesting some formal holographic connections, had long been discussed before the rise of holography) emerged out of the recent experimental discoveries of the electron hydrodynamic regime in mono- and bi-layer graphene, (Al, Ga)As heterostructures, $PdCoO_2$, Herbertsmithite, etc. Among other things, it also resulted in a renewed interest in the anomalous transport in the cuprates.

(Non)holographic Hydrodynamics

The intimate relation between classical gravity and hydrodynamics has long been known as a particular take on the AdS/CFT referred to as 'fluid-gravity' correspondence¹⁶. The crux of the matter lies in the deep similarity between the asymptotic near-boundary behavior of the Einstein equations for the bulk metric and the Navier-Stokes ones describing a dual boundary fluid in one lesser dimension. Albeit usually truncated and, therefore, approximate such relations can be systematically improved, thus enabling certain computational simplifications.

The magneto-hydrodynamic transport coefficients were first derived in the early work of Ref.²⁷ under the assumption of a (pseudo)relativistic kinematics of the charge and heat carriers. While the underlying hydrodynamic equations were mimicked after those of a quarkgluon plasma, they would also be considered applicable to the electron transport in graphene (the actual hydrodynamic equations describing mono-layer graphene appear to be somewhat different due to the presence of an extra hydrodynamic 'imbalance' mode as well as the expressly non-relativistic nature of the Coulomb interaction, though²⁸).

To lowest order in the magnetic field the hydrodynamic results of Ref.²⁷ reduce to the relations

$$\sigma \sim \sigma_{coh} + \sigma_{in}$$

$$\sigma_H \sim B\sigma_{coh}(\sigma_{coh} + 2\sigma_{in})n^{-1}$$

$$\alpha \sim s\sigma_{coh}n^{-1}$$

$$\alpha_H \sim sB\sigma_{coh}(\sigma_{coh} + \sigma_{in})n^{-2}$$

$$\kappa \sim s^2 T\sigma_{coh}n^{-2}$$

$$\kappa_H \sim Bs^2 T\sigma_{coh}^{2}n^{-3}$$
(20)

Regarding these expressions the all-time important issue has been that of the intrinsically additive or 'inverse Matthiessen' (for the origin of this popular oxymoron \sec^{29}) structure of the kinetic coefficients.

In particular, the hydrodynamic (as well as the alternate memory-matrix) calculations of the DC conductivity revealed its decomposition onto the generalized coherent ('Drude') contribution³⁰

$$\sigma_{coh} = \frac{\chi_{JP}^2}{\chi_{PP}\Gamma_{mr}} \tag{21}$$

and its intrinsic 'incoherent' counterpart. In the relativistically invariant holographic context it was estimated as

$$\sigma_{inc} \sim (\frac{sT}{\epsilon + P})^2 \tag{22}$$

where s and $\epsilon + P$ are entropy and enthalpy densities, respectively³¹.

The coherent term (21) is controlled by the momentum relaxation rate Γ_{mr} together with the momentummomentum χ_{PP} and current-momentum χ_{JP} susceptibilities. The latter vanishes if the operator of electric current is orthogonal to that of momentum.

The coherent term receives contributions from all the sources of momentum relaxation (impurities, phonons, umklapp, boundary scattering, etc.). In turn, the second term provides for a finite conductivity in a neutral relativistic plasma in the absence of any external mechanism of momentum relaxation. Physically, it is due to the momentum-conserving Coulomb drag between the opposite charge carriers.

Similar incoherent terms were argued to appear in the other thermo-electric coefficients, $\alpha_{inc} = -\mu \sigma_{inc}/T$ and $\bar{\kappa}_{inc} = \mu^2 \sigma_{inc}/T$ which, however, cancel against each other in the zero-current coefficient κ . Recently, it was argued that similar terms must be introduced into the Hall components of the kinetic coefficients as well³².

According to the popular scenario of Ref.³³, in the conjectured quantum-critical regime the incoherent contribution σ_{inc} is supposed to dominate the Ohm conductivity, thus determining the exponent α , while the Hall response would be controlled by σ_{coh} .

Elaborating further on this proposal, in Ref.³⁴ the coherent and incoherent terms, alongside the carrier density n, were chosen to behave as

$$\sigma_{coh}^{34} \sim T^{-2}, \qquad \sigma_{inc}^{34} \sim T^{-1}, \\ n^{34} \sim T^0, \qquad s^{34} \sim T$$
(23)

as if the fermion system was deep in the degenerate regime and had a well developed Fermi surface with a finite Fermi momentum $\sim n^{0.5}$.

