Shifting and splitting of resonance lines due to dynamical friction in plasmas
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Abstract—A quasilinear plasma transport theory that incorporates Fokker-Planck dynamical friction (drag) and scattering is self-consistently derived from first principles for an isolated, marginally-unstable mode resonating with an energetic minority species. It is found that drag fundamentally changes the structure of the wave-particle resonance, breaking its symmetry and leading to the shifting and splitting of resonance lines. In contrast, scattering broadens the resonance in a symmetric fashion. Comparison with fully nonlinear simulations shows that the proposed quasilinear system preserves the exact instability saturation amplitude and the corresponding particle redistribution of the fully nonlinear theory. Even though drag is shown to lead to a relatively small resonance shift, it underpins major changes in the redistribution of resonant particles. These findings suggest that drag can play a key role in modeling the energetic particle confinement in future burning fusion plasmas.

Introduction.—Resonances are channels for nonadiabatic energy exchange between particles and waves in kinetic plasma systems. In linear theory, resonant interactions occur when a specific synchronization condition is satisfied exactly. In reality, however, this condition is smeared out due to effects such as finite mode amplitude, turbulence, and collisions. The effect of resonance broadening in plasmas has been historically investigated in strong turbulence [1–5] and quasilinear [6–10] approaches, with important implications to the dynamics of plasma echoes [11] and Alfvén waves [12]. Previously unexplored aspects, but similarly important for influencing the resonant particle relaxation, are mechanisms responsible for the shifting and splitting of discrete resonances.

The competition between convective (dynamical friction, also known as drag) and diffusive (scattering) collisions plays a key role in determining the dynamical behavior of wave-particle resonant systems [13]. For instance, it is crucial to explaining the observation of Alfvén eigenmode frequency chirping in tokamaks [14]. Although global, non-resonant effects of drag are well known [15], the influence of drag on the structure of narrow resonant layers has not previously been determined.

In this work, we explore kinetic instabilities close to their threshold to analytically show that coherent Fokker-Planck drag breaks the symmetry of the resonances with respect to their original location. This occurs not only by shifting and splitting them but also by altering the relative strength of regions in the vicinity of a resonance. The skewed dependence of the resonance due to drag is in turn responsible for significant modifications to the particle distribution function. The derived expressions for the relaxed distribution are shown to be accurate when compared to fully nonlinear simulations. Moreover, it is demonstrated that the fully nonlinear collisional kinetic system naturally reduces to the form of a quasilinear (QL) theory in the limit of marginal stability and when stochastic processes dominate the relaxation.

A particularly important application of these findings is in the modeling of Alfvénic eigenmodes in future burning plasmas such as ITER, where a dense spectrum of resonances is expected to drive the relaxation of beam ions and alpha particles towards a QL regime [16]. Fully nonlinear simulations are numerically costly and therefore it is of practical interest to develop reduced models that are capable of reproducing essential features of more complete descriptions. More broadly, the methods and insights presented here are also applicable to the diverse range of systems where weakly nonlinear dynamics arise, for example, in studies of zonal flows interacting with a turbulent background [17], in Fermi acceleration by astrophysical shocks [18], and in studies of Rossby waves in shear flow fluids [19].

Self-consistent transport theory with dynamical friction and scattering.— Krook or scattering collisions are known to lead to an anti-symmetric modification of the particle distribution around a resonance [9]. As will be seen in the following, drag introduces a distinct, asymmetric response.

