arXiv:2012.09127v4 [math.DG] 13 Apr 2023

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR SCALAR CURVATURE

CHRISTIAN BÄR AND BERNHARD HANKE

Dedicated to Misha Gromov on the occasion of his 77th birthday

Abstract. Based on the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index formula, we construct an obstruction to positive scalar curvature metrics with mean convex boundaries on spin manifolds of infinite K-area. We also characterize the extremal case.

Next we show a general deformation principle for boundary conditions of metrics with lower scalar curvature bounds. This implies that the relaxation of boundary conditions often induces weak homotopy equivalences of spaces of such metrics. This can be used to refine the smoothing of codimension-one singularites à la Miao and the deformation of boundary conditions à la Brendle-Marques-Neves, among others.

Finally, we construct compact manifolds for which the spaces of positive scalar curvature metrics with mean convex boundaries have nontrivial higher homotopy groups.

Video abstract: https://vimeo.com/530490182

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Nonexistence of metrics with positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary 3
2.1. Harmonic spinors on manifolds with boundary 3
2.2. Infinite K-area 5
2.3. Nonexistence of metrics with positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary 8
3. Deformations of the metric 12
4. Applications 21
4.1. Subspaces of $\mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ given by geometric conditions on the boundary 21
4.2. Additional conditions on the boundary metric 23
4.3. Additional conditions in the interior 25
4.4. Spaces of metrics with mean-convex singularities 26
4.5. Min-Oo's conjecture 26
4.6. Homotopy groups of spaces of metrics with lower scalar curvature and mean curvature bounds 27
References 28

1. Introduction

It is well known that not every closed manifold M carries Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature and, if it does, that the space of all such metrics on M carries a rich topology in general. On the other hand, if M is compact, connected, of dimension 2 at least and has *nonempty boundary*, then, by Gromov's h-principle, the space of positive scalar curvature metrics on M is nonempty and contractible. Hence, in order to encounter interesting phenomena similar to the closed case, one needs to add conditions on the metric along the boundary. The paper at hand shows that this works for numerous boundary conditions of geometric importance.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C23; Secondary: 53C24, 53C27, 58D17, 58J20.

Key words and phrases. Manifolds with boundary, lower scalar curvature bounds, lower mean curvature bounds, Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index formula, infinite K-area, area-enlargeability, deformations of Riemannian metrics, Min-Oo conjecture, spaces of positive scalar curvature metrics with conditions on the second fundamental form of the boundary.

For some time the focus was laid almost entirely on positive scalar curvature metrics which are of product type near the boundary. This is due to the fact that the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for manifolds with boundary was formulated in this setting in [3] for convenience. Moreover, it can be technically useful to replace the manifold with boundary by one without boundary by attaching a cylinder.

Having product structure near the boundary is, however, not the most obvious assumption from a geometric perspective. It implies, for instance, that the metric induced on the boundary is also of positive scalar curvature, thus ruling out many topologies. Consequently, the consideration of less restrictive boundary conditions, such as mean convex or totally geodesic boundaries, has recently been promoted at various occasions, notably in the work of Gromov [15–20]. One motivation for this development lies in the endeavour to find a comparison geometric characterization of lower scalar curvature bounds, see Li [28] for an example regarding nonnegative scalar curvature on 3-dimensional polyhedra.

One of our main results, Theorem 27, describes a general deformation scheme for the strengthening of boundary conditions while preserving lower scalar curvature bounds on smooth manifolds with compact boundaries. As a rule of thumb such deformations exist whenever they are mean curvature nonincreasing with respect to the interior normal along the boundary, much in the spirit of Gromov's "Bending Lemma", see [16, p. 705].

As a notable application, Theorem 27 implies that any positive scalar curvature metric with mean convex boundary can be deformed through such metrics to a positive scalar curvature metric with totally geodesic boundary. In contrast to previous results such as Carlotto-Li [10, Prop. 1.4] our deformations exist in compact families such that metrics whose boundaries are already totally geodesic will keep this property during the deformation. The last feature is crucial for the investigation of spaces of metrics in later parts of our work.

The deformations in Theorem 27 are constructed as follows: In a first step, we create an arbitrarily large scalar curvature contribution in a small neighborhood of the boundary by adjusting the second derivative of the given metric in the normal direction along the boundary while leaving its 1-jet along the boundary constant and decreasing the scalar curvature over the whole manifold by an arbitrarily small amount, see Proposition 23. Here we use local flexibility lemma of Bär-Hanke [7, Thm. 1.2 and Addendum 3.4]. In a second step, we deform the 1-jet along the boundary of the resulting metric with the help of an explicit cut-off function which is supported in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of the boundary, see Proposition 26. The crucial point is that the decrease of scalar curvature in the second deformation may be bounded independently of the first deformation. By an appropriate choice of the first deformation one can hence assume that the concatenation of the two deformations produces a scalar curvature decrease which is only due to an application of the local flexibility lemma. One may speculate that a similar strategy can also be used to refine the recent approximation results in Chow [11].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we generalize the well-known index theoretic obstruction for positive scalar curvature metrics on closed spin manifolds, which is based on the Lichnerowicz formula and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, to compact manifolds with mean convex boundaries. We use the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index formula, see Theorem 19. Our discussion also includes a characterization of the extremal case. We remark that the APS-index formula has also been used in recent work of Lott [30] for generalizing the Llarull and Goette-Semmelmann rigidity theorems, see [29] and [13], to manifolds with boundaries.

In Section 3 we prove our main deformation result, Theorem 27, along the lines sketched above.

Our results are applied in Section 4 to spaces of metrics with lower scalar curvature bounds under various boundary conditions. Theorems 32, 33, 36 and 39 describe a number of instances of geometric relevance when inclusions of such spaces are weak homotopy equivalences. Furthermore, we study spaces of metrics with lower scalar curvature bounds and mean-convex singularities along hypersurfaces and refine the well-known approximation results of Miao [31], see Theorem 42. Subsection 4.5 revisits the construction of counterexamples to the Min-Oo conjecture by Brendle-Marques-Neves [9] in the light of our deformation results.

In Subsection 4.6 we construct, for each $m \geq 0$, examples of manifolds with nonempty boundaries for which the spaces of positive scalar curvature metrics and mean convex boundaries have

nontrivial homotopy in degree m. These are the first examples of this kind. Using the preceding results of our paper this implies analogous properties for spaces of positive scalar curvature metrics with other boundary conditions.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Alessandro Carlotto and Jan Metzger for useful conversations. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for the financial support by the SPP 2026 "Geometry at Infinity" and the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach where this work was initiated under ideal working conditions.

2. Nonexistence of metrics with positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary

Througout this section M will be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and of dimension $n \geq 2$.

2.1. **Harmonic spinors on manifolds with boundary.** Let M carry a spin structure. We denote the complex spinor bundle by ΣM and fix a Hermitian vector bundle $E \to M$ with a compatible connection. Then the twisted Dirac operator D_E is a first-order elliptic differential operator which acts on sections of $\Sigma M \otimes E$.

If the dimension n of M is even, the spinor bundle splits into spinors of positive and negative chirality, $\Sigma M = \Sigma^+ M \oplus \Sigma^- M$. The Dirac operator then interchanges chirality, i.e., with respect to the splitting $\Sigma M \otimes E = (\Sigma^+ M \otimes E) \oplus (\Sigma^- M \otimes E)$ it has the block form

$$D_E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_E^- \\ D_E^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Both $\Sigma^+ M|_{\partial M}$ and $\Sigma^- M|_{\partial M}$ can be naturally identified with $\Sigma \partial M$. We denote the twisted Dirac operator on ∂M by $D_E^{\partial M}$. Since ∂M is a closed manifold, the operator $D_E^{\partial M}$ is essentially selfadjoint.

For any Borel subset $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ denote by $\chi_I \colon \mathbb{R} \to \{0, 1\}$ the characteristic function of I. Functional calculus for selfadjoint operators on the Hilbert space $L^2(\partial M, \Sigma \partial M \otimes E)$ provides us with the projections $\chi_I(D_E^{\partial M})$.

On the Sobolev space $W^1(M, \Sigma M \otimes E)$ the restriction map to the boundary is well defined and yields bounded linear maps $W^1(M, \Sigma^\pm M \otimes E) \to L^2(\partial M, \Sigma \partial M \otimes E)$. We say that $\varphi \in W^1(M, \Sigma^\pm M \otimes E)$ satisfies the *APS-boundary conditions* if $\chi_{[0,\infty)}(D_E^{\partial M})(\varphi|_{\partial M}) = 0$. Similarly, we say that $\varphi \in W^1(M, \Sigma M \otimes E)$ satisfies the *weak APS-boundary conditions* if $\chi_{(0,\infty)}(D_E^{\partial M})(\varphi|_{\partial M}) = 0$. Obviously, if $\ker(D_E^{\partial M}) = 0$ then the APS and the weak APS-boundary conditions coincide.

Consider the operators

$$D_E^{+,\mathsf{APS}} \colon \{\varphi \in W^1(M, \Sigma^+ M \otimes E) : \varphi \text{ satisfies APS-boundary conditions}\} \to L^2(M, \Sigma^- M) \quad (1)$$

$$D_E^{-,\mathsf{wAPS}} \colon \{\varphi \in W^1(M, \Sigma^- M \otimes E) : \varphi \text{ satisfies weak APS-boundary conditions}\} \to L^2(M, \Sigma^+ M) \quad (2)$$

Both $D_E^{+,\mathsf{APS}}$ and $D_E^{-,\mathsf{wAPS}}$ are Fredholm operators and the index formula of Atiyah, Patodi, and Singer [3, Thm. 4.2] says that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ind}(D_E^{+,\mathsf{APS}}) &= -\operatorname{ind}(D_E^{-,\mathsf{wAPS}}) = \dim(\ker(D_E^{+,\mathsf{APS}})) - \dim(\ker(D_E^{-,\mathsf{wAPS}})) \\ &= \int_M \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge \mathsf{ch}(E) + \int_{\partial M} T(\hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge \mathsf{ch}(E)) - \frac{\dim(\ker(D_E^{\partial M})) + \eta(D_E^{\partial M})}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here $\hat{\mathsf{A}}(M)$ is the $\hat{\mathsf{A}}$-form built out of the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection on M, $\mathsf{ch}(E)$ is the Chern character form constructed from the curvature R^E of E, $T(\hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge \mathsf{ch}(E))$ is the corresponding transgression form and $\eta(D_E^{\partial M})$ denotes the η-invariant defined using the spectrum of $D_E^{\partial M}$. See [3] for details.

Next we want to find criteria which ensure that the index of $D_E^{+,\mathsf{APS}}$ vanishes. This is based on the *Lichnerowicz formula* (see e.g. [27, Thm. 8.17]):

$$D_E^2 = \nabla^*\nabla + \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{4}\cdot \mathrm{id} + \mathscr{K}^E \tag{4}$$

where scal denotes the scalar curvature of M and $\mathscr{K}^E$ is the symmetric curvature endomorphism on $\Sigma M \otimes E$ induced by R^E,

$$\mathscr{K}^E(\sigma\otimes e) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} f_i\cdot f_j\cdot\sigma\otimes R^E(f_i,f_j)e. \tag{5}$$

Here $f_1,\dots,f_n$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space of M at the base point of $\sigma\otimes e$. The tangent vectors act by Clifford multiplication on σ.

Let $p\in M$. We define the *operator norm* of R^E at p by

$$|R_p^E| := \max\{|R^E(f_1\wedge f_2)e| : f_1,f_2\in T_pM \text{ and } e\in E_p \text{ with } |f_i|=|e|=1\}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $p\in M$. Then all eigenvalues λ of $\mathscr{K}_p^E$ satisfy*

$$|\lambda| \le \tfrac{n(n-1)}{2}|R_p^E|.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi\in\Sigma_pM\otimes E_p$ be an eigenvector of $\mathscr{K}_p^E$ for the eigenvalue λ. Fix $1\le i<j\le n$. The endomorphism $\Sigma_pM\to\Sigma_pM$, $\sigma\mapsto f_i\cdot f_j\cdot\sigma$, is skew-symmetric and has square $-\mathrm{id}$. Thus there exists an orthonormal basis $\sigma_1,\sigma_1',\sigma_2,\sigma_2',\dots$ of Σ_pM such that $f_i\cdot f_j\cdot\sigma_k=\sigma_k'$ and $f_i\cdot f_j\cdot\sigma_k'=-\sigma_k$. Write $\varphi=\sum_k(\sigma_k\otimes e_k+\sigma_k'\otimes e_k')$ for suitable $e_k,e_k'\in E_p$. Then $|\varphi|^2=\sum_k(|e_k|^2+|e_k'|^2)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
|\left\langle (f_i\cdot f_j\otimes R^E(f_i,f_j))\varphi,\varphi\right\rangle| &= \Big|\sum_{k\ell}\left\langle \sigma_k'\otimes R^E(f_i,f_j)e_k-\sigma_k\otimes R^E(f_i,f_j)e_k',\sigma_\ell\otimes e_\ell+\sigma_\ell'\otimes e_\ell'\right\rangle\Big|\\
&= \Big|\sum_k\left\langle R^E(f_i,f_j)e_k,e_k'\right\rangle-\left\langle R^E(f_i,f_j)e_k',e_k\right\rangle\Big|\\
&\le 2\sum_k|R_p^E||e_k||e_k'|\\
&\le \sum_k|R_p^E|(|e_k|^2+|e_k'|^2)\\
&= |R_p^E||\varphi|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over i and j yields

$$|\lambda||\varphi|^2 = |\left\langle\mathscr{K}_p^E\varphi,\varphi\right\rangle| \le \tfrac{n(n-1)}{2}|R_p^E||\varphi|^2$$

which proves the lemma. □

Put $\|R^E\| := \max_{p\in M}|R_p^E|$.

