

Parameterized complexity of BANDWIDTH of Caterpillars and WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION

Hans L. Bodlaender*

Abstract

In this paper, we show that BANDWIDTH is hard for the complexity class $W[t]$ for all $t \in \mathbf{N}$, even for caterpillars with hair length at most three. As intermediate problem, we introduce the WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION problem: given a vertex-weighted path P_N and integer M , decide if there exists a mapping of the vertices of P_N to a path P_M , such that adjacent vertices are mapped to adjacent or equal vertices, and such that the total weight of the image of a vertex from P_M equals an integer c . We show that WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION, with c as parameter, is hard for $W[t]$ for all $t \in \mathbf{N}$, and is strongly NP-complete. We also show that DIRECTED BANDWIDTH is hard for $W[t]$ for all $t \in \mathbf{N}$, for directed acyclic graphs whose underlying undirected graph is a caterpillar.

1 Introduction

The BANDWIDTH problem is one of the classic problems from algorithmic graph theory. In this problem, we are given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and integer k , and want to find a bijection from V to $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with $n = |V|$, such that for each edge $\{v, w\} \in E$: $|f(v) - f(w)| \leq k$. The problem was proved to be NP-complete in 1976 by Papadimitriou [17]. Later, several special cases were proven to be NP-complete. In 1986, Monien [16] showed that BANDWIDTH stays NP-complete when the input is restricted to caterpillars with hair length at most three. A caterpillar is a tree where all vertices of degree at least three are on the same path; the hairs are the paths attached to this central path, and have here at most three vertices.

In this paper, we consider the parameterized complexity of this problem. We consider the standard parameterization, i.e., we ask for the complexity of BANDWIDTH as a function of n and k . This problem is long known to belong to XP: already in 1980, Saxe [18] showed that BANDWIDTH can be solved in time $O(f(k)n^{k+1})$ for some function f ; this was later improved to $O(f(k)n^k)$ [15].

*Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80.089, 3508 TB Utrecht, the Netherlands. Email: h.l.bodlaender@uu.nl

In 1994, Bodlaender et al. [4] reported that BANDWIDTH is $W[t]$ -hard for all positive integers t , even when we restrict the input to trees. However, the proof of this fact was so far never published. In the current paper, we give the proof of a somewhat stronger result: BANDWIDTH is $W[t]$ -hard for all positive integers t , even when we restrict the input to caterpillars with maximum hair length three. A sketch of a proof that BANDWIDTH is $W[t]$ -hard for all positive integers t for general graphs appears in the monograph by Downey and Fellows [8]. In recent years, Dregi and Lokshantov [10] gave a proof that BANDWIDTH is $W[1]$ -hard for trees of pathwidth at most two, and showed that there does not exist an algorithm for BANDWIDTH on such trees with running time of the form $f(k)n^{o(k)}$ assuming that the Exponential Time Hypothesis holds.

Our proof uses techniques from the NP-hardness proof for BANDWIDTH on caterpillars by Monien [16]. In particular, one gadget in the proof is identical to a gadget from Monien's proof. Also, the proof is inspired by ideas behind the proof of the result reported in [4], and a proof for $W[t]$ -hardness of a scheduling problem for chains of jobs with delays, which was obtained by Bodlaender and van der Wegen [5].

To obtain our main result, we obtain an intermediate result that is also interesting on its own. We consider a variation of the notion of uniform emulation. The notion of emulation was introduced by Fishburn and Finkel [13], to describe the simulation of processor networks on smaller processor networks. An emulation of a graph $G = (V, E)$ on a graph $H = (W, F)$ is a function $f : V \rightarrow W$, such that for each edge $\{v, w\} \in E$, $f(v) = f(w)$ or $\{f(v), f(w)\} \in F$, i.e., neighboring vertices are mapped to the same or neighboring vertices. An emulation is uniform when each vertex in H has the same number of vertices mapped to it, i.e., there is a constant c , called the *emulation factor*, such that for all $w \in W$: $|f^{-1}(w)| = c$. An analysis of the complexity to decide whether for given G and H , there exists a uniform emulation was made by Bodlaender and van Leeuwen [6], and Bodlaender [2]. In particular, in [2], the complexity of deciding if there is a uniform emulation on a path or cycle was studied. It was shown that UNIFORM EMULATION ON A PATH belongs to XP, parameterized by the emulation factor c , belongs to XP for connected graphs and is NP-complete, even for $c = 4$, when we allow that G is not connected. Bodlaender et al. [4] claimed that UNIFORM EMULATION ON A PATH is hard for $W[t]$ for all positive integers t . In this paper, we show a variation of this result, where the input is a *weighted path*. We name the problem of finding an uniform emulation of a weighted path to a path WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION. It is straightforward to modify the algorithm from [2] to weighted graphs. This shows that WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION belongs to XP, with the emulation factor as parameter.

There is a sharp distinction between the complexity of the BANDWIDTH problem for caterpillars with hairs of length at most two, and caterpillars with hairs of length three (or larger). Assmann et al. [1] give a characterization of the bandwidth for caterpillars whose hair length is at most two, and show that one can compute a layout of optimal width in $O(n \log n)$ time. This contrasts with the NP-hardness and fixed parameter intractability for caterpillars with hairs of length three, by Monien [16] and this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a number of definitions. In Section 3, we show hardness for the WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION problem. Section 4 gives the main result: hardness for the bandwidth of caterpillars with hairs of length at most three. In Section 5, we discuss a variation of the proof, to obtain that DIRECTED BANDWIDTH is hard for $W[t]$ for all positive integers $t \in \mathbb{N}$, for directed acyclic graphs whose underlying undirected graph is a caterpillar with hair length one. Some final remarks are made in Section 6.

2 Definitions

All graphs in this paper are considered to be simple and undirected. We assume that the reader is familiar with standard notions from graph theory and fixed parameter complexity (see e.g. [8, 9, 14]).

P_n denotes the path graph with n vertices. We denote the vertices of P_n by the first n positive integers, $1, 2, \dots, n$; the edges of P_n are the pairs $\{i, i+1\}$ for $1 \leq i < n$.

A *caterpillar* is a tree such that there is a path that contains all vertices of degree at least three. A caterpillar can be formed by taking a path P_N (the *spine*), and then attaching to vertices of P_N zero or more paths. These latter paths are called the *hairs* of the caterpillar.

A *linear ordering* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a bijective function $f : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The *bandwidth* of a linear ordering f of G is $\max_{\{v, w\} \in E} |f(v) - f(w)|$. The *bandwidth* of a graph is the minimum bandwidth over its linear orderings.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph, and $w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ be a function that assigns to each vertex a positive integer weight. An *emulation* of G on a path P_M is a function $f : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$, such that for all edges $\{v, w\} \in E$, $|f(v) - f(w)| \leq 1$. An emulation $f : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is said to be *uniform*, if there is an integer c , such that for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, $\sum_{v: f(v)=i} w(v) = c$. c is called the *emulation factor*.

For a directed acyclic graph $G = (V, A)$, the *directed bandwidth* of a topological ordering of G is $\max_{(v, w) \in A} f(w) - f(v)$; the *directed bandwidth* of a directed acyclic graph G is the minimum directed bandwidth over all topological orderings of G .

If we have a directed graph $G = (V, A)$, the *underlying undirected graph* of G is the undirected graph $G' = (V, E)$, with $E = \{\{v, w\} \mid (v, w) \in A\}$; i.e., we forget the direction of edges.

We consider the following parameterized problems.

BANDWIDTH

Given: An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, integer k .

Parameter: k .

Question: Is the bandwidth of G at most k ?

DIRECTED BANDWIDTH

Given: A directed acyclic graph $G = (V, E)$, integer k .

Parameter: k .

Question: Is the directed bandwidth of G at most k ?

WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION

Given: Integers N, M, c , weight function $w : \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$,
such that $\sum_{i=1}^N w(i)/M = c \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

Parameter: c .

Question: Is there a uniform emulation f of P_N with weight function w on P_M .

Note that in the problem statement above, c is the emulation factor, i.e., we have for a solution f that for each j , $1 \leq j \leq M$, $\sum_{i:f(i)=j} w(i) = c$.

A Boolean formula is said to be *t-normalized*, if it is the conjunction of the disjunction of the conjunction of \dots of literals, with t alternations of AND's and OR's. So, a Boolean in Conjunctive Normal Form is 2-normalized. Downey and Fellows [7] consider the following parameterized problem; this is the starting point for our reductions.

WEIGHTED t -NORMALIZED SATISFIABILITY

Given: A t -normalized Boolean formula F and a positive integer $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

Parameter: k

Question: Can F be satisfied by setting exactly k variables to true?

Theorem 2.1 (Downey and Fellows [7]). WEIGHTED t -NORMALIZED SATISFIABILITY is $W[t]$ -complete.

3 Hardness of WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION

In this section, we show that the WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION problem is $W[t]$ -complete for all positive integers $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Some techniques of this proof are inspired from techniques from a similar proof for a scheduling problem by Bodlaender and van der Wegen [5] and the proof underlying some of the results reported in [4], see also [8].

