arXiv:2012.01500v1 [math.RA] 2 Dec 2020

A NOTE IN ALGEBRAS WITH LAURENT POLYNOMIAL IDENTITY

CLAUDENIR FREIRE RODRIGUES

Abstract. In this article we extend some results about algebra A with the group of units $U(A)$ having special polynomial identity, Laurent polynomial. And we presents a new version of B. Hartley Conjecture with these identities.

1. Introduction

A Laurent polynomial $P = P(x_1, ..., x_l)$ in the noncommutative variables x_i, $i = 1, \ldots, l$ is an element non-zero in the group algebra RF_l over a ring R with free group $F_l =< x_1, ..., x_l >$. P in RF_l is said a Laurent Polynomial Identity (LPI) for a R algebra A (respc. for $U(A)$ the group of units in A) if $P(c_1, ..., c_l) = 0$ for all sequence $c_1, ..., c_l$ in A (respc. in U(A)).
A word w in a free group is a group identity (GI) for a group G if $w(c_1, ..., c_l) = 1$ ($w = 1$ for short) for all $c_1, ..., c_l$ in G and $\sum exp_x\, w$ denotes the sum of exponents of w in the variable x . And we say that a nil ideal I has a bounded exponent if there exists a integer m such that for all a in I, $a^i = 0$ for some $i \leq m$.

The following conjecture was introduced by B. Hartley [10],

Conjecture: Let G be a torsion group and K a field. If the group of units $U(KG)$ of KG satisfies a group identity $w = 1$, then KG satisfies a polynomial identity.

In [2] A. Giambruno, E.Sehgal e A.Valenti settled the conjecture when K is an infinite field, while in [5], D.S. Passman gave a necessary and sufficient condition for it to be true and in [8] C-H. Liu removed the condition that K is infinite. It is natural to think about extending the conjecture of B. Hartley by considering Laurent identities instead of group identities because $w = 1$ is a particular case of LPI $P = 1 - w$. In this sense in [6] M. A. Dokuchaev and J. Z. Gonçalves investigate when the group of units $U(A)$ of an algebraic algebra A over an infinite field K, satisfies a Laurent polynomial, showing that if this holds, then A has a polynomial identity. And in [3] O. Broche, J. Z. Gonçalves, and Á. Del Río gave a characterization of group algebras with LPI for the group of units, satisfying a polynomial identity. Prior to these results in [1] A. Giambruno, E.Jespers and

Key words and phrases. Laurent polynomial identity; unit group; group identity; nil ideal; B. Hartley Conjecture.

A.Valenti show that the B. Hartley´s Conjecture is true for a group algebra RG over an infinite commutative domain R when $char\, R = 0$ and when G does not contain elements of order p where $char\, R = p$ (G is a p'- group for short). For this and other purposes, the latter authors proved the following crucial result.

Proposition 1.1. *Let A be an algebra over an infinite commutative domain R and suppose that $U(A)$ satisfies a group identity $w = 1$. There exists a positive m such that if a, b, c in A and $a^2 = bc = 0$, then $bacA$ is a nil right ideal of bounded exponent less or equal than m.*

This note is structured in the following way. In Section 2 a generalization (Theorem 2.1) of Proposition 1.1 is made considering the case $P = a_1 + a_2w_2 + \cdots + a_nw_n$ is a LPI for $U(A)$ (the $w_i's$, $i \geq 2$ are the words non-constant in P) with sum of exponents non-zero in at least one of the variables in every word non-constant and without restrictions about the ring R. Notice that the restriction about the exponents is necessary because according to Remark 3.11 without this assumption the result not hold. As a consequence we prove the result of Proposition 1.1 (Corollary 2.2) with a LPI for $U(A)$ and we extend [8, Lemma 3.2] (Corollary 2.3).

