

# FLEXIBLE IDEMPOTENTS IN NONASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

LOUIS ROWEN    YOAV SEGEV

ABSTRACT. “Fusion rules” are laws of multiplication among eigenspaces of an idempotent. We establish fusion rules for flexible power-associative algebras, following Albert. We define the notion of an axis in the non-commutative setting (compare with [HRS]) and accumulate information about pairs of axes. We also describe a class of noncommutative examples of flexible power-associative algebras.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $A$  is an algebra (not necessarily associative, not necessarily with a multiplicative unit element  $\mathbb{1}$ ) over a field  $F$ . We assume throughout this paper that  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ . We often denote multiplication  $x \cdot y$  in  $A$  by juxtaposition:  $xy$ .  $A^{(\circ)}$  is the algebra with the same vector space structure as  $A$ , but where multiplication is defined by  $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ . Throughout we denote

$$C(A) = \{x \in A \mid xy = yx, \text{ for all } y \in A\}.$$

### Definitions 1.1.

- (1) The algebra  $A$  is **flexible** if it satisfies the identity  $(xy)x = x(yx)$ .
- (2)  $A$  is **power-associative** if  $F[x]$  is associative (and therefore commutative) for each  $x \in A$ .

Commutative algebras are flexible, since

$$(1.1) \quad (xy)x = (yx)x = x(yx).$$

In a flexible algebra we write  $xyx$  without parentheses, since there is no ambiguity. Linearizing the flexible axiom yields

$$(1.2) \quad (xy)z + (zy)x = x(yz) + z(yx).$$

---

*Date:* January 1, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17A05, 17A15, 17A20 ; Secondary: 17A36, 17C27.

*Key words and phrases.* axis, flexible algebra, power-associative, fusion, idempotent, noncommutative Jordan.

\*The first author was supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant 1623/16.

We study eigenvalues of idempotents  $a \in A$ , in the spirit of [A]. We often assume that  $A$  is flexible, our objective being to generalize the well-known commutative theory.

In §2 we also often assume that  $A$  is power-associative, relying heavily on Albert [A]. We use Lemma 2.3 which gives identities in the operators  $L_a, R_a: A \rightarrow A$ , where  $a \in A$ , is an idempotent, and  $L_a(x) = x \cdot a$  and  $R_a(x) = a \cdot x$ ,  $x \in A$ :

**Lemma A** (Lemma 2.3). Suppose  $A$  is flexible, and  $a \in A$  is a given idempotent.

- (i)  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  commute.
- (ii)  $R_a^2 - R_a = L_a^2 - L_a$ .
- (iii)  $R_a(R_a + L_a - 1) = L_a(R_a + L_a - 1)$ .
- (iv) If furthermore,  $A$  is power-associative over a field of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ , then

$$(X_a - 1)Y_a(L_a + R_a - 1) = 0, \text{ for } X, Y \in \{R, L\}.$$

This leads to the specific connection between  $A$  and  $A^{(\circ)}$  given in Theorem 2.6.

Next, write

$$A_\lambda(a) = \{x \in A \mid ax = xa = \lambda x\}, \quad \mathring{A}_{1/2}(a) = \{x \in A \mid ax + xa = x\}.$$

(We write  $A_\lambda, \mathring{A}_{1/2}$ , when  $a$  is understood.) Albert proved

$$A = A_1 \oplus A_0 \oplus \mathring{A}_{1/2}.$$

This is Theorem 2.11, with a slightly more direct proof. Note that if  $A$  is commutative then  $\mathring{A}_{1/2} = A_{1/2}$ , so Theorem 2.11 generalizes the commutative description of idempotents.

The following theorem of Albert describes the appropriate fusion laws in the algebra  $A$ :

**Theorem 1.2** ([A, Theorem 3, p. 560, Theorem 5, p. 562]). *Suppose that  $A$  is flexible and power-associative and that  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2, 3$ . Let  $a \in A$  be an idempotent.*

- (i)  $A_0, A_1$  are subalgebras.
- (ii)  $A_\lambda \mathring{A}_{1/2} \subseteq A_{1-\lambda} + \mathring{A}_{1/2}$ ,  $\mathring{A}_{1/2} A_\lambda \subseteq A_{1-\lambda} + \mathring{A}_{1/2}$ , for  $\lambda = 0, 1$ .
- (iii)  $a \mathring{A}_{1/2}, \mathring{A}_{1/2} a \subseteq \mathring{A}_{1/2}$ .

In §3 we turn to a noncommutative version of axes, in preparation for the study of axial algebras in [RS2]. For  $\lambda, \delta \in F$ , and an idempotent  $a$ , define  $A_{(\lambda, \delta)}(a) = \{y \in A : ay = \lambda a, ya = \delta a\}$ . (We write  $A_{(\lambda, \delta)}$  when  $a$  is understood.) An absolutely primitive (see Definition 2.1(8)) idempotent  $a$  is an *axis of type*  $(\lambda, \delta)$  if  $L_a, R_a$  commute and

$$A = A^{++} \oplus A^{+-} \oplus A^{-+} \oplus A^{--},$$

is  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  grading of  $A$  (multiplication  $(\epsilon, \epsilon')(\rho, \rho')$  is defined in the obvious way for  $\epsilon, \epsilon', \rho, \rho' \in \{+, -\}$ ), where

- $A^{++} = A_1 \oplus A_{(1,0)} \oplus A_{(0,1)} \oplus A_0$ ,
- $A^{+-} = A_{(1,\delta)} \oplus A_{(0,\delta)}$ ,
- $A^{-+} = A_{(\lambda,1)} \oplus A_{(\lambda,0)}$ ,
- $A^{--} = A_{(\lambda,\delta)}$ .

Note that besides being noncommutative, this definition **does not** require the condition that  $A_0$  is a subalgebra, contrary to the usual hypothesis in the commutative theory of axial algebras of Jordan type. But this condition does not seem to pertain to any of the proofs.

We prove that always  $A_{(1,0)} = A_{(0,1)} = A_{(1,\delta)} = A_{(\lambda,1)} = 0$ .

An axis  $a$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  is of *Jordan type*  $(\lambda, \delta)$  if  $A_{(\delta,0)} = A_{(0,\delta)} = 0$ . In this case,  $A^{+-} = A^{-+} = 0$ , and

$$A = A_1 \oplus A_0 \oplus A_{(\lambda,\delta)}.$$

Much of the axial theory (cf. [HRS]) can be generalized to this noncommutative setting, as exemplified in the following results

**Proposition B** (Corolary 3.5).

- (i) An axis  $a$  of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  is in  $C(A)$ , iff either  $A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a) = 0$ , or  $\lambda = \delta$ .
- (ii) Let  $a \neq b$  be two axes with  $ab = ba$  and  $a$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Then either  $ab = 0$ , or  $\lambda = \delta$ . In particular, if  $a$  and  $b$  are of Jordan type, then either  $a, b \in C(A)$ , or  $ab = 0$ .

**Proposition C** (Proposition 3.9). If  $A$  is an algebra generated by two axes  $a$  and  $b$  of Jordan type (whose types may be distinct!), then

$$A = Fa + Fb + Fab.$$

For flexible algebras we have

**Theorem D** (Theorem 3.7). Suppose  $A$  is a flexible algebra, and let  $a, b \in A$  be axes. Then

- (1)  $a$  is of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and either  $\lambda = \delta$ , so  $a \in C(A)$ , or  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ ,  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , and  $x^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A_{\lambda,\delta}$ .
- (2) Assume that both  $a$  and  $b$  are not in  $C(A)$ . Then either  $ab = 0$  or the subalgebra generated by  $a, b$  is one of the two examples as in Examples 3.6.

