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We introduce a protocol for a quantum switch on the gravitational field of a spherical mass and determine the time interval required for its realization on Earth’s gravity. One of the agents that perform operations with indefinite causal order is a quantum system in a path superposition state. Entanglement between its proper time and position is explored as a resource for the implementation of the quantum switch. The realization of the proposed protocol would probe the physical regime described by quantum mechanics on curved spacetimes, which has not yet been explored experimentally.

The quantum switch [1] is a task with indefinite causal order in which two noncommuting operations are realized on a target quantum system resulting in a quantum superposition of the orders they were applied. This task has already been implemented in optical tables [2–5] and provides a variety of advantages for quantum computation and communication [6–11], quantum thermodynamics [12, 13] and in quantum metrology [14]. The idea of the quantum switch is formalized in the process matrix framework [15, 16], a formulation of quantum mechanics in which the existence of a classical background of causal relations among events is not assumed [17]. One can testify the indefinite causal order using a causal witness [3, 4, 18] and via the Bell’s theorem for temporal order [19, 20]. The quantum switch is also employed in thought experiments in quantum gravity phenomenology [19].

The quantum switch is achieved by means of a control quantum system C, whose state is entangled with the order of the applied operations. Suppose that |0⟩ and |1⟩ are orthogonal states of C, and A, B are noncommuting operations that can be applied by agents A and B to the target system. Let the composite system be such that, if C is in the state |0⟩, the operations are applied in the order B,A, and if C is in the state |1⟩, they are applied in the order A,B. Preparing the control system in a superposition state (|0⟩ + |1⟩)/√2, the target will evolve into a superposition of states obtained through the application of the operations A and B in switched orders. The order of the operations is then said to be indefinite.

Apart from applications in quantum computation and communication, processes with indefinite order appear in connection with foundational questions in quantum gravity. The hypothesis that the gravitational field can exist in a superposition of classical configurations has been explored as a possible path to quantum gravity phenomenology [19, 21–27]. In particular, it was shown in [19] that, if the gravitational field of a mass in a superposition of distinct positions displays a corresponding superposition of classical configurations, then it can be used as the control of a quantum switch. Observation of the quantum switch would then testify that the gravitational field is in a superposition state. A quantum switch controlled by gravity is referred to as a gravitational quantum switch. The relation between the gravitational quantum switch and optical implementations in classical spacetime was discussed in [28]. A proposal for simulating the gravitational quantum switch using accelerated agents on Minkowski spacetime was described in [29].

With the current technology, it is still a challenge to put a massive body in a superposition for enough time to realize the gravitational quantum switch proposed in [19]. However, as discussed in [19, 30], the relevant feature of the mass-agents system is not whether the mass is in a superposition state, but that there is a superposition of distances separating the mass and the agents. This can also be accomplished by preparing the agents in a superposition of positions.

In this work, we propose an alternative strategy for implementing the quantum switch in a gravitational system. We explore a setup in which an agent is in an entangled state of distances from a massive body at a definite position. These distances can change over time, allowing the agent to collect information on the local flow of proper time at distinct regions before the operations are applied on the target system. In contrast, static agents are considered in [19]. This allows for a considerable decrease in the minimum amount of time needed to perform a gravitational quantum switch for a given experimental precision. When the massive body is the Earth, this difference is such that the minimal duration of the experiment, which in the static case would be so large as to prevent any possibility of experimental realization, can be brought from the time scale of a year to that of seconds.

We first describe our general protocol and then compute its minimum duration on Earth’s surface. Next we illustrate how the protocol could be implemented using few-level systems as agents. Then we discuss our results and their relation to previous protocols for the gravitational quantum switch.

Gravitational Quantum Switch with Entangled Agents. Consider a spherical body with mass M and radius R. The gravitational field outside the body is described by the Schwarzschild metric,

\[ ds^2 = -(1 - \frac{R_S}{r}) c^2 dt^2 + (1 - \frac{R_S}{r})^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \]

