Quantum switch in the gravity of Earth
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Abstract
We introduce a protocol for a quantum switch in the gravitational field of a spherical mass and determine the time interval required for its realization in the gravity of Earth. One of the agents that perform operations with indefinite order is a quantum system in a path superposition state. Entanglement between its proper time and position is explored as a resource for the implementation of the quantum switch. The realization of the proposed protocol would probe the physical regime described by quantum mechanics on curved spacetimes, which has not yet been explored experimentally.

1. Introduction

The quantum switch [1] is a task in which two noncommuting operations are realized on a target quantum system resulting in a quantum superposition of the orders they were applied. This task has already been implemented in optical tables [2–5] and provides a variety of advantages for quantum computation and communication [6–11], quantum thermodynamics [12, 13] and in quantum metrology [14]. The idea of the quantum switch is formalized in the process matrix framework [15, 16], a formulation of quantum mechanics in which the existence of a classical background of causal relations among events is not assumed [17]. One can testify the indefinite order using a causal witness [3, 4, 18] and via the Bell’s theorem for temporal order [19, 20]. The quantum switch is also employed in thought experiments in quantum gravity phenomenology [19].

The quantum switch is achieved by means of a control quantum system C, whose state is entangled with the order of the operations. Suppose that |0⟩ and |1⟩ are orthogonal states of C, and A, B are noncommuting operations that can be applied by agents A and B to the target system. Let the composite system be such that, if C is in the state |0⟩, the operations are applied in the order BA, and if C is in the state |1⟩, they are applied in the order AB. Preparing the control system in the state (|0⟩ + |1⟩)/√2, the target will evolve into a superposition of states obtained through the application of the operations A and B in switched orders. The order of the operations is then said to be indefinite.
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Apart from applications in quantum computation and communication, processes with indefinite order appear in connection with foundational questions in quantum gravity. The hypothesis that the gravitational field can exist in a superposition of classical configurations has been explored as a possible path to quantum gravity phenomenology [19, 21–27]. In particular, it was shown in [19] that, if the gravitational field of a mass in a superposition of distinct positions displays a corresponding superposition of classical configurations, then it can be used as the control of a quantum switch. Observation of the quantum switch in this context would then testify that the gravitational field is in a superposition state. A quantum switch controlled by gravity is referred to as a gravitational quantum switch. The relation between the gravitational quantum switch and optical implementations in classical spacetime was discussed in [28]. A proposal for simulating the gravitational quantum switch using accelerated agents on Minkowski spacetime was described in [29].

With the current technology, it is still a challenge to put a massive body in superposition for enough time to realize the gravitational quantum switch proposed in [19]. In the present work, we introduce an alternative strategy for implementing the quantum switch in a gravitational system. The relevant feature of the mass-agents system in the protocol described in [19] is the existence of a superposition of distances separating the mass and the agents. This can also be accomplished by preparing the agents in a superposition of positions. We show that a protocol for a quantum switch analogue to that of [19] can indeed be formulated by allowing one of the agents to be in a superposition of positions on the curved classical spacetime describing the gravitational field of a central mass.

We explore a setup in which the distances from the alternative positions of the agent to the central mass can change over time. This allows the evolution of its internal state to be influenced by the curvature of the background geometry through its effect on the flow of proper time at the distinct regions crossed by the paths in superposition. In contrast, static agents are considered in [19]. With a careful choice of paths, the amount of time required to perform the quantum switch can be considerably decreased for a given experimental precision. When the massive body is the Earth, the minimum duration of the experiment, which in the static case would be so large as to prevent any possibility of experimental realization, can be brought from the time scale of a year to that of seconds.

We first describe our general protocol and then compute its minimum duration on Earth’s surface. Next we illustrate how the protocol could be implemented using few-level systems as agents. Then we discuss our results and their relation to previous protocols for the quantum switch.

2. Quantum switch with entangled agents

Consider a spherical body with mass $M$ and radius $R$. The gravitational field outside the body is described by the Schwarzschild metric,

\[
ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{R_S}{r}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{R_S}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2,
\]
where $d\Omega^2$ is the metric of the unit sphere and $R_S = 2GM/c^2$ is the Schwarzschild radius. Three quantum systems can be manipulated in the vicinity of its surface $r = R$, which we call the agents A and B and the target system. By agents we mean systems that are able to interact with the target system, and thereby operate on its state. The systems are assumed to be well localized, with well defined positions and proper times.