As a source of examples, in §4 we study the noncommutative algebra  $A^{\mu,\nu}$ , having the same addition as  $A$ , but multiplication

$$x \circ^{\mu,\nu} y := \mu x \cdot y + \nu y \cdot x.$$

$A^{\mu,1-\mu}$  is  $A(\mu)$  in Albert [A, p. 581], who investigates this algebra in terms of Jordan algebras.

## 2. IDEMPOTENTS

We study idempotents in terms of the following notions.

**Definitions 2.1.** Let  $a \in A$  be an idempotent and let  $\lambda, \delta \in F$ .

- (1) Following Albert's terminology, we define the **left and right** multiplication maps  $L_a(b) := a \cdot b$  and  $R_a(b) := b \cdot a$ .
- (2) We write  $A_\lambda(X_a)$  for the eigenspace of  $\lambda$  with respect to the transformation  $X_a$ ,  $X \in \{L, R\}$ , i.e.,  $A_\lambda(L_a) = \{v \in A : a \cdot v = \lambda v\}$ , and similarly for  $A_\lambda(R_a)$ . We write

$$A_\lambda(a) = A_\lambda(L_a) \cap A_\lambda(R_a) = \{v \in A : a \cdot v = \lambda v = v \cdot a\},$$

cf. [HSS, §1.1, p. 263].

Often we just write  $A_\lambda$  for  $A_\lambda(a)$ , when  $a$  is understood.

- (3) We denote:  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) := A_\lambda(L_a) \cap A_\delta(R_a)$ . An element in  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$  will be called a  $(\lambda, \delta)$ -**eigenvector** of  $a$ , and  $(\lambda, \delta)$  will be called its **eigenvalue**.

Often we just write  $A_{\lambda, \delta}$  when  $a$  is understood.

- (4) Idempotents  $a, b \in A$  are **orthogonal** if  $ab = ba = 0$ .
- (5) An idempotent  $a \in A$  is **primitive** if it cannot be written as the sum of nontrivial orthogonal idempotents.
- (6) An idempotent  $a \in A$  is left (resp. right) **completely primitive** if the eigenspace  $A_1(L_a)$  (resp.  $A_1(R_a)$ ) is a domain, i.e., a subalgebra of  $A$  without elements  $x, y \neq 0$  such that  $x \cdot y = 0$ . The idempotent  $a$  is completely primitive if it is both left and right completely primitive.
- (7) An idempotent  $a \in A$  is left (resp. right) **absolutely primitive** if  $A_1(L_a) = Fa$  (resp.  $A_1(R_a) = Fa$ ). The idempotent  $a$  is absolutely primitive if it is both left and right absolutely primitive.

(Sometimes this is called "primitive" in the literature.)

- (8) An idempotent  $a \in A$  is *flexible* if  $(xa)x = x(ax)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $a$  is a flexible idempotent, then  $L_a^2 - L_a = R_a^2 - R_a$ .*

*Proof.* We apply the definition twice:

$$\begin{aligned} a + ax + (xa)a + xax &= (a + xa)(a + x) = ((a + x)a)(a + x) = \\ &= (a + x)(a(a + x)) = (a + x)(a + ax) = a + a(ax) + xa + xax, \end{aligned}$$

so  $ax + (xa)a = a(ax) + xa$ . □

In the following two lemmas (except in part (iv) of Lemma 2.3), one need not assume characteristic  $\neq 2$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $A$  is flexible, and  $a \in A$  is a given idempotent.*

- (i)  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  commute.
- (ii)  $R_a^2 - R_a = L_a^2 - L_a$ .
- (iii)  $R_a(R_a + L_a - 1) = L_a(R_a + L_a - 1)$ .

- (iv) If furthermore,  $A$  is power-associative over a field of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ , then

$$(X_a - 1)Y_a(L_a + R_a - 1) = 0, \text{ for } X, Y \in \{R, L\}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Immediate from flexibility.

(ii) This is [A, Equation 11, p. 575], a special case of Lemma 2.2.

(iii)  $R_a(R_a + L_a - 1) = R_aL_a + R_a^2 - R_a = R_aL_a + L_a^2 - L_a = L_a(R_a + L_a - 1)$ , by (i) and (ii).

(iv) We show that  $(R_a - 1)R_a(L_a + R_a - 1) = 0$ , the rest follows from (ii) and (iii).

By [A, Equation 12, p. 556], and applying (2),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= R_a^2 + L_aR_a + L_a^2 - R_a^3 - L_aR_a^2 - L_a \\ (2.1) \quad &= R_a^2 + L_aR_a + R_a^2 - R_a^3 - L_aR_a^2 - R_a \\ &= 2R_a^2 - R_a^3 + L_a(R_a - R_a^2) - R_a, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(R_a - 1)^2R_a = R_a^3 - 2R_a^2 + R_a = L_a(R_a - R_a^2) = -L_aR_a(R_a - 1),$$

so

$$(R_a - 1)R_a(L_a + R_a - 1) = 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $A$  is flexible and let  $a \in A$  be an idempotent. Then*

- (i)  $aA_1(L_a) \subseteq A_1(R_a)$  and  $aA_1(R_a) \subseteq A_1(L_a)$ .
- (ii) If  $a$  is completely primitive, then  $a$  is the (two-sided) identity of the algebra  $A_1(L_a)$ .
- (iii) If  $a$  is absolutely primitive, then for any  $v \in A_1(R_a)$  there exists  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $v^2 = \alpha v$ , where  $av = \alpha a$ .
- (iv) If  $A$  is power-associative and finite dimensional, and the base field  $F$  is algebraically closed, then  $a$  is absolutely primitive.

*Proof.* (i) This follows from the fact that  $R_a^2 - R_a = L_a^2 - L_a$ .

(ii) Suppose  $x \in A_1(L_a)$ , i.e.  $ax = x$ . Note that

$$(xa - x)x = (xa)x - x^2 = x(ax) - x^2 = 0.$$

Since  $A_1(L_a)$  is a domain,  $xa = x$ .

(iii) Let  $v \in A_1(R_a)$ . By (1),  $av \in A_1(L_a)$ , so  $av = \alpha a$ , for some  $\alpha \in F$ . But now

$$0 = v^2 - v^2 = (va)v - v^2 = v(av - v^2) = v(\alpha a - v^2) = \alpha v - v^2.$$

(iv) Note that  $K := Fa$  is a field isomorphic to  $F$ , and by (2)(i),  $K[x]$  is a field, for any  $x \in A_1(L_a)$ . Since  $K$  is algebraically closed,  $x \in K = Fa$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** Note that any algebra  $B$  generated by two elements  $a, v$  such  $a^2 = a, va = v, av = \alpha a$  and  $v^2 = \alpha v$ , with  $\alpha \in F$ , is flexible and satisfies  $A_1(L_a) = Fa$  and  $A_1(R_a) = B$ .

**Theorem 2.6.**

- (1) If  $a, a'$  are orthogonal idempotents in  $A$ , then they are orthogonal in  $A^{(\circ)}$ .
- (2) Any primitive idempotent of  $A^{(\circ)}$  is a primitive idempotent of  $A$ .
- (3) Suppose that  $A$  is flexible, and let  $a$  be an absolutely primitive idempotent of  $A^{(\circ)}$ . Let  $d \in A$  such that  $a \cdot d = d$ . Then
  - (i)  $d \cdot a = \alpha a$ , and  $d^2 = \alpha d$ , for some  $\alpha \in F$ .
  - (ii) If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $d$  is a  $(1, 0)$ -eigenvector of  $a$ , so  $a \circ d = \frac{1}{2}d$ , and if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $(a - \alpha^{-1}d)$  is a  $(1, 0)$ -eigenvector of  $a$ , so  $a \circ (a - \alpha^{-1}d) = \frac{1}{2}(a - \alpha^{-1}d)$ .

*Proof.* (1) This is obvious.