Suppose we are given a t -normalized Boolean expression F over n variables, say x_1, \dots, x_n , and integer k . We let t' be the number of nested levels of disjunction. We consider the problem to satisfy F by making exactly k variables true.

We will define a path P_N with a weight function $w : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$, an emulation factor c , and an integer M , such that P_N has a uniform emulation on a path P_M if and only if F can be satisfied by setting exactly k variables to true. Before giving the proof, we give a high level overview of some main ideas of the proof.

3.1 Intuition and techniques

In this subsection, we give some ideas behind the construction. The precise construction and formal proofs are given in the next subsection.

We assume we have given a t -normalized Boolean formula F . We transform the formula to a weighted path P_M , such that P_M has a uniform emulation on P_M with c the emulation factor, if and only if F can be satisfied by setting exactly k variables to true.

We can view F as a tree, with internal nodes marked with disjunction or conjunction, and each leaf with a literal, then we alternately have a level in the tree with disjunctions, and with conjunctions. We set t' to be the number of levels with disjunctions.

The path P_M is formed by taking, in this order, the following: a part called the ‘floor’, k ‘variable parts’, t' ‘disjunction parts’, and a ‘filler path’. t' is the number of levels in the formula tree with disjunctions, and for each ‘level’ of disjunction we have one disjunction part. E.g., if F is in conjunctive normal form, then $t' = 1$.

The *floor* has M vertices, each with a weight that is larger than $c/2$. Thus, we cannot map two floor vertices to the same element of P_M , and thus, can assume, without loss of generality, that the i th floor vertex is mapped to i . The different weights for floor vertices help to build the further gadgetry of the construction.

The variable and disjunction parts are forced to start at M , then move to 1, and then move (possibly with some ‘zigzagging’) back to M , where then the next part starts. This is done by giving each part one vertex of large weight that only can fit at vertex 1, and another vertex of even larger weight, that only can fit at vertex M . These large weight vertices are called left and right turning points. See Figure 1 for an impression of the construction.




Figure 1: Impression of a first part of the construction. The i th floor vertex is mapped to i . The path then moves from M to 1 and back, with left turning points (LTR) mapped to 1, and right turning points (RTP) mapped to M . The picture shows the floor and first two variable parts.

We have k *variable parts*. Each models one variable that is set to true. We start with a left turning point, $M - 2$ vertices of weight one, and a right turning point: this is to move back from M to 1. Then, we have $n - 1$ vertices of weight one, $M - 2n - 2$ ‘heavy’ vertices, and again $n - 1$ vertices of weight one. The heavy vertices are mapped consecutively (except possibly at the first n and last

n positions); the weight one vertices before and after the heavy vertices allows us to shift the sequence of heavy vertices in n ways — each different such shift sets another variable to true. By using two different heavy weights, combined with weight settings for floor vertices and vertices from disjunction parts, we can check that all variable parts select a different variable to be true (which is done at positions $n + 2, \dots, 2n + 1$), and that F is satisfied (which is done at positions $2n + 2, \dots, M - n - 2$).

We have for each level in the formula tree with disjunctions a *disjunction part*. Thus, we have t' disjunction parts. With help of ‘anchors’ (vertices of large weight that can go only to one specific position), we can ensure that a subpart for a disjunction has to be mapped to the part of the floor that corresponds with this disjunction. Such a subpart consist of a path with weight one vertices, a path with $3m(F')$ *selecting vertices* (which have larger weight), and another path with weight one vertices. Now, each term in the disjunction has an associated interval of size $m(F')$ and between these intervals we have $m(F')$ elements. Then, we can show that the selecting vertices must cover entirely one of the intervals of a term — this corresponds to that term being satisfied. See Figure 4, and the proof of Claim 3.9 for an illustration and details.

Heavy vertices of variable parts come in two weights: c^v and $c^c + c^u$. This is used for checking that F is satisfied. As an example, consider a negative literal $\neg x_j$ in F . We have one specific position on P_M , say i , that checks whether this literal is satisfied, in case its satisfaction contributes to the satisfaction of F — that case corresponds to having a selecting vertex mapped to i for each level of disjunction. Now, the weight of the floor vertex mapped to i is such that when this floor vertex and all selecting vertices are mapped to i , then we can only fit k heavy vertices of weight c^v here; if at least one of these heavy vertices has weight $c^v + c^u$, then the total weight mapped to i exceeds c . If this happens, then this heavy vertex belongs to a variable part which corresponds to setting x_i to be true; thus, this enforces that x_i is false. A somewhat similar construction is used for positive literals.

The last part of P_N is the *filler path*. This is a long path with vertices of weight one. This is used to ensure that the mapping becomes uniform: if the total weight of vertices of floor, variable part, and disjunction parts vertices mapped to i is z_i , then we map $c - z_i$ (consecutive) vertices of the filler path to i . See Figure 2 for an illustration.

3.2 Proof of hardness of WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION

Elements, weights, and intervals A t -normalized Boolean formula F can be represented by an ordered rooted tree with $t + 1$ levels. Each node in the tree represents a subformula of F . Each leaf represents a literal, which can be positive or negative. Each internal node represents the conjunction or disjunction of the formulas represented by the children of the nodes. The formula represented by the root is F . We call the formulas represented by each of the nodes of this tree the *elements* of F ; e.g., each occurrence of a literal is an element, F itself is an element, etc. Note that the elements in F are nested. We also use terminology




Figure 3: An example of the nested intervals of disjunctions

We also associate to each element an integer, called its *or-depth*. If F is a conjunction, its or-depth is 0; if F is a disjunction, its or-depth is 1. The or-depth of a literal or conjunction equals the or-depth of its parent in the formula tree; the or-depth of a disjunction is exactly one larger than the or-depth of its parent in the formula tree. Note that the maximal or-depth of an element is at most $\lceil t/2 \rceil$, and because the formula is normalized, all terms of a conjunction and of a disjunction have the same or-depth. All conjunctions with the same or-depth form a *level* of the formula tree, as do all disjunctions with the same or-depth.

We set $M = 2 + 3n + s(F)$.

Definition of constants We now define a series of constants. Note that each of these only depends on k and t . These constants are used in the definition of the weights of vertices.

We have a number of constants:

- $c^p = 5t' + 3k + 1$. This denotes the space for vertices of width 1; we have several parts of the path with such vertices. (The p denotes path.)
- $c^u = 3 \cdot c^p$.
- We have t' constants that denote weights for vertices in parts that check that a disjunction holds. These weights are so large that where this is necessary, no two vertices of the same part fit together, and thus that of such a part, when these vertices are consecutive, they will be mapped to consecutive vertices. We set: $c_{t'}^d = 3 \cdot k \cdot c^u$, and for $1 \leq i < t'$: $c_i^d = 3 \cdot c_{i+1}^d$.
- A similar role, for the choice of variables that are true, plays the constant c^v . We set $c^v = 3 \cdot c_{t'}^d$.
- We have t constants that denote weights that ensure that some vertices of disjunction paths are mapped to specific vertices on P_M . These ‘anchor’ these vertices; we have variables $c_1^a, \dots, c_{t'}^a$ with $c_{t'}^a = 3 \cdot c^v$ and for $1 \leq i < t'$: $c_i^a = 3 \cdot c_{i+1}^a$.
- We have very large constants for the turning points. For the left turning point, we have $c^L = 2c_{t'}^a$ and for the right turning point $c^R = (k+t'+1) \cdot c^L$.
- Finally, we set $c = 2 \cdot (k + t') \cdot c^R + 1$.

Note that:

$$1 < c^p < c^u < c_{t'}^d < \dots < c_2^d < c_1^d < c^v < c_{t'}^a < \dots < c_2^a < c_1^a < c^L < c^R < c$$

Recall that $M = 2 + 3n + s(F)$. The value of N is not given explicitly, but follows from the construction. The emulation factor equals c . From the definitions above, we can see that c only depends on k and t' , and thus is a function of the parameter.

The weight function w We now define the weight function $w : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$.

We name the successive different parts of P_N as follows: *floor*, *true variable parts*, *disjunction parts*, and *filler path*.

Floor The floor consists of the first M vertices of P_N . These vertices have the following weights.

- We have $w(1) = c - (k + t')c^L$. (Left turning point.)
- For $2 \leq i \leq n + 1$, $w(i) = c - k \cdot c^v - c^p$. (Left part of variable selection.)
- For $n + 2 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$, $w(i) = c - k \cdot c^v - c^u - c^p$. (Part that verifies that no variable is selected twice.)
- For $2n + 2 \leq i \leq M - n - 1$, we have a simple procedure that computes $w(i)$:
 - Start by setting $w(i) = c - c^p - k \cdot c^v$.
 - If i is a left or right anchor point of a disjunction F , then suppose this disjunction has or-depth α . Now, subtract c_α^a from the current value of $w(i)$.
 - If there is a disjunction of or-depth α , such that i is between the left and right anchor point of this disjunction, then subtract c_α^d from the current value of $w(i)$. This step is executed for each applicable α .
 - If i is the midpoint of the interval of an element that is a positive literal then subtract $(k - 1) \cdot c^u$ from the current value of $w(i)$.
 - If i is not the midpoint of any interval of an element that is a literal, then subtract $k \cdot c^u$ from the current value of $w(i)$.