Besides, the scenario of ${\rm Ref.}^{34}$ produced a list of other exponents

$$\lambda_{hydro}^{34} = -3, \qquad \mu_{hydro}^{34} = -1, \lambda_{hydro}^{34} = 1, \qquad \rho_{hydro}^{34} = 0$$
 (24)

that could be contrasted against the data (3,4,7) as well (*spoiler*: with only a limited success).

Also, the assumptions (23) were made in Ref.³⁵ where yet another version of the holographic, the so-called DBI, approach was utilized, thus resulting in the same ostensible match for the experimental Eqs.(1-3).

However, in reality the desired dependencies (23) might be rather difficult to conform to. Specifically, for a temperature-independent density n the low-T behavior becomes non-relativistic and Eq.(22) yields $\sigma_{inc} \sim s^2 T^2$. Instead of behaving as T^{-1} , as per Eq.(23), σ_{inc} then vanishes with temperature as T^4 and, therefore, could hardly compete with $\sigma_{coh} \sim 1/\Gamma_{mr}$. Indeed, the rate Γ_{mr} either remains almost constant (impurity scattering) or even decreases with decreasing T (phonons or Baber umklapp scattering). Either way, the assumed T^{-1} behavior does not readily occur.

In the opposite, high-T, limit Eq.(22) approaches a temperature-independent constant of order unity (or, rather, e^2/h). This would be typical for, e.g., (pseudo)relativistic 2 + 1-dimensional fermions in monolayer graphene which are governed by the unscreened 3dimensional Coulomb interactions.

Interestingly enough, this behavior would also be shared by the zero-density fermions with a quadratic dispersion, akin to that in (untwisted) bilayer graphene. In the latter case, the density of thermal excitations $n \sim T$ would cancel against the inelastic Coulomb scattering rate (10), thus yielding an (approximate) constant³⁶.

However, it should be kept in mind that in the limit of a strongly *T*-dependent carrier density, the criterion of 'hydrodynamicity' ($\Gamma \gg \Gamma_{mr}$) further decouples from the assumed dominance of σ_{inc} which would be, by and large, controlled by n/Γ_{in} with the pertinent inelastic rate given by, e.g., Eq.(9) or (10). As a result, the range of parameters at which hydrodynamics is expected to work might be bounded at, both, low- and high-*T*. Indeed, in the presence of competing sources of momentum relaxation such recognized hydrodynamic systems as monolayer and magic-angle-twisted bi-layer graphene were predicted to manifest their fluid-like behavior only within a relatively narrow window of temperatures where the disorder and phonon scattering mechanisms set the lower and upper bounds, respectively. On the other hand, in the untwisted bilayer graphene the hydrodynamic regime is not expected to be bounded from above.³⁶.

In that regard, it is instructive to mention the recent work on the compound BSCO with a low- $T_c \sim 10K^{37}$ which reported the different measured exponents

$$\beta_{exp}^{37} = -1.5, \qquad \delta_{exp}^{37} = -3, \qquad \mu_{exp}^{37} = -2.5 \qquad (25)$$

The new values of δ and μ were extracted from the data taken in the narrow range of temperatures between 20 and 40 or 60 K (above which the Nernst signal changes sign), respectively. For comparison, the results of Ref.⁹ for σ_H , κ_H , and L_H in underdoped YBCO were collected over a wider range of temperatures, yet the authors refrained from fitting them with any particular power-laws.

Nonetheless, the concomitant theoretical analysis of Ref.³⁷ based on the hydrodynamic Eqs.(20) claimed to have been able to explain all of the Eqs.(1,4,25) by making the assumptions

$$\sigma_{coh}^{37} \sim T^0, \qquad \sigma_{inc}^{37} \sim T^{-1}, \\ n^{37} \sim T^{1.5}, \qquad s^{37} \sim T$$
(26)

Similar to the earlier proposals^{33–35} electrical transport was still going to be dominated by σ_{inc} . However, this time around it was supposed to occur at *low* rather than *high* temperatures between 10 and 100 K (despite the fact that the must-have exponent (1) could be observed up to 700 K).