(2) If  $a$  is a sum  $a' + a''$  of orthogonal idempotents in  $A$  then  $a$  is a sum  $a' + a''$  of orthogonal idempotents in  $A^{(\circ)}$ , by (1).

(3) (i) By Lemma 2.4(1),  $(da)a = da$ . Hence  $a \circ da = \frac{1}{2}(ada + (da)a) = da$ , so by hypothesis  $da \in Fa$ . Writing  $da = \alpha a$ , we have  $d^2 = d(ad) = (da)d = \alpha a \cdot d = \alpha d$ .

(ii) This is an easy computation. □

Note that the first two cases can arise in matrix algebras, with  $a = e_{11}$  and  $d = e_{12}$ , or  $a = e_{11} + e_{12}$  and  $d = e_{11}$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** *In any flexible algebra  $A$  over an algebraically closed field, every primitive idempotent which is absolutely primitive in  $A^{(\circ)}$ , is either absolutely primitive in  $A$ , or has a  $(1, 0)$ -eigenvector.*

**2.1. Eigenvalues and eigenspaces of idempotents.**

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose  $a \in A$  is an idempotent satisfying  $L_a^2 - L_a = R_a^2 - R_a$ . (In particular this holds when  $a$  is a flexible idempotent, by Lemma 2.2.) If  $z$  is a nonzero left  $\lambda$ -eigenvector and right  $\delta$ -eigenvector, then either  $\delta = \lambda$  or  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$ .*

*Proof.*  $(\lambda^2 - \lambda)z = a(az) - az = (za)a - za = (\delta^2 - \delta)z$ , implying  $\lambda^2 - \lambda = \delta^2 - \delta$ , or  $(\lambda - \delta)(\lambda + \delta - 1) = 0$ . □

**2.2. Semisimple idempotents.**

**Definition 2.9.** An idempotent  $a$  is *left semisimple* of degree  $t$  if

$$\prod_{i=1}^t (L_a - \lambda_i) = 0$$

for suitable distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ .

An idempotent  $a$  is *right semisimple* of degree  $t$  if  $\prod_{i=1}^t (R_a - \lambda_i) = 0$  for suitable distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ .

The idempotent is *semisimple* if it is both left and right semisimple.

**Proposition 2.10.** *For a left semisimple idempotent  $a$  of degree  $t$  and  $y \in A$ , write  $y = \sum y_j$  where  $y_j$  is the left  $\lambda_j$ -eigenvector in the left eigenvector decomposition of  $y$ , and define the vector space  $V_a(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} FL_a^i y$ . Then*

$$V_a(y) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t Fy_j.$$

*Proof.* By induction,  $L_a^k(y) = \sum \lambda_j^k y_j$  for  $0 \leq k \leq t-1$ . Thus

$$V_a(y) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=1}^t Fy_j.$$

But on the other hand, we have a system of  $t$  equations in the  $y_j$  with coefficients  $(\lambda_j^k)$ , the Vandermonde matrix, which is nonsingular, so there is a unique solution for the  $y_j$  in  $V_a(y)$ .  $\square$

This space  $V_a(b)$  plays a key role for an idempotent  $b$ .

### 2.3. Idempotents in power-associative algebras.

Albert [A] proves some amazing results. [A, Equation (20), p. 568] implies that every idempotent in a commutative power-associative algebra is an axis (see the Introduction and Definition 3.1), with eigenvalues  $0, \frac{1}{2}$ , or  $1$ . (The eigenvalue  $\frac{1}{2}$  can arise only if  $\frac{1}{2} \in F$ .) Furthermore, the eigenspaces are independent by [A, Equation (23), p. 569]. [A, Theorem I.2, p. 559] computes the **Albert fusion rules**:

- (i)  $A_1(a)A_1(a) \subseteq A_1(a)$  and  $A_0(a)A_0(a) \subseteq A_0(a)$ ;
- (ii)  $A_0(a)A_1(a) = A_1(a)A_0(a) = \{0\}$ ;
- (iii)  $A_0(a)A_{1/2}(a) \subseteq A_{1/2}(a) + A_1(a)$ ;
- (iv)  $A_1(a)A_{1/2}(a) \subseteq A_{1/2}(a) + A_0(a)$ ;
- (v)  $A_{1/2}(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a) + A_1(a)$ .

In this case the eigenspaces of  $0, \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $1$  generate  $A$ . We stress the role here of power-associativity. Axial algebras in [HRS] need not be power-associative, so the third eigenvalue need not be  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This makes [T] quite surprising, giving a condition for  $\frac{1}{2}$  to be an eigenvalue of  $A$  after all.

In Theorems I.3, I.5 ([A, pp. 561, 562]), Albert gets the same results, except for (v), for (noncommutative) flexible power-associative algebras, replacing the eigenspaces  $A_\lambda(a)$ , with the  $\circ$ -eigenspaces:

$$\mathring{A}_\lambda(a) := \{x \in A \mid ax + xa = 2\lambda x\}.$$

(These are  $\mathfrak{A}_a(\lambda)$  in the notation of Albert, [A, Equation (27), p. 560].) He furthermore shows that  $\mathring{A}_\lambda(a) = A_\lambda(a)$ , and that these are subrings, for  $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ .

For Jordan algebras cf. [A, Equation (26), p. 559] Albert improves his fusion rules, cf. [HSS, §1]:

- (i)  $A_1(a)A_1(a) \subseteq A_1(a)$  and  $A_0(a)A_0(a) \subseteq A_0(a)$ ;
- (ii)  $A_1(a)A_0(a) = \{0\}$ ;
- (iii)  $(A_0(a) + A_1(a))A_{1/2}(a) \subseteq A_{1/2}(a)$ ;
- (iv)  $A_{1/2}(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a) + A_1(a)$ ,

but [A, Equation (26), p. 559, l.-2] has a counterexample to (iii) in a commutative flexible power-associative algebra. When (iii) does hold, Albert calls the algebra  $A$  **stable**.

Many of the above results can be extended to the noncommutative situation.

As we mentioned Albert proves in [A, Thm. 3, p. 560] that  $\mathring{A}_\lambda(a) = A_\lambda(a)$  for  $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ . This is a consequence of the following result of Albert on commutative power associative rings ([A, (22), p. 559]).

**Theorem 2.11** ([A]). *Suppose that  $A$  flexible and power-associative and that  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2, 3$ . Let  $a \in A$  be an idempotent. Then*

- (i)  $A = A_1 \oplus A_0 \oplus \mathring{A}_{1/2}$ .
- (ii)  $A_1 = X_a(L_a + R_a - 1)A$ ;  $A_0 = (X_a - 1)(L_a + R_a - 1)A$ ;  
 $\mathring{A}_{1/2} = (R_a + L_a)(R_a + L_a - 2)A$ ,  $X \in \{R, L\}$ .

Here is an alternate proof, working directly in  $A$ , which is characteristic free if one assumes the conclusion of Lemma 2.3(iv).

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x_1 = R_a x$  and  $x_2 = (1 - R_a)x$ , so that  $x = x_1 + x_2$ . By Lemma 2.3(iv) we have

$$(2.2) \quad (R_a - 1)(R_a + L_a - 1)(x_1) = 0 = (L_a - 1)(R_a + L_a - 1)(x_1).$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad R_a(R_a + L_a - 1)(x_2) = 0 = L_a(R_a + L_a - 1)(x_2).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1 &= (R_a + L_a - 1)(x_1), & x''_1 &= (R_a + L_a - 2)(x_1), \\ x'_2 &= (R_a + L_a - 1)(x_2), & x''_2 &= (R_a + L_a)(x_2), \end{aligned}$$

Of course

$$x = x'_1 - x''_1 + x'_2 - x''_2.$$

By Equations (2.2) and (2.3),

$$R_a(x'_1) = x'_1 = L_a(x'_1), \quad R_a(x'_2) = 0 = L_a(x'_2).$$

So

$$x'_1 \in A_1, \quad \text{and} \quad x'_2 \in A_0.$$

Also by Equation (2.2),  $(R_a + L_a - 1)(x''_1) = 0$ , that is  $x''_1 \in \mathring{A}_{1/2}$ , and by Equation (2.3),  $(R_a + L_a - 1)(x''_2) = 0$ , that is  $x''_2 \in \mathring{A}_{1/2}$ .

