

UNIVERSAL RELATIONS IN ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAS FOR ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

D. R. YAFAEV

To the memory of Michail Zakharovitch Solomyak on the occasion of his 90th anniversary

ABSTRACT. Orthogonal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ are oscillating functions of n as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for λ in the absolutely continuous spectrum of the corresponding Jacobi operator J . We show that, irrespective of any specific assumptions on coefficients of the operator J , amplitude and phase factors in asymptotic formulas for $P_n(\lambda)$ are linked by certain universal relations found in the paper.

Our approach relies on a study of operators diagonalizing Jacobi operators. Diagonalizing operators are constructed in terms of orthogonal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$. They act from the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of functions into the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ of sequences. We consider such operators in a rather general setting and find necessary and sufficient conditions of their boundedness.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main result. Jacobi operators J acting in the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ are diagonalized (see, e.g., the book [1]) by unitary operators $\mathbf{U} : L^2(\mathbb{R}; d\rho) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ ($d\rho$ is the spectral measure of J) constructed in terms of the corresponding orthonormal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$:

$$(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{f})_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(\lambda) \mathbf{f}(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\}, \quad \mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; d\rho). \quad (1.1)$$

We are interested in an asymptotic behavior of the polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for λ in the absolutely continuous spectrum of J . Typically, $P_n(\lambda)$ are oscillating functions such that

$$P_n(\lambda) = 2\kappa(\lambda)n^{-r} \cos(\omega(\lambda)n^s + \Phi_n(\lambda)) + O(n^{-\delta}) \quad (1.2)$$

where $s \in (0, 1]$, $r \geq 0$, $\delta > 1/2$, $\omega'(\lambda) > 0$ and $\Phi'_n(\lambda) = o(n^s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A more general asymptotic formula of this type is given in Assumption 4.4.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33C45, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Jacobi matrices, orthogonal polynomials, integral operators, oscillating kernels.

Supported by RFBR grant No. 17-01-00668 A.

Our main goal is to show that, irrespective of specific assumptions about matrix elements of the operator J , or about the corresponding spectral measure

$$d\rho(\lambda) = \tau(\lambda)d\lambda, \quad \tau(\lambda) > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

the asymptotic coefficients in formula (1.2) are linked by the universal relations

$$\boxed{2r + s = 1} \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\boxed{2\pi\tau(\lambda)\kappa^2(\lambda) = s\omega'(\lambda)}. \quad (1.5)$$

Given unitarity of the operators (1.1), we deduce these relations from asymptotic formulas for time dependent evolution $e^{-i\Theta(J)t}f$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for suitable functions $\Theta(\lambda)$. These results were announced in [21]; their proofs are given in Sect. 4.

1.2. Boundedness of semidiscrete Fourier transforms. Unitarity (and hence boundedness) of operators (1.1) are consequences of the orthogonal polynomials theory. In Sect. 3, we discuss sufficiently arbitrary semidiscrete (that is, acting from a space of functions into a space of sequences) Fourier operators V imitating their structure and find conditions of their boundedness by direct tools. A typical result about such operators is stated as Theorem 1.1. Below C and c are various positive constants whose precise values are irrelevant.

Theorem 1.1. *Let an operator $V : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ be defined by the formula*

$$(Vf)_n = v_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix_n\lambda} w(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (1.6)$$

where $v_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_n < x_{n+1}$ and $x_n \rightarrow \infty$. If

$$\sup_{R>0} \sum_{n:x_n \in (R, R+1)} v_n^2 < \infty \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$|w(\lambda)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}, \quad (1.8)$$

then the operator $V : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ is bounded. Conversely, if V is bounded for w being the characteristic function of some interval, then condition (1.7) is satisfied.

Note that (1.7) holds true, if

$$x_n = n^s, \quad s \in (0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad |v_n| \leq C(1 + n)^{-r} \quad \text{with} \quad 2r \geq 1 - s. \quad (1.9)$$

Let us mention two particular cases of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that w is a bounded function with compact support. If $s = 1$, $r = 0$, then the boundedness of the operator V follows from the Parseval identity for the exponentials $e^{in\lambda}$. The case $s = 1/2$, $r = 1/4$ can be deduced (see Sect. 2.4) from classical results on Hermite (or Laguerre) polynomials. It is however clear that

¹⁰ such statements should be true for sufficiently arbitrary sequences x_n and under less stringent assumptions on the function w

² the theory of orthogonal polynomials is irrelevant for a study of operators (1.6).

Our goal in Sect. 3 is to justify these conjectures. It is convenient to state the problem in a more general setting. Let Φ ,

$$(\Phi f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\xi} f(\xi) d\xi =: \hat{f}(x) \quad (1.10)$$

be the (adjoint) Fourier transform, and let W be the operator of multiplication by a bounded function $w(\xi)$. We find in Theorem 3.2 generalizing Theorem 1.1 necessary and sufficient conditions of boundedness of the operator

$$A = \Phi W : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dM) \quad (1.11)$$

where $dM(x)$ is some measure on \mathbb{R}^d . This is of course a well studied problem; nevertheless, Theorem 3.2 seems to be new. Note that the boundedness of operator A for $w(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|)^{-\ell}$ is equivalent to the embedding of the Sobolev space $H^\ell(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dM)$.

Let us however mention an important relevant result. Obviously, the operator $v\Phi w$ is bounded in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $v, w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The case of functions v and w with local singularities was studied in the paper [4] by M. Sh. Birman, G. E. Karadzhov and M. Z. Solomyak. The conditions of boundedness of such operators $v\Phi w$ generalize classical Hardy inequalities.

2. JACOBI OPERATORS AND ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

2.1. Basic facts. Let us briefly recall necessary results about Jacobi operators J . They act in the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ by the formula

$$(Ju)_n = a_{n-1}u_{n-1} + b_nu_n + a_nu_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad a_{-1} = 0,$$

where the sequences $a_n > 0$ and $b_n = \bar{b}_n$ are given and $u = \{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. A minimal symmetric operator J_0 is defined on a set of vectors with only a finite number of non-zero components u_n . The spectra of all self-adjoint extensions J of J_0 are simple with $e_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)^\top$ being a generating vector. The spectral measure of J is defined by the relation $d\rho_J(\lambda) = d(E_J(\lambda)e_0, e_0)$ where $E_J(\lambda)$ is the spectral family of the operator J . If the Carleman condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{-1} = \infty \quad (2.1)$$

is satisfied, then the operator J_0 is essentially self-adjoint.

