DENSITY AND NON-DENSITY OF $C_c^{\infty} \hookrightarrow W^{k,p}$ ON COMPLETE MANIFOLDS WITH CURVATURE BOUNDS

SHOUHEI HONDA, LUCIANO MARI, MICHELE RIMOLDI, AND GIONA VERONELLI

ABSTRACT. We investigate the density of compactly supported smooth functions in the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}$ on complete Riemannian manifolds. In the first part of the paper, we extend to the full range $p \in [1, 2]$ the most general results known in the Hilbertian case. In particular, we obtain the density under a quadratic Ricci lower bound (when k = 2) or a suitably controlled growth of the derivatives of the Riemann curvature tensor only up to order k-3 (when k > 2). To this end, we prove a gradient regularity lemma that might be of independent interest. In the second part of the paper, for every $n \ge 2$ and p > 2 we construct a complete *n*-dimensional manifold with sectional curvature bounded from below by a negative constant, for which the density property in $W^{k,p}$ does not hold for any $k \ge 2$. We also deduce the existence of a counterexample to the validity of the Calderón-Zygmund inequality for p > 2 when Sec ≥ 0 , and in the compact setting we show the impossibility to build a Calderón-Zygmund theory for p > 2 with constants only depending on a bound on the diameter and a lower bound on the sectional curvature.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Density when $p \in [1, 2]$	6
2.1. The regularity lemma	6
2.2. Density for order 2	8
2.3. Density for higher orders	10
3. Non-density when $p > 2$: a counterexample with curvature Sec ≥ -1	15
3.1. Construction of the spike metrics $\sigma_{j,k}$	16
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.10	18
3.3. Proof of Lemma 3.2	18
3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.9, and Corollaries 1.12 and 1.14	22
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last decades there was a lot of effort put into a better understanding of Sobolev spaces on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. On the one hand, in the Euclidean spaces one has different equivalent definitions of Sobolev spaces. Once these definitions are transposed on a Riemannian manifold, one would like to know if they remain equivalent or not (see the introduction of [39] for a brief survey on this topic). On the other hand, it is useful to know which of the nice properties enjoyed by Sobolev spaces on \mathbb{R}^n still hold in the setting of non-compact manifolds.

Consider a complete, *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary (M, g). Let $W^{k,p}(M)$ be the Sobolev space of functions on M all of whose covariant derivatives of order j (in the distributional sense) are tensor fields with finite L^p -norm, for $0 \le j \le k$. This turns out to be a Banach space, once endowed with the natural norm

$$||u||_{W^{k,p}(M)} \doteq \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(\int_{M} |\nabla^{j} u|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E35, 53C21.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev space, density, curvature, singular point, Sampson formula, Alexandrov space, RCD space.

By a generalised Meyers-Serrin-type theorem (see e.g. [21]), the set $C^{\infty}(M) \cap W^{k,p}(M)$ is dense in $W^{k,p}(M)$. This actually holds without assuming completeness of M. However, it is not a-priori obvious whether the smaller subset $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ of compactly supported functions is still dense. Having defined the space $W_0^{k,p}(M) \subseteq W^{k,p}(M)$ as the closure of $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(M)}$, our paper gives a contribution to the following problem:

Problem 1.1. Let $k \ge 0$ be an integer and let $p \in [1, \infty)$. Under which assumptions on (M, g), k and p is it true that

(1)
$$W_0^{k,p}(M) = W^{k,p}(M)$$
?

Notation. Hereafter, we fix a function $\lambda : [0, \infty] \to (0, \infty)$ for which there exists a constant $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(2)
$$\lambda(t) \doteq t \prod_{j=1}^{K} \ln^{[j]}(t), \quad \text{for } t \gg 1,$$

where $\ln^{[j]}$ stands for the *j*-th iterated logarithm (e.g. $\ln^{[2]}(t) = \ln \ln t$, etc.). Hereafter, all manifolds considered will have no boundary. Moreover, given a Riemannian manifold (M, g), we denote with r(x)the Riemannian distance from a fixed origin $o \in M$ and by $B_R(x)$ the geodesic ball of radius R centered at a point $x \in M$. Also, given real-valued functions f_1 and f_2 , we write $f_1 \leq f_2$ to mean that there exists a constant C > 0 such that $f_1 \leq Cf_2$. With an abuse of notation, we agree that given a tensor T the symbol $\|T\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ will denote the L^p norm of the function |T| on Ω ; for instance, we will write $\|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ instead of $\||\nabla f|\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$.

Problem 1.1 has a long history. It is a standard fact that, without assuming completeness, $W_0^{0,p}(M) = W^{0,p}(M) = L^p(M)$, and with a little effort one can also prove that $W_0^{1,p}(M) = W^{1,p}(M)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ on any complete manifold, [2]. Also, it is obvious that $W_0^{k,p}(M) = W^{k,p}(M)$ for all $k \ge 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$ whenever M is compact (see for instance [26]). Concerning the non-trivial case $k \ge 2$, several partial positive results have been proved: a non-exhaustive list of contributions include works by T. Aubin [2], J. Eichhorn [17, 18], E. Hebey [25, 26], L. Bandara [4], B. Güneysu [22], B. Güneysu and S. Pigola [23], and D. Impera, M. Rimoldi, and G. Veronelli [30, 29]. To the best of our knowledge, the most general and up-to-date result is the following theorem from [29], which generalizes previously known achievements and goes far beyond the case of constant bounds on the curvature and the specific second order case (k = 2).

Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7 in [29]). Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold, and define λ as in (2). Then,

(i)
$$W^{k,p}(M) = W_0^{k,p}(M)$$
 for all $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $k \ge 2$, if

$$|\nabla^{j}\operatorname{Ric}|(x) \lesssim \lambda(r(x))^{\frac{2+j}{k-1}}, \qquad 0 \le j \le k-2,$$

and either

inj
$$(x) \gtrsim \lambda(r(x))^{-\frac{1}{k-1}}$$
, or $|\text{Riem}|(x) \lesssim \lambda(r(x))^{\frac{2}{k-1}}$
(ii) $W^{2,2}(M) = W_0^{2,2}(M)$ if

 $\operatorname{Ric}(x) \gtrsim -\lambda(r(x))^2$

in the sense of quadratic forms, and $W^{k,2}(M) = W^{k,2}_0(M)$ for k>2 if

$$|\nabla^{j}\operatorname{Riem}|(x) \lesssim \lambda(r(x))^{\frac{2+j}{k-1}}, \qquad 0 \le j \le k-3$$

Observe that, for k > 2, the assumptions in (i) and (ii) are skew. A noticeable feature of (ii) is that it requires a control on derivatives only up to the order k - 3: for instance,

 $W^{3,2}(M) = W^{3,2}_0(M) \qquad \text{provided that} \quad |\text{Riem}|(x) \lesssim \lambda(r(x)),$

and, in particular, if M has bounded sectional curvature (equivalently, bounded curvature operator). Quite surprisingly, for a long-time it remained unknown whether $W_0^{k,p}(M) = W^{k,p}(M)$ on any complete Riemannian manifold or whether any assumption on (M, g) was necessary in order to deduce the result. Very recently, an example has been found proving that $W_0^{k,p}(M) \subseteq W^{k,p}(M)$ is a proper inclusion on certain manifolds with a very wild geometry, at least for $p \ge 2$; [39].

In the first part of the present paper, we study the validity of the results in (ii) of Theorem 1.2 in the range $p \in [1, 2)$. For second order Sobolev spaces, we prove

Theorem 1.3. Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold such that

(3)
$$\operatorname{Ric}(x) \gtrsim -\lambda(r(x))^2$$

in the sense of quadratic forms. Then, for all $p \in [1, 2]$, we have

$$W_0^{2,p}(M) = W^{2,p}(M)$$

Remark 1.4. This result still holds, with the same proof, for slightly more general (yet more involved) choices for the function λ ; for more details see [29, Theorem 1.7 and Section 5].

Remark 1.5. The curvature of the example in [39] decays to $-\infty$ as $-r(x)^4$, and it seems difficult to refine the construction to make it decay at rate $-r(x)^{\alpha}$ for α close enough to 2. Therefore, at present, there is a gap between the curvature decays in Theorem 1.3 and in [39]. We anticipate that it would be interesting to produce a counterexample to Theorem 1.3 in the range $p \in [1, 2]$ when (3) barely fails. A counterexample for p > 2 will be given below.

The proof in [4, 30] for the case p = 2 breaks up into the following steps:

- (1) in our assumptions, by [5, Corollary 2.3] there exists a family of Laplacian cut-off functions $\chi_R \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$ such that
 - $\chi_R = 1$ on $B_R(o)$,

•
$$|\nabla \chi_R|(x) \leq C\lambda^{-1}(r(x)),$$

• $|\Delta \chi_R| \leq C$,

for some constant C > 0 independent of R;

- (2) the above properties guarantee that $||f\Delta\chi_R||_{L^2(M)} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$, for any $f \in W^{2,2}(M)$;
- (3) using the Bochner formula, the latter step implies that $||f \nabla^2 \chi_R||_{L^2(M)} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$ for any $f \in W^{2,2}(M)$.
- (4) this finally yields that $f\chi_R \to f$ in $\|\cdot\|_{W^{2,2}(M)}$.

The proof we provide here for the case p < 2 follows the same line of thought. In this case one has to control $||f \nabla^2 \chi_R||_{L^p(M)}$. Since the Bochner formula is modelled on L^2 -norms, by a Hölder inequality we estimate $||f \nabla^2 \chi_R||_{L^p(M)}$ in terms of $|||f|^{p/2} \nabla^2 \chi_R||_{L^2(M)}$ and use Bochner formula to control this latter. The main difficulty consists in estimating the remaining term involving $|f|^{p/2}$ and its derivatives. To this end, the case p = 1 requires an *ad hoc* procedure, while for $p \in (1, 2)$ we shall need a regularity lemma that, to the best of our knowledge, seems to be new. To state this latter, we first define the functional space

$$\widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M) = \Big\{ f \in L^p(M) : \Delta f \in L^p(M) \text{ distributionally} \Big\},\$$

endowed with the norm

$$||f||_{\widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M)} = ||f||_{L^{p}(M)} + ||\Delta f||_{L^{p}(M)}$$

It is important to notice that O. Milatovic, see [24, Appendix A], proved that $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ is dense in $\widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M)$ on any complete manifold M, independently of the behaviour of its curvatures.

Lemma 1.6. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold, and fix $p \in (1, \infty)$. If $f, |\nabla f|, \Delta f \in L^p(M)$, then $|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \in W^{1,2}(M)$, with the bound

$$\left\|\nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2} \leq \frac{p^{2}}{4(p-1)} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{p-1} \|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(M)}.$$

Moreover, when $1 , if <math>f \in \widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M)$ then $|\nabla f| \in L^p(M)$ and

(4)
$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{p^{2}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{2-p} \left\|\nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \quad \forall f \in \widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M).$$

Notably, this lemma in the case $1 refines the <math>L^p$ -gradient estimate found by T. Coulhon and X. T. Duong, [15], who showed that, for some constant C_p ,

(5)
$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{2} \leq C_{p} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(M)}, \quad \forall f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(M).$$

At the same time, our proof avoids the use of tools from Harmonic Analysis, such as the mapping properties of the Littlewood-Paley's function. A further, different proof of (5), obtained from L^p -gradient estimates for the heat kernel, can be found in [11].

Remark 1.7. As pointed out in [15, p.7], a minor modification of the argument in [14, Sec. 5] shows the existence of manifolds (for instance, the connected sum of two copies of \mathbb{R}^n) for which (5) fails for p > n. Indeed, these examples can be generalized to any $2 reasoning precisely as for Corollary 1.12 below. Note that, by Young's inequality, (4) implies the weaker <math>L^p$ -gradient estimate

(6)
$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \leq c \big(\|f\|_{L^{p}(M)} + \|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(M)}\big).$$

Because of [13], (6) is met for each 1 if the Ricci curvature is bounded from below. A direct proof of this latter result can be found in [36, Theorem 8.2]. Sufficient conditions for the validity of (5), more precisely of the stronger

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^p(M)} \le C_p \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} f\|_{L^p(M)}$$

have been investigated in [3, 15, 14, 10, 9, 12]. With no assumptions besides the completeness of M, the only L^p -gradient estimate that we are aware of is that in Theorem 2 in [24], where the authors prove the inequality

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{2} \leq C_{p} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \Big(\|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(M)} + \max\{0, p-2\} \|\nabla^{2} f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \Big),$$

for $f \in L^p(M)$ with $|\nabla^2 f| \in L^p(M)$.