(ii) follows (i), indeed

$$X_a(L_a + R_a - 1)A_0 = 0 = X_a(L_a + R_a - 1)\mathring{A}_{1/2},$$

and  $X_a(L_a + R_a - 1)(x) = x$ , for  $x \in A_1$ , and similarly for  $(X_a - 1)(L_a + R_a - 1)$  and  $(X_a + L_a)(R_a + L_a - 2)$ .  $\square$



#### 2.4. Application to noncommutative Jordan algebras.

Theorem 1.2 generalizes Jordan theory in the following sense:

**Definition 2.12.** ([Sch])

An algebra  $A$  is **noncommutative Jordan** if  $A$  is flexible and satisfies the identity

$$(2.4) \quad (x^2w)x = x^2(wx).$$

Schafer [Sch, p. 473] showed that any flexible algebra with  $\mathbb{1}$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$  satisfying (2.4) is power-associative. Hence, Theorem 1.2 applies to noncommutative Jordan algebras.

**Proposition 2.13** (Folklore). *Any algebra with  $\mathbb{1}$  over a field  $F$  of  $> 3$  elements satisfying equation (2.4) is flexible, and thus a noncommutative Jordan algebra.*

*Proof.* Using a Vandermonde determinant argument, we can match coefficients of  $\lambda$  in the equation

$$((x + \lambda)^2y)(x + \lambda) = (x + \lambda)^2(y(x + \lambda)),$$

to get

$$\lambda(x^2y) + 2\lambda(xy)x = \lambda(x^2y) + 2\lambda x(yx),$$

yielding flexibility.  $\square$

Thus Equation (2.4) is sufficient to apply Theorem 1.2.

### 3. AXES

In this section we generalize certain notions from the theory of commutative axial algebras in [HRS], to the noncommutative setting.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $a \in A$  be a semisimple idempotent, and  $\lambda, \delta \notin \{0, 1\}$  in  $F$ .

- (1)  $a$  is a *left axis of type  $\lambda$*  if
  - (a)  $a$  is left absolutely primitive.
  - (b)  $(L_a - \lambda)(L_a - 1)L_a = 0$ .
  - (c) As we see in Proposition 2.10, (b) implies a direct sum decomposition of  $A$  and we further require that it be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading:

$$A = \overbrace{A_0(L_a) \oplus A_1(L_a)}^{+\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_\lambda(L_a)}^{-\text{-part}},$$

If  $a$  is a left axis of type  $\lambda$ , and  $x \in A$ , we write

$$x = \alpha_x a + x_{0,l} + x_{\lambda,l}, \quad x_{\rho,l} \in A_\rho(L_a), \quad \rho \in \{0, \lambda\},$$

and we call it *the left decomposition of  $x$  with respect to  $a$* .

- (2) A *right axis of type  $\lambda$*  is defined similarly. The right decomposition of  $x$  with respect to  $a$  is denoted

$$x = \alpha_x a + x_{0,r} + x_{\lambda,r}.$$

- (3)  $a$  is an *axis (2-sided) of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$*  if  $a$  is a left axis of type  $\lambda$  and a right axis of type  $\delta$  and, in addition,  $L_a R_a = R_a L_a$ .
- (4) The axis  $a$  is of *Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$*  if  $A_{(\lambda,0)}(a) = A_{(0,\lambda)}(a) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $a$  be an axis of Jordan type with  $A_{\lambda,\delta} = 0$ . then  $a$  is in the center of  $A$ .*

*Proof.*  $x = \alpha_x a + x_0$  for any  $x$  in  $A$ , implying  $ax = xa = \alpha_x a$  and  $xy = x_0 y_0 + \alpha_x \alpha_y a$ , and thus  $a(xy) = (ax)y = x(ay) = (xa)y = x(ya) = (xy)a = \alpha_x \alpha_y a$  for all  $x, y$ .  $\square$

**Examples 3.3.**

- (i) Let  $\lambda, \delta \in F$ , with  $\lambda, \delta \notin \{0, 1\}$  and  $\lambda \neq \delta$ . Let  $A = Fa + Fb + Fc$  with multiplication given by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a^2 &= a, & b^2 &= b, & c^2 &= a, \\ ab &= \lambda c, & ba &= \delta c, \\ ac &= \lambda c, & ca &= \delta c, & bc &= \delta c, & cb &= \lambda c. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a(ba) = \lambda \delta c = (ab)a$ , and  $a(ca) = \lambda \delta c = (ac)a$ , so  $L_a R_a = R_a L_a$ .

$$(L_a^2 - \lambda L_a)b = \lambda^2(c - c) = 0 = (L_a - \lambda)c,$$

implying

$$(L_a - \lambda)(L_a - 1)L_a = 0.$$

Also  $b_0 = 0$  and  $b_{\lambda,\delta} = c$ , yielding the fusion rules, i.e,  $a$  is a left axis. Likewise  $(R_a^2 - \delta R_a)b = \delta^2(c - c) = 0 = (R_a - \delta)c$ , implying

$$(R_a - \delta)(R_a - d)R_d = 0,$$

i.e,  $a$  is a right axis. Hence  $a$  is an axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ .

Similarly,  $(ba)b = \lambda \delta c = b(ab)$ , and  $b(cb) = \lambda \delta c = (bc)b$ , and  $(L_b^2 - \delta L_b)a = \delta^2(c - c) = 0 = (L_b - \delta)c$ , implying  $b$  is a left axis, and continuing,  $b$  is an axis of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

Since  $A$  is not commutative  $A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a) \neq 0 \neq A_{(\delta,\lambda)}(b)$ . The algebra  $A$  is not flexible since  $(ca)c = \delta a$  whereas  $(ca)c = \lambda a$ .

- (ii) We put instead  $A = Fa + Fb + Fc + Fc'$  with  $ab = \lambda c + \lambda c'$ , where  $c' = c'c = (c')^2 = 0$ ,  $ac' = \lambda c'$ ,  $c'a = bc' = c'b = 0$ . Then

$$(ab)a = (\lambda c + \lambda c')a = \lambda \delta c = a(\delta c) = a(ba), \quad (ac')a = a(c'a) = 0,$$

$(ba)b = \delta cb = \lambda \delta c = b(ab)$ , and  $c'$  does not enter into the other computations. This shows that  $a, b$  still are axes, but with  $0 \neq c' = b_{\lambda,0}$ . Hence  $a$  does not have Jordan type.

- (iii) We can complicate the example even further by also putting  $A = Fa + Fb + Fc + Fc' + Fc''$  where  $ba = \delta c + \delta c''$ , where also  $cc'' = c''c = (c'')^2 = 0$ ,  $c''b = \delta c''$ ,  $c''a = \delta c''$ ,  $bc'' = ac'' = 0$ .

Now still  $(ab)a = \lambda \delta c = a(ba)$ , but it is not spanned by  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $ab$ , and  $ba$ .

**Proposition 3.4.**

- (i) If  $a$  is a left axis of type  $\lambda$  then the left decomposition

$$x = x_{1,l} + x_{0,l} + x_{\lambda,l}$$

of  $x \in A$  with respect to  $a$ , is given by:

- $x_{1,l} = \frac{1}{\lambda-1}(a(a-\lambda))x = \alpha_x a$ ,  $\alpha_x \in F$ ,
- $x_{0,l} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}((a-\lambda)(a-1))x \in A_0(L_a)$ ,
- $x_{\lambda,l} = -\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda-1)}(a(a-1))x \in A_\lambda(L_a)$ .

