
On Huber's type theorems in general dimensions

Shiguang Ma^{†1} and Jie Qing^{‡2}

[†] School of Mathematical Science and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, China;
e-mail: msgdyx8741@nankai.edu.cn

[‡] Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064;
e-mail: qing@ucsc.edu

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present some extensions of the celebrated finite point conformal compactification theorem of Huber [Hu57] for complete open surfaces to general dimensions based on the n -Laplace equations in conformal geometry. We are able to conclude a domain in the round sphere has to be the sphere deleted finitely many points if it can be endowed with a complete conformal metric with the negative part of the smallest Ricci curvature satisfying some integrable conditions. Our proof is based on the strengthened version of the Arsove-Huber's type theorem on n -superharmonic functions in our earlier work [MQ18]. Moreover, using p -parabolicity, we push the injectivity theorem of Schoen-Yau to allow some negative curvature and therefore establish the finite point conformal compactification theorem for manifolds that have a conformal immersion into the round sphere. As a side product we establish the injectivity of conformal immersions from n -parabolicity alone, which is interesting by itself in conformal geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we want to present extensions of the celebrated Huber Theorem on complete open surfaces. Huber [Hu57] in 1957 showed that a complete open surface whose negative part of the Gaussian curvature is integrable is a closed surface with finitely many points removed. This Huber theorem made the highlight of applications of subharmonic functions in differential geometry after the seminal work of Cohn-Vossen [CV35]. In 2 dimensions the Gaussian curvature equation and the Gauss-Bonnet formula are the essentials.

¹The author is the corresponding author and partially supported by NSFC 11571185

²The author is partially supported by NSF DMS-1608782

It has been highly desirable to extend this celebrated Huber Theorem to higher dimensions. Notably there is a Huber's type theorem of Chang, Qing and Yang [CQY00] in 4 dimensions, based on the so-called Q -curvature equations and the involvement of Q curvature in Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula. Also notably, Carron and Herzlich [CH02] (also see [Car20]) obtained a Huber's type theorem in general dimensions for domains in a given compact Riemannian manifold that admit complete conformal metrics with growth conditions on the volume and integral conditions on Ricci or scalar curvature.

It was observed in [BMQ17, MQ18] the following n -Laplace equation in conformal geometry

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta_n u + Ric(\nabla u)|\nabla u|^{n-2} = (Ric(\nabla u)|\nabla u|^{n-2})_g e^{nu}.$$

plays a similar role in general dimensions to the Gaussian curvature equation in 2 dimensions, where $Ric(v)$ is the Ricci curvature in the v direction, the term $(|\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric(\nabla u))_g$ is calculated for the conformal metric $g = e^{2u}\bar{g}$, and other terms are calculated under the background metric \bar{g} . Particularly, in [MQ18], Arsove-Huber's type theorem [ArH73] for n -superharmonic functions have been established, where Wolff potential theory provides the important analytic tool in general dimensions as the Newton potential did in 2 dimensions.

In this paper, first, by capacity estimates, we obtain

Theorem 1.1. *For $n \geq 3$, let Ω be a domain in a compact manifold (M^n, \bar{g}) and endowed with a complete conformal metric $g = e^{2u}\bar{g}$. Assume that,*

$$R_g^- \in L^p(\Omega, g) \text{ for some } p \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, +\infty\right] \text{ and } \begin{cases} \text{either } Ric_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\Omega, g), \\ \text{or } Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \in L^1(\Omega, \bar{g}). \end{cases}$$

where R_g^- is the negative part of the scalar curvature R . Then $cap_n(\partial\Omega, D) = 0$, where D is a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ in M^n . Hence (Ω, g) is n -parabolic and $\partial\Omega$ is of Hausdorff dimension 0 in (M^n, \bar{g}) .

This theorem may be compared with [CH02, Corollary 2.2]. Notice that [Gal88, Theorem 1] (cf. Lemma 2.3 in Section 2) is not applicable under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. An example, similar to [Car20, Theorem 2.7], is discussed at the end of Section 3.1 to illustrate it is not possible to conclude $\partial\Omega$ to be finite under the assumption $Ric^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\Omega, g)$.

To achieve finite point conformal compactification, we strengthen [MQ18, Theorem 1.1] in two aspects. The first is to drop the assumption of the origin being isolated in [MQ18, Theorem 1.1] for $m \geq 1$ from the completeness (cf. Theorem 3.3). The second is to confirm that m is related to the point mass at the origin (cf. Lemma 3.6). In order to use Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.6, we introduce the n -superharmonic function $\mathcal{A}(v)$ (cf. (3.21)) to overshadow the conformal factor v based on the idea in the proof of [KM92, Theorem 2.4] on solving n -Laplace equations with nonnegative Radon measure on the right. For this purpose, we first need to show that the

conformal factor v satisfies the n -Laplace equation with a signed Radon measure on the right. We therefore obtain the following finite point conformal compactification theorem of Huber's type.

Theorem 1.2. *For $n \geq 3$, let Ω be a domain in the standard unit round sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$. Suppose that, on Ω , there is a conformal metric $g = e^{2u}g_{\mathbb{S}}$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} u(x) = +\infty \text{ and } Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \in L^1(\Omega, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Then $\partial\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \Omega$ is a finite point set.

It is easily seen that Theorem 1.2 holds when the Ricci is nonnegative outside a compact subset or Cheng-Yau's gradient estimates (cf. [SY94, Theorem 2.12, Chapter VI] and [CQY00, Lemma 2.3]) applies. Here we use [CHY04, Proposition 8.1] to derive $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} u(x) = +\infty$. We therefore have the following finite point conformal compactification theorem of Huber's type in a more intrinsically geometric fashion.

Corollary 1.1. *For $n \geq 3$, let Ω be a domain in the standard unit round sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$. Suppose that, on Ω , there is a complete conformal metric $g = e^{2u}g_{\mathbb{S}}$ satisfying either Ric_g is nonnegative outside a compact subset or*

- (1) $Ric_g^- \in L^1(\Omega, g) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, g)$
- (2) $R_g \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$ and $|\nabla^g R_g| \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$.

Then $\partial\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \Omega$ is a finite point set.

Corollary 1.1 should be compared with [CQY00, Theorem 2]. [CQY00, Theorem 2] uses the Q -curvature equation in 4 dimensions while Corollary 1.1 uses the n -Laplace equation (1.1) in general dimensions. At the end of Section 3.2, we will present a detailed comparison of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.1 with [CH02, Theorem 2.1] and [Car20, Corollary 2.4].

To push for locally conformally flat manifolds that are not a priori known to be domains in \mathbb{S}^n , we develop injectivity theorems for conformal immersions following the PDE approach in the seminal paper [SY88]. To substitute the nonnegativity of the scalar curvature, we consider volume growth conditions, p -parabolicity, and integral lower bounds of Ricci curvature. First we establish, as a side product, a very clean injectivity theorem based on n -parabolicity alone, which should be interesting by itself in conformal geometry and geometric group theory.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that (M^n, g) ($n \geq 3$) is a complete noncompact manifold that has a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that (M^n, g) is n -parabolic. Then Φ is injective.

Following closely from [SY88, Theorem 3.1], we prove an injectivity theorem using integral lower bound on Ricci curvature, where the volume growth estimates [Gal88] are employed. Namely,

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete, noncompact manifold. And suppose that there is a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that $\text{Ric}_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g)$ for some $p \in (n, \infty]$. Then Φ is injective provided that

$$d(M) < \frac{(n-2)^2 p}{(p-2)n}.$$

For the definition of $d(M)$, please see (4.1) and [SY88]. Again, with a refined argument of the proof of [SY88, Proposition 2.4], we have

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that (M^n, g) ($n \geq 3$) is a manifold that has a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that $R_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g)$. Then $d(M) \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Combining Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.1 we establish

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete, noncompact manifold. And suppose that there is a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that $\text{Ric}_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g) \cap L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g)$ for some $p \in (n, \infty]$. Then Φ is injective provided that $n \geq 5$.

Finally, in the light of Corollary 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, we are able to show

Main Theorem. *Suppose that (M^n, g) ($n \geq 5$) is a complete noncompact manifold. And suppose that there is a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that either Ric_g is nonnegative outside a compact subset or

- (1) $\text{Ric}_g^- \in L^1(\Omega, g) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, g)$
- (2) $R_g \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$ and $|\nabla^g R_g| \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$.

Then Φ is an embedding and $\partial\Phi(M) = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \Phi(M)$ is a finite point set.

On the organization of this paper, we discuss some preliminaries and background in Section 2. We present proofs for Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 1.2 takes most of Section 3 and is divided into 4 steps. In Section 4 we follow quite closely from [SY88] and prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.1. Finally we conclude Main Theorem at the end of Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND BACKGROUND

In this section we collect some basic definitions and facts that are relevant to us in this paper. We first recall the celebrated Huber Theorem [Hu57]. Then we introduce the n-Laplace equations in conformal geometry and recall our previous work that extended Arsove-Huber Theorem [ArH73] to n-superharmonic functions in general dimensions. We also recall definitions and basic properties of p-capacity and p-parabolicity for our use later [AM73, SY94].

2.1. Gaussian curvature equations and Huber's Theorem. On a surface (M^2, \bar{g}) with Gaussian curvature K , let the Gaussian curvature for a conformal metric

$$g = e^{2u} \bar{g}$$

be K_g . Then

$$-\Delta u + K = K_g e^{2u}$$

is the celebrated Gaussian curvature equation. Recall

Theorem 2.1. (Huber [Hu57]) *Suppose that (M^2, g) is a complete open surface and that*

$$\int_M (K^- d\text{vol})_g < \infty,$$

where K_g^- is the negative part of Gaussian curvature of the metric g . Then M is a compact surface with finitely many points removed and (M^2, g) is parabolic.