We can reproduce the same scheme of proof introduced for k = 2 also for higher orders. The main tool will be a Weitzenböck formula due to J. H. Sampson applied to the totally symmetrized (k - 1)-th covariant derivative of some special higher order cut-off functions; see Section 2.3 for precise definitions. This point of view has been recently exploited in [29, Section 5] in the case p = 2, finally leading to the result described in Theorem 1.2(ii). Combining this latter technique with our regularity lemma, we are able to deal with the full range $p \in [1, 2]$ and prove the following

Theorem 1.8. Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold such that, for some integer k > 2,

$$|\nabla^{j}\operatorname{Riem}|(x) \lesssim \lambda(r(x))^{\frac{2+j}{k-1}}, \qquad 0 \le j \le k-3,$$

with λ as in (2). Then, for all $p \in [1, 2]$, we have

$$W^{k,p}(M) = W_0^{k,p}(M).$$

The next step is to understand if one could obtain the equality $W_0^{2,p}(M) = W^{2,p}(M)$ under a lower Ricci curvature bound for p > 2. In the second part of the paper, we show that the answer is negative even if one assumes a lower bound on the sectional curvature. We obtain

Theorem 1.9. For all $n \ge 2$ and p > 2, there exists a complete, n-dimensional Riemannian manifold Q with $\text{Sec} \ge -1$ and satisfying

(7)
$$W^{k,p}(Q) \neq W_0^{k,p}(Q) \quad \text{for each } k \ge 2.$$

The manifold Q has at least two ends with finite volume, and can be constructed to have finite volume as well as to have infinite volume. Its existence will be a consequence of the following result, where we produce a "block" that can be attached to any smooth manifold. More precisely, we prove **Theorem 1.10.** For all $n \ge 2$ and all p > n there exists a complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g) with sectional curvature Sec ≥ -1 , with a distinguished relatively compact, open subset \mathbb{V} diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , such that the following holds: for each n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (N,\bar{g}) , and each relatively compact set $\mathbb{V}' \subset N$ that is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , the connected sum $M \sharp N$ obtained by gluing along \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{V}' and keeping the original metric outside of \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}' satisfies

$$W^{k,p}(M \sharp N) \neq W_0^{k,p}(M \sharp N)$$
 for each $k \ge 2$

In particular, if N has sectional curvature bounded from below the same holds for $M \sharp N$.

Remark 1.11. As we shall see, M is topologically a product $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and has finite volume.

If now, given $n \ge 2$ and p > 2, we select a surface $M \sharp N$ as in Theorem 1.10 applied in dimension 2, and a compact boundaryless manifold Y of dimension n - 2, then it is easy to show that the Riemannian manifold $Q \doteq (M \sharp N) \times Y$ satisfies (7); see Subsection 3.4. Moreover, if N is complete and has sectional curvature bounded from below the same holds for Q.

Indeed, this last observation allows to extend to the range $p \in (2, n]$ two other counterexamples that were previously known only in the case p > n. In order to introduce them, let us first note that there is a tight relation between the density of compactly supported functions in $W^{2,p}(M)$ and the validity of a global L^p -Calderón-Zygmund inequality

(CZ_p)
$$\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^p(M)} \le C(\|f\|_{L^p(M)} + \|\Delta f\|_{L^p(M)}), \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(M).$$

Indeed, as illustrated in [36, Proposition 4.7],

(8)
$$M \text{ supports } (\operatorname{CZ}_p) \implies W_0^{2,p}(M) = W^{2,p}(M)$$

while the converse is not always true; see Theorem A and the subsequent discussion in [33]. Therefore, counterexamples to the density of $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ in $W^{2,p}(M)$ have to be searched among those manifolds that do not support (CZ_p) . The existence of such manifolds has first been proved in [23, 32]. However, in these constructions the curvature is not lower bounded. Very recently, the first example of a complete non-compact manifold with non-negative sectional curvature on which (CZ_p) fails for p > n has been presented in [33] by L. Marini and the fourth author. Their example confirms a strong indication suggested by a work by G. De Philippis and J. Núñez-Zimbron, [16, Cor. 1.3], where it is proved that for p > n it is not possible to construct a Calderón-Zygmund theory on compact manifolds with constants depending only on (a diameter upper bound and) a lower sectional curvature bound. The same trick that allows us to deduce Theorem 1.9 from Theorem 1.10 also enables us to extend the above mentioned results in [33, 16] to the full range p > 2:

Corollary 1.12. For any p > 2, there exists a complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifold Q with $\text{Sec} \ge 0$ that does not satisfy (CZ_p) . Precisely, if M^2 is a 2-dimensional manifold as constructed in [33], and if Y^{n-2} is a compact manifold with $\text{Sec} \ge 0$, then one can take $Q = M^2 \times Y^{n-2}$.

Remark 1.13. Clearly, by (8) the counterexample in Theorem 1.9 is also a counterexample to (CZ_p) . The extra information in Corollary 1.12 is the possibility to construct an example with Sec ≥ 0 , in particular, by the lower volume bound given by Calabi-Yau theorem (see e.g. [40]), each end of Q has infinite volume.

Corollary 1.14. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D \geq 2$ and p > 2. Then there exist sequences of n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifolds (Q_k, g_k) with $\operatorname{diam}_{g_k}(Q_k) \leq D$, $\operatorname{Sec}_{g_k} \geq 0$ and of smooth functions $f_k \in C^{\infty}(Q_k)$ such that

$$||f_k||_{L^p(Q_k)} + ||\Delta f_k||_{L^p(Q_k)} = 1$$

but

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\nabla^2 f_k\|_{L^p(Q_k)} = \infty.$$

We now explain the strategy to prove Theorem 1.10. Contradicting (CZ_p) on M is, in principle, easier than contradicting $W_0^{2,p}(M) = W^{2,p}(M)$. Indeed, for the former, it is enough to prove that, for any given C > 0, there exists at least one compactly supported function f for which (CZ_p) with constant C fails. In particular the construction can be localized in a given region of M. On the other hand, to disprove the density of $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ in $W^{2,p}(M)$ one needs to handle with any possible compactly supported approximation of a given function. A way to overcome this problem has been proposed in [39], which contains the first (and so far unique) example of $W_0^{2,p}(M) \neq W^{2,p}(M)$ whenever $p \geq 2$. Namely, one can consider a complete manifold (M,g) with two ends E_+ and E_- and finite volume, so that it is possible to choose a function $f \in W^{2,p}(M)$ which attains two different constant values (say 1 and -1) on each end. Accordingly, to check that f has no compactly supported approximations, it is enough to prove that the $W^{2,p}$ -norm of any function F which is identically -1 and 1 on the two ends cannot be arbitrarily close to zero. In the presence of a constant lower curvature bound, our strategy can roughly be summarized as follows. First, we construct a suitable Alexandrov space (M, d_{∞}) with finite volume, $\text{Sec} \geq -1$, and a dense set of sharp singular points. We consider an exhaustion U_j of M. On each annulus $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$, inspired by [16, 33] we prove the existence of a family of metrics $\{\sigma_{j,k}\}_k$ that GH-converge to d_{∞} , and then we suitably select a function $k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ to produce a global metric g on M that equals $\sigma_{j,k(j)}$ on $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$ and has the following property: any function F with $||F||_{W^{2,p}(U_{j+1})} \leq 1$ has to be C^0 -close to a constant on $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$, in a quantitative way. In particular, if $||F||_{W^{2,p}(M)}$ is small enough, then F cannot attain values -1, 1 on the two ends, as required.

We conclude this introduction with a list of related questions for future research.

- Is it possible to construct a complete manifold with $\text{Sec} \geq 0$ for which $W_0^{2,p}(M) \neq W^{2,p}(M)$ for some p > 2? What about if we weaken the curvature assumption to $\text{Ric} \geq 0$? In both of the cases, by Calabi and Yau's theorem all ends have infinite volume, so the construction in Theorem 1.10 cannot be adapted in a straightforward way.
- The manifolds constructed in Theorem 1.9 and Corollary 1.12 are Riemannian products and, in particular, they have nontrivial topology. It would still be interesting to produce counterexamples in the range $p \in (2, n]$ by generalizing, if possible, the technique in [16]. This may lead, for instance, to counterexamples to (CZ_p) for $p \in (2, n]$ on contractible manifolds.
- Referring to Remark 1.5, are the decay rates assumed for the curvatures considered in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.8 sharp? It seems reasonable to conjecture so, up to lower order terms.
- Does a complete manifold with positive injectivity radius and Ric ≥ -1 satisfy $W_0^{2,p}(M) = W^{2,p}(M)$ for each $p \in [1,\infty)$? Recall that Theorem 1.2 answers affirmatively under the conditions $|\text{Ric}| \leq \lambda^2(r)$ and inj $\geq \lambda(r)^{-1}$. If $|\text{Ric}| \leq 1$, is a decay assumption on the injectivity radius necessary?

2. Density when $p \in [1, 2]$

2.1. The regularity lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold, and fix $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $o \in M$ be some fixed origin, and let us denote by B_r the geodesic balls of radius r centered at o. If $f \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ then $|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ and, for each 0 < R < r,

(9)
$$\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \left\| \nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(B_R)}^2 \le \|f\|_{L^p(B_r)}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{r-R} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(B_r)} + \|\Delta f\|_{L^p(B_r)} \right).$$

In particular,

(10)
$$f, |\nabla f|, \Delta f \in L^p(M) \implies |f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \in W^{1,2}(M),$$

with the bound

(11)
$$\left\|\nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2} \leq \frac{p^{2}}{4(p-1)} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{p-1} \|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(M)}$$

Moreover, if 1 ,

$$f \in \widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M) \implies |\nabla f| \in L^p(M), |f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \in W^{1,2}(M),$$

and

(12)
$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{p^{2}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)}^{2-p} \|\nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(M)} \quad \forall f \in \widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M).$$

Remark 2.2. In view of the validity of a local Calderón-Zygmund inequality, we note that the assumption $f \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ is equivalent to the assumption $f, |\nabla f|, \Delta f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(M)$.

Proof. Let us first assume that $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Clearly, $|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \in L^{2}_{loc}(M)$. Let φ be a linear cut-off function with supp $\varphi \subset \overline{B_{r}}, \varphi \equiv 1$ on B_{R} and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 1/(r-R)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we compute

$$\begin{split} -\int_{M} \langle \nabla(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \nabla\varphi \rangle &= \int_{M} \varphi \Delta(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= p \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} [f\Delta f + |\nabla f|^{2}] + p(p-2) \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} f^{2} |\nabla f|^{2} \\ &= p \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f\Delta f + p \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla f|^{2} \\ &+ p(p-2) \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} f^{2} |\nabla f|^{2} \\ &\geq p \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f\Delta f + p(p-1) \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} f^{2} |\nabla f|^{2}. \end{split}$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{M} \langle \nabla (f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right| = & p \left| \int_{M} (f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f \langle \nabla f, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right| \\ \leq & p \int_{M} (f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\nabla f| |\nabla \varphi| \\ \leq & \frac{p}{r-R} \left(\int_{B_{r}} (f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{r}} |\nabla f|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{split}$$

on the other hand,

$$\left| \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f \Delta f \right| \leq \int_{M} \varphi(f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\Delta f| \leq \left(\int_{B_{r}} (f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{r}} |\Delta f|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Summarizing,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \int_{B_R} \left| \nabla (f^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{4}} \right|^2 &= (p-1) \int_{B_R} (f^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} f^2 |\nabla f|^2 \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{f^2 + \varepsilon}\|_{L^p(B_r)}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{r-R} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(B_r)} + \|\Delta f\|_{L^p(B_r)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{(f^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{4}}\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2}(B_R)$ and pointwise convergent to $|f|^{p/2}$. By a standard result ([19, Lemma 6.2, p.16]), $|f|^{p/2} \in W^{1,2}(B_R)$ and $\nabla (f^2 + \varepsilon)^{p/4} \rightarrow \nabla |f|^{p/2}$ weakly on B_R , thus

$$\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \int_{B_R} \left| \nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right|^2 \leq \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_R} \left| \nabla (f^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{4}} \right|^2$$
$$\leq \|f\|_{L^p(B_r)}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{r-R} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(B_r)} + \|\Delta f\|_{L^p(B_r)} \right)$$

We now claim that (9) holds for $f \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(M)$. Having chosen such f, by the Meyers-Serrin-type theorem in [21] there exists $\{f_j\} \subset C^{\infty}(M)$ such that $f_j \to f$ in $W^{2,p}(B_r)$ and pointwise almost everywhere. Applying (9) to f_j shows that $\{|f_j|^{p/2}\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2}(B_R)$, so by weak compactness and pointwise

convergence we deduce that $|f_j|^{p/2} \rightharpoonup |f|^{p/2}$ in $W^{1,2}(B_R)$. Evaluating (9) on f_j , passing to limits and using the weak lower semicontinuity of gradients, we deduce (9) for each $f \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(M)$, as claimed.

Assume that $f, |\nabla f|, \Delta f \in L^p(M)$. Then, by Remark 2.2 $f \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ and thus letting $r = 2R \to \infty$ in (9) we readily deduce (11).

