arXiv:2012.00536v1 [math.RT] 29 Nov 2020

Nichols algebras over classical Weyl groups(II)

Weicai Wu

a. School of Mathematics, Hunan Institute of Science and Technology

Yueyang 414006, P.R. China

Emails: weicaiwu@hnu.edu.cn

Abstract

It is shown that except in three cases conjugacy classes of classical Weyl groups $W(B_n)$ and $W(D_n)$ are of type D. This proves that Nichols algebras of irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the classical Weyl groups $\mathbb{W}_n$ (i.e. $H_n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$) are infinite dimensional, except the class of type $(2,3)$, $(1^2,3)$ in $\mathbb{S}_5$, and $(1^{n-2},2)$ in $\mathbb{S}_n$ for $n>5$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W50, 16T05

Keywords: Rack; classical Weyl groups; Nichols algebras.

1 Introduction

This work contributes to the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Those over finite abelian groups are relatively clear due to the work of Andruskiewitsch, Schneider, Heckenberger,see [4],[7],[8], etc. However not much is known about those over nonabelian groups. Given a group G, an important step to classify all finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras H with group-like $G(H)=G$ is to determine all the pairs $(\mathcal{O},\rho)$ such that the associated Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O},\rho)$ is finite-dimensional. In general, it is often useful to discard those pairs such that $\dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O},\rho)=\infty$. There are properties of the conjugacy class $\mathcal{O}$ that imply that $\dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O},\rho)=\infty$ for any ρ, one of which is the property of being of type D. This is useful since it reduces the computations to operations inside the group and avoids hard calculations of generators and relations of the corresponding Nichols algebra.

Nichols algebras of braided vector spaces $(\mathbb{C}X,c_q)$, where X is a rack and q is a 2-cocycle in X, were studied in [3]. It was shown [1, 2, 5] that Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma,\rho)$ over symmetry groups are infinite dimensional, except in a number of remarkable cases

corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$. The present paper aims to classify finite-dimensional Nichols algebra over the classical Weyl groups. Obviously, S_n is isomorphic to Weyl group of A_{n-1} for $n > 1$. We give the following result for completeness.

Theorem ([1, Th. 1.1]) Let $n \geq 5$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ be of type $(1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, n^{m_n})$, let $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ be the conjugacy class of σ and let $\rho = (\rho, V) \in \widehat{S_n{}^\sigma}$. If $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho) < \infty$, then the type of σ and ρ are in the following list:

(i) $(1^{n_1}, 2)$, $\rho_1 = \mathrm{sgn}$ or ϵ, $\rho_2 = \mathrm{sgn}$.

(ii) $(2, 3)$ in $\mathbb{S}_5$, $\rho_2 = \mathrm{sgn}$, $\rho_3 = \overrightarrow{\chi_0}$.

(iii) (2^3) in $\mathbb{S}_6$, $\rho_2 = \overrightarrow{\chi_1} \otimes \epsilon$ or $\overrightarrow{\chi_1} \otimes \mathrm{sgn}$.

In [10] they showed that except in several cases Nichols algebras of irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld (YD in short) modules over classical Weyl groups $A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ supported by $\mathbb{S}_n$ are infinite dimensional. One of the present author [11] showed that except in several cases conjugacy classes of classical Weyl groups $W(B_n)$ and $W(D_n)$ are of type D, they prove that except in several cases Nichols algebras of irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the classical Weyl groups are infinite dimensional. However, the classification has not been completed for Nichols algebras over classic Weyl groups $W(B_n)$ and $W(D_n)$.

Note that $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ is isomorphic to Weyl groups $W(B_n)$ and $W(C_n)$ of B_n and C_n for $n > 2$. If $K_n = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid \ a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \ \text{ with } a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \equiv 0 \pmod 2\}$, then $K_n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ is isomorphic to Weyl group $W(D_n)$ of D_n for $n > 3$ and $K_n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. Let $\mathbb{W}_n$ denote $H_n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ throughout this paper, where $H_n := K_n$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Here is our main result.

Theorem 4.3 Assume $n \geq 5$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ be of type $(1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, n^{m_n})$ and $a \in H_n$ with $a\sigma \in \mathbb{W}_n$ and $\sigma \neq 1$. If $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}, \rho) < \infty$, then the type of σ belongs to one in the following list:

(i) $(2, 3)$;

(ii) $(1^2, 3)$;

(iii) $(1^{n-2}, 2)$ for $n > 5$ with $a_i = a_j$ when $\sigma(i) = i$ and $\sigma(j) = j$.