Our protocol involves a superposition of paths for the agent A, represented as $\left( |P_{A\prec B}\rangle + |P_{B\prec A}\rangle \right)/\sqrt{2}$, while B remains at a constant position at a height $h$ above the surface. The paths for A are represented in Fig. 1. Both paths start from a common departure point at $r = R$ with the same angular position of agent B. Next, they separate horizontally in a symmetric manner up to a distance $d$. For $|P_{A\prec B}\rangle$, A starts travelling up at the instant $t_0$ until it reaches a point $X_{A\prec B}$ at $r = R + h$ at time $t_1$. Put $\Delta t_v = t_1 - t_0$. A remains at this position afterwards. For $|P_{B\prec A}\rangle$, A also travels up to a point $X_{B\prec A}$ at $r = R + h$ in an interval $\Delta t_v$, but starting at a later time $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t_v$. The target system, travelling horizontally, meets the point $X_{A\prec B}$ at $t_3$ and then travels towards $X_{B\prec A}$ in a time interval $\Delta t_c$. After that, the agent A is measured in a diagonal basis, as we will discuss in more detail later.

The agent A is configured to operate on the target at a specific instant $\tau^*$ in its proper time as indicated by an internal clock. This means that it is prepared in a state for which the probability of interacting with the target is considerable at $\tau^*$, but not at other times. The proper time of A must then be equal to $\tau^*$ when the target meets it for the interaction to take place. This must happen for both $|P_{A\prec B}\rangle$ and $|P_{B\prec A}\rangle$ for the interaction to occur regardless of the path taken. The agent B can interact with the target when their worldlines intersect. Under these conditions, the operations $A$ and $B$ are applied in distinct orders for each component in the path-superposition state $\left( |P_{A\prec B}\rangle + |P_{B\prec A}\rangle \right)/\sqrt{2}$.

Let $\Delta \tau_{A\prec B}$ be the proper time along the path $|P_{A\prec B}\rangle$ from $t_0$ to the moment the target reaches it at $t_3$,
and $\Delta \tau_{B<A}$ be the proper time along the path $|P_{B<A}\rangle$ from $t_0$ to the moment the target reaches it at $t_4$. The quantum switch will happen if
\[ \Delta \tau_{A<B} = \Delta \tau_{B<A} = \tau^* . \] (1)

Put $\Delta t_r \equiv \Delta t_v + \Delta t_s$. The interval $\Delta \tau_{A<B}$ has contributions from the time elapsed for $A$ while it travels up and while it remains at radius $R + h$,
\[ \Delta \tau_{A<B} = \Delta \tau_v + \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{R_S}{R + h}\right)} \Delta t_r . \] (2)

The interval $\Delta \tau_{B<A}$ includes contributions from the time elapsed for $A$ while it stays at $r = R$, while it travels up, and while it waits the arrival of the target at $R + h$,
\[ \Delta \tau_{B<A} = \Delta \tau_v + \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{R_S}{R}\right)} \Delta t_r + \Delta \tau_c , \] (3)
where $\Delta \tau_c = \sqrt{1 - R_S/(R + h)} \Delta t_c$. Substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1), we find
\[ \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{R_S}{R + h}} - \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_S}{R}}\right) \frac{\Delta t_r}{\Delta t_c} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_S}{R + h}} . \] (4)

Near the surface of the spherical mass, we can take $h \ll R$. For a weak gravitational field, $\sqrt{1 - R_S/R} \simeq 1$. Considering the target to be a photon, we have $\Delta t_c \simeq d/c$. Under these approximations,
\[ \Delta t_r \simeq \frac{cR^2d}{GMh} . \] (5)

We see that $\Delta t_r$ depends on two fundamental constants, $c$ and $G$; two properties of the massive body, $M$ and $R$; and two variables $d$ and $h$ that can be adjusted in the experiment.

