

Antibunched photon-pair source based on photon blockade in a nondegenerate optical parametric oscillator

Yi Ren, Shouhui Duan, Wenzhi Xie, Yongkang Shao, and Zhenglu Duan*

College of Physics, Communication and Electrons, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, 330022, China

Nonclassical light sources, such as correlated photon-pairs, play an important role in quantum optics and quantum information processing systems. This study proposes a process to generate antibunched photon-pairs in a nondegenerate optical parametric oscillator. It is found that when the parameters of the system satisfy certain conditions, the generated photons in subharmonic modes exhibit a strong antibunching behavior and are strongly correlated with one another. In particular, the average photon-pair number is resonantly enhanced. It is also observed that the conventional photon blockade contributes to this phenomenon. In addition, it is interesting to note that fundamental mode photons can blockade the subharmonic mode photons. We refer to this phenomenon as a heterogeneous photon blockade.

The efficient generation of correlated photon-pairs over long time periods is of interest in the field of quantum optics, which is widely used in physics, chemistry, and biology in applications such as two-photon excitation microscopes [1], multiphoton ionization[2], the testing of fundamental quantum mechanics[3], the implementation of quantum communications and computing[4, 5], and quantum imaging. Therefore, generating high-performance correlated photon-pairs has attracted the attention of researchers[6], and it is especially desired in quantum optics labs. Currently, there are two major methods used to generate correlated photon pairs. One is based on nonlinear parametric processes, such as the spontaneous parametric down-conversion process (SPDC)[7]/optical parametric oscillator (OPO)[8] in a nonlinear crystal or spontaneous four-wave mixing (SFWM) in a fiber[9] and atomic ensemble[10]. The other involves implementing multilevel atomic cascades or biexcitation decays of quantum dots. Considering a nonlinear parametric process, the generated photon-pairs have some drawbacks. These include the statistical generation of pairs and the emission and broad spectral properties of multiphotons, which limit the applications of pairs from SPDC or SFWM in quantum networks[11]. To overcome these drawbacks, a method involving spectral filtering and a low pump power supplied to the source of photon-pairs is used, but this results in a very low generation rate of pairs per pump pulse. Therefore, new schemes to generate high-performance correlated photon-pairs are required.

The photon blockade (PB) effect can convert a classic light with a Poissonian or sup-Poissonian distribution into a non-classic light with a sub-Poissonian distribution, and it has been used to generate high purity single-photon sources[12]. The PB effect can be divided into conventional photon blockades (CPBs) and unconventional photon blockades (UPBs)[13, 14]. The former is based on the anharmonicity of the energy level spacing of the system. When a certain energy level is excited by external drive resonance, the detuning caused by the anharmonicity of the energy level will inhibit the excitation of other energy levels, so as to improve the purity of the light field with a specific photon number. The latter uses destructive quantum

interference to suppress specific energy levels and improve the purity of the photon source.

The strong nonlinearity of the system can arise from a single two-level atom that is strongly coupled to an optical cavity mode[15, 16] or from a three-level emitter coupled to a cavity operating near the electromagnetically induced transparency window[17]. CPB was first observed experimentally in a system with a trapped atom in an optical cavity[18]. In addition to atom-cavity systems, other quantum systems, such as quantum-dot-cavity systems[19], superconducting qubit systems[20], and optomechanical systems[21, 22], have been used to explore CPB and serve as single photon sources. Motivated by the above discussion, we explore the generation of antibunching photon-pairs based on the conventional photon blockade mechanism in this study.

