

Topological violation of global symmetries in quantum gravity

Kazuya Yonekura

Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

ABSTRACT: We discuss a topological reason why global symmetries are not conserved in quantum gravity, at least when the symmetry comes from compactification of a higher form symmetry. The mechanism is purely topological and does not require any explicit breaking term in the UV Lagrangian. Local current conservation does not imply global charge conservation in a sum over geometries in the path integral. We explicitly consider the shift symmetry of an axion-like field which originates from the compactification of a p -form gauge field. Our topological construction is motivated by the brane/black-brane correspondence, brane instantons, and an idea that virtual black branes of a simple kind may be realized by surgery on spacetime manifolds.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The general mechanism	2
3	Virtual black branes as surgery	3
4	Violation of shift symmetry	4
4.1	The theory and p -form global symmetry	4
4.2	Brane instanton and spacetime surgery	6
4.3	Topological obstruction to charge conservation	9
4.4	Example	10
4.5	Discussions	11

1 Introduction

There is strong evidence that exact global symmetries do not exist in quantum gravity (e.g. [1–8]). One of the arguments is based on Euclidean wormholes [2, 3]. Such wormholes may induce terms in the low energy effective theory which violate global symmetries.

There are some conceptual difficulties of the interpretation of the results based on Euclidean wormholes, such as the fact that those wormholes may require baby universes and ensemble of theories (or in other words random couplings) rather than a single theory. It was not so clear whether we should include them in the gravitational path integral. Recently there are several developments such as exact solutions of the JT gravity [9] and replica wormholes [10, 11] which all indicate that Euclidean wormholes are important ingredients of quantum gravity. These developments, as well as others, lead to new insights into violation of global symmetries in quantum gravity, see e.g. [12–14]. (See also [15, 16].)

However, there are still some mysteries, such as how to interpret the appearance of ensemble of theories [9] (or Coleman’s α -parameters [2, 3]). For example, the problem can be sharpened by considering Euclidean wormholes in AdS spaces which are known to have a definite CFT dual [17, 18].

In this paper, we study the problem in a broader context of p -form symmetries [19]. (See also [8, 20, 21] for other discussions.) We argue that the relevant geometries for the violation of p -form symmetries are obtained by surgery on Euclidean spacetime (and its generalization which we leave to future work). We will explain surgery and its motivation in quantum gravity in later sections. Euclidean wormholes are special cases of more general surgery operation. However, the case of $p = 0$ (i.e. ordinary symmetry) and $p > 0$ are qualitatively different, and we will see that the situation seems to be much more transparent for p -form symmetries with $p > 0$ without difficult conceptual issues such

as baby universes and random couplings. Surprisingly, global symmetries are violated even if we have conserved currents, just by summing over certain topologically nontrivial configurations.¹

In Sec. 2, we explain why local current conservation does not imply global charge conservation. In Sec. 3, we discuss evidence that virtual black-branes are realized by surgery on spacetime. Then in Sec. 4, we demonstrate that shift symmetries of axion-like fields which come from the compactification of p -form symmetries are indeed broken.

2 The general mechanism

Here we would like to discuss why local current conservation does not imply global charge conservation in quantum gravity. Let us first review how charge conservation is proved in non-gravitational theories on a fixed background $X = \mathbb{R} \times Y$, where \mathbb{R} is the (Euclidean) time direction and Y is space. For definiteness, we consider p -form U(1) global symmetries, although the same conclusion holds for discrete p -form symmetries by more general characterization of symmetry operators as topological operators [19].²

For a p -form U(1) symmetry, there is a conserved current J which is a $(p + 1)$ -form operator, and satisfies the conservation equation

$$d(\star J) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where \star is the Hodge star.

On a D -dimensional manifold $X = \mathbb{R} \times Y$ with fixed topology, we can define a charge as follows. We take a $(D - p - 1)$ -dimensional submanifold $\Sigma \subset Y$, and define the charge $Q(\Sigma_t)$ as

$$Q(\Sigma_t) = \int_{\{t\} \times \Sigma} \star J, \tag{2.2}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is the (Euclidean) time and $\Sigma_t = \{t\} \times \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$.

Now the conservation of this charge between the initial state at $t = -\infty$ and the final state at $t = +\infty$ can be shown as follows. By taking $\Gamma = [-\infty, +\infty] \times \Sigma$, we have

$$\Sigma_{t=+\infty} - \Sigma_{t=-\infty} = \partial\Gamma. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, we get by Stokes theorem that

$$Q(\Sigma_{t=+\infty}) - Q(\Sigma_{t=-\infty}) = \int_{\Gamma} d \star J = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

¹While this paper was being completed, the paper [14] appeared which also discusses global symmetry violation by nontrivial topology without explicit breaking terms in the Lagrangian. The difference is that [14] considers 0-form symmetries mainly in the case of ensemble of theories, while in the present paper we consider p -form symmetries for $p > 0$ without random couplings. Then, in our case, global symmetries are violated at the level of amplitudes.

²The point of view of topological operators make further generalizations possible. See e.g. [22–24]. There may be more general “symmetries” associated to nontrivial bordism classes [25, 26], but we will not discuss them in this paper.

In this way, the charge conservation $Q(\Sigma_{t=+\infty}) = Q(\Sigma_{t=-\infty})$ follows from the current conservation $d(\star J) = 0$.

In quantum gravity, the above reasoning fails. We may take a sum over different topologies, and the partition function or transition amplitude may be given as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(X) + \mathcal{Z}(X') + \mathcal{Z}(X'') + \dots, \quad (2.5)$$

where $X = \mathbb{R} \times Y$, but X', X'' and so on, can have complicated topologies. The only requirement we impose on them is that they have the same asymptotic regions $\{\pm\infty\} \times Y$ (and also the spatial infinity if Y is not compact) as X .³ In the intermediate region, they need not be a product of time \mathbb{R} and space Y .