In Section 3 we extend [2, Corollary 2.2], Corollary 3.3, which will be used to obtain a LPI version for the conjecture of B. Hartley in the terms of the first part of [1, Theorem 6] from which we remove the condition of infinity in the second part (Theorem 3.10). In this article, we always adopt LPI with the foregoing restriction about the exponents. Also, replacing x_i with $x^{-i}yx^i$ we may assume LPI is in two variables.

2. Main Results

In this section we will demonstrate our main results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be an algebra whose group of units $U(A)$ admits a LPI P over a ring R whith unit and non-constants words w_i in P has the sum of exponents non-zero in at least one of the variables. Then there exists a polynomial $f \in R[X]$ with degree d determined by $l = min\{\sum exp\, w_i\}$ and $r = max\{\sum exp\, w_i\}$ such that for all a, b, c, u in A with $a^2 = bc = 0$, $f(bacu) = 0$. Thus, $bacA$ has a polynomial identity.*

Proof. Let $P = a_1 + a_2w_2 + \cdots + a_tw_t$ the LPI with w_i in the form

$$w_i = x_1^{r_1}x_2^{s_2}\cdots x_1^{r_k}x_2^{s_k}$$

with $k \geq 1, r_i$, s_i integers. We assume that the words are arranged in P so that $\sum exp\, w_i \leq \sum exp\, w_{i+1}$.

If $\sum exp\, w_i = \sum exp_{x_1}\, w_i + \sum exp_{x_2}\, w_i = 0$ then we substitute $x_1 = x_1^k$ or $x_2 = x_2^k$ with $k > 1$ big enough. We get a new LPI in the form $P = a_1 + a_2w'_2 + \cdots + a_rw'_r$, where

$$\sum exp\, w'_i = \sum exp_{x_1}\, w'_i + \sum exp_{x_2}\, w'_i = k\sum exp_{x_1}\, w_i + \sum exp_{x_2}\, w_i$$

or

$$\sum exp\, w'_i = \sum exp_{x_1}\, w_i + k \sum exp_{x_2}\, w_i.$$

In any case that is not zero. So we suppose $\sum exp\, w_i$ not zero for all $i = 2, ..., t$.

From this

$$P(\alpha, \alpha) \;=\; a_1 + a_2\alpha^l + \cdots + a_i\alpha^{\sum exp\, w_i} + \cdots + a_t\alpha^r = 0$$

with all powers of α not zero for all $\alpha \in U(A)$ and l and r are respectively the minimum and maximum of the sum of the exponents of the $w'_i s$.

After organizing the common powers we will have a polynomial expression of the form

$$f_0(\alpha) = a_1 + b_l\alpha^l + \cdots + b_r\alpha^r = 0$$

where $b'_j s$ are parcials sums of $a'_i s$, $i = 2, \ldots, t$.

In general, $P(1,1) \;=\; a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_t = 0$, so $a_2 + \cdots + a_t \neq 0$, because $a_1 \neq 0$. So we can not have all $b'_i s = 0$ because in this case $a_2 + \cdots + a_r = b_l + \cdots + b_r = 0$. Follows that f_0 is a non zero polynomial over R with integers exponents not all necessarily positives.

If $l < 0$ we have that $\alpha^{-l} f_0(\alpha) = f_1(\alpha) = 0$, where $f_1 \neq 0$ is a polynomial identity for $U(A)$ over R of degree at most $r - l$. We can then assume $l > 0$ and f_0 is a polynomial identity (of degree at most r) for $U(A)$. Now, we are going to use this polynomial with special units in $U(A)$.

First let $b = c$ be, so $a^2 = b^2 = 0$. Then we have $(1 + aua)$, $(1 + bauab) \in U(A)$, for all $u \in A$..

Thus $\alpha = (1 + aua).(1 + bauab) \in U(A)$ and for every power in f_0,

$$\alpha^h = 1 + aua + bauab + \sum \text{products in}\, aua \,\text{and}\, bauab + (auabauab)^h$$

for all $h = l, \ldots, r$.