Note that $\mathbb{S}_n$ acts on H_n as follows: for any $a \in H_n$ with $a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$, $\sigma(a) := (a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, a_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \cdots, a_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$.

It is clear that

$$(a, \sigma)^{-1} = (-(a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, a_{\sigma(n)}), \sigma^{-1}) = (-\sigma^{-1}(a), \sigma^{-1}),$$
$$(b, \tau)(a, \sigma)(b, \tau)^{-1} = (b + \tau(a) - \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}(b), \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}).$$

Let $a\sigma$ denote (a,σ) in short, sometimes. When we say type D we mean a rack or conjugacy class of type D and not a Weyl group of type D_n. The other notations are the same as in [11].

In this paper we prove that except in three cases conjugacy classes of classical Weyl groups $W(B_n)$ and $W(D_n)$ are of type D. This proves that Nichols algebras of irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the classical Weyl groups $\mathbb{W}_n$ are infinite dimensional, except the class of type $(2,3),(1^2,3)$ in $\mathbb{S}_5$, and $(1^{n-2},2)$ with $a_i=a_j$ when $\sigma(i)=i$ and $\sigma(j)=j$ in $\mathbb{S}_n$ for $n>5$.

The work is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide some preliminaries and set our notations. In Section 3 we examine the rack and conjugacy classes of $\mathbb{W}_n$. In Section 4 we classify Nichols algebras of irreducible YD modules over the classical Weyl groups, finally we give a Conjecture: Assume $n\geq 5$. then $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n},\rho)=\infty$ for $a\sigma\in\mathbb{W}_n$ with $\sigma\in\mathbb{S}_n, \sigma\neq 1$ and $a\in H_n$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and (V,C) a braided vector space, i.e. V is a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and $C\in \mathrm{Aut}(V\otimes V)$ is a solution of the braid equation $(\mathrm{id}\otimes C_{V,V})(C_{V,V}\otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes C_{V,V})=(C_{V,V}\otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes C_{V,V})(C_{V,V}\otimes \mathrm{id})$. $C_{i,i+1}:=\mathrm{id}^{\otimes i-1}\otimes C_{V,V}\otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n-i-1}$. Let $S_m\in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V^{\otimes m})$ and $S_{1,j}\in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V^{\otimes (j+1)})$ denote the maps $S_m=\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}(\mathrm{id}^{\bigotimes m-j-1}\bigotimes S_{1,j})$, $S_{1,j}=\mathrm{id}+C_{12}^{-1}+C_{12}^{-1}C_{23}^{-1}+\cdots+C_{12}^{-1}C_{23}^{-1}\cdots C_{j,j+1}^{-1}$ (in leg notation) for $m\geq 2$ and $j\in\mathbb{N}$. Then the subspace $S=\bigoplus_{m=2}^{\infty}\ker S_m$ of the tensor $T(V)=\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty}V^{\otimes m}$ is a two-sided ideal, and algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)=T(V)/S$ is termed the Nichols algebra associated to (V,C).

For $s\in G$ and $(\rho,V)\in\widehat{G^s}$, here is a precise description of the YD module $M(\mathcal{O}_s,\rho)$, introduced in [6]. Let $t_1=s,t_2,\cdots,t_m$ be a numeration of $\mathcal{O}_s$, which is a conjugacy class containing s, and let $g_i\in G$ such that $g_i\rhd s:=g_isg_i^{-1}=t_i$ for all $1\leq i\leq m$. Then $M(\mathcal{O}_s,\rho)=\oplus_{1\leq i\leq m}g_i\otimes V$. Let $g_iv:=g_i\otimes v\in M(\mathcal{O}_s,\rho)$, $1\leq i\leq m$, $v\in V$. If $v\in V$ and $1\leq i\leq m$, then the action of $h\in G$ and the coaction are given by

$$\delta(g_iv)=t_i\otimes g_iv,\qquad h\cdot(g_iv)=g_j(\nu_i(h)\cdot v), \tag{1}$$

where $hg_i=g_j\nu_i(h)$, for unique $1\leq j\leq m$ and $\nu_i(h)\in G^s$. The explicit formula for the braiding is then given by

$$C(g_iv\otimes g_jw)=t_i\cdot(g_jw)\otimes g_iv=g_{j'}(\nu_j(t_i)\cdot w)\otimes g_iv \tag{2}$$

for any $1\leq i,j\leq m$, $v,w\in V$, where $t_ig_j=g_{j'}\nu_j(t_i)$ for unique j', $1\leq j'\leq m$ and

$\nu_j(t_i) \in G^s$. Let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho)$ denote $\mathfrak{B}(M(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho))$. $M(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho)$ is a simple YD module (see [5]).