This part is for verification that the variable selection satisfies F .

- For $M - n \leq i \leq M - 1$, $w(i) = c - k \cdot c^v - c^p$. (Right part of variable selection.)
- We have $w(M) = c - (k + t')c^R$. (Right turning point.)

The intuition behind the construction of the floor is the following. The i th vertex of the floor is mapped to the i th vertex of P_M . Thus, the other vertices mapped to i must have a total weight of $c - w(i)$.

True variable parts After the floor, we have k parts, called *true variable parts*. The first vertex of the first true variable part is adjacent to the last vertex of the floor; the last vertex of the i th true variable part is adjacent to the first vertex of the $(i + 1)$ st true variable part ($1 \leq i < k$).

For i from 1 to k , we take the following vertices with weights, successively, forming the i th true variable part.

- A vertex of weight c^R . (This vertex will be mapped to M ; the *right turning point*.)
- $M - 2$ vertices of weight 1. (These vertices go back from M to 1.)
- A vertex of weight c^L . (This vertex will be mapped to 1; the *left turning point*.)
- $n - 1$ vertices of weight 1. (These vertices are mapped with possibly a fold from 1 to a vertex in $\{2, \dots, n\}$. This ensures that the first vertex of the next subpart is mapped to a vertex in $\{2, \dots, n + 1\}$.)
- $2n + s(F)$ vertices of weight either c^v or $c^v + c^u$. We call these the *heavy* vertices of the true variable part. The following vertices have weight $c^v + c^u$; all others have weight c^v :
 - The n th vertex of this subpart, i.e., we first have $n - 1$ vertices of weight c^v and then one of weight $c^v + c^u$. (This latter vertex is mapped to a vertex in $\{n + 2, 2n + 1\}$, the part to check that no variable is selected once. Mapping to $n + 1 + j$ corresponds to setting x_j to true.) Call this the *determining* vertex of the part.
 - For each element F' of F that is a positive literal x_i , for each integer j in the interval of F' , (i.e., in $[\ell(F'), r(F') - 1]$) that is not the midpoint of this interval, (i.e., $j \neq \ell(F) + n$), we have that the $(j - i - 1)$ th vertex of this subpart has weight $c^v + c^u$.
 - For each element F' of F that is a negative literal x_i , for the midpoint j of the interval of F' , we have that the $(j - i - 1)$ th vertex of this subpart has weight $c^v + c^u$.

The role of these vertices is in checking whether literals are satisfied.)

- $n - 1$ vertices of weight 1. (The right turning point of the next subpart is mapped to M ; these vertices make the connection of the last vertex defined above to this right turning point of the next subpart.)

Disjunction parts We have t' disjunction parts, each for of the t' different or-depths of disjunctions. For $\alpha = 1$ to t' , we have a part that handles all disjunctions of or-depth α . For α from 1 to t' we have the following successive vertices with weights:

- A vertex of weight c^R . (Again, this right turning point goes to M .)

- $M - 2$ vertices of weight 1. (These vertices go back from M to 1.)
- A vertex of weight c^L . (Again, this is the left turning point and goes to 1.)
- Consider the elements of F that are a disjunction of or-depth α . Name these F_1, \dots, F_q and assume they appear in F in this order, from left to right. Note that $LA(F_1) < RA(F_1) < LA(F_2) < RA(F_2) < \dots < LA(F_q) < RA(F_q)$. Each F_i is a disjunction of elements that all have the same size $m(F_i)$. To avoid a case analysis for the first element, write $RA(F^0) = 1$. For j from 1 to q , we have successively:
 - $LA(F_j) - RA(F_{j-1}) - 1$ vertices of weight 1. (These go from the right anchor point of the previous disjunction (or from 1) to the left anchor point of this disjunction.)
 - A vertex of weight c_α^a . This vertex is called the *left anchor* of the disjunction. We will show later that a left anchor must be mapped to the corresponding left anchor point.
 - $(2q - 2) \cdot m(F_i)$ vertices of weight 1. (This goes from the left anchor point to the next subpart. It is possibly folded.)
 - $3m(F_i)$ vertices of weight c_α^d . This subpart is to select an element of the disjunction that is satisfied. Call these vertices the *selecting* vertices of the disjunction.
 - $(2q - 2) \cdot m(F_i)$ vertices of weight 1. (This goes from the previous subpart to the right anchor point. It is possibly folded.)
 - A vertex of weight c_α^a . This vertex is called the *right anchor* of the disjunction. We will show later that a right anchor must be mapped to the corresponding right anchor point.
- $M - RA(F_q) - 1$ vertices of weight 1. (These go from the right anchor point of F^q to M .)

Filler path Count the total weight of all vertices, defined so far. Suppose this is γ . Take $cM - \gamma$ vertices of weight 1. This is the last part of P_N , and finishes the construction of P_N ; we do not give an explicit formula for N , but just state that N is the number of vertices of all parts of this path as defined above. With help of the filler path, the total weight of all vertices is exactly cM .

(The first vertex of the filler path will be mapped to $M - 1$. The construction is such that 1 and M will have c weight mapped to it, by floor and turning point vertices; all other vertices (between 1 and M) have less than c weight mapped to it by all vertices except filler path vertices. The filler path thus is used to ‘fill the remaining gaps’ after all other vertices are mapped, ensuring that each vertex on P_M has exactly weight c mapped to it.)

Correctness proof

Lemma 3.1. *If there is a uniform emulation of P_N to P_M with weight function w , then F can be satisfied by setting exactly k variables to true.*

Proof. Let f be a uniform emulation of P_N to P_M . We show the lemma with help of a number of claims.

Claim 3.2. *One of the following two must be the case:*

- *For each i , $1 \leq i \leq M$, the i th floor vertex is mapped to i , i.e., $f(i) = i$.*
- *For each i , $1 \leq i \leq M$, the i th floor vertex is mapped to $M - i + 1$, i.e., $f(i) = M - i + 1$.*

Proof. Note that for each floor vertex (vertices $1, 2, \dots, M$ of P_N) has a weight that is larger than $c/2$. So, we cannot map two floor vertices to the same vertex from P_M . As there are M floor vertices, each vertex in P_M has one floor vertex mapped to it. \square

Without loss of generality, we suppose that the first is the case, i.e., for each i , $1 \leq i \leq M$, $f(i) = i$. (The second case can be transformed to the first by using the the function f' , with for all v , $f'(v) = M + 1 - f(v)$.)

Claim 3.3. *All right turning point vertices are mapped to M . All left turning point vertices are mapped to 1.*

Proof. For all $i \neq M$, the i th floor vertex has a weight that is larger than $c - c^R$, and is mapped to i . Thus, we cannot map a right turning point (whose weight is c^R) to such a vertex. So, necessarily, right turning points are mapped to M .

For all $i \notin \{1, M\}$, the i th floor vertex has a weight that is larger than $c - c^L$ and is mapped to i . Hence, we cannot map left turning points (who have weight c^L) an integer $i \notin \{1, M\}$. We also cannot map it to M , as the total weight of the floor vertex mapped to M and all right turning points equals c . So, all left turning points must be mapped to 1. \square

Claim 3.4. *For all elements F' of F that are a disjunction, the left anchor point of F' is mapped to the left anchor of F' , $LA(F')$ and the right anchor point of F' is mapped to $RA(F')$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction to the or-depth of the disjunction. Suppose the claim holds for all disjunctions of or-depths smaller than α .

The anchors of disjunctions at or-depth α have weight w_α^a . Thus, if such an anchor is mapped to i , the total weight of the floor vertex, turning points, and anchors of disjunctions of or-height smaller than α must be at most $c - w_\alpha^a$. One can observe that the only elements that fulfill this are the are the anchor points of or-depth α , i.e., vertices on P_M of the type $LA(F'')$ or $RA(F'')$ for disjunctions F'' of or-depth α . None of these has at least $2 \cdot w_\alpha^a$ weight left, and the number of such vertices on P_M equals the number of anchor points on P_N .

So, these anchors must be mapped one to one to these anchor points, of the same or-depth.