To show the emergence of those effects in a simplified setting, we investigate the 1D nonlinear dynamics of an electrostatic wave resonating with a hot minority species, i.e. the canonical bump-on-tail problem, in the presence of both scattering and drag. The generalization to more complicated geometries and to waves of any polarization can be achieved with the methods of Refs. [9, 20]. The wave field is represented as

$$E(x,t) = \text{Re} \left( \hat{E}(t) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \right),$$

with complex amplitude $\hat{E}(t)$, frequency $\omega$, and wave number $k$. The hot minority species is described via a distribution function $f(x, v, t)$ with an initial discrete resonance at $k v_{res} = \omega$. For resonances narrow with respect to the velocity scale of the distribution, it is sufficient to evaluate the Fokker-Planck coefficients at the resonance center and the kinetic equa-
the time history of the system that involves delays in the absence of damping is proportional to this slope: 
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{k} \text{Re} \left( \omega_b^2 e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = \frac{\nu^3}{k^2} \frac{\partial^2 (f - F_0)}{\partial v^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{k} \frac{\partial (f - F_0)}{\partial v}. \] (1)

Here \( F_0 \) is the equilibrium distribution function in the absence of wave perturbations, which is assumed to have an approximately constant positive slope in the vicinity of the resonance. The rate of mode drive at \( t = 0 \) in the absence of damping is proportional to this slope: 
\[ \gamma_{L,0} = \frac{2 \pi q^2 \omega}{mk^2} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v}, \]
while the mode damping rate due to interaction with the background thermal plasma is given by a constant \( \gamma_d \). The nonlinear bounce frequency for deeply-trapped resonant particles, \( \omega_b(t) \equiv \sqrt{q k \tilde{E}(t)} / m, \) is a convenient measure of the mode amplitude.

The coefficients \( \nu \) and \( \alpha \) are the effective scattering and drag collision frequencies, which are enhanced with respect to the 90° pitch angle scattering rate and the inverse slowing down time (see [21]). Due to the narrowness of the resonances, the resonant particle dynamics can be strongly controlled by collisions even if their non-resonant dynamics hardly feels the effect of collisions. Typically, \( \alpha \) is one order of magnitude below \( \nu \) in tokamaks [14]. Hence the limit of \( \alpha/\nu \ll 1 \) is particularly relevant for the study of Alfvén eigenmodes.

Due to spatial periodicity, the distribution can be assumed of the form \( f(x,v,t) = f_0(v,t) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( f_l(v,t) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} + c.c. \right) \). In the collisional regime, an asymptotic expansion exists in orders of the small parameter \( \omega_b^2/\nu^2 \ll 1 \), implying \( |f_0^{(0)}| \gg |f_l^{(1)}| \gg |f_0^{(2)}| \ll |f_0^{(1)}| \| f_l^{(2)} | \) [22]. The prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( v \) while the superscript denotes the order in the wave amplitude (proportional to \( \omega_b^2/\nu^2 \)). This ordering of the perturbation theory is satisfied for the entire time evolution of the system so long as (i) steady asymptotic solutions exist (guaranteed when \( \alpha/\nu \lesssim 0.96 \) and \( \nu > \nu_{\text{crit}} \approx 2.05 (\gamma_{L,0} - \gamma_d) \) [13]) and (ii) the system is sufficiently close to marginal stability, i.e. \( \gamma_{L,0} - \gamma_d \ll \gamma_{L,0} \). When conditions (i) and (ii) are not satisfied, the mode may grow to an amplitude that violates the \( \omega_b^2 \ll \nu^2 \) assumption, at which point the expansion is no longer valid. The zeroth-order distribution component \( f_0^{(0)} \) corresponds to the equilibrium distribution \( F_0 \). From Eq. (1), the \( f_l \) satisfy
\[ \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial t} + il (kv - \omega) f_l + \frac{1}{2k} \left( \omega_b^2 f_{l-1} + \omega_b^2 f_{l+1} \right) = \frac{\nu^3}{k^2} f_l^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{k} f_l^{(1)}. \] (2)

