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#### Abstract

In the present series of papers, we study the behavior of $r$-fold zeta-functions of Euler-Zagier type with identical arguments $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$ on the real line. In this first part, we consider the behavior on the interval $[0,1]$. Our basic tool is an "infinite" version of Newton's classical identities. We carry out numerical computations, and draw graphs of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$ for real $s \in[0,1]$, for several small values of $r$. Those graphs suggest various properties of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$, some of which we prove rigorously. For example, we show that $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$ has $r$ asymptotes at $\Re s=1 / k(1 \leq k \leq r)$, and determine the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$ close to those asymptotes. Until now, the existence of one real zero of $\zeta_{2}(s, s)$ has been known. Our present computations establish several new real zeros between asymptotes in the cases $3 \leq r \leq 10$. Moreover, on the number of real zeros of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$, we raise a conjecture, and a formula for calculating the number of zeros on the interval $[0,1]$ is derived.


## 1. Introduction

The Euler-Zagier multiple zeta-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq m_{1}<m_{2}<\ldots<m_{r}} \frac{1}{m_{1}^{s_{1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{m_{2}^{s_{2}}} \cdots \frac{1}{m_{r}^{s_{r}}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$ are complex variables, has been studied extensively in recent decades. The series (1.1) is convergent absolutely when $\Re s_{j}(1 \leq j \leq r)$ are sufficiently large, but it can be continued meromorphically to the whole space $\mathbb{C}^{r}$ (see, for example, [1]). Analytic properties of $\zeta\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$ have been studied in a lot of papers, among which we mention here a numerical study on $\zeta_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ by the first-named author and M. Shōji [18] [19]. In [18] the case $s_{1}=s_{2}=s$ was treated, and the general two-variable case was discussed in [19. In particular, in [18] it has been shown that the distribution of the zeros of $\zeta_{2}(s, s)$ is not similar to that of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)=\zeta_{1}(s)$ (especially the Riemann hypothesis does not hold), but rather, has a resemblance to the distribution of the zeros of Hurwitz zeta-functions $\zeta(s, \alpha)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+\alpha)^{-s}$ $(0<\alpha \leq 1)$.

It is desirable to generalize the study [18] [19] to the general $r$-fold case. It is natural to consider first the case when all variables are identical: $s_{1}=\cdots=s_{r}=s$. We write

$$
\zeta_{r}(s)=\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)
$$

In [18], two topics were considered; the distribution of zeros of $\zeta_{2}(s)$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and the behavior of $\zeta_{2}(s)$ on the real axis $\mathbb{R}$. The aim of the present series of papers is to study the behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s)(r \geq 2)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. In this first part, we discuss the behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ on the interval $[0,1]$. The behavior outside this interval will be considered in the second part [17]. Moreover we have a plan of developing a study on $\zeta_{r}(s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ in the near future.

[^0]Our investigation is based on numerical computations on the behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We draw the graphs of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ for $2 \leq r \leq 10$, which suggest various properties of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$, some of which we prove rigorously. For example, we show that $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$ has $r$ asymptotes at $\Re s=1 / k(1 \leq k \leq r)$, and determine the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$ close to those asymptotes. We also prove asymptotic formulas for $\zeta_{r}(-k,-k, \ldots,-k)$, where $k$ takes odd positive integer values and tends to $+\infty$.

Moreover, numerical computations give some insight on the number of real zeros of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$. The existence of one real zero of $\zeta_{2}(s, s)$ has already been reported in [18]. Our present computations establish several new real zeros between asymptotes in the cases $3 \leq r \leq 10$. Moreover, on the number of real zeros of $\zeta_{r}(s, s, \ldots, s)$, we raise a conjecture, and a formula for calculating the number of zeros on the interval $[0,1]$ is derived.

As we mentioned above, there is some similarity between the behavior of $\zeta_{2}(s)$ and that of Hurwitz zetafunctions. Such similarity can also be expected for $\zeta_{r}(s), r \geq 3$. Therefore the study on the real zeros of Hurwitz zeta-functions can be suggestive in our research.

Recently there has been big progress on the study of real zeros of Hurwitz zeta-functions (see Schipani [27], Nakamura [24] [25], Matsusaka [21, and Endo and Suzuki (3]). It is an interesting problem to search for analogues of those studies in the case of $\zeta_{r}(s)$. It is to be mentioned that the idea included in those articles was already applied by Nakamura himself [25] to a variant of $\zeta_{2}(s)$ of Hurwitz-Lerch type, and by Sakurai [26] to Barnes double zeta-functions.

## 2. Newton's identities

In this section we prepare the basic identities among multiple zeta-functions, based on the classical identities of Newton, which we will use in our computations.