- (ii) If  $a$  is a right axis of type  $\delta$  then the right decomposition

$$x = x_{1,r} + x_{0,r} + x_{\delta,r}$$

of  $x \in A$  with respect to  $a$ , is given by:

- $x_{1,r} = \frac{1}{\delta-1}(a(a-\delta))x = \alpha_x a$ ,  $\alpha_x \in F$ ,
- $x_{0,r} = -\frac{1}{\delta}((a-\delta)(a-1))x \in A_0(R_a)$ ,
- $x_{\delta,r} = -\frac{1}{\delta(\delta-1)}(a(a-1))x \in A_\delta(R_a)$ .

- (iii) An idempotent  $b$  cannot be a left eigenvector of a left axis  $a \neq b$  unless  $ab = 0$ .

- (iv) If  $a$  is an axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , then  $A$  decomposes into a direct sum

$$A = \overbrace{A_1(a) \oplus A_0(a)}^{++\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{(0,\delta)}(a)}{+-\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{(\lambda,0)}(a)}{-+\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a)}{---\text{-part}},$$

and this is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of  $A$ . In particular  $A_{(0,1)}(a) = A_{(\lambda,1)}(a) = A_{(1,0)}(a) = A_{(1,\delta)}(a) = 0$ .

- (v) If  $a$  is an axis of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  then

$$A = \overbrace{A_1 \oplus A_0}^{+\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a)}{-\text{-part}},$$

is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of  $A$ .

*Proof.* (i) Note that

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda-1}(L_a(L_a-\lambda)) - \frac{1}{\lambda}((L_a-\lambda)(L_a-1)) - \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda-1)}(L_a(L_a-1)) = 1,$$

so their sum applied to  $x$  is  $x$ , and each term is in the appropriate left eigenspace.

- (ii) As in (i), using equation (3.2), with  $R_a$  in place of  $L_a$ .

(iii) Write  $ab = \alpha_a a + \lambda b$ . If the idempotent  $b \neq a$  is a left eigenvector, then, since  $a$  is left absolutely primitive, its eigenvalue must be 0 or  $\lambda$ . But if  $b \in A_\lambda(L_a)$ , then, by Definition 3.1(1c),  $b^2 \in A_0(L_a) + A_1(L_a)$ , but  $b^2 = b$ , a contradiction.

(iv) Note that the elements of the right decomposition of  $x_{\rho,l}$  with respect to  $a$ , are in  $A_\rho(L_a)$ , for  $\rho \in \{0, 1, \lambda\}$ , because  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  commute. This, together with the left  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and the right  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  grading given in Definition 3.1((1)&(2)), shows that:

$$A = \overbrace{A_1 \oplus A_{(1,0)} \oplus A_{(0,1)} \oplus A_0}^{++\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{1,\delta} \oplus A_{(0,\delta)}}{+-\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{\lambda,1}(a) \oplus A_{\lambda,0}(a)}{-+\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{\lambda,l}(a)}{--\text{-part}},$$

is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  grading of  $A$ .

Writing  $x_{u,v} := (x_{u,l})_{v,r}$ , for  $u \in \{0, 1, \lambda\}$ , and  $v \in \{0, 1, \delta\}$ , we have  $\alpha_x a = x_{1,l} = x_{1,1} + x_{1,0} + x_{1,\delta}$ , so  $x_{1,1} = x_{1,l}$  and  $x_{1,0} = x_{1,\delta} = 0$ .

Working from the other side yields  $x_{0,1} = x_{\lambda,1} = 0$ .

(v) The other components vanish because of the definition of Jordan type.  $\square$

Note that if  $a$  is an axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , then by Proposition 3.4(iv), we can write  $x \in A$  as

$$x = \alpha_x a + x_{0,0} + x_{0,\delta} + x_{\lambda,0} + x_{\lambda,\delta}, \quad x_{\gamma,\rho} \in A_{(\gamma,\rho)}(a)$$

and we call it the decomposition of  $x$  with respect to  $a$ .

When  $a$  is of Jordan type, we have the much simpler decomposition

$$x = \alpha_x a + x_0 + x_{\lambda,\delta}$$

With  $x_0 \in A_0(a)$ , and  $x_{\lambda,\delta} \in A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a)$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** (i) *An axis  $a$  of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  is in  $C(A)$ , iff either  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) = 0$ , or  $\lambda = \delta$ .*

(ii) *Let  $a \neq b$  be two axes with  $ab = ba$  and  $a$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Then either  $ab = 0$ , or  $\lambda = \delta$ . In particular, if  $a$  and  $b$  are of Jordan type, then either  $a$  and  $b$  both are in  $C(A)$ , or  $ab = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $a \in C(A)$  and that  $A_{\lambda,\delta} \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq x_{\lambda,\lambda} \in A_{\lambda,\delta}$ . Then  $\lambda x = ax = xa = \delta x$ , so  $\lambda = \delta$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in A$ , and decompose  $x$  according to  $a : x = \alpha a + x_0 + x_{\lambda,\lambda}$  where  $\alpha \in F$ , and  $x_{\lambda,\lambda}$  may be 0. Then  $ax = \alpha a + \lambda x_{\lambda,\lambda} = xa$ , so  $a \in C(A)$ .

(ii) Let  $a$  be of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and let  $b = \alpha_b a + b_0 + b_{\lambda,0} + b_{0,\delta} + b_{\lambda,\delta}$  be the decomposition of  $b$  with respect to  $a$ . Then  $\alpha_b a + \lambda b_{\lambda,0} + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta} = ab = ba = \alpha_a b + \delta b_{0,\delta} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta}$  implying  $b_{\lambda,0} = b_{0,\delta} = 0$ . If  $b_{\lambda,\delta} \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda = \delta$ , and we are done. Hence  $b_{\lambda,\delta} = 0$ , in which case  $ab \in Fa$ . But then  $a$  is an eigenvector of  $b$ , so by Proposition 3.4(iii),  $ab = 0$ .  $\square$

Next we analyze the situation in flexible algebras. We start with two examples of 2-generated flexible algebras which are not commutative.

**Examples 3.6.** Let  $\lambda, \delta \in F$  such that  $\lambda, \delta \notin \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ , and  $\lambda \neq \delta$ .

(1) Let  $A$  be the 2-dimensional algebra  $Fa + Fb$  with multiplication defined by

$$a^2 = a, \quad b^2 = b, \quad ab = \delta a + \lambda b \quad ba = \lambda a + \delta b.$$

Then  $(ab)a = \delta a + \lambda ba = \delta a + \lambda^2 a + \lambda \delta b$  and  $a(ba) = \lambda a + \delta ab = \lambda a + \delta^2 a + \lambda \delta b$ . Note however that  $\delta + \lambda^2 = \lambda + \delta^2$ . So  $(ab)a = (ba)a$ . By symmetry  $(ba)b = b(ab)$ . Next we show that  $R_a^2 - R_a = L_a^2 - L_a$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} a(ab) - ab &= a(\delta a + \lambda b) - \delta a - \lambda b = \lambda ab - \lambda b = \lambda(\delta a + \lambda b) - \lambda b \\ &= \lambda \delta a + \lambda^2 b - \lambda b. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (ba)a - ba &= (\lambda a + \delta b)a - \lambda a - \delta b = \delta ba - \delta b = \delta(\lambda a + \delta b) - \delta b \\ &= \delta \lambda a + \delta^2 b - \delta b. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda^2 - \lambda = \delta^2 - \delta$ , we are done.