One might try to show that $Q(\Sigma_t)$ is conserved, by the same reasoning as above. For this purpose, the crucial requirement is to find a submanifold Γ on each of X, X', X'', \dots whose boundary is given by $\Sigma_{t=+\infty} - \Sigma_{t=-\infty}$ as in (2.3). If we could find such a Γ , we could use Stokes theorem to show the charge conservation. However, as we will show explicitly in Sec. 4, there exists a manifold X' in which we cannot find any such Γ . In other words, $\Sigma_{t=-\infty}$ and $\Sigma_{t=+\infty}$ are topologically distinct on that manifold X' . In such a configuration, there is no reason at all that $Q(\Sigma_{t=-\infty})$ and $Q(\Sigma_{t=+\infty})$ should be the same. This is the general reason that a charge is not expected to be conserved in quantum gravity. We demonstrate it more explicitly in Sec. 4.

The above argument assumes that the relevant manifold X' contributes to the path integral. In quantum gravity, it is a nontrivial question whether a certain gravitational configuration contributes to the path integral or not. In particular, we will not show (except for a simple example) that X' satisfies the classical equations of motion. We have two comments on this point. First, the results on JT gravity in [9] encourages us to consider gravitational contributions even if they do not satisfy equations of motion, that is, they are not the saddle points. Next, our configuration is motivated by some brane considerations in string theory. We believe that we should sum over brane instantons in the Euclidean path integral. Our gravitational configuration is motivated by branes under brane/black-brane correspondence which have led to the discovery of AdS/CFT correspondence; see [28] for a review. Thus, it is reasonable to think that our configuration is relevant for the path integral.

3 Virtual black branes as surgery

Virtual effects of black branes may be incorporated in the Euclidean path integral as surgery on spacetime manifolds. Let us first discuss a simple case before explaining surgery in general.

Let us recall Hawking-Page transition [29]. (See also [30].) If we only care about the topology, Hawking-Page transition may be described as follows. We consider a spacetime with topology $S^1 \times D^{D-1}$, where D^k is a k -dimensional disk. The boundary of this manifold

³This requirement is just imposed for the purpose of a clear demonstration of global symmetry violation in this paper. We can consider more general processes in which the topologies of the initial time and the final time are different. See [27] for an interpretation of such cases.

is $S^1 \times S^{D-2}$. Now there is a manifold $D^2 \times S^{D-2}$ whose boundary is the same as $S^1 \times D^{D-1}$. The interpretation of it in gravitational theories is as follows. In the geometry $S^1 \times D^{D-1}$, suppose that we put a black hole at the center $0 \in D^{D-1}$. The world line of the black hole, regarded as a point particle, wraps $S^1 \times \{0\}$. The black hole wrapping $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset S^1 \times D^{D-1}$ is equivalent to the geometry $D^2 \times S^{D-2}$. This is the topological interpretation of Hawking-Page transition.

We expect that a natural generalization of the above construction to a black brane with worldvolume dimension p is as follows. Let us consider a spacetime $S^p \times D^{D-p}$, and put a brane on $S^p \times \{0\} \subset S^p \times D^{D-p}$. Then that configuration is equivalent to a smooth geometry $D^{p+1} \times S^{D-p-1}$. Both manifolds have the same boundary $S^p \times S^{D-p-1}$. In fact, this kind of transition is really realized in string theory in the context of deformed/resolved conifolds [31–35]. There, we put D-branes or NS5-branes on $S^p \times \{0\} \subset S^p \times D^{D-p}$ (times \mathbb{R}^4), and by AdS/CFT duality we get a smooth geometry on $D^{p+1} \times S^{5-p}$ (times \mathbb{R}^4), where $p = 2$ or 3 .

More generally, when a CFT is put on S^p , AdS/CFT itself may be regarded topologically as a process of converting $S^p \times D^{D-p}$ with branes on $S^p \times \{0\}$ to a geometry $D^{p+1} \times S^{D-p-1}$, where D^{p+1} is a Euclidean AdS (i.e. a hyperbolic space).⁴ For example, we may consider M5-branes wrapping S^6 and then its gravity dual AdS₇ \times S^4 is topologically $D^{p+1} \times S^{D-p-1}$ for $p = 6$ and $D = 11$.

On a manifold X of general topology, the above transition is described by surgery as follows. In this paper we assume for simplicity that we have a submanifold $S^p \subset X$ whose normal bundle is topologically trivial and hence we can take a tubular neighborhood of S^p as $S^p \times D^{D-p} \subset X$. We wrap a black brane on $S^p \times \{0\} \subset S^p \times D^{D-p} \subset X$. Then it is equivalent to a new geometry X' in which $S^p \times D^{D-p}$ is replaced by $D^{p+1} \times S^{D-p-1}$ (up to orientation which we mention later). This is possible since both $S^p \times D^{D-p}$ and $D^{p+1} \times S^{D-p-1}$ have the same boundary $S^p \times S^{D-p-1}$. Mathematically, this process of obtaining a new manifold X' from the old one X is called surgery. This is done by a local operation in a neighborhood of S^p in X .

4 Violation of shift symmetry

Now we would like to demonstrate the violation of global symmetry charge in a more explicit setup.⁵

4.1 The theory and p -form global symmetry

We consider the following theory of gravity coupled to a p -form gauge field A and possibly other fields. The Euclidean action I (which appears in the path integral as e^I) is

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^D x \sqrt{g} R - \frac{2\pi}{e^2} \int F \wedge \star F + \dots, \quad (4.1)$$

⁴I would like to thank Yuji Tachikawa for pointing out this point of view.