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers. The polynomial of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with roots $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{m=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{m}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} e_{r} x^{n-r} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{r}$ are symmetric polynomilas given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{r}=e_{r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq m_{1}<m_{2}<\cdots<m_{r} \leq n} x_{m_{1}} x_{m_{2}} \cdots x_{m_{r}} \quad(1 \leq r \leq n) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example $e_{1}=x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}, e_{2}=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} x_{i} x_{j}$, etc., and we interpret that $e_{0}=1$.
Define the $r$-th power sum

$$
p_{r}=p_{r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{r}+x_{2}^{r}+\cdots+x_{n}^{r} .
$$

Newton's identities are given by the following statement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r e_{r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{j-1} e_{r-j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) p_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \leq n$. This is due to Sir Issac Newton. Various proofs can be found in, for example, Zolberger [29], Kalman [7], and Mukherjee and Bera [23].

Now we let $x_{m}=m^{-s}$, where $s$ is a complex variable, and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{r}(s)=e_{r}\left(1^{-s}, 2^{-s}, \ldots, n^{-s}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq m_{1}<m_{2}<\cdots<m_{r} \leq n}\left(m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{r}\right)^{-s} \quad(1 \leq r \leq n) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. When $\Re s>1$, the limit of the right-hand side converges, and is equal to $\zeta_{r}(s)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{r}(s)=\zeta_{r}(s) \quad(\Re s>1) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{j}\left(1^{-s}, 2^{-s}, \ldots, n^{-s}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-j s}=\zeta(j s), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta(s)=\zeta_{1}(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function. Therefore, taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ of Newton's identity (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \zeta_{r}(s)=\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta_{r-j}(s) \zeta(j s) \quad(r \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we understand that $\zeta_{0}=1$. This identity is first valid for $\Re s>1$, but then, by the meromorphic continuation, it can be extended to any $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

This is not a new identity. In fact, this is essentially the well-known harmonic product formula, and Kamano [8] deduced (2.7) (in a little more generalized form) from the harmonic product formula. However our argument is different.

For several small values of $r$, this formula implies:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta_{2}(s)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\zeta(s)^{2}-\zeta(2 s)\right\}  \tag{2.8}\\
\zeta_{3}(s)=\frac{1}{3}\left\{\zeta_{2}(s) \zeta(s)-\zeta(s) \zeta(2 s)+\zeta(3 s)\right\}  \tag{2.9}\\
\zeta_{4}(s)=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\zeta_{3}(s) \zeta(s)-\zeta_{2}(s) \zeta(2 s)+\zeta(s) \zeta(3 s)-\zeta(4 s)\right\} . \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

From these formulas, it is also possible to get expressions of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ only in terms of the Riemann zeta-function. Substituting (2.8) into (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{3}(s)=\frac{1}{6}\left\{\zeta(s)^{3}-3 \zeta(s) \zeta(2 s)+2 \zeta(3 s)\right\} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{4}(s)=\frac{1}{24}\left\{\zeta(s)^{4}-6 \zeta(s)^{2} \zeta(2 s)+3 \zeta(2 s)^{2}+8 \zeta(s) \zeta(3 s)-6 \zeta(4 s)\right\} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a formal infinite polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(x-x_{m}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x_{m}=m^{-s}$, the right-hand side is equal to
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{x^{n}-x^{n-1} \sum_{m_{1}=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m_{1}^{s}}+x^{n-2} \sum_{1 \leq m_{1}<m_{2} \leq n} \frac{1}{\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{s}}-x^{n-3} \sum_{1 \leq m_{1}<m_{2}<m_{3} \leq n} \frac{1}{\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}\right)^{s}}+\ldots+\frac{(-1)^{n}}{(n!)^{s}}\right\}$,
whose each coefficient tends to $\zeta_{r}(s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It should be noted that the above expansion is based on the rules of Vieta (F. Viète) for the infinite polynomial (2.13). This observation shows that our fundamental formula (2.7) may be formally regarded as Newton's identities for the infinite polynomial (2.13).

The above argument was inspired by the second-named author's "new-nacci" method for solving polynomial roots. This method is based on the convergence of successive Fibonacci-type sequences, which are Newton's identities (see Tanackov et al. [28]).

## 3. The double and the triple zeta-functions

Before going into the discussion of general $r$-fold situation, in this section we observe the behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ on the real line for $r=2$ and 3 . Here we give the graphs not only on the interval $[0,1]$, but also outside this interval.
3.1. The double case. The analytic properties of the double zeta-function are well studied (see Matsumoto [15] [16], Kiuchi et al. 9 [11], Matsumoto and Tsumura [20], etc.). The double zeta-function with identical arguments $\zeta_{2}(s), s \in \mathbb{R}$, can be computed by (2.8) (see Fig 11).