Next, we examine the case $f \in \widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M)$. We first consider $f \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$, since this latter space is dense in $\widetilde{W}^{2,p}(M)$ by [24, Appendix A]. If 1 , by Hölder's inequality, Stampacchia's theorem and (9) weget

(13)

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})}^{2} = \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(B_{R} \cap \{|f| > 0\})}^{2}$$

$$\leq \|f\|_{L^{p}(B_{R} \cap \{|f| > 0\})}^{2} \left\|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R} \cap \{|f| > 0\})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(B_{R} \cap \{|f| > 0\})}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{4}{p^{2}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})}^{2-p} \left\|\nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{R} \cap \{|f| > 0\})}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{p^{2}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(B_{r})}^{2-p} \left\|\nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^{p}(B_{r})} \left(\frac{1}{r-R} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(B_{r})} + \|\Delta f\|_{L^{p}(B_{r})}\right)$$

If $f \in W^{2,p}(M)$, take $\{f_j\} \subset C_c^{\infty}(M)$ with $f_j \to f$ and $\Delta f_j \to \Delta f$ in $L^p(M)$. Applying (13) to f_j and to $f_j - f_i$, letting $r = 2R \to \infty$ and then $j \to \infty$ we deduce that $\nabla f_j \to \nabla f \in L^p(M)$. In particular, by (10) the function f satisfies (11). The same computations as in (13) can therefore be performed with $R, r = \infty$, leading to (12).

2.2. Density for order 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $R \gg 1$, let $\chi_R \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$ be a family of Laplacian cut-off functions such that

- $\chi_R = 1$ on $B_R(o)$,
- $|\nabla \chi_R| \le C \lambda^{-1}(r)$ and $\|\nabla \chi_R\|_{\infty} \le C \lambda^{-1}(R)$,
- $|\Delta \chi_R| \le C$,

for some constant C > 0 independent of R. Such a family has been constructed in (the proof of) [29, Corollary 5.2]. As usual, first note that $C^{\infty}(M) \cap W^{2,p}(M)$ is dense in $W^{2,p}(M)$ (see for instance [21]). Given a smooth $f \in W^{2,p}(M)$, define $f_R \doteq \chi_R f$. We get that

(14)
$$\|(f_R - f)\|_{L^p} = \|((1 - \chi_R)f)\|_{L^p}$$

(15)
$$\|\nabla (f_R - f)\|_{L^p} \le \|f \nabla \chi_R\|_{L^p} + \|(1 - \chi_R) \nabla f\|_{L^p}$$

(16)
$$\|\nabla^2 (f_R - f)\|_{L^p} \le 2\||\nabla \chi_R||\nabla f|\|_{L^p} + \|(1 - \chi_R)\nabla^2 f\|_{L^p} + \|f\nabla^2 \chi_R\|_{L^p}$$

Both $(1 - \chi_R)$ and $\nabla \chi_R$ are uniformly bounded and supported in $M \setminus B_R(o)$. Since $f \in W^{2,p}(M)$ this permits to conclude that all the terms at the RHS of (14), (15) and (16) except the last one tend to 0 as $R \to \infty$. Concerning $\|f \nabla^2 \chi_R\|_{L^p}$, first observe that $p \leq 2$ and Hölder's inequality imply

(17)
$$\int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla^{2} \chi_{R}|^{p} \leq \left(\int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla^{2} \chi_{R}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{M} |f|^{p} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}.$$

Accordingly, to conclude it is enough to show that

$$\int_M |f|^p |\nabla^2 \chi_R|^2 \to 0 \quad \text{as } R \to \infty.$$

Inserting into Bochner formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(\nabla|\nabla u|^2) = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \langle \nabla \Delta u, \nabla u \rangle \qquad \forall \, u \in C^{\infty}(M)$$

the function $u = \chi_R$, multiplying by $|f|^p$ and integrating over M gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{M}|f|^{p}\operatorname{div}(\nabla|\nabla\chi_{R}|^{2}) = \int_{M}|f|^{p}|\nabla^{2}\chi_{R}|^{2} + \int_{M}|f|^{p}\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla\chi_{R},\nabla\chi_{R}) + \int_{M}|f|^{p}\langle\nabla\Delta\chi_{R},\nabla\chi_{R}\rangle.$$

Applying Stokes' theorem to the first and the last integral, we get

(18)
$$\int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla^{2} \chi_{R}|^{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \langle \nabla(|f|^{p}), \nabla |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \rangle - \int_{M} |f|^{p} \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla \chi_{R}, \nabla \chi_{R}) + \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\Delta \chi_{R}|^{2} + \int_{M} \Delta \chi_{R} \langle \nabla(|f|^{p}), \nabla \chi_{R} \rangle.$$

First, note that

$$\int_{M} \Delta \chi_{R} \langle \nabla(|f|^{p}), \nabla \chi_{R} \rangle \leq C \int_{M \setminus B_{R}(o)} |\nabla(|f|^{p})| \leq Cp \left(\int_{M \setminus B_{R}(o)} |\nabla|f||^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{M \setminus B_{R}(o)} |f|^{p} \right)^{(p-1)/p}$$

Similarly

$$-\int_{M} |f|^{p} \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla \chi_{R}, \nabla \chi_{R}) \leq \int_{M \setminus B_{R}(o)} C\lambda^{-2}(r)\lambda^{2}(r)|f|^{p} \leq C \int_{M \setminus B_{R}(o)} |f|^{p}$$

and

$$\int_M |f|^p |\Delta \chi_R|^2 \le C \int_{M \setminus B_R(o)} |f|^p.$$

In particular,

(20)
$$-\int_{M} |f|^{p} \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla \chi_{R}, \nabla \chi_{R}) + \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\Delta \chi_{R}|^{2} + \int_{M} \Delta \chi_{R} \langle \nabla(|f|^{p}), \nabla \chi_{R} \rangle \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$ for $f \in W^{1,p}(M)$. Inserting (20) in (18) we deduce that, in order to prove that

$$\int_M |f|^p |\nabla^2 \chi_R|^2 \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$, it is enough to show that

(21)
$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \langle \nabla(|f|^p), \nabla |\nabla \chi_R|^2 \rangle - c \int_M |f|^p |\nabla^2 \chi_R|^2 \le 0,$$

for some c < 1 independent of R. We first suppose that $p \in (1, 2)$. By Kato and Young's inequalities we have that

(22)
$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \langle \nabla(|f|^{p}), \nabla |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \rangle \leq 2 \int_{M} |f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot |\nabla|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} |\cdot|\nabla \chi_{R}| \cdot |\nabla|\nabla \chi_{R}|| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla|\nabla \chi_{R}||^{2} + 4 \int_{M \setminus B_{R}(o)} |\nabla|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} |^{2} \cdot |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla^{2} \chi_{R}|^{2} + 4 \int_{M \setminus B_{R}(o)} |\nabla|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} |^{2},$$

where the last integral is finite and goes to 0 as $R \to \infty$ due to Lemma 2.1. Hence, (21) holds with c = 1/2.

In order to deal with the case p = 1, we prove that the first addendum in (21) vanishes as $R \to \infty$, so (21) holds for every c > 0. First, observe that, for each R,

$$\int_{M} \langle \nabla |f|, \nabla |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{M} \langle \nabla ((f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{1/2}), \nabla |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \rangle.$$

Indeed,

(23)
$$\left| \langle \nabla((f^2 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}), \nabla |\nabla \chi_R|^2 \rangle \right| \le \left| \nabla |\nabla \chi_R|^2 \left| \frac{|f| |\nabla f|}{(f^2 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}} \le \left| \nabla |\nabla \chi_R|^2 \right| |\nabla f|,$$

so that Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem applies. Next, for every $g \in C_c^1(M)$

(24)
$$-\int_{M} \langle \nabla((f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{1/2}), \nabla g \rangle = \int_{M} \Delta((f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{1/2})g$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \frac{\Delta(f^{2}+\varepsilon)}{(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{1/2}}g - \frac{1}{4} \int_{M} \frac{\left|\nabla(f^{2}+\varepsilon)\right|^{2}}{(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{3/2}}g$$
$$= \int_{M} \frac{f \Delta f}{(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{1/2}}g + \int_{M} \frac{\varepsilon \left|\nabla f\right|^{2}}{(f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{3/2}}g,$$

and rearranging, we get

(25)
$$\int_{M} \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla f|^{2}}{(f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} g = -\int_{M} \langle \nabla ((f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{1/2}), \nabla g \rangle - \int_{M} \frac{f \Delta f}{(f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{1/2}} g \leq \int_{M} |\nabla f| |\nabla g| + \int_{M} |\Delta f| |g|.$$

Since $|\nabla f| \in L^1(M)$, applying (25) with $g = \chi_R$ and letting $R \to \infty$, we get

(26)
$$\int_{M} \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla f|^2}{(f^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} \le \int_{M} |\Delta f|.$$

On the other hand, applying (24) with $g = |\nabla \chi_R|^2$ and using (26) we infer

$$-\int_{M} \langle \nabla |f|, \nabla |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \rangle = -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{M} \langle \nabla ((f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{1/2}), \nabla |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \rangle$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[\int_{M} \frac{f \,\Delta f}{(f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{1/2}} |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} + \int_{M} \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla f|^{2}}{(f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} |\nabla \chi_{R}|^{2} \right]$$
$$\leq 2 ||\nabla \chi_{R}||_{\infty}^{2} \int_{M} |\Delta f|,$$

which vanishes as $R \to \infty$ because of the properties of $\nabla \chi_R$, as claimed.

2.3. Density for higher orders. We shall first recall a few facts about Sampson's Weitzenböck formula for symmetric tensors. Given an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g), consider a tensor bundle $E \to M$ with *n*-dimensional fibers endowed with an inner product induced by the metric g and a compatible connection ∇ induced by the Levi-Civita connection on M. A Lichnerowicz Laplacian Δ_L for E is a second order differential operator acting on the space of smooth sections $\Gamma(E)$ of the form

$$\Delta_L = \Delta_B + c \,\mathfrak{Ric},$$

for c a suitable constant. Here $\Delta_B \doteq -\operatorname{tr}_{12}(\nabla^2) = \nabla^* \nabla$ is the Bochner Laplacian (with ∇^* denoting the formal L^2 -adjoint of ∇) and \mathfrak{Ric} is a smooth symmetric endomorphism of $\Gamma(E)$ which is called the Weitzenböck curvature operator. As an example, note that when T is a (0, k)-tensor then

$$\mathfrak{Ric}(T)(X_1,\ldots,X_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_j (R(E_j,X_i)T)(X_1,\ldots,E_j,\ldots,X_k),$$

with $\{E_i\}$ a local orthonormal frame and

$$R(X,Y) \doteq \nabla_{X,Y}^2 - \nabla_{Y,X}^2 = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]},$$

which may act on any tensor field. It is important to notice that the Weitzenböck curvature term for (0, k)-tensors can actually be estimated in terms of the curvature operator \mathcal{R} of M. Indeed, if $\mathcal{R} \geq \alpha$, for some constant $\alpha < 0$ then $g(\mathfrak{Ric}(T), T) \geq \alpha C |T|^2$, where C depends only on k; see [35, Corollary 9.3.4]. This key feature of Lichnerowicz Laplacians permits to use geometric assumptions in estimation results.

As beautifully illustrated in [35, Chapter 9] there are several natural Lichnerowicz Laplacians on Riemannian manifolds. A very classical one is the Hodge-Laplacian Δ_H acting on exterior differential forms, for which the Weitzenböck identity takes the form

(27)
$$\Delta_H \omega \doteq (d\delta + \delta d)\omega = \Delta_B \omega + \mathfrak{Ric}(\omega).$$

The Bochner identity which we used in the previous section precisely comes out from this formula evaluated on the 1-form $d\chi_R$. When considering the higher order case k > 2, one may be tempted to use (27) applied to the (k-1)-th covariant derivative of suitable cut-off functions. Unfortunately, this latter is not at all skew-symmetric. However, it is at least almost symmetric, meaning that it can be decomposed as a totally symmetric principal part plus other terms involving derivatives of order at most k-2. This fact led the authors of [29] to consider a different Lichnerowicz Laplacian, acting on totally symmetric covariant tensors of any order, which was originally introduced by Sampson in [38] and that we now recall. Let $T^{(0,k)}(M)$ and $S^{(0,k)}(M)$ be, respectively, the bundle of k-covariant tensor and its subbundle of totally symmetric ones. Consider the operator $D_S : \Gamma S^{(0,k-1)}(M) \to \Gamma S^{(0,k)}(M)$ acting on $h \in \Gamma S^{(0,k-1)}(M)$ by

$$(D_Sh)(X_0,\ldots,X_{k-1}) \doteq ks_k(\nabla h)(X_0,\ldots,X_{k-1}),$$

where we are denoting by s_k the symmetrization operator, i.e. the projection of $T^{(0,k)}(M)$ onto $S^{(0,k)}(M)$, that we shortly denote with a superscript S. Namely,

$$T^{S}(X_{1},...,X_{k}) \doteq s_{k}(T)(X_{1},...,X_{k}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{k}} T(X_{\sigma(1)},...,X_{\sigma(k)}) \qquad \forall T \in T^{(0,k)}(M)$$

 $|T^S| < |T|.$

Note that

(28)

The formal
$$L^2$$
- adjoint of D_S is $D_S^*: \Gamma S^{(0,k)}(M) \to \Gamma S^{(0,k-1)}(M)$ which acts on $\tilde{h} \in \Gamma S^{(0,k)}(M)$ by

$$(D_S^*\tilde{h})(X_1,\ldots,X_{k-1}) = -\sum_i (\nabla_{E_i}\tilde{h})(E_i,X_1,\ldots,X_{k-1})$$

We can now define the second order differential operator Δ_{Sym} acting on $\Gamma S^{(0,k)}(M)$ via the following Hodge-type decomposition

$$\Delta_{\rm Sym} \doteq D_S^* D_S - D_S D_S^*$$

By [38] (see also [29, Appendix B] for a proof) we have that

(29)
$$\Delta_{\text{Sym}} = \Delta_B - \mathfrak{Ric}$$

i.e. Δ_{Sym} is a Lichnerowicz Laplacian (with the choice c = -1).