⁵The discussions of this section can be generalized to Chern-Weil symmetries discussed in [36]. It would be interesting to study the details.

where \star is the Hodge star, $F = dA$ is the field strength, D is the spacetime dimension, and G, e are parameters. The ellipsis denote possible other matter fields and interactions.

The gauge field A is normalized as follows. A p -dimensional object which has charge $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ under this gauge field has a term in its action given by

$$2\pi i q \int A. \quad (4.2)$$

Then the standard Dirac quantization condition is that $\int F \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $(p+1)$ -cycles.

We call an object which is charged under A as an electric brane. We will consider the case that it is a black brane, but for the present discussion we need not assume it. Suppose that an electric brane is placed at $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^p \subset \mathbb{R}^D$. The Euclidean action contains terms

$$I \supset -\frac{2\pi}{2e^2} \int F \wedge \star F + 2\pi i q \int \delta(z) \wedge A. \quad (4.3)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^{D-p}$ is the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^{D-p} which is transverse to the brane, and $\delta(z)$ is the delta function $(D-p)$ -form localized on $z=0$. The equation of motion is

$$(-1)^p d(e^{-2} \star F) + i q (-1)^{p(D-p)} \delta(z) = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

We define the electromagnetic dual of F as

$$\tilde{F} = i(-1)^{Dp} e^{-2} \star F. \quad (4.5)$$

The factor of the imaginary unit i is an artifact due to the fact that we are working in Euclidean signature metric rather than Lorentzian. Then, from the above equation we get

$$d\tilde{F} = q\delta(z) \implies \int_{S^{D-p-1}} \tilde{F} = q \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4.6)$$

where S^{D-p-1} is a sphere surrounding the electric brane. This means that the electric brane is a magnetic source of the dual field \tilde{F} .

The duality between F and \tilde{F} can be achieved by the following action

$$I(A, \tilde{F}) = 2\pi \int \left(i(-1)^{D-p-1} \tilde{F} \wedge dA - \frac{e^2}{2} \tilde{F} \wedge \star \tilde{F} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Here we have taken A and \tilde{F} as independent variables. If we integrate over \tilde{F} , we get back to the action of A given above with $e^2 \star \tilde{F} = i(-1)^{D-p-1} F$ which is equivalent to (4.5). On the other hand, if we integrate over A , we get a dual action for $\tilde{F} = d\tilde{A}$ by the following argument. First, the integration over topologically trivial A gives $d\tilde{F} = 0$, which is the Bianchi identity. Next, the sum over nontrivial fluxes of $F = dA$ sets the fluxes of \tilde{F} to be integer valued by the formula $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i n x} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - m)$ and Poincare duality. Therefore, \tilde{F} is described by a dual field \tilde{A} as $d\tilde{A} = \tilde{F}$. See [37] for more detailed discussions (which was done in the case of $D=4, p=1$ but can be generalized).

The theory has two higher form symmetries [19]. They are related by duality $F \leftrightarrow \tilde{F}$ so we only discuss one of them. The action of A is invariant under a shift

$$A \rightarrow A + \eta \quad (4.8)$$

where η is a closed p -form, $d\eta = 0$. This is the p -form symmetry which is possessed by A . The gauge transformation (rather than global symmetry transformation) is given by $A \rightarrow A + \eta_{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\eta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a closed p -form whose integrals on p -cycles are integers. Thus the actual global symmetry transformations are characterized by elements of $H^p(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. The purpose of this section is to see that this symmetry is broken by the topological reason discussed in Sec. 2 even if the Lagrangian does not contain any explicit breaking term.

4.2 Brane instanton and spacetime surgery

We make the following set of assumptions on the spacetime geometry X . First, we assume that the theory is compactified on a closed manifold M of dimension $D - d$ so that the topology of spacetime is

$$X = \mathbb{R}^d \times M. \quad (4.9)$$

We assume that M contains a nontrivial p -dimensional cycle C which is topologically S^p . This $C \cong S^p$ is assumed to generate a free \mathbb{Z} factor in the homology group $H_p(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

Let NC be the normal bundle to C in M , and let $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be the trivial rank d bundle which is the tangent bundle of \mathbb{R}^d above. We assume that $NC \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is topologically trivial on C . We remark that this triviality is required only as a real vector bundle as opposed to a complex vector bundle. For example, let us consider a Calabi-Yau manifold M which contains a complex submanifold $C \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. The Calabi-Yau condition requires that the normal bundle NC as a complex bundle has the first Chern class $\int_C c_1(NC) = -2$, and hence it is nontrivial as a complex vector bundle. However, if we view NC as a real vector bundle, its second Stiefel-Whitney class is zero, $\int_C w_2(NC) = -2 = 0 \pmod{2}$. The second Stiefel-Whitney class is the only obstruction for a real vector bundle of rank $r > 2$ to be trivialized on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \cong S^2$, and hence the normal bundle is trivial if $r > 2$. This is because of the fact that the transition function between the northern hemisphere and the southern hemisphere is classified by $\pi_1(\mathrm{SO}(r)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. In more general case with $C \cong S^p$, the classification is $\pi_{p-1}(\mathrm{SO}(r))$ (in orientable case). The relevant rank r in this discussion is that of $NC \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^d$, which is $r = D - p$.

Of course, on generic manifolds we can easily find cycles C which do not satisfy the above assumptions. Sometimes a nontrivial element of $H_p(M; \mathbb{Z})$ cannot even be represented by a submanifold. Normal bundles can also have nontrivial topology. We leave it as a future work to understand those more general cases.