Figure 1. The double zeta-function (Note that the vertical scale in the negative half-plane is different from that in the positive half-plane)

The graph of the double zeta-function has two vertical asymptotes $\Re s=1$ and $\Re s=1 / 2$ in the positive half-plane. The first one is caused by the factor $\zeta(s)$, while the second one by $\zeta(2 s)$, respectively. One zero $\zeta_{2}(0.6268175 \ldots)=0$ appears between these two vertical asymptotes. We call this type of zero an "inter-asymptotic" zero, and write IAZ for brevity.

All the stated values, the graph, the location of zeros for $\zeta_{2}(s)$ mentioned above were analyzed in detail by the first-named author and Shōji [18].
3.2. The triple case. Unlike the double zeta, the analytical properties of the triple zeta-function have been more modestly investigated (see Kiuchi and Tanigawa [10]). The values of the triple zeta-function have been discussed for different arguments of positive integer values (Markett [14], Hoffman and Moen 4], and Machide [12]).


Figure 2. The triple zeta-function (Note that the vertical scale in the negative half-plane is different from that in the positive half-plane)

We compute $\zeta_{3}(s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by using (2.9), (2.11) (see Fig 2). In the positive half-plane, the graph of the triple zeta-function has three vertical asymptotes: $\Re s=1,1 / 2$ and $1 / 3$. Vertical asymptotes $\Re s=1$ and $1 / 2$ are inherited from the double zeta-function, while the new vertical asymptote $\Re s=1 / 3$ is coming from the factor $\zeta(3 s)$ in (2.9), 2.11). There are two IAZs between the vertical asymptotes $\zeta_{3}(+0.385782)=0$ and $\zeta_{3}(+0.724902)=0$.

## 4. The cases $r=4,5$ and 6

Now we concentrate upon the behavior of multiple zeta-functions on the interval $[0,1]$. First, in this section, we present the graphs of $\zeta_{r}(s), s \in[0,1]$, for $r=4,5$ and 6 .

The quadruple zeta-function was studied, for example, by Machide [13]. The theory of the fourth power mean of the Riemann zeta-function (Motohashi [22], Ivić and Motohashi [6]) is somewhat relevant.

The quadruple zeta-function $\zeta_{4}(s)$ has four asymptotes: $\Re s=1,1 / 2,1 / 3$ and $1 / 4$, and has four IAZs in the interval $s \in[0,1]$ (see Fig 3):

- One IAZ $\in(1 / 4,1 / 3): \zeta_{4}(0,27886 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZ $\in(1 / 3,1 / 2): \zeta_{4}(0,387072 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Two IAZs $\in(1 / 2,1): \zeta_{4}(0,571348 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{4}(0,783444 \ldots) \approx 0$.

The quadruple zeta function $\zeta_{4}(s)$ has one minimum $\zeta_{4}(0,693658 \ldots) \approx-4,0699572 \ldots$ between vertical asymptotes $1 / 2$ and 1 .

There are two new features here:
(i) When $s \rightarrow 1 / 2$, the value $\zeta_{4}(s)$ tends to $+\infty$ for the both of the limits $s \rightarrow 1 / 2+0$ and $s \rightarrow 1 / 2-0$. The reason is that the pole of $\zeta_{4}(s)$ at $s=1 / 2$ is of order 2 , because of the term $3 \zeta(2 s)^{2}$ in (2.12).
(ii) In the interval $(1 / 2,1)$ there are two zeros.


Figure 3. The quadruple zeta-function for $s \in[0,1]$

Next, the five-fold zeta-function $\zeta_{5}(s)$ has five asymptotes: $\Re s=1,1 / 2,1 / 3,1 / 4$ and $1 / 5$, and has five IAZs in $s \in[0,1]$ (Fig 4):

- One IAZ $\in(1 / 5,1 / 4): \zeta_{5}(0,218315 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZ $\in(1 / 4,1 / 3): \zeta_{5}(0,278346 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZ $\in(1 / 3,1 / 2): \zeta_{5}(0,423505 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Two IAZs $\in(1 / 2,1): \zeta_{5}(0,643861 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{5}(0,881698 \ldots) \approx 0$.

Also $\zeta_{5}(s)$ has one maximum $\zeta_{5}(0,776027 \ldots) \approx+6,003808 \ldots$ between vertical asymptotes $1 / 2$ and 1 . We see that $\zeta_{5}(s) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $s \rightarrow 1 / 2 \pm 0$.