Huber in [Hu57] extended the works of Cohn-Vossen [CV35] and marked the highlight of applications of linear potential theory in differential geometry of surfaces then.

2.2. n-Laplace equations in conformal geometry and n-superharmonic functions. Suppose that (M^n, \bar{g}) is a Riemannian manifold and that $g = e^{2u} \bar{g}$ is a conformal metric. In an attempt to search for Huber's type theorem in higher dimensions in conformal geometry, we recall the formula for the transformation of Ricci curvature tensor under conformal change:

$$Ric_{ij} - (n-2)u_{i,j} + (n-2)u_i u_j - (\Delta u) \bar{g}_{ij} - (n-2)|\nabla u|^2 \bar{g}_{ij} = (Ric_g)_{ij},$$

where geometric quantities with a subscript g are taken with respect to the metric g , while those without a subscription are taken with respect to the background metric \bar{g} . Multiplying $|\nabla u|^{n-4}$ and contracting with ∇u on both sides, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & -((n-2)|\nabla u|^{n-4} u_i u_j u_{i,j} + |\nabla u|^{n-2} \Delta u) + |\nabla u|^{n-4} Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\ & = |\nabla u|^{n-4} Ric_g(\nabla u, \nabla u) \end{aligned}$$

which is

$$(2.1) \quad -\Delta_n u + |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric(\nabla u) = (|\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric(\nabla u))_g e^{nu}$$

where $Ric(v)$ is the Ricci curvature in the direction of v . The right hand side $(|\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric(\nabla u))_g$ is calculated for the conformal metric $g = e^{2u} \bar{g}$. This n-Laplace equation reduces to Gaussian

curvature equation in dimension 2 and therefore is a natural extension of Gaussian curvature equation in higher dimensions. Applications of n -Laplace equations (2.1) have been initiated and studied in [BMQ17, MQ18]. By the theory of Wolff potential in relation to n -Laplace equations developed in, for instance, [KM94] and references therein, in [MQ18], we obtained

Theorem 2.2. (*Ma-Qing* [MQ18, Theorem 1.1]) *Let w be a nonnegative lower semi-continuous function that is n -superharmonic in $B(0, 2) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and*

$$-\Delta_n u = \mu \geq 0$$

for a Radon measure $\mu \geq 0$ and the origin is in the support \mathcal{S} of the Radon measure μ . Then there is a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which is n -thin at the origin, such that

$$\lim_{x \notin E \text{ and } |x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\log \frac{1}{|x|}} = \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\log \frac{1}{|x|}} = m \geq 0$$

and

$$u(x) \geq m \log \frac{1}{|x|} - C \text{ for } x \in B(0, 1) \setminus \{0\} \text{ and some } C.$$

Moreover, if $u \in C^2(B(0, 2) \setminus \{0\})$ and $(B(0, 2) \setminus \{0\}, e^{2u}|dx|^2)$ is complete at the origin, then $m \geq 1$.

This theorem generalizes Arsove-Huber theorem [ArH73] from 2 dimensions to general dimensions for n -superharmonic functions. For the definitions of n -thinness readers are referred to [AM72, KM94, MQ18], for example. In [MQ18], there are detailed discussions on the differences of the definition in [MQ18] to the previous, even though both reduce to the same in 2 dimensions. In this paper, inspired by Huber's theorem (Theorem 2.1), we want to study differential geometric properties in large for certain manifolds without assuming Ricci nonnegative. Theorem 2.2 is one of the important ingredients.

We also want to recall some result on solving n -Laplace equations when the inhomogeneous term is a nonnegative Radon measure.

Theorem 2.3. (*Kilpelainen-Maly* [KM92, Theorem 2.4]) *Let U be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n and μ be a nonnegative Radon measure on U . Then there is a nonnegative n -superharmonic function u such that*

$$-\Delta_n u = \mu$$

and $\min\{u, k\} \in H_0^{1,n}(U)$ for all positive number k .

2.3. p -capacity and p -parabolicity. In this subsection we review materials about p -capacity and p -parabolicity. First, let us recall the definition of p -capacity.

Definition 2.1. For a compact subset K in a domain Ω in a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , we define, for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\text{cap}_p(K, \Omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p d\text{vol} : u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \text{ and } u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\}.$$

The following relation between the Hausdorff measure and the p -capacity is well known. Readers are referred to [AM73] and references therein.

Lemma 2.1. ([SY94, Theorem 2.10, Chapter VI]) *Let K be a compact subset of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and $1 < q \leq n$. We know*

- (1) *if $H_{n-q}(K) < \infty$ then $\text{cap}_q(K) = 0$;*
- (2) *if $H_{n-q+\epsilon}(K) > 0$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ then $\text{cap}_q(K) > 0$.*

For our purpose, we adopt the following definition of p -parabolicity for noncompact manifolds.

Definition 2.2. *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold. (M^n, g) is said to be p -parabolic if $\text{cap}_p(\bar{U}, M^n) = 0$ for any bounded domain $U \subset M$.*

This definition of p -parabolicity is known to be equivalent to the more conventional definition, that is, a complete noncompact manifold (M^n, g) is p -parabolic if there is no positive Green function for p -Laplacian Δ_p on M . Readers are referred to [Hol90, Tro99] and [CHS00, page 13]. From Definition 2.2, it is easily seen that the p -parabolicity is quasi-isometrically invariant, that is, if $C^{-1}g_2 \leq g_1 \leq Cg_2$, then (M, g_1) is p -parabolic if and only if (M, g_2) is. It turns out that n -parabolicity is actually conformally invariant, since n -capacity is conformally invariant. It is well known that volume growth is closely related to p -parabolicity. We recall, for instance, the following reference [CHS00, Corollary 3.2].

Lemma 2.2. (Coulhon-Holopainen-Saloff-Coste [CHS00, Corollary 3.2]) *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete manifold. for $1 < p < \infty$, assume that, for a point $x_0 \in M$,*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-p} \text{Vol}(B(x_0, r)) = 0 \text{ or } \text{Vol}(B(x_0, r)) \leq Cr^p (\log r)^{p-1}.$$

Then (M^n, g) is p -parabolic.

We also want to mention a volume growth estimate from integral lower bound of Ricci curvature.

Lemma 2.3. (Gallot [Gal88, Theorem 1]) *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete, noncompact manifold with infinite volume. For $p \in (n, \infty]$, assume $\text{Ric}_g^- \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(M^n, g)$. Then, for any point $x_0 \in M^n$,*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B(x_0, r))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} = 0.$$

Recently the critical case when $p = n$ has been resolved with the additional and appropriate assumption in [Car20, Theorem 2.1]. Consequently from Lemma 2.3, we observe

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete, noncompact manifold. Assume $Ric_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M^n, g)$ for some $p \in (n, \infty]$. Then (M^n, g) is p -parabolic*

It turns out that n -parabolicity is out of reach in this approach, even though n -parabolicity is more favorable in conformal geometry.

3. FINITE POINT COMPACTIFICATIONS FOR DOMAINS IN \mathbb{S}^n

In this section we discuss extensions of Huber's type theorem in general dimensions using n -Laplace equations (2.1). First we derive a Huber's type theorem in the line of Carron-Herzlich [CH02]. We will study examples to compare our Theorem 3.1 to [CH02, Theorem 2.1]. To derive a finite point compactification we focus our attention to domains in the round sphere \mathbb{S}^n based on our earlier work [MQ18].

3.1. Domains in a compact manifold. In this subsection we state and prove a Huber's type theorem for domains in a given compact Riemannian manifold. We will study examples where one could not improve Theorem 3.1 as it is stated. Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 should be compared with [CH02, Corollary 2.2]. Instead of the volume growth assumptions, we will use the integral lower bound on Ricci curvature. For this purpose, we let Ric^- be the negative part of the smallest Ricci curvature.

Theorem 3.1. *For $n \geq 3$, let Ω be a domain in a compact manifold (M^n, \bar{g}) and endowed with a conformal metric $g = e^{2u}\bar{g}$. Assume*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} u(x) = +\infty \text{ and } \begin{cases} \text{either } Ric_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\Omega, g), \\ \text{or } Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \in L^1(\Omega, \bar{g}). \end{cases}$$

Then $cap_n(\partial\Omega, D) = 0$, where D is a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ in M . Hence (Ω, g) is n -parabolic and $\partial\Omega$ is of Hausdorff dimension 0 in (M^n, \bar{g}) .