By de Rham theorem, there is a closed p -form ω which we take as a harmonic form such that $\int_C \omega = 1$. More precisely, we may fix a basis of $H_p(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and require $\int \omega = 0$ for the basis elements other than C . The low energy theory on \mathbb{R}^d contains a scalar field ϕ , an ‘‘axion’’, as

$$A = \phi\omega \implies \phi = \int_C A. \quad (4.10)$$

By gauge transformations, it has periodicity $\phi \sim \phi + 1$. The axion field also has the shift symmetry $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \alpha$ which comes from the p -form symmetry (4.8) by taking $\eta = \alpha\omega$.

On the Euclidean spacetime $X = \mathbb{R}^d \times M$, we can consider a brane instanton of charge \mathfrak{q} by wrapping an electric brane on $\{x\} \times C \subset X$ if there exists such a brane. Its exponentiated action is proportional to $\exp(2\pi i \mathfrak{q} \int_C \phi \omega) = \exp(2\pi i \mathfrak{q} \phi)$. Therefore, the low energy effective field theory on \mathbb{R}^d contains a term in the effective action which is proportional to

$$I_{\text{eff}} \propto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d^d x (e^{2\pi i \mathfrak{q} \phi} + \text{c.c.}). \quad (4.11)$$

In particular, it explicitly breaks the shift symmetry $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) which was present at the classical level. The only remaining symmetry is $\phi \rightarrow \phi + 1$, which is a gauge transformation and should not be broken.

Our purpose here is to see that we can produce the effective action I_{eff} by purely geometric effects without considering the electric brane as an “elementary object”. This is expected to be possible by the brane/black-brane correspondence and the idea that a black brane in Euclidean signature space is realized by surgery, as discussed in Sec. 3. At least at the topological level, we argue that it is indeed possible under the assumptions on C made above.

Let us define

$$C_x = \{x\} \times C \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times M = X. \quad (4.12)$$

where x is an arbitrary point on \mathbb{R}^d . These are the cycles on which the brane instanton would wrap in the above discussion (which is then integrated over x). The normal bundle to C_x in X is $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^d \oplus NC$ which we have assumed to be trivial. We fix one trivialization. Then we can take a tubular neighborhood T_x of C_x which is topologically $T_x \cong D^{D-p} \times S^p$. The submanifold C_x lies at the origin of D^{D-p} .

Now we perform surgery which is sketched in Sec. 3. First we notice that T_x has a boundary which is topologically $\partial T_x \cong S^{D-p-1} \times S^p$. This is the same as the boundary of another manifold $S^{D-p-1} \times D^{p+1}$, up to an orientation change by $(-1)^{D-p-1}$ since $\partial(S^{D-p-1} \times D^{p+1}) = (-1)^{\dim S^{D-p-1}} S^{D-p-1} \times \partial D^{p+1}$. We take a copy of $S^{D-p-1} \times D^{p+1}$ with the orientation change mentioned above, and denote it as T'_x . Notice that $\partial T_x \cong \partial T'_x$. Surgery is performed by removing T_x from X and then gluing T'_x . This makes X to another manifold X' .

Notice that the original cycle $C \cong S^p$ is now trivialized near the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the surgery because we “filled the inside of S^p by D^{p+1} ”. Also notice that we have created a new nontrivial cycle S^{D-p-1} . On this new cycle, we put an electric flux

$$\int_{S^{D-p-1}} \tilde{F} = \mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.13)$$

Compare it with (4.6). We claim that this is the black-brane version of the above electric brane instanton. The new spacetime X' is completely smooth and it consists of purely the metric g and the gauge field (A, \tilde{F}) .

Let us study some topological structure of the above flux (4.13) on the entire manifold X' . We set

$$\tilde{F} = \mathfrak{q} \eta \quad (4.14)$$

so that $\int_{S^{D-p-1}} \eta = 1$. Topologically, the flux given by η is equivalent to a delta function $\eta \sim \delta(y-y_0)$ localized on an arbitrary point $y_0 \in S^{D-p-1}$. In other words, a representative of the Poincare dual of η within the local region $T'_x \cong S^{D-p-1} \times D^{p+1}$ is given by $\{y_0\} \times D^{p+1} \subset T'_x$. The Poincare dual is intuitively regarded as a flux tube of $\tilde{F} = q\eta$. (Notice that the discussion here is only at the topological level and the flux of \tilde{F} need not be a tube at the dynamical level.) Its boundary on T'_x is $\{y_0\} \times S^p$, and we can glue it to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^p$ where $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{s \geq 0\}$ is a copy of the half real line embedded in the manifold $X' \setminus T'_x = X \setminus T_x$ in such a way that $s \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to going to infinity on \mathbb{R}^d . Topologically, it is irrelevant which direction \mathbb{R}_+ goes to. The only point here is that the flux can escape to infinity.

We conclude that topologically η is Poincare-dual to a submanifold $L \subset X'$ with the following properties. It is topologically a disk D^{p+1} and the boundary is

$$\partial L = \{\infty\} \times C \cong S^p, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\infty \in S^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an arbitrary point at infinity on \mathbb{R}^d , with S^{d-1} being the boundary at infinity. This L represents an element of the relative homology group $H_{p+1}(X', \partial X'; \mathbb{Z})$ where $\partial X' = S^{d-1} \times M$ is the boundary at infinity. Poincare duality is the isomorphism $H_{p+1}(X', \partial X'; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{D-p-1}(X'; \mathbb{Z})$ under which L and η correspond to each other.