In general, the solution of Eq. (2) is an integral over the time history of the system that involves delays in the argument of \( \omega_b(t) \). However, when stochastic collisions dominate the system’s dynamics over other processes, the system memory is poorly retained and the dynamics instead become essentially local in time [23, 24]. This occurs when \( \nu \gg \gamma_{L,0} - \gamma_d \). The constraints on these parameters become more restrictive as the ratio of drag to scattering is increased, as discussed in [24]. Then, to first order in \( \omega_b^2/\nu^2 \) one can disregard the time derivative in (2), which leads to
\[ f_1^{(1)} = -\frac{F_0 \omega_b^2(t)}{2k\nu} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-i(k(v-s) - 1/2 \nu^2 s^2/2 - s^3/3}. \] (3)

Using the reality rule \( f_1^{(1)} = f_1^{(1) \ast} \), Eq. (2) can be written to second order in \( \omega_b^2/\nu^2 \),
\[ \frac{\partial f_0^{(2)}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2k} \left( \omega_b^2 \left[ f_1^{(1) \ast} \right] + \omega_b^2 f_1^{(1)} \right) = \frac{\nu^3}{k^2} f_0^{(2)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{k} f_0^{(2)} \]
\[ = \frac{\nu^3}{k^2} \frac{\partial^2 (f(v,t) - F_0)}{\partial v^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{k} \frac{\partial (f(v,t) - F_0)}{\partial v}. \] (4)

Substitution of Eq. (3) into Eq. (4) shows that only the spatially averaged distribution \( f(v,t) \equiv \frac{(k/2\pi)}{F_0(v)} f(x,v,t) dx = F_0(v) + f_0^{(2)}(v,t) \) explicitly appears in the wave-particle power exchange to second order in \( \omega_b^2/\nu^2 \). Since \( \partial F_0/\partial t = 0 \) and \( |F_0| \gg |f_0^{(2)}| \), one then obtains from that the resonant particle response is regulated by a QL diffusion-advection transport equation
\[ \frac{\partial f_0^{(2)}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2k^3} \omega_b^2 \mathcal{R}(v) \frac{\partial f_0^{(2)}}{\partial v} \right] = \frac{\nu^3}{k^2} \frac{\partial^2 (f(v,t) - F_0)}{\partial v^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{k} \frac{\partial (f(v,t) - F_0)}{\partial v} \]
with the resonance function given by
\[ \mathcal{R}(v) = \frac{k}{\pi \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \cos \left( \frac{(kv - \omega)}{\nu} \right) \frac{\alpha^2 s^2}{2} e^{-s^3/3}. \] (6)

\( \mathcal{R}(v) \) gives the velocity-dependent strength of the resonant wave-particle interaction. In the absence of collisions, \( \mathcal{R}(v) = \delta(v - \omega/k) \) would describe an exact, unbroaded resonance. Irrespective of the values of \( \alpha/\nu \), the property \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(v) dv = 1 \) is exactly satisfied. The complete set of equations describing the QL system is then given by Eqs. (21) (with the resonance function (22)), coupled with the amplitude evolution equation
\[ \frac{d|\omega_b^2(t)|^2}{dt} = 2 (\gamma_L(t) - \gamma_d) |\omega_b^2(t)|^2 \]
with the time-dependent drive \( \gamma_L(t) \) given by
\[ \gamma_L(t) = \frac{2 \pi q^2 \omega}{mk^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \mathcal{R}(v) \frac{\partial f(v,t)}{\partial v}. \] (8)
A first-principles derivation of Eqs. (19) and Eq. (20) is shown in the Supplemental Material (Ref. [25]).
Theory vs Simulation

Remarking, a QL transport theory has emerged spontaneously from the nonlinear one under the assumption that stochasticity dominates over the relaxation timescale, even in the presence of coherent drag which acts to preserve phase space correlations. Furthermore, the QL system was obtained for an isolated, discrete resonance without invoking any overlapping condition.