Proof. The proof is based on a rather straightforward estimate of n -capacity when test functions are chosen right. To construct appropriate test functions, for α large and fixed and β large, we consider the cut-off

$$u_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \beta & \text{when } u - \alpha \geq \beta \\ u - \alpha & \text{when } u - \alpha < \beta \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = u_{\alpha,\beta} - \beta\eta$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma_\alpha)$ is a fixed usual cut-off that is equal to one in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ and $\Sigma_\lambda = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > \lambda\}$ is the level set of the conformal factor function u . It is easily seen that

$$u_{\alpha,\beta} \in (0, \beta) \text{ in } \Sigma_\alpha \text{ and } \phi = 0 \text{ on } \begin{cases} \{x \in \Omega : u = \alpha\} \text{ or} \\ \{x \in \Omega : u \geq \alpha + \beta\} \end{cases}$$

for β large. We multiple ϕ to both sides of (2.1) and integrate

$$\int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric(\nabla u) \phi = \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric_g(\nabla u) e^{2u} \phi.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u_{\alpha,\beta}|^n &\leq \beta \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta - \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric(\nabla u) \phi \\ &\quad + \beta \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric_g(\nabla u) e^{2u} (1 - \eta) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric_g(\nabla u) e^{2u} (u_{\alpha,\beta} - \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\phi = u_{\alpha,\beta} - \beta \eta = u_{\alpha,\beta} - \beta + \beta(1 - \eta).$$

Notice that η is independent of β , which implies the terms except the last term in the right hand side is bounded by $C\beta$. In the mean time, the last term in the right

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric_g(\nabla u) e^{2u} (u_{\alpha,\beta} - \beta) \leq \beta \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} dvol \leq C\beta$$

if we use the assumption $Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \in L^1(\Omega, \bar{g})$. Otherwise, we use the alternative assumption and apply HöLder inequality in (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u|^{n-2} Ric_g(\nabla u) e^{2u} (u_{\alpha,\beta} - \beta) &\leq C\beta \left(\int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |Ric_g^-|^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{nu} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u_{\alpha,\beta}|^n \right)^{1-\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla u_{\alpha,\beta}|^n + C\beta^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} (|Ric^-|^n dvol)_g \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in both cases,

$$\int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\nabla(\frac{u_{\alpha,\beta}}{\beta})|^n \leq C\beta^{1-n} + C\beta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow \infty$$

which complete the proof of this theorem. \square

Next we state and prove an improvement of [CHY04, Proposition 8.1].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that (M^n, \bar{g}) is a compact manifold and that Ω is a domain in M . Let $g = e^{2w} \bar{g}$ be a complete conformal metric on Ω . Assume that $R_g^- \in L^p(\Omega, g)$ for some $p > \frac{n}{2}$. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad w(x) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \partial\Omega.$$

Proof. The proof of (3.2) is inspired by the Moser's iteration argument of [CHY04, Proposition 8.1] applied to the scalar curvature equation

$$(3.3) \quad -\Delta_g u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g^+ u = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g^- u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{\bar{g}} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

for $u = e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}w}$. To get rid of the nonlinear term in the right hand side, without the loss of generality, we may assume

- (1) $R_{\bar{g}} \leq 0$ when (M^n, \bar{g}) is of nonpositive Yamabe type;
- (2) $R_{\bar{g}} = 0$ in an open domain D that includes $\partial\Omega$ in M when (M^n, \bar{g}) is of positive Yamabe type.

(2) in the above can be achieved by using the Green function of the conformal Laplacian $-\Delta + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R$ with a pole outside of the domain D . Now (3.3) becomes

$$(3.4) \quad -\Delta_g u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g^+ u \leq \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g^- u.$$

To apply the Moser's iteration argument, we need the Sobolev inequality. In fact, from [CHY04, Proposition 8.1], what we really need is the Yamabe-Sobolev (3.5) in below. After we have the Yamabe-Sobolev (3.5), the difference from [CHY04, Proposition 8.1] is that we have $R_g^- \in L^p(\Omega, g)$ instead of R_g bounded from below. For this, one simply needs to observe that $R_g^- \in L^p(\Omega, g)$ for $\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \infty$ is enough for Moser's iteration in (3.4) (cf. (8.5) of [CHY04, Proposition 8.1]).

Therefore this lemma follows from the following Yamabe-Sobolev

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\int v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dvol_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C(D) \int (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g v^2) dvol_g \text{ for all } v \in C_c^\infty(D \setminus \partial\Omega)$$

for some open neighborhood D of $\partial\Omega$ in M . To prove (3.5) when (M^n, \bar{g}) is of nonpositive Yamabe type, i. e. $R_{\bar{g}} \leq 0$ on M (cf. (1) in the above), without the loss of generality, for the compact manifold (M^n, \bar{g}) , we may assume

- $R_{\bar{g}} \geq -c_1$ on M for some nonnegative constant c_1 ;
- the Sobolev inequality

$$(3.6) \quad \left(\int v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dvol_{\bar{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq S(M^n, \bar{g}) \int (|\nabla v|^2 dvol)_{\bar{g}} \text{ for all } v \in C_c^\infty(M).$$

By the definition of Yamabe constant, it is equivalent to prove, for the metric \bar{g} , the following Yamabe-Sobolev holds

$$(3.7) \quad \left(\int v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dvol_{\bar{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C_D \int (|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R v^2) dvol_{\bar{g}} \text{ for all } v \in C_c^\infty(D \setminus \partial\Omega)$$

for some open neighborhood D of $\partial\Omega$ in M . To do so, one only needs to control

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int R_{\bar{g}} v^2 d\text{vol}_{\bar{g}} &\leq c_1 \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int v^2 d\text{vol}_{\bar{g}} \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \left(\int v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\text{vol}_{\bar{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \text{Vol}(D \setminus \partial\Omega, \bar{g})^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \text{Vol}(D \setminus \partial\Omega, \bar{g})^{\frac{2}{n}} S(M, \bar{g}) \int (|\nabla v|^2 d\text{vol})_{\bar{g}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.7) from (3.6), provided that

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Vol}(D \setminus \partial\Omega, \bar{g})^{\frac{2}{n}} < \frac{2(n-1)}{c_1(n-2)S(M, \bar{g})}.$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \int (|\nabla v|^2 d\text{vol})_{\bar{g}} &= \int \left((|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R v^2) d\text{vol} \right)_{\bar{g}} - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int R_{\bar{g}} v^2 d\text{vol}_{\bar{g}} \\ &\leq \int \left((|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R v^2) d\text{vol} \right)_{\bar{g}} + \frac{1}{2} \int (|\nabla v|^2 d\text{vol})_{\bar{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that (3.8) holds for some domain D regardless of the Lebesgue measure of $\partial\Omega$ is positive or not.

On the other hand, when (M^n, \bar{g}) is of positive Yamabe type, i. e. $R_{\bar{g}} = 0$ in $D \subset M$ (cf. (2) in the above), (3.7) is the same as (3.6) and implies (3.5). \square

Corollary 3.1. *For $n \geq 3$, let Ω be a domain in a compact manifold (M^n, \bar{g}) and endowed with a complete conformal metric $g = e^{2u}\bar{g}$. Assume that,*

$$R_g^- \in L^p(\Omega, g) \text{ for some } p \in \left(\frac{n}{2}, +\infty\right] \text{ and } \begin{cases} \text{either } Ric_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\Omega, g), \\ \text{or } Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \in L^1(\Omega, \bar{g}). \end{cases}$$

where R_g^- is the negative part of the scalar curvature R . Then $\text{cap}_n(\partial\Omega, D) = 0$, where D is a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ in M^n . Hence (Ω, g) is n -parabolic and $\partial\Omega$ is of Hausdorff dimension 0 in (M^n, \bar{g}) .

In the following we give an example to illustrate that it is impossible to push for $\partial\Omega$ to be a finite point set in Theorem 3.1, more precisely, not under the assumption $Ric_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\Omega, g)$ (also see a similar example [Car20, Theorem 2.7]). The idea of such a construction comes from the paper of Gallot [Gal88]. We refer the readers to Appendix A1 and A2 of [Gal88] for details. We are constructing a complete conformal metric $g = e^{2u}g_{\mathbb{S}}$ on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |Ric_g^-|^{n/2} d\text{vol}_g < +\infty$$

but $\partial\Omega$ consists of infinite many points. For an easy visual presentation, we consider $\Omega = \Phi(M)$ for a conformal embedding

$$\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n.$$

We first construct the manifold (M^n, g) and then the conformal embedding will be easily seen. Let

$$C = [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g_{\alpha, \varepsilon, \eta} = dt^2 + b^2(t)g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},$$


where $b(t) = \eta(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. For $k \geq 1$, we choose

$$\alpha = \alpha_k = 1 + k \cdot e^{-k}, \varepsilon = \varepsilon_k = e^{-e^k}, \eta = \eta_k = \frac{1}{\alpha_k} (1 + \varepsilon_k^2)^{\frac{2 - \alpha_k}{2}}.$$

We denote $g_{\alpha_k, \varepsilon_k, \eta_k}$ by g_k and (C, g_k) by C_k . The manifold M is obtained by gluing countable many B_k , which is the Euclidean space with a ball removed, to A , which is the Euclidean space with countably many balls removed, using C_k to form a complete noncompact manifold. The following figure indicates what is M and what is the image $\Phi(M)$ in \mathbb{S}^n . From an argument similar to that in [Gal88, A2] we have

$$\int_M |Ric_g^-|^{\frac{n}{2}} dvol_g \leq C \sum_k [(k \cdot e^{-k})^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + e^{-(n-1)k}] < +\infty.$$

We therefore conclude that $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{\text{countable many points}\}$.



3.2. Finite point conformal compactification for domains in \mathbb{S}^n . In this subsection we will study domains in the standard round sphere \mathbb{S}^n . Using n-Laplace equations and what have been developed in [MQ18] we state and prove a Huber's type finite point compactification theorem for domains in \mathbb{S}^n .

Theorem 3.2. For $n \geq 3$, let Ω be a domain in the standard unit round sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$. Suppose that, on Ω , there is a conformal metric $g = e^{2u}g_{\mathbb{S}}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} u(x) = +\infty \text{ and } Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \in L^1(\Omega, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Then $\partial\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \Omega$ is a finite point set.