Now we can see how the geometry generates the term proportional to $e^{2\pi i q \phi}$ in the low energy effective action on \mathbb{R}^d . First we notice that the original cycle C is now trivialized near x as remarked above by “filling S^p by D^{p+1} ” near the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the field A cannot be $\phi\omega$ everywhere on X' . We replace it by a configuration A_ϕ which goes to $\phi\omega$ at infinity $S^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and smoothly goes to zero in the region near $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where we have performed the surgery. We assume that ϕ is constant in the neighborhood of the boundary at infinity $S^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the field strength $F_\phi = dA_\phi$ is zero near S^{d-1} , and it gives an element of cohomology with compact support, $H^{p+1}(X', \partial X'; \mathbb{R})$.

We use the action (4.7) in which A and \tilde{F} are regarded as independent variables, and consider the contribution to the path integral coming from the configuration

$$A = A_\phi, \quad \tilde{F} = q\eta. \quad (4.16)$$

The relevant part of the action is

$$I \supset 2\pi \int \left(i(-1)^{D-p-1} \tilde{F} \wedge dA - \frac{e^2}{2} \tilde{F} \wedge \star \tilde{F} \right). \quad (4.17)$$

The second term produces a real value for the action. The first term is the crucial part for our purposes and it is computed as follows. We have seen that η is Poincare-dual to the

cycle L . After carefully examining the orientation, one gets ⁶

$$2\pi i(-1)^{(D-p-1)} \int_{X'} \mathbf{q}\eta \wedge F_\phi = 2\pi i\mathbf{q} \int_L F_\phi = 2\pi i\mathbf{q} \int_{\partial L} A_\phi = 2\pi i\mathbf{q}\phi, \quad (4.19)$$

where we have used the fact that ∂L is at infinity and we can use $A_\phi = \phi\omega$ there. We have also implicitly used that F_ϕ defines a cohomology element with compact support as mentioned above, and computed $\int \eta \wedge F_\phi$ as a cohomology pairing between $H^{D-p-1}(X'; \mathbb{R})$ and $H^{p+1}(X', \partial X'; \mathbb{R})$.

Therefore, we have reproduced the phase factor $2\pi i\mathbf{q}\phi$ which was expected from the brane considerations. It is a nontrivial question whether the configuration discussed above really contributes to the gravitational path integral. We regard the brane/black-brane correspondence and the successful reproduction of the phase $2\pi i\mathbf{q}\phi$ as strong evidence that it really contributes to the path integral.

4.3 Topological obstruction to charge conservation

On the manifold X with topology $X = \mathbb{R}^d \times M$, the charge $Q(\Sigma_t) = \int_{\{t\} \times \Sigma} \star J$ of the p -form symmetry current J is conserved as discussed in Sec. 2. In the case discussed in this section, the p -form symmetry current is $\star J = \tilde{F}$. For Σ , we may take $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \tilde{C}$, where \tilde{C} is a submanifold on M which is Poincare-dual to ω on M . In particular the intersection number between C and \tilde{C} is 1 up to sign, since Poincare-duality implies $\int_{C \cap \tilde{C}} 1 = \int_C \omega = 1$. \mathbb{R}^{d-1} is a “time slice” on \mathbb{R}^d though we are working with Euclidean signature metric.

In quantum gravity we may take a sum over geometries with different topologies, and the partition function or transition amplitude may be given as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(X) + \mathcal{Z}(X') + \dots \quad (4.20)$$

We claimed in Sec. 2 that for some X' , there is no Γ such that $\partial\Gamma = \Sigma_{t=+\infty} - \Sigma_{t=-\infty}$. Here we prove the non-existence of Γ for the X' which is obtained from $X = \mathbb{R}^d \times M$ by the surgery as constructed above.

Before proving it, let us make one remark. In the conservation argument given in Sec. 2, we have implicitly neglected spatial infinity on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . More precisely, including the spatial infinity, we replace $\Sigma_{t=+\infty} - \Sigma_{t=-\infty}$ by $S^{d-1} \times \tilde{C}$, where S^{d-1} is the sphere at infinity on \mathbb{R}^d . Then the question is whether we can find a submanifold Γ such that $\partial\Gamma = S^{d-1} \times \tilde{C}$.

Recall that \tilde{C} is defined to be the Poincare dual of ω . Let $A_{\phi=1}$ be a p -form, defined similarly as A_ϕ , which is ω at the spatial infinity and goes to zero around T'_x . Then we see that

$$\int_{S^{d-1} \times \tilde{C}} \eta = \int_{S^{d-1} \times M} \eta \wedge \omega = (-1)^{D-p-1} \int_{X'} \eta \wedge dA_{\phi=1} = 1, \quad (4.21)$$

⁶Let us compute it on T'_x . We remarked earlier that it is $S^{D-p-1} \times D^{p+1}$ up to an orientation change by $(-1)^{D-p-1}$. We also have $\eta = \delta(y - y_0)$ on S^{D-p-1} . Thus

$$2\pi i(-1)^{(D-p-1)} \int_{T'_x} \mathbf{q}\eta \wedge F_\phi = 2\pi i\mathbf{q} \int_{S^{D-p-1} \times D^{p+1}} \delta(y - y_0) \wedge F_\phi = 2\pi i\mathbf{q} \int_{D^{p+1}} F_\phi. \quad (4.18)$$

This computation makes sure that the sign in (4.19) is correct.

where we have used (4.19) with ϕ set to 1. If there were Γ such that $\partial\Gamma = S^{d-1} \times \tilde{C}$, we would get $\int_{S^{d-1} \times \tilde{C}} \eta = \int_{\Gamma} d\eta = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, there is no such Γ .