Corollary 2. *Assume*

$$\min_M \mathrm{scal} \ge 2n(n-1)\|R^E\|. \tag{6}$$

Then the 0-zero part of the right hand side of (4), $\frac14\mathrm{scal}\,\mathrm{id}+\mathscr{K}^E$, is everywhere positive semidefinite. If the inequality in (6) is strict, then $\frac14\mathrm{scal}\,\mathrm{id}+\mathscr{K}^E$ is everywhere positive definite. □

This now leads to a vanishing result for the kernel of the Dirac operator with weak APS-boundary conditions. Denote by ν the interior unit normal field of ∂M. Let $H\colon\partial M\to\mathbb{R}$ be the mean curvature of ∂M with respect to ν.

Proposition 3. *Let M be a connected compact Riemannian spin manifold and $E\to M$ a Hermitian vector bundle with compatible connection. Assume (6) and $H\ge 0$. Furthermore, let there be a point $p\in M$ such that $H(p)>0$ or $\mathrm{scal}(p)>2n(n-1)|R_p^E|$. Then*

$$\mathrm{ind}(D_E^{+,\mathsf{APS}}) = \dim\ker(D_E^{\mathsf{wAPS}}) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \ker(D_E^{\mathsf{wAPS}})$. We need to show that $\varphi = 0$. It is known that φ is smooth up to the boundary, see e.g. [5, Cor. 7.18]. By Corollary 2, the endomorphism field $\mathscr{K}_S^E := \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{4} \cdot \mathrm{id} + \mathscr{K}^E$ is positive semidefinite. The Lichnerowicz formula (4) and an integration by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M \left\langle D_E^2 \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle \, \mathsf{dV} \\ &= \int_M (\langle \nabla^* \nabla \varphi, \varphi \rangle + \left\langle \mathscr{K}_S^E \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle) \, \mathsf{dV} \\ &= \int_M (|\nabla \varphi|^2 + \left\langle \mathscr{K}_S^E \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle) \, \mathsf{dV} + \int_{\partial M} \langle \nabla_\nu \varphi, \varphi \rangle \, \mathsf{dA}. \end{aligned}$$

Here dV is the volume element on M and dA that on ∂M. In order to control the boundary term, we use the relation

$$-\nu \cdot D\varphi = D_E^{\partial M} \varphi + \nabla_\nu \varphi - \tfrac{n-1}{2} H \varphi$$

which holds along the boundary, see e.g. [4, Prop. 2.2]. Since φ is harmonic this implies

$$\int_{\partial M} \langle \nabla_\nu \varphi, \varphi \rangle \, \mathsf{dA} = - \int_{\partial M} \left\langle D_E^{\partial M} \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle \mathsf{dA} + \frac{n-1}{2} \int_{\partial M} H |\varphi|^2 \mathsf{dA}$$

and hence

$$0 = \int_M |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, \mathsf{dV} + \int_M \left\langle \mathscr{K}_S^E \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle \, \mathsf{dV} - \int_{\partial M} \left\langle D_E^{\partial M} \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle \mathsf{dA} + \frac{n-1}{2} \int_{\partial M} H |\varphi|^2 \mathsf{dA}. \tag{7}$$

All four summands on the right hand side are nonnegative; the second one because of Corollary 2, the third one because we imposed weak APS-boundary conditions, and the last one because of $H \geq 0$. Thus all four terms must be zero. In particular, φ is parallel and hence $|\varphi|$ is constant. If $\varphi \neq 0$, then we conclude that $H \equiv 0$ and that φ lies everywhere in the kernel of $\mathscr{K}_S^E$. Thus $\mathscr{K}_S^E$ is nowhere positive definite. □

Combining Proposition 3 with (3) yields

Corollary 4. *Let M be a connected compact Riemannian spin manifold and $E \to M$ a Hermitian vector bundle with compatible connection. If $H \geq 0$ and $2n(n-1)\|R^E\| < \min_M \mathrm{scal}$ then*

$$\int_M \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge \mathsf{ch}(E) + \int_{\partial M} T(\hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge \mathsf{ch}(E)) - \frac{\dim(\ker(D_E^{\partial M})) + \eta(D_E^{\partial M})}{2} = 0.$$

2.2. **Infinite K-area.** Our nonexistence proof for metrics with certain properties is based on the concept of K-area as introduced in [14, Sec. 4]. For manifolds without boundary K-area has been investigated in [12, 14, 22, 25].

We call a Hermitian vector bundle E over M with connection *admissible* if it is isomorphic to the trivial bundle with trivial connection over a neighborhood of the boundary and it has at least one nontrivial Chern number. The latter means that there are $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\int_M \mathsf{c}_{\gamma_1}(E) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{c}_{\gamma_m}(E) \neq 0.$$

Here $\mathsf{c}(E) = \mathsf{c}_0(E) + \mathsf{c}_1(E) + \ldots + \mathsf{c}_m(E) = 1 + \mathsf{c}_1(E) + \ldots + \mathsf{c}_m(E)$ is the Chern form of E. Admissible bundles can exist only on even-dimensional manifolds because $\mathsf{c}_j(E)$ has even degree $2j$. Indeed, the dimension of M satisfies $n = 2(\gamma_1 + \ldots + \gamma_m)$.

Equivalently, one may demand that

$$\int_M \mathsf{ch}_{\gamma_1}(E) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{ch}_{\gamma_m}(E) \neq 0$$

for some $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Here $\mathsf{ch}(E) = \mathsf{ch}_0(E) + \mathsf{ch}_1(E) + \ldots + \mathsf{ch}_m(E) = \mathrm{rank}(E) + \mathsf{ch}_1(E) + \ldots + \mathsf{ch}_m(E)$ is the Chern character form of E. The Chern numbers and the Chern character numbers can be expressed as linear combinations of each other.

Note that the support of the curvature R^E and hence that of $\mathsf{c}_j(E)$ and $\mathsf{ch}_j(E)$ for $j \geq 1$ is contained in the interior of M.

Definition 5. We say that an even-dimensional orientable compact connected Riemannian manifold M with boundary has *infinite K-area* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an admissible E such that $\|R^E\| < \varepsilon$.

Remark 6. This property is independent of the Riemannian metric on M. Changing the metric changes the definition of the norm of R^E but since M is compact, the norms coming from two different metrics are equivalent.

The definition does not require M to have a spin structure. We only need an orientation so that we can integrate the characteristic forms. Thus having infinite K-area is a property of M as an orientable compact connected manifold.

Following [14] we consider the Adams operations. Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle over M with connection. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is a virtual bundle $\Psi_k E = \Psi_k^+ E - \Psi_k^- E$ with the property

$$\mathsf{ch}_j(\Psi_k E) = \mathsf{ch}_j(\Psi_k^+ E) - \mathsf{ch}_j(\Psi_k^- E) = k^j \mathsf{ch}_j(E). \tag{8}$$

The case $j = 0$ shows that the Adams operation Ψ_k preserves the rank. Both bundles $\Psi_k^+ E$ and $\Psi_k^- E$ are universal expressions in tensor products of exterior products of E, see [2, Section 3.2] for details.

For a multi-index $k = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ we put

$$\Psi_k E := \Psi_{k_1} E \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_{k_m} E$$

and rewrite this virtual bundle as a difference of honest bundles by

$$\Psi_k E = \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{even \#} \\ \text{of } -'\text{s}}} \Psi_{k_1}^{\pm} E \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_{k_m}^{\pm} E - \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{odd \#} \\ \text{of } -'\text{s}}} \Psi_{k_1}^{\pm} E \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_{k_m}^{\pm} E =: \Psi_k^+ E - \Psi_k^- E.$$

Again, $\Psi_k^+ E$ and $\Psi_k^- E$ are universal expressions in tensor products of exterior products of E. Hence they inherit natural Hermitian metrics and connections and they are trivial near the boundary if E is so. Moreover,

$$\|R^{\Psi_k^{\pm} E}\| \le c_k \|R^E\| \tag{9}$$

where the constant c_k depends only on k.

Lemma 7. *Let M be an oriented compact connected manifold of even dimension $n = 2m$ with boundary. Let E be an admissible bundle of rank r. Let $\omega = 1 + \omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_m$ be a smooth mixed differential form on M where ω_j has degree $2j$.*

Then there exists $k = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}^m$ such that

$$\int_M \omega \wedge \mathsf{ch}(\Psi_k E) \neq r^m \int_M \omega$$

and

$$\|R^{\Psi_k^{\pm} E}\| \le c(m) \|R^E\|$$

where $c(m)$ is a constant only depending on m.

Proof. For $k = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ we put

$$P(k_1, \dots, k_m) := \int_M \omega \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(\Psi_k E) - r^m] = \int_M \omega \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(\Psi_{k_1} E) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{ch}(\Psi_{k_m} E) - r^m].$$

Expanding $\omega = 1 + \omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_m$ and the Chern characters yields, using (8),

$$P(k_1, \dots, k_m) = \sum_{\gamma_1 + \ldots + \gamma_m = m} k_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots k_m^{\gamma_m} \int_M \mathsf{ch}_{\gamma_1}(E) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{ch}_{\gamma_m}(E) + \text{l.o.t.}$$

where l.o.t. stands for terms of lower total order in $k_1, \dots, k_m$. In particular, P is a polynomial in $k_1, \dots, k_m$ of total degree at most m.

If $P(k_1, \dots, k_m) = 0$ for all $k_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ then P would vanish as a polynomial, hence

$$\int_M \mathsf{ch}_{\gamma_1}(E) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{ch}_{\gamma_m}(E) = 0$$

for all $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\gamma_1 + \ldots + \gamma_m = m$, contradicting the admissibility of E.

Equation (9) implies $\|R^{\Psi_k^{\pm}E}\| \leq c(m)\|R^E\|$ since there are only finitely many possibilies for k. □

Corollary 8. *Let M be an oriented compact connected Riemannian manifold of even dimension $n = 2m$ with boundary. Let $E \to M$ be an admissible bundle of rank r. Then there exists an admissible bundle $F \to M$ such that*

$$\int_M \mathsf{ch}(F) \neq 0$$

and

$$\|R^F\| \leq c(m)\|R^E\|$$

where $c(m)$ is a constant only depending on m.

Proof. Applying Lemma 7 with $\omega = 1$ yields $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}^m$ such that

$$\int_M \mathsf{ch}(\Psi_k^+ E) - \int_M \mathsf{ch}(\Psi_k^- E) = \int_M \mathsf{ch}(\Psi_k E) \neq 0.$$

Both $\Psi_k^+ E$ and $\Psi_k^- E$ are admissible. Thus $F = \Psi_k^+ E$ or $F = \Psi_k^- E$ does the job. □

Corollary 9. *Let M and N be oriented compact connected manifolds, M with boundary and N without boundary. If M and N have infinite K-area then so has $N \times M$.*

Proof. We equip M and N with Riemannian metrics and give $N \times M$ the product metric. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Corollary 8 there exist admissible Hermitian vector bundles $E_M \to M$ and $E_N \to N$ with compatible connections such that

- ▷ $\int_M \mathsf{ch}(E_M) \neq 0$ and $\int_N \mathsf{ch}(E_N) \neq 0$;
- ▷ $\|R^{E_M}\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|R^{E_N}\| < \varepsilon$.

Then

$$\int_{N\times M} \mathsf{ch}(E_N \boxtimes E_M) = \int_N \mathsf{ch}(E_N) \cdot \int_M \mathsf{ch}(E_M) \neq 0.$$

Thus $E_N \boxtimes E_M \to N \times M$ is admissible. Moreover, $\|R^{E_N \boxtimes E_M}\| \leq \|R^{E_N}\| + \|R^{E_M}\| < 2\varepsilon$. □

Definition 10. We say that an n-dimensional oriented compact connected Riemannian manifold M with boundary is *area-enlargeable* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite covering $\pi\colon \hat{M} \to M$ and an ε-area-contracting smooth map $f\colon \hat{M} \to S^n$ of nonzero degree which is constant on a neighborhood of any connected component of $\partial\hat{M}$. Here "ε-area-contracting" means that the induced map on 2-vectors $\Lambda^2 df(x)\colon \Lambda^2 T\hat{M} \to \Lambda^2 TS^n$ is ε-contracting.

This is an adaptation of the concept of Λ^2-enlargeability in [21, Definition 7.1.] to manifolds with boundary.