**Shift of the resonance function due to symmetry breaking.**—Plotting the resonance function \( R(v) \) for different values of \( \alpha/\nu \), as done in Fig. 1(a), demonstrates that drag shifts the location of the strongest wave-particle interaction in phase space. Moreover, drag acts to increase the strength of the interaction downstream of the resonance and diminish it upstream. Although the quantitative changes in the resonance function due to drag are seemingly small, it will be shown later that this fundamental change in the character of the wave-particle resonance has substantial consequences for how the energetic particles are redistributed. This shift is not analogous to a simple Doppler shift, but rather the result of asymmetry present in the collisional dynamics due to drag, which has a preferred direction. Without drag, \( R(v) \) is perfectly symmetric and has a peak occurring at \( kv - \omega = 0 \).

The shift of the resonance function implies a new collisional modification to the resonance condition, which can be obtained by calculating the location of the peak of \( R(v) \), leading to:

\[
\int_0^\infty \sin \left( s \frac{(kv - \omega)}{\nu} \right) \exp(-s^3/3) ds = 0.
\]

Noting that only small \( s \) contributes due to the strongly decaying cubic exponential, the drag-modified resonance condition becomes:

\[
(kv - \omega)_{\text{peak}} \approx -\frac{3^{1/3}}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{4}{3} \right) \frac{\alpha^2}{\nu}.
\] (9)

The small argument expansion of sine ensures that only the first extremum of \( R(v) \) is captured, as desired. Eq. (9) is accurate to within 1.5% for \( \alpha/\nu < 1 \). Shifted resonances lines, captured here using drag in a considerably reduced theory, is also known to exist in the strong turbulence framework [26] as a result of turbulence modification of ensemble average orbits. They also appear in atomic spectroscopy, where spectral lines can be shifted from their Bohr frequency levels due to the Stark, Zeeman, Doppler, and Darwin effects [27], with key implications in nuclear magnetic resonance [28], electron spin resonance [29] and Mössbauer spectroscopy [30].

Particle relaxation and instability saturation level.—We will now demonstrate that the derived QL system reproduces key features of the complete nonlinear system, namely the perturbed distribution function and wave saturation amplitude. When stochasticity regulates the timescale for the mode growth, as assumed in the previous section, Eq. (21) can be further simplified to:

\[
\delta f'(v, t) + \alpha^2 \delta f = -\left( \pi \frac{\omega^2}{k} \right)^2 \frac{\nu^2}{2k^2} R(v) F_0',
\]

which can be solved by direct integration:

\[\delta f (v, t) = c (t, \alpha/\nu) - \frac{\omega^2}{2 \nu} \int_0^\infty ds \exp(-s^3/3) \frac{\alpha^2}{\nu^2} \cos \left( \frac{(kv - \omega)}{\nu} + \frac{\alpha^2 s^2}{\nu^2} \right) + s \sin \left( \frac{(kv - \omega)}{\nu} + \frac{\alpha^2 s^2}{\nu^2} \right). \] (10)

The integration constant \( c(t, \alpha/\nu) \) is determined by enforcing particle conservation: \( \int_{v_{\text{max}}}^{v_{\text{max}}} \delta f dv = 0 \). The velocity structure of \( \delta f \) does not evolve in time, as all time dependence is contained in the overall factor \( \omega^2 (t) \).

The relaxed distribution is plotted in Fig. 1(b) for several values of \( \alpha/\nu < 1 \). Remarkably, a small quantitative...
asymmetry in the collisional dynamics due to drag can have a large qualitative effect on the saturated distribution, even though the corresponding changes in the resonance function are less dramatic. When no drag is present ($\alpha = 0$), $\delta f$ is antisymmetric with constant plateaus outside of a narrow transition region near the peak of the resonance. As the ratio of drag to scattering is increased, the plateau upstream of the resonance ($kv > \omega$) instead decays in velocity space at a rate proportional to $\alpha^2/\nu^2$, leading to a defined “well” structure in the distribution. Particle conservation shifts the entire distribution downwards once the symmetry is broken, eliminating nearly all of the downstream particle redistribution, even for very small amounts of drag. The drag-induced modifications to $\delta f$ were compared against simulations performed with the nonlinear 1D Vlasov code BOT, which solves the plasma kinetic equation (Eq. (1)) directly [31, 32]. The dashed black curves in Fig. 1(b) show the simulation results for each value of $\alpha/\nu$, demonstrating excellent agreement.