The model we consider in this study is a three-mode optical cavity filled with $\chi^{(2)}$ nonlinear media that mediates the conversion of a photon in the fundamental mode a at frequency ω_a to two photons in the subharmonic modes b at frequency ω_b and c at frequency ω_c , and vice-versa. The fundamental mode a is pumped by an external driving light at frequency ω_l with amplitude E , which forms a nondegenerate optical parametric oscillator [23–26]. The model is schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). In the rotating frame with respect to the driving light frequency, the Hamiltonian can be given by ($\hbar = 1$)

$$\hat{H} = \Delta_a \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \Delta_b \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + \Delta_c \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c} + g(\hat{a} \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{c}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{c}) + E(\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}), \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{a}(\hat{a}^\dagger)$, $\hat{b}(\hat{b}^\dagger)$, and $\hat{c}(\hat{c}^\dagger)$ are the annihilation (creation) operators of the cavity modes. $\Delta_a = \omega_a - \omega_l$ and $\Delta_{b,c} = \omega_{b,c} - \omega_l/2$ represent the detuning between the cavity modes and the driving field. Next, we mainly focus on the quantum statistics of strongly correlated photon-pairs in modes b and c .

To quantitatively study the quantum statistics of the generated photon-pairs, we use the state vector method to analyze the quantum statistics of the photon-pairs. We phenomenologically introduce the cavity decay in the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{non}} = \hat{H} - \frac{i\kappa_a}{2} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - \frac{i\kappa_b}{2} \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} - \frac{i\kappa_c}{2} \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c}, \quad (2)$$

[1] *Corresponding author, duanzhenglu@jxnu.edu.cn




FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) Nondegenerate SPDC process induced by a second-order nonlinear medium demonstrated in a three-mode optical cavity, which is driven by a weak coherent light. (b) Dressed state energy level transition diagram of the system. When the external driving frequency resonates with one of the dressed states, it will detune with the dressed states of the other energy levels, thereby inhibiting the system from entering other states and forming CPB.




FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) Auto-correlation function of a photon-pair as a function of the detunings Δ_a and Δ_b , which is based on a logarithm function of 10. (b) Average photon-pair number as a function of the detunings Δ_a and Δ_b . The parameters are set as $g = 10\kappa_a$, $\kappa = \kappa_a/2$, and $E = 0.01\kappa_a$.

where $\kappa_a(\kappa_b, \kappa_c)$ is the decay rate of modes $a(b, c)$. In the weak driving limit, i.e., $E \ll \{\kappa_{a,b,c}\}$, the wave function of the system is approximately expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = C_{000}|000\rangle + C_{100}|100\rangle + C_{011}|011\rangle + C_{200}|200\rangle + C_{111}|111\rangle + C_{022}|022\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Here, $|mnl\rangle$ is the Fock-state basis of the system where m denotes the photon number in the fundamental mode a , and n and l denote the photon numbers in the subharmonic modes b and c , respectively. From the Schrodinger equation, we can obtain the evolution equations of the amplitude of the wave function. The analytical results of the probability amplitude are obtained by using the steady state assumption.

In the NOPO, one photon in the fundamental mode a will simultaneously be converted into two photons in the second harmonic modes b and c , which can be considered as a two-photon state. To describe the two-photon state, we define $\hat{D} = \hat{b}\hat{c}$ ($\hat{D}^\dagger = \hat{b}^\dagger\hat{c}^\dagger$) as the annihilation (creation) operator for the two-photon state or photon-pair state. $n_D \equiv \langle \hat{D}^\dagger \hat{D} \rangle \approx |C_{0,1,1}|^2$ is the average intensity of the photon-pair, which can be used to characterize the brightness of the photon pair. $g_D^{(2)}(0) \equiv \langle \hat{D}^{\dagger 2} \hat{D}^2 \rangle / \langle \hat{D}^\dagger \hat{D} \rangle^2 \approx |C_{0,2,2}|^2 / |C_{0,1,1}|^4$ represents the auto-correlation function of the photon pair, which can be used to determine the quantum statistics of the photon-pair, such as the antibunching feature. After completing the

straightforward calculation, we obtain the analytical expression for the auto-correlation function for the photon-pair as follows:

$$g_D^{(2)}(0) \approx 4 \left| 1 - \frac{g^2 \Delta'_a}{(\Delta'_a + \Delta'_b + \Delta'_c)((\Delta'_b + \Delta'_c)\Delta'_a - g^2)} \right|^{-2}, \quad (4)$$

and the average photon-pair number may be defined as:

$$n_D \approx \frac{g^2 E^2}{|(\Delta'_b + \Delta'_c)\Delta'_a - g^2|^2}, \quad (5)$$