Now, with induction from left to right, we see that the left and right anchors of a disjunction at or-depth α are mapped to the corresponding anchors. Say the disjunctions of or-depth α are F_1, F_2, \dots, F_q , from left to right. We now prove the result, with induction from left to right. Assume that the left and right anchors of F_1, \dots, F_{j-1} are mapped to their corresponding anchor points, for some j . Consider F_j . The distance on P_N from the left anchor of F_j to the right anchor of F_{j-1} (or the left turning point of the α th level of disjunctions, in case $j = 1$) equals the distance on P_M of the left anchor point of F_j to the right anchor point of F_{j-1} (or to 1, in case $j = 1$); on P_M there are no other anchor points of this or-depth level between these. So, the left anchor of F_j must be mapped to $LA(F_j)$. The distance on P_N from the left anchor of F_j to the right anchor of F_j equals $(4q - 1) \cdot m(F_j)$. The right anchor of F_j can fit in the right anchor point of F_j , but it cannot go to another anchor point: in $(4q - 1) \cdot m(F_j)$ steps, one cannot move from the left anchor point to any element outside the interval of F_j (see Figure 3.) \square

Claim 3.5. *Let $n + 1 \leq j \leq M - n$, and let $1 \leq i \leq k$. The i th variable part has at least one heavy vertex mapped to j .*

Proof. The left turning point of the variable part is mapped to 1; the last vertex of the part is mapped to a right turning point which is mapped to M . Thus, the last of the $n - 1$ vertices of weight 1 that come after the left turning point in this part is mapped to an integer that is at most n , and the first of the last $n - 1$ vertices of weight 1 in the part is mapped to an integer that is at least $M - n + 1$. Between these two vertices, the variable part has a path with only heavy vertices; thus, all integers that are larger than n and smaller than $M - n + 1$ have at least one of these heavy vertices mapped to it. \square

Claim 3.6. *Let $n + 1 \leq j \leq M - n$, and let $1 \leq i \leq k$. The i th variable part has exactly one heavy vertex mapped to j .*

Proof. First, suppose that $2n + 2 \leq j \leq M - n - 1$. Consider the total of the floor vertex mapped to j , vertices from disjunction parts mapped to j , and one heavy vertex per true variable part (see Claim 3.5). Using earlier claims, we see that this total weight is at least $c - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{t'} c_\alpha^d + k \cdot c^u + c^p > c - c^v$. Thus, we cannot map a second heavy vertex of a variable part to j .

The cases that $n + 1 \leq j \leq 2n + 1$ and $j = M - n - 2$ can be shown with a similar counting argument. \square

We now define a truth assignment to variables x_1, \dots, x_n , as follows. We set x_i to true, if there exists a true variable part whose determining vertex is mapped to $n + 1 + i$, and to false otherwise.

Claim 3.7. *Exactly k variables are set to true.*

Proof. As we have k true variable parts, at most k variables are set to true. If two true variable parts select the same variable, then the determining vertices of these parts have the same image. The weight left at this image was $c^u + c^p$, and as $c^u < c^p$, we cannot fit twice the extra weight of determining vertices here. So, each true variable part selects a different variable to be true. \square

We now give a top-down recursive definition of which elements of F are selected:

- F is selected.
- If F' is a selected conjunction, then all its terms are selected.
- If F' is a selected disjunction, then a term F'' of F' is selected, when each integer in the interval of F'' (i.e., $[\ell(F''), r(F'')]$) has a selecting vertex of F' mapped to it.

Claim 3.8. *Suppose element F' is selected, and has or-depth $\alpha \leq t'$. For each $\beta < \alpha$, each integer in the interval of F' has a selecting vertex of weight c_β^d mapped to it.*

Proof. From the definition of selection, it follows that each ancestor of F' in the formula tree is selected. If an ancestor of F' has a disjunction as parent, then by definition, each integer in the interval of that ancestor has a selecting vertex of the disjunction part for that parent mapped to it; the same holds for F' itself. From this, the claim follows. \square

Claim 3.9. *Each selected disjunction has at least one selected term.*

Proof. Suppose that $F' = F'_1 \vee F'_2 \vee \dots \vee F'_q$ is a selected disjunction of or-depth α . We consider the disjunction selection part of F' .

Recall from Claim 3.4 that the left anchor of F' is mapped to the left anchor point of F' , and the right anchor of F' is mapped to the right anchor point. The distance between these anchor points is $(2q + 1) \cdot m(F')$; each of the terms F'_i has interval size $m(F')$.

The first selecting vertex of the disjunction selection part of F' has a path of length $(2q + 1) \cdot m(F')$ to the right anchor, namely with $3m(F') - 1$ edges to the other selecting vertices of this part, $(2q - 2) \cdot m(F')$ edges vertices of weight one, and one edge to the right anchor. Hence, it cannot be mapped to an integer that is smaller than the left anchor point of the interval of F' ; all other selecting vertices of this part have a shorter path to the right anchor and hence also cannot be mapped to an integer that is smaller than the left anchor point of the interval of F' .

With a similar argument, we can show that the selecting vertices of the disjunction selection part cannot be mapped to an integer that is larger than the right anchor point of the interval of F' . So, all selecting vertices are mapped to integers in $[LA(F'), RA(F')]$.

We cannot have two of these selecting vertices mapped to the same integer in $[LA(F'), RA(F')]$. This can be seen by considering the weight of all vertices

mapped to one such integer; these include the floor vertex, when the integer is an anchor point, the corresponding anchors, k heavy vertices of true variable selecting parts, and (by Claim 3.8), for each β smaller than or equal to the or-depth of F' , a vertex of weight w_β^d . This gives a total weight of at least $c - c^p - (k-1) \cdot c^u - \sum_{\beta=1}^\alpha c_\beta^d$. By noting that $c_\alpha^d > c^p + (k-1) \cdot c^u + \sum_{\beta=1}^\alpha c_\beta^d$, we see that we cannot map two selecting vertices of disjunction parts of or-depth α to the same integer.

It thus follows, that the selecting vertices must be mapped to $3m(F')$ consecutive integers from $[LA(F'), RA(F')]$. This implies it must cover an interval $[LA(F'_j), RA(F'_j)]$ for some j entirely: each of these intervals has size $m(F')$ and between each pair of these, we have $m(F')$ integers not in such intervals. See Figure 4: if we map the orange part to the interval between left and right anchor points, then it covers one of the intervals of an F_i (green in the figure) entirely.




Figure 4: Illustration to the proof of Claim 3.9

This implies that this term F'_j is selected. \square

Claim 3.10. *Let F' be a literal, and suppose the j th variable part selects x_i . There is one heavy vertex of the j th variable part mapped to the midpoint of the interval of F' . The weight of this heavy vertex equals*

- c^d , when F' is the positive literal x_i , or when F' is a negative literal $\neg x_{i'}$ with $i' \neq i$;
- $c^d + c^u$, when F' is the negative literal $\neg x_i$, or when F' is a positive literal $x_{i'}$ with $i' \neq i$.

Proof. Let m be the midpoint of the interval of F' . If the j th variable part selects x_i , then the determining vertex (n th heavy vertex) is mapped to $n + i + 1$, thus, the r th heavy vertex is mapped to $r + i + 1$. Hence, to m , the $m - i - 1$ st heavy vertex is mapped. The weight of this heavy vertex fulfills the properties as stated in the claim, by construction. \square

Claim 3.11. *If F' is a selected literal, then F' holds under the defined truth assignment.*

Proof. When a literal is selected, then all its ancestors in the formula tree are selected. Thus, each integer in the interval of the selected literal (and in particular, its midpoint) has a heavy vertex of a disjunction part of or-depth β mapped to it, for all $\beta \in [1, \dots, t']$. The weight of all heavy vertices of disjunction parts mapped to the midpoint of the interval of the literal equals $\sum_{\beta=1}^{t'} c_\beta^d$.

We first consider the case that F' is a positive literal. We look at the weights of all vertices mapped to the midpoint of the interval of F . The floor vertex mapped to this midpoint has weight $c - c^p - k \cdot c^v - \sum_{\beta=1}^{t'} c_\beta^d - (k-1) \cdot c^u$.

Now, suppose F' does not hold. Then no true variable part selects x_i , and thus, by Claim 3.10, each true variable part maps a vertex with weight of the form $c^v + c^u$ to the midpoint of F' . Add these weights to the weight of the floor vertex, and heavy vertices of disjunction parts gives a total weight of at least $c - c^p + c^u > c$ mapped to the midpoint of the interval of F' ; contradiction. So, F' holds.

Now, consider the case that F' is a negative literal $\neg x_i$. The analysis is similar to the previous case. Now, the weight of the floor vertex mapped to the midpoint of the interval of F' is $c - c^p - k \cdot c^v - \sum_{\beta=1}^{t'} c_\beta^d$. If F' does not hold, at least one true variable part selects x_i ; this variable part maps a heavy vertex of weight $c^v + c^u$ to the midpoint, while all other variable parts map a heavy vertex of weight at least c^v to it. Together with the floor vertex and vertices from the disjunction parts, this gives a total weight of the vertices mapped to the midpoint that is at least $c - c^p + c^u > c$. We again have a contradiction, so F' is satisfied. \square

Claim 3.12. *If element F' is selected, then F' is satisfied under the defined truth assignment.*

Proof. We show this by induction. Claim 3.11 shows the result holds for literals.

If F' is a conjunction, then each term of F' is selected (by definition), and thus satisfied (by induction).

If F' is a disjunction, then by Claim 3.9, it has a selected term, which is satisfied (by induction). \square

As, by definition, F is selected, we have that F is satisfied by the defined truth assignment (by Claim 3.12). By Claim 3.7, this truth assignment sets exactly k variables to true. This ends the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.13. *If F can be satisfied by setting exactly k variables to true, then there is a uniform emulation of P_N to P_M with weight function w .*

Proof. We describe how to build a uniform emulation of P_N on P_M , given a truth assignment that satisfies F by setting exactly k variables to true. Suppose the set of true variables is $\{x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_k}\}$.