where \( d\Omega^2 \) is the metric of the unit sphere and \( R_S = 2GM/c^2 \) is the Schwarzschild radius. Three quantum systems can be manipulated in the vicinity of its surface \( r = R \), which we call the agents A and B and the target system. By agents we mean systems that are able to interact with the target system, and thereby operate on its state. The systems are assumed to be well localized in space so that their positions and proper
FIG. 1. Superposition of paths for the proposed protocol. The vertical axis represents the radial distance \( r \) from the center of the massive body of radius \( R \). The horizontal axis represents the time coordinate. Each box represents the configuration of the system at the labelled time. At \( t = 0 \), the agent A is prepared in a superposition state \( (|P_{A,<B}\rangle + |P_{B,<A}\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \), while the agent B remains at a constant position at a height \( h \) above the surface. The paths for A are represented in Fig. 1. Both paths start from a common departure point at the radius \( r = R \) with the same angular position as that of agent B. Next, they separate horizontally in a symmetric manner up to a distance \( d \). For the path \( |P_{A,<B}\rangle \), A then starts travelling up at the instant \( t_0 \) until it reaches a point \( X_{A,<B} \) at the radius \( r = R + h \) at the instant \( t_1 \). Let \( \Delta t_v \) be the coordinate time interval taken in this step. A remains at this position afterwards. For the path \( |P_{B,<A}\rangle \), B also travels up to a point \( X_{B,<A} \) at \( r = R + h \) in a time interval \( \Delta t_v \), but starting at a later time \( t_2 \), larger by an amount \( \Delta t_s \) than the time \( t_1 \). At the instant \( t_3 \) at which the path \( |P_{B,<A}\rangle \) reaches \( X_{B,<A} \), the target system, travelling horizontally, meets the point \( X_{A,<B} \). It then travels towards \( X_{B,<A} \) in a time interval \( \Delta t_c \). After that, the agent A is measured in a diagonal basis, as we will discuss in more detail later.

The agent A is configured to apply its operation on the target at a specific instant \( \tau^* \) in its proper time indicated by an internal clock. This means that it is prepared in a state such that the probability of interacting with the target is considerable at \( \tau^* \), but not at other times. The proper time of A must then be equal to \( \tau^* \) when the target meets it for the interaction to take place. This must be true for both paths \( |P_{A,<B}\rangle \) and \( |P_{B,<A}\rangle \) for the interaction to occur regardless of the path taken. The agent B can interact with the target when their world lines intersect. Under these conditions, the operations \( A \) and \( B \) are applied in distinct orders for each component in the path-superposition state \( (|P_{A,<B}\rangle + |P_{B,<A}\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \).

Let \( \Delta \tau_{A,<B} \) be the proper time along the path \( |P_{A,<B}\rangle \) from \( t_0 \) to the moment the target reaches it at \( t_3 \), and \( \Delta \tau_{B,<A} \) be the proper time along the path \( |P_{B,<A}\rangle \) from \( t_0 \) to the moment the target reaches it at \( t_4 \). We have the following condition for the quantum switch to happen:

\[
\Delta \tau_{A,<B} = \Delta \tau_{B,<A} = \tau^*. \tag{1}
\]

Put \( \Delta t_r = \Delta t_v + \Delta t_s \). The interval \( \Delta \tau_{A,<B} \) has contributions from the times elapsed for A while it travels up and while it remains at radius \( R + h \),

\[
\Delta \tau_{A,<B} = \Delta \tau_v + \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{R_S}{R + h}\right)} \Delta t_r. \tag{2}
\]

The interval \( \Delta \tau_{B,<A} \) has contributions from the time A remains at radius \( R \) and that elapsed while it travels up, with an additional contribution from the time it waits the arrival of the target at \( R + h \), leading to

\[
\Delta \tau_{B,<A} = \Delta \tau_v + \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{R_S}{R}\right)} \Delta t_r + \Delta t_c. \tag{3}
\]

where \( \Delta t_c = \sqrt{\frac{1 - R_S}{R + h}} \Delta t_v \), and \( \Delta t_c \) is the coordinate time for the target to travel from agent A to B. Substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1), we obtain

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{R_S}{R + h}} - \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_S}{R}} \frac{\Delta t_r}{\Delta t_c} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_S}{R + h}}. \tag{4}
\]

If the experiment is realized near the surface of the spherical mass, we can take \( h \ll R \). Moreover, for a weak gravitational field, \( \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_S}{R}} \approx 1 \). Considering the target system to be a photon, we have \( \Delta t_c \simeq d/c \). Under these approximations,

\[
\Delta t_r \simeq cR^2d \quad \frac{\Delta t_c}{GMh}. \tag{5}
\]