We briefly recall the definition and main properties of racks; see [3] for details, more information and bibliographical references. *A rack* is a pair $(X, \triangleright)$, where X is a non-empty set and $\triangleright : X \times X \to X$ is an operation such that $x \triangleright x = x$, $x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright (x \triangleright z)$ and ϕ_x is invertible for any $x, y, z \in X$, where ϕ_x is a map from X to X sending y to $x \triangleright y$ for any $x, y \in X$. For example, $(\mathcal{O}_s^G, \triangleright)$ is a rack with $x \triangleright y := xyx^{-1}$.

If R and S are two subracks of X with $R \cup S = X$, $R \cap S = \emptyset$, $x \triangleright y \in S$, $y \triangleright x \in R$, for any $x \in R, y \in S$, then $R \cup S$ is called a *decomposition of subracks* of X. Furthermore, if there exist $a \in R$, $b \in S$ such that $\mathrm{sq}(a, b) := a \triangleright (b \triangleright (a \triangleright b)) \neq b$, then X is called to be of *type D*. Notice that if a rack Y contains a subrack X of type D, then Y is also of type D (see [1]).

3 Conjugacy classes of $\mathbb{W}_n$

In this section we prove that except in three cases conjugacy classes of classical Weyl groups $\mathbb{W}_n$ are of type D.

Lemma 3.1. *([11, Lem. 3.1(iii)]) Let $G = \mathbb{W}_n$ and $a\sigma, b\tau \in G$. If $\tau\sigma = \sigma\tau$, then $\mathrm{sq}(a\sigma, b\tau) = b\tau$ if and only if*

$$a + \sigma\tau(a) + \sigma\tau^2(a) + \tau(a) = b + \sigma(b) + \sigma^2\tau(b) + \sigma\tau(b). \tag{3}$$

furthermore, If $\tau\sigma = \sigma\tau$ and $\sigma^2 = \tau^2 = 1$, then $\mathrm{sq}(a\sigma, b\tau) = b\tau$ if and only if

$$a + \sigma\tau(a) + \sigma(a) + \tau(a) = b + \sigma(b) + \tau(b) + \sigma\tau(b). \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i (mod\ 2)$. Assume $a\sigma = (a^{(1)}\sigma_1)(a^{(2)}\sigma_2)\cdots(a^{(r)}\sigma_r)$ and $b\tau = (b^{(1)}\tau_1)(b^{(2)}\tau_2)\cdots(b^{(r)}\tau_r)$ are independent sign cycle decomposition (see [10, Theo. 5.4]) of $a\sigma, b\tau \in \mathbb{W}_n$, respectively. If the lengths of σ_i, τ_i are the same for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, then $a\sigma$ and $b\tau$ are conjugate in $\mathbb{W}_n$.*

Proof. Assume $\mathbb{W}_n := \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. By $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i (mod\ 2)$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i^{(1)} + a_i^{(2)} + \cdots + a_i^{(r)}) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n}(b_i^{(1)} + b_i^{(2)} + \cdots + b_i^{(r)}) (mod\ 2)$. It is enough to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{(j)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^{(j)} (mod\ 2)$ for $\forall\ 1 \leq j \leq r$ after rearranging. Then we know $a^{(j)}\sigma_j$ and $b^{(j)}\tau_j$ are conjugate for $\forall\ 1 \leq j \leq r$ by [10, Lemm. 5.3] since the lengths of σ_i, τ_i are the same for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Consequently, $a\sigma$ and $b\tau$ are conjugate by [10, Theo. 5.4].

Assume $\mathbb{W}_n := K_n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$, it is clear by [10, Lemm. 5.6]. □

Proposition 3.3. *If σ is of type $(1, 2^2)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_5}$ is of type* D *for all $a \in H_5$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ without lost generality, take $\tau = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)$.

(i) Assume $\mathbb{W}_5 := \mathbb{Z}_2^5 \rtimes \mathbb{S}_5$. If $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, 0)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively; if $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, 0)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}_2^5 \rtimes \sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_5}$ and $S := \mathbb{Z}_2^5 \rtimes \tau \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_5}$.