The above argument shows that the flux $\tilde{F} = \mathbf{q}\eta$ is precisely the obstruction to the existence of Γ on X' such that $\partial\Gamma = S^{d-1} \times \tilde{C}$. The flux \tilde{F} have played an important role in the generation of the phase $2\pi i \mathbf{q}\phi$ in the above discussions, and it also gives an obstruction to the existence of Γ . We conclude that $Q(\Sigma_{t=-\infty})$ and $Q(\Sigma_{t=+\infty})$ need not be the same on X' , and the charge conservation is violated in the sum (4.20).

4.4 Example

There is a simple example which is actually a saddle point of the path integral at the classical level.⁷ Similar discussions on global symmetry violation have also been given in Sec. 4.3 of [20].

We consider $D = 4$, $p = 1$ and $M = S^1$. Thus the manifold X is $X = \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$. In this situation, we can consider the Euclidean version of the usual Reissner-Nordström black hole going around S^1 . Only the interpretation is different. Usually, S^1 is considered as a Wick-rotated time direction and the black hole solution is considered to represent the thermodynamic state of the black hole. Instead of that, in our context, we interpret S^1 as one of the space directions, and the time direction is included in \mathbb{R}^3 . We sum over geometries X, X', X'', \dots , with no virtual black hole, one virtual black hole, two virtual black holes etc. in the path integral. The shift symmetry of the axion field $\phi = \int_{S^1} A$ is violated.

More explicitly, the geometry X' is topologically $S^2 \times D^2$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{(r-r_+)(r-r_-)}{r^2} d\tau^2 + \frac{r^2}{(r-r_+)(r-r_-)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \\ \tilde{F} &= \frac{\mathbf{q}}{4\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The parameters r_+ and r_- are given in terms of the radius R of $S^1 = \{\tau; \tau \sim \tau + 2\pi R\}$ as

$$R = \frac{2r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-}, \quad r_+ r_- = \frac{1}{2} G e^2 \mathbf{q}^2. \quad (4.23)$$

The first equation is required by the condition that (r, τ) forms a smooth disk D^2 in the region $r \geq r_+$, where $r = r_+$ is the center of D^2 . The second one comes from Einstein equations.

To compute the action I of this geometry, we borrow a formula from the thermodynamic interpretation of the above configuration [40]. If we interpret $M = S^1$ as the Euclidean time direction, the action I is proportional to the free energy of the black hole,

$$\frac{I}{2\pi R} = -E + TS + \mathbf{q}\mu, \quad (4.24)$$

⁷This example is subtle. We neglect possible quantum mechanical instabilities, such as the one discussed in [38]. In fact, our configuration is similar to that of [38], but we want to interpret it as a loop of a “virtual charged particle” as discussed later. Also, it is not so certain how to think about it since it can also happen in string theory [39]. We leave it as a future work to better understand it.

where the energy E , the temperature T , the entropy S and the chemical potential μ are given by

$$E = \frac{(r_+ + r_-)}{2G}, \quad T = \frac{1}{2\pi R}, \quad S = \frac{4\pi r_+^2}{4G}, \quad \mu = \frac{i}{R}\phi \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore, the gravitational path integral is proportional to

$$\exp(I) = e^S \exp(-2\pi R E + 2\pi i q \phi). \quad (4.26)$$

The amount of the shift symmetry breaking of $\phi = \int_{S^1} A$ is proportional to this quantity.

On our case, $M = S^1$ is not a Euclidean time direction, but one of the spatial directions with a fixed radius R . For large enough $R \gg \sqrt{Ge^2 q^2}$, there are two solutions to (4.23), and one of them is given by $r_+ \simeq r_- \simeq \sqrt{Ge^2 q^2}/2$ and $r_+ - r_- \simeq Ge^2 q^2/R$ which is near extremal. For this solution, the formula (4.26) may be interpreted as follows. Let us regard the black hole as a particle. The usual action for a relativistic particle of mass m and charge q is

$$I_{\text{particle}} = -m \int ds + 2\pi i q \int A. \quad (4.27)$$

When a virtual particle goes around the $M = S^1$, the first term gives $-2\pi R m$ with $m = E$, where $\int ds = 2\pi R$, and the second term gives $2\pi i q \phi$. Moreover, the particle has internal states, and the number of states which contribute to the virtual particle loop around $M = S^1$ is of order e^S .⁸ This virtual particle interpretation gives the action (4.26).

4.5 Discussions

The construction described in this section requires a cycle C which is topologically S^p , and represents a nontrivial element of the homology $H_p(M; \mathbb{Z})$. There is a qualitative difference between the cases $p > 0$ and $p = 0$.

For $p > 0$, a sphere S^p is connected. On the other hand, for $p = 0$ a sphere S^0 consists of two points. Moreover, the fact that $C \cong S^0$ needs to be a nontrivial element of $H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})$ implies that M needs to have at least two components, $M = M_1 \sqcup M_2$, where \sqcup means disjoint union. One point of S^0 is on M_1 , and the other is on M_2 . Thus we can think of $X_1 = \mathbb{R}^d \times M_1$ as “one universe” and $X_2 = \mathbb{R}^d \times M_2$ as “another universe”, and they are disconnected from each other. Then our virtual black brane for $p = 0$ is exactly the Euclidean axionic wormhole [41], and in the current case it is topologically the connected sum $X_1 \# X_2$ of the two universes. Such wormholes are argued to violate usual (i.e. 0-form) global symmetries [2, 3]. In our situation, the violation happens by a flow of global symmetry charge from one universe to the other through the wormhole.

In the case $p = 0$, the wormhole poses conceptual questions about its interpretation. In particular, [17, 18] (see also [42]) have presented a sharp problem in AdS/CFT by

⁸We remark that the total number of particle states can be infinity. Only the number of states which give significant contributions to the path integral is of order e^S . For example, states whose energy E' is significantly larger than the averaged energy E do not contribute if $E' - E \gg R^{-1}$ since their contributions are exponentially suppressed.

constructing wormholes in AdS where there is a single CFT dual. The problem becomes even more severe in the light of the discovery that off-shell configurations which are not saddle points can contribute to the path integral [9].