Orthonormal polynomials $P_n(z)$ can be defined as solutions of the difference equation

$$a_{n-1}u_{n-1}(z) + b_nu_n(z) + a_nu_{n+1}(z) = zu_n(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.2)$$

satisfying the boundary condition $P_0(z) = 1$. Clearly, $P_n(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n : $P_n(z) = p_nz^n + \dots$ with $p_n > 0$. It can be shown that these polynomials

are orthogonal and normalized in the spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}; d\rho)$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(\lambda) P_m(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda) = \delta_{n,m};$$

as usual, $\delta_{n,n} = 1$ and $\delta_{n,m} = 0$ for $n \neq m$. Here $d\rho = d\rho_J$ is the spectral measure of an arbitrary self-adjoint extension J of the minimal operator J_0 . Alternatively, given a probability measure $d\rho(\lambda)$, the polynomials $P_0(\lambda), P_1(\lambda), \dots, P_n(\lambda), \dots$ can be obtained by the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of the monomials $1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^n, \dots$ in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; d\rho)$.

Recall that mapping (1.1) is unitary, that is,

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{U} = I, \quad \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^* = I$$

(we denote by I the identity operator in different spaces) and enjoys the intertwining property $(\mathbf{U}^* J u)(\lambda) = \lambda(\mathbf{U}^* u)(\lambda)$.

Let Λ be an interval of the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator J where the spectral measure is given by relation (1.3). On the subspace $L^2(\Lambda; d\rho)$, mapping (1.1) can be reduced (if one sets $\sqrt{\tau(\lambda)} \mathbf{f}(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$) to the operator $U = U_\Delta : L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ defined by the relation

$$(Uf)_n = \int_{\Lambda} P_n(\lambda) \sqrt{\tau(\lambda)} f(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad f \in L^2(\Lambda). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that the operator U is isometric on the space $L^2(\Lambda)$.

Below we discuss asymptotic formula (1.2) for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. All our estimates are uniform in λ from compact subintervals of an open interval Λ .

2.2. Classical polynomials. The Jacobi polynomials $P_n(\lambda) = P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda)$ are parametrized by two indices $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > -1$. The corresponding Jacobi operator $J = J_{\alpha, \beta}$ has the absolutely continuous spectrum $[-1, 1]$ with the spectral measure $d\rho(\lambda)$ defined by (1.3) where the weight function

$$\tau(\lambda) = k(1 - \lambda)^\alpha (1 + \lambda)^\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta > -1 \quad \lambda \in \Lambda = (-1, 1). \quad (2.4)$$

The constant k is chosen in such a way that measure (1.3) is normalized, i.e., $\rho(\mathbb{R}) = \rho((-1, 1)) = 1$. Explicit expressions for matrix elements a_n, b_n of the Jacobi operator J can be found, for example, in the books [5, 17], but we do not need them. We only note that $a_n = 1/2 + O(n^{-2})$, $b_n = O(n^{-2})$. According to formula (8.21.10) in the book [17] **the Jacobi polynomials** satisfy relation (1.2) where $s = 1$, $r = 0$, $\delta = 1$ and $\omega(\lambda) = \arcsin \lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\lambda) &= (2\pi k)^{-1/2} (1 - \lambda)^{-(1+2\alpha)/4} (1 + \lambda)^{-(1+2\beta)/4}, \\ \Phi_n(\lambda) &= 2^{-1} (\alpha + \beta + 1) \arcsin \lambda - \pi(2n + \beta - \alpha)/4. \end{aligned}$$

The Laguerre polynomials $P_n(\lambda) = P_n^\alpha(\lambda)$ where the parameter $\alpha > -1$ are determined by recurrence coefficients

$$a_n = \sqrt{(n+1)(n+1+\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = 2n + \alpha + 1. \quad (2.5)$$

The corresponding Jacobi operators J have absolutely continuous spectra coinciding with $[0, \infty)$ and the weight functions equal $\tau(\lambda) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)^{-1} \lambda^\alpha e^{-\lambda}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. According to formula (10.15.1) in [5] the Laguerre polynomials satisfy all relation (1.2) where $s = 1/2$, $r = 1/4$, $\delta = 3/4$ and $\omega(\lambda) = 2\sqrt{\lambda}$,

$$\kappa(\lambda) = 2^{-1} \pi^{-1/2} \sqrt{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \lambda^{-\alpha/2 - 1/4} e^{\lambda/2}, \quad \Phi_n(\lambda) = \pi n - \pi(2\alpha + 1)/4.$$

The Hermite polynomials are determined by the Jacobi coefficients $a_n = \sqrt{(n+1)/2}$, $b_n = 0$. The corresponding Jacobi operator J has the absolutely continuous spectrum coinciding with the whole axis \mathbb{R} and the weight function equals $\tau(\lambda) = \pi^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda^2}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. According to formula (10.15.18) in [5] the Hermite polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ satisfy, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, an asymptotic relation

$$P_n(\lambda) = 2^{1/2} \pi^{-1/4} e^{\lambda^2/2} (2n+1)^{-1/4} \cos(\sqrt{2n+1} \lambda - \pi n/2) + O(n^{-3/4}) \quad (2.6)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We now have $s = 1/2$, $r = 1/4$, $\omega(\lambda) = \sqrt{2\lambda}$ and $\kappa(\lambda) = 2^{-3/4} \pi^{-1/4} e^{\lambda^2/2}$.

Thus equality (1.4) is obviously true for all classical polynomials. A direct calculation shows that relation (1.5) is also satisfied.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 1.1 for the particular case $s = 1/2$, $r = 1/4$ and compactly supported functions $w(\lambda)$ can be deduced from boundedness of operator (2.3) for the Hermite polynomials. Observe first that the operator with kernel $\epsilon_n(\lambda) w(\lambda)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if $\epsilon_n(\lambda) = O(n^{-\delta})$ with $\delta > 1/2$. Therefore it follows from formula (2.6) that the operator with kernel $(n+1/2)^{-1/4} \cos(\sqrt{n+1/2} \lambda - \pi n/2) w(\lambda)$ is bounded. Choosing $n = 2m$ or $n = 2m+1$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and neglecting Hilbert-Schmidt operators, we see that the operators with kernels $(m+1)^{-1/4} \cos(\sqrt{m+1} \lambda) w(\lambda)$ and $(m+1)^{-1/4} \sin(\sqrt{m+1} \lambda) w(\lambda)$ are bounded. This ensures boundedness of the operator with kernel $(m+1)^{-1/4} \exp(i\sqrt{m+1} \lambda) w(\lambda)$.

2.3. General polynomials. Let us now mention some results for general orthogonal polynomials. In all the papers discussed below, formula (1.2) holds true although estimates of the remainders are not always as good as required in (1.2).

First, we recall the classical result of S. Bernstein [2] (see also formula (12.1.8) in the G. Szegő book [17]). It states that under some (rather stringent) assumptions on the absolutely continuous spectral measure $d\rho(\lambda)$ supported by $[-1, 1]$, formula (1.2) is true with $r = 0$, $s = 1$, $\omega(\lambda) = \arccos \lambda$ and the amplitude factor $\kappa(\lambda)$ defined by (1.5). The phase shift $\Phi_n(\lambda)$ in the Bernstein formula does not depend on n and is known as the Szegő (or the spectral shift) function. This result can be considered as a far reaching generalization of the asymptotic formula for the Jacobi polynomials. On the contrary, for singular weights $\tau(\lambda)$ formula (1.2) is violated but only in neighborhoods of singular points (see, e.g., [6]).