Here, $\Delta'_a = \Delta_a - i\kappa_a/2$ and $\Delta'_{b,c} = \Delta_{b,c} - i\kappa_{b,c}/2$. From Eqs. (4) and (5) it can be observed that the self-correlation function and average number of photon pairs are dependent on the sum of the detunings Δ_b and Δ_c and are not dependent on themselves. This feature relaxes the condition required to tune the quantum statistics of photon-pairs. For simplicity and without the loss of physics, we let the cavity detunings and decay rates for modes b and c be equivalent such that $\Delta_b = \Delta_c = \Delta$ and $\kappa_b = \kappa_c = \kappa$.

It is known that a vanishing auto-correlation function corresponds to an ideal antibunching of a photon pair. That is, a photon pair with antibunched features will prefer not to be in close proximity to other photon pairs. From Eq. (4), if $|(\Delta'_b + \Delta'_c)\Delta'_a - g^2| = 0$ and the second order correlation function is zero, these conditions correspond to an ideal antibunching of a photon pair. However, this condition does not hold in real-world physical situations. At the strong coupling limit $g \gg \{\kappa, \kappa_a\}$, the condition reduces to:

$$2\Delta\Delta_a = g^2, \quad (6)$$

which can minimize the auto-correlation function and results strong photon-pairs that exhibit antibunching with appropriate parameters. When the reduced optimal condition (Eq. (6)) holds, the average photon-pair numbers are resonantly enhanced. Eq. (6) appears to represent the condition for maximizing the average photon-pair number. This phenomenon is similar with that observed for a conventional single-photon blockade. Therefore, we refer to this phenomenon as a photon-pair blockade. Additionally, we find that the reduced optimal conditions (Eq. (6)) are similar to those in the case of three wave mixing [27].

To explain the photon-pair blockade discussed above, we analyze the transition of the dressed states. Similar to the procedure used in ref. [27], considering the strong-coupling limit, we determined the total number of photonic excitations of the system, n , is a conserved quantity under Hamiltonian action without considering the external driving force. In this case, the energy eigenstates of the Hamiltonian can be classified into n -manifolds with dressed states. The 1-manifold of the energy level consists of a doublet $|1, \pm\rangle = (|011\rangle \pm |100\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$ with eigenenergy $E_{1,\pm} = [\omega_a + \omega_b + \omega_c \pm \sqrt{4g^2 + (\omega_a - \omega_b - \omega_c)^2}] / 2$. If the transitions between

the ground state $|000\rangle$ and doublets $|1, \pm\rangle$ are resonantly excited (as in $\omega_l = E_{1,\pm}$), then $2\Delta\Delta_a = g^2$. This is the reduced optimal condition (Eq. (6)). For the case of $\omega_b + \omega_c = \omega_a$, the 2-manifold of the energy level consists of a triplet $|2, \pm\rangle = (|200\rangle + \sqrt{3}|022\rangle \pm \sqrt{2}|111\rangle)/\sqrt{6}$ with eigenenergy $2\omega_a \pm \sqrt{6}g$ and $|2, 0\rangle = (\sqrt{2}|200\rangle - |022\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$ with eigenenergy $E_{2,0} = 2\omega_a$. In this case, the transitions from 1-manifold to 2-manifold ($|1, \pm\rangle \leftrightarrow |2, \pm\rangle, |2, 0\rangle$) is suppressed due to far off-resonance with large detunings in the strong-coupling limit. Therefore, there is at most one photon in the fundamental mode a or a pair of photons in the subharmonic modes b and c in the cavity simultaneously.