The six-fold zeta-function has six asymptotes: $\Re s=1,1 / 2,1 / 3,1 / 4,1 / 5$ and $1 / 6$, and has eight IAZs in $s \in[0,1](\operatorname{Fig} 5):$

- One IAZ $\in(1 / 6,1 / 5): \zeta_{6}(0,179347 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZ $\in(1 / 5,1 / 4): \zeta_{6}(0,217682 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZ $\in(1 / 4,1 / 3): \zeta_{6}(0,279817 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Two IAZs $\in(1 / 3,1 / 2): \zeta_{6}(0,362716 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{6}(0,419205 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Three IAZs $\in(1 / 2,1): \zeta_{6}(0,549629 \ldots) \approx 0, \zeta_{6}(0,696745 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{6}(0,848546 \ldots) \approx 0$.

The six-fold zeta-function $\zeta_{6}(s)$ has two minimums and one maximum in $s \in[0,1]$ :

- One minimum between vertical asymptotes $1 / 3$ and $1 / 2: \zeta_{6}(0,386562 \ldots) \approx-2,462682 \ldots$
- One maximum $\zeta_{6}(0,578067 \ldots) \approx+5,283455 \ldots$ and one minimum $\zeta_{6}(0,818945 \ldots) \approx-10,900018 \ldots$ between vertical asymptotes $1 / 2$ and 1 .
There again appear two new features. The case $r=6$ of (2.7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{6}(s)=\frac{1}{6}\left\{\zeta_{5}(s) \zeta(s)-\zeta_{4}(s) \zeta(2 s)+\zeta_{3}(s) \zeta(3 s)-\zeta_{2}(s) \zeta(4 s)+\zeta(s) \zeta(5 s)-\zeta(6 s)\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. The five-fold zeta-function for $s \in[0,1]$
from which we can see that the orders of the poles of $\zeta_{6}(s)$ at $s=1 / 3$ and $s=1 / 2$ are 2 and 3 , respectively. This gives the behavior of $\zeta_{6}(s)$ around the asymptotes $1 / 3$ and $1 / 2$, indicated in the figure. Moreover, the interval $(1 / 2,1)$ now includes three IAZ, and the interval $(1 / 3,1 / 2)$ also includes more than one IAZ.

## 5. Theorems and a conjecture

The above numerical data suggests several properties of $\zeta_{r}(s)$, some of which we will prove here. The first result is:

Theorem 5.1. On the interval $0 \leq s<1 / r$, the value of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ is positive for even $r$, and negative for odd $r$. In particular, there is no zero of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ in this interval.

Proof. When $r=1$, it is well-known that $\zeta(s)<0$ for $0 \leq s<1$. Then, from (2.8) it is clear that $\zeta_{2}(s)>0$ for $0 \leq s<1 / 2$.

In general, we prove the assertion by induction. From (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r}(s)=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta_{r-j}(s) \zeta(j s)+\frac{1}{r}(-1)^{r-1} \zeta(r s) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0 \leq s<1 / r$. Then we have $\zeta(r s)<0$, hence the signature of the term $r^{-1}(-1)^{r-1} \zeta(r s)$ is given by $(-1)^{r}$. Using the induction assumption, we have that $\zeta_{r-j}(s)$ is non-zero and its signature is given by $(-1)^{r-j}$, so the signature of the term $(-1)^{j-1} \zeta_{r-j}(s) \zeta(j s)$ is given by $(-1)^{(j-1)+(r-j)+1}=(-1)^{r}$. Therefore all terms on the right-hand side of (5.1) have the same signature $(-1)^{r}$, and so the signature of $\zeta_{r}(s)$.

Using Theorem 5.1, we can prove the following theorem on the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ near the asymptotes.


Figure 5. The six-fold zeta-function for $s \in[0,1]$

Theorem 5.2. (i) The function $\zeta_{r}(s)$ has poles only at $s=1 / k(1 \leq k \leq r)$ of order $[r / k]$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$.
(ii) As $s \rightarrow 1 / k$, the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r}(s) \sim C_{r}(k)(k s-1)^{-[r / k]} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{r}(k)$ is a non-zero real constant, whose singature coincides with the signature of $(-1)^{r+[r / k]}$.
Proof. When $r=1, \zeta_{1}(s)=\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function, which has the only pole at $s=1$ and this pole is simple with residue 1. Therefore the theorem for $r=1$ clearly holds.

Now assume $r \geq 2$, and we prove the theorem by induction. We use (5.1) again. On the right-hand side of (5.1), the factor $\zeta(j s)$ has the only pole at $s=1 / j$, while by induction assumption, the poles of $\zeta_{r-j}(s)$ are at $s=1,1 / 2, \ldots, 1 /(r-j)$. Therefore, for any fixed $k(1 \leq k \leq r)$, the factors on the right-hand side of (5.1), which are singular at $s=1 / k$, are $\zeta(k s)$ and $\zeta_{r-j}(s)$ with $j \leq r-k$.