We see that \( \Delta t_r \) depends on two fundamental constants, \( c \) and \( G \); two properties of the massive body, \( M \) and \( R \); and two variables \( d \) and \( h \) that can be adjusted in the experiment.
The parameter $\Delta t_\circ$ sets a time scale for the duration of the experiment. The total time of the protocol is given by $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \equiv t_A$. For small $d$, this duration is well approximated by $t_3 - t_0 = \Delta t_\circ + \Delta t_r$. If the amount of time the paths remain separated at distinct heights is much larger than the amount of time in their travel up, $\Delta t_\circ \ll \Delta t_r$, then we have $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \simeq \Delta t_r$. On the other hand, if $\Delta t_\circ = 0$, then $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \simeq 2 \Delta t_r$. In general, we have $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \simeq \Delta t_r$. It follows then from Eq. (5) that in order to minimize the duration of the experiment we must choose the smallest possible distance $d$ between the paths and the largest possible height $h$.

The distance $d$ in a particular implementation of the protocol will be bounded by possible interactions between the agents and the precision of the clock. If the distance between the agents is so small as to allow for them to interact, their operations on the target system will not be independent, as assumed. In addition, their clocks must be sufficiently precise to ensure that the operations are applied at the scheduled proper time without ambiguity. In particular, their resolution must be smaller than the time of flight $d/c$ of the photon between each path of the agent $A$ so that the order of the operations can be distinguished. The height $h$ will be limited by the experimental capability of transporting the agent $A$ along its path-superposition state without decoherence.

Substituting the numerical values of $c$, $G$ and the radius and mass of the Earth in Eq. (4), we obtain an estimate for the duration of the experiment near the surface of the Earth,

$$\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 3 \times 10^{-3} \frac{d}{h} \text{s}. \quad (6)$$

Let us consider some examples. For an atomic clock with a precision of $10^{13} \text{Hz}$, the time of flight of the photon can be resolved for a distance of $0.3 \mu\text{m}$. Setting $d = 0.3 \mu\text{m}$ and the height at the scale of $h = 1 \text{ m}$, we find $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 9 \text{s}$. For $h = 10 \text{ m}$ and $h = 100 \text{ m}$, we find $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 0.9 \text{s}$ and $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 0.09 \text{s}$. We can compare these results with the minimum duration for the protocol described in [19], where the gravitational quantum switch is implemented with static agents. In that protocol, the minimum proper time elapsed for the agents for the quantum switch to occur is given, for a small distance between the agents, by $\tau^* = 2 r_b^2 c/GM$, where $r_b$ is the distance from the agents to the point mass that produces the gravitational field, which can be placed at the center of the Earth. Setting $r_b = R$, we find that $\tau^*$ is of the order of a year. In general, the duration of the experiment is suppressed by a factor of $\sim d/h$ using dynamical agents as described here.

We can also consider the case of a small mass. As discussed in [19], this example serves to illustrate that, while still inaccessible with the current technology, the effect does not require any physical quantity to be at the Planck scale to be observed. In [19], a mass $M = 0.1 \mu\text{g}$ was considered for this purpose, with one agent at a distance of $1 \text{ fm}$ and the other at a distance of $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ from the mass. With these parameters, the protocol for the Bell test using static agents explored in [19] would take around $10 \text{ h}$. In this setting, it is natural to bring the departure point for the paths of $A$ as close as possible to the mass, and we can take $h \gg R \gg R_S$. In this regime,

$$\Delta t_r \simeq \frac{cR}{GM}. \quad (7)$$

Setting $R = 1 \text{ fm}$ and assuming $d = R$, we obtain $\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{s}$. In general, the duration of the experiment is of the order of a second if $Rd \sim 10^{-28} \text{ m}^2$, and grows linearly with the parameter $Rd$.

A model for the operations. We considered so far the spacetime features required for the realization of the gravitational quantum switch. We now explore some possibilities for the operations performed by the agents. For concreteness, we illustrate the implementation of the protocol in a model involving a particular choice of quantum systems as agents and target. The relevant features of the model are not restricted to any specific quantum system, however, providing an illustration of a procedure to be adapted to whatever systems the agents and target happen to be.