Proof. Our proof of this theorem is divided into the following four steps. But, first, in order to employ estimates of Wolff potential, we consider n -Laplace equations on Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n via a stereographic projection \mathcal{P} with respect to an interior point of Ω . We have then a complete conformal metric $g = e^{2v}|dx|^2$ and n -Laplace equations

$$(3.9) \quad -\Delta_n v = Ric_g(\nabla v) |\nabla v|^{n-2} e^{2v} = f(x) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

thanks to the conformal invariance of the n -Laplace equation (2.1). $\mathcal{S} = \partial\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ becomes a compact subset of zero Hausdorff dimensions in the light of Theorem 3.1. To focus on the asymptotic behavior near \mathcal{S} , we will consider a bounded open neighborhood $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of \mathcal{S} . The right hand side of (3.9) satisfies that $f^- \in L^1(D, |dx|^2)$ as well as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{S}} v(x) = \infty$$

according to the assumptions.

Step 1. In this step we show that in fact

$$f \in L^1(D, |dx|^2)$$

from $f^- \in L^1(D, |dx|^2)$. This situation is similar to what was dealt with in [BV89, Lemma 1](see also [KV86, V17]), particularly the idea in [BV89, Lemma 1] seems to work. But we adopt a more straightforward argument here. The following cutoff function is very useful to our investigations and has been used in [DHM97, Lemma 14] and [MQ18]. Let

$$\alpha_s(t) = \begin{cases} t & t \leq s, \\ \text{increasing} & s \leq t \leq 10s \\ 2s & t \geq 10s. \end{cases}$$

We may ask $0 \leq \alpha'_s(t) \leq 1$ and $\alpha''_s(t) \leq 0$. It is easily seen that

- $\alpha_s(t) \leq t$
- $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_s(t) = t$ for any $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$
- $\alpha_s(v) = 2s$ in the neighborhood $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : v(x) > 10s\}$ of ∂D
- $-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v) = 0$ in the neighborhood $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : v(x) > 10s\}$ of ∂D
- $\alpha_s(v) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

More importantly,

$$(3.10) \quad \int_D -\Delta_n \alpha_s(v) = \int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^{n-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}$$

provided $s > \max_{\partial D} v$. Let

$$(3.11) \quad f_s = -\Delta_n \alpha_s(v).$$

We shall prove that

$$(3.12) \quad \|f_s\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)} \leq C \text{ for a constant } C \text{ that is independent of } s.$$

We first calculate

$$(3.13) \quad -\Delta_n \alpha_s(v) = (\alpha'_s(v))^{n-1}(-\Delta_n v) - (n-1)(\alpha'_s(v))^{n-2} \alpha''_s(v) |\nabla v|^n,$$

where the second term in the right side $-(n-1)(\alpha'_s(v))^{n-2} \alpha''_s(v) |\nabla v|^n$ is nonnegative because $\alpha''_s \leq 0$. Hence

$$f_s^- = ((\alpha'_s(v))^{n-1}(-\Delta_n v) - (n-1)(\alpha'_s(v))^{n-2} \alpha''_s(v) |\nabla v|^n)^- \leq (\alpha'_s(v))^{n-1} f^- \leq f^-,$$

where we use the fact that $\alpha'_s \in [0, 1]$. This implies

$$\|f_s^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)} = \int_D f_s^- dx \leq \|f^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D f_s^+ dx &= \int_D f_s dx + \int_D f_s^- dx \\ &= \int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^{n-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + \int_D f_s^- dx \\ &\leq \int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^{n-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + \|f^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim (3.12) is proven. Next we apply Fatou lemma

$$\int_D f^+ dx \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_D f_s^+ \leq \int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^{n-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + \|f^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)}$$

and complete the first step. We now collect what we have got in this step as a lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_s\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)} &\leq \int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^{n-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + 2\|f^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)} \text{ and} \\ \|f\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)} &\leq \int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^{n-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + 2\|f^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v)$ is a Radon measure on D and

$$\begin{aligned} |(-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v))(\phi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v)) \phi dx \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^{n-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + 2\|f^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)} \right) \|\phi\|_{C^0(D)} \end{aligned}$$

for any continuous function with compact support $\phi \in C_c^0(D)$, provided s is appropriately large.

Step 2. In this step we want to show that in fact $-\Delta_n v$ is a Radon measure in D . The analysis in this step is very subtle and one needs to be very careful to understand the Radon measure $-\Delta_n v$ from $-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v)$. We start with $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$

$$(-\Delta_n v)(\phi) = (|\nabla v|^{n-2} \nabla v)(\nabla \phi)$$

by the definition of distributional derivatives. We then claim that $|\nabla v|^{n-1} \in L^1(D, |dx|^2)$ (cf. Lemma 3.4). Notice that $\nabla \alpha_s(v) = \alpha'_s(v) \nabla v$ and $\alpha'_s(v) \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta_n v)(\phi) &= \int |\nabla v|^{n-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi \\ (3.14) \quad &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^{n-2} \nabla \alpha_s(v) \cdot \nabla \phi \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v))(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.2,

$$|(-\Delta_n v)(\phi)| = \left| \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_D -\Delta_n \alpha_s(v) \phi dx \right| \leq 2 \|f^-\|_{L^1(D, |dx|^2)} \|\phi\|_{C^0(D)},$$

which implies that $-\Delta_n v$ is a Radon measure on D . In fact we want to be a bit more careful and calculate the following according to (3.13) and Step 1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D (-\Delta_n v) \phi dx + (-\Delta_n v)|_{\mathcal{S}}(\phi) &= (-\Delta_n v)(\phi) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_D -\Delta_n \alpha_s(v) \phi dx \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_D [(\alpha'_s(v))^{n-1} (-\Delta_n v) - (n-1)(\alpha'_s(v))^{n-2} \alpha''_s(v) |\nabla v|^n] dx \\ &\geq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_D (\alpha'_s(v))^{n-1} (-\Delta_n v) dx \\ &\geq \int_D (-\Delta_n v) \phi dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $-\Delta_n v$ is nonnegative on its support \mathcal{S} . Let us first collect what we have derived from the claim in this step as the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.2, $-\Delta_n v$ is a Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^n and is nonnegative on its support ∂D .*

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.3, we state a lemma to ensure the claim we made in the above. This lemma is well known for n -superharmonic functions (cf. [KM92] and references therein). We want to extend it to our cases.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.2, for any $1 \leq q < n$,*

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^q(D, |dx|^2)} \leq C \text{ and } \|\nabla \alpha_s(v)\|_{L^q(D, |dx|^2)} \leq C$$

for a constant C that is independent of s .

Proof. First we introduce the notations

$$D_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : v(x) > k\}$$

where we consider $v(x) = \infty$ on \mathcal{S} . We may assume that $D_k \subset D$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. We start with the estimates using Hölder inequality

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{D_l} |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^q dx &= \int_{D_l} \alpha_s(v)^q |\alpha_s(v)^{-1} \nabla \alpha_s(v)|^q dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{D_l} \alpha_s(v)^{\frac{nq}{n-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-q}{n}} \left(\int_{D_l} |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first factor in the right hand side of (3.15), we rely on the Sobolev inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_s(v)\|_{L^{\frac{nq}{n-q}}(D_l, |dx|^2)} &\leq \|\alpha_s(v) - l\|_{L^{\frac{nq}{n-q}}(D_l, |dx|^2)} + \|l\|_{L^{\frac{nq}{n-q}}(D_l, |dx|^2)} \\ &\leq C(n) \|\nabla \alpha_s(v)\|_{L^q(D_l, |dx|^2)} + l Vol(D_l)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.16) \quad \|\alpha_s(v)\|_{L^{\frac{nq}{n-q}}(D_l)}^q \leq 2^{q-1} (C(n)^q \int_{D_l} |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^q dx + l^q Vol(D_l)^{1 - \frac{q}{n}}).$$

Next, we want to estimate the second factor in the right hand side of (3.15). Thanks to Theorem 3.1, we know

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} cap_n(D_l, D_k) = 0$$

for any fixed and large k . k is chosen such that, for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \int_{D_k} |f_s| dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\frac{n}{q}}.$$

Then, for this fixed and large k , there exists l and a test function $\zeta(x)$ such that

$$\zeta(x) \in C_c^\infty(D_k), \zeta \in [0, 1], \zeta \equiv 1 \text{ on } D_l$$

and moreover

$$(3.18) \quad \int |\nabla \zeta|^n dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} \epsilon^{\frac{n}{q}}.$$

Multiplying $\zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n+1}$ to both sides of (3.11) and integrating, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f_s \zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n+1} dx &= \int (-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v)) \zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n+1} dx \\ &= \int |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^{n-2} \nabla \alpha_s(v) \cdot \nabla (\zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n+1}) dx \\ &= n \int |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^{n-2} \alpha_s(v)^{-n+1} \zeta^{n-1} \nabla \alpha_s(v) \cdot \nabla \zeta dx - (n-1) \int |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^n \zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n} dx, \end{aligned}$$

wich implies

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1) \int |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^n \zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n} dx &\leq \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \int_{D_k} |f_s| dx \\ &+ \left(\frac{n}{n-1} (n-2) \int |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^n \zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n} dx \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(n \left(\frac{n-1}{n-2} \right)^{n-1} \int |\nabla \zeta|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.19) \quad \int |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^n \zeta^n \alpha_s(v)^{-n} dx &\leq \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \int_{D_k} |f_s| dx + \left(\frac{n-1}{n-2} \right)^{n-1} \int |\nabla \zeta|^n dx \\ &\leq \epsilon^{\frac{n}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

in the light of (3.17) and (3.18). Combining (3.15), (3.16) and (3.19), we arrive at

$$\int_{D_l} |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^q dx \leq 2^{q-1} C(n)^q \epsilon \int_{D_l} |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^q dx + 2^{q-1} l^q \epsilon \text{Vol}(D_l)^{1-\frac{q}{n}},$$