The parameter $\Delta t_r$ sets a time scale for the duration of the experiment. The total time of the protocol is $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \equiv t_4$. For small $d$, this is well approximated by $t_3 - t_0 = \Delta t_r + \Delta t_v$. If the paths remain at distinct heights for a large amount of time, $\Delta t_v \ll \Delta t_s$, then we have $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \simeq \Delta t_r$. On the other hand, if $\Delta t_s = 0$, then $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \simeq 2 \Delta t_r$. In general, $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim \Delta t_r$. From Eq. (5), the duration of the experiment is minimized for the smallest possible distance $d$ between the paths and the largest possible height $h$.

The distance $d$ in any implementation of the protocol will be limited by possible interactions between the agents and the precision of the clock. If the distance between the agents is so small that they can interact, their operations on the target will not be independent, as assumed. In addition, the clock must be sufficiently precise to resolve the time of flight $d/c$ of the photon between the paths of $A$ for the order of the operations to be distinguishable. The height $h$ will be limited by the experimental capability of transporting $A$ along its path-superposition state without decoherence.

Substituting the numerical values of $c, G$ and the radius $R_\odot$ and mass $M_\odot$ of the Earth in Eq. (4), we can estimate the duration of the experiment near the surface of Earth,
\[ \Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 3 \times 10^7 \frac{d}{h} \text{ s} . \] (6)
Let us consider some examples. For an atomic clock with a precision of 10$^{15}$ Hz, the time of flight of the photon can be resolved for a distance of 0.3 $\mu$m. Setting $d = 0.3$ $\mu$m and $h = 1$ m, we find $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 9$ s. This can be compared with the duration of the protocol of [19], where the quantum switch is implemented with static agents. In that case, for a small distance between the agents, the minimum proper time elapsed for the agents for the quantum switch to occur is $\tau^* = 2r_b^2 c/GM$, where $r_b$ is the distance from the agents to the mass. Setting $r_b = R_{\odot}$, we find that $\tau^*$ is of the order of a year. In general, the duration of the experiment is suppressed by a factor of $\sim d/h$ using dynamical agents as described here.

We can also consider the case of a small mass. As in [19], this example shows that the effect does not require any physical quantity to be at the Planck scale to be observed. In [19], a mass $M = 0.1$ $\mu$g was considered for this purpose, with one agent at a distance of 1 fm and the other at a distance of 0.1 $\mu$m from the mass. The protocol for the Bell test using static agents explored in [19] would then take around 10 h. In this setting, it is natural to bring the departure point for the paths of $A$ as close as possible to the mass, and we can take $h \gg R \gg R_{S}$. In this regime,

$$\Delta t_r \sim \frac{cRd}{GM}.$$  \hfill (7)

Setting $R = 1$ fm and assuming $d = R$, we obtain $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-2}$ s. In general, the duration of the experiment is of the order of a second if $Rd \sim 10^{-28}$ m$^2$, and grows linearly with $Rd$.

3. A model for the operations

We discussed the spacetime features required for the realization of the quantum switch in a Schwarzschild spacetime. We now explore possibilities for the operations performed by the agents. For concreteness, we consider a model involving a particular choice of quantum systems as agents and target. The relevant features of the model are not restricted to this specific quantum system, however, which provides an illustration of a procedure that can be adapted to other systems of interest.

The agent $A$ has a subsystem $H_0$ which we call the trigger. It also includes a subsystem $H_A$ with six energy levels $|A_i\rangle$, $i = 0, \ldots, 5$. The trigger system will play the role of an internal clock for the agent $A$. The agent $B$ is a system $H_B$ with five energy levels $|B_i\rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$. The energy level diagrams for $H_A$ and $H_B$ are represented in Fig. 2. The labels $e_0$, $e_1$, etc, are energy differences between pairs of levels for the allowed transitions. We assume that the transitions are induced by the absorption and emission of photons.