A study of asymptotic behavior of orthogonal polynomials defined by their recurrence coefficients a_n , b_n was initiated by P. Nevai in his book [13]. Under the assumptions $\{a_n - 1/2\} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, $\{b_n\} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ he showed that asymptotics (1.2)

is true on $\Lambda = (-1, 1)$ with $r = 0$, $s = 1$. The corresponding Jacobi operator is a perturbation (short-range, in quantum mechanical terminology) of the Jacobi operator $J^{(0)} := J_{1/2, 1/2}$ corresponding to the parameters $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$ in (2.4). It has the coefficients $a_n = 1/2$, $b_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and is known as the free discrete Schrödinger operator. More general operators J such that $a_n \rightarrow 1/2$, $b_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|a_{n+1} - a_n| + |b_{n+1} - b_n|) < \infty \quad (2.7)$$

(long-range perturbations of $J^{(0)}$) were considered by A. Maté, P. Nevai and V. Totik in [12] and in a recent paper [19]. According to the results of these papers an asymptotic behavior of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ is always given by formula (1.2) where $r = 0$ and $s = 1$ but $\Phi_n(\lambda)$ is a non-trivial function. Expressions¹ (1.13) and (1.22) of [19] for the weight $\tau(\lambda)$ and the amplitude factor $\kappa(\lambda)$ confirm identity (1.5).

2.4. Freud's weights. Starting with the paper [7], exponential weights

$$\tau(\lambda) = k_\beta e^{-|\lambda|^\beta} \quad (2.8)$$

where $\beta > 0$ and k_β is a normalization constant were extensively studied. Note that the value $\beta = 2$ yields the Hermite polynomials. Given a measure (1.3), one constructs its moments, orthonormal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ and Jacobi coefficients a_n , b_n . If $\tau(\lambda)$ is given by (2.8) where $\beta \geq 1$, then the minimal Jacobi operator J_0 with the coefficients a_n , b_n is essentially adjoint and the spectral measure of its closure is determined by (2.8). This is not true for $\beta < 1$.

It was shown in [10, 11] that for weights (2.8) and all $\beta > 0$, the off-diagonal recurrence coefficients a_n have asymptotics

$$a_n = \alpha n^\ell (1 + o(1)), \quad \ell = 1/\beta, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.9)$$

with some explicit constant $\alpha = \alpha_\beta$; of course the diagonal elements $b_n = 0$. The asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ is given for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ by the Plancherel-Rotach formula; see [14] for $\beta = 4$, [15] for all $\beta > 1$ and [9] for all $\beta > 0$. Formula (2.10) below states this asymptotics for a fixed λ in terms of the coefficients a_n .

If condition (2.9) is satisfied with $\ell \in (0, 1]$ and $b_n = 0$, then the Carleman condition (2.1) holds and the minimal Jacobi operator J_0 is essentially self-adjoint. The spectrum of its closure J covers the whole axis \mathbb{R} and it is absolutely continuous so that relation (1.3) holds true with a smooth function $\tau(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. According to the results of [8] (see also [20], Sect. 5.2) the corresponding orthonormal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ have asymptotic behavior

$$P_n(\lambda) = \pi^{-1/2} \tau(\lambda)^{-1/2} a_n^{-1/2} \cos(\lambda \psi_n - n\pi/2 + \delta(\lambda)) + o(a_n^{-1/2}) \quad (2.10)$$

where $\psi_n = 2^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} a_m^{-1}$ and the phase shift $\delta(\lambda)$ does not depend on n .

¹Formula (8) in [12] is consistent with these expressions but is less explicit.

It follows that in the case $\ell < 1$ formula (1.2) holds true with $r = \ell/2$, $s = 1 - \ell$, $\kappa(\lambda) = \pi^{-1/2}\tau(\lambda)^{-1/2}$, $\omega(\lambda) = 2^{-1}\alpha(1 - \ell)^{-1}\lambda$ so that both relations (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied. In the case $\ell = 1$ we have $\psi_n = 2^{-1}\alpha \ln n$ which corresponds to the limit case of (1.4) and (1.5) for $s = 0$ (see Example 4.13).

If condition (2.9) on a_n is satisfied with $\ell > 1$ and all $b_n = 0$, then, as shown in [20], the difference equation (2.2) for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ has solutions $f_n^{(+)}(z)$ and $f_n^{(-)}(z)$ (known as Jost solutions) with asymptotics

$$f_n^{(\pm)}(z) = a_n^{-1/2} e^{\pm \pi i n / 2} (1 + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.11)$$

We emphasize that z is here an arbitrary complex number and the right-hand side of (2.11) depends on z only through the remainder $o(1)$. These solutions are linearly independent and belong to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Therefore the minimal operator J_0 is not essentially self-adjoint, so that as shown by R. Nevanlinna (see, e.g., Sect. 7.2 of the book [16]) all its self-adjoint extensions have discrete spectra. Corresponding orthogonal polynomials $P_n(z)$ are linear combinations of $f_n^{(+)}(z)$ and $f_n^{(-)}(z)$ so that an asymptotic behavior of $P_n(z)$ is drastically different from (2.10). A value $\ell > 1$ corresponds to the case $\beta < 1$ in (2.8) which was studied in [9]. A careful analysis shows that formulas of [9] are consistent with (2.11).

2.5. Jost solutions. In the previous subsection, we discussed a problem with discrete spectrum where the corresponding difference equation (2.2) had two solutions with asymptotics (2.11). Actually, it is rather typical that equation (2.2) has two linearly independent solutions $f_n^{(\pm)}$ with oscillating asymptotics. Below we fix some number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (not necessarily real).

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that equation (2.2) has two linearly independent solutions $f_n^{(\pm)}$ with asymptotics*

$$f_n^{(\pm)} = v_n e^{\pm i \Omega_n} (1 + \varepsilon_n^{(\pm)}), \quad v_n > 0, \quad \Omega_n = \overline{\Omega_n}. \quad (2.12)$$

1⁰ *If $\Omega_{n+1} - \Omega_n \rightarrow \varpi$ where $\varpi \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon_n^{(\pm)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n v_n v_{n+1}) \neq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

2⁰ *If $\Omega_{n+1} - \Omega_n = \pi N + \omega_n$ where $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_n^{(\pm)} = o(\omega_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n v_n v_{n+1} \omega_n) \neq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. The Wronskian of the solutions $\{f_n^{(+)}\}$ and $\{f_n^{(-)}\}$ equals

$$a_n (f_n^{(+)} f_{n+1}^{(-)} - f_{n+1}^{(+)} f_n^{(-)}) = 2i a_n v_n v_{n+1} (\sin(\Omega_n - \Omega_{n+1}) + o(\varepsilon_n))$$

where $\varepsilon_n = o(1)$ in case 1⁰ and $\varepsilon_n = o(\omega_n)$ in case 2⁰. Since this expression does not depend on n and is not zero, we only have to pass to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Solutions $f_n^{(\pm)}$ of the Jacobi equation (2.2) with asymptotics (2.12) are known as the Jost solutions. Orthonormal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ are their linear combinations so that

$$P_n(\lambda) = 2\kappa(\lambda)v_n \cos(\Omega_n(\lambda) + \delta(\lambda))(1 + \varepsilon_n(\lambda)) \quad (2.15)$$

with some coefficients $\kappa(\lambda)$ and $\delta(\lambda)$ not depending on n . This formula is consistent with (1.2).

