

Applications of β -Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket to cohomology of Hom-algebras

Nejib Saadaoui *

November 15, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we mean by Hom-algebras a triple (M, d, β) in which M is a vector space, d a bilinear map on M and $\beta: M \rightarrow M$ (the twisting map). In this work we define the left, right and Lie β -Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket associated to a vector space M . We use these brackets to construct, in a canonical way, cohomology and deformations of each Hom-algebra structure of M . Next, we use these chomologies to define the representation and extensions of Hom-algebra (L, δ, α) by a Hom-algebra (V, μ, α_V) .

Keywords: β -Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket; Left Hom-Leibniz algebra; Right Hom-Leibniz algebra; Symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra; Hom-Lie algebra; Representaions; Deformations; Extensions.

Introduction

The Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket is defined as follows: For each integer $k \geq -1$, we define $Alt^k(M)$ to be the vector space of all alternating $(k+1)$ -linear maps of M into itself. We denote by $Alt(M)$ the direct sum $\oplus_{k \geq -1} Alt^k(M)$. For $f \in Alt^k(M)$, $g \in Alt^l(M)$ and $n = k + l - 1$, we define $f \circ g \in Alt^n(M)$ by

$$f \circ g(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(l, k-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) f\left(g(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(l)}), v_{\sigma(l+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}\right) \quad (0.1)$$

*Nejib Saadaoui. Université de Gabès, Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et de Multimédia de Gabès, Campus universitaire-BP 122, 6033 Cité El Amel 4, Gabès, TUNISIE. , e-mail:najibsaadaoui@yahoo.fr

where $Sh(l, k-1)$ is the sum of all permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \dots < \sigma(l)$ and $\sigma(l+1) < \dots < \sigma(n)$. We now define the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket $[f, g] \in C^n(M)$ by

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - (-1)^{(k-1)(l-1)} g \circ f. \quad (0.2)$$

The set of Lie algebras structures on a vector space M is the set of such that $[d, d] = 0$ (see).

In this paper, we define two types of Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket:

1. The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_l: C_\beta(M) \times C_\beta(M) \rightarrow C_\beta(M)$ gives the set of left Hom-Leibniz strucures and the space symmetric Hom-Leibniz.
2. The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_r: C_\beta(M) \times C_\beta(M) \rightarrow C_\beta(M)$ gives the set of right Hom-Leibniz strucures.

Where $C_\beta(M)$ is the space of Hom-cochain of left and right-Leibniz algebras. Suppose that ξ' (resp. ξ) is the set of symmetric Hom-Leibniz (resp. Hom-Lie) algebras structures. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra $(Alt'(M) = \oplus_{k \geq 0} Alt'^k(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_l)$ (resp. $(Alt(M) = \oplus_{k \geq 0} Alt^k(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_l)$) such that $d \in \xi'$ (resp. $d \in \xi$) if and only if $d \in Alt'^1(M)$ (resp. $d \in Alt^1(M)$) such that $[d, d]_l = 0$.

Makhlouf and Silvestrov introduced the notion of a Hom-Leibniz algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ in [22], which is a natural generalization of Leibniz algebras and Hom-Lie algebras. Later, Yong Sheng Cheng (resp. Yunhe Sheng) studied the representation and the cohomology of right Hom-Leibniz (resp. Hom-Lie) algebras in [8] (resp. in [25]). With the trivial representation we define the central extension of Hom-Lie algebras [6].

The previous cohomolgy are fefined with the Chevalley-Eilenberg coboundary operator δ_k of a representation of a Hom-algeras (M, d, β) . In this paper, representations, cohomology extensions and deformation are defined with the β -NR-brackets.

The structure of this paper is as follows. After some preliminary definitions and notations in Section 2, we define the left (resp. right) β -Richardson-Nijenhuis bracket. With this bracket we redefine the left (resp. right) Hom-Leibniz algebra and their cohomology and we define the symmetric Hom-Leibniz and their cohomology. In section 3 we define and study the deformation of Hom-Leibniz and Hom-Lie algebras with the β -Richardson-Nijenhuis bracket. In section 4, we will recall classical definition of a Hom-Leibniz algebra extension and we recognize it and the representation, cocycles, cobords...with the action of the β -Richardson-Nijenhuis bracket to a

structure $d \in C^2(M)$ and we study the split extension of Hom-algebras.

In this paper, $\mathbb{K}$ always denotes any field of characteristic $\neq 2$.

1 Preliminaries and Notations

In this section we briefly recall some of the definitions on Hom-Leibniz and we give the notations that we will need in the remainder of the paper.

Definition 1.1. A Hom-algebra is a triple $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ in which L is a vector space, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ a bilinear map on L and $\alpha: L \rightarrow L$ (the twisting map).

A Hom-algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is said to be multiplicative if $\alpha([x, y]) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$ for all x, y in L .

By a homomorphism of Hom algebras $f: (L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha) \rightarrow (L', [\cdot, \cdot]', \alpha')$ we mean a linear map from L to L' such that

$$f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f; \quad (1.1)$$

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), f(y)]'. \quad (1.2)$$

If f is bijective we say that L and L' are isomorphic.

Definition 1.2. (i) The Hom-algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is called a left Hom-Leibniz if it satisfy the equation

$$[\alpha(x), [y, z]] = [[x, y], \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(y), [x, z]],$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. (see [2]).

(ii) The Hom-algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is called a right Hom-Leibniz algebra if it satisfy the equation

$$[\alpha(x), [y, z]] = [[x, y], \alpha(z)] - [[x, z], \alpha(y)]. \quad (1.3)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. (see [22]).

(iii) A triple $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is called a symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra if it is a left and a right Hom-Leibniz algebra.

Definition 1.3. [17, 3, 22] A Hom-Lie algebra is a triple $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{K}$ vector space L , a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]: L \times L \rightarrow L$ and a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map $\alpha: L \rightarrow L$ satisfying

$$[x, y] = -[y, x], \quad (\text{skew-symmetry}) \quad (1.4)$$

$$\odot_{x,y,z} [\alpha(x), [y, z]] = 0, \quad (\text{Hom-Jacobi identity}) \quad (1.5)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Clearly Hom-Lie algebras is example of Hom-Leibniz algebras.

We recall that, in this paper we mean by Hom-algebra a left or right or symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra or a Hom-Lie algebra. In general, a Hom-algebra is denoted (M, d, β) or (L, δ, α) if L is a subspace of M .

Definition 1.4. [8] *A representation (module) of the right Hom-Leibniz algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ on the vector space V with respect to $\alpha_V \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, is defined with two actions (left and right) on L . These actions are denoted by the following brackets as well*

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_V : L \times V \rightarrow V; \quad \text{and} \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_V : V \times L \rightarrow V$$

satisfying the following five axioms,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_V([x, v]_V) &= [\alpha(x), \alpha_V(v)]_V, \\ \alpha_V([v, x]_V) &= [\alpha_V(v), \alpha(x)]_V. \\ [\alpha(x), [y, v]_V]_V &= [[x, y], \beta(v)]_V + [\alpha(y), [x, v]_V]_V \\ [\alpha_V(v), [x, y]_V]_V &= [[v, x]_V, \alpha(y)]_V + [\alpha(x), [v, y]_V]_V \\ [\alpha(x), [v, y]_V]_V &= [[x, v]_V, \alpha(y)]_V + [\alpha_V(v), [x, y]_V]_V, \end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in V$ and $x, y \in L$.

In this paper, $[\cdot, \cdot]_V : L \times V \rightarrow V$ (resp. $[\cdot, \cdot]_V : V \times L \rightarrow V$) is denoted λ_l (resp. λ_r).

Definition 1.5. [25, 8] *Let V a representation of Hom-Lie (resp. Leibniz) algebra L . The set of k -cochains on L with values in V , which we denote by $\text{Alt}^k(L, V)$ (resp. $C^k(L, V)$), is the set of skew-symmetric k -linear maps from $\underbrace{L \times L \cdots \times L}_{k\text{-times}}$ to V .*

A k -Hom-cochain on L with values in V is defined to be a k -cochain $f \in \text{Alt}^k(L, V)$ satisfies

$$\alpha_V(f(x_1 \cdots, x_k)) = f(\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_k)) \quad (1.6)$$

for all $x_1, \cdots, x_k \in L$. In this paper, we denote by $\text{Alt}_\alpha^k(L, V)$ (resp. $C_\alpha^k(L, V)$) the set of all k -Hom-cochains of L . The set of Hom-cochain of symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra L is denoted by $\text{Alt}_\alpha^k(L, V)$.

We denote by $\chi_\alpha(L, V)$ the set of Hom-cochains of Hom-algebra L . Hence,

if L is a left (or right) Hom-Leibniz algebra $\chi_\alpha(L, V) = C_\alpha^k(L, V)$. if L is a symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra, $\chi_\alpha(L, V) = C'_\alpha(L, V)$. If L is a Hom-Lie algebra $\chi_\alpha(L, V) = \text{Alt}_\alpha^k(L, V)$.