An even-dimensional area-enlargeable manifold has infinite K-area. Namely, given $\varepsilon > 0$ pull back $\Sigma^+ S^n$ along an ε-area-contracting map f and obtain $f^*\Sigma^+ S^n \to \hat{M}$. Now "integrate over the fibers" of π, i.e. let $E \to M$ be the bundle with fibers

$$E_x = \bigoplus_{y\in\pi^{-1}(x)} (f^*\Sigma^+ S^n)_y.$$

Example 11. The n-dimensional torus is area-enlargeable and hence has infinite K-area if n is even. If M has infinite K-area then $T^k \times M$ has infinite K-area as well by Corollary 9 if k is even.

This leads to a stabilized version of infinite K-area:

Definition 12. We say that an orientable compact connected Riemannian manifold M with boundary has *stably infinite K-area* if $T^k \times M$ has infinite K-area for some k (and hence for all $k' = k + 2\ell$).

Note that this definition is also meaningful for odd-dimensional M.

Lemma 13. *Let M be an n-dimensional oriented compact connected Riemannian manifold M with boundary. If M is area-enlargeable then it has stably infinite K-area.*

Proof. For even n this is clear: if M is area-enlargeable it has infinite K-area and hence stably infinite K-area. Let n be odd. We fix a smooth map $f_n : S^1 \times S^n \to S^{n+1}$ of degree 1. Given $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ we find an ε-area-contracting map $f : \hat{M} \to S^n$ and an ε'-contracting map $g : \hat{S}^1 \to S^1$ for suitable finite coverings $\hat{M} \to M$ and $\hat{S}^1 \to S^1$ such that both f and g have nonzero degrees and f is constant on a neighborhood of any connected component of $\partial\hat{M}$. Then $g \times f : \hat{S}^1 \times \hat{M} \to S^1 \times S^n$ is easily checked to be $(\varepsilon + c\varepsilon')$-area-contracting where c depends on f but not on g. Furthermore, it is of nonzero degree and constant on a neighborhood of any connected component of $\partial(\hat{S}^1 \times \hat{M})$. Composing with f_n we obtain the map $f_n \circ (g \times f) : \hat{S}^1 \times \hat{M} \to S^{n+1}$ of nonzero degree. This map is $c_n(\varepsilon + c\varepsilon')$-area-contracting where c_n depends on f_n. Since we can make $c_n(\varepsilon + c\varepsilon')$ arbitrarily small by first choosing f and then g, we have that $S^1 \times M$ is area-enlargeable. Thus $S^1 \times M$ has infinite K-area and hence M has stably infinite K-area. □

Definition 14. Let X be a compact manifold with boundary (which may be empty). A subset $Y \subset X$ whose closure is contained in the interior of X is called *K-negligible* if there exists a smooth map $f\colon (X, \partial X) \to (X, \partial X)$ of nonzero mapping degree which is constant on a neighborhood of the closure of any connected component of Y.

Example 15.
- ▷ If Y is the union of finitely many disjoint closed balls with smooth boundary, then Y is K-negligible.
- ▷ Any subset of a K-negligible set is K-negligible.
- ▷ Let X' be a closed manifold. If $Y \subset \mathring{X}$ and $Y' \subset X'$ are K-negligible then $Y \times Y'$ is K-negligible in $X \times X'$.

Lemma 16. *Let X be an n-dimensional oriented compact connected Riemannian manifold with boundary and let $Y \subset X$ be a K-negligible open subset with smooth boundary. Put $M := X \setminus Y$. Then*

(i) if X has infinite K-area, so has M;
(ii) if X is area-enlargeable, so is M.

Proof. Let $f\colon (X, \partial X) \to (X, \partial X)$ be as in Definition 14.

Ad (i). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $E \to X$ be an admissible bundle with $\|R^E\| \le \varepsilon$. Then $\hat{E} := f^*E|_M \to M$ is admissible with $\|R^E\| \le c \cdot \varepsilon$ where the constant c depends only on f.

Ad (ii). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $g\colon \hat{X} \to S^n$ be an ε-area-contracting map as in Definition 10 for a suitable covering $\pi\colon \hat{X} \to X$. Pulling back by f we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*\hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & \hat{X} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\pi}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Put $\hat{M} := \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(M) \subset f^*\hat{X}$. Then $g \circ \hat{f}|_{\hat{M}}\colon \hat{M} \to S^n$ has nonzero degree, is constant on a neighborhood of any connected component of the boundary of M and is $c\varepsilon$-area-contracting where c depends on f. □

Example 17. Let $X = T^3$. The 3-torus is area-enlargeable. Let $Y \subset X$ be an open handlebody of genus g with smooth boundary. We assume that Y is contained in the interior of a small closed 3-ball inside X. Then Y is K-negligible. Thus $M = X \setminus Y$ is a compact 3-manifold whose boundary is a surface of genus g, see Figure 1 for the case of genus 1. By Lemma 16, M is also area-enlargeable and hence has stably infinite K-area by Lemma 13.

2.3. **Nonexistence of metrics with positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary.** The following lemma is probably well known but we include it for the sake of self-containment.

Lemma 18. *Let M be an n-dimensional compact connected manifold with boundary with $n \ge 3$. Let g be a Riemannian metric on M with $\mathrm{scal}_g \ge 0$ and $H_g \ge 0$. If*

- ▷ *$H_g \not\equiv 0$ or*
- ▷ *$\mathrm{ric}_g \not\equiv 0$*




FIGURE 1. Identify opposite sides of cube to obtain 3-torus and remove the red solid torus

then M also carries a metric $\tilde{g}$ with $\mathrm{scal}_{\tilde{g}} > 0$ and $H_{\tilde{g}} = 0$.

Proof. If $\varphi \in C^\infty(M;\mathbb{R})$ is positive on M including the boundary then we can introduce the conformally equivalent metric $\hat{g}$ by

$$\hat{g} = \varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g.$$

The scalar curvatures and mean curvatures of the boundary are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \big(4\tfrac{n-1}{n-2}\Delta_g + \mathrm{scal}_g\big)\varphi &= \mathrm{scal}_{\hat{g}} \cdot \varphi^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{on } M, \\ \big(-\tfrac{2}{n-2}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + H_g\big)\varphi &= H_{\hat{g}} \cdot \varphi^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \quad \text{on } \partial M. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Delta_g = d_g^* d$ is the nonnegative Laplace operator and ν is the interior unit normal field along the boundary. The Yamabe operator $4\frac{n-1}{n-2}\Delta_g + \mathrm{scal}_g$ together with Robin boundary conditions $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu} - \frac{n-2}{2}H_g\varphi = 0$ yields a self-adjoint operator on M. Hence it has discrete spectrum $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$ and smooth eigenfunctions. The first eigenvalue λ_1 has multiplicity 1. By the strong maximum principle its eigenfunctions do not vanish in the interior of M, and by Hopf's boundary point lemma they do not vanish on the boundary either. If we choose φ to be a positive eigenfunction for λ_1 then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \big(4\tfrac{n-1}{n-2}\Delta_g + \mathrm{scal}_g\big)\varphi &= \lambda_1\varphi \quad \text{on } M, \\ \big(-\tfrac{2}{n-2}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + H_g\big)\varphi &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial M, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{scal}_{\hat{g}} = \lambda_1 \varphi^{\frac{4}{2-n}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\hat{g}} = 0.$$

Thus if $\lambda_1 > 0$ we have $\mathrm{scal}_{\hat{g}} > 0$ and $\tilde{g} = \hat{g}$ does the job.

The variational characterization of λ_1 reads

$$\lambda_1 = \min_{\varphi \in C^\infty(M;\mathbb{R})\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\int_M (4\frac{n-1}{n-2}|d\varphi|_g^2 + \mathrm{scal}_g\varphi^2)\,\mathsf{dV}_g + 2(n-1)\int_{\partial M} H_g\varphi^2 \mathsf{dA}_g}{\int_M \varphi^2\,\mathsf{dV}_g}$$

where the minimum is attained by the eigenfunctions. Now if $\mathrm{scal}_g > 0$ somewhere or $H_g > 0$ somewhere we conclude $\lambda_1 > 0$ because the principal eigenfunction vanishes nowhere. The lemma is proved in this case.

It remains to consider the case $\mathrm{scal}_g \equiv 0$ and $H_g \equiv 0$. Then we have $\lambda_1 = 0$ with constant eigenfunctions. By assumption, there exists a point p in the interior of M at which the Ricci curvature does not vanish, $\mathrm{ric}_g(p) \neq 0$. We choose a cutoff function $\chi \in C^\infty(M;\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi(p) > 0$, $\chi \geq 0$ everywhere, and the support of χ is compact and disjoint from ∂M. We consider the 1-parameter-deformation of g given by

$$g(t) = g - t \cdot \chi \cdot \mathrm{ric}_g.$$

The variational formula for the scalar curvature ([8, Thm. 1.174 (e)]) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\mathrm{scal}_{g(t)} &= -\Delta_g(\mathrm{tr}_g(\chi\cdot\mathrm{ric}_g)) - \mathrm{div}_g\mathrm{div}_g(\chi\cdot\mathrm{ric}_g) + g(\mathrm{ric}_g,\chi\cdot\mathrm{ric}_g)\\ &= -\Delta_g(\chi\cdot\mathrm{scal}_g) - \mathrm{div}_g\mathrm{div}_g(\chi\cdot\mathrm{ric}_g) + \chi|\mathrm{ric}_g|_g^2\\ &= -\mathrm{div}_g\mathrm{div}_g(\chi\cdot\mathrm{ric}_g) + \chi|\mathrm{ric}_g|_g^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda_1(t)$ be the first eigenvalue of (10) for the metric $g(t)$ and φ_t the unique positive eigenfunction normalized by

$$\int_M \varphi_t^2\,\mathsf{dV}_{g(t)} = 1.$$

In particular, $\varphi_0^2 = \mathsf{vol}(M,g)^{-1}$. Since $g(t)$ conincides with g near ∂M we have $H_{g(t)} \equiv 0$ and hence

$$\lambda_1(t) = \int_M (4\tfrac{n-1}{n-2}|d\varphi_t|^2_{g(t)} + \mathrm{scal}_{g(t)}\varphi_t^2)\,\mathsf{dV}_{g(t)}.$$

Since φ_0 is constant on M, the function $t\mapsto |d\varphi_t|^2_{g(t)}$ vanishes to second order at $t=0$. Because of this and $\mathrm{scal}_g \equiv 0$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\dot\lambda_1(0) &= \int_M (\tfrac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\mathrm{scal}_{g(t)})\varphi_0^2\,\mathsf{dV}_g\\ &= \mathsf{vol}(M,g)^{-1}\int_M \big(-\mathrm{div}_g\mathrm{div}_g(\chi\cdot\mathrm{ric}_g) + \chi|\mathrm{ric}_g|_g^2\big)\,\mathsf{dV}_g\\ &= \mathsf{vol}(M,g)^{-1}\int_M \chi|\mathrm{ric}_g|_g^2\,\mathsf{dV}_g\\ &> 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda_1(t) > 0$ for small $t > 0$. Applying the conformal change described above to $g(t)$ yields the desired metric $\tilde g$. □

Theorem 19. *Let M be a compact connected spin manifold with boundary. Assume M has stably infinite K-area. Then each Riemannian metric g on M with $\mathrm{scal}\ge 0$ and $H\ge 0$ is Ricci-flat and satisfies $H\equiv 0$. In particular, M does not admit a Riemannian metric with $\mathrm{scal}>0$ and $H\ge 0$.*

The same holds for $N\times M$ if N is a closed connected spin manifold with nontrivial $\hat{\mathsf{A}}$-genus.

Proof. It suffices to prove the theorem for M having infinite K-area rather than stably infinite K-area. Namely, if the metric on M satisfies $\mathrm{scal}\ge 0$ and $H\ge 0$, so does the product metric on $T^k\times M$ where T^k is given a flat metric. If we then know that the metric on $T^k\times M$ is Ricci-flat and satisfies $H\equiv 0$, the same holds for the metric on M. Similarly, if M is 2-dimensional we can replace M by $T^2\times M$. Thus there is no loss of generality in assuming that $n=\dim(M)\ge 4$.

Assume that M has infinite K-area and write $n=2m$ with $m\ge 2$. Let g be a metric on M with $\mathrm{scal}\ge 0$ and $H\ge 0$. If g is not Ricci-flat or $H\not\equiv 0$, then by Lemma 18 we may assume without loss of generality that g satisfies $\mathrm{scal}>0$ and $H\ge 0$. We will derive a contradiction from this.

For any admissible E, the virtual bundle $\Psi_k E$ has the same rank as E which we denote by r. Hence, for any $k=(k_1,\dots,k_m)\in\mathbb{N}_0^m$, the virtual bundle $\Psi_k E$ has rank r^m and thus $\Psi_k E - E_0^{r^m}$ has rank 0 where $E_0^{r^m}$ denotes the trivial bundle of rank r^m. We equip $E_0^{r^m}$ with the trivial connection. Now we rewrite the virtual bundle $\Psi_k E - E_0^{r^m}$ as a difference of honest bundles by

$$\Psi_k E - E_0^{r^m} = \Psi_k^+ E - (\Psi_k^- E \oplus E_0^{r^m}) =: F_k^+ - F_k^-.$$

By (9) there is a constant $c(m)$ depending only on m such that

$$\|R^{F_k^\pm}\| \le c(m)\|R^E\|$$

for all $k\in\{0,\dots,m\}^m$.