The agent $A$ is equipped with a subsystem $H_A$ which we call the trigger. It also includes a subsystem $H_B$ with six energy levels $|A_i\rangle$, $i = 0, \ldots, 5$. The trigger system will play the role of an internal clock for the agent $A$. The agent $B$ is a system $H_B$ with five energy levels $|B_i\rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$. The energy level diagrams for $H_A$ and $H_B$ are represented in Fig. 2. The horizontal lines represent the energy levels and the arrows connecting the segments represent the allowed transitions. The labels $e_0, e_1, \ldots$ are energy differences between pairs of levels. We assume that the transitions are induced by the absorption and emission of photons.

The trigger system is coupled to the few-level system $H_A$ carried by $A$. The time-evolution of internal degrees of freedom of a quantum system following a path $P$ in a curved spacetime is described by an internal Hamiltonian $H_{\text{int}}$ evolving with respect to the proper time $\tau_P$ along $P$ [19, 31, 32]. For the agent $A$, in particular, $i \frac{d}{d\tau_P} |\phi_A\rangle_P = H_{\text{int}} |\phi_A\rangle_P$ where $|\phi_A\rangle_P \in H_A \otimes H_A$. For a path superposition state, the evolution of the internal state is a superposition of such evolutions. A complete description of the state $|\Psi_A\rangle$ of $A$ also includes its spatial location,

$$|\Psi_A\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|P_{A \sim B}\rangle |\phi_A\rangle_{P_{A \sim B}} + |P_{B \sim A}\rangle |\phi_A\rangle_{P_{B \sim A}}). \quad (8)$$

![FIG. 2. Energy levels of the agents A and B.](image-url)
The system $H_A$ is prepared in the state $|A_0⟩$ at the beginning of the experiment. This state is stable in the absence of the trigger. The trigger is prepared in a state $|\otimes⟩; \tau = 0⟩$ after a proper time $\tau^*$ has elapsed for A since $t_0$, with $\tau^*$ given by Eq. (1). Denoting the unitary evolution under $H_{int}$ by $U(\tau^*, 0)$ and putting $|Ψ_A⟩ \equiv |\otimes⟩; \tau = 0⟩ |A_0⟩$, we require that

$$U(\tau, 0) |Ψ_A⟩ \simeq \begin{cases} |\otimes⟩; |A_0⟩, & \text{for } \tau < \tau^* - \epsilon, \\ |\otimes⟩; |A_1⟩, & \text{for } \tau = \tau^*. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The trigger plays the role of a clock that at $\tau^*$ changes the state of agent A into a new state for which interaction with the target becomes possible. In other words, the detector of A, represented by $H_A$, is switched on by the trigger at $\tau^*$. It is in fact sufficient that the trigger generates a nonzero projection of the state of A on $|A_1⟩$ at $\tau^*$. The agent B is prepared in the state $|B_3⟩$ at the time $t_3 + d/2c$. Since B remains in a fixed position, an external clock at this position can be used to prepare the agent in the required state at the scheduled time.

We require the levels $|A_1⟩$ and $|B_3⟩$ to have a small decay time satisfying $\Delta \tau_1 \ll d/c$ and $\epsilon \ll \Delta \tau_1$. Then the system A can absorb a photon of energy $e_1$ or $e_4$ and get excited to the level $|A_2⟩$ or $|A_4⟩$ only if this photon arrives at the specific time $\tau^*$, within a time-window of approximately $\Delta \tau_1$. If no photon reaches the system in this time interval, it decays to the ground level $|A_5⟩$ emitting a photon of energy $e_6$ that testifies that the experiment did not happen, and this round can be thrown away. Similarly, the system $H_B$ can be excited only at the coordinate time $t_3 + d/2c$.

The experiment is designed so that, for the state $|P_{A-B}⟩$, a photon of energy $e_1$ meets A at $t_3$. If this photon is absorbed, A is excited to level $|A_2⟩$. It then rapidly decays to the level $|A_3⟩$, emitting a photon of energy $e_2$. This step is understood as an operation $A$ on the photon state, i.e., $A|e_1⟩ = |e_2⟩$. The emitted photon can then be absorbed by the agent B. When this does not happen, B decays to its ground state $|B_3⟩$ emitting a photon of energy $e_6$ that testifies that the experiment was not completed. If the photon is absorbed, B is excited to the level $|B_4⟩$ and quickly decays to the level $|B_3⟩$ by emitting a photon of energy $e_3$. The operation B on the photon state is thus $B|e_2⟩ = |e_3⟩$. The operations are performed in the order $B, A$. At the end of the process, A is in the state $|A_3⟩$ and B is in the ground state $|B_3⟩$. The final joint state of the agents and the photon is $|FA-B⟩ |e_3⟩$, where $|FA-B⟩ = |P_{A-B}⟩ \otimes |A_3⟩ |B_3⟩$ is the tensor product of the final states for the path of A, the trigger and the internal systems $H_A$ and $H_B$, respectively. For the path $|P_{B-A}⟩$, the sequence of events happens analogously, implementing operations $B|e_1⟩ = |e_4⟩$ and $A|e_4⟩ = |e_5⟩$, performed now in the switched order $AB$. In this case, the joint final state is $|FB-A⟩ |e_5⟩$, where $|FB-A⟩ = |P_{B-A}⟩ \otimes |B_3⟩ |A_3⟩ |B_3⟩$. The final state of the system is