Now consider the saturation amplitude of the wave in the conventional tokamak regime where scattering dominates ($\alpha \ll \nu$). Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (20), to lowest order in $\alpha^2/\nu^2$, one gets (the details of the derivation are given in Ref. [25])

$$\gamma_L(t) \simeq \gamma_{L0} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega^2_{\text{sat}}(t)}{2\nu^4} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{1/3} \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2 \nu^2} \right] \right\}$$

(11)

At saturation, i.e., when $\gamma_L(t) = \gamma_d$, then the case $\alpha \ll \nu$ admits the simple solution for the mode amplitude $\hat{E}$

$$|\omega_{\text{b, sat}}| = \sqrt{\frac{gkE_{\text{sat}}}{m}} \simeq \left[ \frac{2(1 - \gamma_d/\gamma_{L,0})}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{1/3} \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2 \nu^2}} \right]^{1/4} \nu,$$

which is the same as calculated directly from nonlinear theory (Ref. [24]). In the absence of drag, the saturation in Eq. (12) recovers the level calculated in Ref. [20].

**Resonance splitting due to large drag.**—It is also interesting to consider the structure of the resonance when drag dominates over scattering, corresponding to instabilities which will eventually reach a strongly nonlinear regime [13]. Although for $\alpha/\nu > 0.96$ no steady state solution is allowed within the near-threshold perturbation theory [13], all of the derivations to this point nonetheless remain valid up until the mode amplitude exceeds the assumed $\omega^2_{\text{b, sat}}/\nu^2 \ll 1$ ordering. Hence the formulas for the resonance function (Eq. 22) and perturbed distribution (Eq. 10) can be applied to the early growth phase for these instabilities.

For $\alpha \gg \nu$, a pronounced splitting of the resonance function occurs. Instead of being localized around a single peak, $\mathcal{R}(v)$ splits into several peaks of comparable magnitude, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Simultaneously, the resonance function broadens beyond its original width proportional to $\nu$, instead becoming proportional to $\alpha$.

It can be shown from Eq. (22) that for $kv < \omega$ in the limit of $(kv - \omega)^2 \gg \alpha^2 \gg \nu^2 \gg \gamma^2$, the resonance function has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$\mathcal{R}(v) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \right)^3 \right] \cos \left[ \frac{(kv - \omega)^2}{2\nu^2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right].$$

(13)

Hence the resonance function’s extrema are given by $(kv - \omega)_{\text{crit}} = -\alpha \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{n + 1/4}$ for $n \geq 0$. In particular, $n = 0$ gives the central shift in the resonance function under these conditions, showing that it becomes proportional to $\alpha$ when drag dominates instead of $\alpha^2$ when scattering dominates (as in Eq. (9)). Strictly speaking, similar extrema are present even when $\alpha \ll \nu$, (the next extremum is visible in Fig 1(a) as a small trough), however their magnitudes are much smaller than the primary peak in those cases.

The splitting of the resonance function is a novel quasilinear effect not previously identified in plasmas. This behavior implies that for sufficiently large drag there can be multiple regions of phase space where particles are efficiently interacting with the wave, even for the idealized case of a monochromatic wave with a uniform background. This modification of the resonance is distinct from both linear sideband resonances which arise due to
drifts in toroidal geometry [33] and nonlinear fractional resonances due to large amplitudes [34–37].

While a steady-state, saturated δf cannot be calculated in this regime for the reasons mentioned above, its dependence can still be determined in the early phase when the mode amplitude has not grown too large. Then it can be shown that δf has identical asymptotic behavior as the resonance function: δf(ν) ∝ −R(ν), inheriting the same pattern of decaying oscillations. The overall amplitude of δf grows in time as the system evolves, but otherwise the structure present in δf is stationary and does not propagate. Strong agreement is found between the analytic δf calculated with Eq. 10 and a nonlinear BOT simulation in the drag-dominated regime, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The prominent striations induced in δf due to large drag are reminiscent of the propagating holes and clumps [38] known to mediate wave chirping often observed in experiments [39–41].