To further quantitatively demonstrate the quantum statistics of the photon-pairs described above, we utilize a numerical simulation based on the quantum master equation:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = -i[\hat{H}_{eff}, \hat{\rho}] + \frac{\kappa_a}{2}L[\hat{a}]\hat{\rho} + \frac{\kappa}{2}L[\hat{b}]\hat{\rho} + \frac{\kappa}{2}L[\hat{c}]\hat{\rho}, \quad (7)$$

where $L[\hat{A}]\hat{\rho} = 2\hat{A}\hat{\rho}\hat{A}^\dagger - \hat{A}^\dagger\hat{A}\hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho}\hat{A}^\dagger\hat{A}$ is the Lindblad term accounting for losses to the environment. The zero-delay auto-correlation function and cross-correlation function can be expressed as $g_x^{(2)}(0) = \text{Tr}(x^{\dagger 2}x^2\hat{\rho}_s)/\text{Tr}(x^\dagger x\hat{\rho}_s)^2$ ($x = \hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{D}$) and $g_{xy}^{(2)}(0) = \text{Tr}(x^\dagger x y^\dagger y \hat{\rho}_s)/[\text{Tr}(x^\dagger x \hat{\rho}_s)\text{Tr}(y^\dagger y \hat{\rho}_s)]$ ($x = \hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{D}$), respectively, when the system tends towards the steady state $\hat{\rho}_s \equiv \hat{\rho}(t \rightarrow \infty)$. The average photon-pair number is $n_D = \text{Tr}(\hat{D}^\dagger \hat{D} \hat{\rho}_s)$. In the numerical simulation, we truncated the photon number space to 40, which safely guarantees the convergence of the simulation.

In Fig. 2, we show the auto-correlation function of photon pairs and the average photon-pair number with changing cavity detunings Δ_a and Δ . The autocorrelation function $g_D^{(2)}(0)$ shows a dark blue region less than 1, which indicates that the photon-pairs in the cavity follow the sub-Poisson distribution. The green dashed line is plotted using the reduced optimal condition (Eq. (6)), and it indicates the local optimal antibunching of photon-pairs. It is evident that the reduced optimal condition is very consistent with the numerical results. Meanwhile, we observe the average photon-pair number as it is resonantly enhanced along the reduced optimal curve (green dashed line) in Fig. 2(b). Therefore, the NOPO has the potential to serve as a high-brightness and high-purity photon-pair source.

We further study the correlation of the photon-pairs when the parameters of the system are those of the reduced optimal condition. Fig. 3(a) shows $g_D^{(2)}(0)$ and n_D , which change with the cavity detuning Δ_a for $\Delta = g^2/2\Delta_a$. It can be observed that for small Δ_a values, the auto-correlation is greater than one, which corresponds to photon-pair bunching, whereas for $\Delta_a \in (g/2, 20g)$, the auto-correlation is less than one and the smallest $g_{D_{\min}}^{(2)}(0) \sim 0.02$ value occurs at $\Delta_a \approx \sqrt{3}g$, implying strong photon-pair antibunching. It can also be observed that the average photon-pair number first increases and then decreases as the detuning Δ_a is increased. The largest value of the average photon-pair number occurs at $\Delta_a \approx g$.

To analyze the aforementioned phenomenon, we rewrite the self-correlation function of photon-pairs using the reduced op-




FIG. 3: (Color online) Properties of correlated photon-pairs as a function of Δ_a . The fixed coupling strength is $g = 10\kappa_a$, and the other parameters are set as $\kappa = \kappa_a/2$, $\Delta = g^2/(2\Delta_a)$, and $E = 0.01\kappa_a$.

timal condition (Eq.(6)):

$$g_D^{(2)}(0) \approx \frac{4(\Delta_a^2 + g^2)^2 (g^2\kappa_a + \Delta_a^2\kappa_D)^2}{\kappa_a^2 g^8 + 4g^4 \Delta_a^6}. \quad (8)$$

which has a minimum value at $\Delta_{a,\text{optimal}} \approx g \sqrt{[\kappa_a/\kappa + 2 + \sqrt{\kappa_a^2/\kappa^2 + 28\kappa_a/\kappa + 4}]/4}$. Because we set $\kappa_a = 2\kappa$ in the numerical simulation, then $\Delta_{a,\text{optimal}} \approx \sqrt{3}g$. Considering the average photon-pair number based on the analytical expression in Eq. (5), we find that the optimal detuning for the maximum n_D is $\Delta_a = g$. These analytical results agree well with the numerical results discussed above.