Exploiting (29) we readily deduce the validity of the differential identity

(30)
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta \left|T^{S}\right|^{2} = -\langle \Delta_{\text{Sym}}T^{S}, T^{S}\rangle - \langle \mathfrak{Ric}(T^{S}), T^{S}\rangle + |\nabla T^{S}|^{2}, \qquad \forall T \in \Gamma T^{(0,k)}(M).$$

Remark 2.3. Notice that a totally symmetric 1-tensor ω is also a skew-symmetric one-form. In this case, $\Delta_{\text{Sym}}\omega = 2\Delta_B\omega - \Delta_H\omega$, so that (27) and (29) are equivalent for 1-tensors, as it has to be. However, when deducing the Bochner-type formula, the Weitzenböck curvature term appears with a different sign.

Let us now move to the proof of our density result.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. In our assumptions, we know by [29, Corollary 5.2] that there exists a sequence of cut-off functions $\{\chi_n\} \subset C_c^{\infty}(M)$, and a constant C > 0 independent of n such that,

(31)
$$\chi_n = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad B_{R_n}(o), \quad \text{with} \quad R_n \doteq C_H^{-1}(n-2)$$
$$|\nabla^j \chi_n| \le C \lambda^{-k+j}(r), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1;$$
$$|\Delta \nabla^{k-2} \chi_n| \le C,$$

where r is the distance from o. These cut-off functions were called in [29] k-th order rough Laplacian cut-offs. It is important to note that the fact that we are asking only for a control on the trace of the k-th covariant derivative of the cut-offs (which suffices for our scope) reflects on the weakness of the assumptions

we are asking for. Indeed, we are demanding a control on the curvature up to a smaller order than usual (case p > 2).

Since smooth functions are dense in $W^{k,p}(M)$, to prove the density result it is sufficient to consider $f \in C^{\infty}(M) \cap W^{k,p}(M)$; see for instance [21]. We want to prove that $\chi_n f$ converges to f in $W^{k,p}(M)$. The lower order terms

$$\int_{M} |\nabla^{j}(\chi_{n}f) - \nabla^{j}f|^{p}, \qquad 0 \le j \le k - 1$$

are easily seen to vanish as $n \to \infty$ by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Lebesgue convergence theorem and the properties of the cut-off functions. Regarding the k-th order derivative, we write

$$\int_{M} |\nabla^{k}(\chi_{n}f) - \nabla^{k}f|^{p} = \int_{M} \left| \left[\sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \nabla^{k-i} \chi_{n} \otimes \nabla^{i}f \right] - \nabla^{k}f \right|^{p}$$
$$\leq C \int_{M} (1 - \chi_{n})^{p} |\nabla^{k}f|^{p} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} \int_{M} |\nabla^{k-i} \chi_{n}|^{p} |\nabla^{i}f|^{p}.$$

Taking into account the properties of the cut-off functions, all of the addenda vanish as $n \to \infty$ with the possible exception of the one corresponding to i = 0. Applying Hölder inequality as in (17) we deduce that, in order to conclude, it is enough to show that

$$\int_M |f|^p |\nabla^k \chi_n|^2 \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Define $h_n = \nabla^{k-1} \chi_n$ and its simmetrization h_n^S . Because of (30),

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{div} \left(\left| f \right|^p \nabla \left| h_n^S \right|^2 \right) = & \left| f \right|^p \left[- \langle \Delta_{\mathrm{Sym}} h_n^S, h_n^S \rangle - \langle \mathfrak{Ric}(h_n^S), h_n^S \rangle + |\nabla h_n^S|^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla (|f|^p), \nabla (|h_n^S|^2) \rangle, \end{split}$$

thus integrating and using [35, Corollary 9.3.4] to control the curvature term we get

(32)
$$\int_{M} \langle \Delta_{\mathrm{Sym}} h_{n}^{S}, |f|^{p} h_{n}^{S} \rangle \leq -\int_{M} |f|^{p} \langle \mathfrak{Ric}(h_{n}^{S}), h_{n}^{S} \rangle + \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla h_{n}^{S}|^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla (|f|^{p}), \nabla (|h_{n}^{S}|^{2}) \rangle \\ \leq (-\alpha) C \int_{M} |f|^{p} |h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla h_{n}^{S}|^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla (|f|^{p}), \nabla (|h_{n}^{S}|^{2}) \rangle.$$

Suppose first that $p \in (1, 2]$. By Young's inequality, the regularity Lemma 2.1 and the properties of h_n ,

(33)
$$\int_{M} \langle \Delta_{\text{Sym}} h_{n}^{S}, |f|^{p} h_{n}^{S} \rangle \leq (-\alpha) C \int_{M \setminus B_{R_{n}}(o)} |f|^{p} |h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + \eta \int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla |h_{n}^{S}||^{2} + \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{M \setminus B_{R_{n}}(o)} |\nabla |f|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^{2}$$

for any $\eta > 0$. Notice also that

(34)
$$|h_n^S| \le |h_n| = |\nabla^{k-1} \chi_n| \le C \lambda^{-1}(R_n)$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, the fact that $f \in W^{k,p}(M)$ and Lemma 2.1, the first and fourth term in the RHS of (33) vanish as $n \to \infty$, so using Kato's inequality $|\nabla |h_n^S|| \leq |\nabla h_n^S|$ we obtain

(35)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[\int_M \langle \Delta_{\text{Sym}} h_n^S, |f|^p h_n^S \rangle - (1+\eta) \int_M |f|^p |\nabla h_n^S|^2 \right] \le 0.$$

Define

$$\mathscr{A}_n = \int_M \langle D_S^* D_S h_n^S, |f|^p h_n^S \rangle, \qquad \mathscr{B}_n = \int_M \langle D_S D_S^* h_n^S, |f|^p h_n^S \rangle,$$

so that (35) becomes

(36)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[\mathscr{A}_n - \mathscr{B}_n - (1+\eta) \int_M |f|^p |\nabla h_n^S|^2 \right] \le 0.$$

By Young's inequality and using (34), for $\delta > 0$ we can estimate \mathscr{A}_n as follows:

(37)

$$\mathscr{A}_{n} = \int_{M} \langle D_{S}h_{n}^{S}, D_{S}(|f|^{p}h_{n}^{S}) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{M} |f|^{p} |D_{S}h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + k \int_{M} \langle D_{S}h_{n}^{S}, 2|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} s_{k} \left(d|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \otimes h_{n}^{S} \right) \rangle$$

$$\geq (1 - \delta) \int_{M} |f|^{p} |D_{S}h_{n}^{S}|^{2} - \frac{k^{2}}{\delta} \int_{M} |\nabla(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}})|^{2} |h_{n}^{S}|^{2},$$

$$= (1 - \delta) \int_{M} |f|^{p} |D_{S}h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + o_{n}(1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where the last line follows by the regularity Lemma and since h_n^S is bounded and supported away from $B_{R_n}(o)$.

Regarding the term $\mathscr{B}_n,$ Hölder inequality gives

$$(38) \qquad \mathscr{B}_{n} = \int_{M} \langle D_{S}^{*} h_{n}^{S}, D_{S}^{*}(|f|^{p} h_{n}^{S}) \rangle = \int_{M} \left[|f|^{p} |D_{S}^{*} h_{n}^{S}|^{2} - \langle i_{\nabla(|f|^{p})} h_{n}^{S}, D_{S}^{*} h_{n}^{S} \rangle \right] \leq \int_{M} |f|^{p} |D_{S}^{*} h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + \int_{M} |\nabla(|f|^{p})| |D_{S}^{*} h_{n}^{S}| |h_{n}^{S}| \leq \int_{M} |f|^{p} |D_{S}^{*} h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + p \left(\int_{M} |f|^{p} |D_{S}^{*} h_{n}^{S}|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{M} |\nabla|f||^{p} |h_{n}^{S}|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

By the Ricci identities, a computation (see [29, pp. 31]) shows that

$$|D_{S}^{*}h_{n}^{S}|^{2} = |D_{S}^{*}(\nabla^{k-1}\chi_{n})^{S}|^{2}$$

$$\leq C\left(|\Delta\nabla^{k-2}\chi_{n}|^{2} + |\operatorname{Riem}|^{2}|\nabla^{k-2}\chi_{n}|^{2} + \ldots + |\nabla^{k-3}\operatorname{Riem}|^{2}|\nabla\chi_{n}|^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} C' & \text{on } M \setminus B_{R_{n}}(o) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ by our decay assumptions on Riem and by (31)}$$

Hence, (38) and $f \in W^{k,p}(M)$ imply that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathscr{B}_n \leq 0$. Note that these estimates for \mathscr{B}_n also hold for p = 1, and indeed the fourth line of (38) is unnecessary in such case.

Inserting (37) and (38) into (36) gives

(39)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{M} |f|^{p} \left[(1-\delta) |D_{S}h_{n}^{S}|^{2} - (1+\eta) |\nabla h_{n}^{S}|^{2} \right] \leq 0.$$

Moreover, by the same reasoning as above and by Young's inequality (see [29, pp.32-33]),

(40)
$$|\nabla h_n^S|^2 = |\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \nabla s_{k-1} (\nabla^{k-1} \chi_n)|^2$$

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon C_{1,k}) |\nabla h_n|^2 + \frac{C_{1,k}}{\varepsilon} \Big(|\operatorname{Riem}|^2 |\nabla^{k-2} \chi_n|^2 + \dots + |\nabla^{k-3} \operatorname{Riem}|^2 |\nabla \chi_n|^2 \Big),$$

(41)
$$|D_S h_n^S|^2 = k^2 |s_k (\nabla s_{k-1} (\nabla^{k-1} \chi_n))|^2 = k^2 |s_k (\nabla^k \chi_n)|^2$$

$$\geq (k^2 - \varepsilon C_{2,k}) |\nabla h_n|^2 - \frac{C_{2,k}}{\varepsilon} \Big(|\operatorname{Riem}|^2 |\nabla^{k-2} \chi_n|^2 + \dots + |\nabla^{k-3} \operatorname{Riem}|^2 |\nabla \chi_n|^2 \Big),$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and some constants $C_{1,k}, C_{2,k}$. Using (40), (41), the decay assumptions on Riem and $f \in L^p(M)$, we get that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_M |f|^p \left[(1-\delta)(k^2 - \varepsilon C_{2,k}) - (1+\eta)(1+\varepsilon C_{1,k}) \right] |\nabla h_n|^2 \le 0$$

Hence, we can choose $\delta, \eta, \varepsilon$ small enough such that $(1 - \delta)(k^2 - \varepsilon C_{2,k}) - (1 + \eta)(1 + \varepsilon C_{1,k}) > 0$, which leads to

$$\int_{M} |f|^{p} |\nabla h_{n}|^{2} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

thus concluding the proof for $p \in (1, 2]$.

We suppose now that p = 1. We first note that

(42)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \langle \nabla |f|, \nabla (|h_n^S|^2) \rangle = 0$$

Indeed, by Lebesgue convergence theorem,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{M}\langle \nabla |f|, \nabla (|h_{n}^{S}|^{2})\rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\frac{1}{2}\int_{M}\langle \nabla ((f^{2}+\varepsilon)^{1/2}), \nabla (|h_{n}^{S}|^{2})\rangle$$

.