In this paper, the equation (1.6) is denoted $\alpha_V \circ f = f \star \alpha$.

Definition 1.6. [8] Define a $\mathbb{K}$ -Linear map $\delta^k: C_\alpha^k(L, V) \rightarrow C_\alpha^{k+1}(L, V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^k(f)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = & \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1} (-1)^s f(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_{s+1}), \dots, \alpha(x_{s-1}), [x_s, x_t], \alpha(x_{s+1}), \widehat{x}_t, \dots, \alpha(x_{k+1})) \\ & + [\alpha^{k-1}(x_1), f(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})]_V + \sum_{s=2}^{k+1} (-1)^s [f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_s, \dots, x_{k+1}), \alpha^{k-1}(x_s)]_V, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

For $k = 0$, for any $x \in L$, we take

$$\delta_r^0(v)(x) = [\alpha^{r-1}(x), v]_V, \quad \forall x \in L, v \in V. \quad (1.8)$$

Theorem 1.7. [8]

$$d^{k+1} \circ d^k = 0.$$

Definition 1.8. [6, 8] An extension of a Hom-Leibniz algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ by Hom-module $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, \alpha_V)$ is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha} \circ i = i \circ \alpha_V$ and $\alpha \circ \pi = \pi \circ \widetilde{\alpha}$.

Two extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i_k} (L_k, \alpha_k) \xrightarrow{\pi_k} (L, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\varphi: (L_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \alpha_2)$ such that $\varphi \circ i_1 = i_2$ and $\pi_2 \circ \varphi = \pi_1$.

2 β -Nijenhuis-Richardson brackets

In this section, we define the space $C_\beta(M)$ of β -cohains, the left (resp. right) β -Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_l$ (resp. $[\cdot, \cdot]_r$). We show that $(C_\beta(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_l)$ (resp. $(C_\beta(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_r)$) is a graded Lie algebra. These graded Lie algebras provide groups of cohomology of Hom-algebras (M, d, β) on itself.

Let $\beta: M \rightarrow M$ a linear map and let $C^k(M) = \text{Hom}(M^k, M)$.

Definition 2.1. A k - β -cochain is a map $f \in C^k(M)$ satisfying $f \star \beta = \beta \circ f$ where

$$f \star \beta(a_1, \dots, a_k) = f(\beta(a_1), \dots, \beta(a_m))$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M$.

We denote by $C_\beta(M) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C_\beta^k(M)$ where $C_\beta^k(M)$ is the set of all k - β cochain of M . The space $C_\beta(M)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, where the degree of an element in $C_\beta^k(M)$ is $k - 1$.

The following result is a β -version of that obtained in [24].

Definition 2.2. Let $[\cdot, \cdot]: C_\beta(M) \times C_\beta(M) \rightarrow C_\beta(M)$ be a bilinear map. A couple $(C_\beta(M), [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a graded Lie algebra (or a color Lie algebra) if for any $f \in C_\beta^m(M)$, $g \in C_\beta^n(M)$ and $h \in C_\beta^p(M)$ (i.e $\deg(f) = m-1$, $\deg(g) = n-1$, $\deg(h) = p-1$), we have

$$(i) \deg([f, g]) = \deg(f) + \deg(g);$$

$$(ii) [f, g] = -(-1)^{\deg(f)\deg(g)}[g, f];$$

$$(iii) (-1)^{\deg(f)\deg(h)}[f, [g, h]] + (-1)^{\deg(f)\deg(g)}[g, [h, f]] + (-1)^{\deg(g)\deg(h)}[h, [f, g]] = 0 \text{ (graded Jacobi identity).}$$

Proposition 2.3. If $\circ: C_\beta(M) \times C_\beta(M) \rightarrow C_\beta(M)$ is a bilinear map and the space $(C_\beta(M), \circ)$ is a graded pre-Lie algebra, i.e.

$$(f \circ g) \circ h - f \circ (g \circ h) = (-1)^{(n-1)(p-1)}((f \circ h) \circ g - f \circ (h \circ g)) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $f \in C_\beta^m(M)$, $g \in C_\beta^n(M)$, $h \in C_\beta^p(M)$. The bracket

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)}g \circ f. \quad (2.2)$$

make $C_\beta(M)$ a graded Lie algebra.

Proof. Similar to [23, 24]. ■

Now, we construct an example of a bracket that makes a graded Lie-algebra, which we will call β -NR-bracket.

Define a map $l: Sh(p, q) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ by $l(\sigma) = 1$ if $\sigma(p) < \sigma(p+1)$ and $l(\sigma) = i$ if $\sigma(p+1) < \dots < \sigma(p+i-1) < \sigma(p) < \sigma(p+i) < \dots < \sigma(p+q)$. Let M be a vector space and let $\beta: M \rightarrow M$ a linear map. For $f \in C^m(M)$ and $g \in C^n(M)$, we define $f \circ_l g \in C^{m+n-1}(M)$ by

$$f \circ_l g(a_1, \dots, a_{n+m-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(n, m-1)} (-1)^{i-1} \epsilon(\sigma) f\left(\beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+1)}), \dots, \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+i)}), g(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}), \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+i+1)}), \dots, \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+m-1)})\right) \quad (i = l(\sigma)) \quad (2.3)$$

and $[f, g]_l \in C^{m+n-1}(M)$ by

$$[f, g]_l = f \circ_l g - (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} g \circ_l f. \quad (2.4)$$

$[\cdot, \cdot]_l$ is called the left β -Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket (NR for short).

For $d \in C^2(M)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}[d, d]_l(a, b, c) = d(d(a, b), \beta(c)) + d(\beta(b), d(a, c)) - d(\beta(a), d(b, c))$$

Hence, If $[d, d]_l = 0$ then (M, d, β) is left Hom-Leibniz algebra. Let (M, d, β) a left Hom-Leibniz algebra. Define $D_k: C_\beta^k(M) \rightarrow C_\beta^{k+1}(M)$ by setting $D_k(f) = [d, f]_l$. Let $f \in C_\beta^m(M)$ and $g \in C_\beta^n(M)$. Direct calculations show that $f \circ_l g$ is a $(n + m - 1)$ - β -cochain. Hence, $D_k(f) \in C_\beta^{m+1}(M)$ ($n = 2$).

Proposition 2.4. *The map D_k is a k -coboundary operator, i.e $D_{k+1} \circ_l D_k = 0$. Thus, we have a well-defined cohomological complex $(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C_\beta^k(M), D_k)$.*

Proof. First we show that $(M, [\cdot, \cdot]_l)$ is a graded Lie algebra. We use $Sh(p, q, r)$ to denote the set of all (p, q, r) - unshuffles, that is, an element σ in the permutation group $S_{p,q,r}$ satisfying $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \dots < \sigma(p)$; $\sigma(p+1) < \sigma(p+2) < \dots < \sigma(p+q)$ and $\sigma(p+q+1) < \sigma(p+q+2) < \dots < \sigma(p+q+s)$. We define the maps $l': Sh(p, q, r) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, p+q-1\}$ by $l'(\sigma) = 1$ if $\sigma(p+1) < \sigma(p)$; $l'(\sigma) = i$ if $\sigma(p+1) < \dots < \sigma(p+i-1) < \sigma(p) < \sigma(p+i)$; and $l'': Sh(p, q, r) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ by $l''(\sigma) = 1$ if $\sigma(p+q) < \sigma(p+q+1)$; $l''(\sigma) = j$ if $\sigma(p+q+1) < \dots < \sigma(p+q+j-1) < \sigma(p+q) < \sigma(p+q+j)$.