Next

$$\begin{aligned} ((\alpha a + \beta b)a)(\alpha a + \beta b) &= (\alpha a + \beta ba)(\alpha a + \beta b) = \\ &= \alpha^2 a + \alpha \beta ab + \beta \alpha (ba)a + \beta^2 (ba)b, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\alpha a + \beta b)(a(\alpha a + \beta b))) &= (\alpha a + \beta b)(\alpha a + \beta ab) = \\ &= \alpha^2 a + \alpha \beta a(ab) + \beta \alpha ba + \beta^2 b(ab). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(ba)a - ba = a(ab) - ab$ , and since  $(ab)a = a(ba)$ , we see that  $(xa)x = x(ax)$ , for all  $x \in A$ . By symmetry  $(xb)x = x(bx)$ , for all  $x \in A$ , so  $A$  is flexible.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} a(a-b) &= a - ab = a - (\delta a + \lambda b) = \lambda(a-b) \text{ and} \\ b(a-b) &= ba - b = \lambda a + \delta b - b = \lambda(a-b). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $(a-b)a = \delta(a-b) = (a-b)b$ . (Indeed  $(a-b)^2 = 0$ .) We thus have  $A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a) = A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(b) = F(a-b)$ , with  $(F(a-b))^2 = 0$ , and of course  $A_0(a) = A_0(b) = 0$ . Thus the fusion laws hold for both  $a$  and  $b$ , and both are axes of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Note that the idempotents in  $A$  have the form  $\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b$ ,  $\alpha \in F$ .

(2) Let  $A$  be the 3-dimensional algebra  $Fa + Fb + Fx$ , with multiplication defined by  $a^2 = a$ ,  $b^2 = b$ ,  $x^2 = 0$ , and

$$ab = ax = xb = \lambda x, \quad ba = xa = bx = \delta x,$$

with  $\lambda^2 - \lambda = \delta^2 - \delta$ . So

$$Fx = A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a) = A_{(\delta,\lambda)}(b), \quad A_0(a) = F(b-x), \quad A_0(b) = F(a-x).$$

To show that  $A$  is flexible, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} &((\alpha a + \beta b + \xi x)a)(\alpha a + \beta b + \xi x) \\ &= (\alpha a + \xi x)(\alpha a + \beta b + \xi x) \quad \eta = \beta \delta + \xi \delta \\ &= \alpha^2 a + \alpha \beta \lambda x + \alpha \xi \lambda x + \eta(\alpha \delta + \beta \lambda)x \\ &= \alpha^2 a + \alpha \beta \lambda x + \alpha \xi \lambda x + (\alpha \beta \delta^2 + \beta^2 \lambda \delta + \alpha \xi \delta^2 + \xi \delta \beta \lambda)x \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha a + \beta b + \xi x)(a(\alpha a + \beta b + \xi x)) \\
&= (\alpha a + \beta b + \xi x)(\alpha a + \gamma x) \quad \gamma = \beta\lambda + \xi\lambda \\
&= \alpha^2 a + \alpha\beta\delta x + \alpha\xi\delta x + \gamma(\alpha\lambda + \beta\delta)x \\
&= \alpha^2 a + \alpha\beta\delta x + \alpha\xi\delta x + (\alpha\beta\lambda^2 + \beta^2\lambda\delta + \xi\alpha\lambda^2 + \xi\lambda\beta\delta)x
\end{aligned}$$

We must show that

$$\alpha\beta\lambda + \alpha\xi\lambda + \alpha\beta\delta^2 + \alpha\xi\delta^2 = \alpha\beta\delta + \alpha\xi\delta + \alpha\beta\lambda^2 + \xi\alpha\lambda^2.$$

But this follows from the fact that  $\lambda^2 - \lambda = \delta^2 - \delta$ . By symmetry  $(yb)y = y(by)$ , for all  $y \in A$ . It is easy to check that  $(yx)y = y(xy)$ , for all  $y \in A$ , and we see that  $A$  is flexible.

Note that the idempotents in  $A$  have the form  $\alpha a + \beta b + \xi x$ , with  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

In checking the fusion rules for  $a$ , we have  $(b-x)x = bx \in Fx$  and  $x^2 = 0$ , but

$$(b-x)^2 = b^2 - bx - xb + x^2 = b - (\lambda + \delta)x,$$

which is in  $A_0$  iff  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$ .

Likewise for  $b$ . For  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are axes of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and  $(\delta, \lambda)$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose  $A$  is a flexible algebra, and let  $a, b \in A$  be axes. Then*

- (1)  $a$  is of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and either  $\lambda = \delta$ , so  $a \in C(A)$ , or  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ ,  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , and  $x^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A_{\lambda, \delta}$ .
- (2) Write

$$b = \alpha_b a + b_0 + b_{\lambda, \delta} \text{ and } a = \alpha_a b + a_0 + a_{\lambda', \delta'},$$

where  $b_0 \in A_0(a)$ ,  $b_{\lambda, \delta} \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ ,  $a_0 \in A_0(b)$ ,  $a_{\lambda', \delta'} \in A_{\lambda', \delta'}(b)$ . Assume that  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , and  $\lambda' \neq \delta'$  (so that both  $a$  and  $b$  are not in  $C(A)$ , and  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$  and  $\delta' = 1 - \lambda'$ ).

- (I)  $(ab)^2 = \alpha_b(ab)$ , and  $(ba)^2 = \alpha_a(ba)$ . In particular, if  $ab \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha_a = \alpha_b$ .
- (II) Suppose that  $b_{\lambda, \delta} \neq 0$ . So that  $ab \neq 0$ , and hence  $\alpha_a = \alpha_b$ . Write  $\alpha := \alpha_b$ , and let  $\langle a, b \rangle$  be the subalgebra generated by  $a, b$ . Then
  - (i)  $b_0 b_{\lambda, \delta} = \delta(1 - \alpha)b_{\lambda, \delta}$ , and  $b_{\lambda, \delta} b_0 = \lambda(1 - \alpha)b_{\lambda, \delta}$ .
  - (ii)  $bb_{\lambda, \delta} = (\alpha(\lambda - \delta) + \delta)b_{\lambda, \delta}$  and  $b_{\lambda, \delta} b = (\alpha(\delta - \lambda) + \lambda)b_{\lambda, \delta}$ . In particular  $a_{\lambda', \delta'}$  is a scalar multiple of  $b_{\lambda, \delta}$ .
  - (iii)  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is spanned by  $a, b, b_{\lambda, \delta}$ .
  - (iv) If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\langle a, b \rangle = Fa + Fb$  is isomorphic to the algebra in Example 3.6(i). Hence  $\lambda' = \lambda$ .
  - (v) If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is isomorphic to the algebra in Example 3.6(ii). Hence  $\lambda' = 1 - \lambda$ .
- (III) Either  $ab = ba = 0$  or  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is isomorphic to one of the algebras in Examples 3.6.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $a$  is a left axis of type  $\lambda$  and a right axis of type  $\delta$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $A_{(\lambda,0)}(a) = A_{(0,\lambda)}(a) = 0$ , and  $\lambda = \delta$ , or  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ . Hence  $a$  is of Jordan type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Further,  $\delta x^2 = (xa)x = x(ax) = \lambda x^2$ , for  $x \in A_{(\lambda,\delta)}(a)$ . Hence  $\lambda = \delta$  unless  $x^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ .

(2)(I) We have

$$ab = \alpha_b a + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta} \implies b_{\lambda,\delta} = \lambda^{-1}(ab - \alpha_b a).$$

Hence, since  $ab_{\lambda,\delta} + b_{\lambda,\delta}a = b_{\lambda,\delta}$ , and  $b_{\lambda,\delta}^2 = 0$ , we get

$$(ab)^2 = (\alpha_b a + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta})^2 = \alpha_b^2 a + \alpha_b(ab - \alpha_b a) = \alpha_b(ab).$$

The proof that  $(ba)^2 = \alpha_b(ba)$  is similar.