Recall that the metric on M satisfies $\mathrm{scal}>0$. Since M has infinite K-area we can find an admissible E with $2n(n-1)c(m)\|R^E\| < \min\mathrm{scal}$. We choose $k=(k_1,\dots,k_m)\in\{0,1,\dots,m\}^m$ as in Lemma 7 with $\omega=\hat{\mathsf{A}}(M)$. We consider the APS-indices for the twist bundles F_k^+ and F_k^-. Both bundles are trivial on a neighborhood of the boundary with trivial connection and have the

same rank. Thus the boundary terms in formula (3) coincide for the twist bundles F_k^+ and F_k^-. We find

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS}}_{F_k^+}\right) - \operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS}}_{F_k^-}\right) &= \int_M \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(F_k^-)] \\ &= \int_M \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(\Psi_k E) - r^m] \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $2n(n-1)\|R^{F_k^\pm}\| < \min \mathrm{scal}$. Proposition 3 implies that

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS}}_{F_k^+}\right) = \operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS}}_{F_k^-}\right) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus M does not carry a metric with $\mathrm{scal} > 0$ and $H \geq 0$.

Now assume that $N \times M$ carries such a metric g. Choose auxiliary metrics g_M and g_N on M and N, respectively. We obtain a second metric $g' := g_N \oplus g_M$ on $N \times M$. There is a constant $c'' > 0$ such that $\|R^E\|_g \leq c''\|R^E\|_{g'}$ for all Hermitian bundles E with connection on $N \times M$. Put $\tilde{n} := \dim(N)$.

We choose an admissible E on M with $2(n+\tilde{n})(n+\tilde{n}-1)c'_m c''\|R^E\|_{g_M} < \min_{N\times M} \mathrm{scal}_g$. Again, we choose $k = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}^m$ as in Lemma 7 with $\omega = \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M)$. We pull back E to $N \times M$ along the projection $\pi_M \colon N \times M \to M$ onto the second factor. Then

$$\begin{aligned}2(n+\tilde{n})(n+\tilde{n}-1)c(m)\|R^{\pi_M^* E}\|_g &\leq 2(n+\tilde{n})(n+\tilde{n}-1)c(m)c''\|R^{\pi_M^* E}\|_{g'} \\ &= 2(n+\tilde{n})(n+\tilde{n}-1)c(m)c''\|R^E\|_{g_M} \\ &< \min_{N\times M} \mathrm{scal}\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$2(n+\tilde{n})(n+\tilde{n}-1)\|R^{\pi_M^* F_k^\pm}\|_g < \min_{N\times M} \mathrm{scal}.$$

Proposition 3 applied to $(N \times M, g)$ yields

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS},g}_{\pi_M^* F_k^\pm}\right) = 0. \tag{11}$$

Here the additional upper index g indicates that we are taking the Dirac operator with respect to the metric g. Note that the index may depend on the choice of metric since the boundary metric and hence the APS-boundary conditions depend on it. In order to control this, consider the transgression form $T\hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g, g')$ for the $\hat{\mathsf{A}}$-form with respect to the two metrics g and g', i.e.

$$\hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g) - \hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g') = dT\hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g, g').$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS},g}_{F_k^+}\right) - \operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS},g}_{F_k^-}\right) \\ &\quad= \int_{N\times M} \hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g) \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(\pi_M^* F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(\pi_M^* F_k^-)] \\ &\quad= \int_{N\times M} \big(\hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g') + dT\hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g, g')\big) \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(\pi_M^* F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(\pi_M^* F_k^-)] \\ &\quad= \int_{N\times M} \pi_N^* \hat{\mathsf{A}}(N, g_N) \wedge \pi_M^* \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M, g_M) \wedge \pi_M^* [\mathsf{ch}(F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(F_k^-)] \\ &\qquad+ \int_{N\times M} d\big(T\hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g, g') \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(\pi_M^* F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(\pi_M^* F_k^-)]\big) \\ &\quad= \int_N \hat{\mathsf{A}}(N, g_N) \cdot \int_M \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M, g_M) \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(F_k^-)] \\ &\qquad+ \int_{N\times \partial M} T\hat{\mathsf{A}}(N \times M, g, g') \wedge \pi_M^* [\mathsf{ch}(F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(F_k^-)].\end{aligned}$$

The boundary integral vanishes because $\mathsf{ch}(F_k^+) - \mathsf{ch}(F_k^-)$ vanishes on a neighborhood of ∂M. Hence

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS},g}_{F_k^+}\right) - \operatorname{ind}\left(D^{+,\mathrm{APS},g}_{F_k^-}\right) = \int_N \hat{\mathsf{A}}(N, g_N) \cdot \int_M \hat{\mathsf{A}}(M) \wedge [\mathsf{ch}(\Psi_k E) - r^m] \neq 0.$$

This contradicts (11). □

The following example shows that the conclusion in Theorem 19 is optimal.

Example 20. Let $M = S^1(1) \times I$ where $I = [-1, 1]$ and $S^1(1)$ is the circle of length 1. Let $f_1 \colon I \times I \to S^2$ be a map of degree 1 which maps a disk of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ centered at $(0, 0)$ onto the sphere and its complement onto the north pole. This map descends to a smooth degree-1 map $M \to S^2$. It is c-contracting for some $c > 0$ and hence c^2-area-contracting.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the map $\varphi_k \colon [-k, k] \times I \to I \times I$ given by $\varphi_k(s, t) = (s/k, t)$. The map $f_k = f_1 \circ \varphi_k$ descends to a smooth degree-1 map $S^1(2k) \times I \to S^2$ which is $\frac{c^2}{k}$-area contracting. Clearly, $S^1(2k) \times I$ is a $2k$-fold Riemannian covering space of M. Thus M is area-enlargeable and hence has infinite K-area (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 2. $M = S^1 \times I$ is area-enlargeable

Note that M is flat with totally geodesic boundary. Now let N be a $K3$-surface equipped with a Ricci-flat metric. Then N is spin and has $\hat{\mathsf{A}}$-genus 2. Thus $N \times M$ is as in Theorem 19 and has a Ricci-flat metric with $H \equiv 0$. This metric is not flat though.

3. Deformations of the metric

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with compact boundary ∂M. Given a Riemannian metric g on M we denote by

- $\mathrm{scal}_g \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ the scalar curvature of g,
- $g|_{\partial M} \in C^\infty(\partial M; (T^*M \otimes T^*M)|_{\partial M})$ the restriction of g to ∂M,
- $g_0 \in C^\infty(\partial M; T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$ the metric induced on ∂M,
- II_g the second fundamental form of $\partial M \subset M$ with respect to the interior unit normal,
- $H_g = \frac{1}{n-1}\mathrm{tr}_g(\mathrm{II}_g) \colon \partial M \to \mathbb{R}$ the mean curvature of ∂M.

We denote by $\mathscr{R}(M)$ the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on M, equipped with the weak C^∞-topology. Let $\sigma\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which is unchanged throughout this section. We put $\mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M) := \{g \in \mathscr{R}(M) \mid \mathrm{scal}_g > \sigma\}$.

The normal exponential map with respect to g along ∂M yields a diffeomorphism

$$[0,\varepsilon) \times \partial M \xrightarrow{\approx} U^g_\varepsilon \tag{12}$$

onto the open ε-neighborhood U^g_ε of ∂M with respect to g, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. In particular, it induces a smooth structure on the double $\mathsf{D}M = M \cup_{\partial M} M$.

We will construct certain deformations of a given metric. These deformations will be supported near the boundary, in a neighborhood U^g_ε for small ε. It will be technically useful to first deform the metric into a standard form near the boundary. In order to make this more precise, recall that, by the generalized Gauss lemma, any metric g takes the form

$$g = dt^2 + g_t, \tag{13}$$

near the boundary. Here, we use the identification in (12), t is the canonical coordinate in $[0,\varepsilon)$ (in other words, the distance from ∂M), and $(g_t)_{t\in I}$ is a smooth family of Riemannian metrics on ∂M.

For any smooth family $(h_t)_{t\in I}$ of $(2,0)$-tensor fields on ∂M we define new families $\dot h_t$, $\ddot h_t$, and $h_t^{(\ell)}$ of smooth $(2,0)$-tensor fields by

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot h_t(X,Y) &:= \frac{d}{dt}(h_t(X,Y)),\\
\ddot h_t(X,Y) &:= \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(h_t(X,Y)),\\
h_t^{(\ell)}(X,Y) &:= \frac{d^\ell}{dt^\ell}(h_t(X,Y)).
\end{aligned}$$

For $0 \le t < \varepsilon$

$$\mathrm{II}_t = -\tfrac{1}{2}\dot g_t \tag{14}$$

is the second fundamental form (w.r.t. the gradient field of t) of the hypersurface $N_t \subset M$ at distance t from ∂M. We also consider the Weingarten map $W_t\colon TN_t \to TN_t$, which is uniquely determined by the equation

$$\langle W_t(X), Y\rangle_{g_t} = \mathrm{II}_t(X,Y) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\dot g_t(X,Y)\,.$$

Furthermore,

$$H_t = \tfrac{1}{n-1}\mathrm{tr}(W_t) = -\tfrac{1}{2(n-1)}\mathrm{tr}_{g_t}(\dot g_t)$$

is the mean curvature of N_t. Note that in this notation we have $\mathrm{II}_g = \mathrm{II}_0$ and $H_g = H_0$. The scalar curvature of (M,g) is given by

$$\mathrm{scal}_g = \mathrm{scal}_{g_t} + 3\,\mathrm{tr}(W_t^2) - \mathrm{tr}(W_t)^2 - \mathrm{tr}_{g_t}(\ddot g_t). \tag{15}$$

See [6, Prop. 4.1] for details.

Definition 21. Let $C \in \mathbb{R}$. A metric g on M is called *C-normal* if the g_t in (13) are given by

$$g_t = g_0 + t\cdot \dot g_0 - Ct^2\cdot g_0 = g_0 - 2t\cdot \mathrm{II}_g - Ct^2\cdot g_0.$$

Remark 22. Near the boundary, a C-normal metric is uniquely determined by the first and second fundamental form of the boundary and the constant C.

For sufficiently large C the scalar curvature of a C-normal metric g satisfies $\mathrm{scal}_g > \sigma$ near the boundary. More precisely, let g_0 be a Riemannian metric and h a symmetric $(2,0)$-tensor field on ∂M. Let $W_0\colon T\partial M \to T\partial M$ be defined by $\langle W_0(X), Y\rangle_{g_0} = h(X,Y)$ and set

$$C_0 = C_0(g_0,h,\sigma|_{\partial M}) := -\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\max_{\partial M}\left(\mathrm{scal}_{g_0} - \sigma + 3\,\mathrm{tr}(W_0^2) - \mathrm{tr}(W_0)^2\right).$$

Then the condition $C > C_0$ is equivalent to the scalar curvature of

$$g = dt^2 + g_0 - 2t\cdot h - Ct^2\cdot g_0$$

being greater than σ along ∂M (and hence in a neighborhood of ∂M). This follows from $\mathrm{tr}_{g_0}(\ddot{g}_0) = -2C(n-1)$ and formula (15).

Proposition 23. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g\colon K \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ be a continuous family of metrics of scalar curvature greater than σ.*

Then there exists a constant C_0 such that for each $C \geq C_0$ and each neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of ∂M there exists a continuous map $f\colon K \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ such that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$:

(a) $f(\xi,0) = g(\xi)$;
(b) $f(\xi,1)$ is C-normal;
(c) if $g(\xi)$ is $\widetilde{C}$-normal then $f(\xi,s)$ is $\big((1-s)\widetilde{C} + sC\big)$-normal;
(d) $f(\xi,s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$, in particular $f(\xi,s)_0 = g(\xi)_0$, and $\mathrm{II}_{f(\xi,s)} = \mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)}$;
(e) $\ddot{f}_1(\xi,s)_0 = (1-s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2sCg(\xi)_0$;
(f) $f(\xi,s)_0^{(\ell)} = (1-s)g(\xi)_0^{(\ell)}$ for all $\ell \geq 3$;
(g) $f(\xi,s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathscr{U}$.

Proof. For $\xi \in K$ we consider the Taylor expansion of the smooth map $t \mapsto g(\xi)_t$ at $t = 0$,

$$g(\xi)_t = g(\xi)_0 + \dot{g}(\xi)_0 \cdot t + \tfrac{1}{2}\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 \cdot t^2 + R(\xi)_t.$$

Here $(R(\xi)_t)_t$ is a smooth family of symmetric $(2,0)$-tensor fields on ∂M which depends continuously on ξ and satisfies

$$R(\xi)_0 = \dot{R}(\xi)_0 = \ddot{R}(\xi)_0 = 0. \tag{16}$$

Set

$$C_0 := \tfrac{1}{2(n-1)} \max_{\xi \in K} \|\mathrm{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}(\ddot{g}(\xi)_0)\|_{C^0(\partial M)} \tag{17}$$

and let $C \geq C_0$. Put

$$F(\xi,s) := g(\xi) - s\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 + C \cdot g(\xi)_0\right) \cdot t^2 + R(\xi)_t\big). \tag{18}$$

Each $F(\xi,s)$ is a Riemannian metric on a neighborhood of ∂M. The neighborhood can be chosen independently of ξ and s because F depends continuously on (ξ,s) and K is compact.