We compute $f \in C^k(M)$, $g \in C^l(M)$ and $h \in C^r(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((f \circ g) \circ h - f \circ (g \circ h))(a_1, \dots, a_{k+r+l-2}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(r, l, k-2) \atop 1 \leq i < j} (-1)^{j+r+i+1} \epsilon(\sigma) f\left(\beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+1)}), \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i-1)}), \beta^{r-1}(h(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r)})), \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i)}), \quad (2.5) \\ & \quad \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j-1)}), \beta^{r-1}(g(a_{\sigma(r+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r+l)})), \\ & \quad \left. \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j)}), \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+k-2)})\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(r, l, k-2) \atop 1 \leq j < i} (-1)^{j+r+i} \epsilon(\sigma) f\left(\beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+1)}), \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j-1)}), \beta^{r-1}(g(a_{\sigma(r+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r+l)})), \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j)}), \\ & \quad \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i-1)}), \beta^{r-1}(h(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r)})), \quad (2.6) \\ & \quad \left. \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i)}), \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+k-2)})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Permuting in the previous equality g and h , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((f \circ h) \circ g - f \circ (h \circ g)) (a_1, \dots, a_{k+r+l-2}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in Sh(l, r, k-2) \\ 1 \leq i < j}} (-1)^{j+l+i+1} \epsilon(\sigma') f \left(\beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+1)}), \dots, \right. \\
&\quad \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i-1)}), \beta^{l-1}(g(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(l)})), \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i)}), \quad (2.7) \\
&\quad \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j-1)}), \beta^{r-1}(h(a_{\sigma(r+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r+l)})), \\
&\quad \left. \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j)}), \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+k-2)}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\substack{\sigma' \in Sh(l, r, k-2) \\ 1 \leq j < i}} (-1)^{j+l+i} \epsilon(\sigma') f \left(\beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+1)}), \dots, \right. \\
&\quad \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j-1)}), \beta^{l-1}(h(a_{\sigma(l+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r+l)})), \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j)}), \quad (2.8) \\
&\quad \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i-1)}), \beta^{l-1}(g(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(l)})), \\
&\quad \left. \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i)}), \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+k-2)}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Given $\sigma \in Sh(l, r, k-2)$ such that $i < j$ (resp. $i > j$), define $\sigma' \in Sh(r, l, k-2)$ as

$$\sigma' = \sigma \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & l & r+1 & \dots & r+l \\ r+1 & \dots & r+l & 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix}.$$

(resp.

$$\sigma' = \sigma \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r & r+1 & \dots & r+l \\ l+1 & \dots & r+l & 1 & \dots & l \end{pmatrix}.$$

) Then $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{rl} \epsilon(\sigma')$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{(r-1)(l-1)} (2.7) &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in Sh(l, r, k-2) \\ 1 \leq i < j}} (-1)^{j+r+i} \epsilon(\sigma') f \left(\beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma'(r+l+1)}), \dots, \right. \\
&\quad \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma'(r+l+i-1)}), \beta^{l-1}(g(a_{\sigma'(r+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma'(r+l)})), \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i)}), \\
&\quad \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j-1)}), \beta^{r-1}(h(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r)})), \\
&\quad \left. \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j)}), \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+k-2)}) \right) = (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

(resp.

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{(r-1)(l-1)}(2.8) = & \sum_{\substack{\sigma' \in Sh(l, r, k-2) \\ 1 \leq j < i}} (-1)^{j+r+i} \epsilon(\sigma') f \left(\beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+1)}), \dots, \right. \\
& \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j-1)}), \beta^{l-1} \left(h(a_{\sigma(l+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(r+l)}) \right), \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+j)}), \\
& \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i-1)}), \beta^{l-1} \left(g(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(l)}) \right), \\
& \left. \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+i)}), \dots, \beta^{r+l-2}(a_{\sigma(r+l+k-2)}) \right) = (2.5).
\end{aligned}$$

)

Let $f \in C_\beta^k(M)$. We have

$$(-1)^{k-1} D_{k+1} \circ D_k(f) = (-1)^{k-1} [d, [d, f]].$$

Then, by the graded Jacobi identity on $C_\beta(M)$ and we have

$$(-1)^{k-1} D_{k+1} \circ D_k(f) = [d, [f, d]] - (-1)^{k-1} [f, [d, d]]$$

Thus, using $[d, d] = 0$, $n = 2$ and (ii) in Definition 2.2 we obtain

$$D_{k+1} \circ D_k(f) = -[d, [d, f]] = -D_{k+1} \circ D_k(f).$$

Then, $2D_{k+1} \circ D_k(f) = 0$. ■

A k - β -cochain $f \in C_\beta^k(M)$ is called a k -cocycle if $D_k(f) = 0$. k - β -cochain $f \in C_\beta^k(M)$ is called a k -coboundary if $f = D_k(g)$ for some $g \in C_\beta^{k-1}(M)$. Denote by $Z_\beta^k(M)$ and $B_\beta^k(M)$ the sets of k -cocycles and k -coboundaries respectively. We define the k th cohomology group $H_\beta^k(M)$ to be $Z_\beta^k(M)/B_\beta^k(M)$.

Now, we give the explicit description of the k -coboundary operator D_k^l .

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) &= [d, f]_l(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^k (-1)^s d(\beta^{k-1}(a_s), f(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_s, \dots, a_{k+1})) \\
&\quad + d(f(a_1, \dots, a_k), \beta^{k-1}(a_{k+1})) \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1} f(\beta(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_s, \dots, d(a_s, a_t), \beta(a_{t+1}), \dots, \beta(a_{k+1})).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

We thus find the expression of coboundary operator given in [8]. $Z_\beta^k(M) = \ker(D_k)$ and $B_\beta^k(M) = \text{Im}(D_k)$ and $H_\beta^k(M) = Z_\beta^k(M)/B_\beta^k(M)$.

2.1 Cohomology of right Hom-Leibniz algebras

In this subsection, we construct an example of a bracket that makes a graded algebra, which we will call right- β NR bracket.

Define a map $r: Sh(p, q) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ by $r(\sigma) = 1$ if $\sigma(p) < \sigma(p+1)$ and $r(\sigma) = i$ if $\sigma(p+1) < \dots < \sigma(p+i-1) < \sigma(1) < \sigma(p+i) < \sigma(p+q)$.

Let $f \in C_\beta^m(M)$ and $g \in C_\beta^n(M)$. The right Hom-NR bracket is an element of $C_\beta^{m+n-1}(M)$ given by

$$[f, g]_r = f \circ_r g - (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} g \circ_r f. \quad (2.10)$$

where the circle product is

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ_r g(a_1, \dots, a_{n+m-1}) &= \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(n, m-1)} (-1)^i \epsilon(\sigma) f\left(\beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+1)}), \dots, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n-1+i)}), g(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}), \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+i+1)}), \dots, \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+m-1)})\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{m+n-1}$.

For any $d \in Hom(M^2, M)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}[d, d]_r(a, b, c) = d(d(a, b), \beta(c)) - d(d(a, c), \beta(b)) - d(\beta(a), d(b, c)) \quad (2.12)$$

Then, the triple (M, d, β) is a right Hom-Leibniz algebra if and only if $[d, d]_r = 0$.

Similar to left case we have

Proposition 2.5. *The cohomology of a right Hom-Leibniz (M, d, β) algebra is determined by $D_k: C_\beta^k(M) \rightarrow C_\beta^{k+1}(M)$, given by $D_k(f) = [d, f]_r$*

Then, $Z_\beta^k(M) = \ker(D_k)$, $B_\beta^k(M) = \text{Im}(D_k)$ and $H_\beta^k(M) = Z_\beta^k(M)/B_\beta^k(M)$.

2.2 Cohomology of symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebras

In this section, by the left β -NR bracket, we define the symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebras generalizing the well known Leibniz algebras given in [4, 16].

A (m, n) - β -cochain is a pair (f, g) where $f \in C_\beta^m(M)$ and $g \in C_\beta^n(M)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{i-1}, g(a_1, \dots, a_n), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_{m+n-1}) \\ &= -f(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{i-1}, \widehat{a_i}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{j-1}, g(a_1, \dots, a_n), a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{m+n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m+n-1\}$, $a_1, \dots, a_{m+n-1} \in M$.

Definition 2.6. Let d a $(2, 2)$ -beta-cochain. The triple (M, d, β) is called a symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra if $[d, d]_l = 0$. i.e

$$\begin{aligned} d(\beta(a), d(b, c)) &= -d(d(b, c), \beta(a)) \\ d(\beta(a), d(b, c)) &= d(d(a, b), \beta(c)) + d(\beta(b), d(a, c)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in M$.

Clearly, the triple (M, d, β) is symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra if and only if it is a left and right Hom-Leibniz algebra.

Definition 2.7. A k -Hom cochain of the symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra (M, d, β) is a k -linear map f such that the pair (f, d) is a $(k, 2)$ - β -cochain. We denote by $Alt_\beta'^k(M)$ the set of all k -Hom cochain of the symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra M .

Define $D_k': Alt_\beta'^k(M) \rightarrow Alt_\beta'^{k+1}(M)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} D_k'(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) &= \sum_{s=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{k-s} d(\beta^{k-1}(a_s), f(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_s}, \dots, a_{k+1})) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1} f(\beta(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a_s}, \dots, d(a_s, a_t), \beta(a_{t+1}), \dots, \beta(a_{k+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.8. The map D_k' is a coboundary operator, i.e. $D_{k+1}' \circ D_k' = 0$.