The trigger is coupled to the few-level system $H_A$. The time-evolution of internal degrees of freedom of a quantum system following a path $P$ in a curved spacetime is described by an internal Hamiltonian $H_{\text{int}}$ evolving with respect to the proper time $\tau_P$ along $P$ [19, 30, 31]. For the agent $A$, in particular,

$$i \frac{d}{d\tau_P} |\phi_A\rangle_P = H_{\text{int}} |\phi_A\rangle_P ,$$  \hfill (8)
where $|\phi_A\rangle_P \in \mathcal{H}_\otimes \mathcal{H}_A$. For a path superposition state, the evolution of the internal state is described by Eq. (8) for each path in the superposition. A complete description of the state $|\Psi_A\rangle$ of A also includes its spatial location,

$$|\Psi_A\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\ell_B\rangle |\phi_B\rangle_{P_{A-B}} + |\ell_A\rangle |\phi_A\rangle_{P_{B-A}} \right).$$

At the beginning of the experiment, $\mathcal{H}_A$ is prepared in the state $|A_0\rangle$, which is stable in the absence of the trigger. The trigger is prepared in a state $|\ell;\tau=0\rangle$. We assume that a sharp transition from $|A_0\rangle$ to $|A_1\rangle$ is induced by the trigger after a proper time $\tau^*$ has elapsed for A since $t_0$, with $\tau^*$ given by Eq. (1).

Denoting the unitary evolution under $H_{int}$ by $U(\tau^*,0)$ and putting $|\Psi_A\rangle \equiv |\ell;\tau=0\rangle |A_0\rangle$, we require that

$$U(\tau,0) |\Psi_A\rangle \approx \begin{cases} |\ell;\tau\rangle |A_0\rangle, & \text{for } \tau < \tau^* - \epsilon, \\ |\ell;\tau^*\rangle |A_1\rangle, & \text{for } \tau = \tau^*. \end{cases}$$

The trigger plays the role of a clock that at $\tau^*$ changes the state of A into a new state that can interact with the target. In other words, the instrument of A, represented by $\mathcal{H}_A$, is switched on by the trigger at $\tau^*$. It is in fact sufficient that the trigger generates a nonzero projection on $|A_1\rangle$. The agent B is prepared in the state $|B_1\rangle$ at the time $t_3 + d/2c$. As B remains at a fixed position, an external clock can be used to prepare it in the required state at the scheduled time.

We require the levels $|A_1\rangle$ and $|B_1\rangle$ to have a small decay time satisfying $\Delta \tau_1 \ll d/c$ and $\epsilon \ll \Delta \tau_1$. A can then absorb a photon of energy $e_1$ or $e_4$ and get excited to the level $|A_2\rangle$ or $|A_4\rangle$ only if the photon arrives at $\tau^*$, within a time-window of approximately $\Delta \tau_1$. If no photon reaches the system at this time, it decays to the level $|A_5\rangle$ by emitting a photon of energy $e_6$, which testifies that the experiment did not happen, and this round can be thrown away. Similarly, the system $\mathcal{H}_B$ can be excited only at the coordinate time $t_3 + d/2c$.

The experiment is designed so that, for $|P_{A-B}\rangle$, a photon of energy $e_1$ meets A at $t_3$. If this photon is absorbed, A is excited to the level $|A_2\rangle$ and then rapidly decays to $|A_3\rangle$, emitting a photon of energy $e_2$. This step is understood as an operation $A$ on the photon state, i.e., $A|e_1\rangle = |e_2\rangle$. The emitted photon
can be absorbed by $B$. When this does not happen, $B$ decays to its ground state $|B_5\rangle$, emitting a photon of energy $e_6$ that testifies that the experiment was not completed. If the photon is absorbed, $B$ is excited to the level $|B_4\rangle$ and quickly decays to $|B_5\rangle$ by emitting a photon of energy $e_3$. The operation $B$ on the photon state is thus $B|e_2\rangle = |e_3\rangle$. The operations are performed in the order $BA$. The final joint state of the agents and photon is $|\mathcal{F}_A\prec B\rangle|e_3\rangle$, where $|\mathcal{F}_A\prec B\rangle = |P_{A\prec B}\rangle |\mathcal{O}\rangle_{A\prec B} |A_3\rangle |B_5\rangle$, with $|\mathcal{O}\rangle_{A\prec B}$ the final state of the trigger. For the path $|P_{B\prec A}\rangle$, the sequence of events proceeds analogously, implementing operations $B$ and $A$. In this case, the joint final state is $|\mathcal{F}_B\prec A\rangle|e_5\rangle$, where $|\mathcal{F}_B\prec A\rangle = |P_{B\prec A}\rangle |\mathcal{O}\rangle_{B\prec A} |A_5\rangle |B_3\rangle$. The final state of the system is

$$|\mathcal{F}_A\prec B\rangle BA|e_1\rangle + |\mathcal{F}_B\prec A\rangle AB|e_1\rangle \sqrt{2} \right) \tag{11}$$