According to (15), (16), and (17), $F(\xi,s)$ satisfies along the boundary

$$\mathrm{scal}_{F(\xi,s)}\big|_{\partial M} = \mathrm{scal}_{g(\xi)}\big|_{\partial M} + s \cdot \big(\mathrm{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}(\ddot{g}(\xi)_0) + 2C \cdot (n-1)\big) \geq \mathrm{scal}_{g(\xi)}\big|_{\partial M} > \sigma|_{\partial M}.$$

Hence all $F(\xi,s)$ have scalar curvature greater than σ on a common neighborhood U of ∂M. By construction, we have for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$:

- $F(\xi,s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$;
- $\dot{F}(\xi,s)_0 = \dot{g}(\xi)_0$;
- $\ddot{F}(\xi,s)_0 = (1-s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2sCg(\xi)_0$;
- $F(\xi,s)_0^{(\ell)} = (1-s)g(\xi)_0^{(\ell)}$ for all $\ell \geq 3$.

By the family version of the flexibility lemma ([7, Addendum 3.4]) applied for the second-order open partial differential relation on the space of Riemannian metrics on M, defined by the condition that scalar curvature is larger than σ, F can be replaced by a deformation f of g defined on all of M which coincides with F near ∂M and always solves the partial differential relation[1].

Specifically, we obtain an open neighborhood $\partial M \subset U_0 \subset U$ and a continuous map $f\colon K \times [0,1] \to C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ such that for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$ we have

(i) $f(\xi,s) \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$,
(ii) $f(\xi,s)|_{U_0} = F(\xi,s)|_{U_0}$,
(iii) $f(\xi,s)|_{M\setminus U} = g(\xi)|_{M\setminus U}$.

The neighborhood U in the flexibility lemma may be chosen arbitrarily small; in particular, we may assume $U \subset \mathscr{U}$. Then this f does the job. □

[1] By attaching a small cylinder to ∂M we may pass to a smooth manifold without boundary, as required in [7].

Lemma 24. *Let V be a finite dimensional real vector space and let g_1 and g_0 be two Euclidean scalar products on V such that $\|g_1 - g_0\|_{g_0} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$|\mathrm{tr}_{g_1}(h) - \mathrm{tr}_{g_0}(h)| \leq 2 \cdot \|g_1 - g_0\|_{g_0} \cdot \|h\|_{g_0}$$

holds for all symmetric bilinear forms h on V. Here $\|\cdot\|_{g_0}$ denotes the norm on the space of symmetric bilinear forms induced by g_0.

Proof. Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be a basis of V, orthonormal for g_0 and diagonalizing for g_1. Hence $g_1(e_j, e_k) = \kappa_j \delta_{jk}$. Writing $\kappa_j = 1 + \eta_j$ we find

$$\frac{1}{4} \geq \|g_1 - g_0\|_{g_0}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j^2$$

and thus $|\eta_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for each j. Observe that for $|\eta| < 1$ we have

$$|1 - (1+\eta)| = |\eta| \leq \frac{1+\eta}{1-|\eta|}|\eta|$$

and therefore

$$|(1+\eta)^{-1} - 1| \leq (1 - |\eta|)^{-1}|\eta|.$$

We compute, writing $h(e_j, e_k) = h_{jk}$ and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{tr}_{g_1}(h) - \mathrm{tr}_{g_0}(h)|^2 &= \Big| \sum_{j=1}^n (\kappa_j^{-1} h_{jj} - h_{jj}) \Big|^2 \\
&= \Big| \sum_{j=1}^n ((1+\eta_j)^{-1} - 1) h_{jj} \Big|^2 \\
&\leq \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n ((1+\eta_j)^{-1} - 1)^2 \Big) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}^2 \\
&\leq \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n ((1-|\eta_j|)^{-2} \eta_j^2 \Big) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}^2 \\
&\leq 4 \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j^2 \Big) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}^2 \\
&\leq 4 \|g_1 - g_0\|_{g_0}^2 \cdot \|h\|_{g_0}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 25. *There exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for each $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ there exists a smooth function $\chi_\delta \colon [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ with*

- ▷ *$\chi_\delta(t) = t$ for t near 0, $\chi_\delta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \sqrt{\delta}$ and $0 \leq \chi_\delta(t) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for all t,*
- ▷ *$|\dot\chi_\delta(t)| \leq c_0$ for all t,*
- ▷ *$-\frac{2}{\delta} \leq \ddot\chi_\delta(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [0, \delta]$ and $|\ddot\chi_\delta(t)| \leq c_0$ for all $t \in [\delta, \sqrt{\delta}]$.*

Proof. The C^2-function $\tilde\varphi \colon [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tilde\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} t - \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{10}, \\ \frac{1}{10240}(10\,t + 7)(10\,t - 9)^3 & \text{for } \frac{1}{10} \leq t \leq \frac{9}{10}, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq \frac{9}{10}, \end{cases}$$

satisfies $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \tilde\varphi \leq 0$ and $-\frac{15}{8} \leq \ddot{\tilde\varphi} \leq 0$. In particular, $\tilde\varphi$ is concave. Now let φ_1 be a smooth concave approximation of $\tilde\varphi$ which coincides with $\tilde\varphi$ near 0 and on $[\frac{19}{20}, \infty)$ and such that $\|\varphi_1 - \tilde\varphi\|_{C^2([0,1])} \leq \frac{1}{8}$. Then $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \varphi_1 \leq 0$ and $-2 \leq \ddot\varphi_1 \leq 0$. Moreover, $\ddot\varphi_1 \leq 0$ implies $1 = \dot\varphi_1(0) \geq \dot\varphi_1(t) \geq \dot\varphi_1(1) = 0$.

We put $\varphi_\delta(t) := \delta\varphi_1(t/\delta)$ and find

- ▷ $\varphi_\delta(t) = t - \frac{\delta}{2}$ for t near 0;
- ▷ $\varphi_\delta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \frac{19}{20}\delta$;

- $-\frac{\delta}{2} \le \varphi_\delta \le 0$ everywhere;
- $0 \le \dot\varphi_\delta \le 1$ everywhere;
- $-\frac{2}{\delta} \le \ddot\varphi_\delta \le 0$ everywhere.

Next, let $\psi_1\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with $\psi_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $t \in [0, \frac{19}{20}]$, $\psi_1(t) = 0$ for $t \ge 1$, and $0 \le \psi_1 \le \frac{1}{2}$ everywhere. For $0 < \delta < 1$ put $\psi_\delta(t) := \delta\psi_1(t/\sqrt{\delta})$. Then

- $\psi_\delta(t) = \frac{\delta}{2}$ for $t \in [0, \frac{19}{20}\sqrt{\delta}]$;
- $\psi_\delta(t) = 0$ for $t \ge \sqrt{\delta}$;
- $0 \le \psi_\delta \le \frac{\delta}{2}$ everywhere;
- $|\dot\psi_\delta| \le \sqrt{\delta}\|\dot\psi_1\|_{C^0([0,1])}$ everywhere;
- $|\ddot\psi_\delta| \le \|\ddot\psi_1\|_{C^0([0,1])}$ everywhere.

Now $\chi_\delta := \varphi_\delta + \psi_\delta$ does the job. Note in particular, that for $t \in [0,\delta] \subset [0, \frac{19}{20}\sqrt{\delta}]$ we have $\ddot\chi_\delta = \ddot\varphi_\delta \in [-\frac{2}{\delta}, 0]$.

The functions φ_1, ψ_1, and χ_δ are illustrated in Figure 3. □




FIGURE 3. The functions φ_1, ψ_1, and χ_δ

Proposition 26. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $g_0\colon K \to C^\infty(\partial M; T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics on ∂M and let $h, k\colon K \to C^\infty(\partial M; T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$ be continuous families of symmetric $(2,0)$-tensor fields satisfying $\mathrm{tr}_{g_0}(h) \ge \mathrm{tr}_{g_0}(k)$.*

Then there exists a constant $C_0 = C_0(g_0, h, k) > 0$ such that

- *for every continuous family*
$$g\colon K \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$
of C-normal metrics of scalar curvature greater than σ with $C \ge C_0$, $g(\xi)_0 = g_0(\xi)$ and $\mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} = h(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in K$ and
- *for each neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of ∂M*

there exists a continuous map
$$f\colon K \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$
so that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$:

(a) $f(\xi, 0) = g(\xi)$;
(b) $f(\xi, s)$ is C-normal;
(c) $f(\xi, s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$, in particular $f(\xi, s)_0 = g_0(\xi)$;
(d) $\mathrm{II}_{f(\xi,s)} = (1-s)\mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} + sk(\xi)$;
(e) $f(\xi, s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathscr{U}$.

Proof. Let $C \in \mathbb{R}$, $C > 0$, let $g\colon K \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ be a continuous family of C-normal metrics of scalar curvature greater than σ such that

$$g(\xi) = dt^2 + g_0(\xi) - 2t \cdot h(\xi) - Ct^2 \cdot g_0(\xi) \tag{19}$$

holds on $\mathscr{U}$ for all $\xi \in K$, after possibly shrinking $\mathscr{U}$. Choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $U_{\varepsilon_0}^{g(\xi)} \subset \mathscr{U}$ for $\xi \in K$.

We will use the notation $\lesssim$ to mean that the LHS is bounded by the RHS times a positive constant which only depends on the families g_0, h and k (and thus on ∂M). However, the constant is independent of δ, t, ξ, s, C. Similarly, we say that a statement holds for sufficiently large C if it holds for all C larger than a constant which depends only on on the families g_0, h and k. Finally, we say that a statement holds for sufficiently small δ if it holds for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ where δ_0 is a positive constant which depends only on g_0, h, and C.

Let $0 < \delta < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon_0^2, C^{-2}\}$. Take χ_δ as in Lemma 25 and consider

$$f^\delta \colon K \times [0,1] \to C^\infty(M; T^*M \otimes T^*M),$$
$$f^\delta(\xi, s) = \begin{cases} dt^2 + (1 - Ct^2) \cdot g_0(\xi) - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + 2s\chi_\delta(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)) & \text{for } t \le \sqrt{\delta}, \\ g(\xi) & \text{for } t \ge \sqrt{\delta}. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

This defines a family of smooth $(2,0)$-tensor fields on M. For δ sufficiently small, the $f^\delta(\xi, s)$ are positive definite and hence Riemannian metrics on M. On $M \setminus U_{\sqrt{\delta}}^{f^\delta(\xi,s)}$ these metrics have scalar curvature greater than σ because they coincide with $g(\xi)$. Since $\chi_\delta(t) = t$ for small t we find near ∂M:

$$f^\delta(\xi, s) = dt^2 + (1 - Ct^2) \cdot g_0(\xi) - 2t\big((1-s) \cdot h(\xi) + s \cdot k(\xi)\big).$$

Hence $f^\delta(\xi, s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$ and $\mathrm{II}_{f^\delta(\xi,s)} = (1-s)\mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} + sk(\xi)$. Provided every $f^\delta(\xi, s)$ has scalar curvature greater than σ, we have verified properties (a) – (e) for $f = f^\delta$. It remains to show that $f^\delta(\xi, s)$ has scalar curvature greater than σ if δ is sufficiently small. We only need to check this on $U_{\sqrt{\delta}}^{f^\delta(\xi,s)}$.

Fix $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$. In the following we write $\gamma := f^\delta(\xi, s)$ for simplicity and use the splitting $\gamma = dt^2 + \gamma_t$ on U_ε^γ. Thus

$$\gamma_t = (1 - Ct^2) \cdot g_0(\xi) - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + 2s\chi_\delta(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)). \tag{21}$$

In particular, we have $\gamma_0 = g(\xi)_0 = g_0(\xi)$.