As $a^2 = b^2 = 0$ and $ab(auabauab)^h au = (abau)^{2h+1}$,

$$abb_i\alpha^h au = b_i abau + g_h(abau) + b_i(abau)^{2h+1}$$

where g_h is a polynomial over R with degree $< 2h + 1$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} abf_0(\alpha)au &= aba_1au + abb_l\alpha^l au + \cdots + abb_r\alpha^r au \\ &= (a_1 + b_l + \cdots + b_r)abau + \sum g_h(abau) + \sum b_h(abau)^{2h+1} \\ &= (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_t)abau + \sum g_h(abau) + \sum b_h(abau)^{2h+1} \qquad (1) \\ &= \sum g_h(abau) + \sum b_h(abau)^{2h+1} \\ &= f_2(abau) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $h = l, \ldots, r$ and f_2 is a non zero polynomial over R of degree $\leq 2r + 1$. This finish the $b = c$ case.

In general, if a, α, $\beta \in A$ and $a^2 = \alpha\beta = 0$, then we have $(\beta u\alpha)^2 = 0$, for all $u \in A$. As we saw f_2 is a polynomial identity for $(\beta u\alpha)a(\beta u\alpha)A$. Then, $\alpha a f_1((\beta u\alpha)a(\beta u\alpha)a)\beta u = f(\alpha a\beta u) = 0$ where f (with zero constant term) is a non zero polynomial over R with degree $\leq 2(2r+1)+1 = 4r+3$ and thus an identity for $\alpha a\beta A$. □

As a consequence we prove the following.

Corollary 2.2. *Let A be an algebra over an infinite commutative domain R whose group of units $U(A)$ has a LPI. Then, for all a, b, $c \in A$ with $a^2 = bc = 0$, $bacA$ is a nil right ideal with bounded exponent.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, there exists $f \in R[X] \setminus \{0\}$ with degree d, such that $f(bac\lambda u) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in R$, $u \in A$. That is, $\lambda p_1 + \lambda^2 p_2 + \cdots + \lambda^d p_d = 0$ where p_i is polynomial on $bacu$ with $p_d = b_d(bacu)^d$. Now, by the Vandermond argument [9, Proposition 2.3.27 p.130], $p_1 = \cdots = p_d = 0$, so $(bacu)^d = 0$. □

In the last proof we see that the application of the Vandermonde argument applies with $|R| > d$. We fix notation with $f(x)$ and d as in Theorem 2.1.

As another small application, we extend Lemma 3.2 in [8] in the context of LPI.

Corollary 2.3. *Let A be an algebra over a field K with $U(A)$ satisfying a LPI, and a, $b \in A$ such that $a^2 = b^2 = 0$.*

1. *If $|\ K\ | > 2d$, then $(ab)^{2d} = 0$.*
2. *If ab is nilpotent, then $(ab)^{2d} = 0$.*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 2.2, with $b = c$, we deduce that $(abau)^d = 0$ for all $u \in A$ and with $u = b$, $(ab)^{2d} = 0$. Now suppose ab nilpotent, by Theorem 2.1 with $(b = c)$ there exists a polynomial f over K of degree d such that $f(abau) = 0$ for all $u \in A$. Thus $f(aba.b) = f_1(ab) = 0$ where f_1 is a polynomial of degree $2d$. The minimal polynomial of ab is $g = x^i$, $i > 0$, because ab is nilpotent. As g divides f_1 implies $i \leq 2d$ and since $g(ab) = (ab)^i = 0$, $(ab)^{2d} = 0$. □

3. A PLI version for the B. Hartley conjecture

First we shall need the following two results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be an algebra over a commutative domain R. Suppose that for all a, b, $c \in A$ such that $a^2 = bc = 0$ we have $bac = 0$. Then every idempotent of $R^{-1}A$ is central.*

Proof. See [1, Lemma 2.]