Folding a path In the construction, we repeatedly use a simple step that ‘folds’ a path of vertices of weight 1. Suppose we have a vertex x mapped to i and a vertex y mapped to j with a path between x and y with γ internal vertices. Suppose $j \geq i$. We must have that $\gamma \geq j - i - 1$, otherwise we cannot find an emulation for the path from i to j . To fold this path to the right, the δ th vertex on this path is mapped to $i + \delta$ when $\delta \leq (j - i) + \frac{\gamma - j + i}{2}$, and to $j + (\gamma - \delta) + 1$ otherwise. The illustration in Figure 5 makes the simple construction clear. We can also use a similar method to fold a path to the left. Note that when we fold a path, each integer has at most two vertices of the folded path mapped to it.




Figure 5: Folding a path from x to y to the right

We can now define the emulation of P_N on P_M .

Floor The i th vertex of the follow is mapped to i , $1 \leq i \leq M$.

True variable parts We map the vertices of i th true variable parts as follows:

- The right turning point is mapped to M .
- The next path with $M - 2$ vertices of weight 1 are mapped in order to $M - 1, M - 2, \dots, 2$.
- The left turning point is mapped to 1.
- Recall that the i th variable that is set to true is X_{t_i} . We map the first heavy vertex of the i th true variable part to $t_i + 2$, and fold the path of weight-one vertices between the left turning point and this first heavy vertex to the right.
- The heavy vertices are mapped to consecutive vertices, i.e., the j th heavy vertex is mapped to $j + t_i + 1$. (Note that we thus set the n th heavy vertex to $n + 1 + t_i$.)
- We fold the path with weight-1 vertices between the last heavy vertex and the right turning point of the next part (the $i + 1$ st true variable part, or, if $i = k$, the first disjunction part) to the left.

Disjunction parts We map the vertices of the α th disjunction part as follows:

- The right turning point is mapped to M .
- The next path with $M - 2$ vertices of weight 1 are mapped in order to $M - 1, M - 2, \dots, 2$.

- The left turning point is mapped to 1.
- We map each i th left anchor to the corresponding i th left anchor point of disjunctions at this or-depth.
- For each disjunction F' , let F'' be a satisfied term of F' , if F' is satisfied (by the given truth assignment), otherwise, let F'' be the first term of F' . (We can take any arbitrary term in this case.) Now, for $1 \leq i \leq 3m(F') - 1$, place the i th selecting vertex of F' at $LA(F'') - m + i$.
- All paths of vertices of weight one (between turning points, anchors, first heavy vertices for disjunctions of this depth, and last heavy vertices for disjunctions of this depth) are folded. Most of these are folded to the right, but where necessary (as we cannot map vertices to M or integers larger than M) we fold to the left. The last path of vertices of weight 1 of the last disjunction part is folded (to the left), such that its last vertex is mapped to $M - 1$.

Filler path Now, define, for $1 \leq j \leq M$, z_j to be the total weight of all floor, true variable parts, and disjunction parts that are mapped to j . We will later show that for all j , $z_j \leq c$, and $z_1 = z_M = c$ (see Claims 3.14, 3.16, 3.17, and 3.18.)

Note that in the construction above, the predecessor of the first vertex on the filler path is mapped to $M - 1$: this is the last vertex of the last disjunction part.

We can now use the filler path to ensure that each vertex on P_M has a total weight c mapped to it. Repeat the following step, for j from $M - 1$ to 2: place the next $c - z_j$ vertices of the filler path at j .

Claim 3.14. $z_1 = z_M = c$.

Proof. To 1, we map the first floor vertex, and $k + t'$ left turning points; the total weight of these vertices equals c . To M , we map the last floor vertex, and $k + t'$ right turning points; the total weight of these vertices equals c . No other vertices are mapped to 1 or M . \square

Claim 3.15. *Each integer in $[2, M - 1]$ has less than c^P vertices of weight one from true variable parts and disjunction parts mapped to it.*

Proof. Let $i \in [2, M - 1]$. From each true variable part, there are at most three vertices of weight 1 mapped to i : one vertex from the first path with $M - 2$ vertices, and at most two vertices from one of the two folded paths of length $n - 1$. From each disjunction part, there are at most five vertices of weight one mapped to i : again one vertex from the first path with $M - 2$ vertices, and at most four vertices from folded paths (possibly, one path folded to the left, and one path folded to the right; each folded path contributes at most two vertices that are mapped to i). \square

Claim 3.16. *Let $i \in [2, 2n + 1]$. Then $z_i < c$.*

Proof. To i , we mapped (apart from filler vertices), one floor vertex, at most c^p vertices of weight one (Claim 3.15), and at most k heavy vertices of true variable parts. When $2 \leq i \leq n + 1$, each of these heavy vertices of true variable parts has weight c^v ; when $n + 2 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$, at most one of these heavy vertices of true variable parts has weight $c^v + c^u$: the weight of the j th heavy vertex equals $c^v + c^u$, if and only if the j th variable that is set to true is x_{i-n-1} — as we set exactly k variables to true, these are all different for different $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. No other vertices are mapped to i . \square

Claim 3.17. *Let $i \in [M - n - 1, M - 1]$. Then $z_i < c$.*

Proof. This case is similar to the case that $i \in [2, n + 1]$; see the proof of Claim 3.16. \square

Claim 3.18. *Let $i \in [2n + 2, M - n - 2]$. Then $z_i < c$.*

Proof. We have less than c^p vertices of weight one mapped to i (Claim 3.15), k heavy vertices of true variable parts, and a floor vertex.

If we map an anchor vertex to i , then i is the corresponding anchor point, and we subtracted the weight of this anchor vertex from the weight of the floor vertex that is mapped to i .

If we map a heavy vertex of a disjunction of or-depth α , then i is between the anchor points of this disjunction, and we subtracted the weight of this heavy vertex from the weight of the floor vertex mapped to i .

We now consider the additive terms c^u , subtracted from the weight of the floor vertex mapped to i , and added to the weight of heavy vertices of true variable parts. There are a number of cases.

Case 1: i is the midpoint of the interval of a positive literal that is satisfied. We subtract $(k - 1) \cdot c^u$ from the weight of the i th floor vertex, and at most $k - 1$ heavy vertices of true variables parts have weight $c^v + c^u$: if the j th variable set to true satisfies the literal, then by construction, the j th true variable part has a heavy vertex of weight c^v mapped to it.

Case 2: i is the midpoint of the interval of a negative literal, say $\neg x_j$ that is satisfied. So, x_j is not selected to be true, and by construction, all true variable parts have a vertex of weight c^v mapped to i .

Case 3: i is the midpoint of the interval of a literal ℓ that is not satisfied. We can have k heavy vertices of true variable parts with weight $c^v + c^u$ mapped to i . Let q be the element that is an ancestor of ℓ or ℓ itself, that is not satisfied, and that has minimum or-depth among all such elements. q cannot be F as F is satisfied. The parent of q is satisfied, thus must be a disjunction. Now, the selecting vertices of this disjunction are mapped to a range that is disjoint from the interval of ℓ . We have a term c_α^d subtracted from the weight of the floor vertex mapped to i , but no heavy vertex of a disjunction part of weight c_α^d mapped to i . Now note that $c_\alpha^d > k \cdot c^u$.

Case 4: i is not the midpoint of the interval of a literal. At most k heavy vertices of true variable parts mapped to i have weight $c^v + c^u$, and we subtracted $k \cdot c^u$ from the weight of the i th floor vertex.

In each of the cases, we have a term that is subtracted from the weight of the floor vertex mapped to i that is at least the total of all additive terms c^u of heavy vertices of true variable parts that are mapped to i . The claim now follows. \square

It is not hard to see that the mapping we defined is indeed an emulation. The filler path ensures that the total weight mapped to each vertex equals c ; Claims 3.14, 3.16, 3.17, and 3.18 show that the mapping of the filler path indeed is possible. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.13. \square

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.13 together give the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.19. *WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION is $W[t]$ -hard for all positive integers t .*

We need in the next section actually a slightly different result (for an easier proof), namely, we require that the first vertex of P_N is mapped to M . From the proof above, it is clear that we can require this or require that $f(1) = 1$. (The latter is imminent from the proof; the former follows by mirror the map f and use $g(v) = M + 1 - f(v)$.)

Corollary 3.20. *WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION WITH $f(1) = 1$ and WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION WITH $f(1) = M$ are $W[t]$ -hard for all positive integers t .*

For the hardness proof of DIRECTED BANDWIDTH, we need a small variation of the proof.

Corollary 3.21. *WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION WITH $f(1) = 1$ AND $f(N) = 1$ and WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION WITH $f(1) = M$ AND $f(N) = M$ are $W[t]$ -hard for all positive integers t .*

Proof. We can use the same proof as for Theorem 3.19, with the following modification: we subtract one from the weight of the first floor vertex, and make the filler path one longer. Now, if there is a uniform emulation, there is one where the first floor vertex and the last vertex of the filler path are mapped to 1; the second result is again obtained by mirroring the map. \square

A direct consequence of our proof is that WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION is NP-complete, even when all weights are given in unary.

Corollary 3.22. *WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION is strongly NP-complete.*

Proof. We use a transformation from 3-SATISFIABILITY. Suppose we have a formula F with n variables. Add n additional variables that do not appear in F , and ask if we can satisfy F by setting exactly n out of the $2n$ variables to true. Now, use the transformation above.