Moreover, in Ref.³⁷ the main source of momentum relaxation was attributed to the non-quasi-particle transport through a charge density wave (CDW)³⁸ and an intricate cancellation between the different *T*-dependent factors in σ_{coh} was required to achieve (26).

Notably, as compared to Ref.³⁴, in Ref.³⁷ the definitions of σ_{coh} and σ_{inc} were switched around - presumably, to encourage the attentive readers to remain vigilant (curiously, though, both Refs.³⁴ and³⁷ feature the same first author).

Lastly, by having made the above (somewhat overly flexible) assumptions, Ref.³⁷ would also be forced into the less wanted predictions

$$\rho_{hydro}^{37} = -1, \qquad \lambda_{hydro}^{37} = -1.5$$
(27)

which are not immediately supported by the data.

In fact, if the agreement asserted in Ref.³⁷ were indeed there, the assumed behavior of the carrier density (26) would have appeared to be in conflict with the underlying assumption of the relativistic (that is, z = 1) kinematics of carriers, as well as the conjectured scaling of entropy. Besides, it would also call for the inelastic scattering rate $\Gamma_{in} \sim n/\sigma_{inc} \sim T^{2.5}$ for which there seems to be no known microscopic mechanism.

Indeed, in a generic *d*-dimensional system with the dispersion $\epsilon \sim p^z$ the carrier's density scales as $n \sim T^{d/z}$. Thus, taken at its face value Eq.(26) would have implied z = 4/3 for d = 2. Incidentally, this value of *z* coincides with that proposed in Ref.¹⁸, despite the fact that instead of the novel Eqs.(25) Ref.¹⁸ aimed at reproducing the 'orthodox' values of the exponents in Eqs.(1-4,7).

In light of the lingering tension between the available data and all the aforementioned proposals, it might also be of interest to point out (albeit being at some risk of repetition) that the hydrodynamic formulas could still produce the exponents that are equal or close to the observed ones by adopting the admittedly uninteresting scenario of Ref.²⁰.

Namely, under the minimal assumptions

$$\sigma_{hydro} \sim T^{-1}, \quad n_{hydro} \sim T$$
 (28)

where no distinction is to be made between the 'Drude' and incoherent parts of the conductivity and barring any fine-tuned cancellations $a \ la$ Sondheimer the hydrodynamic Eqs.(20) yield the following exponents

$$\alpha_{hydro}^{20} = -1, \qquad \beta_{hydro}^{20} = -2, \qquad \gamma_{hydro}^{20} = -4, \\
\delta_{hydro}^{20} = -2, \nu_{hydro}^{20} = 1, \qquad \mu_{hydro}^{20} = -2, \\
\lambda_{hydro}^{20} = 0, \qquad \rho_{hydro}^{20} = 0 \qquad (29)$$

The ansatz (28) describes, e.g., a system of nondegenerate two-dimensional fermions with a quadratic dispersion and generic scattering rate (10).

Of course, the simple schemes with *T*-dependent carrier density and a single scattering rate, including those with $n \sim T$, have been discussed since the early days of the high- T_c era³⁹. As regards the cuprates, the underdoped *YBaCuO* and *HgBaCuO* show the presence of small electron pockets, in contrast with the large hole-like Fermi surface which develops in the overdoped regime above the critical doping p^* . This is consistent with the reports of a dramatic drop in the low-temperature carrier density (evaluated by the Hall number n_H) from $n_H \approx 1 + p$ to $n_H \approx p$ upon crossing into the pseudogap phase^{9,10}.

The generic rate (9) could originate from the Baber mechanism (although the applicability of hydrodynamics would then be rather questionable) whose effectiveness depends on whether or not the quasiparticle dispersion, Fermi surface topology, and spatial dimension conspire to provide for the comparable rates of the normal and umklapp inelastic scattering processes. It is believed, though, that in the cuprates, both, the multi-pocketed (in the under- and optimally-doped cases) as well as the extended concave (in the over-doped case) hole Fermi surfaces might comply with the necessary conditions The matters become further complicated due to the possible onset of CDW order, as in orthorhombic YBCO and tetragonal HgBaCuO which may induce Fermisurface reconstruction. However, some authors believe that this might be a secondary phenomenon occurring only in high magnetic fields and at temperatures below the zero-field T_c^{15} .