Proof. Since f is a k -Hom cochain of the symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra (M, d, β) , we have

$$d(f(a_1, \dots, a_k), \beta^{k-1}(a_{k+1})) = -d(\beta^{k-1}(a_{k+1}), f(a_1, \dots, a_k))$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D_k'(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) &= \sum_{s=1}^k (-1)^{k-s} d(\beta^{k-1}(a_s), f(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a_s}, \dots, a_{k+1})) \\ &+ d(\beta^{k-1}(a_{k+1}), f(a_1, \dots, a_k)) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1} f(\beta(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a_s}, \dots, d(a_s, a_t), \beta(a_{t+1}), \dots, \beta(a_{k+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.9) we obtain $D'_k(f) = [d, f]_l = D_k(f)$. Hence, from the Proposition 2.4 it follows that, D'_k is a coboundary operator and $Z_\beta^k(M) = \ker(D'_k)$, $B_\beta^k(M) = \text{Im}(D'_k)$ and $H_\beta^k(M) = Z_\beta^k(M)/B_\beta^k(M)$. ■

2.3 Cohomology of Hom-Lie algebras

Let (M, d, β) be a Hom-Lie algebra. The set of k -Hom-cochains $\text{Alt}_\beta^k(M)$ of a Hom-Lie algebra M with values in itself, is defined to be the vector space of all alternating k -linear map $f \in C_\beta^k(M)$ and $\text{Alt}_\beta(M)$ denotes the direct sum $\oplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Alt}_\beta^k(M)$. Clearly d is a 2-Hom-cochains of Hom-Lie algebra (M, d, β) . Moreover, by the Jacobi identity, we have

$$d(\beta(a), d(b, c)) + d(\beta(b), d(c, a)) + d(\beta(c), d(a, b)) = 0.$$

Since d is a alternating 2-linear map, one can deduce $[d, d]_l = 0$.

Let $f, g \in \text{Alt}_\beta^k(M)$. Then, the definition (2) of " $\circ_l$ " becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ_L g(a_1, \dots, a_{k+l-1}) &= f \circ_l g(a_1, \dots, a_{k+l-1}) \\ &= - \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(n, m-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) f\left(g(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}), \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+1)}), \dots, \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+m-1)})\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Hence, the β -NR bracket for Hom-Lie algebra is given by

$$[f, g]_L = f \circ_L g - (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} g \circ_L f$$

where $\circ_L$ is defined in (2.13). Define $D_k^L: \text{Alt}_\beta^k(M) \rightarrow \text{Alt}_\beta^{k+1}(M)$ by setting $D_k^L(f) = [d, f]_L$.

Proposition 2.9. *The map D_k is a coboundary operator, i.e. $D_{k+1} \circ D_k = 0$.*

Proof. Since D_k^L is the restriction of D_k^l to $\text{Alt}_\beta^{k+1}(M)$ and D_k^l is a coboundary operator, it suffices to show that $D_k^L(f) \in \text{Alt}_\beta^{k+1}(M)$. Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, l+k-1\}$. If $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and $j \in \{l+1, \dots, l+k-1\}$, we denote $\text{Sh}'(l, k-1)$ is the set of all permutation σ' of $\{1, \dots, l+k-1\}$ such that

$$\sigma'(1) < \dots < \sigma'(i-1) < \sigma'(j) < \sigma'(i+1) < \dots < \sigma'(l) \quad (2.14)$$

$$\text{and } \sigma'(l+1) < \dots < \sigma'(j-1) < \sigma'(i) < \sigma'(j+1) < \dots < \sigma'(l+k-1). \quad (2.15)$$

Define the permutation $\sigma = \tau\sigma'$ where τ is the permutation $\tau = (ij)$. Then, (2.15), (2.14) can be re-expressed in the following way

$$\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(i-1) < \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1) < \dots < \sigma(l)$$

$$\text{and } \sigma(l+1) < \dots < \sigma(j-1) < \sigma(j) < \sigma(j+1) < \dots < \sigma(l+k-1).$$

Hence, $\sigma \in Sh(l, k-1)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \circ_L g(a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_{k+l-1}) \\
&= - \sum_{\sigma' \in Sh'(l, k-1)} \epsilon(\sigma') f \left(g(a_{\sigma'(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma'(j)}, \dots, a_{\sigma'(l)}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \beta^{l-1}(a_{\sigma'(l+1)}), \dots, \beta^{l-1}(a_{\sigma'(i)}), \dots, \dots, \beta^{n-1}(a_{\sigma(n+m-1)}) \right). \\
&= - \sum_{\tau\sigma \in Sh'(l, k-1)} \epsilon(\tau\sigma) f \left(g(a_{\tau\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\tau\sigma(j)}, \dots, a_{\tau\sigma(l)}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \beta^{l-1}(a_{\tau\sigma(l+1)}), \dots, \beta^{l-1}(a_{\tau\sigma(i)}), \dots, \dots, \beta^{n-1}(a_{\tau\sigma(l+k-1)}) \right). \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(l, k-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) f \left(g(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(l)}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \beta^{l-1}(a_{\sigma(l+1)}), \dots, \beta^{l-1}(a_{\sigma(i)}), \dots, \dots, \beta^{l-1}(a_{\sigma(l+k-1)}) \right). \\
&= f \circ_L g(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_{k+l-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$D_k^L(f)(a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_l, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_{l+1}) = -D_k^L(f)(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_l, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_{l+1}).$$

The cases $i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and $i, j \in \{l+1, \dots, l+k-1\}$ are easy. Therefore, $D_k^L(f) \in Alt_\beta^{k+1}(M)$. ■

Finally, we end this paragraph by the explicit description of the k -coboundary operator D_k^L :

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k^L(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) &= (d \circ_L f - (-1)^{k-1} f \circ_L d)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+l-1}) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{s+1} d(\beta^{k-1}(a_s), f(a_1, \dots, \widehat{a}_s, \dots, a_{k+1})) \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1} (-1)^{s+t} f(d(a_s, a_t), \beta(a_1), \dots, \widehat{a}_s, \dots, \widehat{a}_t, \beta(a_{t+1}), \dots, \beta(a_{k+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

$$Z_\beta^k(M) = \ker(D_k^L), \quad B_\beta^k(M) = \text{Im}(D_k^L) \quad \text{and} \quad H_\beta^k(M) = Z_\beta^k(M)/B_\beta^k(M).$$

Remark 2.10. If $\beta = id_M$, we have $Alt_{id_M}^k(M) = Alt^{k-1}(M)$ where $Alt^{k-1}(M)$ is the space of alternating maps defined in introduction of this paper.

3 Deformations of Hom-algebras

Recall that we mean by a Hom-algebra a left or right or symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra or Hom-Lie algebra. If (M, d, β) is a left (or right) Hom-Leibniz algebra $\chi_\beta(M) = C_\alpha^k(M)$. If (M, d, β) is a symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra, $\chi_\beta(M) = Alt'_\beta(M)$. If (M, d, β) is a Hom-Lie algebra $\chi_\beta(M) = Alt_\beta(M)$.

Let (M, d_0, β) be a Hom-algebra and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ the β_0 -NR bracket. A one-parameter formal Hom-algebra deformation of M is given by the $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -bilinear $d_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i d_i$ (where each $d_i \in \chi_\beta^2(M)$) satisfies $[d_t, d_t] = 0$ which is equivalent to the following infinite system

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} [d_i, d_{s-i}] = 0, \text{ for } s = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, For $s = 0$ we have $[d_0, d_0]$ which is the Hom-Jacobie identity of M .

The equation for $s = 1$, leads to

$$[d_0, d_1] = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Then d_1 is a 2-cocycle.

For $s = 2$, we obtain

$$[d_0, d_2] + \frac{1}{2}[d_1, d_1] = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Hence $[d_1, d_1]$ is a 3-cobord (i.e $[d_1, d_1] \in B^3(M)$). So it is a trivial 3-cocycle. For $s > 2$ we have

$$a_s = 2[d_0, d_s] + \Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}) = 0$$

where $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} [d_i, d_{s-i}]$. Clearly $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})$ is a 3-cobord on M .

Conversely, let $d_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i d_i$. Hence $[d_t, d_t] = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_s t^s$ where $a_1 = 2[d_0, d_1]$

and

$$a_s = 2[d_0, d_s] + \Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}), \text{ where } s \geq 2.$$

We assume that $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})$ is a 3-coboundary, then there exists $d'_s \in \chi(M)$ such that $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}) = [d_0, d'_s]$. Hence $a_s = [d_0, 2d_s + d'_s]$ for all $s > 1$.

Consequently, we have proved the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a set of 2-Hom-cochain in (M, d_0, β) . Then $d_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i d_i$ is a one-parameter formal Hom-Leibniz algebra deformation of M if and only if the following statements are satisfies:*

- (i) d_1 is a 2-cocycle on M ;
- (ii) for all $s > 1$ it exists a $d'_s \in \chi(M)$ such that $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}) = [d_0, d'_s]$ and $2d_s + d'_s$ is a 2-cocycle on M .