Let us give some examples where the conditions of Proposition 2.2 are satisfied. First we illustrate case 1⁰. We start with Jacobi operators J whose coefficients $a_n \rightarrow 1/2$, $b_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and obey condition (2.7). The corresponding orthogonal polynomials contain the classical Jacobi polynomials (see Sect. 2.3). According to Theorem 3.5 in [19] we now have

$$\Omega_{n+1}(\lambda) - \Omega_n(\lambda) = \arccos \frac{\lambda - b_n}{2a_n}, \quad \lambda \in (-1, 1),$$

so that $\varpi = \arccos \lambda \in (0, \pi)$. Therefore relation (2.13) implies that the sequence v_n in (2.15) has a finite non-zero limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we consider recurrence coefficients a_n satisfying condition (2.9) and $b_n = 0$. Now asymptotic formula (2.12) with $\varpi = -\pi/2$ and $v_n = a_n^{-1/2}(1 + o(1))$ is true for $\ell \in (0, 1]$ (in particular, the case $\ell = 1/2$ corresponds to the Hermite polynomials) and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ according to (2.10). It is true for $\ell > 1$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ according to (2.11). Asymptotic formula for v_n is of course consistent with relation (2.13).

For Laguerre coefficients (2.5), the condition of case 2⁰ is satisfied with $N = 1$ and $\omega(\lambda) = 2\sqrt{\lambda}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n+1})$ where $\lambda > 0$. Therefore relation (2.14) yields $v_n = \nu n^{-1/4}$ for some $\nu > 0$ which coincides with the amplitude factor in asymptotic formula for the Laguerre polynomials.

3. BOUNDEDNESS OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

3.1. Continuous case. To motivate our results on semidiscrete operators (1.6), let us previously consider the continuous case where the role of V (or of (1.11) for $d = 1$) is played by the operator

$$(Vf)(x) = v(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\theta(x)\omega(\lambda)} w(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (3.1)$$

with sufficiently arbitrary functions $\theta(x)$ and $\omega(\lambda)$. We suppose that these functions are monotone and tend to $\mp\infty$ (or $\pm\infty$) as $x \rightarrow \mp\infty$ and $\lambda \rightarrow \mp\infty$. Operators (3.1) can be reduced to the sandwiched Fourier transforms by unitary transformations corresponding to the changes of variables $y = \theta(x)$, $\mu = \omega(\lambda)$. Indeed, let us set

$$(F_1 u)(y) = \theta'(x)^{-1/2} u(x), \quad (F_2 f)(\mu) = \omega'(\lambda)^{-1/2} f(\lambda). \quad (3.2)$$

Then $F_1 \mathbf{V} = \tilde{\mathbf{V}} F_2$ where the operator $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ acts as

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{V}} \tilde{f})(y) = \tilde{v}(y) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iy\mu} \tilde{w}(\mu) \tilde{f}(\mu) d\mu$$

with $\tilde{f} = F_2 f$,

$$\tilde{v}(y) = \theta'(x)^{-1/2} v(x), \quad \tilde{w}(\mu) = \omega'(\lambda)^{-1/2} w(\lambda).$$

Since the operator $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ is bounded if the functions \tilde{v} and \tilde{w} are bounded, we can state the following elementary result.

Lemma 3.1. *The operator $\mathbf{V} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is bounded if*

$$(\theta')^{-1} |v|^2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\omega')^{-1} |w|^2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Of course the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be replaced here by $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. For example, the operator $\mathbf{V} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is bounded if

$$\theta(x) = x^s, \quad |v(x)| \leq C x^{(s-1)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\lambda) = \lambda^t, \quad |w(\lambda)| \leq C \lambda^{(t-1)/2}.$$

Note that if $s > 1$ or $t > 1$, then the functions $v(x)$ or $w(\lambda)$ may be unbounded at infinity.

The case of operators acting from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ into $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ is essentially more difficult because there is no unitary operator in the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ playing the role of the operator F_1 defined by formula (3.2). Nevertheless, to a some extent, Lemma 3.1 remains true in this case – see Theorem 3.11, below.

3.2. Discrete Fourier transforms. It is convenient to study boundedness of operators (1.6) in a more general setting. Thus, we consider operators (1.11) where Φ is the Fourier transform (1.10) and $dM(x)$ is a locally finite measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\mathbb{B}_r(x)$ be the ball of radius r centered at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We consider measures $dM(x)$ satisfying the condition

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} M(\mathbb{B}_r(x)) < \infty \tag{3.3}$$

for some and hence for all $r > 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *If condition (3.3) is satisfied and*

$$|w(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\ell/2} \tag{3.4}$$

for some integer $\ell > d/2$, then operator (1.11) is bounded and

$$\|A\| \leq C \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} M(\mathbb{B}_1(x)). \tag{3.5}$$

Conversely, if $w(\xi)$ is the characteristic function of some ball and the operator A is bounded, then condition (3.3) is satisfied.

Proof. Let us consider the lattice of unit cubes Π_n in \mathbb{R}^d . By the Sobolev inequality, it follows from condition (3.3) that, for every n ,

$$\int_{\Pi_n} |u(x)|^2 dM(x) \leq M(\Pi_n) \max_{x \in \Pi_n} |u(x)|^2 \leq C \int_{\Pi_n} (|\nabla^{(\ell)} u(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2) dx.$$

Summing these estimates over all cubes Π_n , we see that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dM)}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla^{(\ell)} u(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2) dx. \quad (3.6)$$

It means that operator (1.11) is bounded and estimate (3.5) holds.

Conversely, take any not identically zero function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $w\varphi = \varphi$. There exist a ball $\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(x_0)$ and a number $c > 0$ such that the Fourier transform of φ satisfies

$$|\hat{\varphi}(x)| \geq c > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{B}_{r_0}(x_0). \quad (3.7)$$

If condition (3.3) is violated, then there exists a sequence x_n , $x_n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(x_n)) = \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

Set

$$f_n(\xi) = e^{i(x_0 - x_n)\xi} \varphi(\xi). \quad (3.9)$$

Since $\hat{f}_n(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x - x_n + x_0)$, it follows from (3.7), (3.8) that

$$\|Af_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dM)}^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(x_n)} |\hat{f}_n(x)|^2 dM(x) \geq c^2 M(\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(x_n)) \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.10)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the operator A is unbounded. \square

Although Theorem 3.2 is quite elementary, we were unable to localize it in the literature. On the other hand, in the case of absolutely continuous measures, it is equivalent to Theorem 3 in [18]. In the general case, the proof is practically the same.