Consider the case $k=r$. The singularity at $s=1 / r$ appears only in the last term $r^{-1}(-1)^{r-1} \zeta(r s)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r}(s) \sim \frac{1}{r}(-1)^{r-1} \frac{1}{r s-1} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 1 / r$, which implies (5.2) for $k=r$, with $C_{r}(r)=r^{-1}(-1)^{r-1}$.

Next, let $1 \leq k \leq r-1$. The asymptotic behavior of the singular factors at $s=1 / k$ on the right-hand side of (5.1) can be written down by induction assumption. For $j \leq r-k$ with $j \neq k$, we have

$$
\zeta_{r-j}(s) \zeta(j s) \sim \zeta(j / k) C_{r-j}(k)(k s-1)^{-[(r-j) / k]}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 1 / k$. As for the term $\zeta_{r-k}(s) \zeta(k s)$, the factor $\zeta(k s)$ is always singular at $s=1 / k$, while $\zeta_{r-k}(s)$ is singular only when $k \leq r-k$, that is $k \leq r / 2$. Therefore we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r}(s) \sim A(s)+B(s) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 1 / k$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(s)=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r-k \\ j \neq k}}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) C_{r-j}(k)(k s-1)^{-[(r-j) / k]} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
B(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{r}(-1)^{k-1} C_{r-k}(k)(k s-1)^{-[(r-k) / k]} \frac{1}{k s-1} & \text { if } & k \leq r / 2,  \tag{5.6}\\
\frac{1}{r}(-1)^{k-1} \zeta_{r-k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \frac{1}{k s-1} & \text { if } & k>r / 2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

say. Since $(k s-1)^{-[(r-k) / k]-1}=(k s-1)^{-[r / k]}$, and if $k>r / 2$ then $[r / k]=1$, we can unify the above expression of $B(s)$ as

$$
B(s)=\frac{1}{r}(-1)^{k-1} D_{r-k}(k)(k s-1)^{-[r / k]},
$$

where

$$
D_{r-k}(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
C_{r-k}(k) & \text { if } & k \leq r / 2  \tag{5.7}\\
\zeta_{r-k}(1 / k) & \text { if } & k>r / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $[(r-j) / k] \leq[r / k]$ for any $j \geq 1$, the main contribution on the right-hand side of (5.4) would be coming from $B(s)$, and the part of $A(s)$ consisting of only $j$ satisfying $[(r-j) / k]=[r / k]$. That is, it would be that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r}(s) \sim C_{r}(k)(k s-1)^{-[r / k]}, \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{r}(k)=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\sum_{j}^{*}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) C_{r-j}(k)+(-1)^{k-1} D_{r-k}(k)\right\}, \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and here, the symbol $\sum^{*}$ stands for the summation on $j$ satisfying $j \leq r-k, j \neq k$ and $[(r-j) / k]=[r / k]$.
To establish (5.8) rigorously, it is necessary to check that $C_{r}(k) \neq 0$. This can be seen by observing the signature. By induction assumption, $C_{r-j}(k)$ is non-zero, and the signature of $C_{r-j}(k)$ coincides with the signature of $(-1)^{r-j+[(r-j) / k]}$. Therefore the signature of $(-1)^{k-1} C_{r-k}(k)$ is

$$
(-1)^{k-1+(r-k+[(r-k) / k])}=(-1)^{r+[r / k]}
$$

while the signature of $(-1)^{j-1} \zeta(j / k) C_{r-j}(k)$ is

$$
(-1)^{(j-1)+1+(r-j+[(r-j) / k])}=(-1)^{r+[r / k]}
$$

for any $j$ which appears in the sum $\sum^{*}$ (because of the condition on $\sum^{*}$, and the fact $\left.\zeta(j / k)<0\right)$. Moreover, if $k>r / 2$, then $1 / k<1 /(r-k)$ so, by Theorem 5.1, the signature of $(-1)^{k-1} \zeta_{r-k}(1 / k)$ is $(-1)^{(k-1)+(r-k)}=(-1)^{r-1}$, and this is further equal to $(-1)^{r+[r / k]}$ because now $[r / k]=1$. It follows that
the signatures of all terms on the right-hand side of (5.9) are the same. Therefore obviously $C_{r}(k) \neq 0$, and its signature coincides with $(-1)^{r+[r / k]}$. The theorem is now proved.

Moreover, we can determine the explicit values of the constants $C_{r}(k)$.
Theorem 5.3. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{r}(r)=(-1)^{r-1} \frac{1}{r} \quad(r \geq 1),  \tag{5.10}\\
C_{r}(k)=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \zeta_{r-k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \quad(r / 2<k \leq r-1),  \tag{5.11}\\
C_{r}(1)=\frac{1}{r!} \quad(r \geq 1), \tag{5.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any $k \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{k r}(k)=\frac{(-1)^{(k-1) r}}{k^{r} \cdot r!} \quad(r \geq 1)  \tag{5.13}\\
& C_{k r+\ell}(k)=\frac{(-1)^{(k-1) r}}{k^{r} \cdot r!} \zeta_{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \quad(r \geq 1,1 \leq \ell \leq k-1) . \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 5.4. For any fixed $k \geq 2$, formulas (5.13) and 5.14) show that $C_{r}(k)$, as a function in $r$, has a kind of "periodicity" mod $k$.