By contrast, when coupled with the Fermi liquid-like scattering rate (9) observed across much of the entire cuprates' phase diagram¹² the *T*-dependent carrier density may be hinting at some alternate theoretical scenaria that neither exploit the notion of quantum criticality, nor attribute any special role to the incidental CDW order. In particular, the work of Ref.¹⁵ emphasizes a potential importance of the local (pseudo)gaps produced by some intrinsic microscopic inhomogeneity (which type of local physics would unlikely be conducive to any holographic speculations).

Summary

To summarize, this note provides another exposition of the systematic problems inherent to any approach that is based on technical convenience, rather than physical insight.

Of course, it can not be excluded that the seemingly

Moreover, as regards the general holographic task of constructing a comprehensive catalog of all the different types of 'strange-metallic' behavior, the traditional focus on the cuprates appears to be much too narrow. To that end, there exists a plethora of other (e.g., heavy-fermion) compounds where the unexplained power-law dependencies are abound. As an added challenge, in many cases the apparent exponent z remains finite, thus breaking out of the restrictive confines of the AdS_2 scenario characterized by the diverging z.

Therefore, instead of pursuing the toxic trend of striving to reproduce the selected experimental plots 'at all costs', the field of applied holography could probably be better off using its powerful resources to focus on the burden of actually proving that its basic principles are sound and its computational machinery is not merely an exercise in solving for linear perturbations about the opportunistically chosen classical gravitational backgrounds.

Should such a proof be furnished, there should still be a plenty of other condensed matter systems⁴¹ waiting to be tackled by 'the powerful method for studying strongly correlated systems' that applied holography purports to be.

- ¹ P.W.Anderson, Phys.Rev.Lett.**67**, 2092 (1991).
- ² P.Coleman, A.J.Schofield, and A.M.Tsvelik, Phys.Rev.Lett.76, 1324 (1996).
- ³ D. K. Lee and P.A. Lee, J. of Phys. Cond. Mat.9, 10 (1997).
- ⁴ C.M.Varma et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.**63**, 1996 (1989).
- ⁵ J.M.Harris et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1391 (1995).
- ⁶ J.W.Loram et al, Physica **C235-240**, 134 (1994).
- ⁷ B. Michon et al, Nature 567, 218 (2019).
- ⁸ Y.Zhang et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.84, 2219 (2000); Y.Wang, L.Li, and N.P.Ong, Phys. Rev.B73, 024510 (2006).
- ⁹ G. Grissonnanche et al, Nature v.571, p.376 (2019).
- ¹⁰ J.Chang et al, Phys.Rev.**B84**, 014507 (2011); N. Doiron-Leyraud et al, Phys. Rev. X 3, 021019 (2013); G. Grissonnanche, Phys. Rev. B 93, 064513 (2016); B. Michon et al, Phys. Rev. X 8, 041010 (2018).
- ¹¹ M. Matusiak and T. Wolf, Phys. Rev. **B72**, 054508(R) (2005); M.Matusiak, J.Hori, and T.Suzuki, Solid State Comm. **139**, 376 (2006); M.Matusiak, K.Rogacki, and B.W.Veal, Euro Phys. Lett. **88**, 47005 (2009).
- ¹² S. I. Mirzaei et al, PNAS 110, 5774 (2013); Yangmu Li et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117,197001 (2016); N. Barisic et al, PNAS 110 (30), 12235 (2013), New J. Phys. 21, 113007 (2019); Chen, Su-Di et al, Science, v.366, p.1099 (2019); D. Pelc et al, 2020Phys.Rev.B. 102, 5114 (2020).
- 13 J. Zaanen, SciPost Phys. 6, 061 (2019).
- ¹⁴ M.V. Sadovskii, 'Planckian relaxation delusion in metals', arXiv:2008.09300.
- ¹⁵ D. Pelc1 et al, Phys. Rev. B 102, 075114 (2020).
- ¹⁶ S. A. Hartnoll, Class. Quant. Grav.**26**, 224002 (2009); C.

P. Herzog, J.Phys. A42 343001 (2009); J. McGreevy, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2010, 723105 (2010); S.Sachdev, Annual Review of Cond. Matt. Phys. 3, 9 (2012); J. Zaanen et al, 'Holographic Duality in Condensed Matter Physics', Cambridge University Press, 2015; M. Ammon and J. Erdmenger, 'Gauge/Gravity Duality', Cambridge University Press, 2015; S.A. Hartnoll, A.Lucas, and S. Sachdev, 'Holographic Quantum Matter', MIT Press, 2018.