(ii) Assume $\mathbb{W}_5 := K_5 \rtimes \mathbb{S}_5$. If $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 1(mod\ 2)$, let $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, 0)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 0(mod\ 2)$; if $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, 0)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 0(mod\ 2)$, let $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 1(mod\, 2)$. Let $R := K_5 \rtimes \sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_5}$ and $S := K_5 \rtimes \tau \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_5}$.

Thus $a\sigma$ and $b\tau$ are conjugate by lemma 3.2. Obviously, $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \neq \sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i$ implies $\mathrm{sq}(a\sigma, b\tau) \neq b\tau$ by [11, Exam.4.1]. It is clear that $R \cup S$ is a subrack decomposition of $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_5}$, hence it is of type D. □

Proposition 3.4. *If σ is of type $(1^2, 2^2)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_6}$ is of type* D *for all $a \in H_6$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ without lost generality, take $\tau = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)$. Asuume $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6), b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)$.

(i) Assume $\mathbb{W}_6 := \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \rtimes \mathbb{S}_6$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 0(mod\ 2), a_5 + a_6 \equiv 0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 1(mod\ 2), b_5 + b_6 \equiv 1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively; if $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 1(mod\ 2), a_5 + a_6 \equiv 0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 0(mod\ 2), b_5 + b_6 \equiv 1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \rtimes \sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_6}$ and $S := \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \rtimes \tau \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_6}$.

(ii) Assume $\mathbb{W}_6 := K_6 \rtimes \mathbb{S}_6$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 0(mod\ 2), a_5 + a_6 \equiv 0(mod\ 2)$, let $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 1(mod\ 2), b_5 + b_6 \equiv 1(mod\ 2)$; if $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \equiv 1(mod\ 2), a_5 + a_6 \equiv 1(mod\ 2)$, let $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i \equiv 0(mod\ 2), b_5 + b_6 \equiv 0(mod\ 2)$. Let $R := K_6 \rtimes \sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_6}$ and $S := K_6 \rtimes \tau \cap \mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_6}$.

Thus $a\sigma$ and $b\tau$ are conjugate by lemma 3.2. Obviously, $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \neq \sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i$ implies $\mathrm{sq}(a\sigma, b\tau) \neq b\tau$ by [11, Exam.4.1]. It is clear that $R \cup S$ is a subrack decomposition of $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_6}$, hence it is of type D. □

Proposition 3.5. (i) *If σ is of type (2^3), then $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_6}$ is of type* D *for all $a \in H_6$.*

(ii) *If σ is of type (2^4), then $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_8}$ is of type* D *for all $a \in H_8$.*

Proof. Similarly to lemma 3.4. (i) Let $\sigma = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)(5\ 6)$, take $\tau = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)(5\ 6)$. (ii) Let $\sigma = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)(5\ 6)(7\ 8)$, take $\tau = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)(5\ 6)(7\ 8)$. □

Proposition 3.6. *If σ is of type $(1^{n-3},3)$ for $n>5$, then $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}$ is of type* D *for all $a\in H_n$ with $n>5$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma=(1\ 2\ 3)$, $\tau=(4\ 5\ 6)$. We have that the 1-th, 2-th ,3-th, 4-th, 5-th and 6-th components of (3) are

$$(0,0,0,a_4+a_6,a_4+a_5,a_5+a_6)=(b_1+b_3,b_1+b_2,b_2+b_3,0,0,0). \tag{5}$$

(1) Assume $\mathbb{W}_n:=\mathbb{Z}_2^n\rtimes\mathbb{S}_n$.

(i) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(0,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(1,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively, then (5) does not hold.

(ii) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(1,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(0,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively, then (5) does not hold.

(iii) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(1,1,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(1,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively, then (5) does not hold.

(iv) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(1,1,1)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv0$ or $1(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(1,1,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv1$ or $0(mod\ 2)$, respectively, then (5) does not hold. Let $R:=\mathbb{Z}_2^n\rtimes\sigma\cap\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}$ and $S:=\mathbb{Z}_2^n\rtimes\tau\cap\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}$.

(2) Assume $\mathbb{W}_n:=K_n\rtimes\mathbb{S}_n$.

(i) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(0,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv0(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(1,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv1(mod\ 2)$, then (5) does not hold.

(ii) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(1,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv1(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(0,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv0(mod\ 2)$, then (5) does not hold.

(iii) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(1,1,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv0(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(1,0,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv1(mod\ 2)$, then (5) does not hold.