Definition 3.2. *The deformation is said to be of order k if $d_t = \sum_{i=0}^k d_i t^i$.*

Now, we assume that the deformation is of order $k-1$ and let $d'_t = d_t + d_k t^k$ and $[d'_t, d'_t] = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i t^i$. Then $a_k = 2[d_0, d_k] + \Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{k-1})$. Hence, if $a_k = 0$, $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{k-1})$ is a 3-cobord in M . Conversely, we assume that $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{k-1})$ is a 3-cobord in M . Then there exists a $d_k \in \chi_\beta^2(M)$ satisfies $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{k-1}) = -2[d_0, d_k]$. Hence $a_k = 0$. Then we get the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *Let (M, d_0, β_0) be a Hom-algebra and $M_t = (M, d_t, \beta_0)$ be a $(k-1)$ -order one-parameter formal deformation of M , where $d_t = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} d_i t^i$. Then*

$$\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{k-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [d_i, d_{k-i}].$$

is a 3-cocycle of M . Therefore the deformation extends to a deformation of order k if and only if $\Psi(d_1, \dots, d_{k-1})$ is a 3-coboundary.

Definition 3.4. *Let (L, d_0, β) be a Hom-algebra. Given two deformation $M_t = (M, d_t, \beta)$ and $M'_t = (M, d'_t, \beta)$ of M where $d_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i d_i$, $d'_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i d'_i$.*

We say that they are equivalent if there exists a formal automorphism $\phi_t = \exp(t\phi)$ where $\phi \in \text{End}(M)$ such that

$$\phi_t \circ d_t = d'_t \star \phi_t \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\phi_t \circ \beta = \beta' \circ \phi_t. \tag{3.5}$$

A deformation M_t is said to be trivial if and only if M_t is equivalent to M (viewed as an algebra on $M[[t]]$).

The equation (3.4) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \phi_i(d_j) t^{i+j} = \sum_{i,j,k \geq 0} d'_i \star (\phi_j, \phi_k) t^{i+j+k} \quad (3.6)$$

By identification of coefficients, one obtain that the constant coefficients are identical, i.e.

$$d_0 = d'_0 \text{ because } \Phi_0 = Id.$$

For coefficients of t one has

$$d'_1(a, b) = d_1(a, b) + \Phi_1(d_0(a, b)) - d_0(\Phi_1(a), b) - d_0(a, \Phi_1(b)). \quad (3.7)$$

Hence $d'_1 = d_1 + [d_0, \phi_1]$.

The condition on homomorphisms (3.5) implies that $\beta = \beta' \bmod t$ and that

$$\Phi_1 \circ \beta = \beta' \circ \Phi_1 \bmod t^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Then $\beta_1 = \beta'_1$.

Consequently the following Proposition holds.

Proposition 3.5. *Let (M, d_0, β_0) be a Hom-Leibniz algebra. There is, over $\mathbb{K}[[t]]/t^2$, a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of $H^2(M)$ and the infinitesimal deformation defined by*

$$d_t = d_0 + d_1 t.$$

Hence, if the Hom-Leibniz algebra M is rigid then every formal deformation is equivalent to a trivial deformation.

Remark 3.6. *If (M, d_0, β_0) is a symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebra. Then, $\chi_\beta^2(M) = \text{Alt}'_\beta^2$. Hence, the condition $d_t \in \chi_\beta^2(M)$ is equivalent to $d_i(\beta(a), d_i(b, c)) = -d_i(d_i(b, c), \beta(a))$ for all $a, b, c \in M$.*

Remark 3.7. *If (M, d_0, β_0) is a Hom-Lie algebra. Then, $\chi_\beta^2(M) = \text{Alt}_\beta^2(M)$. Hence, the condition $d_t \in \chi_\beta^2(M)$ is equivalent to $d_i(a, b) = -d_i(b, a)$ for all $a, b \in M$.*

4 Extension and representation of Hom-algebras

The principal aim of this section is to extend some notions and results about extension of Lie algebras [15] to more generalized cases: Hom-Leibniz algebras and Hom-Lie algebras.

Definition 4.1. Let (L, δ, α) , (V, μ, α_V) , and (M, d, α_M) be Hom-algebras and $i: V \rightarrow M$, $\pi: M \rightarrow L$ be morphisms of Hom-algebras. The following sequence of Hom-algebra is a short exact sequence if $\text{Im}(i) = \ker(\pi)$, i is injective and π is surjective:

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (M, d, \alpha_M) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\alpha_M \circ i = i \circ \alpha_V \text{ and } \alpha \circ \pi = \pi \circ \alpha_M. \quad (4.1)$$

In this case, we call (M, d, α_M) an extension of (L, δ, α) by (V, μ, α_V) .

An extension $0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (M, d, \alpha_M) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$ is called:

- (1) trivial if there exists an ideal I complementary to $\ker \pi$,
- (2) split if there exists a Hom-subalgebra $S \subset M$ complementary to $\ker \pi$,
- (3) central if the $\ker \pi$ is contained in the center $Z(M)$ of M . That is $d(i(V), M) = 0$.
- (4) abelian if $\mu = 0$.

The standard split extension E_0 of L by V is given by

$$E_0 : 0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i_0} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $i_0(v) = v$, $\pi_0(x + v) = x$.

4.1 Representation and cohomology of Hom-algebras

In Section 2 we have defined the cohomology of a Hom-algebra on it self (adjoint representation). In this section we define, starting with a split extension of Hom-algebra a representation and cohomology of Hom-algebras L on a Hom-algebras V .

Let (L, δ, α) and (V, μ, α_V) be two Left Hom-algebras over $\mathbb{K}$ such that $0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$ be a split extension of L by V . For convenience, we introduce the following notation for certain spaces of cochains on $M = L \oplus V$: $C^n(M) = \text{Hom}(M^n, M)$, $C^n(L) = \text{Hom}(L^n, L)$ and $C^{k,l}(LV, V) = \text{Hom}(L^k V^l, V)$, where $L^k V^l$ is the subspace of $C^{k+l}(M)$ consisting by products of k elements from L and l elements from V ordered by $\sigma \in \text{Sh}(l, k-1)$. Let $\beta = \alpha + \alpha_V$, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ be a β -NR bracket (left or right) and $d \in C^2(M)$. We want to determine the conditions under

which $(L \oplus V, d, \beta)$ is a Hom algebra and V is an ideal of $M = L \oplus V$. For all $f \in C^2(M)$, $u, v \in C^1(M)$, denote

$$f \star (u, v)(a, b) = f(u(a), v(b))$$

and if $u = v$ we denote $f \star u(a, b) = f(u(a), u(b))$ for all $a, b \in M$.

If V is an ideal of Hom algebra $L \oplus V$, then $[d, d] = 0$ and there exists $\delta \in C^2(L)$, $\lambda_l \in C^{1,1}(LV, V)$, $\lambda_r \in C^{1,1}(VL, V)$, $\theta \in C^{2,0}(L, V)$, $\mu \in C^2(V)$ such that $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu + \theta$. Hence

$$[\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu + \theta, \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu + \theta] = 0$$

Therefore

$$\underbrace{[\delta, \delta]}_{=0} + \underbrace{2[\delta, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + [\lambda_r + \lambda_l, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + 2[\mu, \theta]}_{\in C^{2,1}} + \underbrace{2[\mu, \lambda_r + \lambda_l]}_{\in C^{1,2}} + \underbrace{[\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l, \theta]}_{\in C^{3,0}} + \underbrace{[\mu, \mu]}_{=0} = 0.$$

Then we can deduce that

$$2[\delta, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + [\lambda_r + \lambda_l, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + 2[\mu, \theta] : \text{The "module" or "representation" relation}$$

$$(4.2)$$

$$[\mu, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] = 0 : \text{The module-algebra structures are compatible} \quad (4.3)$$

$$[\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l, \theta] = 0 : \theta \text{ is a 2-cocycle with values in } V. \quad (4.4)$$

By d is a $2\text{-}\beta$ cochain, we have

$$(\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu + \theta) \star (\alpha + \alpha_V) = (\alpha + \alpha_V) \circ (\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu + \theta).$$

Then

$$\delta \star \alpha = \alpha \circ \delta \quad (4.5)$$

$$\lambda_r \star (\alpha_V, \alpha) = \alpha_V \circ \lambda_r \quad (4.6)$$

$$\lambda_l \star (\alpha, \alpha_V) = \alpha_V \circ \lambda_l \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mu \star \alpha_V = \alpha_V \circ \mu \quad (4.8)$$

$$\theta \star \alpha = \alpha_V \circ \theta. \quad (4.9)$$

By (4.6), (4.7) and (4.2) we have:

Definition 4.2. A representation (module) of the Hom-Leibniz algebra (L, δ, α) is a Hom-module (V, μ, α_V) equipped with two L -actions (left and right) $\lambda_l: L \times$