So performing the same computations as in (23), (24) and (25) we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{M} \langle \nabla((f^2+\varepsilon)^{1/2}), \nabla(|h_n^S|^2) \rangle \le \|h_n^S\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{M} |\Delta f|.$$

Since the RHS above vanishes as $n \to \infty$ because of (34), this proves the claimed identity (42). From (32) we therefore deduce

(43)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[\mathscr{A}_n - \mathscr{B}_n - \int_M |f| |\nabla h_n^S|^2 \right] \le 0.$$

As the estimate for \mathscr{B}_n holds also for p = 1, we only have to deal with \mathscr{A}_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{A}_n &= \int_M \langle D_S h_n^S, D_S(|f|h_n^S) \rangle \\ &= \int_M |f| |D_S h_n^S|^2 + k \int_M \langle D_S h_n^S, s_k(d|f| \otimes h_n^S) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue convergence theorem,

$$\int_{M} \langle D_{S} h_{n}^{S}, s_{k}(d|f| \otimes h_{n}^{S}) \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{M} \langle D_{S} h_{n}^{S}, s_{k}(d(\sqrt{f^{2} + \varepsilon}) \otimes h_{n}^{S}) \rangle,$$

hence we compute

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{M} \langle D_{S} h_{n}^{S}, s_{k}(d|f| \otimes h_{n}^{S}) \rangle \right| &= \left| \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{M} \langle h_{n}^{S}, D_{S}^{*}(s_{k}(d(\sqrt{f^{2} + \varepsilon}) \otimes h_{n}^{S}))) \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[\int_{M} \frac{f \Delta f}{\sqrt{f^{2} + \varepsilon}} |h_{n}^{S}|^{2} + \frac{f}{2\sqrt{f^{2} + \varepsilon}} \langle \nabla f, \nabla |h_{n}^{S}|^{2} \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{(f^{2} + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} |\nabla f|^{2} |h_{n}^{S}|^{2} \right] \right| \\ &\leq 3 \left\| h_{n}^{S} \right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{M} |\Delta f|, \end{split}$$

where for the last inequality we reasoned again as in (23), (24) and (25). Summarizing,

$$\mathscr{A}_n = \int_M |f| |D_S h_n^S|^2 + o_n(1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and the proof can be concluded as in the case p > 1.

14

3. Non-density when p > 2: A counterexample with curvature $\text{Sec} \ge -1$

To begin with, we construct a suitable complete, convex hypersurface $(M, g_0) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ of finite volume and with two ends. Let us consider cartesian coordinates $(\mathbf{x}, z) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, z)$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let $\mathbb{B}_1 = \{|\mathbf{x}|^2 + z^2 < 1\}$ be the unit ball centered at the origin. Let h be the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{B}_1 induced by the Beltrami-Klein projective model, i.e.

$$h = \frac{\|d\mathbf{y}\|^2}{1 - \|\mathbf{y}\|^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{y} \cdot d\mathbf{y})^2}{(1 - \|\mathbf{y}\|^2)^2},$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{B}_1$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the standard Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Define the noncompact hypersurface M by

$$M = \left\{ |\mathbf{x}| = -\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{4 - z^2} : z \in (-1, 1) \right\} \subset \mathbb{B}_1,$$

and let g_0 be the metric on M induced by h. Note that M is the boundary of a domain which is strictly convex in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , hence also in (\mathbb{B}_1, h) since the Beltrami-Klein model is projective. Thus $\operatorname{Sec}_{g_0} > -1$ by Gauss equations. Furthermore, M is invariant by reflection with respect to the plane z = 0, and $M \cap \{z \ge 0\}$ can be written as the graph of the strictly concave function

(44)
$$f: D \doteq \overline{B_{2-\sqrt{3}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0)} \setminus \{0\} \to [0,\infty), \qquad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{1-|\mathbf{x}|^2 - 2\sqrt{3}|\mathbf{x}|},$$

where $B_{2-\sqrt{3}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0)$ is the Euclidean ball of radius $2-\sqrt{3}$ in $\{z=0\}$. Hereafter, we will shortly say that M is the bigraph of f. Denote with $\tilde{f} = \mathrm{id} \times f$ the graph map. Note that (M, g_0) lies in the interior region of the double cone

(45)
$$K = \left\{ |\mathbf{x}| = \frac{1 - |z|}{\sqrt{3}} : z \in (-1, 1) \right\} \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathbb{B}_1, h)$$

and that K has finite volume. This can be easily proved by a direct computation, for instance by noticing that each of the two cones forming K is isometric to the half cylinder $\{|\mathbf{x}| = 1, z > 1\}$ in the Poincaré half-space model. Since the orthogonal projection on a convex set of \mathbb{H}^{n+1} is distance decreasing by the hyperbolic Buseman-Feller theorem [6, II.2.4], we deduce that (M, q_0) has finite volume. We fix

$$\mathbb{V} \Subset$$
 bigraph of f over $\overline{B_{2-\sqrt{3}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0)} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{8}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0)$

whose closure is diffeomorphic to a closed ball (in particular, \mathbb{V} does not disconnect M) and we define

$$U_0 \doteq \emptyset, \qquad U_j \doteq \text{ bigraph of } f \text{ over } B_{2-\sqrt{3}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0) \setminus \overline{B_{\frac{1}{j+8}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0)} \quad \text{ for } j \ge 1$$

Roughly speaking, M looks like an American football in vertical position with respect to $\{z = 0\}$, and U_i corresponds to the open set obtained by removing an upper and a lower cap centered at the two vertices.

We begin by constructing, for fixed j, a sequence of smooth metrics $\{\sigma_{j,k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ on M having k "approximated spikes" in $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$ and converging, as $k \to \infty$, to an Alexandrov metric that has a dense set of sharp points on $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$. This is the content of the next Section. Before we get going, let us recall the notion of sharp singular point, and some basic facts of Alexandrov (more generally, RCD) spaces that will be useful later on. The theory of metric measure spaces (X, d, m) (m a Radon measure on X) that lie in RCD(K, n) hugely developed in the past 20 years, and for an informative account, with a detailed set of references, we recommend [1]. Here, we just point out that RCD(K, n) contains all Alexandrov spaces with dimension n and curvature bounded from below by K/(n-1), with m the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure, as well as the pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff (mGH) limits of smooth manifolds (M_i, g_i, o_i) with Ric $\geq K$, endowed with their Riemannian measure m_i and reference points o_i . For $X \in \text{RCD}(K, n)$, the Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(X)$ can be defined for $p \in (1, \infty)$, and $W^{1,2}(X)$ is Hilbert. Given $(X, d, m) \in \text{RCD}(K, n)$ and $x_0 \in X$, the density

$$\vartheta(x_0) \doteq \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathsf{m}(B_r(x_0))}{r^n} \in (0, \infty]$$

does exist. A tangent cone at x_0 is, by definition, the mGH limit of some sequence of rescalings

$$\left(X, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\lambda_i}, \frac{\mathrm{m}}{\lambda_i^n}, x_0\right) \qquad \text{where } \lambda_i \to 0^+,$$

and the set of tangent cones is closed under mGH convergence pointed at x_0 . Under the non-collapsing condition $\vartheta(x_0) < \infty$, every tangent cone at x_0 is a metric cone C(Z) over a cross section $Z \in \mathsf{RCD}(n-1,n)$ with diameter $\leq \pi$, that is, it can be written as $[0, \infty) \times Z$ with distance

$$d_{C(Z)}((t,x),(s,y)) = \sqrt{t^2 + s^2 - 2ts\cos(d_Z(x,y))}.$$

The section is unique for Alexandrov spaces, but this may not be the case in general. Following [16], we say that $x_0 \in X$ is *sharp* if $\vartheta(x_0) < \infty$ and the cross section of any tangent cone at x_0 has diameter $< \pi$.

3.1. Construction of the spike metrics $\sigma_{j,k}$. It is well-known that there exist manifolds (M, g_k) with $\operatorname{Sec}_{g_k} \geq 0$ that converge to an Alexandrov space having a dense set of sharp singular points, [34]. In the next Lemma we will need to localize such a construction, namely, to approximate the singular points in $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$ without modifying the metric g_j outside. To this end, we adapt the construction introduced in [33] to a hyperbolic background. As we shall need more information on the sequence of approximating metrics, the proof of the next result will be done in full detail.

Lemma 3.1. For $j \ge 1$, there exists a sequence of smooth metrics $\{\sigma_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ on M such that

- (46) $\sigma_{j,k} = g_0 \text{ outside of a compact subset of } U_j \setminus U_{j-1} \text{ (depending on } k),$
- (47) $\operatorname{Sec}_{\sigma_{i,k}} > -1$ on M,

(48)
$$\forall k : \mathbb{N}_{>0} \to \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall S \subset M \text{ Borel}, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}_{\sigma_{j,k(j)}} \left(S \cap (U_j \setminus U_{j-1}) \right) \le \operatorname{vol}_{i^*h}(K) < \infty$$

(49) $\exists C_j > 1 \text{ such that } C_j^{-1} \mathrm{d}_{g_0}(x, y) \le \mathrm{d}_{\sigma_{j,k}}(x, y) \le C_j \mathrm{d}_{g_0}(x, y) \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ x, y \in M.$

Moreover, $(M, d_{\sigma_{j,k}}, o) \to M_{j,\infty} \doteq (M, d_{j,\infty}, o)$ as $k \to \infty$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, for some ndimensional Alexandrov space $M_{j,\infty}$ biLipschitz homeomorphic to M, with curvature greater than or equal to -1, volume $\mathcal{H}^n(M_{j,\infty}) \leq \operatorname{vol}_{i^*h}(K) < \infty$, and a dense set of sharp singular points in $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$.

Proof. Define

$$D_0 = \emptyset, \qquad D_j = \overline{B_{2-\sqrt{3}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0)} \setminus \overline{B_{\frac{1}{j+8}}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0)},$$

so $U_j = \tilde{f}(D_j) \cup (-\tilde{f})(D_j)$ is the bigraph of f over D_j . Note that f satisfies $f(\mathbf{x}) < 1 - \sqrt{3}|\mathbf{x}|$, since the graph of this latter function coincides with K on $\{z \ge 0\}$. Let $\{\mathbf{y}_m\} \in D_j \setminus \overline{D_{j-1}}$ be a dense sequence. We claim that

there exists a sequence of smooth strictly concave functions $f_{j,k}: D \to \mathbb{R}, k \ge 1$, such that

- (i) $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f_{j,k}(\mathbf{x}) < 1 \sqrt{3}|\mathbf{x}|$ on D;
- (ii) $f_{j,k}$ converges uniformly, as $k \to \infty$, to a concave function $f_{j,\infty}$, and the graph of $f_{j,\infty}$ has sharp conical singularities at any $f_{j,\infty}(\mathbf{y}_m)$;
- (iii) $\{\mathbf{x} : f_{j,k}(\mathbf{x}) \neq f(\mathbf{x})\}$ is compactly contained in $D_j \setminus \overline{D_{j-1}}$.

Given the claim, let $(M_{j,k}, \sigma_{j,k})$ be the bigraph of $f_{j,k}$ with the induced metric. Property (ii) implies the Hausdorff convergence of $M_{j,k}$ to the bigraph $M_{j,\infty}$ of $f_{j,\infty}$ with the induced intrinsic metric $d_{j,\infty}$ and it is known that the concavity of $f_{j,k}$ guarantees the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff convergence $(M_{j,k}, d_{j,k}, o_k) \rightarrow (M_{j,\infty}, d_{j,\infty}, o_{\infty})$, with o_k being the image of any fixed point in D_1 . Using again the concavity of $f_{j,k}$, Gauss' equation implies that $M_{j,k}$ has sectional curvature bounded from below by -1, and $(M, d_{j,\infty})$ is an Alexandrov space of curvature lower bounded by -1 by Buyalo's theorem, [7]. Next, for $0 \le k \le \infty$, identify M with $M_{j,k}$ topologically via the map $\tilde{f}_{j,k} \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}$, and still denote with $\sigma_{j,k}$ the pulled-back metric on M. Note that $\{g_{j,k} \neq g_0\}$ is compactly contained in $U_j \setminus \overline{U_{j-1}}$. The uniform convergence together with the concavity of $f_{j,k}$ on D guarantee that $\{f_{j,k}\}_k$ are uniformly Lipschitz on D_j , hence on the entire D by (iii). In particular, up to identifying the manifolds by means of $\tilde{f}_{j,k} \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}$, (49) holds. To conclude, for a given $k : \mathbb{N}_{>0} \to \mathbb{N}$ we consider the concave function f_{∞} that equals $f_{j,k(j)}$ on $D_j \setminus D_{j-1}$. By the above construction, the bigraph (M, g_{∞}) of f_{∞} is the boundary of a convex set in (\mathbb{B}_1, h) contained in K, so by the hyperbolic Busemann-Feller theorem the nearest point projection from K to (M, g_{∞}) is distance decreasing. In particular, for every Borel set $S \subset M$ it holds $\operatorname{vol}_{g_{\infty}}(S) \leq \operatorname{vol}_{i^*h}(K)$, proving (48).