Remark 3.3. Note that boundedness of operator (1.11) for the characteristic function w of some ball implies the same fact for all functions w satisfying estimate (3.4).

Remark 3.4. Suppose now that a measure $dM(x)$ is supported by a discrete set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ or $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and set $v_n^2 = M(\{x_n\})$. Then condition (3.3) means that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{B}_r(x)} v_n^2 < \infty.$$

Note that if the points x_n get closer to each other, then boundedness of operator (1.11) requires stronger assumptions on decay of v_n . Boundedness of v_n is always a necessary condition for boundedness of operator (1.11).

Remark 3.5. Condition (3.4) in Theorem 3.2 is rather close to optimal. Indeed, even an estimate

$$|(Af)(x_n)| \leq C\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

for a fixed $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ implies that $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

3.3. One-dimensional case. Theorem 3.2 applies of course to operators $V : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ defined by formula (1.6). This yields Theorem 1.1. Sometimes it may be convenient to state its result in a slightly different form.

Theorem 3.6. *Let a sequence $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, be such that $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_n < x_{n+1} < x_n + \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If the sequence*

$$\sigma_n := \frac{v_n^2}{x_{n+1} - x_n} \quad (3.11)$$

is bounded and condition (1.8) on $w(\lambda)$ is satisfied, then the operator V is bounded. Conversely, if $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $w(\xi)$ is the characteristic function of some interval, then V is unbounded.

Example 3.7. If condition (1.9) is satisfied, then $x_{n+1} - x_n = sn^{s-1}(1 + o(1))$ so that $\{\sigma_n\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and hence the operator V is bounded. This fact can be stated as an inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} |u(n^s)|^2 \leq C \int_0^{\infty} (|u'(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2) dx. \quad (3.12)$$

Let us also consider the limit case $s = 0$, $r = 1/2$.

Example 3.8. If

$$x_n = \ln n, \quad n > 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |v_n| \leq (1 + |n|)^{-1/2},$$

then $x_{n+1} - x_n = n^{-1}(1 + o(1))$ so that again $\{\sigma_n\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and hence the operator V is bounded. This fact can be stated as an inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |u(\ln n)|^2 \leq C \int_0^{\infty} (|u'(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2) dx. \quad (3.13)$$

Theorem 3.6 shows also that inequalities (3.12) and (3.13) are quite precise, that is, the factors n^{s-1} and n^{-1} cannot be replaced by $\gamma_n n^{s-1}$ and $\gamma_n n^{-1}$ where $\gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3.4. Additional results. The first assertion is obvious.

Lemma 3.9. *Let an operator A be defined by relation (1.11). Then A is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $M(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$.*

Theorem 3.2 can be supplemented by the following result.

Theorem 3.10. *If condition (3.4) is satisfied with an integer $\ell > d/2$ and*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} M(\mathbb{B}_1(x)) = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

then the operator A is compact. Conversely, if $w(\xi)$ is the characteristic function of some ball and the operator A is compact, then condition (3.14) is satisfied.

Proof. Let $\chi_N(x)$ be the characteristic function of the ball $\mathbb{B}_N(0)$. The operator $\chi_N A$ is Hilbert-Schmidt by Lemma 3.9. In view of Theorem 3.2 it follows from condition (3.14) that $\|(1 - \chi_N)A\| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Conversely, if condition (3.14) is violated, then there exists a sequence x_n such that $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$ and $M(\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(x_n)) \geq c > 0$. Let $f_n(\xi)$ be the same functions (3.9) as in Theorem 3.2. Similarly to (3.10), we find that $\|Af_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dM)} \geq c > 0$. Since f_n converges weakly to zero, operator A cannot be compact. \square

Of course an interpolation between Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.9 yields conditions guaranteeing that operators (1.11) belong to Schatten-von Neumann classes intermediary between classes of bounded and Hilbert-Schmidt operators, but we will not discuss it here. Note that very general estimates on singular values of integral operators were obtained by M. Sh. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak in the paper [3].

Similarly to Lemma 3.1, conditions in the variable λ in Theorem 1.1 can be stated in a more general form. Let us consider an operator $\mathbf{V} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ defined by the formula

$$(\mathbf{V}f)_n = v_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix_n \omega(\lambda)} w(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (3.15)$$

where $\omega(\lambda)$ is a sufficiently arbitrary function. We suppose that $\omega(\lambda)$ is monotone and $\omega(\lambda) \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Making a change of variables $\mu = \omega(\lambda)$, we see that

$$(\mathbf{V}f)_n = v_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix_n \mu} \tilde{w}(\mu) \tilde{f}(\mu) d\mu \quad (3.16)$$

where $\tilde{f}(\mu) = \omega'(\lambda)^{-1/2} f(\lambda)$, $\tilde{w}(\mu) = \omega'(\lambda)^{-1/2} w(\lambda)$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that operator (3.16) is bounded if

$$|\tilde{w}(\mu)| \leq C(1 + |\mu|)^{-1}.$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 can be supplemented by the following statement.

Theorem 3.11. *Let an operator $\mathbf{V} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ be defined by formula (3.15) where $\omega'(\lambda) > 0$ and $\omega(\lambda) \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Suppose that*

$$|w(\lambda)| \leq C\omega'(\lambda)^{1/2}(1 + |\omega(\lambda)|)^{-1}.$$

Then under assumption (1.9) on x_n and v_n the operator \mathbf{V} is bounded.

We have chosen the spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ only for definiteness. They can be obviously replaced by $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, respectively.

4. UNIVERSAL RELATIONS

Relation (1.4) (but not (1.5)) for the coefficients in asymptotic formula (1.2) can, in principle, be deduced from the results of Sect. 3. In this section, we prove both (1.4) and (1.5) by another method, investigating the time-dependent evolution $\exp(-i\Theta(J)t)f$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for appropriate functions Θ of a Jacobi operator J .

4.1. Stationary phase method. Here we consider an integral

$$G_n(t) = \int_{\Delta} e^{i\mu x_n + i\varphi_n(\mu) - i\theta(\mu)t} F(\mu) d\mu \quad (4.1)$$

where $\Delta = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded interval, the functions $F \in C_0^\infty(\Delta)$, $\varphi_n, \theta \in C^\infty(\Delta)$ and $\theta'(\mu) > 0$, $\theta''(\mu) \neq 0$ for $\mu \in \Delta$. We suppose that $x_n < x_{n+1}$, $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $t \rightarrow +\infty$. With respect to the phases $\varphi_n(\mu)$, we assume² that

$$\varphi_n^{(k)}(\mu) = o(x_n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \mu \in \Delta, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (4.2)$$

and $\varphi_n^{(k)}(\mu) = O(x_n)$ for $k = 3, 4, \dots$

Asymptotic behavior of integral (4.1) for large t and n is determined by stationary points μ where

$$x_n + \varphi_n'(\mu) - \theta'(\mu)t = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

A direct integration by parts shows that integral (4.1) rapidly tends to zero if the interval Δ does not contain stationary points.