Remark 5.5. Formulas (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14) exhausts all the cases of $C_{r}(k), k, r \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq r$. However we still prefer to include (5.10) and (5.11) in the statement of Theorem 5.3 , because they themselves are elegant formulas, and they are necessary in the proof of (5.13) and (5.14).
Proof of Theorem 5.3. The first formula (5.10) was already shown in the proof of Theorem 5.2. To prove the remaining formulas, we use (5.9).

Let $r / 2<k \leq r-1$. Then $D_{r-k}(k)=\zeta_{r-k}(1 / k)$, hence (5.9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{r}(k)=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\sum_{j}^{*}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) C_{r-j}(k)+(-1)^{k-1} \zeta_{r-k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right\}, \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation $\sum^{*}$ runs over $1 \leq j \leq r-k$. First consider the case $k=r-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{r}(r-1)=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{r-1}\right) C_{r-1}(r-1)+(-1)^{r-2} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{r-1}\right)\right\} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C_{r-1}(r-1)=(-1)^{r-2}(r-1)^{-1}$ by (5.10), the above is equal to

$$
\frac{(-1)^{r-2}}{r} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{r-1}+1\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{r-1}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{r-2}}{r-1} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{r-1}\right),
$$

which is (5.11) for $k=r-1$.
Now we show (5.11) by induction on $r-k$. We may apply induction assumption to $C_{r-j}(k)$ on the right-hand side of (5.15) for $1 \leq j \leq r-k-1$, because $(r-j) / 2<k \leq(r-j)-1$ for those $j$ and
$(r-j)-k<r-k$. Hence we apply (5.11) (for $1 \leq j \leq r-k-1$ ) and (5.10) (for $j=r-k$ ) to the right-hand side of (5.15 to get

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{r}(k) & =\frac{1}{r}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{r-k-1}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \zeta_{r-k-j}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)+(-1)^{r-k-1} \zeta\left(\frac{r-k}{k}\right)(-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{k}\right\}  \tag{5.17}\\
& +\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{r} \zeta_{r-k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{r k} \sum_{j=1}^{r-k}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta_{r-k-j}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{j}{k}\right)+\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{r} \zeta_{r-k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the sum on the right-hand side is equal to $(r-k) \zeta_{r-k}(1 / k)$ by (2.7), we obtain

$$
C_{r}(k)=(-1)^{k+1}\left(\frac{r-k}{r k}+\frac{1}{r}\right) \zeta_{r-k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \zeta_{r-k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)
$$

which is 5.11.
Next consider $C_{r}(1)$. The case $r=1$ is included in (5.10). Assume $r \geq 2$. Then (5.9) implies $C_{r}(1)=$ $r^{-1} C_{r-1}(1)$, from which 5.12 immediately follows.

Lastly we prove (5.13) and (5.14). First we notice that the case $r=1$ of (5.13) and (5.14) is included in (5.10) and (5.11), respectively. Therefore now assume $r \geq 2$, and prove the formulas by induction on $r$.

From (5.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k r+\ell}(k)=\frac{1}{k r+\ell}\left\{\sum_{j}^{*}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) C_{k r+\ell-j}(k)+(-1)^{k-1} C_{k r+\ell-k}(k)\right\} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $k \leq(k r+\ell) / 2$. The summation $\sum^{*}$ runs over all $1 \leq j \leq \ell($ because $[(k r+\ell-j) / k]=[(k r+\ell) / k]=r$ should be satisfied). In particular, when $\ell=0$ this sum is empty, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k r}(k)=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k r} C_{k(r-1)}(k) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore recursively we obtain

$$
C_{k r}(k)=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k r} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k(r-1)} \cdots \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2 k} C_{k}(k)=\frac{(-1)^{(k-1)(r-1)}}{k^{r-1} r!} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}=\frac{(-1)^{(k-1) r}}{k^{r} \cdot r!}
$$

which is 5.13.
Assume $\ell \geq 1$. Here we also adopt the induction on $\ell$. Since $\ell-j<\ell$, we use the induction (on $\ell$ ) assumption to $C_{k r+\ell-j}(k)$ and the induction (on $r$ ) assumption to $C_{k r+\ell-k}(k)$ on the right-hand side of (5.18). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k r+\ell}(k)=\frac{1}{k r+\ell}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}(-1)^{j-1} \zeta\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) \frac{(-1)^{(k-1) r}}{k^{r} \cdot r!} \zeta_{\ell-j}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)+(-1)^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^{(k-1)(r-1)}}{k^{r-1}(r-1)!} \zeta_{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right\} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum on the right-hand side is