It remains to prove the claim. In [33] it is presented a general procedure to construct a sequence of metrics on a bounded set of a Riemannian manifold which Gromov-Hausdorff converges to an Alexandrov space with a sharp conical singularity at each point of a countable set. For completeness, we reproduce here the construction in our setting. Consider $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - |\mathbf{x}| - |\mathbf{x}|^2 & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in B_{1/2}^{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ g \in C^{\infty}(B_1^{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus \{0\}) \\ \text{supp } g \subseteq B_1^{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ g \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $g_{\varepsilon,\mathbf{y}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$g_{\varepsilon,\mathbf{y}}(x) \doteq g\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

so that $g_{\varepsilon,\mathbf{y}}$ is smooth outside \mathbf{y} , non-positive and strictly concave on $B_{\varepsilon/2}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{y})$. Let

 $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{y}_1, \partial(D_j \setminus D_{j-1}))$

and define

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \doteq f(\mathbf{x}) + \eta_1 g_{\varepsilon_1, \mathbf{y}_1}(\mathbf{x})$$

with $\eta_1 > 0$ small enough so that ϕ_1 is strictly concave and $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) < 1 - \sqrt{3}\mathbf{x}$ on D. Observe also that ϕ_1 is smooth on $D \setminus \{\mathbf{y}_1\}$ and its graph has a sharp singular point at $\phi_1(\mathbf{y}_1)$.

Recursively, let $0 < \varepsilon_k < \operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{y}_k, \partial(D_j \setminus D_{j-1}) \cup \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{k-1}\})$ and define

(50)
$$\phi_k(\mathbf{x}) \doteq \phi_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \eta_k g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k}(\mathbf{x}).$$

The function ϕ_k is smooth on $D \setminus \{\mathbf{y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_k\}$, strictly concave and satisfies $\phi_k(\mathbf{x}) > \sqrt{3}\mathbf{x} - 1$ provided that η_k is small enough. Moreover, the graph of ϕ_k has sharp singularities at $\phi_k(\mathbf{y}_1), \ldots, \phi_k(\mathbf{y}_k)$. Furthermore, if η_k are such that $\sum_k \eta_k$ converges, then ϕ_k converges uniformly to some $\phi_{\infty} =: f_{j,\infty}$ whose graph is convex, has sharp singularities at $\{\tilde{\phi}_{\infty}(\mathbf{y}_m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, coincides with the graph of f outside of $D_j \setminus D_{j-1}$ and is contained in the double cone K. The sharpness of the singularity at each $\tilde{\phi}_{\infty}(\mathbf{y}_m)$ can be directly checked, making use of the fact that points of an Alexandrov space have a unique tangent cone.

To define the smooth functions $f_{j,k}: D \to \mathbb{R}$ approximating $f_{j,\infty}$, recall that $f_{j,\infty} = f + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k}$. By a diagonal argument, it is enough to show that each $g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k}$ can be uniformly approximated by smooth functions which coincide with $g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k}$ outside $B_{\varepsilon_k/2}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{y}_k)$. For $0 < \delta < \varepsilon_k/2$, let $g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k, \delta}$ be a smooth function that is strictly concave on $B_{\varepsilon_k/2}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{y}_k)$ and coincides with $g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k}$ outside of $B_{\delta}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{y}_k)$, see for instance [20, Theorem 2.1]. As $\delta \to 0$, we have that $g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k, \delta} \to g_{\varepsilon_k, \mathbf{y}_k}$ uniformly. This concludes the proof.

Let E_+, E_- be the two connected components of $M \setminus U_1$, respectively contained in $\{z > 0\}$ and in $\{z < 0\}$, and for each j define

(51)
$$E_{-,j} \doteq E_{-} \setminus U_{j}, \qquad E_{+,j} \doteq E_{+} \setminus U_{j},$$

The metric g on the block M will be constructed from the original metric g_0 by prescribing, for each $i \ge 1$, a spike metric $\sigma_{i,k(i)}$ with k(i) approximated spikes on $U_i \setminus U_{i-1}$. The function $k : \mathbb{N}_{>0} \to \mathbb{N}$ will be chosen inductively, by identifying, for each $j \ge 1$, k(j) depending on $k(1), \ldots, k(j-1)$. Correspondingly, to each j we shall associate a smooth metric g_j on M that corresponds to the choices of $\sigma_{i,k(i)}$ on $U_i \setminus U_{i-1}$ for $1 \le i \le j$. In particular, $g_j = g_{j-1}$ outside of $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$. In the following lemma we summarize the properties of the metrics g_j to be proved.

Lemma 3.2. There exists a sequence of metrics $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ on M with the following properties:

$$\{x: g_j(x) \neq g_{j-1}(x)\} \text{ is compactly contained in } U_j \setminus \overline{U_{j-1}},$$

- $(\mathscr{P}2)$ $\operatorname{Sec}_{g_j} \ge -1,$
- $(\mathscr{P}3) \qquad \forall S \subset M \text{ Borel}, \qquad \operatorname{vol}_{g_i}(S) \leq \operatorname{vol}_{i^{\star}h}(K) < +\infty,$

$$(\mathscr{P}4) \qquad \exists \bar{C}_j > 1 \text{ such that } \bar{C}_j^{-1} d_{g_0}(x, y) \le d_{g_j}(x, y) \le \bar{C}_j d_{g_0}(x, y) \qquad \forall x, y \in M.$$

where K is the double cone defined in (45), and d_{g_j} is the distance induced by g_j . Furthermore, having defined $E_{\pm,j}$ as in (51), g_j and g_{j+1} satisfy

$$(\mathscr{P}5) \qquad \forall \varphi \in C^{\infty}(M), \quad \begin{array}{l} \varphi \leq -1 + 2^{-j} & on \ \partial E_{-,j} \\ \varphi \geq 1 - 2^{-j} & on \ \partial E_{+,j} \end{array} \implies \quad \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,p}(U_{j+1} \setminus \mathbb{V}, g_{j+1})} > 1.$$

Remark 3.3. About ($\mathscr{P}5$), we shall see below that g_j matches the following stronger property: whenever φ satisfies the assumptions of ($\mathscr{P}5$), the inequality

$$\|\varphi\|_{W^{2,p}(U_{i+1}\setminus\mathbb{V},\bar{g})} > 1$$

will hold for any choice of \bar{g} that coincides with g_j on U_j and with a spike metric $\sigma_{j+1,m}$ on $U_{j+1} \setminus U_j$. In particular, ($\mathscr{P}5$) does not require to have already chosen the integer k(j+1), but holds a-posteriori for every possible choice of it.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.10. Let us see how Lemma 3.2 allows to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.10.

Let g be the smooth Riemannian metric on M defined by $g = g_j$ on U_j for $j \ge 0$. It is readily seen by $(\mathscr{P}2), (\mathscr{P}3)$ that $\operatorname{Sec}_g \ge -1$ and that $\operatorname{vol}_g(M) \le \operatorname{vol}_{i^*h}(K) < \infty$. Furthermore, referring to the proof of Lemma 3.1, (M, g) can be realized as the bigraph of a concave function that equals $f_{j,k(j)}$ on $D_j \setminus D_{j-1}$. Such a bigraph is properly embedded in (\mathbb{B}_1, h) , hence (M, g) is complete. Let us glue N to M along V' and \mathbb{V} , by keeping the metric g unchanged outside of \mathbb{V} . For convenience, still denote with \mathbb{V} the complement of $M \setminus \mathbb{V}$ inside of $M \notin N$, and with g the glued metric. Fix a smooth function $F: M \notin N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F \equiv 0$$
 on \mathbb{V} , $F \equiv -1$ on $E_{-,1}$, $F \equiv 1$ on $E_{+,1}$.

Since (M,g) has finite volume, it is clear that $F \in W^{k,p}(M \sharp N)$ for every k, p. For each p > n, we prove that F cannot be approximated by compactly supported smooth functions in $W^{2,p}(M \sharp N)$, as the statement for higher k is a simple consequence. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \subset C_c^{\infty}(M \sharp N)$ such that $\|F - F_i\|_{W^{2,p}(M \sharp N, q)} \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. In particular, there exists i such that

$$||F - F_i||_{W^{2,p}(M \setminus \mathbb{V},q)} \le 1/2$$

Choose $j \ge 1$ so that F_i has support in U_j . Then $F - F_i \equiv -1$ on $E_{-,j}$ and $F - F_i \equiv 1$ on $E_{+,j}$, hence $(\mathscr{P}5)$ enables us to conclude that

$$||F - F_i||_{W^{2,p}(U_{i+1} \setminus \mathbb{V}, g_{i+1})} > 1.$$

However, since $F - F_i$ is constant outside of U_j and $g = g_{j+1}$ on U_{j+1} ,

(52)
$$\frac{1}{2} \geq \|F - F_i\|_{W^{2,p}(M \setminus \mathbb{V},g)} \geq \|F - F_i\|_{W^{2,p}(U_{j+1} \setminus \mathbb{V},g_{j+1})} > 1,$$

contradiction.

3.3. **Proof of Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that g_{j-1} is constructed. Let $\{g_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ be the sequence of smooth metrics on M being equal to g_{j-1} outside of $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$ and equal to the spike metric $\sigma_{j,k}$ on $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$. Then, $g_{j,0} \equiv g_{j-1}$ on M and, denoting with $d_{j,k}$ the distance induced by $g_{j,k}$, from Lemma 3.1 we easily deduce the following properties:

$$\{x : g_{j,k}(x) \neq g_{j-1}(x) \} \text{ is compactly contained in } U_j \setminus U_{j-1}, \\ \operatorname{Sec}_{g_{j,k}} \geq -1 \quad \text{for each } k, \\ \forall S \subset M \text{ Borel}, \quad \operatorname{vol}_{g_{j,k}}(S) \leq \operatorname{vol}_{i^{\star}h}(K) < \infty.$$

For each choice of k(j), the metric $g_j \doteq g_{j,k(j)}$ therefore satisfies $(\mathscr{P}1), (\mathscr{P}2), (\mathscr{P}3)$. To prove $(\mathscr{P}4)$ and $(\mathscr{P}5)$, for any fixed $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the smooth metric $g_{j,k,m}$ such that

$$g_{j,k,m} = \sigma_{j+1,m}$$
 on $U_{j+1} \setminus U_j$, $g_{j,k,m} = g_{j,k}$ otherwise.

The construction of $g_{j,k,m}$ and (iii) in Lemma 3.1 guarantee that there exists a constant $\bar{C}_j > 1$ such that

(53)
$$\bar{C}_{j}^{-1} \mathrm{d}_{g_{j-1}}(x, y) \leq \mathrm{d}_{g_{j,k,m}}(x, y) \leq \bar{C}_{j} \mathrm{d}_{g_{j-1}}(x, y) \qquad \forall x, y \in M, \ k, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

In particular, independently of the possible choice of k(j), g_j also satisfies ($\mathscr{P}4$). Observe that (53) implies that

(54) $\exists \nu_j > 0 \text{ such that} \quad \operatorname{vol}_{g_{j,k,m}}(B_1^{g_{j,k,m}}(z)) \ge \nu_j \quad \forall z \in U_j, \ k, m \in \mathbb{N}.$

As anticipated in Remark 3.3, we shall prove the following strengthened version of $(\mathscr{P}5)$:

Claim 1: there exists k(j) depending on j such that $g_j \doteq g_{j,k(j)}$ satisfies

$$(\mathscr{P}5'_{j}) \qquad \forall \varphi \in C^{\infty}(M), \quad \begin{array}{c} \varphi \leq -1+2^{-j} \quad \text{on } \partial E_{-,j} \\ \varphi \geq 1-2^{-j} \quad \text{on } \partial E_{+,j} \end{array} \implies \quad \forall m, \ \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,p}(U_{j+1} \setminus \mathbb{V}, g_{j,k(j),m})} > 1.$$

Assume, by contradiction, that $(\mathscr{P}5'_j)$ does not hold, so that, for k large enough, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_{j,k}\}$ with $\varphi_{j,k} \in C^{\infty}(M, g_{j,k})$, and a sequence of integers $\{m_k\}$, such that

(55)
$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{j,k} &\leq -1 + 2^{-j} \quad \text{on } \partial E_{-,j} \\ \varphi_{j,k} &\geq 1 - 2^{-j} \quad \text{on } \partial E_{+,j} \end{aligned} \quad \text{but} \quad \|\varphi_{j,k}\|_{W^{2,p}(U_{j+1} \setminus \mathbb{V}, g_{j,k,m_k})} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We examine the convergence of the sequence $\{\varphi_{j,k}\}_k$ on $\overline{U_j} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{V}}$.

Claim 2: as $k \to \infty$, the sequence $\varphi_{j,k}$ converges locally uniformly on $\overline{U_j} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{V}}$ to a function φ_j that is locally Hölder continuous on $\overline{U_j} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{V}}$ and locally constant on $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$ (on $U_1 \setminus \mathbb{V}$, if j = 1).

We describe how Claim 2 yields to the proof of Claim 1. First, since the convergence is uniform up to the boundary of U_j , passing to the limit we obtain

(56)
$$\varphi_j \ge 1 \quad \text{on } \partial E_{+,j}, \qquad \varphi_j \le -1 \quad \text{on } \partial E_{-,j}.$$

The argument goes then by induction on j. If j = 1, $U_1 \setminus \mathbb{V}$ is connected and thus φ_1 is constant. This contradicts the fact that $\partial E_{+,1} \cup \partial E_{-,1} \subset \partial (U_1 \setminus \mathbb{V})$. Having proved Claim 1 for j = 1, and thus having constructed g_1 with property $(\mathscr{P}5'_1)$, we examine the case j > 1. We proceed inductively, that is, we assume to have constructed g_{j-1} in such a way that $(\mathscr{P}1), \ldots, (\mathscr{P}5'_{j-1})$ hold. If j > 1, then $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$ has at least two connected components, respectively contained in E_+ and E_- . The constancy of φ_j on each component, coupled with (56), guarantees that

$$\varphi_j \ge 1$$
 on $\partial E_{+,j-1}$, $\varphi_j \le -1$ on $\partial E_{-,j-1}$.