Conclusions.—This work has identified several ways in which the presence of collisional drag fundamentally modifies the character of resonant wave-particle interactions in plasmas and the associated transport. It has been shown that near marginal stability and with sufficiently large effective scattering, nonlinear theory naturally reduces to QL theory even when coherence-preserving dynamical friction is included. This finding provides further physical justification for the use of QL models for predicting fast ion transport in otherwise computationally intensive burning plasma scenarios. The strength of the wave-particle interaction is characterized by a collisionally-broadened resonance function. The inclusion of drag breaks a symmetry otherwise present in the system, shifting the resonance function from its usual location in phase space. When drag dominates, the resonance function splits into multiple peaks of similar magnitude.

The self-consistently derived saturated mode amplitude and associated relaxation of the energetic particle distribution were shown to be in excellent agreement with fully nonlinear simulations. In particular, the particle redistribution is found to be very sensitive to even small amounts of drag, indicating the importance of including it in realistic models of energetic particle transport in fusion plasmas. Experimentally, the shifting and splitting of the resonance function can in principle be detected by laser induced fluorescence measurements of the perturbed distribution (Eq. (10)) in a set-up similar to that of Ref. [42] for the case of resonant ions or using whistler mode wave absorption for resonant electrons [43].
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21. The effective frequencies represent the enhancement of collisional effects in narrow resonance layers, even in weakly collisional plasmas [7, 11, 44]. They scale as ν ~ ν⊥ω2/(k∆ν)2 and α ~ τs−1ω/(k∆ν) where k∆ν ~ ν is the characteristic broadening width, ν⊥ is the 90-degree pitch angle scattering rate and τs is the slowing down time. Detailed expressions for ν and α in a tokamak are described by Eqs. 6 and 7 of Ref. [45].
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DERIVATION OF THE EVOLUTION EQUATION, THE GROWTH RATE AND THE RELAXED DISTRIBUTION

The power transfer from the resonant particles satisfying \( kv \approx \omega \) to the wave is

\[
P = -\frac{e \omega}{k} \int dv \int dx E^* (x, t) f (x, v, t)
\]

The power balance equation, for a constant background damping rate \( \gamma_d \), is

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int dx \frac{E^2 (x, t)}{8 \pi} = P - \gamma_d \int dx \frac{E^2 (x, t)}{8 \pi}.
\]

As in the Letter, the distribution is considered in the form

\[
f (x, v, t) = f_0 (v, t) + \sum \left( f_i (v, t) e^{i (kx - \omega t)} + c.c. \right),
\]

with the small parameter \( \omega_b^2 / v^2 \ll 1 \) leading to the ordering \[ |f_0^{(0)}| \gg |f_1^{(1)}| \gg |f_0^{(2)}|, f_2^{(2)}| \] [22]. The prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( v \) while the superscript denotes the order in the wave amplitude (proportional to \( \omega_b^2 / v^2 \)). Performing the spatial integration of Eq. 14 over a wavelength leads to

\[
\frac{d \hat{E} (t)}{dt} = -\frac{4 \pi q \omega}{k} \int dv f_1 (v, t) - \gamma_d \hat{E} (t)
\]

where \( q \) is the energetic particle electric charge. Consider the above equation up to cubic order in the electric field amplitude, i.e., \( f_1 \simeq f_1^{(1)} + f_1^{(3)} \), where \( f_1^{(1)} \) and \( f_1^{(3)} \) can be found by direct integration of the kinetic equation (the details are given in Sec. III of this Supplemental Material)

\[
f_1^{(1)} = \frac{\omega_b^2 (t)}{2 kv} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v} \int_0^\infty dse^{-i (\omega_b - \omega) s - i \omega_b^2 s^2 / 2 - s^3 / 3}
\]

and

\[
f_1^{(3)} = -\frac{\omega_b^2 (t)}{2 kv} \frac{\partial f_0^{(2)}}{\partial v} \int_0^\infty dse^{-i (\omega_b - \omega) s - i \omega_b^2 s^2 / 2 - s^3 / 3}
\]