(iv) If 4-th, 5-th, 6-th components of a are $(1,1,1)$ and $\sum\limits_{i\neq4,5,6}a_i\equiv1(mod\ 2)$, let 1-th, 2-th, 3-th components of b are $(1,1,0)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=4}^{n}b_i\equiv0(mod\ 2)$, then (5) does not hold. Let $R:=K_n\rtimes\sigma\cap\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}$ and $S:=K_n\rtimes\tau\cap\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}$.

Thus $a\sigma$ and $b\tau$ are conjugate by [10, Lemm. 5.3]. Obviously, (5) does not hold implies $\mathrm{sq}(a\sigma, b\tau) \neq b\tau$. It is clear that $R \cup S$ is a subrack decomposition of $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}$, hence it is of type D. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let $n > 4$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ be of type $(1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, n^{m_n})$ and $a \in H_n$ with $a\sigma \in W_n$ and $\sigma \neq 1$. If $\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}$ is not of type* D*, then the type of σ belongs to one in the following list:*

(i) $(2, 3)$*;*

(ii) $(1^2, 3)$*;*

(iii) $(1^{n-2}, 2)$ *for $n > 5$ with $a_i = a_j$ when $\sigma(i) = i$ and $\sigma(j) = j$.*

proof. It follows from Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.5 , Proposition 3.6 and [11, Theorem 4.1]. □

4 Nichols algebras of irreducible YD module over $\mathbb{W}_n$

In this section we show that except in three cases Nichols algebras of irreducible YD modules over classical Weyl groups $\mathbb{W}_n$ are infinite dimensional.

We shall use the following facts:

Lemma 4.1. *([9, Cor. 8.4]) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$, and assume U be a* YD *module over symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_n$. If $\mathfrak{B}(U)$ is finite dimensional, then U is an irreducible* YD *module over $\mathbb{S}_n$.*

Proposition 4.2. *(See [1, Theorem 3.6] or [11, Theorem 5.3]) If G is a finite group and $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G$ is of type* D*, then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) = \infty$ *for any $\rho \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$.*

Theorem 4.3. *Assume $n \geq 5$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ be of type $(1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots, n^{m_n})$ and $a \in H_n$ with $a\sigma \in \mathbb{W}_n$ and $\sigma \neq 1$. If* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}, \rho) < \infty$*, then the type of σ belongs to one in the following list:*

(i) $(2, 3)$*;*

(ii) $(1^2, 3)$*;*

(iii) $(1^{n-2}, 2)$ *for $n > 5$ with $a_i = a_j$ when $\sigma(i) = i$ and $\sigma(j) = j$.*

proof. It follows from Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 4.2. □

Moreover, we give the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.4. *Assume $n \geq 5$. Let $a\sigma \in \mathbb{W}_n$ with $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n, \sigma \neq 1$ and $a \in H_n$, then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_{a\sigma}^{\mathbb{W}_n}, \rho) = \infty$.

Acknowledgment

I was supported by Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No: 2020JJ5210) and A Project Supported by Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No: 19C0860).

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch, F. Fantino, M. Graña and L.Vendramin, Finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras with alternating groups are trivial, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.***190** (2011), 225–245.

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch, F. Fantino and S. Zhang, On pointed Hopf algebras associated with the symmetric groups, *Manuscripta Math.***128** (2009), 359–371.

[3] N. Andruskiewitsch and M. Grana, From racks to pointed Hopf algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **178** (2003), 177–243.

[4] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras, *Ann. Math.* **171** (2010), 375–417.

[5] N. Andruskiewitsch and S. Zhang, On pointed Hopf algebras associated to some conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{S}_n$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 2723–2731.

[6] M. Graña, On Nichols algebras of low dimension,*Contemp. Math.* **267** (2000), 111–134.

[7] I. Heckenberger, Classification of arithmetic root systems, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), 59-124.

[8] I. Heckenberger, The Weyl-Brandt groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type, Invent. Math. **164** (2006), 175-188.

[9] I. Heckenberger and H.-J. Schneider, Root systems and Weyl groupoids for Nichols algebras,*Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **101**(2010), 623–654.

[10] S. Zhang and Y. Zhang, Pointed Hopf Algebras with classical Weyl Groups, *International Journal of Mathematics* **23**(2012), 1250066.

[11] Z. Tan, W. Wu and S. Zhang, Nichols algebras over classical Weyl groups, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications* (2021), doi 10.1142/s0219498821501875. Also in arXiv:2007.06538.