Observe that, as $t' = 1$, we have that N , M , and all vertex weights are polynomially bounded in n . As membership in NP is trivial, the result now follows again from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.13. \square

4 Bandwidth of caterpillars

In this section, we show the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. BANDWIDTH is $W[t]$ -hard for all positive integers t , when restricted to caterpillars with hair length at most three.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we transform from the WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION WITH $f(1) = M$ problem.

Suppose we are given paths P_N and P_M , with a weight function $w : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, c\}$, and suppose $c = \sum_{i=1}^N w(i)/M$ is the emulation factor. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^N w(i) = cM$. Recall that we parameterized this problem by the value c .

Assume that $c > 6$; otherwise, obtain an equivalent instance by multiplying all weights by 7.

Let $b = 12c + 6$. Let $k = 9bc + b$. Note that k is even. We give a caterpillar $G = (V, E)$ with hair length at most three, with the property that P_N has a uniform emulation on P_M , if and only if G has bandwidth at most k .

G is constructed in the following way:

- We have a *left barrier*: a vertex p_0 which has $2k - 1$ hairs of length one, and is neighbor to p_1 .
- We have a path with $5M - 3$ vertices, p_1, \dots, p_{5M-3} . As written above, p_1 is adjacent to p_0 . Each vertex of the form $5i - 2$ or $5i$ ($1 \leq i \leq M - 1$) receives $2k - 2b$ hairs of length one. See Figure 6. We call this part the *floor*.
- Adjacent to vertex p_{5M-3} , we add the *turning point* from the proof of Monien [16]. We have vertices $v_a = p_{5M-3}$, v_b , v_c , v_d , v_e , v_f , v_g , which are successive vertices on a path. I.e., we identify one vertex of the turning point (v_a) with the last vertex of the floor p_{5M-3} . To v_c , we add $\frac{3}{2}(k - 2)$ hairs of length one; to v_d , we add k hairs of length three, and to v_f we add $\frac{3}{2}(k - 2)$ hairs of length one. Note that this construction is identical to the one by Monien [16]; vertex names are chosen to facilitate comparison with Monien's proof. See Figure 7.
- Add a path with $6N - 5$ vertices, say y_1, \dots, y_{6N-5} , with y_1 adjacent to v_g . To each vertex of the form y_{6i-5} , add $9b \cdot w(i)$ hairs of length one. We call this part the *weighted path gadget*.
- Note that the number of vertices that we defined so far and that is not part of the turning point equals $2k + 5M - 3 + 2(M - 1)(2k - 2b) + 6N - 5 + 9b \sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 5M + 4Mk - 2k - 4Mb + 4b + 9bcM$. Let this number be α . One easily sees that $\alpha \leq (5M - 2)k - 1$. Add a path with $(5M - 2)k - 1 - \alpha$ vertices and make it adjacent to y_{6N} . We call this the *filler* path.

Let G be the remaining graph. Clearly, G is a caterpillar with hair length at most three. It is interesting to note that the hair lengths larger than one are only used for the turning point.




Figure 6: First part of the caterpillar




Figure 7: The Turning Point, after Monien [16]

Some intuition The main ideas behind the proof are the following. The construction is such that p_0 with hairs is at one side of the layout, and the turning point is at the other side of the layout. The total number of vertices enforces that successive vertices p_i, p_{i+1} always have distance exactly k . The hairs of vertices p_3, p_5, p_8 , etc. fill ‘most of’ the spots in the neighboring intervals; this gives ‘gaps’ between p_1 and p_2 , between p_6 and p_7 , etc. (See Figure 6.) Vertices of the form y_{6i-5} with (most of) their hairs only fit in these gaps; if y_{6i-5} is placed in the gap between p_{5j-4} and p_{5j-3} , then we set $f(i) = j$. Because y_{6i-5} and y_{6i+1} have distance six in G , they must be placed in the same or neighboring gaps, and thus $|f(i) - f(i+1)| \leq 1$, i.e., f is an emulation. The number of hairs of vertices y_{6i-5} and sizes of gaps is such that the total weight of vertices in one gap is bounded by c , and thus it follows that f is uniform. See also Figure 8.




Figure 8: Illustration of part of the construction. Shown are P_4 with successive vertex weights 2, 1, 2, 1; a uniform emulation on P_3 with emulation factor 2; a layout of a part of G .

We now give the formal correctness proof of our construction.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose P_N has a uniform emulation g on P_M with emulation factor c with $f(1) = M$. Then the bandwidth of G is at most k .*

Proof. Suppose we are given a uniform emulation $f : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}$ of P_N on P_M (for weight function w). We now can construct a layout g of G with bandwidth at most k as follows.

For $1 \leq i \leq 5M - 3$, set $g(p_i) = (i + 1)k + 1$. Each of the hairs of p_0 is mapped by g to a different value in $\{1, \dots, 2k\} \setminus \{k + 1\}$. (We later define how to map the hairs of other vertices of the floor.)

For the turning point, we set $g(v_g) = g(v_a) - 1 = (5M - 2)k$. The other vertices of the turning point receive values larger than $(5M - 2)k$; the remaining layout for the turning point is identical to the one described by Monien [16].

Each position of the form $(i + 1)k + 1 + j$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5M - 3$ with $j \in \{-1, +1\}$ is said to be *reserved*. Note these are the positions before and after the image of the vertices p_i . These positions are reserved for the filler path.

We call the positions between $g(p_{5i-4})$ and $g(p_{5i-3})$ the i th *gap*, for $1 \leq i \leq M$. Gaps 1 till $M - 1$ have at this point $k - 1$ unused integers of which two are reversed; in gap M we have $k - 1$ unused integers (v_g is mapped in the gap) of which one is reserved. (The gaps are used to layout ‘most of’ the vertices of the weighted path gadget.)

We now show how to map the weighted path gadget. We start with fixing the images of vertices of the form y_{6i-5} . For each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, set $g(y_{6i-5})$ to be the first integer in the $f(i)$ th gap that is so far not used and is not reserved. As there are at most c vertices of P_N mapped by f to a specific vertex of P_M , we have that $g(y_{6i-5})$ is in the interval $[f(p_{5(i-1)}) + 3, f(p_{5(i-1)}) + c + 2]$, thus $|g(y_{6i-5}) - g(y_{6i+1})| \leq 5k + c$; i.e., they can be in the same, or neighboring gaps.

As $f(1) = M$, we have that y_1 is placed in the M th gap, as is, by construction its neighbor v_g ; thus $|g(y_1) - g(v_g)| \leq k$.

The next step is to map the vertices of the form y_j with j not of the form $6i - 5$. We must do this in such a way, that neighboring vertices are mapped to integers that differ at most k , taking into account the mapping vertices of the form y_{6i-5} as defined above.

So, we consider, for $i \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$, the vertices on the path from y_{6i-5} to y_{6i+1} are mapped as follows. If y_{6i-5} and y_{6i+1} are mapped to the same gap, then map the five vertices on this path also to this gap, to so far unused and unreserved values. Otherwise, define a ‘largest possible step to the right’ from an integer α as the largest integer that is at most $\alpha + k$ and is not used or reserved. If $g(y_{6i-5}) < g(y_{6i+1})$, then put $g(y_{6i-4})$ at the largest possible step to the right from $g(y_{6i-5})$, and then $g(y_{6i-3})$ at the largest possible step to the right from $g(y_{6i-4})$, and continue doing so, till we placed $g(y_{6i})$. If $g(y_{6i+1}) < g(y_{6i-5})$, a similar construction is followed.

Claim 4.3. *For each j , $1 \leq j \leq 5M - 4$, we map at most $11c$ vertices of the form y_i to integers in the interval $[g(p_j), g(p_{j+1})]$.*

Proof. If the interval is a gap, then for each such y_i , there is a vertex of the form $y_{6i'-5}$ at distance at most five from y_i mapped to this gap. As we have at most c vertices of the form $y_{6i'-5}$ in the gap, we have at most $11c$ vertices of the form y_i mapped to this gap.

If the interval is not a gap, then for each such y_i , there is a vertex of the form y_{6i-5} at distance at most five from y_i mapped to the last gap before the interval. Thus, we have at most $10c$ vertices of the form y_i mapped to this gap. (A more precise counting argument can give a smaller bound.) \square

Claim 4.4. *For all i , $1 \leq i < N$, $|g(y_{6i}) - g(y_{6i+1})| \leq k$.*

Proof. The result clearly holds when y_{6i-5} and y_{6i+1} are placed in the same gap. If they are placed in different gaps, then we consider the case that $g(y_{6i-5}) < g(y_{6i+1})$; the other case is similar.

Note that each largest possible step to the right makes a jump that is at least $k - 22c - 5$: from any k consecutive integers, we have used at most $22c$ for vertices of the form y_i (by Claim 4.3, with vertices possible in two consecutive intervals), reserved four integers, and used one for a vertex of the form p_i .