Therefore, for k large enough,

$$\varphi_{j,k} \ge 1 - 2^{-j+1}$$
 on $\partial E_{+,j-1}$, $\varphi_{j,k} \le -1 + 2^{-j+1}$ on $E_{-,j-1}$,

and thus, by $(\mathscr{P}5'_{i-1})$,

$$\|\varphi_{j,k}\|_{W^{2,p}(U_j\setminus\mathbb{V},g_{j,k})}>1.$$

Concluding, since $g_{j,k,m_k} = g_{j,k}$ on U_j ,

$$1 \ge \|\varphi_{j,k}\|_{W^{2,p}(U_{j+1} \setminus \mathbb{V}, g_{j,k,m_k})} \ge \|\varphi_{j,k}\|_{W^{2,p}(U_j \setminus \mathbb{V}, g_{j,k})} > 1.$$

contradicting (55).

It remains to prove Claim 2. The argument is inspired by the recent [16], where the authors study the behaviour of harmonic functions near sharp points of $\mathsf{RCD}(K, n)$ spaces. Recall that, given a complete metric \bar{g} on M with $\operatorname{Ric}_{\bar{g}} \geq -(n-1)$, and a geodesic ball $B_R(o)$ centered at some fixed origin o, there exist constants $C_{\mathscr{D}}, C'_{\mathscr{D}}$ depending on n, R such that

(57)
$$\operatorname{vol}_{\bar{g}}(B_{2r}(z)) \le C_{\mathscr{D}}\operatorname{vol}_{\bar{g}}(B_r(z)) \qquad \forall B_{2r}(z) \subset B_R(o)$$

and, for every 0 < r < s such that $B_s(z) \subset B_R(o)$,

(58)
$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{\bar{g}}(B_r(z))}{\operatorname{vol}_{\bar{g}}(B_s(z))} \ge \frac{V_{-1}(r)}{V_{-1}(s)} \ge C'_{\mathscr{D}}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^n,$$

where $V_{-1}(t)$ is the volume of a ball of radius t in the n-dimensional hyperbolic space of curvature -1. It is a simple consequence of the above two inequalities that there exists $C''_{\mathscr{D}} = C''_{\mathscr{D}}(n, R)$ such that

(59) for each
$$B'_r \subset B_s$$
 geodesic balls in $B_R(o)$, $\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{\bar{g}}(B'_r)}{\operatorname{vol}_{\bar{g}}(B_s)} \ge C''_{\mathscr{D}}(n,R) \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^n$,

where now B'_r, B_s may not be concentric. On the other hand, Buser's isoperimetric inequality [8] (see [37, Th. 5.6.5] or [31, Thm. 1.4.1] for alternative proofs) guarantees the existence, for each $p \in [1, \infty)$, of a constant $\mathscr{P}_p = \mathscr{P}_p(n, p, R)$ such that

(60)
$$\left\{ \oint_{B_r(x)} |\psi - \bar{\psi}_{B_r(x)}|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq r \mathscr{P}_p \left\{ \oint_{B_r(x)} |\nabla \psi|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall \psi \in \operatorname{Lip}(B_R(o)),$$

where $\psi_{B_r(x)}$ is the mean value of ψ on $B_r(x)$.

Because of Lemma 3.1, up to subsequences $(M, g_{j,k,m_k}, o) \to M_{j,\infty} \doteq (M, d_{j,\infty}, o)$ as $k \to 0$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, where M_{∞} is an Alexandrov space of curvature not smaller than -1 with a dense set of sharp points in $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$. Fix a smooth open set U'_0 with $\mathbb{V} \subseteq U'_0 \subseteq U_1$, and such that $U_1 \setminus \overline{U'_0}$ is connected. Choose

$$0 < \varepsilon_j \le \frac{1}{1000\bar{C}_j^2} \min\left\{ d_{g_{j-1}}(U_j, \partial U_{j+1}), d_{g_{j-1}}(U'_0, \mathbb{V}) \right\} > 0$$

in such a way that the tubular neighborhood

$$V_j \doteq B^{g_{j-1}}_{16\bar{C}_j\varepsilon_j}(U_j \setminus U'_0)$$
 has smooth boundary.

Hereafter the index j will be fixed, so for notational convenience we omit to write it unless it identifies the sets U_j . We also use a superscript or subscript k to indicate quantities that refer to the metric g_{j,k,m_k} , so for instance we write $|\cdot|_k$, vol_k to denote the norm and volume, and $B_r^k(z)$ instead of $B_r^{g_{j,k,m_k}}(z)$. Analogously, balls in $M_{j,\infty}$ will be denoted with $B_r^{\infty}(z)$. By (53), we have the following inclusions between tubular neighbourhoods:

(61)
$$B_{\varepsilon_j}^k(U_j \setminus U_0') \Subset V_j \Subset B_{5\varepsilon_j}^k(V_j) \Subset U_{j+1} \setminus \mathbb{V} \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Again using (53), we can fix $R_j > 0$ such that

$$U_{j+1} \Subset B_{R_j/2-1}^k(o) \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Because $\operatorname{Sec}_{g_{j,k,m_k}} \geq -1$ for each j, k, on the balls $B_{R_j}^k(o)$ we have the validity of (57), (59) and (60) with constants only depending on n, p, R_j . By using (61), we can apply Morrey's estimates as stated in [27, Thm. 9.2.14] both to φ_k and to $|\nabla \varphi_k|_k$, to deduce that for fixed j there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, R_j)$ such that for each $z \in B_{\varepsilon_j}^k(V_j)$ it holds

1

$$(62) \quad \sup_{x,y\in B_{\varepsilon_j}^k(z)} \frac{|\varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(y)|}{\mathrm{d}_k(x,y)^{1-\frac{n}{p}}} + \frac{|\nabla\varphi_k(x)|_k - |\nabla\varphi_k(y)|_k}{\mathrm{d}_k(x,y)^{1-\frac{n}{p}}} \le C(n,p,R_j)\varepsilon_j^{\frac{n}{p}} \left(\oint_{B_{4\varepsilon_j}^k(z)} |\nabla\varphi_k|_k^p + |\nabla^2\varphi_k|_k^p \right)^{\overline{p}}$$

Using (59), (54) and (55), we get

$$\varepsilon_{j}^{\frac{n}{p}} \left(\oint_{B_{4\varepsilon_{j}}^{k}(z)} |\nabla\varphi_{k}|_{k}^{p} + |\nabla^{2}\varphi_{k}|_{k}^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\varepsilon_{j}^{\frac{n}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}^{n} \operatorname{vol}_{k}(B_{1}^{k}(z))} \int_{B_{4\varepsilon_{j}}^{k}(z)} |\nabla\varphi_{k}|_{k}^{p} + |\nabla^{2}\varphi_{k}|_{k}^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C'.$$
(62) since

Thus (62) gives

(63)
$$\sup_{x,y\in B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(z)} \frac{|\varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(y)|}{\mathrm{d}_k(x,y)^{1-\frac{n}{p}}} + \frac{|\nabla\varphi_k(x)|_k - |\nabla\varphi_k(y)|_k}{\mathrm{d}_k(x,y)^{1-\frac{n}{p}}} \le C''(n,p,R_j) \qquad \forall z \in B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(V_j).$$

A simple chain argument using (49) then allows to extend the uniform Hölder estimates in (63) to $x, y \in B_{\varepsilon_j}^k(U_j \setminus U'_0)$. Briefly, since V_j has smooth boundary we can fix a constant \hat{C}_j such that, for each $x, y \in V_j$, there exists a curve $\gamma_{xy} \subset V_j$ joining x to y whose length is at most $\hat{C}_j d_{g_{j-1}}(x, y)$. Restricting to $x, y \in V_j$.

 $B_{\varepsilon_j}^k(U_j \setminus U'_0)$, choose points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^s$ along γ_{xy} in such a way that $x_0 = x$, $x_s = y$ and the length of each subsegment $\gamma_{x_ix_{i+1}}$ with respect to g_{j-1} does not exceed $\varepsilon_j/(2\hat{C}_j\bar{C}_j)$. By (53), there exists \tilde{C}_j such that

$$x_i \in B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(x_{i-1}) \quad \forall i \in I, \ k \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \sum_i \mathrm{d}_k(x_i, x_{i+1}) \le \tilde{C}_j \mathrm{d}_k(x, y).$$

Applying (63) with $z = y = x_i$ and $x = x_{i+1}$, and summing up, we get

(64)
$$|\varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(y)| + \left| |\nabla \varphi_k(x)|_k - |\nabla \varphi_k(y)|_k \right| \le C'''(n, p, R_j) \mathrm{d}_k(x, y)^{1-\frac{n}{p}} \qquad \forall x, y \in B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(U_j \setminus U'_0).$$

Next, by (55) and since $M \setminus U'_0$ is connected while $M \setminus \overline{U_j}$ is not, each curve in $M \setminus U'_0$ joining two points $x \in \partial E_{-,j}, y \in \partial E_{+,j}$ shall contain a point $x_k \in U_j \setminus U'_0$ for which $\varphi_k(x_k) = 0$. Hence, $\{\varphi_k\}$ is equibounded on $B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(U_j \setminus U'_0)$ and subconverges, by Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, pointwise to some $\varphi : B^{\infty}_{\varepsilon_j}(U_j \setminus U'_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ that, because of (64), is uniformly continuous on $B^{\infty}_{\varepsilon_j}(U_j \setminus U'_0)$. Furthermore, by [28, Prop. 3.19] and up to subsequences, $\varphi_k \to \varphi L^2$ -weakly on each ball $B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(z) \subset B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(U_j \setminus U'_0)$, see also [28, Rem. 3.8]. By Hölder inequality, (61) and since (M, g_{j,k,m_k}) has uniformly bounded volume,

$$\limsup_{k} \|\varphi_k\|_{W^{1,2}(B^k_{\varepsilon_j}(z),g_{j,k,m_k})} < \infty,$$

and $\varphi_k \to \varphi \ L^2$ -strongly on $B^{\infty}_{\varepsilon_j}(z)$. By [28, Thm. 1.3], $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(B^{\infty}_r(z), \mathbf{d}_{\infty})$ for each $r < \varepsilon_j$, φ is in the domain of the Laplacian $\mathcal{D}^2(\Delta, B^{\infty}_{\varepsilon_j}(z))$ on $M_{j,\infty}$ and

(65)
$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \varphi_k \to \Delta \varphi & L^2 \text{ weakly on } B^{\infty}_{\varepsilon_j}(z) \\ \nabla \varphi_k \to \nabla \varphi & L^2 \text{ strongly on } B^{\infty}_r(z), \text{ for each } r < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by [28, Thm. 3.28], $|\nabla \varphi_k| \to |\nabla \varphi| L^2$ strongly on $B_r^{\infty}(z)$, hence pointwise a.e by [28, Prop. 3.32]. Passing to the limit in (64), φ and $|\nabla \varphi|$ are uniformly continuous on $\overline{U_j \setminus U_0}$. If z is a sharp point we apply [16, Proposition 2.5] to infer the existence of $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n, z)$ and $\varepsilon'_j = \varepsilon'(n, z, \varepsilon_j) \in (0, \varepsilon_j)$ such that

(66)
$$\int_{B_{r/2}^{\infty}(z)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \le (1 - \delta_0) \int_{B_r^{\infty}(z)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + r^2 C(n, z, \varepsilon_j) \int_{B_r^{\infty}(z)} (\Delta \varphi)^2 \qquad \forall r \le \varepsilon'_j$$

Using [28, Thm. 3.29] and (65) we deduce that, for every $r \leq \varepsilon'_{j}$,

$$\|\Delta\varphi\|_{L^2(B_r^\infty(z))} \le \liminf_k \|\Delta\varphi_k\|_{L^2(B_r^k(z))}$$

hence by Hölder inequality and (58) we deduce

$$r^{2} \oint_{B_{r}^{k}(z)} |\Delta \varphi_{k}|^{2} \leq r^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{k} \left(B_{r}^{k}(z) \right)^{\frac{-2}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{r}^{k}(z)} |\Delta \varphi_{k}|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq r^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{k} \left(B_{r}^{k}(z) \right)^{-\frac{2}{p}} \leq (C'_{\mathscr{D}})^{-\frac{2}{p}} r^{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{j}}{r} \right)^{\frac{2n}{p}} \operatorname{vol}_{k} \left(B_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{k}(z) \right)^{-\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$\leq C(n, p, R_{j}, \varepsilon_{j}, \nu_{j}) r^{2\frac{p-n}{p}}$$

where, in the last step, we used again (54). Inserting into (66) we eventually obtain

$$\int_{B_{r/2}^{\infty}(z)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \le (1-\delta_0) \int_{B_r^{\infty}(z)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + C(n, p, R_j, \nu_j, z, \varepsilon_j) r^{\frac{2(p-n)}{p}} \qquad \forall r \le \varepsilon_j'.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \oint_{B^{\infty}_{r/2}(z)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 = 0 \quad \text{for every sharp point } z.$$