(II) (i) We have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} b &= b^2 = (\alpha_b a + b_0 + b_{\lambda,\delta})^2 \\ &= \alpha_b^2 a + b_0^2 + \alpha_b(\lambda + \delta)b_{\lambda,\delta} + b_0 b_{\lambda,\delta} + b_{\lambda,\delta} b_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  grading of  $A$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad b_0^2 = (\alpha_b - \alpha_b^2)a + b_0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.5) \quad (1 - \alpha_b)b_{\lambda,\delta} = b_0 b_{\lambda,\delta} + b_{\lambda,\delta} b_0.$$

Further, linearizing the flexible axiom yields

$$(3.6) \quad (xy)z + (zy)x = x(yz) + z(yx).$$

Taking  $b_0$  for  $x$  and  $a$  for  $y$  yields  $xy = yx = 0$ , implying  $(za)b_0 = b_0(az)$ , and thus, for  $z = b_{\lambda,\delta}$  we have

$$(3.7) \quad \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} b_0 = \lambda b_0 b_{\lambda,\delta}.$$

Combining equations (3.5) and (3.7) and the fact that  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ , we get (i).

(ii) This is an easy computation using (i) and the fact that  $b_{\lambda,\delta}^2 = 0$ .

(iii) Set  $B := Fa + Fb + Fb_{\lambda,\delta}$ . Clearly  $ab, ab_{\lambda,\delta}, ba, b_{\lambda,\delta}a \in B$ . By (ii), also  $bb_{\lambda,\delta}, b_{\lambda,\delta}b \in B$ , and  $b_{\lambda,\delta}^2 = 0$ .

(iv) Suppose  $\alpha \neq 0$ . By (ii) we have

$$ab = \alpha b + \gamma b_{\lambda,\delta}, \quad \gamma \in F.$$

Hence

$$0 = ab - ab = \alpha(a - b) + \rho b_{\lambda,\delta}, \quad \rho \in F.$$

This shows that  $b_{\lambda,\delta}$  is a multiple of  $a - b$ . By (iii),  $\langle a, b \rangle = Fa + Fb$ . In particular  $\langle a, b \rangle_0(b) = 0$ , so  $b = \alpha a + b_{\lambda,\delta}$ . Since  $b_{\lambda,\delta}$  is a multiple of  $a - b$ , we must have  $\alpha = 1$ . It is now easy to check that (iv) holds.

(v) Suppose that  $\alpha = 0$ . Then, by equation (3.4),  $b_0$  is an idempotent, and by (i),

$$b_0 b_{\lambda,\delta} = \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} \text{ and } b_{\lambda,\delta} b_0 = \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta}.$$

But now  $b_0$  is an idempotent and  $b = b_0 + b_{\lambda,\delta}$ , where  $b_{\lambda,\delta} \in A_{\delta,\lambda}(b_0)$ . Also  $bb_0 \neq b_0b$ . By (iv) the subalgebra generated by  $b$  and  $b_0$  is as in Example 3.6(i). Hence, since  $b_0$  is of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ ,  $b$  is also of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

Now  $ab = \lambda a_{\delta,\lambda}$ , but, since  $\alpha = 0$ , also  $ab = \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta}$ . Hence  $a_{\delta,\lambda} = b_{\lambda,\delta}$ . We now see that  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is the algebra as in Example 3.6(ii), with  $x = b_{\lambda,\delta}$ .

(III) Assume that  $ab \neq 0$ . If  $b_{\lambda,\delta} = a_{\lambda',\delta'} = 0$ , then  $ab = \alpha_b a = ba = \alpha_a b$ . this is possible iff  $\alpha_a = \alpha_b = 0$ , but then  $ab = 0$ . Hence we may assume without loss that  $b_{\lambda,\delta} \neq 0$ , and (III) holds by (II).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Suppose  $a$  is a left axis, and  $b$  is a right axis. Then*

- (i)  $a(ax) \in Fa + Fax$ , for all  $x \in A$ .
- (ii)  $(xb)b \in Fb + Fxb$ , for all  $x \in A$ .
- (iii) Let

$$V = Fa + Fb + Fab + Fba.$$

Then  $(ab)(ab) - a(bab) \in V$ .

- (iv) Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are axes (whose types may be distinct!), and that  $A$  is generated by  $a$  and  $b$ .
  - (a) If  $V$  (of (iii)) contains  $aba$ ,  $bab$ ,  $(ab)(ba)$ , and  $(ba)(ab)$ , then  $V = A$ .
  - (b) Let

$$V' = Fa + Fb + Fab + Fba + Faba + Fbab.$$

Then  $aV', bV', V'a, V'b \subseteq V'$ .

*Proof.* (i) , (ii) Special cases of Proposition 3.4.

(iii) We write  $y \cong z$  if  $y - z \in V$ . Now  $(ab - \lambda b) \in Fa + A_0(L_a)$ , so

$$b_{\lambda,l}(ab - \lambda b) \in A_{\lambda,l}.$$

Note that by (ii),  $(ab)b \in V$ . Note also that applying  $L_a$  to (i) implies  $a(a(ab)) \in V$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)(ab) &\cong ab(ab - \lambda b) = \lambda b_{\lambda,l}(ab - \lambda b) + \alpha_b a(ab - \lambda b) \cong \lambda b_{\lambda,l}(ab - \lambda b) \\ &= a(b_{\lambda,l}(ab - \lambda b)) = a((b - \alpha_b a - b_{0,l})(ab - \lambda b)) \\ &= a((b - \alpha_b a)(ab)) - \lambda a((b - \alpha_b a)b) - a(b_{0,l}(ab - \lambda b)) \\ &= a(bab) - \alpha_b a(a(ab)) - \lambda ab + \lambda \alpha_b a(ab) - a(b_{0,l}(ab - \lambda b)) \\ &\cong a(bab), \end{aligned}$$

in view of (i), since  $a(b_0(ab - \lambda b)) \in a(A_{0,l} + Fa) \subseteq Fa$ .

(iv(a)) We check that  $V$  is closed under products.

By (i) and (ii) and since  $aba, bab \in V$ , we see that  $V$  is closed under  $L_a, R_a, L_b$  and  $R_b$ .

By (iii),  $(ab)(ab) \cong a(bab) \in aV \subseteq V$ . Reversing the roles of  $a$  and  $b$  shows that  $(ba)(ba) \in V$ . We are given that  $(ab)(ba) \in V$  and  $(ba)(ab) \in V$ .



(iv(b)) By symmetry (both with respect to  $a, b$  and to working on the left or on the right), it is enough to show that  $aV' \subseteq V'$ . By (i),  $a(aba) = a(a(ba)) \in Fa + Fba + Faba \subseteq V'$ .

It remains to check that  $a(bab) \in V'$ . By (iii) and (i),

$$a((ab)(ab) - a(bab)) \in V'.$$

By (i) (and its proof)  $a(a(bab)) - \beta a(bab) \in V'$  for some  $\beta \in F$ , implying  $a((ab)(ab)) - \beta a(bab) \in V'$ .

Let the type of  $a$  be  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . We work with the decomposition of  $b$  with respect to  $a$ . Now  $aba = \alpha_b a + \lambda \delta b_{\lambda, \delta}$ , so  $b_{\lambda, \delta} \in V'$ . Hence  $b_{\lambda, 0} \in V'$ , because  $ab = \alpha_b a + \lambda b_{\lambda, 0} + \lambda b_{\lambda, \delta} \in V'$ . It is easy to check, using Proposition 3.4(iv), that  $a((ab)(ab))$  is an  $F$ -linear combination of  $a, b_{\lambda, 0}$  and  $b_{\lambda, \delta}$ . Hence  $a((ab)(ab)) \in V'$ , so  $a(bab) \in V'$ .  $\square$

In [RS2, Theorem 1.10] we shall prove that the conclusion of (iv(b)) implies  $V' = A$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *Suppose  $A$  is an algebra generated by two axes  $a$  and  $b$  (whose types may be distinct!), and let*

$$V = Fa + Fb + Fab.$$

- (i) *If  $ba \in V$ , then  $V = A$ .*
- (ii) *In particular, (i) is the case when  $a$  or  $b$  are axes of Jordan type.*

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 3.8(i),  $V$  is closed under  $L_a$ . In particular  $aba \in V$ , so  $V$  is closed under  $R_a$ . Similarly  $V$  is closed under  $R_b$  by Lemma 3.8(ii), so  $bab \in V$ , and then  $V$  is closed under  $L_b$ . It remains to show that  $(ab)(ab) \in V$ , which follows from Lemma 3.8(iii).