$V \rightarrow V$, $\lambda_r: V \times L \rightarrow V$ and a bilinear map $\theta \in C^{2,0}(L, V)$ satisfying the following axioms,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_r \star (\alpha_V, \alpha) &= \alpha_V \circ \lambda_r \\ \lambda_l \star (\alpha, \alpha_V) &= \alpha_V \circ \lambda_l \\ [\delta, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + \frac{1}{2}[\lambda_r + \lambda_l, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + [\mu, \theta] &= 0\end{aligned}$$

For the case of left Leibniz algebra, the previous definition can be written as follows:

Definition 4.3. A representation (module) of the left Hom-Leibniz algebra (L, δ, α) is a Hom-module (V, μ, α_V) equipped with two L -actions (left and right) $\lambda_l: L \times V \rightarrow V$, $\lambda_r: V \times L \rightarrow V$ and a bilinear map $\theta \in C^{2,0}$ satisfying the following axioms,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_r(\alpha_V(v), \alpha(x)) &= \alpha_V(\lambda_r(v, x)) \\ \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \alpha_V(v)) &= \alpha_V(\lambda_l(x, v)) \\ \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \lambda_l(y, v)) &= \lambda_l(\delta(x, y), \alpha_V(v)) + \lambda_l(\alpha(y), \lambda_l(x, v)) + \mu(\theta(x, y), \alpha_V(v)); \\ \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \lambda_r(v, y)) &= \lambda_r(\lambda_l(x, v), \alpha(y)) + \lambda_r(\alpha_V(v), \delta(x, y)) + \mu(\alpha_V(v), \theta(x, y)) \\ \lambda_r(\alpha_V(v), \delta(x, y)) &= \lambda_r(\lambda_r(v, x), \alpha(y)) + \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \lambda_r(v, y)) - \mu(\alpha_V(v), \theta(x, y)).\end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in L$ and $v \in V$.

Remark 4.4. (1) If L and V be right Hom-Leibniz algebras and $\mu = 0$ (or $\theta = 0$), $\lambda = \lambda_r + \lambda_l$ is the representation of L on V defined in (1.4).

(2) If L and V be Hom-Lie algebras. Then $\text{Alt}^k(M)$ is the set of k -cochains. Hence, by $d \in \text{Alt}^2(M)$ we obtain $\lambda_l(x, v) = -\lambda_r(v, x)$. Therefore $\lambda = \lambda_r + \lambda_l \in \text{Alt}^2(M)$ and λ is the representation of L on V defined in [25].

(3) If L and V be symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebras. Then $C'_\beta{}^k(M)$ is the set of k -cochains. Hence, by $d \in C'_\beta{}^2(M)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_l(\alpha(x), \lambda_l(y, v)) &= -\lambda_r(\lambda_l(y, v), \alpha(x)) \\ \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \lambda_r(v, y)) &= -\lambda_r(\lambda_r(v, y), \alpha(x)) \\ \lambda_r(\alpha_V(v), \delta(x, y)) &= -\lambda_l(\delta(x, y), \alpha_V(v)) \\ \mu(\alpha_V(u), \lambda_r(v, x)) &= -\mu(\lambda_r(v, x), \alpha_V(u)) \\ \mu(\alpha_V(u), \lambda_l(x, v)) &= -\mu(\lambda_l(x, v), \alpha_V(u)) \\ \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \mu(u, v)) &= -\lambda_r(\mu(u, v), \alpha(x))\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$, $u, v \in V$. That is

$$\lambda, (\lambda, \delta), (\mu, \lambda), (\lambda, \mu) \in C'_\beta{}^2(M). \quad (4.10)$$

Now, by (4.1) and (4.9) we define the 2 cocycle as follow.

Definition 4.5. Let (L, δ, α) be a Hom algebra and (V, μ, α_V) be a representation of L . If the bilinear map θ satisfies

$$\theta \star \alpha = \alpha_V \circ \theta \quad (4.11)$$

$$[\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l, \theta] = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Then θ is called a 2- cocycle of the Hom algebra (L, δ, α) related to the representation (V, μ, α_V) , or simply a 2-cocycle of L on V . The set of all 2-cocycles on V is denoted $Z^2(L, V)$ or $Z^2(L, V, \lambda_r + \lambda_l)$.

For example for the left Hom-Leibniz case, the equalities (4.11) and (4.12) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) &= \alpha_V(\theta(x, y)); \\ \theta(\delta(x, y), \alpha(z)) &+ \theta(\alpha(y), \delta(x, z)) - \theta(\alpha(x), \delta(y, z)) \\ &+ \lambda_r(\theta(x, y), \alpha(z)) + \lambda_l(\alpha(y), \theta(x, z)) - \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \theta(y, z)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(i.e $[d, \theta] = 0$).

Since $[\mu, \mu] = 0$, $\mu \star (\alpha + \alpha_V) = (\alpha + \alpha_V) \circ \mu$ and $[\mu, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] = 0$, then by section 2, $\lambda_r + \lambda_l$ is a 2-cocycle of Hom-Leibniz algebra $(M, \mu, \alpha + \alpha_V)$.

We summarize the main facts in the theorem below.

Theorem 4.6. $0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$ is a split extension of L by V if and only if $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu + \theta$, where $\lambda = \lambda_r + \lambda_l$ is a representation of L on V also it is a representation of $(M, \mu, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ and θ is a 2-cocycle of L on V . Precisely when the six conditions below hold:

$$\lambda_r \star (\alpha_V, \alpha) = \alpha_V \circ \lambda_r \quad (4.13)$$

$$\lambda_l \star (\alpha, \alpha_V) = \alpha_V \circ \lambda_l \quad (4.14)$$

$$\theta \star \alpha = \alpha_V \circ \theta; \quad (4.15)$$

$$[\delta, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + \frac{1}{2}[\lambda_r + \lambda_l, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] + [\mu, \theta] = 0 \quad (4.16)$$

$$[\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l, \theta] = 0; \quad (4.17)$$

$$[\mu, \lambda_r + \lambda_l] = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Similarly to the symmetric Hom-Leibniz case, we have

Theorem 4.7. *Let (L, δ, α) and (V, μ, α_V) be symmetric Hom-Leibniz algebras. Then, $0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$ is a split extension of L by V if and only if there exists $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu + \theta$, where λ_r, λ_l and θ satisfies the equations (4.10), (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18).*

Definition 4.8. *Let (L, δ, α) be a Hom-Leibniz algebra, and let (V, μ, α_V) be a (λ, θ) -representation of (L, δ, α) . Then for every linear map $h: L \rightarrow V$ satisfying $h \circ \alpha = \alpha_V \circ h$, the bilinear map $[d, h]_l: L \times L \rightarrow V$ is called a 2-coboundary on V . The set of all 2-coboundaries on V is denoted $B^2(L, V)$. The quotient vector space $H^2(L, V) = Z^2(L, V)/B^2(L, V)$ is called the second cohomology group of L on V .*

Let $(L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \beta)$ an extension of Hom-Leibniz algebra (L, δ, α) . Define the operator $D^k: C^k(L, V) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(L, V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D^k(f)((x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})) &= [d, g](x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1} (-1)^{k+s-1} g\left(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \widehat{x_s}, \dots, \delta(x_s, x_t), \alpha(x_{t+1}), \dots, \alpha(x_{k+1})\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^k (-1)^{k-s} \lambda_l(\alpha(x_s), g(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_s}, \dots, x_{k+1})) + \lambda_r(g(x_1, \dots, x_k), \alpha(x_{k+1})) \end{aligned}$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in L$ and $\widehat{x_s}$ means that x_s is omitted.

Proposition 4.9. *The map D is a coboundary operator, i.e. $D^2 = 0$.*

Proof. This is a direct result of Proposition 2.4 (2.5 for the right case, 2.8 for the symmetric case). ■

4.2 Equivalence of extensions of Hom-algebras

Definition 4.10. *Two extensions*

$$0 \longrightarrow (V_1, \mu_1, \alpha_{V_1}) \xrightarrow{i_1} (L \oplus V_1, d_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_{V_1}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} (L_1, \delta_1, \alpha_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow (V_2, \mu_2, \alpha_{V_2}) \xrightarrow{i_2} (L_2 \oplus V_2, d_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_{V_2}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} (L_2, \delta_2, \alpha_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

are equivalent if there exists isomorphism $\Phi: (L_1, d_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (L_2, d_2, \alpha_2)$, $\varphi: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$, $\psi: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ such that $\Phi \circ i_1 = i_2 \circ \varphi$ and $\pi_2 \circ \Phi = \psi \circ \pi_1$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let*

$$E : 0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (M, d', \alpha_M) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

be a split extension of L by V . Then there exist bilinear map $d = \delta + \lambda_l + \lambda_r + \theta + \mu$ such that (λ_l, λ_r) is a representation of L , θ is a 2-cocycle and the short exact sequence

$$E_0 : 0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i_0} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

is an extension equivalent to E .