To further understand the antibunching of the photon-pair generated in NOPO, we also plot the self-correlation functions of the fundamental mode a and subharmonic modes b and c in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the photons in the fundamental and subharmonic modes, respectively, exhibit stronger antibunching features around $\Delta_a \sim g$ using the reduced optimal condition (Eq.(6)). Specifically, we find that $g_{b,c}^{(2)}(0) \ll g_D^{(2)}(0)$. This can be understood as indicating that one photon-pair may become a single-photon when another photon leaks out of the cavity.

Fig. 3 also shows the cross-correlation between the subharmonic modes b and c . It should be noted that $g_{bc}^{(2)}(0) \gg 1$, indicating a very strong bunching between photons b and c . This phenomenon is evident because the fundamental mode photon a is constantly being simultaneously converted into pair-photons in modes b and c . Considering the cross-correlation functions between the photons in the fundamental and subharmonic modes, a different scenario is observed. It can be seen that $g_{ab,ac}^{(2)}(0) \ll 1$, indicating that a strong anticorrelation between the fundamental and subharmonic modes exists. Therefore, if there is a fundamental mode photon a in the cavity, there are no subharmonic mode photons b or c , and vice-versa. This occurs because other photons in the fundamental mode cannot enter into the cavity when a coherent conversion between photons in the fundamental and subharmonic modes occurs in the cavity.

We also examine the dependence of the auto-/cross-correlation functions on the nonlinear interaction strength g ,




FIG. 4: (Color online) Property changes of correlated photon-pairs with the (a) coupling strength g with $\kappa = \kappa_a/2$ or (b) decay of subharmonic mode κ with $g = 10\kappa_a$. The fixed coupling strength is $\Delta_a = g/\sqrt{3}$. The other parameters are set as $\Delta = g^2/(2\Delta_a)$ and $E = 0.01\kappa_a$.

as shown in Fig. 4(a), as well as the decay rate of the subharmonic modes κ , as shown in Fig. 4(b). It is observed that the cross-correlation function $g_{bc}^{(2)}(0)$ is almost unchanged while the auto-correlation function of the photon-pairs decreases with increasing coupling strength. This indicates that stronger coupling can help improve the purity of the photon-pairs but not the correlation between photons in the subharmonic modes. This occurs because a stronger coupling strength indicates a larger detuning between the dressed state, $|2, \pm\rangle$, and the driving frequency, which results in a stronger suppression of the population of the dressed states $|2, \pm\rangle$ and $|2, 0\rangle$. Considering the dependences of the correlation function and the average photon-pair number on the decay rate of the subharmonic modes, a different scenario is observed. All of the auto-/cross-correlation functions are observed to increase and the average photon-pair number decreases with an increasing the decay rate. A small mode-decay rate results in the generation of high-purity and high-intensity photon-pair sources. This occurs because a large decay rate leads to a larger probability of transition into a double photon-pair state $|2, \pm\rangle$ and $|2, 0\rangle$ from a single photon-pair state $|1, \pm\rangle$.

In conclusion, in this work we proposed a schematic model for the preparation of an antibunched photon-pair source in an NOPO based on the conventional photon blockade effect. The quantum statistics of the photon-pairs at steady state are analyzed under a continuous weak driving force. It is concluded that the system can realize the preparation of photon-pairs with a strong cross-correlation between the photons in the pairs and a very small auto-correlation ($g_D^{(2)}(0) \ll 1$) with a strong $\chi^{(2)}$ nonlinear coupling strength. These qualities are indicative of a high-purity and high-intensity photon-pair source. In addition, we also observed antibunching behavior between the fundamental mode photons and subharmonic mode photons.