For appropriate choice of ϵ, k, l so that $2^{q-1} C(n)^q \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ (independent of s), the above implies

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{D_l} |\nabla \alpha_s(v)|^q dx \leq 2^q l^q \epsilon \text{Vol}(D_l)^{1-\frac{q}{n}} < \infty$$

and finishes the proof of this lemma by Fatou lemma. \square

Step 3. In this step we want to build an n -superharmonic function as a sharp upper bound to v so that the strengthened [MQ18, Theorem 1.1] is applicable. For this purpose we introduce the desired n -superharmonic function $\mathcal{A}(v)$ to be the solution to

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_n \mathcal{A}(v) = (-\Delta_n v)^+ \text{ in } D \\ \mathcal{A}(v) = v \text{ on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

For the existence and properties of $\mathcal{A}(v)$, we follow [KM92]. Specifically we follow the idea in the proof of [KM92, Theorem 2.4] to find the n -superharmonic function $\mathcal{A}(v)$. From Step

2, we know $(-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v))$ as Radon measures converges to the Radon measure $(-\Delta_n v)$, which implies $(-\Delta \alpha_s(v))^+$ converges to $(-\Delta v)^+$. Hence we consider

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_n \mathcal{A}_s(v) = (-\Delta_n \alpha_s(v))^+ \text{ in } D \\ \mathcal{A}_s(v) = \alpha_s(v) \text{ on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

The existences and uniqueness of $\mathcal{A}_s(v)$ is due to [BG89, Theorem 1 and Remark 1], for instance. Notice that we may let

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n : v(x) > 1\}.$$

Hence $\alpha_s(v) = v = 1$ on ∂D when s is appropriately large. Regarding regularity, for example, thanks to [Te14, Theorem 3.3], $\mathcal{A}_s(v) \in C^{1,\gamma}$. Readers are referred to [BG89, Te14] for more references and history. As in the proof [KM92, Theorem 2.4], from [KM92, Theorem 1.17], at least for a sequence $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{A}_s(v)$ converges to the n -superharmonic function $\mathcal{A}(v)$ that satisfies (3.21).

Lemma 3.5. *For s appropriately large, in D ,*

$$\mathcal{A}_s(v) + 1 \geq \alpha_s(v)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_s(v)$ is the solution to (3.22).

Proof. For simplicity, we substitute $\alpha_s(v)$ by a_s and $\mathcal{A}_s(v) + 1$ by b_s . Assume otherwise that there is a point $x_0 \in D$ such that $b_s(x_0) < a_s(x_0)$. Then we can find a domain $U \subset D$ such that $b_s < a_s$ in U and $b_s = a_s$ on ∂U . Obviously ∂U is in the interior of D because $\mathcal{A}_s(v) = \alpha_s(v)$ on ∂D . We now choose a nonnegative cutoff function $\phi_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(U)$ such that

$$\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in U_{2\varepsilon} = \{x \in U; d(x, \partial U) \geq 2\varepsilon\}, \\ 0, & x \in U \setminus U_\varepsilon = \{x \in U : d(x, \partial U) < \varepsilon\} \\ \in [0, 1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We then calculate, from (3.22) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_U f_s^-(a_s - b_s) dx \\ &= \int_U (|\nabla b_s|^{n-2} \nabla b_s - |\nabla a_s|^{n-2} \nabla a_s) \cdot (\nabla a_s - \nabla b_s) \phi_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \int_U (|\nabla b_s|^{n-2} \nabla b_s - |\nabla a_s|^{n-2} \nabla a_s) \cdot (a_s - b_s) \nabla \phi_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$(3.23) \quad 0 \leq -2^{1-n} \int_U |\nabla b_s - \nabla a_s|^n \phi_\varepsilon + C \int_U (|\nabla b_s|^{n-1} + |\nabla a_s|^{n-1}) (a_s - b_s) |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon|,$$

where we use the following inequality

$$(|\vec{a}|^{n-2}\vec{a} - |\vec{b}|^{n-2}\vec{b}) \cdot (\vec{a} - \vec{b}) \geq 2^{1-n}|\vec{a} - \vec{b}|^n$$

for any vectors $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $a_s = b_s$ on ∂U , we may assume $|a_s - b_s| \leq C\varepsilon$ in $\text{supp}(\nabla\phi_\varepsilon)$ by their regularities (as we mentioned \bar{U} is in the interior of D). We also naturally have $|\nabla\phi_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$ for the cutoff function ϕ_ε . Hence

$$(a_s - b_s)|\nabla\phi_\varepsilon| \leq C.$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and derive a contradiction in (3.23) (we may need to adjust $b_s = \mathcal{A}_s(v) + \lambda$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ so that ∂U is at least C^1 if necessary). Unless

$$\nabla b_s \equiv \nabla a_s \text{ in } U$$

which is again a contradiction to the assumption of the proof $b_s(x_0) < a_s(x_0)$. \square

Consequently, we know that

$$(3.24) \quad v \leq \mathcal{A}(v) + 1.$$

Step 4. In this step we want to show that the point mass $(-\Delta_n v)(\{p\})$ has a uniform lower bound for any p in the support \mathcal{S} of the Radon measure $-\Delta_n v$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. By Step 2, we know that $(-\Delta_n v)(\{p\}) = (-\Delta_n v)^+(\{p\})$ for $p \in \mathcal{S}$. Our argument in this step therefore relies on the following strengthened two aspects of [MQ18, Theorem 1.1]. The first is

Theorem 3.3. *Let w be a nonnegative lower semi-continuous function that is n -superharmonic in $B(0, 2) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and*

$$-\Delta_n u = \mu \geq 0$$

for a Radon measure $\mu \geq 0$ and the origin is in the support \mathcal{S} of the Radon measure μ . Then there is a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which is n -thin at the origin, such that

$$\lim_{x \notin E \text{ and } |x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\log \frac{1}{|x|}} = \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\log \frac{1}{|x|}} = m \geq 0$$

and

$$u(x) \geq m \log \frac{1}{|x|} - C \text{ for } x \in B(0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{S} \text{ and some } C.$$

Moreover, if $(B(0, 2) \setminus \mathcal{S}, e^{2u}|dx|^2)$ is complete at the origin, then $m \geq 1$.

The difference of Theorem 3.3 from [MQ18, Theorem 1.1] is that, when using completeness at the origin to derive $m \geq 1$, the assumption of the origin being isolated singularity is dropped. Theorem 3.3 indeed applies to $\mathcal{A}(v)$, since the completeness at the origin for the metric $e^{2v}|dx|^2$ implies the completeness at the origin for the metric $e^{2\mathcal{A}(v)}|dx|^2$ by (3.24).

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We will only need to focus on the last statement to conclude $m \geq 1$. All the rest goes exactly as in [MQ18]. It is easily seen that, if we can find a ray connecting the origin to some point in $B(0, 2) \setminus \mathcal{S}$, then the length of such ray under the metric $e^{2u}|dx|^2$ will be finite if $m < 1$. In the following we present a proof of the existence of such ray in the way similar to the idea used in the proof of [ArH73, Theorem 5.2] in 2 dimensions.

Let P be the radial project

$$P = \frac{x}{|x|} : B(0, r) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Clearly, P is a Lipschitz map. Recall

$$\omega_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-i-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^{-i}\} \text{ and } \Omega_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-i-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{-i+1}\}.$$

Applying [AdH99, Theorem 5.2.1], we have

$$\text{cap}_n(P(\omega_i \cap E), \Omega_{-1}) \leq C \text{cap}_n(\omega_i \cap E, \Omega_i)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}_n\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} P(\omega_i \cap E), \Omega_{-1}\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_n(P(\omega_i \cap E), \Omega_{-1}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{cap}_n(\omega_i \cap E, \Omega_i) \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{i-1} \text{cap}_n(\omega_i \cap E, \Omega_i), \end{aligned}$$

which is finite since E is n -thin at the origin under [MQ18, Definition 3.1]. Therefore

$$\text{cap}_n\left(\bigcup_{i=i_0}^{\infty} P(\omega_i \cap E), \Omega_{-1}\right)$$

is arbitrarily small as i_0 chosen to be large. From $\text{cap}_n(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(1), \Omega_{-1}) > 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.1), it then implies that there is a ray from $\omega_{i_0} \setminus E$ to the origin in $B(0, 2^{-i_0}) \setminus E$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

Notice that here $\mathcal{S} \subset E$ in Theorem 3.3. The second strengthened aspect of the [MQ18, Theorem 1.1] is the following

Lemma 3.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, when applying Theorem 3.3,*

$$n\omega_n m^{n-1} \leq \mu(\{0\}).$$

Proof. We continue using the notations in the proof of Theorem 3.3. For $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$G_{m-\epsilon, L} = (m - \epsilon) \log \frac{1}{|x|} + L.$$

We want to pick up sequence of domains $\{U_k\}$ for a sequence of numbers $L_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

- $\mathcal{A}(v) \geq G_{\epsilon, L_k}$ in domain U_k ;
- $\mathcal{A}(v) = G_{\epsilon, L_k}$ on ∂U_k ;
- $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k = \{0\}$.