□

Lemma 3.2. [2] *Let A be a semiprime ring and let $S = \{a \in A : for\,all\, b, c \in A, bc = 0\, implies\, bac = 0\}$. If S contains all square-zero elements of A, then S contains all nilpotent elements of A.*

Proof. See [2, Lemma 2.1.]

□

Let R be a commutative domain and A a R-algebra. $R^{-1}A$ is the ring of fractions of A with respect to the non-zero elements of R.

In the following a LPI version of Corollary 2.2 of [2].

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a semiprime algebra over an infinite commutative domain R. If $U(A)$ satisfies a LPI, then every idempotent element of $R^{-1}A$ is central and for all b, $c \in A$ such that $bc = 0$ we have $bac = 0$ for every a nilpotent in A.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.2 for all a, b, $c \in A$ with $a^2 = bc = 0$, $bacA$ is a nil right ideal of bounded exponent. If $bac \neq 0$, by Levitzki´s theorem (see [9] 1.6.26, p.46) A has a non zero nilpotent ideal, against the semiprimeness of A. Thus $bac = 0$. Now the result follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. □

In the sequel the others classical results we need.

The FC-subgroup of a group G is given by
$\phi(G) = \{g \in G : g \text{ has a finite number os conjugates in } G\}$. Let A be a R-algebra. We say that g is a multilinear generalized polynomial of degree n if

$$g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sym_n} g^{\sigma}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

with

$$g^{\sigma}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{a_\sigma} \alpha_{0,\sigma,j} x_{\sigma(1)} \alpha_{1,\sigma,j} x_{\sigma_{(2)}} \cdots \alpha_{n-1,\sigma,j} x_{\sigma(n)} \alpha_{n,\sigma,j}$$

where $\alpha_{i,\sigma,j} \in R$ and a_σ is some positive number. The foregoing g is said to be nondegenerate if for some $\sigma \in Sym_n$, g^{σ} is not a generalized polynomial identity for A. A is said to satisfy a GPI if A satisfies a nondegenerate multilinear generalized polynomial identity.

At next lemmas K is a field and G is a group.

Lemma 3.4. *$K[G]$ satisfies a GPI if and only if $[G : \phi(G)] < \infty$ and $|\phi'(G)| < \infty$.*

Proof. See [4, p. 202] □

For any integer n, the standar polynomial of degree n is given by

$$S_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sym_n} (sgn\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}$$

.

Lemma 3.5 (Amitsur-Levitzki)**.** *If K is a field. Then $M_m(K)$ satisfies the standar polynomial identity S_{2m}.*

Proof. See [4, Theorem 1.9, p. 175] □

A result well-known is the lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let A be a R-algebra and let I a right ideal of R. If I satisfies a polynomial identity of degree k and $I^k \neq 0$, then A satisfies a GPI.*

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that char $K = p > 0$. Then $K[G]$ is semiprime if and only if $\phi(G)$ is a p'-group.*

Proof. See [4, p. 131] □

Lemma 3.8. *If char $K = 0$, then $K[G]$ is semiprime.*

Proof. See [4, p.130] □

Lemma 3.9. *Let A be an algebraic algebra over an infinite field K. If $U(A)$ is LPI then A is a PI-ring. Moreover, if A is non-commutative then A is PI-ring, provided that the non-central units of A satisfy an LPI.*

Proof. See [6, Theorem 5] □

Now we are ready to do our adaptations to the LPI case of the Conjecture of B.Hartley.