-
- [1] W. Denk, J. H. Strickler, and W. W. Webb, *Science* **248**, 73–76 (1990).
 - [2] J. W. Hudgens, T. G. DiGiuseppe, and M. C. Lin, *Chem. Phys.* **79**, 571 (1983).
 - [3] A. Aspect, P. Grangier, and G. Roger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 460 (1981).
 - [4] D. Bouwmeester, J. W. Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, *Nature* **390**, 575 (1997).
 - [5] P. Kok, W. J. Munro, K. Nemoto, T. C. Ralph, J. P. Dowling, G. J. Milburn, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 135 (2007).
 - [6] C. Xiong, C. Monat, A. S. Clark, C. Grillet, G. D. Marshall, M. J. Steel, J. Li, L. O’Faolain, T. F. Krauss, J. G. Rarity, and B. J. Eggleton, *Opt. Lett.* **36**, 3413 (2011).
 - [7] J. W. Pan, Z. B. Chen, C. Y. Lu, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, and M. Żukowski, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 777 (2012).
 - [8] U. Herzog, M. Scholz, and O. Benson, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 023826 (2008).
 - [9] O. Cohen, J. S. Lundeen, B. J. Smith, G. Puentes, P. J. Mosley, and I. A. Walmsley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 123603 (2009).
 - [10] B. Srivathsan, G. K. Gulati, B. Chng, G. Maslennikov, D. Matsukevich, and C. Kurtsiefer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 123602 (2013).
 - [11] H. J. Kimble, *Nature* **453**, 1023 (2008).
 - [12] A. Imamoglu, H. Schmidt, G. Woods, and M. Deutsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1467 (1997).
 - [13] M. Bamba, A. Imamoglu, I. Carusotto, and C. Ciuti, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 021802 (2011).
 - [14] H. Flayac and V. Savona, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 053810 (2017).
 - [15] Xinyun Liang, Zhenglu Duan, Qin Guo, Cunjin Liu, Shengguo Guan, and Yi Ren, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 063834 (2019).
 - [16] Xinyun Liang, Zhenglu Duan, Qin Guo, Shengguo Guan, Min Xie, and C. J. Liu, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 053713 (2020).
 - [17] M. Fleischhauer, A. Imamoglu, and J. P. Marangos, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 633 (2005).
 - [18] K. M. Birnbaum, A. Boca, R. Miller, A. D. Boozer, T. E. Northup, and H. J. Kimble, *Nature* **436**, 87–90 (2005).
 - [19] J. Kasprzak, S. Reitzenstein, E. A. Muljarov, C. Kistner, C. Schneider, M. Strauss, S. Höfling, A. Forchel, and W. Langbein, *Nat. Mater.* **9**, 304–308 (2010).
 - [20] J. M. Fink, M. Göppl, M. Baur, R. Bianchetti, P. J. Leek, A. Blais and A. Wallraff, *Nature* **454**, 315–318 (2008).
 - [21] D. Y. Wang, C. H. Bai, S. Liu, S. Zhang, and H. F. Wang, *New J. Phys.* **22**, 093006 (2020).
 - [22] D. Y. Wang, C. H. Bai, X. Han, S. Liu, S. Zhang, and H. F.

- Wang, Opt. Lett. **45**, 2604 (2020).
- [23] W. T. M. Irvine, K. Hennessy, and D. Bouwmeester, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 057405 (2006).
- [24] A. Majumdar and D. Gerace, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 235319 (2013).
- [25] D. Gerace and V. Savona, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 031803 (2014).
- [26] M. Li, Y. L. Zhang, H. X. Tang, C. H. Dong, G. C. Guo, and C. L. Zou, Phys. Rev. Appl. **13**, 044013 (2020).
- [27] Y. H. Zhou, X. Y. Zhang, Q. C. Wu, B. L. Ye, Z. Q. Zhang, D. Zou, H. Z. Shen, and C. P. Yang, Phys. Rev. A **102**, 033713 (2020).