Denote the second fundamental forms and the Weingarten maps of the level sets of the distance function t from the boundary (w.r.t. γ) by II_t and W_t, respectively. Since W_t is a symmetric endomorphism field we have $\mathrm{tr}(W_t^2) \ge 0$ and hence, by (15),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{scal}_\gamma &= \mathrm{scal}_{\gamma_t} + 3\,\mathrm{tr}(W_t^2) - \mathrm{tr}(W_t)^2 - \mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot{\gamma}_t) \\ &\ge \mathrm{scal}_{\gamma_t} - \mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathrm{II}_t)^2 - \mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot{\gamma}_t). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Using (14) and (21) we compute

$$\mathrm{II}_t = h(\xi) - s\dot{\chi}_\delta(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)) + Ct \cdot g_0(\xi), \tag{23}$$
$$\ddot{\gamma}_t = 2s\ddot{\chi}_\delta(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)) - 2C \cdot g_0(\xi). \tag{24}$$

Now observe

$$\gamma_t - \gamma_0 = -Ct^2 \cdot \gamma_0 - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + 2s\chi_\delta(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)). \tag{25}$$

Using $\delta < C^{-2}$, $0 \le t \le \sqrt{\delta}$, $0 \le s \le 1$, and $|\chi_\delta| \le \frac{\delta}{2}$ we get for the coefficient functions

$$\big| - Ct^2 \big| \le C\sqrt{\delta}t \le t \le \sqrt{\delta}, \tag{26}$$
$$\big|2s\chi_\delta(t)\big| \le \delta. \tag{27}$$

In particular, with respect to the C^2-norm induced by γ_0,

$$\|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{C^2} \lesssim t + t + \delta \le 3\sqrt{\delta}. \tag{28}$$

Thus the γ_t lie in a uniformly C^2-small neighborhood of the compact set of metrics $\{g_0(\xi) \mid \xi \in K\}$. Hence their scalar curvatures are uniformly (in t, ξ, s, and C) bounded. In particular,

$$\mathrm{scal}_{\gamma_t} \gtrsim -1. \tag{29}$$

Lemma 24 and (28) imply for sufficiently small δ:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathrm{II}_t)| &\le |\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathrm{II}_t)| + |\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathrm{II}_t) - \mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathrm{II}_t)|\\ &\lesssim |\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathrm{II}_t)| + \|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \cdot \|\mathrm{II}_t\|_{\gamma_0}\\ &\lesssim |\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathrm{II}_t)| + \sqrt{\delta} \cdot \|\mathrm{II}_t\|_{\gamma_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

For the second summand we find, using (23) and $|\dot\chi_\delta| \le c_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{II}_t\|_{\gamma_0} &= \big\|h(\xi) - s\dot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) + Ct\cdot g_0(\xi)\big\|_{\gamma_0}\\ &\le \big\|h(\xi)\big\|_{\gamma_0} + \big\|s\dot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot(h(\xi)-k(\xi))\big\|_{\gamma_0} + \big\|Ct\cdot g_0(\xi)\big\|_{\gamma_0}\\ &\lesssim 1 + 1 + C\sqrt{\delta}\\ &\le 3. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For the first term in (30) we now find

$$|\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathrm{II}_t)| \le \sqrt{n-1}\|\mathrm{II}_t\|_{\gamma_0} \lesssim 1. \tag{32}$$

Inserting (31) and (32) into (30) yields

$$|\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathrm{II}_t)| \lesssim 1. \tag{33}$$

In order to control the third term of (22) we compute, using (24),

$$\begin{aligned}-\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot\gamma_t) &= \mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}\big(-2s\ddot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) + 2C\cdot g_0(\xi)\big)\\ &= -2s\ddot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) + 2C\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(g_0(\xi)). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

For the first summand in (34) we recall that $\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) \ge 0$ and that for $t\in[0,\delta]$ we have $-\frac{2}{\delta} \le \ddot\chi_\delta(t) \le 0$. Hence, by Lemma 24 and (28),

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) &\le \ddot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) - \ddot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h(\xi)-k(\xi))\\ &\le |\ddot\chi_\delta(t)|\cdot|\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) - \mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h(\xi)-k(\xi))|\\ &\lesssim |\ddot\chi_\delta(t)|\|\gamma_t-\gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0}\|h(\xi)-k(\xi)\|_{\gamma_0}\\ &\lesssim \tfrac{2}{\delta}(2t+\delta)\\ &\le 6. \end{aligned}$$

For $t\in[\delta,\sqrt{\delta}]$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\ddot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi)-k(\xi))\big| &\lesssim \big|\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi)-k(\xi))\big|\\ &\lesssim |\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h(\xi)-k(\xi))| + \|\gamma_t-\gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0}\|h(\xi)-k(\xi)\|_{\gamma_0}\\ &\lesssim 1+\sqrt{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have for all $t\in[0,\sqrt{\delta}]$:

$$\ddot\chi_\delta(t)\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi)-k(\xi)) \lesssim 1. \tag{35}$$

For the second summand in (34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(g_0(\xi)) - n + 1| &= |\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(g_0(\xi)) - \mathrm{tr}_{g_0(\xi)}(g_0(\xi))|\\ &\lesssim \|\gamma_t - g_0(\xi)\|_{g_0(\xi)}\|g_0(\xi)\|_{g_0(\xi)}\\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(g_0(\xi)) - n + 1| \le \tfrac{1}{2}$$

for δ sufficiently small and therefore

$$2C\cdot\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(g_0(\xi)) \ge 2(n-\tfrac{3}{2})C. \tag{36}$$

Inserting (35) and (36) into (34) yields

$$-\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot\gamma_t) \gtrsim C \tag{37}$$

for δ sufficiently small. Finally, inserting (29), (33), and (37) into (22) we find

$$\mathrm{scal}_\gamma \gtrsim C$$

for sufficiently large C. This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

Now we can prove the main deformation theorem of this section. For its precise formulation we need two auxiliary functions. Define $S_1, S_2 \colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ (see Figure 4) by

$$S_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2(1-t) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_2(t) = \begin{cases} 1-2t & \text{for } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 4. The functions S_1 and S_2

Theorem 27. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let*

$$g \colon K \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

be continuous. Let $k \colon K \to C^\infty(\partial M; T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$ be a continuous family of symmetric $(2,0)$-tensor fields satisfying $\frac{1}{n-1}\mathrm{tr}_{g_0}(k(\xi)) \le H_{g(\xi)}$ for all $\xi \in K$.

Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for each $C \ge C_0$ and for each neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of ∂M there is a continuous map

$$f \colon K \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

so that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$:

(a) $f(\xi,0) = g(\xi)$;
(b) $f(\xi,1)$ is C-normal;
(c) $f(\xi,s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$, in particular $f(\xi,s)_0 = g(\xi)_0$;
(d) $\mathrm{II}_{f(\xi,s)} = S_1(s)\mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} + (1-S_1(s))k(\xi)$, in particular, $\mathrm{II}_{f(\xi,1)} = k(\xi)$;
(e) if $g(\xi)$ is $\widetilde{C}$-normal then $f(\xi,s)$ is C_s-normal for $C_s = S_2(s)\widetilde{C} + (1-S_2(s))C$;
(f) $\ddot{f}(\xi,s)_0 = S_2(s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2(1-S_2(s))Cg(\xi)_0$;
(g) for $\ell \ge 3$ we have $f(\xi,s)_0^{(\ell)} = S_2(s) \cdot g(\xi)_0^{(\ell)}$;
(h) $f(\xi,s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathscr{U}$.

Proof. Let C_0 be as in Proposition 26 for the families $g_0(\xi) := g(\xi)_0$, $h(\xi) := \mathrm{II}(\xi)$, and $k(\xi)$. After possibly increasing C_0 we can apply Proposition 23 with $C \ge C_0$ to obtain a continuous map $f_1 \colon K \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ such that

(i) $f_1(\xi,0) = g(\xi)$;
(ii) $f_1(\xi,1)$ is C-normal;
(iii) $f_1(\xi,s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$ and $\mathrm{II}_{f_1(\xi,s)} = \mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)}$;
(iv) $\ddot{f}_1(\xi,s)_0 = (1-s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2sCg(\xi)_0$;

(v) $f(\xi,s)_0^{(\ell)} = (1-s)g(\xi)_0^{(\ell)}$ for all $\ell \geq 3$;
(vi) $f_1(\xi,s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathscr{U}$;

holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$. Because of (iii) we can apply Proposition 26 to the family $f_1(\xi,1)$ with the same constant C_0 and obtain another continuous map

$$f_2 \colon K \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

so that

(vii) $f_2(\xi,0) = f_1(\xi,1)$;
(viii) $f_2(\xi,s)$ is C-normal;
(ix) $f_2(\xi,s)|_{\partial M} = f_1(\xi,1)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$;
(x) $\mathrm{II}_{f_2(\xi,s)} = (1-s)\mathrm{II}_{f_1(\xi,1)} + sk(\xi) = (1-s)\mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} + sk(\xi)$;
(xi) $f_2(\xi,s) = f_1(\xi,1) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathscr{U}$;

holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0,1]$. Letting f be the concatenation of f_1 and f_2 with respect to the parameter s does the job. □

The following deformation result is much simpler than the previous one. It may be understood as a genericity statement for strict inequalities for the mean curvature or the second fundamental form.

Proposition 28. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let*

$$g \colon K \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

be continuous. Then for each neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of ∂M there exists a continuous map

$$f \colon K \times [-1,1] \to \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

so that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [-1,1]$:

(a) $f(\xi,0) = g(\xi)$;
(b) $f(\xi,s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$, in particular $f(\xi,s)_0 = g(\xi)_0$;
(c) $\mathrm{II}_{f(\xi,s)} > \mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)}$ if $s > 0$ and $\mathrm{II}_{f(\xi,s)} < \mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)}$ if $s < 0$;
(d) $H_{f(\xi,s)} > H_{g(\xi)}$ if $s > 0$ and $H_{f(\xi,s)} < H_{g(\xi)}$ if $s < 0$;
(e) $f(\xi,s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathscr{U}$.

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $U_\varepsilon^{g(\xi)} \subset \mathscr{U}$ and (13) holds for $g(\xi)$ on $U_\varepsilon^{g(\xi)}$ for all $\xi \in K$. Let $\psi \colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with $\psi(t) = t$ for t near 0 and $\psi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \varepsilon$. For $\delta > 0$ we put

$$f^\delta \colon K \times [0,1] \to C^\infty(M; T^*M \otimes T^*M),$$

$$f^\delta(\xi,s) = \begin{cases} g(\xi) - s\delta\psi(t)g(\xi)_0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \\ g(\xi) & \text{if } t \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

This defines a family of smooth $(2,0)$-tensor fields on M. For $\delta \to 0$ we have $f^\delta(\xi,s) \to g(\xi)$ in the weak C^∞-topology, uniformly in ξ. In particular, for sufficiently small δ, the $f^\delta(\xi,s)$ are positive definite and hence Riemannian metrics on M and satisfy $\mathrm{scal}_{f^\delta(\xi,s)} > \sigma$. Properties (a), (b), and (e) are obvious. For the second fundamental form we find

$$\mathrm{II}_{f^\delta(\xi,s)} = \mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} + \tfrac{1}{2}s\delta g(\xi)_0$$

and hence

$$H_{f^\delta(\xi,s)} = \mathrm{tr}_{f^\delta(\xi,s)_0}(\mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} + \tfrac{1}{2}s\delta g(\xi)_0) = \mathrm{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}(\mathrm{II}_{g(\xi)} + \tfrac{1}{2}s\delta g(\xi)_0) = H_{g(\xi)} + \tfrac{n-1}{2}s\delta.$$

This proves properties (c) and (d). □

Remark 29. The deformations constructed in Propositions 23, 26, Theorem 27, and Proposition 28 are supported in arbitrarily small neighborhoods of the boundary. They can be chosen "small" in another respect as well.

In the deformation in Proposition 23 the metric and the second fundamental form remain constant. Thus the deformation is arbitrarily C^1-small in a sufficiently small neighborhood of

the boundary. The conditions of scalar curvature being greater than σ and being ε-C^1-close to $g(\xi)$ define an open partial differential relation $R(\xi)$ of second order on the space of Riemannian metrics for each $\xi \in K$. This family of open partial differential relations depends continuously on ξ in the sense that $\bigcup_{\xi\in K}\{\xi\} \times R(\xi)$ is open in $K \times J^2(T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ where J^2 denotes the second jet bundle. The family version of the flexibility lemma still applies to such ξ-dependent partial differential relations as one can see from the proof of Addendum 3.4 in [7]. Thus the whole deformation may be chosen arbitrarily C^1-small in the sense that given any $\varepsilon > 0$ we may arrange that $\|f(\xi, s) - g(\xi)\|_{C^1(M,g(\xi))} < \varepsilon$ for all ξ and s, in addition to the properties listed in Proposition 23.

In Proposition 26 the second fundamental form varies but the first fundamental form still remains constant. From the explicit form of the deformation in (20) and the bound $|\chi_\delta| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ one sees that the deformation can still be chosen arbitrarily C^0-small.

Consequently, in Theorem 27 we may assume $\|f(\xi, s) - g(\xi)\|_{C^0(M,g(\xi))} < \varepsilon$ for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, in addition to the properties listed there.

In the proof of Proposition 28 the deformation is of the form $f(\xi, s) = g(\xi) + s\delta\Psi(\xi)$ where $\Psi(\xi)$ is a smooth compactly supported $(2, 0)$-tensor field depending continuously on ξ. Thus, by choosing δ small, we can make $f(\xi, s) - g(\xi)$ arbitrarily small even in the strong C^∞-topology.