$$\frac{|FA-B⟩ B_A|e_1⟩ + |FB-A⟩ A_B|e_1⟩}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (10)$$

Measuring the agents in the basis $|FA-B⟩ \pm |FB-A⟩$ takes the photon to the state

$$B_A|e_1⟩ \pm AB|e_1⟩ = \frac{|e_3⟩ \pm |e_5⟩}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (11)$$

and we obtain a superposition of the orders of the events A and B, i.e., a quantum switch.

The measurement on the basis $|FA-B⟩ \pm |FB-A⟩$ includes the measurement of the clock. This can be avoided by resynchronizing the clock states after the application of the operations, which would disentangle the clock from the rest of the system, allowing the measurement on the basis $|FA-B⟩ \pm |FB-A⟩$ to be performed only on the path and states of the few-level systems. This could be done by making A follow the paths of the protocol in a reversed way, similarly as done in [19]. Another possibility is to artificially synchronize the clock states by directly manipulating them, as done for instance in [33].

Discussion. Instead of considering classical agents A and B operating on a target system moving on a superposition state of the gravitational field, we allowed the agents to be quantum systems, with A in a path superposition state, on a fixed background curved geometry. The proper times elapsed along the distinct paths are entangled with the paths. With a careful choice of the paths, we constructed a protocol that incorporates the main features of the protocol for a gravitational quantum switch proposed in [19]. A test of Bell’s inequality for temporal order can be implemented with two entangled copies of the agents and the photon.

In our protocol, the causal orders are not entangled with the spacetime metric, which is classical, but with paths of a quantum system in this fixed curved background. Its realization would then consist of a test of quantum mechanics on curved spacetimes [31, 34, 35], the limit of quantum field on curved spacetimes for nonrelativistic speeds, $v \ll c$, and negligible rate of particle creation or annihilation. This physical regime has not yet been probed experimentally, and our results provide a tool for testing the frequently adopted formulation of time-evolution on a curved spacetime described by Eq. (8).

The quantum switch has been realized experimentally in non-gravitational systems [36]. In such experiments, one does not keep track of the proper times at which the agents perform their operations. If the operation $A$ is applied at distinct proper times of A for the orders $AB$ or $BA$, then measuring the time of the operation would in fact destroy the superposition of causal orders. When this cannot be done, $A$ can be described by a single gate in a quantum circuit, even though it may involve interactions that take place at distinct events in Minkowski spacetime. In our case, one agent must be equipped with an internal clock and apply its operation only at the prescribed time. This ensures that the influence of gravity on the proper times along the paths is indeed the prop-
ertainty allowing for the superposition of orders. That the quantum switch is undoubtedly due to a gravitational effect can be seen by repeating the same protocol on a horizontal plane. The gravitational potential would then be the same along both paths, and the quantum switch would not happen, as in only one of the paths A would actually apply the operation at the time the photon arrived.

Experiments that attest quantum phenomena due to the Earth’s gravitational potential in the Newtonian regime have already been made [37, 38]. Gravitational time dilation is one of the dominant general relativistic corrections to Newtonian gravity, and can be observed even for a height difference of 1 meter [39]. A natural next step would be the exploration of superposition and entanglement of quantum clocks taking into account the effect of time dilation, an issue that has been theoretically explored [40–45] and simulated with magnetic fields [33], but for which an experimental test with the gravitational field is still missing. With the progress on new techniques for manipulating path superposition states at macroscopic scales [46–48], such tests seem to provide a promising path for the observation of quantum effects in gravitational systems, and our results include the quantum switch in a prospect list of possible experiments aiming at this direction.
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