Lemma 4.1. *If $x_n/t \notin \theta'(\Delta)$, then*

$$|G_n(t)| \leq C_k(x_n + t)^{-k}, \quad \forall k > 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us introduce the inverse function $h : \theta'(\Delta) \rightarrow \Delta$ to θ' so that

$$\theta'(h(\xi)) = \xi, \quad \xi \in \theta'(\Delta). \quad (4.5)$$

A next result can easily be obtained by the method of successive approximations.

Lemma 4.2. *Let condition (4.2) be satisfied. Then for all $\xi_n := x_n/t \in \theta'(\Delta)$ and sufficiently large t , equation (4.3) has a unique solution $\mu_n(t)$ and*

$$\mu_n(t) = h(\xi_n) + o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.6)$$

We need the following version of the stationary phase method.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\xi_n := x_n/t \in \theta'(\Delta)$. Then*

$$G_n(t) = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\pi i \tau / 4} e^{i\Psi_n(t)} t^{-1/2} \mathcal{F}(x_n/t) + \varrho_n(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty \quad (4.7)$$

where $\tau = \text{sgn } \theta''(\mu)$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\xi) = \sqrt{|h'(\xi)|} F(h(\xi)), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\Psi_n(t) = \mu_n(t)x_n + \varphi_n(\mu_n(t)) - \theta(\mu_n(t))t \quad (4.9)$$

²All estimates of such type are supposed to be uniform in μ on compact subintervals of Δ .

and

$$\sup_{x_n/t \in \theta'(\Delta)} |\varrho_n(t)| = o(t^{-1/2}). \quad (4.10)$$

Sketch of the proof. Applying the stationary phase formula to integral (4.1), we see that

$$G_n(t) = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\pi i \tau/4} e^{i\Psi_n(t)} |\theta''(\mu_n(t))t - \varphi_n''(\mu_n(t))|^{-1/2} F(\mu_n(t)) + \varrho_n(t) \quad (4.11)$$

where $r_n(t)$ satisfies (4.10) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. According to (4.6) we can here replace $\mu_n(t)$ by $h(\xi_n)$ and according to (4.2) we can neglect $\varphi_n''(\mu_n(t))$. Differentiating (4.5), we see that $\theta''(h(\xi))h'(\xi) = 1$. Therefore using notation (4.8), we can rewrite (4.11) as (4.7).

4.2. Asymptotic evolution. Let Λ be an interval of the absolutely continuous spectrum of a Jacobi operator J so that its spectral measure satisfies (1.3) where we suppose that the weight $\tau \in C^\infty(\Lambda)$ and $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. With respect to the corresponding orthonormal polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$, we accept an assumption somewhat more general than (1.2).

Assumption 4.4. As $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, an asymptotic formula holds

$$P_n(\lambda) = 2\kappa(\lambda)v_n \cos(\omega(\lambda)x_n + \Phi_n(\lambda)) + \epsilon_n(\lambda) \quad (4.12)$$

where $x_{n+1} > x_n$, $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $v_n > 0$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^2 x_n^{-2k} < \infty \quad (4.13)$$

for some $k > 0$. We suppose that $\omega, \kappa \in C^\infty(\Lambda)$, $\kappa(\lambda) > 0$, $\omega'(\lambda) > 0$,

$$\Phi_n^{(k)}(\lambda) = o(x_n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

and $\Phi_n^{(k)}(\lambda) = O(x_n)$ for all $k \geq 3$. The remainder in (4.12) satisfies a condition $\sum_n |\epsilon_n(\lambda)|^2 < \infty$ uniformly on compact subintervals of Λ .

Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda)$ and $u = Uf \in L^2(\Lambda)$ so that $u = E(\Lambda)u$. It follows from formulas (2.3) and (4.12) that, for an arbitrary function $\Theta \in L^\infty(\Lambda)$,

$$(e^{-i\Theta(J)t}u)_n = 2v_n \int_{\Lambda} \cos(\omega(\lambda)x_n + \Phi_n(\lambda)) e^{-i\Theta(\lambda)t} \varkappa(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda + \varrho_n(t) \quad (4.14)$$

where $\varkappa(\lambda) = \kappa(\lambda)\sqrt{\tau(\lambda)}$ and

$$\varrho_n(t) = \int_{\Lambda} \epsilon_n(\lambda) e^{-i\Theta(\lambda)t} \sqrt{\tau(\lambda)} f(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (4.15)$$

Let us find an asymptotic behavior in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ of sequence (4.14) as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The last term on the right is negligible. Indeed, the operator $e^{-i\Theta(J)t}$ tends weakly to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $\Theta'(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The operator $R : L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ defined

by $(Rf)_n = \int_{\Lambda_c} \epsilon_n(\lambda) \tau(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda$ is in the Hilbert-Schmidt class for any compact subinterval Λ_c of Λ . It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varrho_n(t)|^2 = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Assume now that

$$\Theta(\lambda) = \theta(\omega(\lambda)) \quad (4.17)$$

for some function $\theta \in C^\infty(\Delta)$ where $\theta'(\mu) > 0$ for $\mu \in \Delta = \omega(\Lambda)$. Making the change of variables

$$\mu = \omega(\lambda), \quad \phi_n(\mu) = \Phi_n(\lambda), \quad F(\mu) = \omega'(\lambda)^{-1} \varkappa(\lambda) f(\lambda) \quad (4.18)$$

in the first term in the right-hand side of (4.14), we find that it equals

$$v_n \int_{\Delta} e^{i\mu x_n + i\phi_n(\mu) - i\theta(\mu)t} F(\mu) d\mu + v_n \int_{\Delta} e^{-i\mu x_n - i\phi_n(\mu) - i\theta(\mu)t} F(\mu) d\mu. \quad (4.19)$$

In the region $x_n/t \in \theta'(\Delta)$, asymptotics of the first integral in (4.19) is given by Lemma 4.3. A direct integration by parts shows that for $x_n/t \notin \theta'(\Delta)$, this integral satisfies estimate (4.4) so that under assumption (4.13), this region of n can be neglected. Similarly, integrating by parts we see that the second integral satisfies estimate (4.4) for all n . Therefore the second term in (4.19) tends to zero in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This leads to the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let Assumptions 4.4 be satisfied, and let $f \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda)$, $u = Uf$. Define the function $\Theta(\lambda)$ by equality (4.17), the functions $\varphi_n(\mu)$, $F(\mu)$ – by formula (4.18), $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ – by (4.8) and $\Psi_n(t)$ – by (4.9). Then, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,*

$$(e^{-i\Theta(J)t} u)_n = \sqrt{2\pi} v_n e^{-\pi i \tau / 4} e^{i\Psi_n(t)} t^{-1/2} \mathcal{F}(x_n/t) \mathbb{1}(x_n/t \in \mathcal{I}) + \varrho_n(t), \quad (4.20)$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \theta'(\omega(\Lambda))$, $\tau = \text{sgn } \theta''$ and the remainder $\varrho_n(t)$ satisfies (4.16).