$$
\frac{(-1)^{(k-1) r}}{k^{r} \cdot r!} \cdot \ell \zeta_{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)
$$

by (2.7), hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k r+\ell}(k)=\frac{1}{k r+\ell} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{(k-1) r}}{k^{r-1}(r-1)!}\left(\frac{\ell}{k r}+1\right) \zeta_{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{(k-1) r}}{k^{r} \cdot r!} \zeta_{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is (5.14).
Now we consider the distribution of real zeros of $\zeta_{r}(s)$. By Theorem 5.1 we know that $\zeta_{r}(s) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq s<1 / r$. However, the graphs for $\zeta_{r}(s)$ for $2 \leq r \leq 6$ show the existence of zeros in other intervals. Denote by $I_{r}(k)$ the number of IAZs of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ in the interval $(1 / k, 1 /(k-1))$. From the graphs we may observe that all zeros in the graphs seem simple, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2}(2)=1 \\
& I_{3}(3)=1, I_{3}(2)=1, \\
& I_{4}(4)=1, I_{4}(3)=1, I_{4}(2)=2, \\
& I_{5}(5)=1, I_{5}(4)=1, I_{5}(3)=1, I_{5}(2)=2, \\
& I_{6}(6)=1, I_{6}(5)=1, I_{6}(4)=1, I_{6}(3)=2, I_{6}(2)=3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next subsection we will present the graphs of $\zeta_{r}(s), 7 \leq r \leq 10$. From those graphs we further observe:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{7}(7)=1, I_{7}(6)=1, I_{7}(5)=1, I_{7}(4)=1, I_{7}(3)=2, I_{7}(2)=3 \\
& I_{8}(8)=1, I_{8}(7)=1, I_{8}(6)=1, I_{8}(5)=1, I_{8}(4)=2, I_{8}(3)=2, I_{8}(2)=4, \\
& I_{9}(9)=1, I_{9}(8)=1, I_{9}(7)=1, I_{9}(6)=1, I_{9}(5)=1, I_{9}(4)=2, I_{9}(3)=3, I_{9}(2)=4, \\
& I_{10}(10)=1, I_{10}(9)=1, I_{10}(8)=1, I_{10}(7)=1, I_{10}(6)=1, I_{10}(5)=2, I_{10}(4)=2, I_{10}(3)=3, I_{10}(2)=5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on these data, here we propose the following conjecture.
Conjecture 5.6. For any $r \geq 2$, all IAZs of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ are simple, and $I_{r}(k)=[r / k](2 \leq k \leq r)$.
The above data give a strong evidence for this conjecture, but so far we have not found any rigorous proof of the conjecture.

Let $I A Z(r)$ be the total number of IAZs, that is, the number of all real zeros of $\zeta_{r}(s)$ in the interval $(0,1)$.
Theorem 5.7. If Conjecture 5.6 is true, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I A Z(r)=\sum_{k=2}^{r}\left[\frac{r}{k}\right]=r \log r-2(1-\gamma) r+O\left(r^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=0.577215 \ldots$ is Euler's constant.
Proof. The first equality is a direct consequence of the conjecture. The second equality follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{r}\left[\frac{r}{k}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left[\frac{r}{k}\right]-r=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{\substack{\ell \leq r \\ \ell \equiv 0(\bmod k)}} 1-r=\sum_{\ell \leq r} d(\ell)-r, \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d(\ell)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of $\ell$, and the known result

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} d(\ell)=r \log r+(2 \gamma-1) r+O\left(r^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

([2, Theorem 3.3]).
Remark 5.8. The above error term $O\left(r^{1 / 2}\right)$ is not best-possible. It is conjectured that the estimate $O\left(r^{1 / 4+\varepsilon}\right)$ would hold for any $\varepsilon>0$, and the best known result is $O\left(r^{131 / 416+\varepsilon}\right)$ due to Huxley [5].

Remark 5.9. Write $F(r)=\sum_{k=2}^{r}[r / k]$, and let

$$
\Delta_{I A Z}(r)=I A Z(r)-I A Z(r-1), \quad \Delta_{F}(r)=F(r)-F(r-1)
$$

If Conjecture 5.6 is true, then $\Delta_{I A Z}(r)=\Delta_{F}(r)$, so it is interesting to observe the behavior of $\Delta_{F}(r)$. From 5.23 we see that $\Delta_{F}(r)=d(r)-1$. In particular, $\Delta_{F}(p)=1$ for any prime number $p$. Further, $\Delta_{F}(r)$ is dominantly odd; it is even if and only if $r$ is a square (because if the decomposition of $r$ into prime factors is $r=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{a_{k}}$, then $\left.d(r)=\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right) \cdots\left(a_{r}+1\right)\right)$.