Notice that this requires that $\partial U_k \cap E = \emptyset$. Assume such $\{U_k\}$ exists. Then one observes that, on the boundary ∂U_k ,

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \mathcal{A}(v) - \nabla G_{\epsilon, k} &= \left(\frac{\partial(\mathcal{A}(v) - G_{\epsilon, k})}{\partial n}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(v)}{\partial n} &\geq \frac{\partial G_{\epsilon, k}}{\partial n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the divergence theorem,

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} (-\Delta_n \mathcal{A}(v))(U_k) &= \int_{\partial U_k} |\nabla \mathcal{A}(v)|^{n-2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(v)}{\partial n} \\ &\geq \int_{\partial U_k} |\nabla G_{\epsilon, k}|^{n-2} \frac{\partial G_{\epsilon, k}}{\partial n} \\ &= n\omega_n(m - \epsilon)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

which implies this lemma. So the rest is to construct the sequence $\{U_k\}$.

In the light of [MQ18, Lemma 5.1] (also see [Re94, Lemma 1.4 page 212] and [Ge61, Theorem 4]), for a straight line segment l_i connecting the inner sphere to the outer sphere of ω_i , we have

$$\text{cap}_n(l_i, \Omega_i) = \text{cap}_n(l_0, \Omega_0) \geq \frac{c_n}{(\log 3)^{n-1}} > 0.$$

Hence there are plenty spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\lambda) \subset \omega_i \setminus E$ at least for i sufficiently large. We may consider a sequence $r_k \rightarrow 0$ such that the spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r_k) \cap E = \emptyset$. Let

$$L_k = \min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r_k)} (\mathcal{A}(v) - (m - \epsilon) \log \frac{1}{|x|}) - 1.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}(v) \geq G_{\epsilon, k} + 1 > G_{\epsilon, k} \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r_k).$$

Because

- $G_{\epsilon, k}(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$;
- $\mathcal{A}(v)(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as x approaches \mathcal{S} away from the origin;
- $\mathcal{A}(v)(x) \geq m \log \frac{1}{|x|} - C$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ away from \mathcal{S} .

There exists U_k as desired. Finally, notice that $\mathcal{A}(v)$ is at least $C^{1, \gamma}$ away from the singular set \mathcal{S} due to [Te14, Theorem 3.3]. The regularity of U_k therefore is guaranteed. This completes the proof of this lemma. \square

Finally Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.3 together produce a contradiction if the singular set \mathcal{S} is infinite. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

To end this subsection we state a corollary to Theorem 3.2 in a more geometric fashion.

Corollary 3.2. *For $n \geq 3$, let Ω be a domain in the standard unit round sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$. Suppose that, on Ω , there is a complete conformal metric $g = e^{2u}g_{\mathbb{S}}$ satisfying either Ric_g is nonnegative outside a compact subset or*

- (1) $Ric_g^- \in L^1(\Omega, g) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, g)$
- (2) $R_g \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$ and $|\nabla^g R_g| \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$.

Then $\partial\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \Omega$ is a finite point set.

Proof. We only need to verify the two assumptions of Theorem 3.2. First, since g is complete and the scalar curvature is bounded, directly applying [CHY04, Proposition 8.1], we know

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} w(x) = +\infty.$$

Now, via a stereographic projection, let $e^{2u}g_{\mathbb{S}} = e^{2u}|dx|^2$. We want to prove

$$Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \in L^1(\Omega, |dx|^2)$$

instead, which is equivalent to one of the second assumptions in Theorem 3.2. From the scalar curvature equation

$$0 = -\frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta_g(e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}u}) + R_g e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}u},$$

and Cheng-Yau's gradient estimate (cf. [SY94, Theorem 2.12, Chapter VI] and [CQY00, Lemma 2.3]), under the assumptions

- (1) Ric_g is bounded from below;
- (2) both $R_g \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$ and $|\nabla^g R_g| \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$,

we know that

$$|\nabla_g u| \leq C \text{ and equivalently } |\nabla u| \leq e^u,$$

which implies

$$\int_M Ric_g^- |\nabla u|^{n-2} e^{2u} \leq \int_M Ric_g^- e^{nu} \leq \|Ric_g^-\|_{L^1(\Omega, g)}.$$

So Theorem 3.2 applies. \square

Before we end this subsection we want to share examples to compare Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.2 to [CH02, Theorem 2.1] and its improvement [Car20, Corollary 2.4] in cases when ambient manifolds are round spheres. Particularly, no condition on the upper bound of Ricci is assumed in Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.2. Let us consider a conformal metric $g = e^{2u}|dx|^2 = v^{\frac{4}{n-2}}|dx|^2$ on the entire Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{p_0\}$, where

$$v(x) = v(|x|) = \left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{m(n-2)}{4}} \text{ and } u = \frac{m}{2} \log \frac{2}{1+|x|^2} \text{ when } |x| \text{ is large.}$$

We may deform g to have negative Ricci in the region when $|x|$ is not large. For completeness and noncompactness, $m \in [0, 1]$. Hence its scalar curvature is

$$\begin{aligned} R_g &= \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}(-\Delta v)v^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \\ &= n(n-1)\left(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{m(2-m)(n-2)}{4n}|x|^2\right)\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{(2-m)} \end{aligned}$$

and Ricci curvature tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} (Ric_g)_{ij} &= -(n-2)u_{ij} + (n-2)u_i u_j - \Delta u \delta_{ij} - (n-2)|\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} \\ &= \frac{m}{2}(n-1)\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{m(2-m)(n-2)|x|^2}{4}\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^2 \delta_{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{m(2-m)(n-2)x_i x_j}{4}\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $m \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Vol^g(B_r(0)) &\lesssim \int_0^r |x|^{-mn}|x|^{n-1}d|x| \lesssim r^{n-mn} \\ \text{and } Vol^g(B_r(x_0)) &\lesssim Vol^{|dx|^2}(B_r(x_0)) \lesssim r^n \text{ for any } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |R_g^+|^{\frac{n}{2}} dvol_g \simeq \int_0^\infty |x|^{-n-mn}|x|^{mn}|x|^{n-1} \simeq \infty$$

and similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Ric_g|^{\frac{n}{2}} dvol_g \simeq \int_0^\infty |x|^{-n-mn}|x|^{mn}|x|^{n-1} \simeq \infty.$$

On the other hand, Ric_g clearly turns nonnegative when $|x|$ is sufficiently large and is not asymptotically vanishing. Therefore the assumption $Ric \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g)$ in [CH02, Theorem 2.1] and [Car20, Corollary 2.4] is not satisfied. But Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.2 cover the cases in these examples.

4. INJECTIVITY OF CONFORMAL IMMERSIONS

In [CQY00, Theorem 3], in order to identify the compactification, it invoked the injectivity theorem of Schoen-Yau [SY88] (cf. [CH06, LUY20, CL20]). The injectivity theorem of Schoen-Yau is available for [CQY00, Theorem 3] because the scalar curvature is assumed to be strictly positive. In this section we consider manifolds that have a conformal immersion

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$$

instead simply are domains in \mathbb{S}^n . Our approach follows closely to what was in [SY88] but we would like to have the injectivity when the scalar curvature is allowed to have some negativity.

We believe the discussion in this section has importance in its own, besides it strengthens Theorem 3.2.

To describe the end behavior that is related to the injectivity, Schoen-Yau in [SY88] introduced the following very important quantity:

$$(4.1) \quad d(M) = \frac{n-2}{2} \inf\{\gamma : \text{such that } \int_{M \setminus \mathcal{O}} G_o^\gamma d\text{vol}_g < \infty\}$$

where G_o is the positive minimal Green function for the conformal Laplacian at a base point $o \in M^n$ and \mathcal{O} is an open neighborhood of o in M^n . First, Schoen-Yau made the following estimate of $d(M)$ when the scalar curvature is nonnegative.

Proposition 4.1. (Schoen-Yau [SY88, Proposition 2.4]) *Suppose that (M^n, g) is complete and that there is a conformal immersion $\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$. Assume the scalar curvature is nonnegative. Then $d(M) \leq \frac{n}{2}$.*

It is remarkable that Schoen-Yau managed to use $d(M)$ to gain the injectivity.

Theorem 4.1. (Schoen-Yau [SY88, Theorem 3.1]) *Suppose that (M^n, g) is complete and that there is a conformal immersion $\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$. Assume the scalar curvature of (M, g) is bounded from below and $d(M) < \frac{(n-2)^2}{n}$. For the dimension $n = 3, 4$, assume in addition, the scalar curvature is bounded. Then Φ is an embedding.*

As a consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.1 in [SY88], they derived the injectivity when the scalar curvature is nonnegative and the dimension is greater than 6. The proof of the rest of the injectivity theorem of Schoen-Yau [SY88] used a different argument based on a variant of positive mass theorem (cf. [CH06, LUY20, CL20]).

We will produce a version of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.1 under different assumptions. We will then prove the main theorem as an improvement of Theorem 3.2.

4.1. Estimates of $d(M)$. In this subsection we want to state and prove a variant of Proposition 4.1 to give an estimate of $d(M)$ under integral lower bound of the scalar curvature. The proof is a modification of the proof of Proposition 2.4 in [SY88].