Proof. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i} (M, d', \alpha_M) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

be a split extension of L . Then there exist a Hom-subalgebra $H \subset M$ complementary to $\ker \pi$. Since $\text{Im } i = \ker \pi$, we have $M = H \oplus i(V)$. The map $\pi|_H : H \rightarrow L$ (resp $k : V \rightarrow i(V)$) defined by $\pi|_H(x) = \pi(x)$ (resp. $k(v) = i(v)$) is bijective, its inverse s (resp. l). Considering the map $\Phi : L \oplus V \rightarrow M$ defined by $\Phi(x + v) = s(x) + i(v)$, it is easy to verify that Φ is an isomorphism, $i(V)$ is an ideal of M and $M = s(L) \oplus i(V)$. Then (by the previous section), $d' = \delta' + \lambda'_r + \lambda'_l + \theta' + \mu'$ where (λ'_r, λ'_l) is a representation of $s(L)$ on $i(V)$ also it is a representation of $(M, \mu, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ and θ' is a 2-cocycle of $s(L)$ on $i(V)$.

Define a bilinear map $d : L \oplus V \rightarrow L \oplus V$ by

$$d(x + v, y + w) = \Phi^{-1}(d'(s(x) + i(v), s(y) + i(w))).$$

Then

$$d'(\Phi(x + v), \Phi(y + w)) = d'(s(x) + i(v), s(y) + i(w)) = \Phi(d(x + v, y + w)) \quad (4.19)$$

Clearly $(L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ is a Hom-algebra and

$$E_0 : 0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i_0} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

be a split extension of L by V equivalent to E . By (4.19) we have

$$\delta = \pi \circ (\delta' \star s), \theta = k \circ (\theta' \star s), \lambda_l = k \circ (\lambda'_l \star (s, i)), \lambda_r = k \circ (\lambda'_r \star (i, s)), \mu = k \circ (\mu \star i)$$

and $d = \delta + \theta + \lambda_l + \lambda_r + \mu$. ■

We deduce from the last theorem that any split extension of M by V is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i_0} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $i_0(v) = v$, $\pi_0(x + v) = x$.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $(L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ an extension of a Hom-algebra (L, δ, α) by an abelian Hom-algebra (V, μ, α_V) . where $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \theta$ and the 2-cocycle θ is non trivial. Let h be a 1-Hom cochain of L on V and $d' = d + [d, h]$. Then, the extensions $(L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ and $(L \oplus V, d', \alpha + \alpha_V)$ are equivalents.*

Proof. We have $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \theta$ ($\mu = 0$) and

$$\begin{aligned} d'(x + v, y + w) &= d(x + v, y + w) + [d, h](x + v, y + w) \\ &= \delta(x, y) + \lambda_r(v, y) + \lambda_l(x, w) + \theta(x, y) \\ &\quad + \lambda_r(h(x), y) + \lambda_l(x, h(y)) - h(\delta(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Define the linear map $\Phi: L \oplus V \rightarrow L \oplus V$ by $\Phi(x + v) = x + h(x) + v$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(d'(x + v, y + w)) \\ &= \delta(x, y) + \lambda_r(v, y) + \lambda_l(x, w) + \theta(x, y) + \lambda_l(x, h(y)) + \lambda_r(h(x), y) \\ &= d(\Phi(x + v), \Phi(y + w)). \end{aligned}$$

Define the linear maps $i_0: V \rightarrow L \oplus V$ by $i_0(v) = v$, $\pi_0: L \oplus V \rightarrow L$ by $\pi_0(x + v) = x$. Clearly, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & (V, \mu, \alpha_V) & \xrightarrow{i_0} & (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow id_V & & \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow id_L \\ 0 \longrightarrow & (V, \mu, \alpha_V) & \xrightarrow{i_0} & (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Commute. This is complete the proof. ■

Proposition 4.13. *Let (M, d', β) a split extension of a Hom-algebra (L, δ, α) by (V, μ, α_V) . Then there it equivalent to $(L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ where $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \theta + \mu$. This extension is*

(i) *trivial if and only if $d = \delta + \mu$.*

(ii) central if and only if $d = \delta + \theta + \mu$

Proof. (i) Let $(L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ be a trivial extension of a Hom-algebra (L, δ, α) by (V, μ, α_V) . that is, there exists an ideal I complementary to $\ker \pi_0$. So $L \oplus V = I \oplus V$. Let $x \in L$ then one can write it as $x = i + v$ where $i \in I$ and $v \in V$. Hence, $\lambda_r(w, x) = \lambda_r(w, i + v) = \lambda_r(w, i)$ which implies that $\lambda_r(w, x) \in I \cap V$. Therefore $\lambda_r(w, x) = 0$. Similarly, we can obtain $\lambda_l(x, w) = 0$ for all $x \in L, w \in V$. Moreover, we have $\theta(i + v, j + w) = \theta(i, j) \in I \cap V$ so $\theta = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $\lambda_r = \lambda_l = \theta = 0$. Then $d(L, V) \subset L$. Moreover, we have $(\alpha + \alpha_V)(L) \subset L$. Hence, L is an ideal of $L \oplus V$.

(ii) Let $(L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V)$ be a central extension of a Hom-algebra (L, δ, α) by (V, μ, α_V) . Then $d(V, M) = d(M, V) = 0$. Hence, $(\delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \theta + \mu)(v, x + w) = 0$. Therefore, $\lambda_r(v, x) + \mu(v, w) = 0$. So $x = 0$ gives $\mu = 0$ and $w = 0$ gives $\lambda_r = 0$. Similarly, we obtain $\lambda_l = 0$. Conversely, suppose that $d = \delta + \theta$. Then, $d(V, M) = 0$. ■

Now, we extend the definition of the semidirect sum of Lie-algebras given in [13] to any Hom case.

Definition 4.14. An extension $(L \oplus V, d, \beta)$ is called semidirect sum of Hom-algebras L and V if and only if

$$d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu \quad (4.20)$$

For the Hom-Lie case, the condition (4.20) is equivalent to

$$d(x + v, y + w) = \delta(x, y) + \lambda_l(x, w) - \lambda_l(y, v) + \mu(v, w)$$

for all $x, y \in L, v, w \in V$.

Definition 4.15. Let (M, d, β) be a Hom-algebra. An element $d \in C^2(M)$ is called an α_V^k -quasiderivation of V , if there exist $d' \in C^2(M)$ such that $\mu \star (d, \beta^k) + \mu \star (\beta^k, d) = d' \circ \mu$. Denote by $QDer_{\alpha_V^k}$ the set of α_V^k -quasiderivation.

Proposition 4.16. An extension $(L \oplus V, d, \beta)$ is a semidirect sum of Hom-Lie algebras (L, δ, α) and (V, μ, α_V) if and only if

$$d(x + v, y + w) = \delta(x, y) + \lambda(x)(w) - \lambda(y)(v) + \mu(v, w)$$

where $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(L, QDer_{\alpha_V}(V))$.

Proof. Since $(L \oplus V, d, \beta)$ is a semidirect sum of Hom-Lie algebras (L, δ, α) and (V, μ, α_V) , we have $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \mu$ where (λ_r, λ_l) is a representation of L by V . Define a linear maps $\lambda: L \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ by $\lambda(x) = \lambda_l(x, \cdot)$ and $d: L \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ by $d(x) = \lambda_l(\alpha(x), \cdot)$, for all $x \in L$. By (4.3), we obtain

$$\lambda_l(\alpha(x), \mu(v, w)) = \mu(\lambda_l(x, v), \alpha_V(w)) + \mu(\alpha_V(v), \lambda_l(x, w))$$

Hence $d(\mu(v, w)) = \mu(\lambda(x)(v), \alpha_V(w)) + \mu(\alpha_V(v), \lambda(x)(w))$. Therefore $\lambda(x)$ is a α_V -quasiderivation.

With $d \in \text{Alt}^2(L \oplus V)$, one can deduce

$$\lambda_r(v, x) = -\lambda_l(x, v) \quad (4.21)$$

for all $x \in L, v \in V$. ■

4.3 Abelian extensions

Define the following split extension by

$$E_0: 0 \longrightarrow (V, \mu, \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{i_0} (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} (L, \delta, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$E': 0 \longrightarrow (V', \mu', \alpha_{V'}) \xrightarrow{i'_0} (L' \oplus V', d', \alpha' + \alpha_{V'}) \xrightarrow{\pi'_0} (L', \delta', \alpha') \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mu = 0$, $i_0(v) = v$, $\pi_0(x + v) = x$. $i'_0(v') = v'$, $\pi'_0(x' + v') = x'$.

We assume that E_0 and E' are equivalent. Then there exist isomorphisms of Hom-algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: (V, \mu, \alpha_V) &\rightarrow (V', \mu', \alpha_{V'}) \\ \Phi: (L \oplus V, d, \alpha + \alpha_V) &\rightarrow (L' \oplus V', d', \alpha' + \alpha_{V'}) \\ \psi: (L, \delta, \alpha) &\rightarrow (L', \delta', \alpha') \end{aligned}$$

Satisfies $\Phi \circ i_0 = i' \circ \varphi$ and $\pi' \circ \Phi = \psi \circ \pi_0$.