From the uniform continuity of $|\nabla \varphi|$ and the density of the set of sharp points in $U_j \setminus U_{j-1}$, we conclude that $|\nabla \varphi| = 0$ on $\overline{U_j \setminus U_{j-1}}$ (on $U_1 \setminus \mathbb{V}$, if j = 1), as claimed. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.9, and Corollaries 1.12 and 1.14. All of them are based on the following simple observation: let X, Y be Riemannian manifolds, with Y compact, and consider a (say, smooth) function $\varphi \in W^{2,p}(X \times Y)$. For every $y \in Y$ fixed, define $\varphi_y : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi_y(x) = \varphi(x, y)$. Denote with $\nabla, \overline{\nabla}, \Delta, \overline{\Delta}$, the Levi-Civita connections and the Laplace operator of X and $X \times Y$ respectively. From

$$|\bar{\nabla}\varphi(x,y)| \ge |\nabla\varphi_y(x)|, \qquad |\bar{\nabla}^2\varphi(x,y)| \ge |\nabla^2\varphi_y(x)|,$$

it holds

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}(X\times Y)}^{p} + \|\bar{\nabla}\varphi\|_{L^{p}(X\times Y)}^{p} + \|\bar{\nabla}^{2}\varphi\|_{L^{p}(X\times Y)}^{p} \ge \int_{Y} \left\{ \|\varphi_{y}\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{p} + \|\nabla\varphi_{y}\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{p} + \|\nabla^{2}\varphi_{y}\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{p} \right\} \mathrm{d}y,$$

with equality if φ just depends on y. Hence, by the definition of $W^{2,p}$ norm, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on p such that

(67)
$$\|\varphi\|_{W^{2,p}(X\times Y)}^p \ge C_p \int_Y \|\varphi_y\|_{W^{2,p}(X)}^p \mathrm{d}y \qquad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty(X\times Y) \cap W^{2,p}(X\times Y).$$

Conversely, let $\pi : X \times Y \to X$ be the projection onto the first factor and for any $\psi \in W^{2,p}(X)$ define $\bar{\psi} \doteq \psi \circ \pi \in W^{2,p}(X \times Y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\psi}\|_{L^{p}(X\times Y)}^{p} &= \operatorname{vol}(Y) \|\psi\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{p}, \qquad \|\bar{\nabla}\bar{\psi}\|_{L^{p}(X\times Y)}^{p} &= \operatorname{vol}(Y) \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{p}, \\ \|\bar{\nabla}^{2}\bar{\psi}\|_{L^{p}(X\times Y)}^{p} &= \operatorname{vol}(Y) \|\nabla^{2}\psi\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{p}, \quad \|\bar{\Delta}\bar{\psi}\|_{L^{p}(X\times Y)}^{p} &= \operatorname{vol}(Y) \|\Delta\psi\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Regarding Theorem1.9, for fixed $n \ge 2$, and p > 2, consider a surface $M \sharp N$ and the smooth function $F \in W^{k,p}(M \sharp N)$ (for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$) constructed in Theorem 1.10 for dimension 2. In particular,

 $||v - F||_{W^{2,p}(M\sharp N)} \ge 1 \quad \text{for every } v \in C_c^{\infty}(M\sharp N).$

Consider a compact, boundaryless manifold Y of dimension n-2, let $\pi : Q = (M \sharp N) \times Y \to Y$ be the projection onto the second factor, and define $\overline{F} \doteq F \circ \pi \in W^{2,p}(Q)$. Then, from (67), for every $u \in C_c^{\infty}(Q)$ it holds

$$\|u - \bar{F}\|_{W^{k,p}(Q)}^p \ge \|u - \bar{F}\|_{W^{2,p}(Q)}^p \ge C_p \int_Y \|u_y - F\|_{W^{2,p}(M\sharp N)}^p \mathrm{d}y \ge C_p \mathrm{vol}(Y)$$

Hence $F \notin W_0^{k,p}(M)$ and

$$W_0^{k,p}(Q) \neq W^{k,p}(Q),$$

as claimed.

As for Corollary 1.12, given p > 2, let (M^2, g) be a complete surface with $\text{Sec} \ge 0$ constructed in [33], so that there exists a sequence $\{F_k\} \subset C_c^{\infty}(M)$ with $\|F_k\|_{L^p(M)} + \|\Delta F_k\|_{L^p(M)} = 1$ but $\|\nabla^2 F_k\|_{L^p(M)} \to \infty$. Fix a compact manifold Y^{n-2} with $\text{Sec} \ge 0$, and define as above $\bar{F}_k = F_k \circ \pi \in C_c^{\infty}(M \times X)$. It is immediate to deduce that

$$\|\bar{F}_k\|_{L^p(M \times X)} + \|\bar{\Delta}\bar{F}_k\|_{L^p(M \times X)} = \operatorname{vol}(Y)^{1/p}, \quad \text{but} \quad \|\bar{\nabla}^2\bar{F}_k\|_{L^p(M)} \to \infty$$

Corollary 1.14 can be proved in a very similar way, starting from a sequence of compact 2-dimensional positively curved manifolds M_k and a sequence of functions $F_k \in C^{\infty}(M_k)$ which verify

$$||F_k||_{L^p(M_k)} + ||\Delta F_k||_{L^p(M_k)} = \operatorname{vol}(Y)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{but} \quad ||\nabla^2 F_k||_{L^p(M_k)} \to \infty;$$

the existence of these sequences is guaranteed by [16].

Acknowledgement. M.R. and G.V. are members of INdAM-GNAMPA. We acknowledge that the present research has been partially supported by PRIN project 2017 "Real and Complex Manifolds: Topology, Geometry and holomorphic dynamics" and by MIUR grant Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022 (E11G18000350001), DISMA, Politecnico di Torino. S.H. acknowledges the support of the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) of 20H01799 and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) of 18H01118. We also would like to thank Li Chen and Willie WY Wong for pointing out some literature and the anonymous referee for the careful reading of the paper and for useful suggestions.

References

- Luigi Ambrosio, Calculus, heat flow and curvature-dimension bounds in metric measure spaces, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. I. Plenary lectures, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018, pp. 301–340. MR 3966731
- Thierry Aubin, Espaces de Sobolev sur les variétés riemanniennes, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 100 (1976), no. 2, 149–173. MR 0488125
- Dominique Bakry, Étude des transformations de Riesz dans les variétés riemanniennes à courbure de Ricci minorée, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXI, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1247, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 137–172. MR 941980
- Lashi Bandara, Density problems on vector bundles and manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), no. 8, 2683–2695. MR 3209324
- Davide Bianchi and Alberto G. Setti, Laplacian cut-offs, porous and fast diffusion on manifolds and other applications, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 57 (2018), no. 1, Art. 4, 33. MR 3735744
- Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. MR 1744486
- S. V. Bujalo, Shortest paths on convex hypersurfaces of a Riemannian space, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 66 (1976), 114–132, 207, Studies in topology, II. MR 0643664
- 8. Peter Buser, A note on the isoperimetric constant, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), no. 2, 213–230. MR 683635
- Gilles Carron, Riesz transform on manifolds with quadratic curvature decay, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 33 (2017), no. 3, 749–788. MR 3713030
- Gilles Carron, Thierry Coulhon, and Andrew Hassell, Riesz transform and L^p-cohomology for manifolds with Euclidean ends, Duke Math. J. 133 (2006), no. 1, 59–93. MR 2219270
- 11. Li Chen, Sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates and quasi Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$, Publ. Mat. 59 (2015), no. 2, 313–338. MR 3374610
- 12. Li Chen, Thierry Coulhon, Joseph Feneuil, and Emmanuel Russ, Riesz transform for $1 \le p \le 2$ without Gaussian heat kernel bound, J. Geom. Anal. 27 (2017), no. 2, 1489–1514. MR 3625161
- Li-Juan Cheng, Anton Thalmaier, and James Thompson, Quantitative C¹-estimates by Bismut formulae, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 465 (2018), no. 2, 803–813. MR 3809330
- 14. Thierry Coulhon and Xuan Thinh Duong, Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 3, 1151–1169. MR 1458299
- 15. ____, Riesz transform and related inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56 (2003), no. 12, 1728–1751. MR 2001444
- Guido De Philippis and Jesús Núñez Zimbrón, The behavior of harmonic functions at singular points of RCD spaces, ArXiv Preprint Server – arXiv:1909.05220, 2019.
- 17. Jürgen Eichhorn, *Elliptic differential operators on noncompact manifolds*, Seminar Analysis of the Karl-Weierstrass-Institute of Mathematics, 1986/87 (Berlin, 1986/87), Teubner-Texte Math., vol. 106, Teubner, Leipzig, 1988, pp. 4–169.
- 18. Jürgen Eichhorn, Global analysis on open manifolds, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2007. MR 2343536
- Avner Friedman, Partial differential equations, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York-Montreal, Que.-London, 1969. MR 0445088
- Mohammad Ghomi, The problem of optimal smoothing for convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), no. 8, 2255–2259. MR 1896406
- 21. Davide Guidetti, Batu Güneysu, and Diego Pallara, L^1 -elliptic regularity and H = W on the whole L^p -scale on arbitrary manifolds, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **42** (2017), no. 1, 497–521. MR 3558546
- Batu Güneysu, Covariant Schrödinger semigroups on Riemannian manifolds, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 264, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017. MR 3751359
- Batu Güneysu and Stefano Pigola, The Calderón-Zygmund inequality and Sobolev spaces on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Adv. Math. 281 (2015), 353–393. MR 3366843
- Batu Güneysu and Stefano Pigola, L^p-interpolation inequalities and global Sobolev regularity results, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **198** (2019), no. 1, 83–96, With an appendix by Ognjen Milatovic. MR 3918620
- Emmanuel Hebey, Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1635, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR 1481970
- _____, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 5, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 1688256
- 27. Juha Heinonen, Pekka Koskela, Nageswari Shanmugalingam, and Jeremy T. Tyson, Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015, An approach based on upper gradients. MR 3363168
- 28. Shouhei Honda, Ricci curvature and L^p-convergence, J. Reine Angew. Math. 705 (2015), 85–154. MR 3377391
- 29. Debora Impera, Michele Rimoldi, and Giona Veronelli, *Higher order distance-like functions and Sobolev spaces*, ArXiv Preprint Server arXiv:1908.10951, 2019.
- 30. _____, Density problems for second order Sobolev spaces and cut-off functions on manifolds with unbounded geometry, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN. Online first. (DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnz131).

- Nicholas J. Korevaar and Richard M. Schoen, Global existence theorems for harmonic maps to non-locally compact spaces, Comm. Anal. Geom. 5 (1997), no. 2, 333–387. MR 1483983
- Siran Li, Counterexamples to the L^p-Calderón-Zygmund estimate on open manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 57 (2020), no. 1, 61–70. MR 4057451
- Ludovico Marini and Giona Veronelli, The L^p Calderón-Zygmund inequality on non-compact manifolds of positive curvature, to appear in Ann. Global Anal. Geom. ArXiv Preprint Server – arXiv:2011.13025, 2020.
- Yukio Otsu and Takashi Shioya, The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces, J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), no. 3, 629–658. MR 1274133
- 35. Peter Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 171, Springer, Cham, 2016. MR 3469435
- 36. Stefano Pigola, Global Calderón-Zygmund inequalities on complete Riemannian manifolds, ArXiv Preprint Server arXiv:2011.03220, 2020.
- Laurent Saloff-Coste, Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 289, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. MR 1872526
- 38. J. H. Sampson, On a theorem of Chern, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 177 (1973), 141–153. MR 0317221
- 39. Giona Veronelli, Sobolev functions without compactly supported approximations, to appear in Anal. PDE. ArXiv Preprint Server arXiv:2004.10682, 2020.
- 40. Shing Tung Yau, Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976), no. 7, 659–670. MR 417452

(Shouhei Honda) Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Aoba Aramaki, Aoba Ward, Sendai, Miyagi 980-0845, Japan

Email address: shouhei.honda.e4@tohoku.ac.jp

(Luciano Mari) Dipartimento di Matematica "Giuseppe Peano", Università degli Studi di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, I-10123 Torino, Italy

Email address: luciano.mari@unito.it

(Michele Rimoldi) DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE "GIUSEPPE LUIGI LAGRANGE", POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI, 24, I-10129 TORINO, ITALY

Email address: michele.rimoldi@polito.it

(Giona Veronelli) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, VIA R. COZZI 53, I-20125 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: giona.veronelli@unimib.it