Measuring the agents in the basis $|\mathcal{F}_A\prec B\rangle \pm |\mathcal{F}_B\prec A\rangle$ takes the photon to the state

$$BA|e_1\rangle \pm AB|e_1\rangle \sqrt{2} = \frac{|e_3\rangle \pm |e_5\rangle \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{12}$$

and we obtain a superposition of the orders of the events $A$ and $B$, i.e., a quantum switch.

The measurement on the basis $|\mathcal{F}_A\prec B\rangle \pm |\mathcal{F}_B\prec A\rangle$ includes the measurement of the clock. This can be avoided by resynchronizing the clock states after the application of the operations, which would disentangle the clock from the rest of the system, allowing the measurement on the basis $|\mathcal{F}_A\prec B\rangle \pm |\mathcal{F}_B\prec A\rangle$ to be performed only on the path and few-level systems. This could be done by making $A$ follow the paths of the protocol in a reversed way, similarly as done in [19]. Another possibility is to artificially synchronize the clock states by directly manipulating them, as done for instance in [32].

4. Discussion

We have introduced a new protocol for the implementation of a quantum switch in a gravitational system. Instead of considering classical agents $A$ and $B$ operating on a target moving on a superposition state of the gravitational field, we allowed the agents to be quantum systems, with $A$ in a path superposition state, on a fixed curved background geometry produced by a central mass. Proper times along distinct paths are then entangled with the paths. With a careful choice of paths, we constructed a protocol that mirrors the relevant features of the protocol for a gravitational quantum switch proposed in [19]. A test of Bell’s inequality for temporal order can be implemented with two entangled copies of the agents and target.

In our protocol, the order of the operations is not entangled with the spacetime metric, which is classical, but with paths of a quantum system in this fixed curved background. Its realization would then consist of a test of quantum mechanics on curved spacetimes [30, 33, 34], the limit of quantum field theory on curved spacetimes with negligible particle creation or annihilation and nonrelativistic speeds. This physical regime has not yet been probed experimentally, and our results provide a tool for testing the frequently adopted formulation of time-evolution on a curved spacetime leading to Eq. (9).
The quantum switch has been realized experimentally in non-gravitational systems [35]. In such experiments, one does not keep track of the proper times at which agents perform their operations. If \( A \) is applied at distinct proper times of \( A \) for the orders \( AB \) or \( BA \), then measuring the time of the operation would in fact destroy the superposition of the order of operations. In our case, one agent is assumed to be equipped with an internal clock and apply its operation only at a prescribed time. This ensures that the influence of gravity on proper times along the paths is indeed the property allowing for the superposition of orders to occur. That the quantum switch is undoubtedly due to a gravitational effect can be seen by repeating the protocol on a horizontal plane. The gravitational potential would then be the same along both paths, and the quantum switch would not happen, as in only one of the paths \( A \) would actually apply the operation on the photon.

Experiments that attest quantum phenomena due to Earth’s gravity in the Newtonian regime have already been made [36, 37]. Time dilation is a dominant general relativistic correction to Newtonian gravity, and can be observed even for a height difference of 1 m [38]. A natural next step would be the exploration of superposition and entanglement of quantum clocks taking time dilation into account, an issue that has been theoretically explored [39–44] and simulated with magnetic fields [32], but for which an experimental test with the gravitational field is still missing. With the progress on techniques for manipulating path superposition states at macroscopic scales [45–47], such tests might provide a promising path for the observation of quantum effects in gravitational systems, and our results include the quantum switch in a list of possible experiments aiming at this direction.
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