Using the definition \( \omega_b^2 \equiv ek \hat{E} / m \) and Eqs. 16 and 17, Eq. 15 becomes

\[
\frac{d \omega_b^2 (t)}{dt} = -\gamma_d \omega_b^2 (t) + \frac{2 \pi q^2 \omega}{kv m} \omega_b^2 (t) \times \int_0^{\infty} dv \left( \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial f_0^{(2)}}{\partial v} \right) \int_0^\infty dse^{-i (\omega_b - \omega) s - i \omega_b^2 s^2 / 2 - s^3 / 3}.
\]
Using Eq. (22), the velocity integral in Eq. (23) can be performed, which leads to the expression shown in the Letter,
\[
\delta f(v, t) = c \left( t, \alpha/\nu \right) - \frac{\omega_s^2(t)^2}{2\nu^3} F_0 \int_0^\infty ds \frac{e^{-s^3/3}}{\alpha^2/\nu^2 + s^2} 
\times \left[ \alpha^2 \cos \left( \frac{\Omega s}{\nu} + \frac{\alpha^2 s^2}{2} \right) + s \sin \left( \frac{\Omega s}{\nu} + \frac{\alpha^2 s^2}{2} \right) \right].
\]
The integration constant \( c(t, \alpha/\nu) \) is determined by enforcing particle conservation: \( \int_{v_{min}}^{v_{max}} \delta f dv = 0 \). A subtlety of the nonlinear simulations shown in the Letter’s Fig. 1(b) is that there is a slight discrepancy for the special case of no drag. The simulation uses a periodic/free-flowing boundary condition in order to conserve particles, imposing \( \delta f(v_{min}) = \delta f(-v_{max}) \), while \( \delta f \) is anti-symmetric when \( \alpha = 0 \). To satisfy both conditions, \( \delta f \) becomes pinned to zero in the simulations at the boundary \( (kv_{max}/\nu = 1250\pi) \), in contrast to Eq. (23), which conserves particles without the additional numerical constraint. This artificial boundary effect has only a minor effect near the resonance (e.g. the subdomain shown in Fig. 1(b)), which is the physical region of interest. Aside from this technical subtlety, the simulations confirm that the derived QL system evolves to the same particle distribution as the exact nonlinear one.

**DERIVATION OF THE GROWTH RATE TO LOWEST ORDER IN \( \alpha^2/\nu^2 \)**

The condition \( \alpha \ll \nu \), common in scenarios of fast ion resonances with Alfvén eigenmodes in tokamaks [14] further allows the resonance function [Eq. (22)] to be approximately written as
\[
R(v) \simeq \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\nu^2} \frac{kv - \omega}{\nu} \right] R_{\text{scatt}}(v), \tag{24}
\]
where
\[
R_{\text{scatt}}(v) = \frac{k}{\pi \nu} \int_0^\infty ds \cos \left( \frac{kv - \omega}{\nu} s \right) e^{-s^3/3} \tag{25}
\]
is the symmetric resonance function in the absence of drag [9]. Substituting Eq. (23), into Eq. (20), one obtains
\[
\gamma_L(t) = \gamma_{L0} \left\{ 1 - \frac{v}{2} \left[ k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv R^2(v) - \frac{\nu^2 k^2}{\nu \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv v R(v) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv' R(v') \exp \left[ -\frac{\nu^2}{\nu \nu} k (v - v') \right] \right] \right\} \tag{26}
\]
To first order in \( \alpha^2/\nu^2 \), the resonance function in Eq. (26) can be approximated by Eq. (24). The first term of Eq. (26) can be simplified by noting that because \( R_{\text{scatt}}(v) \) (given by Eq. 25) is an even function with respect to \( kv = \omega \), then \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (kv - \omega) R_{\text{scatt}}(v) dv = 0 \). Therefore the first term only contributes via \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{\text{scatt}}^2 dv = \frac{2k}{\pi \nu} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2} \right] \).