From the point of view of the brane/black-brane correspondence, the Euclidean wormhole may be considered to be equivalent to two (-1) -branes, or more precisely one (-1) -brane and one anti- (-1) -brane, since S^0 consists of two points. Then we conjecture that there must be a (-1) -brane in a theory which is really a single unitary theory in a single universe without random couplings. A very related proposal has also been given in [43, 44] from a different (but related) viewpoint. The reason for the necessity of a (-1) -brane is as follows. Most of the problems about Euclidean wormholes are due to the fact that they connect two different points in spacetime in a nonlocal way. However, let us replace a Euclidean wormhole by a pair of a (-1) -brane and an anti- (-1) -brane. Then, there is no reason to only sum over configurations in which the numbers of (-1) -branes and anti- (-1) -branes are the same. We can consider configurations with independent numbers N_+ and N_- of (-1) -branes and anti- (-1) -branes, respectively, and sum over N_+ and N_- independently. Then we do not get nonlocal interactions between two points. A pair of a (-1) -brane and an anti- (-1) -brane must induce the same symmetry violation as a Euclidean wormhole, and hence (-1) -branes must transform nontrivially under the global symmetry and then lead to its breaking.

In fact, we can consider an analogous problem in non-gravitational theories. Let us consider a four dimensional gauge theory like QCD on \mathbb{R}^4 . We assume that the theory is higgsed at a high energy scale so that instanton gas approximation is valid. If we only sum over configurations with total instanton number 0, we get a problem of nonlocal interactions. For example, the leading nontrivial contribution comes from an instanton at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and an anti-instanton at $y \in \mathbb{R}^4$ so that the total instanton number is zero. Then we get an effective nonlocal interaction of the form

$$\int d^4x d^4y O(x) \bar{O}(y) + \dots \quad (4.28)$$

where $O(x)$ is produced by an instanton (such as an 't Hooft vertex [45]), and $\bar{O}(y)$ is produced by an anti-instanton. However, if we sum over all configurations of arbitrary instanton and anti-instanton numbers, we get

$$\exp\left(\int d^4x O(x)\right) \exp\left(\int d^4y \bar{O}(y)\right) = \exp\left(\int d^4x [O(x) + \bar{O}(x)]\right). \quad (4.29)$$

This is the reason that we sum over all configurations of instantons on \mathbb{R}^4 . See [46] for more discussions.⁹ In this context, the analog of Coleman's α -parameters may be the θ -angle associated to the instanton numbers.

⁹On the other hand, on a spacetime of compact topology rather than \mathbb{R}^4 , it is possible to impose some constraints on instanton numbers; see [47, 48]. The difference between noncompact and compact cases is due to some degrees of freedom which is frozen in noncompact spaces but is summed over in compact spaces. For example, if a discrete 0-form \mathbb{Z}_k symmetry is spontaneously broken, we pick a single vacuum on \mathbb{R}^4 to satisfy cluster decomposition, but we sum over all vacua on noncompact spaces.

The existence of (-1) -branes does not immediately solve all the problems about Euclidean wormholes due to more detailed problems beyond topology, such as the numerical value of the action of the Euclidean wormhole [18]. But at least at the topological level, the existence of (-1) -branes seems to be a necessary ingredient.

In the case of configurations studied in this paper with $p > 0$, we performed surgery only near a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in a single universe. Thus we do not get any nonlocal interactions in the low energy theory on \mathbb{R}^d . This is the conceptual simplicity of our setup for $p > 0$. In fact, from the point of view of a low energy observer on \mathbb{R}^d , our configurations look like a (-1) -brane. Thus we can see global symmetry violation in a clean way without difficult conceptual issues.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Yuji Tachikawa for stimulating discussions which have led to this work. KY is in part supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (Wakate-B), No.17K14265.

References

- [1] S. Hawking, *Particle Creation by Black Holes*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199–220. [Erratum: *Commun.Math.Phys.* 46, 206 (1976)].
- [2] S. R. Coleman, *Black Holes as Red Herrings: Topological Fluctuations and the Loss of Quantum Coherence*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **307** (1988) 867–882.
- [3] S. B. Giddings and A. Strominger, *Loss of Incoherence and Determination of Coupling Constants in Quantum Gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **307** (1988) 854–866.
- [4] R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, D. A. Linde, and L. Susskind, *Gravity and global symmetries*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 912–935, [[hep-th/9502069](#)].
- [5] N. Arkani-Hamed, L. Motl, A. Nicolis, and C. Vafa, *The String landscape, black holes and gravity as the weakest force*, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 060, [[hep-th/0601001](#)].
- [6] T. Banks and N. Seiberg, *Symmetries and Strings in Field Theory and Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 084019, [[arXiv:1011.5120](#)].
- [7] D. Harlow and H. Ooguri, *Constraints on Symmetries from Holography*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019), no. 19 191601, [[arXiv:1810.05337](#)].
- [8] D. Harlow and H. Ooguri, *Symmetries in quantum field theory and quantum gravity*, [[arXiv:1810.05338](#)].
- [9] P. Saad, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, *JT gravity as a matrix integral*, [[arXiv:1903.11115](#)].
- [10] G. Penington, S. H. Shenker, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang, *Replica wormholes and the black hole interior*, [[arXiv:1911.11977](#)].
- [11] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, *Replica Wormholes and the Entropy of Hawking Radiation*, *JHEP* **05** (2020) 013, [[arXiv:1911.12333](#)].
- [12] D. Harlow and E. Shaghoulian, *Global symmetry, Euclidean gravity, and the black hole information problem*, [[arXiv:2010.10539](#)].