We set $\Phi = s + i$ and $s = s_1 + i_1$, $i = s_2 + i_2$, where $s: L \rightarrow L' \oplus V'$, $s_1: L \rightarrow L'$, $i_1: L \rightarrow V'$, $i: V \rightarrow L' \oplus V'$, $s_2: V \rightarrow L'$, $i_2: V \rightarrow V'$.

We have $i(v) = \Phi(v) = \Phi(i_0(v)) = i'(\varphi(v)) = \varphi(v)$ or $\varphi(v) \in V'$. Then $s_2 = 0$. We will search relationship between (λ_r, λ_l) and (λ'_r, λ'_l) , θ and θ' , μ and μ' .

$$\begin{aligned} &d' \star (s_1 + i_1 + i_2, s_1 + i_1 + i_2) \\ &= \delta' \star (s_1, s_1) + \theta' \star (s_1, s_1) + \lambda'_l \star (s_1, i_2) + \lambda'_l \star (s_1, i_1) \\ &+ \lambda'_r \star (i_2, s_1) + \lambda'_r \star (i_1, s_1) + \mu' \star (i_1, i_1) + \mu' \star (i_2, i_2) + \mu' \star (i_1, i_2) + \mu' \star (i_2, i_1) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} d' \star (s_1 + i_1 + i_2, s_1 + i_1 + i_2) &= \Phi \star d \\ &= s_1 \circ \delta + i_1 \circ \delta + i_2 \circ \theta + i_2 \circ \lambda_r + i_2 \circ \lambda_l + i_2 \circ \mu. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\delta' \star (s_1, s_1) = s_1 \circ \delta \quad (4.22)$$

$$\theta' \star (s_1, s_1) + \lambda'_l \star (s_1, i_1) + \lambda'_r \star (i_1, s_1) + \mu' \star (i_1, i_1) = i_1 \circ \delta + i_2 \circ \theta \quad (4.23)$$

$$\lambda'_r \star (i_2, s_1) + \mu' \star (i_2, i_1) = i_2 \circ \lambda_r \quad (4.24)$$

$$\lambda'_l \star (s_1, i_2) + \mu' \star (i_1, i_2) = i_2 \circ \lambda_l \quad (4.25)$$

$$\mu' \star (i_2, i_2) = i_2 \circ \mu. \quad (4.26)$$

Since $\mu = 0$, by (4.26) we obtain

$$\mu' = 0. \quad (4.27)$$

Define the linear map $h: L' \oplus V' \rightarrow V'$ by $h(s_1(x) + i_1(x) + i_2(v)) = i_1(x)$. By (4.23) and (4.22), we have

$$i_2 \circ \theta = \theta' \star (s_1, s_1) + [d', h] \star (s_1 + i_1, s_1 + i_1).$$

Let $a' \in L'$, $v' \in V'$. We have $a' = \Phi(a_L) + \Phi(a_V) = s_1(a_L) + i_1(a_L) + i_2(a_V)$. Or $a' \in L'$, then $a' = s_1(a_L)$ and $i_1(a_L) + i_2(a_V) = 0$. $v' = \Phi(v_L) + \Phi(v_V) = i_2(v_V)$. Hence, any element of $L' \oplus V'$ can be writes as $s_1 + i_2$ (i.e $s_1(x) + i_2(x)$.) Then, using (4.22), (4.27), (4.3), (4.24) and (4.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d' \star (s_1 + i_2, s_1 + i_2) \\ &= s_1 \circ \delta + i_2 \circ \lambda_r + i_2 \circ \lambda_l + i_2 \circ \theta + [d', h] \star (s_1, s_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get the following result.

Theorem 4.17. *Let $(L \oplus V, d, \beta)$ an extension of a Hom-algebra (L, δ, α) by abelian Hom-algebra (V, α_V) . An extension $(L' \oplus V', d', \alpha' + \alpha_{V'})$ is equivalent to $(L \oplus V, d, \beta)$ if and only if there exists isomorphism of Hom-algebras $\varphi: V \rightarrow V'$, $\psi: L \rightarrow L'$ and a linear map $h: L \rightarrow V$ such that*

$$d' \star (\psi, \varphi) = \psi \circ \delta + \varphi \circ \lambda_r + \varphi \circ \lambda_l + \varphi \circ \theta + [d', h] \star (\psi, \psi)$$

where $d = \delta + \lambda_r + \lambda_l + \theta$.

Corollary 4.18. *The set $\text{Ext}(L, V, \lambda_r + \lambda_l)$ of equivalence classes of split extensions of (L, δ, α) by an abelian Hom-algebra (V, α_V) is one-to-one correspondence with $Z^2(L, V, \lambda_r + \lambda_l)/B^2(L, V, \lambda_r + \lambda_l)$, that is*

$$\text{Ext}(L, V, \lambda_r + \lambda_l) \cong Z^2(L, V, \lambda_r + \lambda_l)/B^2(L, V, \lambda_r + \lambda_l).$$

References

- [1] Albert, N., Richardson, J.R , *Deformation of Lie algebra structures*.
- [2] A Nourrou, I. *Some characterizations of Hom-Leibniz algebras*. International electronic journal of algebra. **14** (2013) 1 – 9.
- [3] Benayadi, S., Makhoulf, A. *Hom-Lie algebras with symmetric invariant nondegenerate bilinear forms*, Journal of Geometry and Physics. **76** (2014), 38 – 60.
- [4] Benayadi, S., and Hidri, S., *Quadratic Leibniz Algebras*, Journal of Lie Theory Volume **24** (2014) 737–759.
- [5] Casas, J. M., M. Ladra, B. A. Omirov, and I. A. Karimjanov, *Classification of solvable Leibniz algebras with naturally graded filiform nilradical* , Linear Algebra Appl. **43** (2013), 2973–3000.
- [6] Casas J. M., Insua M.A., *On universal central extensions of Hom-Leibniz algebras*. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications. **13** (2014), 8.
- [7] Yan, C., Liangyun, C., Bing,S., *On split regular Hom-Leibniz algebras* . Journal of Algebra and Its Applications. **17** (2018), 10.
- [8] Cheng, Y.S, *(Co)Homology and Universal Central Extension of Hom-Leibniz Algebras* . Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series. (**27**) 5 (2011), 813 – 830.
- [9] Chevalley C., Eilenberg S., *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. **63** (1948), 85 – 124.
- [10] Covez, S., *The local integration of Leibniz algebras*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier, **63** (2013) no.1, 1 – 35.
- [11] Cuvier, C., *Algèbres de Leibnitz: Définition, propriétés*, Annales scientifiques de l’E.N.S. (4e série) **27** (1994), 1–45.
- [12] Demir, I., K. C. Misra, and E. Stitzinger, *On some structures of Leibniz algebras*, contemporary Mathematics, **623** 2014.
- [13] Dekerf E.A., Bauerle G.G.A., Ten Kroode A.P.E., *Extensions of Lie Algebras* . Studies in Mathematical Physics, **7** Chapter 18, 1997, 5 – 48
- [14] Fialowski, A., Magnin, L. and Mandan, A., *About Leibniz cohomology and deformations of Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **383** (2013), 63–77.

- [15] Fialowski, A., Michael, P. *Extensions of (super) Lie algebras*. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics. **11** (5) (2009) 709 – 737.
- [16] Geoffrey, M., and Y., Gaywalee, *Leibniz algebras and Lie algebras*, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications, SIGMA 9 4(2013)4, **063**.
- [17] Hartwig J. T., Larsson D. and Silvestrov S.D., *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations*, Journal of Algebra, **295** (2006), 314 – 361.
- [18] Hochschild G., Serre J.P., *Cohomology of Lie algebra*, Annals of Mathematics, **57** (3) (1953).
- [19] Loday, J.-L., *Une version non-commutative des algèbres de Lie: Les algèbres de Leibniz*, Ens. Math. **39** (1993), 269–293.
- [20] Loday, J.-L., *Cyclic Homology*, Springer-Verlag,(1992)
- [21] Loday, J.L., Pirashvili,T., *Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology*, Mathematische Annalen, **296** (1993) 139 – 158.
- [22] Makhlouf,A., and Silvestrov, S., *Hom-algebra structures*, Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applications **2** (2). (2008) 51 – 64.
- [23] Nijenhuis, A., Richardson, R., *Cohomology and deformation in graded Lie algebras*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society. **72** (1) (1966), 1 – 29
- [24] Nijenhuis, A., Richardson, R., *Deformations of Lie algebras structures*. Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, **17**, (1) (1967), 89 – 105.
- [25] Sheng Y., *Representations of Hom-Lie Algebras* . Algebras and Representation Theory, **15** (2012), 1081–1098