According to (4.20) the sequence $(e^{-i\Theta(J)t} u)_n$ “lives” in the region of n such that $x_n/t \in \mathcal{I}$. Below, the phase factor $e^{i\Psi_n(t)}$ is not very important. Since

$$\|e^{-i\Theta(J)t} u\| = \|u\| = \|Uf\| = \|f\|, \quad (4.21)$$

we can state

Corollary 4.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 we have*

$$2\pi \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \sum_{n: x_n/t \in \mathcal{I}} v_n^2 |\mathcal{F}(x_n/t)|^2 = \|f\|^2. \quad (4.22)$$

Remark 4.7. It suffices to make assumptions on only one derivative of functions τ, κ and two derivatives of functions ω, Φ_n . However in this case one has to demand (4.13) for $k = 1$.

Remark 4.8. The stationary phase method does not necessarily require that the function $\Theta(\lambda)$ be defined by formula (4.17). If $\omega''(\lambda) \neq 0$, we can also take $\Theta(\lambda) = \lambda$ and if $\omega(\lambda) = \omega_0\lambda$, any function $\Theta(\lambda)$ with $\Theta''(\lambda) \neq 0$ is admissible. Asymptotic formula (4.20) remains essentially the same in all these cases. On the contrary if $\omega(\lambda) = \omega_0\lambda$ and $\Theta(\lambda) = \Theta_0\lambda$, then the evolution $e^{-i\Theta(J)t}u$ reduces to shifts; see Appendix B.

4.3. Main result. Relations (1.4) and (1.5) are deduced from formula (4.22). To that end, we need the following auxiliary result. It is a direct consequence of the definition of an integral of a continuous function as a limit of the corresponding Riemann sums.

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded interval and a function $\mathcal{F} \in C(\mathcal{I})$. Let a sequence $X_n(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, be such that $X_{n+1}(t) > X_n(t)$, $X_n(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all t and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{n: X_n(t) \in \mathcal{I}} (X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t)) = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n: X_n(t) \in \mathcal{I}} (X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t)) |\mathcal{F}(X_n(t))|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{I}} |\mathcal{F}(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \quad (4.24)$$

Now we can state our main result.

Theorem 4.10. *Let Assumption 4.4 be satisfied. Suppose additionally that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n+1} - x_n)/x_n = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

Define a sequence σ_n by equality (3.11) and assume that a limit (possibly, infinite)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma \quad (4.26)$$

exists. Then necessarily $0 < \sigma < \infty$ and

$$2\pi\sigma\tau(\lambda)\kappa^2(\lambda) = \omega'(\lambda). \quad (4.27)$$

Proof. Let us set $\mathcal{I} = \theta'(\omega(\Lambda))$, $X_n(t) = x_n/t$ and observe that the sequence

$$X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t) = ((x_{n+1} - x_n)/x_n)X_n(t)$$

satisfies condition (4.23). Indeed, the first factor tends to zero in view of (4.25) and the second one is bounded if $X_n(t) \in \mathcal{I}$. Note also that $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $X_n(t) \in \mathcal{I}$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$. Applying relation (4.24) to a function $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ defined by equalities (4.8) and

(4.18) and using condition (4.26), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n: X_n(t) \in \mathcal{I}} \sigma_n(X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t)) |\mathcal{F}(X_n(t))|^2 &= \sigma \int_{\mathcal{I}} |\mathcal{F}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \sigma \int_{\mathcal{I}} |h'(\xi)| |F(h(\xi))|^2 d\xi = \sigma \int_{\omega(\Lambda)} |F(\mu)|^2 d\mu = \sigma \int_{\Lambda} \omega'(\lambda)^{-1} \varkappa(\lambda)^2 |f(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Since $t^{-1}v_n^2 = \sigma_n(X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t))$, it follows from Corollary 4.6 that the left-hand side of (4.28) equals $(2\pi)^{-1} \|f\|^2$. Therefore relation (4.28) ensures that

$$\int_{\Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda = 2\pi\sigma \int_{\Lambda} \omega'(\lambda)^{-1} \varkappa^2(\lambda) |f(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \quad (4.29)$$

for an arbitrary function $f \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda)$. Thus, σ is necessary finite, $\sigma \neq 0$ and $2\pi\sigma\omega'(\lambda)^{-1}\varkappa^2(\lambda) = 1$. This yields (4.27). \square

Remark 4.11. Condition (4.25) imposes a mild restriction on the growth of x_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However it is satisfied for $x_n = n^s$ with an arbitrary $s > 0$.

4.4. Examples and comments. The first example generalizes the results stated in Sect. 1.1. The second corresponds to the limit case $s = 0$.

Example 4.12. Suppose that asymptotic formula (4.12) holds with

$$x_n = n^s (\ln n)^p, \quad v_n = n^{-r} (\ln n)^q, \quad r \geq 0, \quad (4.30)$$

where $s > 0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Then number (3.11) equals

$$\sigma_n = s^{-1} n^{-2r-s+1} (\ln n)^{2q-p} (1 + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that limit (4.26) exists. It is finite and $\sigma \neq 0$ if the conditions (1.4) and $2q = p$ are satisfied. Relation (4.27) holds true with $\sigma = s^{-1}$.

Example 4.13. Suppose that (4.12) and (4.30) hold with $s = 0$. Then we have

$$\sigma_n = p^{-1} n^{-2r+1} (\ln n)^{2q-p+1} (1 + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence limit (4.26) again exists. It is finite and $\sigma \neq 0$ if the conditions $r = 1/2$ and $2q = p - 1$ are satisfied. Relation (4.27) holds true with $\sigma = p^{-1}$.

Finally, we note that both the conditions and the statements of Theorem 4.10 are quite different from those of Proposition 2.2. In particular, the latter imposes assumptions on the phase Ω_n while Theorem 4.10 requires conditions on its derivative $\Omega'_n(\lambda)$. Note also that Theorem 4.10 is specific for the absolutely continuous spectrum of J .

APPENDIX A. AN ELEMENTARY INEQUALITY

As a by-product of our considerations, we obtain a simple inequality for functions in the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. First, we note that

$$|u(0)|^2 \leq c_0 \int_0^1 (|u'(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2) dx \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where we can choose $c_0 = 2(\sqrt{5} - 1)^{-1}$. We check the following result.