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that (M^n, g) ($n \geq 3$) is a manifold that has a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that $R_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g)$. Then $d(M) \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. Given $o \in M$, we let G_o be the minimal Green function with pole at o . Let \mathcal{O} be an open neighborhood of o . Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an exhaustion of M such that $\bar{U}_i \subset U_{i+1}$ and \bar{U}_i is compact

for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Consider the positive Green function G_i that satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta G_i + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g G_i = \delta_o. \\ G_i|_{\partial U_i} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $G_i \rightarrow G_o$ on M in C^∞ over any compact subset since G_o is the minimal Green function. On $U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$-\Delta G_i + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g^+ G_i = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g^- G_i,$$

where R_g^+ and R_g^- are the positive and negative part of the scalar curvature R_g respectively. For simplicity, we will use $c(n) = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}$ in the following. Multiplying by G_i^ε for any small $\varepsilon > 0$ and integrating on $U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}$ on both sides, we have

$$\int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} -G_i^\varepsilon \Delta G_i + c(n) R_g^+ G_i^{1+\varepsilon} = c(n) \int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} R_g^- G_i^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Then we have

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} \frac{4\varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} |\nabla G_i^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}|^2 + c(n) R_g^+ G_i^{1+\varepsilon} \leq c(n) \|R_g^-\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M \setminus \mathcal{O})} \left(\int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} G_i^{\frac{n(1+\varepsilon)}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} + C,$$

where we use $\int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \nu} G_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} G^\varepsilon$. Notice that, different from [SY88], we use the integral lower bound of the scalar curvature instead.

Suppose $\mathcal{O} \subset U_1$, let ζ be a cut off function which is 1 in \mathcal{O} and 0 outside U_1 . By the Sobolev inequality from [SY88, Proposition 2.2],

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} G_i^{\frac{n(1+\varepsilon)}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} &\leq C \int_{U_i} [(1-\zeta)G_i]^{\frac{n(1+\varepsilon)}{n-2}} \frac{n-2}{n} + C \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{U_i} |\nabla((1-\zeta)G_i)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}|^2 + c(n) R_g^+ ((1-\zeta)G_i)^{1+\varepsilon} \right) + C \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{U_i} |\nabla(G_i)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}|^2 + c(n) R_g^+ G_i^{1+\varepsilon} \right) + C \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} \frac{4\varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} |\nabla G_i^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}|^2 + c(n) R_g^+ G_i^{1+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C \|R_g^-\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M \setminus \mathcal{O})} \left[\int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} \frac{4\varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} |\nabla G_i^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}|^2 + c(n) R_g^+ G_i^{1+\varepsilon} \right] + C \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the assumption $R_g^- \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g)$, we choose \mathcal{O} such that $\|R_g^-\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M \setminus \mathcal{O})}$ is sufficiently small and get

$$\int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} |\nabla G_i^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}|^2 + c(n) R_g^+ G_i^{1+\varepsilon} \leq C(\varepsilon, \mathcal{O}, \|R_g^-\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M \setminus \mathcal{O})}),$$

and

$$\int_{U_i \setminus \mathcal{O}} G_i^{\frac{n(1+\varepsilon)}{n-2}} \leq C(\varepsilon).$$

This finishes the proof of this proposition. \square

4.2. Injectivity from the integral lower bound on Ricci curvature. Let us first state the injectivity theorem in term of integral lower bound on Ricci curvature. Recall from Lemma 2.4 in Section 2.3, the integral lower bound on Ricci curvature implies p-parabolicity.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete, noncompact manifold. And suppose that there is a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that $\text{Ric}_g^- \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(M, g)$ for some $p \in (n, \infty]$. Then Φ is injective provided that

$$d(M) < \frac{(n-2)^2 p}{(p-2)n}.$$

Proof. Our proof is a modification of the proof of [SY88, Theorem 3.1]. Readers are referred to more details in [SY88]. As in [SY88], for a point $o \in M$, let G_o be the minimal Green function and \bar{G}_o be the pull-back of the Green function on the sphere. And let

$$v = \frac{G_o}{\bar{G}_o}.$$

Then, as observed in [SY88],

$$\tilde{g} = G_o^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g = v^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \bar{g} \text{ and } \bar{g} = \bar{G}_o^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$$

where \bar{g} is the pull-back from the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{\Phi(o)\}$. Hence v is a harmonic function with respect to the flat metric \bar{g} because both \tilde{g} and \bar{g} are scalar-flat. By the definition, it is easily seen that $0 < v \leq 1$. The goal is to show that $v \equiv 1$. Starting from the Bochner formula [SY88, (3.1)] for v

$$\bar{\Delta} |\bar{\nabla} v|^2 = 2 |\bar{\nabla} \bar{\nabla} v|^2,$$

for $\alpha = \frac{2(n-2)}{n}$, it is shown that

$$\int_M \phi^2 |\bar{\nabla} v|^{\alpha-2} |\bar{\nabla} |\bar{\nabla} v||^2 dv \bar{o}l \leq C \int_M |\bar{\nabla} \phi|^2 |\bar{\nabla} v|^{\alpha} dv \bar{o}l = C \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 \bar{G}_o^{\alpha} |\nabla v|^{\alpha} dv \bar{o}l$$

(cf. [SY88, (3.4)]), where quantities with an upper bar are those taken with respect to the metric \bar{g} , for any smooth function ϕ with compact support. Hence

$$(4.3) \quad \int_M \phi^2 |\bar{\nabla} v|^{\alpha-2} |\bar{\nabla} |\bar{\nabla} v||^2 d\bar{vol} \leq C \left(\int_M |\nabla \phi|^p dvol \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_{\text{supp} \nabla \phi} (\bar{G}_o^\alpha |\nabla v|^\alpha)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} dvol \right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$$

for any smooth function with compact support on M . (4.3) is where we deviate from [SY88]. We will handle the first factor of the right hand side of (4.3) by p-parabolicity, which is available thanks to Lemma 2.4 in Section 2.3. According to Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 in Section 2.3, there is a smooth function ϕ of compact support that is equal to 1 inside a big ball $B(o, 2r)$ and equal to 0 outside an even bigger ball $B(o, R)$ such that $\int_M |\nabla \phi|^p dvol$ is as small as desired for appropriate choice of r and R .

To estimate the second factor in the right hand side of (4.3) is to estimate

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\text{supp} \nabla \phi} \bar{G}_o^\beta |\nabla v|^\beta \text{ where } \beta = \frac{2p(n-2)}{n(p-2)} \in (0, 2)$$

since $p \in (n, \infty]$. Here the same idea of the argument in [SY88] applies. For the convenience of readers, we include an outline. Notice that

$$\bar{G}_o^\beta |\nabla v|^\beta \leq C(|\nabla G_o|^\beta + G_o^\beta |\nabla \log \bar{G}_o|^\beta)$$

due to the definition of v . Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\beta(2-\beta)$. Then

$$|\nabla G_o|^\beta = G_o^\gamma G_o^{-\gamma} |\nabla G_o|^\beta \leq \frac{2-\beta}{2} G_o^\beta + \frac{\beta}{2} G_o^\beta |\nabla \log G_o|^2$$

and

$$G_o^\beta |\nabla \log \bar{G}_o|^\beta \leq G_o^\beta \left(\frac{2-\beta}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} |\nabla \log \bar{G}_o|^2 \right) \leq \frac{2-\beta}{2} G_o^\beta + \frac{\beta}{2} G_o^\beta |\nabla \log \bar{G}_o|^2$$

by Young's inequality. To treat $G_o^\beta |\nabla \log \bar{G}_o|^2$, we realize, away from the poles,

$$\Delta \log \bar{G}_o - \Delta \log G_o = |\nabla \log G_o|^2 - |\nabla \log \bar{G}_o|^2,$$

which helps to derive

$$\int_M \psi^2 G_o^\beta |\nabla \log \bar{G}_o|^2 \leq C \int_M \psi^2 G_o^\beta |\nabla \log G_o|^2 + C \int_M \psi^2 G_o^\beta$$

and [SY88, (3.6)]

$$(4.5) \quad \int_M \psi^2 \bar{G}_o^\beta |\nabla v|^\beta \leq C \int_M \psi^2 G_o^{\beta-2} |\nabla G_o|^2 + C \int_M \psi^2 G_o^\beta$$

for any smooth function ψ with a compact support away from the point o . In fact we will choose ψ that is identically one on $\bar{B}(o, R) \setminus B(o, 2r)$ and vanishes outside $\bar{B}(o, 2R) \setminus B(o, r)$ which

includes the support of ϕ . Finally, to estimate the first term in the right hand side of (4.5), we multiply $G^{\beta-1}\psi^2$ to both sides of the equation

$$-\Delta G_o = -\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}R_g G_o.$$

and integrate to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \psi^2 G_o^{\beta-2} |\nabla G_o|^2 &\leq C \int_M \psi^2 R_g^- G_o^\beta + C \int_M G_o^\beta |\nabla \psi|^2 \\ &\leq C \|R_g^-\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(M,g)} \left(\int_M (\psi^2 G_o^\beta)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + C \int_M G_o^\beta |\nabla \psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Similar to [SY88], when

$$d(M) < \frac{p(n-2)^2}{n(p-2)} = \frac{n-2}{2}\beta,$$

we have

$$\int_{M \setminus \mathcal{O}} G_o^\beta < \infty \text{ and } \int_{M \setminus \mathcal{O}} G_o^{\beta \frac{p}{p-2}} < \infty.$$

Thus we may arrange the sizes of r and R to derive

$$\int_{B(o,2r)} |\bar{\nabla} v|^{\alpha-2} |\bar{\nabla} |\bar{\nabla} v||^2 d\text{vol}$$

is as small as desired and therefore vanishes, which implies $v \equiv 1$ as argued in [SY88]. \square

Combining with Proposition 4.2 from the previous subsection we have

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose that (M^n, g) is a complete, noncompact manifold. And suppose that there is a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that $\text{Ric}_g^- \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(M, g) \cap L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M, g)$ for some $p \in (n, \infty]$. Then Φ is injective provided that $n \geq 5$.