Recall that $|g(y_{6i-5}) - g(y_{6i+1})| \leq 5k + c$. Five largest jumps to the right bridge at least $5(k - 22c - 5)$, hence $g(6i + 1) - g(6i) \leq 23c + 25 < k$. \square

The next step is to map an initial part of the filler part, to ‘move it’ to the last number in the $M - 1$ st gap. Suppose $f(v_N) = i$. Now, map the first vertex of the filler path to $f(p_{5i-3}) - 1$, i.e., the last number in the i th gap (which was reserved), and then, while we did not yet place a vertex on $f(p(5(M-1)-3)) - 1$ (the last number in the $M - 1$ st gap), map k larger than its predecessor on the path, i.e., the second vertex goes to $g(p_{5i-3}) + k - 1$, the third to $g(p_{5i-3}) + 2k - 1$, etc. In each case, we place the vertex on the last number in the gap; these were reserved so not used by other vertices.

At this point, each open interval $(g(p_i), g(p_{i+1}))$ has at most $11c + 1$ used positions, and at at most four reserved positions. Thus, at least $k - 1 - (11c + 5) \geq k - b = 9bc$ positions are unused and not reserved in this interval.

For each vertex of the form p_{5i-2} or p_{5i} ($1 \leq i \leq M - 1$), say $p_{i'}$ we map $k - b$ of its hairs to the interval before it: i.e., to integers in $(g(p_{i'-1}), g(p_{i'}))$ that are unused and not reserved, and $k - b$ hairs to the interval after it, i.e., to integers in $(g(p_{i'}), g(p_{i'+1}))$ that are unused and not reserved.

Claim 4.5. *For each j , $1 \leq j \leq M$, the total number of hairs adjacent to vertices of the form y_{6i-5} , $1 \leq i \leq N$ with y_{6i-5} mapped to the j th gap equals $9bc$.*

Proof. As f is uniform, we have that $\sum_{i: f(i)=j} w_i = c$. A vertex y_{6i-5} is placed in the j th gap, if and only if it contributes to this sum. As the number of hairs of y_{6i-5} equals $9b \cdot w(i)$, the result follows. \square

For each vertex of the form y_{6i-5} , $1 \leq i \leq N$, we map all its hairs to the gap to which it belongs. I.e., if $f(i) = j$, then all hairs of y_{6i-5} are mapped to unused and not reserved integers in $(g(p_{5j-4}), g(p_{5j-3}))$. From the analysis above, we have sufficiently many such integers to map all hairs.

Finally, we lay out the filler path to fill up all remaining unused values: go from $f(p(5(M-1)-4)) - 1$ to the last unused number of the M th gap (which is smaller than $f(p(5(M-1)-4)) + k - 1$, and then, while there are unused

numbers left, place each next vertex of the filler gap on the largest so far unused number. Thus, from this point on, the filler gap goes from right to left, skipping only used numbers. The reserved numbers of the form $(i + 1)k + 1$ guarantee that by doing so, we never skip more than $k - 1$ numbers, thus preserving the bandwidth condition.

This finishes the construction of the linear ordering g . From the analysis above, it follows that g has bandwidth k . \square

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose the bandwidth of G is at most k . Then P_N has a uniform emulation on P_M with emulation factor c with $f(1) = M$.*

Proof. Suppose we have a linear ordering g of G of bandwidth at most k .

First we note that all vertices outside the left barrier and the turning point have to be mapped between the left barrier and the turning point. For the left barrier, this is easy to see: p_0 has $2k$ neighbors, which will occupy all $2k$ positions with distance at most k to $g(p_0)$, and thus all other vertices must be at the same side of p_0 as p_1 .

Now, we look at the turning point, and use a result by Monien [16, Lemma 1].

Lemma 4.7 (Monien [16]). *Let g be a linear ordering of a graph G containing the turning point as subgraph. $|g(v_a) - g(v_g)| \leq 1$, and one of the following two cases holds.*

1. *All vertices in the turning point except v_a and v_g have an image under g that is larger than $\max\{g(v_a), g(v_g)\}$; all vertices in G that do not belong to the turning point have an image that is smaller than $\min\{g(v_a), g(v_g)\}$.*
2. *All vertices in the turning point except v_a and v_g have an image under g that is smaller than $\min\{g(v_a), g(v_g)\}$; all vertices in G that do not belong to the turning point have an image that is larger than $\max\{g(v_a), g(v_g)\}$.*

I.e., we have that v_a and v_g are next to each other; all other vertices of the turning point are at one side of this pair, and all vertices not in the turning point are at the other side. Without loss of generality, suppose $g(p_0) < g(v_a)$.

Thus, from left to right we have: k hairs of p_0 ; p_0 ; $k - 1$ hairs of p_0 ; p_1 ; all vertices except p_1 , vertices in the left barrier, and vertices in the turning point; $v_a = p_{5M-3}$ and v_g (in this order, or reversed); all other vertices of the turning point.

Claim 4.8. $g(p_0) = k + 1$, and $\{g(v_a), g(v_g)\} = \{(5M - 2)k, (5M - 2)k + 1\}$.

Proof. p_0 is placed after k hairs, so must have image $k + 1$. The total number of vertices not in the turning point equals $(5M - 2)k - 1$, see the construction of the filler path. The pair $\{g(v_a), g(v_g)\}$ comes after all vertices not in the turning point, and before all other vertices from the turning point, so must go to $(5M - 2)k$ and $(5M - 2)k + 1$. \square

Claim 4.9. *For all i , $0 \leq i < 5M - 3$, either $g(p_{i+1}) = g(p_i) + k$ or $g(p_{i+1}) = g(p_i) + k - 1$.*

Proof. The path from p_0 to $v_a = p_{5M-3}$ has $M - 3$ edges. For each pair p_i, p_{i+1} , the difference of the images is at most k (by the bandwidth condition), but the total of the differences over all pairs on the path must be at least $(M - 3) \cdot k - 1$. \square

Now, call the positions between $g(p_{5i-5})$ AND $g(p_{5i-2})$ the i th *enlarged gap*, for $1 \leq i \leq M - 1$; and between $g(5M - 5)$ and $g(p_{5M-3})$ the M th enlarged gap.

Claim 4.10. *Each vertex of the form y_{6j-5} is mapped to an enlarged gap.*

Proof. Suppose not. As $g(y_{6j-5}) > g(p_1)$ and $g(y_{6j-5}) < g_{5M-3}$, by construction of the left barrier and turning point, we have that there is an i with $g(y_{6j-5}) \in [g(p_{5i-2}), g(p_{5i})]$. Now, $[g(p_{5i-3}), g(p_{5i+1})]$ contains $4k - 4b$ hairs attached to vertices p_{5i-2} and p_{5i} and $9b \cdot w(j) \geq 9b$ hairs attached to y_{6j-5} . The interval has size at most $4k$, but has $4k + b$ hairs mapped to it; contradiction. \square

Now, let $f : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}$, such that $f(j) = i$, if y_{6j-5} is mapped to the i th enlarged gap. By Claim 4.10, f is well defined.

Claim 4.11. *f is an emulation.*

Proof. Note that there is a path with six edges from a vertex y_{6j-5} to $y_{6(j+1)-5}$. As the distance between vertices p_i in the linear ordering is either k or $k - 1$, each edge in this path can jump over at most one vertex of the form p_α . To go from the i th enlarged gap to the $i + 2$ nd enlarged gap, we must jump at least seven vertices of the form p_α , so y_{6j-5} and $y_{6(j+1)-5}$ are mapped to the same or neighboring enlarged gaps, thus $|f(j) - f(j + 1)| \leq 1$. \square

Claim 4.12. *f is uniform.*

Proof. We show that for each i , $\sum_{j:f(j)=i} w(j) \leq c$. As f is uniform, we have that for each i : $\sum_{j:f(j)=i} w(j) = c$.

We only give the proof for $1 < i < M$; the cases $i = 1$ and $i = M$ are similar (using that no vertices can be mapped to values used by the left blockade and turning point) and omitted.

Consider an i , $1 < i < M$. For each j with $f(i) = j$, all $9b \cdot w(j)$ hairs of y_{6j-5} are mapped to the interval $[g(p_{5i-6}), g(p_{5i-1})]$. This interval has size at most $5k$, contains $4k - 4b$ hairs of p_α -vertices, thus can contain at most $8k + 4b$ hairs of vertices y_β . All hairs of vertices y_{6j-5} with $f(j) = i$ must be mapped to this interval. As the number of these hairs equals $9b$ times the sum of the weights of these vertices, we have $\sum_{j:f(j)=i} w(j) \leq c$. \square

Finally, we observe that $f(1) = M$: y_1 is incident to v_g , and thus y_1 must be placed in the M th enlarged gap.

Now, with this last observation, Claims 4.10, 4.11 and 4.12 together show Lemma 4.6. \square

As the construction of the caterpillar G can be done in polynomial time, given M , N and w , the main result of this section now follows.

Theorem 4.13. *BANDWIDTH for caterpillars with hair length at most three is $W[t]$ -hard for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$.*

5 Directed Bandwidth

A minor variation of the proof of Theorem 4.1 gives the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *DIRECTED BANDWIDTH is hard for $W[t]$ for all positive integers t , when restricted to directed acyclic graphs whose underlying undirected graph is a caterpillar with hair length at most one.*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Instead of repeating many details, we list the differences.