Theorem 3.10. *Let G be a torsion group, R a commutative domain and $U(RG)$ satisfies a Laurent polynomial identity.*

1. *If either char $R = 0$ or char $R = p > 0$ with G a p'-group and R infinite, then RG satisfies S_4, the standard identity of degree four;*
2. *If G is any group, then either there exists a integer $d > 0$ such that for all $a,b,c \in RG$ with $a^2 = bc = 0$, we have that $bacRG$ is a nilpotent ideal of exponent less than d or $\phi(G)$ is of finite index in G and $\phi(G)'$ is a finite group. In the latter case, with G a torsion group and R an infinite field, RG has a polynomial indentity.*

Proof. By Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 KG is a semiprime K-algebra and by Lema 3.3 for all $g \in G$ with order n, the idempotent $n^{-1}\ (1 + g + g^2 + \ldots + g^{n-1})$ is central in KG, K is the field of fractions of R. From this $\langle g \rangle$ is a normal cyclic group in G. Thus G is an abelian or Hamiltonian group. With G Hamiltonian, $G = Q_8 \times E \times A$, where Q_8 is the quarternion group of order 8, E is an elementary Abelian 2-group and A is abelian with elements of odd order. Thus G has an abelian subgroup S of index 2. And by [4, Lemma V.1.10] KG embeds in $M_2(KS)$ and by Lemma 3.5, KG and so, RG satisfies S_4.

For the second part if $a, b, c \in RG$ with $a^2 = bc = 0$. By Theorem 2.1 $bacRG$ has a polynomial identity of degree d. If $(bacRG)^d \neq 0$, then by Lemma 3.6 RG satisfies a GPI and from this KG satisfies a GPI. By Lemma 3.4 $[G : \phi(G)] < \infty$ and $|\phi'(G)| < \infty$. In this case with G a torsion group $\phi(G)$ is locally finite. Follows that G is locally finite and, so, RG is algebraic. With R an infinite field by Lemma 3.9 RG has a polynomial identity. □

Remark 3.11. In general, Theorem 2.1 not hold without the restrictions about exponents. For example, let $A = M_n(R)$, $n > 1$ and R an infinite commutative domain. By Lemma 3.5 $U(A)$ satisfies the LPI

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) = S_{2n} \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{2n})^{-1}$$

where S_{2n} is the standard identity and f is a LPI with all words non-constant having sum of exponents zero at every variable. Admitting the Theorem 2.1, $bacu$ is algebraic for all $u \in A$, in particular, for $c = b$ and $u = a$, ba is algebraic. It follows that by Vandermonde augument ba is nilpotent. In general, ba is not a nilpotent element. We can see this with $a = e_{21}$ and $b = e_{12}$, $ba = e_{11}$ is not nilpotent.

Still considering $A = M_n(R)$, R a infinite commutative domain, $a = e_{21}$ and $b = e_{12}$ by Proposition 1.1 $U(A)$ does not admit group identity. This show that there are algebras with LPI without GI.

References

[1] A. Giambruno, E. Jespers, and A. Valenti, *Group identities on units of rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 63 no. 4, 291-296 (1994).

[2] A. Giambruno, S.K.Sehgal, and A. Valenti, *Group algebras whose units satisfy a group identity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125, 629-634 (1997).

[3] B., Osnel, Gonçalves, J.Z. and del Río, Á. *Group algebras whose units satisfy a Laurent polynomial identity*. Arch. Math. 111, 353–367 (2018).

[4] D.S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, Dover, New York 2011.

[5] D.S. Passman, *Group algebras whose units satisfy a group identity II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Volume 125, 657-662, Number 3, 657-662, March 1997.

[6] J. Z. Gonçalves, M.A. Dokuchaev *Identities on units of algebraic algebras*, J. Algebra 250, 638-646 (2002).

[7] J.Z. Gonçalves, *Free subgroups of units in group rings*, Canad. Math. Bull.27, no. 3, 309-312 (1984).

[8] L. Chia-Hsin, *Group Algebras With Units Satisfying a Group Identity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127, 327-336 (1999).

[9] L.Rowen, Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory. London-New York-San Francisco 1980.

[10] S. K. Sehgal *Units in Integral Group Rings*, Essex, 1993.

Departmento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Av. General Rodrigo Octavio Jordão Ramos, 1200 - Coroado I, Manaus - AM - Brasil, 69067-005

Email address: claudiomao1@gmail.com