Remark 30. Applying the flexibility lemma with ξ-dependent partial differential relations, one may work with a continuous map $\sigma\colon K \to C^0(M;\mathbb{R})$ instead of a single $\sigma\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Given a continous map $g\colon K \to \mathscr{R}(M)$ such that $g(\xi) \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma(\xi)}(M)$ for each $\xi \in K$, Theorem 27 can be refined so as to produce a continuous map $f\colon K \times [0, 1] \to \mathscr{R}(M)$ such that $f(\xi, s) \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma(\xi)}(M)$ with the properties stated in Theorem 27 for $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 31. The "Bending Lemma" on [16, p. 705], which generalizes the well-known "Doubling trick" [20, Thm. 5.7], implies that each weak C^0-neighborhood of a smooth metric on a manifold with compact boundary contains a smooth metric with the following properties:

- ▷ its scalar curvature is bounded below by the scalar curvature of the given metric minus a prescribed constant, which may be chosen arbitrarily small;
- ▷ it coincides with the given metric when restricted to the boundary and outside of a prescribed neighborhood of the boundary, which may be chosen arbitrarily small;
- ▷ its second fundamental form along the boundary has a prescribed form, provided that its trace is smaller than the trace of the second fundamental form of the original metric.

A similar result was obtained in [9, Thm. 5]. In this respect, our Theorem 27 gives a deformation theoretic refinement of these approximation results.

4. Applications

We will use Theorem 27 and Proposition 28 to compare the homotopy types of various spaces of Riemannian metrics. Throughout this section, let M be a (not necessarily compact) manifold with compact boundary ∂M. Let $\sigma\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, let $h_0\colon \partial M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and let k be a smooth $(2, 0)$-tensor field on ∂M.

Recall that a continuous map $f\colon X \to Y$ between topological spaces is called a *weak homotopy equivalence*, if it induces a bijection $\pi_0(X) \cong \pi_0(Y)$ and isomorphisms $\pi_m(X, x) \cong \pi_m(Y, f(x))$ for all $x \in X$ and $m \geq 1$.

4.1. Subspaces of $\mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ given by geometric conditions on the boundary.

We consider subspaces of $\mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ defined by various conditions on the relative geometry of the boundary.

Theorem 27 implies, roughly speaking, that inclusions of boundary conditions which are mean-curvature nonincreasing induce weak homotopy equivalences of the corresponding subspaces of metrics with lower scalar curvature bounds. Some boundary conditions of particular geometric relevance to which this reasoning applies are listed in Table 1. For any condition "$*$" on the boundary as listed in Table 1 we write $\mathscr{R}^*_{>\sigma}(M)$ for the set of all metrics in $\mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ satisfying $*$.

$*$	condition on g
$H \geq h_0$	mean curvature satisfies $H_g \geq h_0$
$H = h_0$	mean curvature satisfies $H_g = h_0$
$\mathrm{II} \geq h_0$	second fundamental form satisfies $\mathrm{II}_g \geq h_0 \cdot g_0$ in the sense of bilinear forms
$\mathrm{II} = h_0$	second fundamental form satisfies $\mathrm{II}_g = h_0 \cdot g_0$
$\mathrm{II} \geq k$	second fundamental form satisfies $\mathrm{II}_g \geq k$ in the sense of bilinear forms
$\mathrm{II} = k$	second fundamental form satisfies $\mathrm{II}_g = k$

TABLE 1. Boundary conditions for g

For example, $\mathscr{R}^{H\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$ is the space of all smooth metrics g on M with $\mathrm{scal}_g > \sigma$ such that the mean curvature of the boundary satisfies $H_g \geq h_0$. Furthermore, by ${}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M)$ we denote the space of metrics in $\mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M)$ which are, in addition, C-normal for some C (see Definition 21).

Theorem 32. *Each of the inclusions in*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathscr{R}^{H=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & \mathscr{R}^{H\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & \end{array}$$

$$ {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=k}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=k}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}\geq k}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $*$ be any of the conditions in $\{\mathrm{II} = h_0, H = h_0, \mathrm{II} \geq h_0, H \geq h_0\}$. We show that the inclusion ${}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Let $m \geq 0$ and $g\colon D^m \to \mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M)$ be continuous such that $g(\partial D^m) \subset {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$. Here D^m is the standard closed m-ball. We apply Theorem 27 with $K = D^m$ and $k(\xi) = h_0 g(\xi)$ and obtain a continuous map $f\colon D^m \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M)$ such that $f(\xi, s) \in {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$ for $(\xi, s) \in (\partial D^m \times [0,1]) \cup (D^m \times \{1\})$.

In summary, the pair $(\mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M), {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M))$ is m-connected for all $m \geq 0$, compare [24, p. 346]. Hence the inclusion ${}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence by the long exact sequence for homotopy groups.

The same argument works for the inclusions ${}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=k}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M)$ for $*$ in $\{\mathrm{II} = k, \mathrm{II} \geq k\}$. □

Sometimes one needs to compare boundary conditions defined by strict inequalities with their counterparts defined by nonstrict inequalities. The proof of the following theorem, which uses the obvious notation, proceeds in exactly the same way as the proof of Theorem 32, using Proposition 28 instead of Theorem 27.

Theorem 33. *Each of the inclusions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{R}^{H>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) &\hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{H\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M), & \mathscr{R}^{H<h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) &\hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{H\leq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M), \\ \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) &\hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M), & \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}<h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) &\hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}\leq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M), \\ \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}>k}_{>\sigma}(M) &\hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}\geq k}_{>\sigma}(M), & \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}<k}_{>\sigma}(M) &\hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}\leq k}_{>\sigma}(M), \end{aligned}$$


is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

In the special case $h_0 = 0$ there is another interesting space one may consider, namely

$$\mathscr{R}^{\mathsf{D}}_{>\sigma}(M) := \{g \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M) \mid g \cup g \text{ is a smooth metric on } \mathsf{D}M\},$$

the space of "doubling" metrics on M with scalar curvature bounded by σ from below. Here $\mathsf{D}M = M \cup_{\partial M} M$ is the double of M. Writing the metric near the boundary as in (13), the doubling condition means $g_0^{(\ell)} = 0$ for all odd ℓ. This property is also preserved by the deformation in Theorem 27. In particular, we have $\mathrm{II}_g = 0$.

Corollary 34. *Each of the inclusions in*



is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

In particular, for any of the inclusions appearing in Corollary 34, the subspace is nonempty if the ambient space is nonempty. For example, if M has a positive scalar curvature metric with $H > 0$ then it also has a doubling metric with positive scalar curvature. This implication is the content of [20, Thm. 5.7]. In fact, we see that assuming $H \geq 0$ suffices, compare [1, Thm. 1.1].

Remark 35. Passing to metric doubles, the discussion of boundary terms in the APS formula in the proof of Theorem 19 becomes dispensible. For example, let M be an even dimensional compact spin manifold with boundary and let M be of infinite K-area. Then the double $\mathsf{D}M = M \cup_{\partial M} M$ also has infinite K-area because any admissible $E \to M$ satisfying $\|R^E\| < \varepsilon$ extends (by the trivial Hermitian bundle with trivial connection) over the second copy of M to an admissible $E' \to \mathsf{D}M$ with $\|R^{E'}\| < \varepsilon$. Furthermore, the double $\mathsf{D}M = M \cup_{\partial M} M$ carries a spin structure, equal to the given spin structure on one copy of M and to the opposite spin structure for the opposite orientation on the other copy.

Now, if M carries a positive scalar curvature metric with $H \geq 0$, then, by Corollary 34, it also has a doubling metric of positive scalar curvature. Hence the manifold $\mathsf{D}M$ has a metric with positive scalar curvature. This contradicts Theorem 19 for closed manifolds, which in this case is known, see [14, Sec. $5\frac{1}{4}$].

4.2. **Additional conditions on the boundary metric.** We now impose additional intrinsic conditions on the boundary metric. Let $\mathscr{X} \subset \mathscr{R}(\partial M)$ be any subset. For example, $\mathscr{X}$ could be defined by any of the following conditions:

- ▷ having positive scalar curvature;
- ▷ being Einstein;
- ▷ having volume 1;
- ▷ coinciding with a particular given metric.

Note that a condition like $H \geq h_0$ cannot be used to define $\mathscr{X}$ because it depends not only on the metric of the boundary but also on the second fundamental form. We put

$$\mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X}}(M) := \{g \in \mathscr{R}(M) \mid g_0 \in \mathscr{X}\},$$
$$\mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};*}_{>\sigma}(M) := \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X}}(M) \cap \mathscr{R}^{*}_{>\sigma}(M),$$

for any of the conditions $*$ listed in Table 1.

Theorem 36. *For any subset $\mathscr{X} \subset \mathscr{R}(\partial M)$ each of the inclusions in*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};H=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & \\
 & & \nearrow & & & \searrow & \\
{}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & & & \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};H\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \\
 & & \searrow & & & \nearrow & \uparrow \\
 & & \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};H>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)
\end{array}$$

$$\mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}<h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}\leq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \qquad \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};H<h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};H\leq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

$${}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}=k}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}=k}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}\geq k}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookleftarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};\mathrm{II}>k}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. The proofs of Theorem 32 and Theorem 33 carry over because by property (c) in Theorem 27 and (b) in Proposition 28 the induced metric on the boundary does not change under the deformation and thus stays in $\mathscr{X}$. □

Remark 37. Given a metric γ_0 on ∂M and setting $\mathscr{X} = \{\gamma_0\}$ the weak homotopy equivalence $\mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};H=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};H\geq h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$ means, roughly speaking, that the mean curvature of ∂M can be decreased while preserving the boundary metric and a given lower scalar curvature bound on M.

Definition 38. Let $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. A metric g on M is said to be of h_0-*cone type* if it takes the form

$$g = dt^2 + (1 - th_0)^2 \cdot g_0$$

near the boundary. Such a metric satisfies $\mathrm{II}_g = h_0 \cdot g_0$, i.e. it is totally umbilic, and it is C-normal with $C = -h_0^2$.

We write

$$\mathscr{R}^{h_0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>\sigma}(M) := \{g \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M) \mid g \text{ is of } h_0\text{-cone type}\} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X};h_0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>\sigma}(M) := \mathscr{R}^{\mathscr{X}}(M) \cap \mathscr{R}^{h_0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>\sigma}(M).$$

A complete Riemannian manifold M of h_0-cone type with $h_0 \geq 0$ can be extended to a complete Riemannian manifold without boundary by attaching the manifold $(-\infty, 0] \times \partial M$ with the metric $dt^2 + (1 - th_0)^2 \cdot g_0$ to M along ∂M.

In the special case $h_0 \equiv 0$ the condition 0-cone type is commonly known as *product type*, a boundary condition often preferred by topologists. In this case we may attach a metric cylinder.

Theorem 39. *Let M be an n-dimensional manifold with compact boundary ∂M. Let $\sigma\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_0\colon \partial M \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions and let $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $\sigma_0 \geq \sigma|_{\partial M} + (n-1)(n-2)h_0^2$. Then the inclusion*

$$\mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};h_0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>\sigma}(M) \hookrightarrow {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $g\colon D^\ell \to {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$ be a continuous map with $g(\partial D^\ell) \subset \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};h_0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>\sigma}(M)$. Near ∂M we write

$$g(\xi) = dt^2 + \big(1 - 2th_0 - C(\xi)t^2\big) \cdot g_0(\xi).$$

We define, near ∂M, the deformation

$$F(\xi, s) := dt^2 + \big(1 - 2th_0 - (1-s)C(\xi)t^2 + sh_0^2t^2\big) \cdot g_0(\xi).$$

Then $F(\xi, s)_0 = g(\xi)_0$ and $\mathrm{II}_{F(\xi,s)} = h_0 \cdot g(\xi)_0 = h_0 \cdot F(\xi, s)_0$. Hence the Weingarten map of the boundary is given by $W_{F(\xi,s)} = h_0 \cdot \mathrm{id}$.

For the scalar curvature of $F(\xi,s)$ we find along the boundary by (15):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{scal}_{F(\xi,s)}|_{\partial M} &= \mathrm{scal}_{F(\xi,s)_0} + 3\mathrm{tr}(W^2_{F(\xi,s)}) - \mathrm{tr}(W_{F(\xi,s)})^2 - \mathrm{tr}_{F(\xi,s)_0}(\ddot{F}(\xi,s)_0)\\
&= \mathrm{scal}_{g(\xi)_0} + 3\mathrm{tr}(h_0^2\,\mathrm{id}) - \mathrm{tr}(h_0\,\mathrm{id})^2 - \mathrm{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}((1-s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 + 2sh_0^2 g_0(\xi))\\
&= (1-s)\mathrm{scal}_{g(\xi)}|_{\partial M} + s\big[\mathrm{scal}_{g(\xi)_0} + 3(n-1)h_0^2 - ((n-1)h_0)^2 - 2h_0^2(n-1)\big]\\
&= (1-s)\mathrm{scal}_{g(\xi)}|_{\partial M} + s\big[\mathrm{scal}_{g(\xi)_0} - (n-1)(n-2)h_0^2\big]\\
&> (1-s)\sigma|_{\partial M} + s[\sigma_0 - (n-1)(n-2)h_0^2]\\
&\geq \sigma|_{\partial M}.
\end{aligned}$$

By continuity, $\mathrm{scal}_{F(\xi,s)} > \sigma$ on a neighborhood of ∂M. Applying the family version of the local flexibility lemma [7, Addendum 3.4] for the partial differential relation given by $\mathrm{scal} > \sigma$ yields a deformation f of g such that $f(\xi,s) \in \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};h_0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>\sigma}(M)$ for $(\xi,s) \in (\partial D^\ell \times [0,1]) \cup D^\ell \times \{1\}$. □

Combining Theorems 36 and 39 in the special case $\sigma = \sigma_0 = h_0 = 0$ we get

Corollary 40. *Each of the inclusions in*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};H=0}_{>0}(M) & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
{}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};\mathrm{II}=0}_{>0}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};\mathrm{II}=0}_{>0}(M) & & \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};H\geq 0}_{>0}(M)\\
\uparrow & \searrow & & \nearrow & \uparrow\\
\mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>0}(M) & & \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};\mathrm{II}>0}_{>0}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};\mathrm{II}\geq 0}_{>0}(M) & & \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};H>0}_{>0}(M)
\end{array}$$

$$\mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};\mathrm{II}<0}_{>0}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};\mathrm{II}\leq 0}_{>0}(M) \qquad \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};H<0}_{>0}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>0\};H\leq 0}_{>0}(M)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

In particular, this tells us that if M carries a positive scalar curvature metric such that the boundary satisfies $H \geq 0$ and also has positive scalar curvature then M carries such a metric of product type.