The first difference is that we reduce from WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION WITH $f(1) = M$ AND $f(N) = M$.

Constants Instead of setting $b = 12c + 6$, we take $b = 24c + 6$. We still set $k = 9bc + b$.

The right turning point A simpler construction can be used to the right turning point. We add k ‘right turning point’ vertices, each with indegree 1 and outdegree 0, with an arc from p_{5M-3} to each of these.

Note that each of these vertices must be mapped to the right of p_{5M-3} . The k positions right of the image of p_{5M-3} are thus used by these right turning point vertices, and thus all other vertices must be mapped to positions left of p_{5M-3} .

Directing edges k of the hairs of p_0 are directed to p_0 , and the other $k - 1$ hairs of p_0 are directed out of p_0 . The other hairs of floor vertices are directed as follows: each vertex of the form p_{5i-2} or p_{5i} has $k - b$ hairs directed to it, and $k - b$ hairs directed out of it.

All edges $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\}$ are changed to an arc (p_i, p_{i+1}) .

All hairs in the weighted path gadget are directed from vertex on the spine (of the form y_{6i-1}) to the hair vertex, i.e., the spine vertex is tail of the arc.

We start the filler path with an edge from the first to the second vertex. All other vertices on the filler path are directed in the reverse direction, i.e., for $i \geq 2$, the edge between the i th and $i + 1$ st vertex from the filler path is directed from the $i + 1$ st vertex to the i th vertex.

Paths between vertices in the weighted path gadget Each edge $\{y_i, y_{i+1}\}$ in the weighted path gadget is replaced by two arcs to a new vertex z_i : we take arcs (y_i, z_i) and (y_{i+1}, z_i) . Figure 9 illustrates the change.




Figure 9: Change of construction for the weighted path gadget

Small modifications to the construction and proof are needed.

First, when we have a uniform emulation on P_M with emulation factor c and $f(1) = f(N) = M$, then we can use a construction similar to Lemma 4.2, with the following differences.

In addition to a ‘largest possible step’ to the right (left), we also define a ‘smallest possible step to the right’ (left) from an integer α . which is the smallest (largest) unused and unreserved integer larger (smaller) than α . To layout a path between two vertices y_{6i-5} and y_{6i+1} , with $g(y_{6i+1})$ in the gap after $g(y_{6i-5})$. we alternate a largest possible step to the right with a smallest possible step to the left. There is one exception: each vertex of the form y_{6i+1} is always placed in the leftmost unused and unreserved integer in the gap where it has to be mapped to. In the other cases, similar constructions are followed. A counterpart of Claim 4.3 can be shown, where the value $11c$ is replaced by $22c$. With help of the larger value of b , we again have that Claim 4.4 holds in this construction.

As we assume that $f(N) = M$, we have that y_{6N-5} is mapped to the M th gap. We thus can start the layout of the filler path by placing the first vertex of the filler path to the last reserved integer. Then, the remainder of the filler path is mapped by always going to the highest not yet used integer.

The remainder is very similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2 and omitted here.

Second, when the bandwidth is G , a proof similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6 shows that there is a uniform emulation on P_M with emulation factor c and $f(1) = f(N) = M$. (E.g., see Figure 9; $g(y_{6i+5}) - g(y_{6i-1}) \leq 6k$, as there are six arcs where we make a step of at most k size to the right; the other arcs step to the left.) Again, we omit most details here.

Finally, notice that the current construction gives a directed acyclic graph whose underlying undirected graph is a caterpillar with hair length at most one.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we showed that BANDWIDTH is hard for the complexity class $W[t]$ for all positive integers $t \in N$, even when the input graph is a caterpillar with hairs of length at most three. The proof uses some techniques and gadgets from the NP-completeness proof of BANDWIDTH for this class of graphs by Monien [16]. Monien also shows NP-completeness of BANDWIDTH for caterpillars of maximum degree three (with arbitrary hair length). This raises the question whether BANDWIDTH for caterpillars with maximum degree three is $W[t]$ -hard for all t . We conjecture that this is the case; perhaps with a modification of our proof such a result can be achieved?

An intermediate result of independent interest is the $W[t]$ -hardness of WEIGHTED PATH EMULATION. We used this result as a stepping stone for our main result, but expect that the result may also be useful for proving hardness for other problems as well.

It is unlikely that BANDWIDTH belongs to $W[P]$. In [12], Fellows and Rosamond describe an argument, due to Hallett, that gives the intuition behind the conjecture that BANDWIDTH does not belong to $W[P]$. From the works of Bodlaender et al. [3] and Drucker [11], it follows that problems that are AND-compositional do not have a polynomial kernel unless $NP \subseteq coNP/poly$. The intuition behind this methodology is that such a polynomial kernel for an AND-compositional problem would give an unlikely strong compression of information. While BANDWIDTH is not in FPT, assuming $W[t] \not\subseteq FPT$, for some t , and thus has no kernel (of any size), it is AND-compositional. If BANDWIDTH would belong to $W[P]$, it would have a certificate of $O(k \log n)$ bits (namely, the indices of the variables that are set to true), and it is unlikely that an AND-compositional problem has such a small certificate. We thus can formulate the following conjecture, due to Hallett.

Conjecture 6.1 (Hallett, see also [12]). BANDWIDTH *does not belong to* $W[P]$, *unless* $NP \subseteq coNP/poly$.

Finally, we conjecture that with modifications of the techniques from this paper, it is possible to show for more problems hardness for the $W[t]$ -classes.

Acknowledgements

I thank Michael Fellows and Michael Hallett for discussions and earlier joint work on the parameterized complexity of BANDWIDTH, as reported in [4]. Some techniques in this paper are based upon techniques, underlying the results reported in [4]. I thank Marieke van der Wegen for discussions.

References

- [1] S. F. Assmann, G. W. Peck, M. M. Sysło, and J. Zak. The bandwidth of caterpillars with hairs of length 1 and 2. *SIAM Journal on Algebraic and Discrete Methods*, 2:387–392, 1981.
- [2] H. L. Bodlaender. The complexity of finding uniform emulations on paths and ring networks. *Information and Computation*, 86(1):87–106, 1990.
- [3] H. L. Bodlaender, R. G. Downey, M. R. Fellows, and D. Hermelin. On problems without polynomial kernels. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 75(8):423–434, 2009.
- [4] H. L. Bodlaender, M. R. Fellows, and M. Hallett. Beyond NP -completeness for problems of bounded width: Hardness for the W hierarchy. In *Proceed-*

- ings of the 26th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC'94, pages 449–458, New York, 1994. ACM Press.
- [5] H. L. Bodlaender and M. van der Wegen. Parameterized complexity of scheduling chains of jobs with delays. *arXiv*, abs/2007.09023, 2020. Extended abstract to appear in Proceedings IPEC 2020.
 - [6] H. L. Bodlaender and J. van Leeuwen. Simulation of large networks on smaller networks. *Information and Control*, 71(3):143–180, 1986.
 - [7] R. G. Downey and M. R. Fellows. Fixed-parameter tractability and completeness I: Basic results. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 24:873–921, 1995.
 - [8] R. G. Downey and M. R. Fellows. *Parameterized Complexity*. Monographs in Computer Science. Springer, 1999.
 - [9] R. G. Downey and M. R. Fellows. *Fundamentals of Parameterized Complexity*. Texts in Computer Science. Springer, 2013.
 - [10] M. S. Dregi and D. Lokshtanov. Parameterized complexity of bandwidth on trees. In J. Esparza, P. Fraigniaud, T. Husfeldt, and E. Koutsoupias, editors, *41st International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, ICALP 2014*, volume 8572 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 405–416. Springer, 2014.
 - [11] A. Drucker. New limits to classical and quantum instance compression. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 44(5):1443–1479, 2015.
 - [12] M. R. Fellows and F. A. Rosamond. Collaborating with Hans: Some remaining wonderments. In F. V. Fomin, S. Kratsch, and E. J. van Leeuwen, editors, *Treewidth, Kernels, and Algorithms - Essays Dedicated to Hans L. Bodlaender on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday*, volume 12160 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 7–17. Springer, 2020.
 - [13] J. P. Fishburn and R. A. Finkel. Quotient networks. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-31:288–295, 1982.
 - [14] J. Flum and M. Grohe. *Parameterized Complexity Theory*. Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. Springer, 2006.
 - [15] E. M. Gurari and I. H. Sudborough. Improved dynamic programming algorithms for bandwidth minimization and the MinCut linear arrangement problem. *J. of Algorithms*, 5:531–546, 1984.
 - [16] B. Monien. The bandwidth minimization problem for caterpillars with hair length 3 is NP-complete. *SIAM Journal on Algebraic and Discrete Methods*, 7:505–512, 1986.
 - [17] C. H. Papadimitriou. The NP-completeness of the bandwidth minimization problem. *Computing*, 16:263–270, 1976.

- [18] J. B. Saxe. Dynamic programming algorithms for recognizing small-bandwidth graphs in polynomial time. *SIAM J. Algebraic and Discrete Methods*, 1:363–369, 1980.