- [13] Y. Chen and H. W. Lin, *Signatures of global symmetry violation in relative entropies and replica wormholes*, [arXiv:2011.06005](#).
- [14] P.-S. Hsin, L. V. Iliesiu, and Z. Yang, *A violation of global symmetries from replica wormholes and the fate of black hole remnants*, [arXiv:2011.09444](#).
- [15] J. Liu, *Scrambling and decoding the charged quantum information*, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2** (2020) 043164, [[arXiv:2003.11425](#)].
- [16] T. Daus, A. Hebecker, S. Leonhardt, and J. March-Russell, *Towards a Swampland Global Symmetry Conjecture using weak gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **960** (2020) 115167, [[arXiv:2002.02456](#)].
- [17] J. M. Maldacena and L. Maoz, *Wormholes in AdS*, *JHEP* **02** (2004) 053, [[hep-th/0401024](#)].
- [18] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. Orgera, and J. Polchinski, *Euclidean wormholes in string theory*, *JHEP* **12** (2007) 018, [[arXiv:0705.2768](#)].
- [19] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, *Generalized Global Symmetries*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 172, [[arXiv:1412.5148](#)].
- [20] M. Montero, A. M. Uranga, and I. Valenzuela, *A Chern-Simons Pandemic*, *JHEP* **07** (2017) 123, [[arXiv:1702.06147](#)].
- [21] T. Rudelius and S.-H. Shao, *Topological Operators and Completeness of Spectrum in Discrete Gauge Theories*, [arXiv:2006.10052](#).
- [22] L. Bhardwaj and Y. Tachikawa, *On finite symmetries and their gauging in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 189, [[arXiv:1704.02330](#)].
- [23] C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, Y. Wang, and X. Yin, *Topological Defect Lines and Renormalization Group Flows in Two Dimensions*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 026, [[arXiv:1802.04445](#)].
- [24] Z. Komargodski, K. Ohmori, K. Roumpedakis, and S. Seifnashri, *Symmetries and Strings of Adjoint QCD₂*, [arXiv:2008.07567](#).
- [25] J. McNamara and C. Vafa, *Cobordism Classes and the Swampland*, [arXiv:1909.10355](#).
- [26] M. Montero and C. Vafa, *Cobordism Conjecture, Anomalies, and the String Lamppost Principle*, [arXiv:2008.11729](#).
- [27] D. L. Jafferis, *Bulk reconstruction and the Hartle-Hawking wavefunction*, [arXiv:1703.01519](#).
- [28] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, *Large N field theories, string theory and gravity*, *Phys. Rept.* **323** (2000) 183–386, [[hep-th/9905111](#)].
- [29] S. Hawking and D. N. Page, *Thermodynamics of Black Holes in anti-De Sitter Space*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1983) 577.
- [30] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505–532, [[hep-th/9803131](#)].
- [31] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, *On the gauge theory / geometry correspondence*, *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.* **23** (2001) 45–63, [[hep-th/9811131](#)].
- [32] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *World sheet derivation of a large N duality*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **641** (2002) 3–34, [[hep-th/0205297](#)].

- [33] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, *Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and chi SB resolution of naked singularities*, *JHEP* **08** (2000) 052, [[hep-th/0007191](#)].
- [34] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, *Towards the large N limit of pure N=1 superYang-Mills*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 588–591, [[hep-th/0008001](#)].
- [35] C. Vafa, *Superstrings and topological strings at large N*, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 2798–2817, [[hep-th/0008142](#)].
- [36] B. Heidenreich, J. McNamara, M. Montero, M. Reece, T. Rudelius, and I. Valenzuela, *Chern-Weil Global Symmetries and How Quantum Gravity Avoids Them*, [arXiv:2012.00009](#).
- [37] E. Witten, *On S duality in Abelian gauge theory*, *Selecta Math.* **1** (1995) 383, [[hep-th/9505186](#)].
- [38] E. Witten, *Instability of the Kaluza-Klein Vacuum*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **195** (1982) 481–492.
- [39] I. Garcia Etxebarria, M. Montero, K. Sousa, and I. Valenzuela, *Nothing is certain in string compactifications*, [arXiv:2005.06494](#).
- [40] G. Gibbons and S. Hawking, *Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2752–2756.
- [41] S. B. Giddings and A. Strominger, *Axion Induced Topology Change in Quantum Gravity and String Theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **306** (1988) 890–907.
- [42] P. Betzios, E. Kiritsis, and O. Papadoulaki, *Euclidean Wormholes and Holography*, *JHEP* **06** (2019) 042, [[arXiv:1903.05658](#)].
- [43] D. Marolf and H. Maxfield, *Transcending the ensemble: baby universes, spacetime wormholes, and the order and disorder of black hole information*, *JHEP* **08** (2020) 044, [[arXiv:2002.08950](#)].
- [44] J. McNamara and C. Vafa, *Baby Universes, Holography, and the Swampland*, [arXiv:2004.06738](#).
- [45] G. 't Hooft, *Symmetry Breaking Through Bell-Jackiw Anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37** (1976) 8–11.
- [46] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields. Vol. 2: Modern applications*. Cambridge University Press, 8, 2013.
- [47] N. Seiberg, *Modifying the Sum Over Topological Sectors and Constraints on Supergravity*, *JHEP* **07** (2010) 070, [[arXiv:1005.0002](#)].
- [48] Y. Tanizaki and M. Ünsal, *Modified instanton sum in QCD and higher-groups*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 123, [[arXiv:1912.01033](#)].