Proposition A.1. *Let a sequence $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be such that $x_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $x_n < x_{n+1} < x_n + \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (x_{n+1} - x_n) |u(x_n)|^2 \leq c_0 \max\{1, \delta^2\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|u'(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2) dx \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Applying estimate (A.1) to the function $u_\varepsilon(x) = u(\varepsilon x)$ and making the change of variables $y = \varepsilon x$, we see that

$$|u(0)|^2 \leq c_0 \int_0^1 (\varepsilon^2 |u'(\varepsilon x)|^2 + |u(\varepsilon x)|^2) dx = c_0 \int_0^\varepsilon (\varepsilon |u'(y)|^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} |u(y)|^2) dy$$

whence

$$\varepsilon |u(x_n)|^2 \leq c_0 \int_{x_n}^{x_n + \varepsilon} (\varepsilon^2 |u'(y)|^2 + |u(y)|^2) dy. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Let us set here $\varepsilon = x_{n+1} - x_n$. Then $\varepsilon \leq \delta$ and (A.3) yields

$$(x_{n+1} - x_n) |u(x_n)|^2 \leq c_0 \max\{1, \delta^2\} \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} (|u'(y)|^2 + |u(y)|^2) dy.$$

It remains to take the sum of these estimates over all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Inequality (A.2) is quite elementary but, surprisingly, we were unable to find it in the literature. Of course (A.2) implies again inequalities (3.12) and (3.13).

APPENDIX B. DISPERSIONLESS EVOLUTION

If $x_n = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (which excludes the linear growth of x_n) and the phases Φ_n do not depend on λ , we can dispense with the stationary phase method. Here we consider evolution (4.14) for the case $\Theta(\lambda) = \omega(\lambda)$ excluded in Sect. 4.2. Making the change of variables (4.18), we can rewrite (4.14) as

$$(e^{-i\omega(J)t} u)_n = \sqrt{2\pi} v_n (e^{i\Phi_n} \widehat{F}(x_n - t) + e^{-i\Phi_n} \widehat{F}(-x_n - t)) + \varrho_n(t) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $\widehat{F}(x)$ is the Fourier transform of $F(\mu)$. The remainder $\varrho_n(t)$ is given by (4.15); it is negligible according to (4.16). Since $\widehat{F}(-x) = O(x^{-k})$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ for all k , the term with $\widehat{F}(-x_n - t)$ in (B.1) is also negligible. Thus instead of Theorem 4.5 we now have

Theorem B.1. *Let Assumption 4.4 be satisfied, and let $f \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda)$. Then*

$$(e^{-i\omega(J)t}u)_n = \sqrt{2\pi}v_n e^{i\Phi_n} \widehat{F}(x_n - t) + \varrho_n(t) \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where the remainder $\varrho_n(t)$ obeys condition (4.16).

It follows from (B.2) that

$$\|e^{-i\omega(J)t}u\|^2 = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} v_n^2 |\widehat{F}(x_n - t)|^2 + o(1), \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence according to (4.21) (where $\Theta = \omega$)

$$2\pi \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} v_n^2 |\widehat{F}(x_n - t)|^2 = \|f\|^2. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Note that formula (4.20) is not true now because if $\Theta(\lambda) = \omega(\lambda)$, then $\theta(\lambda) = \lambda$ so that $\theta''(\lambda) = 0$.

On the other hand, one can calculate the left-hand side of (B.3) using Lemma 4.9. Indeed, let us set $X_n(t) = x_n - t$ and observe that $v_n^2 = \sigma_n(X_{n+1}(t) - X_n(t))$. If the sequence σ_n has a finite limit σ , then according to Proposition A.1, for each $R > 0$, the sum in (B.3) over n such that $|X_n(t)| \geq R$ is estimated by $C \int_{|x| \geq R} (|\widehat{F}'(x)|^2 + |\widehat{F}(x)|^2) dx$. In view of (4.24) the sum in (B.3) over n such that $|X_n(t)| < R$ converges to $\sigma \int_{-R}^R |\widehat{F}(x)|^2 dx$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that the left-hand side of (B.3) equals $2\pi\sigma \|\widehat{F}\|^2 = 2\pi\sigma \|F\|^2$ so that $2\pi\sigma \|F\|^2 = \|f\|^2$. This yields again equality (4.29) whence $\sigma > 0$ and relation (4.27) follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London, 1965.
- [2] S. Bernstein, *Sur les polynômes orthogonaux relatifs à un segment fini*, Journal de Mathématiques, **9** (1930), 127-177; **10** (1931), 219-286.
- [3] M. Sh. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, Estimates for the singular numbers of integral operators, Russian Math. Surveys **32** (1977), 15-89.
- [4] M. Sh. Birman, G. E. Karadzhov, and M. Z. Solomyak, Boundedness conditions and spectrum estimates for the operators $b(X)a(D)$ and their analogs, Adv. Soviet Math. **7** (1991), 85-106.
- [5] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions*, Vol. 1, 2, McGraw-Hill, New York-Toronto-London, 1953.
- [6] A. Foulquié Moreno, A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, and V. L. Sousa, Asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials for a weight with a jump on $[-1, 1]$, Constr. Approx. **33**, No. 2, (2011), 219-263.
- [7] G. Freud, On polynomial approximation with the weight $\exp(-x^{2k}/2)$, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **24**, (1973), 363-371.
- [8] J. Janas and S. Naboko, Jacobi matrices with power-like weights – grouping in blocks approach, J. Funct. Anal. **166** (1999), 218-243.
- [9] T. Kriecherbauer and K. T-R McLaughlin, Strong Asymptotics of Polynomials Orthogonal with Respect to Freud Weights, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, N6 (1999), 299-333.

- [10] D. Lubinsky, H. Mhaskar, and E. Saff, A proof of Freud's conjecture for exponential weights, *Constr. Approx.* **4** (1988), 65-83.
- [11] A. P. Magnus, On Freud's equations for exponential weights. Papers dedicated to the memory of Géza Freud, *J. Approx. Theory* **46** (1986), 65-99.
- [12] A. Máté, P. Nevai, and V. Totik, Asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials defined by a recurrence relation, *Constr. Approx.* **1** (1985), 231-248.
- [13] P. G. Nevai, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Memoirs of the AMS **18**, No. 213, Providence, R. I., 1979.
- [14] P. G. Nevai, Asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials associated with $\exp(-x^4)$, *Siam J. Math. Anal.* **15** (1984) No. 6, 1171-1187.
- [15] E. A. Rakhmanov, Strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials, *Lecture Notes Math.* **1550**, Springer, Berlin, 1993, 71-97.
- [16] K. Schmüdgen, *The moment problem*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 2017.
- [17] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1978.
- [18] D. R. Yafaev, On the spectrum of the perturbed harmonic oscillator, *Problemy Mat. Fyz.* **5** (1971), 122-128 (Russian); *Topics in Math. Phys.* **5** (1972), Plenum Press, New York.
- [19] D. R. Yafaev, Semiclassical asymptotic behavior of orthogonal polynomials, *Letters Math. Phys.* **110** (2020), 2857-2891.
- [20] D. R. Yafaev, Asymptotic behavior of orthogonal polynomials without the Carleman condition, *J. Funct. Anal.* **279**, no. 7 (2020), 108648.
- [21] D. R. Yafaev, Scattering theory for Laguerre operators, arXiv: 2007.08418 (2020).

UNIV RENNES, CNRS, IRMAR-UMR 6625, F-35000 RENNES, FRANCE AND SPGU, UNIV.
 NAB. 7/9, SAINT PETERSBURG, 199034 RUSSIA
Email address: `yafaev@univ-rennes1.fr`