(ii) (For  $a$  of Jordan type)  $ba = \alpha_b a + \delta b_{\lambda, \delta}$ , and  $b_{\lambda, \delta} \in V$  by Lemma 3.8(i), so  $ba \in V$ .  $\square$

We cannot improve (ii) directly to arbitrary axes, in which case  $aba$  is not necessarily spanned by  $ab$  and  $ba$ , as seen in Example 3.3(iii). However, we have the following positive result which will be developed further in [RS2].

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $a$  be an axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and  $y \in A$ . then for*

$$V = Fa + Fy_{0,0} + Fy_{\lambda,0} + Fy_{0,\delta} + Fy_{\lambda,\delta},$$

*we have  $V = Fa + Fy + Fay + Fya + Faya$ .*

*Proof.* The two-sided inclusion is easy to check.  $\square$

## 4. $(\mu, \nu)$ -SUBALGEBRAS

In this section we generalize Albert's  $v$  construction, as a source of examples of noncommutative Jordan algebras.

**Example 4.1.** Fix elements  $\mu, \nu \in F$ , and define the algebra  $A^{\mu, \nu}$  to have the same addition as  $A$ , but multiplication

$$x \circ y := \mu x \cdot y + \nu y \cdot x.$$

Thus  $A^{(\circ)} = A^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ . The algebra  $A^{\mu, 1-\mu}$  is called **strict** and denoted  $A(\mu)$  in Albert [A, p. 581]. A subspace of  $A$  is a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -**subalgebra** if it is closed under  $\circ$ . For example, a special Jordan subalgebra is a  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ -subalgebra of an associative algebra, whereas an associative subalgebra is a  $(1, 0)$ -subalgebra of itself.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Any idempotent  $a$  of  $A$  has eigenvalues including  $0, \mu + \nu$  in  $A^{\mu, \nu}$ .*

*Proof.*  $a \circ (1 - a) = \mu a \cdot (1 - a) + \nu(1 - a) \cdot a = 0 + 0 = 0$ .  
 $a \circ a = \mu a^2 + \nu a^2 = \mu a + \nu a = (\mu + \nu)a$ . □

**Lemma 4.3.** (i) *For a nonzero element  $x \in A$  we have,  $x \circ x = x^2$  iff  $\mu + \nu = 1$ , i.e.,  $A^{\mu, \nu}$  is strict.*  
(ii) *If  $A^{\mu, \nu}$  has a unit element  $1$  with respect to  $\circ$ , then  $\mu + \nu = 1$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $x \circ x = (\mu + \nu)x^2$ .

(ii) This follows from (i) because  $1 \circ 1 = 1$ . □

**Remark 4.4.**

- (i) Note that an idempotent  $a \in A$  is an idempotent in  $A^{\mu, \nu}$ , iff  $\mu + \nu a = 1$ , i.e.  $A$  is strict.
- (ii)  $A^{\mu, \nu}$  is commutative iff  $\mu = \nu$ . When strict,  $A^{\mu, \nu}$  is commutative iff  $\mu = \nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , bringing us back to  $A^{(\circ)}$ .
- (iii)  $a \circ a = (\mu + \nu)a^2$ , so, for  $A$  strict,  $a$  is idempotent with respect to  $\circ$  iff it is idempotent with respect to the  $\cdot$  multiplication in  $A$ .

Albert [A, Theorem V.1, p. 582] proved: If  $A$  is flexible (resp. power associative) then any strict algebra  $A^{\mu, 1-\mu}$  is flexible (resp. power associative). Thus the results from §2 are available.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose  $\mu + \nu = 1$ . If  $A$  is a noncommutative Jordan algebra, and  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2, 3, 5$ , then  $A^{\mu, \nu}$  also is a noncommutative Jordan algebra.*

*Proof.* We must show that  $A^{\mu, \nu}$  satisfies Equation (2.4). We use [A, Theorem 1, p. 574], which implies that  $A$  satisfies the identities

$$x(yx^2) = (xy)x^2, \quad (yx)x^2 = (yx^2)x, \quad x^2(xy) = x(x^2y).$$

We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
(x^{\cdot 2} \circ y) \circ x &= \mu((\mu x^{\cdot 2} \cdot y + \nu y \cdot x^{\cdot 2}) \cdot x + \nu x \cdot (\mu x^{\cdot 2} y + \nu y \cdot x^{\cdot 2})) \\
&= \mu^2(x^{\cdot 2} \cdot y) \cdot x + \nu^2 x \cdot (y \cdot x^{\cdot 2}) + \mu\nu((y \cdot x^{\cdot 2}) \cdot x + x \cdot (x^{\cdot 2} \cdot y)) \\
&= \mu^2 x^{\cdot 2} \cdot (y \cdot x) + \nu^2(x \cdot y) \cdot x^{\cdot 2} + \mu\nu((y \cdot x) \cdot x^{\cdot 2} + x^{\cdot 2} \cdot (x \cdot y)) \\
&= x^{\cdot 2} \circ (\mu y \cdot x + \nu x \cdot y) \\
&= x^{\cdot 2} \circ (y \circ x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Another result of note is Albert [A, Theorem V.5, p. 582], which we can extend to:

**Proposition 4.6.** *If  $A' = A^{\mu, \nu}$  for  $\mu \neq \nu$ , then  $A = (A')^{\mu', \nu'}$  where  $\mu' = \frac{\mu}{\mu^2 - \nu^2}$  and  $\nu' = -\frac{\nu}{\mu^2 - \nu^2}$ .*

*Proof.* We should find  $\mu', \nu'$  such that

$$xy = \mu'(\mu xy + \nu yx) + \nu'(\mu yx + \nu xy),$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ , i.e.,

$$\mu' \mu + \nu' \nu = 1, \quad \mu' \nu + \nu' \mu = 0.$$

Eliminating  $\nu'$  yields  $\mu'(\mu^2 - \nu^2) = \mu$ , so  $\mu' = \frac{\mu}{\mu^2 - \nu^2}$ , and then  $\nu' = -\frac{\nu}{\mu^2 - \nu^2}$ . □

Thus, as in [A], we can transfer properties (such as simplicity) back and forth from  $A$  to  $A^{\mu, \nu}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [A] A.A. Albert, *Power-associative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1948), 552–593. MathSciNet review: 0027750
- [HRS] J. I. Hall, F. Rehren, S. Shpectorov, *Primitive axial algebras of Jordan type*, J. Algebra **437** (2015), 79–11
- [HSS] J. I. Hall, Y. Segev, S. Shpectorov, *Axial algebras of Jordan type half*, preprint.
- [RS1] *Associative and Jordan algebras generated by two idempotents*, Algebras and Representation Theory 20(6) (2017), 1495–1504.
- [RS2] *Finitely generated axial algebras*, preprint (2020).
- [Sch] R.D. Schafer, *Noncommutative Jordan algebras of characteristic 0*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 472–475. MathSciNet review: 0070627
- [T] V.G. Tkachev, Ph.D., Dr. Habil, *The universality of one half in commutative nonassociative algebras with identities*, J. Algebra, to appear.

LOUIS ROWEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL

*Email address:* rowen@math.biu.ac.il

YOAV SEGEV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL

*Email address:* yoavs@math.bgu.ac.il