For the second term of Eq. (26), it should be noted that, because \( v' \leq v \) in the inner integral, then the exponential is always within the interval \( (0, 1) \). The term involving the double integral in Eq. (26) is already multiplied by \( \alpha^2/\nu^2 \), so we seek to calculate the integrals to zeroth order in this small parameter. Then, the term involving the double integral can be approximated by
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv'dv R(v) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv' R(v') = 1/2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv' R(v') \right] = 1/2. \tag{27}
\]
The growth rate, therefore, can be written as
\[
\gamma_L(t) \simeq \gamma_{L0} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{2\nu^2} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2} \right] \right\}
\]
which is the same expression calculated directly from nonlinear theory (Ref. [24]).

**INTEGRATION OF THE KINETIC EQUATION**

The equation
\[
\frac{\partial f_1^{(1)}}{\partial t} + ik(v - \omega) f_1^{(1)} + \frac{\omega_s^2}{2k} F_0^2 = \nu^2 \frac{m_1}{k^4} f_1^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{k} f_1^{(1)} \tag{28}
\]
has the solution
\[
f_1^{(1)} = -\frac{F_0^2}{2k} \int_0^{t_1} dt_1 \omega_s^2(t_1) e^{-i(kv - \omega)(t - t_1) - i2\alpha^2(t - t_1)^2/2 - \nu^2(t - t_1)^3/3}. \tag{29}
\]
Defining \( s = \nu(t - t_1) \),
\[
f_1^{(1)} = -\frac{F_0^2}{2\nu k} \int_0^{\nu t} ds \omega_s^2(t - s/\nu) e^{-i(kv - \omega)(s) - i\frac{\alpha^2}{\nu^2}/2 - \nu^3/3}. \tag{30}
\]
Due to the cubic exponential, only small values of \( s \leq 2 \) contribute significantly to the integral. Physically, when stochastic collisions dominate the system’s dynamics over other processes, the system memory is poorly retained and the dynamics instead become essentially local in time [23, 24], which occurs when \( \nu \gg \gamma_L(t) \). Therefore, the amplitude can be evaluated at that peak of the exponential kernel and be moved out of the integral and the upper limit of integration can be set to infinity,
\[
f_1^{(1)} = -\frac{F_0^2 \omega_s^2(t)}{2\nu k} \int_0^{\nu t} ds e^{-i(kv - \omega)(s) - i\frac{\alpha^2}{\nu^2}/2 - \nu^3/3}. \tag{31}
\]
Eq. (31) is also a solution of Eq. (28) when the time derivative is neglected from the outset. That can be easily seen by substituting Eq. (31) into Eq. (28), which
leads to

$$
\frac{F_0^\prime \omega_b^2 (t)}{2k} = -\frac{F_0^\prime \omega_b^2 (t)}{2k} \int_0^\infty ds \left[ -i \left( \frac{k\nu - \omega}{\nu} \right) - i \frac{\alpha^2}{\nu^2} s - s^2 \right] \times e^{-i \left( \frac{k\nu - \omega}{\nu} \right) s - i \frac{\alpha^2}{\nu^2} s^2 / 2 - s^3 / 3},
$$

(32)

Noticing that the integrand of Eq. (32) can be re-written as $\frac{d}{ds} e^{-i \left( \frac{k\nu - \omega}{\nu} \right) s - i \frac{\alpha^2}{\nu^2} s^2 / 2 - s^3 / 3}$, it follows straightforwardly that the equality (Eq. (32)) is satisfied and (31) is a solution of Eq. (28) without the time derivative.