## 6. Further examples

Here we present the graphs of $\zeta_{r}(s), 7 \leq r \leq 10, s \in[0,1]$. We find that the behavior of those graphs agrees with our Conjecture 5.6.

The seven-fold zeta-function $\zeta_{7}(s)$ has seven asymptotes: $\Re s=1,1 / 2,1 / 3,1 / 4,1 / 5,1 / 6$ and $1 / 7$, and has nine IAZs for $s \in[0,1]$ (Fig 6):

- One IAZ $\in(1 / 7,1 / 6): \zeta_{7}(0,152170 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZ $\in(1 / 6,1 / 5): \zeta_{7}(0,178811 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZs $\in(1 / 5,1 / 4): \zeta_{7}(0,217987 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZs $\in(1 / 4,1 / 3): \zeta_{7}(0,298653 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Two IAZs $\in(1 / 3,1 / 2): \zeta_{7}(0,365596 ..) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{7}(0,442820 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Three IAZs $\in(1 / 2,1): \zeta_{7}(0,605776 \ldots) \approx 0, \zeta_{7}(0,736271 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{7}(0,868958 \ldots) \approx 0$.

Also $\zeta_{7}(s)$ has one minimum and two maximums for $s \in[0,1]$ :

- One maximum between vertical asymptotes $1 / 3$ and $1 / 2: \zeta_{7}(0,412055 \ldots) \approx+4,875899 \ldots$
- One minimum $\zeta_{7}(0,663498 \ldots) \approx-1,927124 \ldots$ and one maximum $\zeta_{7}(0,847083 \ldots) \approx+21,72816 \ldots$ between vertical asymptotes $1 / 2$ and 1 .


Figure 6. The seven-fold zeta-function for $s \in[0,1]$
The eight-fold zeta-function $\zeta_{8}(s)$ has eight asymptotes: $\Re s=1,1 / 2,1 / 3,1 / 4,1 / 5,1 / 6,1 / 7$ and $1 / 8$, and has twelve IAZs in $s \in[0,1]$ (Fig 7):

- One IAZ $\in(1 / 8,1 / 7): \zeta_{8}(0,132134 \ldots) \approx 0$
- One IAZ $\in(1 / 7,1 / 6): \zeta_{8}(0,151738 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZs $\in(1 / 6,1 / 5): \zeta_{8}(0,178822 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- One IAZs $\in(1 / 5,1 / 4): \zeta_{8}(0,218978 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Two IAZs $\in(1 / 4,1 / 3): \zeta_{8}(0,266060 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{8}(0,295787 ..) \approx 0$.
- Two IAZs $\in(1 / 3,1 / 2): \zeta_{8}(0,392752 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{8}(0,437321 \ldots) \approx 0$.
- Four IAZs $\in(1 / 2,1): \zeta_{8}(0,538144 \ldots) \approx 0, \zeta_{8}(0,650658 \ldots) \approx 0, \zeta_{8}(0,766794 \ldots) \approx 0$ and $\zeta_{8}(0,883665 \ldots) \approx$ 0.

Also $\zeta_{8}(s)$ has four minimums and one maximum for $s \in[0,1]$ :

- One minimun between vertical asymptotes $1 / 4$ and $1 / 3: \zeta_{8}(0,277976 \ldots) \approx-2,253261 \ldots$,
- One minimum between vertical asymptotes $1 / 3$ and $1 / 2: \zeta_{8}(0,420354 \ldots) \approx-2,635752 \ldots$,
- Two minimus and one maximum between vertical asymptotes $1 / 2$ and 1 : the first minimum at $\zeta_{8}(0,551113 \ldots) \approx-12,188697 \ldots$, the maximum at $\zeta_{8}(0,719417 \ldots) \approx+1,334459 \ldots$, and the second minimum at $\zeta_{8}(0,867892 \ldots) \approx-45,821285 \ldots$.


Figure 7. The eight-fold zeta-function for $s \in[0,1]$

Figure 8 shows the behavior of the nine-fold zeta-function, which first produces three IAZs on the inter asymptotic interval $s \in[1 / 3,1 / 2]$.


Figure 8. The nine-fold zeta-function for $s \in[0,1]$

Figure 9 shows the behavior of the ten-fold zeta-function, which first produces five IAZs at the interasymptotic interval $s \in[1 / 2,1]$ and also, two IAZs at the inter asymptotic interval $s \in[1 / 5,1 / 4]$.


Figure 9. The ten-fold zeta-function for $s \in[0,1]$
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