This is because of the interpolation property of L^p norms and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow n} \frac{p(n-2)^2}{n(p-2)} = n-2 > \frac{n}{2} \text{ when } n \geq 5.$$

4.3. Injectivity from n-parabolicity. In this subsection we prove an injectivity theorem using n-parabolicity only. Namely,

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that (M^n, g) ($n \geq 3$) is a complete noncompact manifold that has a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that (M^n, g) is n-parabolic. Then Φ is injective.

Proof. We continue to use the same set up and notations in the previous subsection. The goal is again to show that $v \equiv 1$ under the different assumption. The proof goes exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 3.2 in the previous subsection (cf. citeSY88) until (4.3), where $p = n$ now, that is,

$$(4.6) \quad \int_M \phi^2 |\bar{\nabla} v|^{\alpha-2} |\bar{\nabla} |\bar{\nabla} v||^2 d\bar{vol} \leq C \left(\int_M |\nabla \phi|^n d\text{vol} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{\text{supp} \nabla \phi} \bar{G}_o^2 |\nabla v|^2 d\text{vol} \right)^{1-\frac{2}{n}}$$

The first factor in the right hand side of (4.6) can be handled in the same spirit as before. It is as small as desired by n-parabolicity assumption for appropriate choices of ϕ .

The second factor in the right hand side of (4.6) becomes

$$\int_{\text{supp} \nabla \phi} \bar{G}_o^2 |\nabla v|^2 d\text{vol} = \int_{\text{supp} \nabla \phi} |\bar{\nabla} v|^2 d\bar{vol}.$$

In the following we want to show it is bounded for appropriate choices of ϕ . Again using the fact v is harmonic with respect to the flat metric \bar{g} , for a smooth function ψ that has a compact support away from the other poles of \bar{G}_o and is identically equal to one in a neighborhood $B(o, 2\rho)$ of o for some $\rho > 0$ (chosen later), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{M \setminus B(o, \rho)} \psi^2 v (-\bar{\Delta} v) d\bar{vol} = \int_{M \setminus B(o, \rho)} \psi^2 |\bar{\nabla} v|^2 d\bar{vol} \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{M \setminus B(o, \rho)} \psi v \nabla v \cdot \nabla \psi d\bar{vol} - \int_{\partial B(o, \rho)} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{n}} d\bar{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_{M \setminus B(o, \rho)} \psi^2 |\bar{\nabla} v|^2 d\bar{vol} \leq 4 \int_M |\bar{\nabla} \psi|^2 v^2 d\bar{vol} + 2 \int_{\partial B(o, \rho)} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{n}} d\bar{\sigma}.$$

The second term is a boundary integral on $\partial B(o, \rho)$, which is bounded when ρ is fixed. About ψ , applying the same argument as in [SY88], we may remove the restrictions of vanishing in a neighborhood of the other poles of \bar{G}_o . We therefore have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M |\bar{\nabla} \psi|^2 v^2 d\bar{vol} &= \int_M \bar{G}_o^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 d\text{vol} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\text{supp} \nabla \psi} |\nabla \psi|^n d\text{vol} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{\text{supp} \nabla \psi} \bar{G}_o^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\text{vol} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

for any smooth functions that has compact support and is identically one in $B(o, 2\rho)$. Now the first factor in the right hand side of (4.7) can be handled by the n-parabolicity assumption and the second factor of the right hand side of (4.7) is bound due to [SY88, Corollary 2.3]. Thus the second factor in the right hand side of (4.6) is bounded for appropriate choices of ψ after ϕ is chosen such as

- ψ is identically one on the support of $\nabla\phi$
- ψ is identically one in $B(o, 2\rho)$
- $\rho < r$ fixed appropriately.

One may fix $\rho > 0$ first, then fix $r > 4\rho$, and finally set R as large as desired. \square

n-parabolicity is a very interesting notion in conformal geometry though its significance needs to be explored more. For one thing, n-parabolicity is conformally invariant. Therefore it seems to capture that the Euclidean end is the same as the punctured point most efficiently. The injectivity theorem in this subsection is worth to mention even though it detached from rest of the discussions in this paper. It is very desirable to find some geometric conditions that can induce n-parabolicity.

4.4. Improvements of Theorem 3.2. In this subsection, as consequences of results in Section 4.1 and 4.2, we want to state the improvement of Theorem 3.2, particularly, from Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 4.1, which is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that (M^n, g) ($n \geq 5$) is a complete noncompact manifold. And suppose that there is a conformal immersion*

$$\Phi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}}).$$

Assume that either Ric_g is nonnegative outside a compact subset or

- (1) $Ric_g^- \in L^1(\Omega, g) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, g)$
- (2) $R_g \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$ and $|\nabla^g R_g| \in L^\infty(\Omega, g)$.

Then Φ is an embedding and $\partial\Phi(M) = \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \Phi(M)$ is a finite point set.

REFERENCES

- [AdH99] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, *Function spaces and potential theory*, Vol. 314, A Series of Comprehensive Studies of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Second printing 1999.
- [AM72] D. G. Adams and N. G. Meyers, *Thinness and Wiener criteria for non-linear potentials*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1972), 169 - 197.
- [AM73] D. G. Adams and N.G. Meyers, *Bessel potentials. Inclusion relations among classes of exceptional sets*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1972/73), 873 - 905.
- [ArH73] M. Arsove and A. Huber, *Local behavior of subharmonic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1973), 1191 - 1199.
- [BV89] M. F. Bidaut-Veron. *Local and global behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations of emden-fowler type*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, **107** (4) (1989), 293 - 324.
- [BMQ17] V. Bonini, S. Ma, and J. Qing, *Hypersurfaces with nonnegative Ricci curvature in hyperbolic space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **58** (2019), no. 1, 1 - 14. *arXiv: 1709.00091*.
- [BG89] L. Boccardo and T. Gallouet. *Non-linear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data*, J. Func. Anal., **87** (1989), 149 - 169.
- [Car20] G. Carron, *Euclidean volume growth for complete Riemannian manifolds*, Milan J. of Math (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00032-020-00321-8> and <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.04009>.
- [CH02] G. Carron and M. Herzlich, *The Huber theorem for non-compact conformally flat manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), 192 - 220.

- [CH06] G. Carron and M. Herzlich, *Conformally flat manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 3, 798 - 810.
- [CHY04] S-Y. A. Chang, F. Hang, P. Yang, *On a class of locally conformally flat manifolds*, IMRN **4** (2004), 185 - 209.
- [CL20] O. Chodosh and C. Li, *Generalized soap bubbles and the topology of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, preprint (2020): arXiv:2008.11888.
- [CQY00] S-Y. A. Chang, J. Qing, P. Yang, *Compactification of a class of conformally flat 4-manifold*, Invent. Math. **142** (2000), 65 - 93.
- [CV35] S. Cohn-Vossen, *Kürzeste Wege und Totalkrümung auf Flächen*, Compositio Math. **2** (1935), 69 - 133.
- [CHS00] T. Coulhon, I. Holopainen, L. Saloff-Coste, *Harnack inequality and hyperbolicity for subelliptic p -Laplacians with applications in Picard type theorems*, Geom and Func. Anal. **11** (2001), no. 6, 1139 - 1191.
- [DHM97] G. Dolzmann, N. Hungerbühler and S. Müller, *Non-linear elliptic systems with measure-valued right hand side*, Math. Z. **226** (1997), 545 - 574.
- [Gal88] S. Gallot, *Isoperimetric inequalities based on integral norms of Ricci curvature*, Asterisque, **157-158** (1988), 191 - 216.
- [Ge61] F. W. Gehring, *Symmetrization of rings in space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 499 - 519.
- [Hol90] I. Holopainen, *Nonlinear potential theory and quasiregular mappings on Riemannian manifolds*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. Dissertationes No. 74 (1990), 45 pp.
- [Hu57] A. Huber, *On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large*, Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1957), 13 - 72.
- [KM92] T. Kilpeläinen and J. Malý, *Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **19** (1992), no. 4, 591 - 613.
- [KM94] T. Kilpeläinen and J. Malý, *The wiener test and potential estimates for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Acta. Math. **172** (1994), 137 - 161.
- [KV86] S. Kichenassamy and L. Veron, *Singular solutions of the p -Laplace equation*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 599 - 615.
- [LUY20] M. Lesourd, R. Unger, and S.-T. Yau, *$R > 0$ for open manifolds and the positive mass conjecture with bends*, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c4EG87V8tWI> (2020).
- [MQ18] S. Ma and J. Qing, *On n -superharmonic functions and some geometric applications*, arXiv:1810.10561, 2018.
- [Re94] Y. G. Reshetnyak and Yuri Reshetnyak, *Stability theorems in geometry and analysis*, Mathematics and Its Applications, 1994.
- [SY88] R. Schoen, S. T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), 47 - 71.
- [SY94] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, International Press, 1994.
- [Te14] E.V. Teixeira. Regularity for quasilinear equations on degenerate singular sets. Math. Ann., **358** (2014), no. 1-2, 241 - 256.
- [Tro99] M. Troyanov, *Solving p -Laplacian on manifolds*, Proc. AMS, **128** (1999), No. 2, 541 - 545.
- [V17] L. Veron, *Local and Global Aspects of Quasilinear Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, New Jersey, World Scientific, 2017.