- ¹⁷ R.A. Davison, K.Schalm, and J.Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 89, 245116 (2014).
- ¹⁸ S.A. Hartnoll and A.Karch, Phys. Rev. B 91, 155126 (2015).
- ¹⁹ J.S.Kim et al, Ann.Phys.**13**, 43 (2004).
- ²⁰ D.V. Khveshchenko, Euro Phys. Lett. 111 (2015) 1700, arXiv:1502.03375.
- ²¹ G.Aeppli et al, Science **278**, 1432 (1997); R. E. Walstedt et al, Phys. Rev. **B84**, 024530 (2011).
- ²² Xian-Hui Ge et al, JHEP 11 (2016) 128; Phys. Rev. D, v.96, 046015 (2017).
- ²³ https://arxiv.org/search/?query=holograph+cond-mat
- ²⁴ P.W.Anderson, Science, v. 177, 393 (1972).
- ²⁵ D.V. Khveshchenko, 'Generalized holography with no strings attached', to appear.
- ²⁶ https://projects.ift.uam-csic.es/holotube/webinars/
- ²⁷ S.A. Hartnoll et al, Phys.Rev.**B76**, 144502 (2007).
- ²⁸ B. N. Narozhny et al, Phys. Rev. B 91, 035414 (2015);
 Annalen der Physik 529, 1700043 (2017); Narozhny, B. N.; Schütt, M., 2019Phys.Rev. B100, 5125 (2019); B.N. Narozhny, I.V. Gornyi, and M. Titov5, arXiv:2011.03806.

- ²⁹ D.V.Khveshchenko, Lith. J. Physics, 55, 208 (2015), arXiv:1404.7000; ibid 56, 125 (2016), arXiv:1603.09741.
- ³⁰ B. Gouteraux, JHEP 1401, 080 (2014); ibid 1404, 181 (2014); A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, JHEP 06 (2014) 007, ibid 11(2014)081; A. Amoretti et al, JHEP 1409 (2014) 160, ibid 1507 (2015) 102, ibid 06(2016)113; Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 025002; R. A. Davison and B. Goutéraux, JHEP 1509 (2015) 090; M. Blake, JHEP 09 (2015) 010.
- ³¹ S. A. Hartnoll and C. P. Herzog, Phys.Rev.D 76, 106012,2007.
- ³² A. Amoretti et al, JHEP 08 (2020).
- ³³ M. Blake and A. Donos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 021601 (2015).
- ³⁴ A. Amoretti and D. Musso, JHEP 1509 (2015) 094; A. Amoretti et al, Adv. in Phys. X, v.2, 409 (2017).
- ³⁵ S. Cremonini et al, JHEP 04 (2017) 009; Phys. Rev. D 99, 061901 (2019); S. Cremonini, M. Cvetic, and I. Papadim-

itrioud, JHEP 04 (2018) 099.

- ³⁶ J. Lux and L. Fritz, Phys. Rev. B87.075423 (2013); G. Wagner, D. X. Nguyen, and S. H. Simon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 026601 (2020); Phys. Rev. B 101, 245438 (2020); ibid 101, 035117 (2020); D.Y.H. Ho et al, Phys. Rev. B 97, 121404(R) (2018); C.Tan et al, arXiv:1908.10921.
- ³⁷ A. Amoretti et al, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023387 (2020).
- ³⁸ Delacretaz, L. V. et al, SciPost Phys. 3, 025 (2017); Phys. Rev. B 96, 195128 (2017), ibid B 100, 085140 (2019).
- ³⁹ A.S. Alexandrov, V.V. Kabanov, N.F. Mott, Phys. Rev.Lett. 77 (1996) 4796; N. Luo, G.H. Miley, Physica C 371 (2002) 259.
- ⁴⁰ D. L. Maslov, V. I. Yudson, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys.Rev.Lett.**106**, 106403 (2011); H.K.Pal, V. I. Yudson, and D. L. Maslov, Lith.J.Phys.**52**, 142 (2012); M. Swift and C. G. Van de Walle, Eur. Phys. J. B 90, 151 (2017).
- ⁴¹ A. Alexandradinata et al, 'The Future of the Correlated Electron Problem', arXiv:2010.00584.