Remark 41. If we drop the assumption that the boundary has positive scalar curvature itself, the embedding of positive scalar curvature metrics on M with product structure near the boundary into any of the other spaces of metrics considered here is no longer a weak homotopy equivalence in general.

As an example let $M = D^2 \times T^{n-2}$. We give D^2 the metric of a round hemisphere, T^{n-2} a flat metric and M the product metric which we denote by g. Then $g \in \mathscr{R}^{\mathsf{D}}_{>0}(M) \subset \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=0}_{>0}(M)$, the double of M being $S^2 \times T^{n-2}$.

But M cannot carry a positive scalar curvature metric with product structure near the boundary. If it did, the boundary would inherit a positive scalar curvature metric which is impossible since $\partial M = T^{n-1}$. Thus $\mathscr{R}^{0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>0}(M) = \emptyset$ and the embedding

$$\mathscr{R}^{0-\mathsf{cone}}_{>0}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=0}_{>0}(M)$$

is clearly not a weak homotopy equivalence.

4.3. **Additional conditions in the interior.** Given a neighborhood $\partial M \subset \mathscr{U} \subset M$ the deformations of Theorem 32 and Theorem 33 can be assumed to be constant on $M \setminus \mathscr{U}$. We can therefore restrict the spaces in Theorems 36 and 39 and in Corollary 40 to subspaces which are invariant under deformations supported near the boundary.

More precisely, if $\mathscr{Y}$ is an arbitrary subset of $\{g|_{M\setminus\mathscr{U}} \mid g \in \mathscr{R}(M)\}$ then after intersecting all spaces in Theorem 36, 39 or Corollary 40 with ${}_{\mathscr{Y}}\mathscr{R}(M) := \{g \in \mathscr{R}(M) \mid g|_{M\setminus\mathscr{U}} \in \mathscr{Y}\}$ the resulting embeddings are still weak homotopy equivalences.

Prominent examples of such spaces are

- $\mathscr{R}(M) = \{g \in \mathscr{R}(M) \mid g \text{ is complete}\}$;
- $\mathscr{R}(M) = \{g \in \mathscr{R}(M) \mid g \text{ is incomplete}\}$;
- $\mathscr{R}(M) = \{g \in \mathscr{R}(M) \mid g \text{ is asymptotically flat}\}$;
- $\mathscr{R}(M) = \{g \in \mathscr{R}(M) \mid g|_{M\setminus\mathscr{U}} = \bar{g}|_{M\setminus\mathscr{U}}\}$ where $\bar{g} \in \mathscr{R}(M)$ is fixed.

4.4. **Spaces of metrics with mean-convex singularities.** We can apply the deformation of metrics with fixed boundary metrics in order to study metrics with singularities, see [31]. Let $\hat{M}$ be a smooth manifold and $\Sigma \subset \hat{M}$ be a closed hypersurface with trivial normal bundle so that $\hat{M} = M_1 \cup_\Sigma M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are smooth manifolds with compact boundary Σ. Let $M = M_1 \sqcup M_2$ be the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2. This is a smooth manifold with compact boundary $\Sigma\sqcup\Sigma$. Let $\sigma\colon \hat{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. By precomposing with the canonical map $M \to \hat{M}$ this induces a continuous map $M \to \mathbb{R}$ which we denote by the same letter σ. Consider

$$\mathscr{R}^\Sigma_{>\sigma}(\hat{M}) := \{g_1 \sqcup g_2 \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M) \mid (g_1)_0 = (g_2)_0, H_{g_1} + H_{g_2} \geq 0\},$$

the space of metrics on $\hat{M}$ with scalar curvature greater than σ and "mean convex singularity" at Σ. This space is equipped with the subspace topology of $\mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$.

Each smooth $g \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$ induces $g_1 \sqcup g_2 \in \mathscr{R}^\Sigma_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$ where $g_j = g|_{M_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Conversely, $g_1 \sqcup g_2 \in \mathscr{R}^\Sigma_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$ induces a smooth metric on $\hat{M}$ if and only if $(g_1)_0^{(\ell)} = (-1)^\ell \cdot (g_2)_0^{(\ell)} \in C^\infty(\Sigma; T^*\Sigma \otimes T^*\Sigma)$ for $\ell \geq 0$.

Theorem 42. *The inclusion $\mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(\hat{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^\Sigma_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Let $m \geq 0$ and $g = g_1 \sqcup g_2\colon D^m \to \mathscr{R}^\Sigma_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$ be continuous such that $g(\partial D^m) \subset \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$.

We apply Theorem 27 to M with $k(\xi) = -\mathrm{II}_{g_2(\xi)} \sqcup \mathrm{II}_{g_2(\xi)} \in C^\infty(\partial M; T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$. This results in a continuous map $f = D^m \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}^\Sigma_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$ such that $f(\xi, s) \in \mathscr{R}_{>\sigma}(\hat{M})$ for $(\xi, s) \in (\partial D^m \times [0,1]) \cup (D^m \times \{1\})$ using parts (d), (f) and (g) of Theorem 27. □

In particular, if $\hat{M}$ has a positive scalar curvature metric which is singular along Σ satisfying $H_{g_1} + H_{g_2} \geq 0$ as described above then it also has a smooth positive scalar curvature metric.

4.5. **Min-Oo's conjecture.** Let $M = S^n_+$ be the n-dimensional hemisphere. Min-Oo conjectured that if g is a Riemannian metric on M such that

- $\mathrm{scal}_g \geq n(n-1)$;
- g_0 coincides with the standard metric $g_{S^{n-1}}$ on $\partial M = S^{n-1}$;
- $\mathrm{II}_g = 0$;

then g is isometric to the standard metric $g_{S^n_+}$ of constant sectional curvature, see [32, Thm. 1] or [33, Thm. 4].

Much to the surprise of many, Brendle, Marques, and Neves showed in [9] that this is not true if $n \geq 3$. To construct counterexamples, they proceed in two steps:

Step 1 ([9, Thm. 4]): Using a refined pertubation analysis they construct a metric g on M such that

- $\mathrm{scal}_g > n(n-1)$;
- $g = g_{S^n_+}$ along ∂M;
- $H_g > 0$.

Step 2 ([9, Thm. 5 and Cor. 6]): Pertubing g further, they find a metric $\hat{g}$ such that

- $\mathrm{scal}_{\hat{g}} > n(n-1)$;
- $\hat{g} = g_{S^n_+}$ along ∂M;
- $\mathrm{II}_{\hat{g}} = 0$.

The second step can alternatively be performed using Theorem 36. Putting $\sigma = n(n-1)$, $h_0 = 0$, and $\mathscr{X} = \{g_{S^{n-1}}\}$ the theorem tells us that the embedding

$$\mathscr{R}^{\{g_{S^{n-1}}\};\mathrm{II}=0}_{>n(n-1)}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{R}^{\{g_{S^{n-1}}\};H\geq 0}_{>n(n-1)}(M)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Hence, since $\mathscr{R}^{\{g_{S^{n-1}}\};H\geq 0}_{>n(n-1)}(M)$ is nonempty by the first step, then $\mathscr{R}^{\{g_{S^{n-1}}\};\mathrm{II}=0}_{>n(n-1)}(M)$ is nonempty as well. Note that it suffices to know $g_0 = g_{S^{n-1}}$ instead of $g = g_{S^n_+}$ along ∂M.

Even more, if one can find a nontrivial homotopy class in $\mathscr{R}^{\{g_{S^{n-1}}\};H\geq 0}_{>n(n-1)}(M)$ then one will obtain a nontrivial homotopy class in the space of counterexamples to Min-Oo's conjecture.

Remark 43. Applying the flexibility lemma once more, it is easy to deduce Theorem 5 as stated in [9] from our Theorem 36 although this is not necessary for the second step in the construction of the counterexamples.

4.6. **Homotopy groups of spaces of metrics with lower scalar curvature and mean curvature bounds.** Let $m \geq 0$. By [23, Thm. 1.4 and Rem. 1.5] (for $m = 3$ also see [26]) there is a smooth fiber bundle $F \hookrightarrow P \to S^{m+1}$ with the following properties:

- ▷ F is a closed connected spin manifold admitting a metric g_F of positive scalar curvature,
- ▷ P is a closed spin manifold with $\hat{\mathsf{A}}[P] := \int_P \hat{\mathsf{A}}(P) \neq 0$.

Choose some compact connected spin manifold W with boundary such that W has infinite K-area. For example, we may take W as the 3-torus with a small solid torus removed as in Example 17. Set

$$M := W \times F. \tag{38}$$

This is a compact connected spin manifold with boundary $\partial M = \partial W \times F$. Let $\sigma\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_0\colon \partial M \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and let $h_0\colon \partial M \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth.

Pick a Riemannian metric g_W on W with $\mathrm{II}_{g_W} = C\cdot(g_W)_0$ for some constant $C > \frac{\dim F+\dim W-1}{\dim W-1}\cdot h_0$. For any metric g'_F on F the metric $g := g_W \oplus g'_F$ on M satisfies

$$H_g = \frac{\mathrm{tr}_g(\mathrm{II}_g)}{\dim W+\dim F-1} = \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{g_W}(\mathrm{II}_{g_W})}{\dim W+\dim F-1} = \frac{(\dim W-1)\cdot C}{\dim W+\dim F-1} > h_0. \tag{39}$$

The total space of the bundle $F \to P \to S^{m+1}$ can be written in the form $P = (D^{m+1}\times F)\cup_\varphi (D^{m+1}\times F)$ for a clutching map $\varphi\colon S^m \to \mathrm{Diff}(F)$ such that the adjoint map $S^m \times F \to S^m \times F$, $(\xi, f) \mapsto (\xi, \varphi(\xi)(f))$, is smooth. By compactness of S^m, the additivity of scalar curvature in Riemannian products, $\mathrm{scal}_{g_F} > 0$, and (39) there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $\xi \in S^m$ we have $g_W \oplus \varepsilon\cdot\varphi(\xi)^*(g_F) \in \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};H>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M)$. Consider

$$\omega\colon S^m \to \mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};H>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M), \quad \omega(\xi) = g_W \oplus \varepsilon_0\cdot\varphi(\xi)^*(g_F), \tag{40}$$

and put $\hat{g} := g_W \oplus \varepsilon_0 \cdot g_F$.

Theorem 44. *Let M be as in (38) and assume $\sigma \geq 0$ and $h_0 \geq 0$. Then the map ω constructed in (40) represents nonzero classes in $\pi_m\Big(\mathscr{R}^{\{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0}>\sigma_0\};H>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M), \hat{g}\Big)$ and in $\pi_m\Big(\mathscr{R}^{H>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M), \hat{g}\Big)$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that ω represents a nonzero class in $\pi_m(\mathscr{R}^{H>0}_{>0}(M), \hat{g})$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume that there is a continuous homotopy $h\colon S^m \times [0,1] \to \mathscr{R}^{H>0}_{>0}(M)$ from ω to the constant map $\xi \mapsto g_N + \varepsilon_0 \cdot g_F$.

Consider the smooth fiber bundle

$$F \to N \xrightarrow{\pi} S^m \times S^1,$$

where $N = (S^m \times [0,1] \times F)/\big((\xi, 0, f) \sim (\xi, 1, \varphi(\xi)(f)\big)$ is the parametrized mapping cylinder for the family $(\varphi(\xi))_{\xi\in S^m}$, and the bundle projection is given by $[\xi, s, f] \mapsto (\xi, [s])$. Then N is a closed connected spin manifold with $\hat{\mathsf{A}}[N] = \hat{\mathsf{A}}[P] \neq 0$ because P and N are spin bordant (compare the proof of [23, Cor. 1.6]).

The manifold $W \times N$ is the total space of the smooth fiber bundle

$$M \to W \times N \xrightarrow{\pi'} S^m \times S^1$$

where $\pi'(w, x) = \pi(x)$. In the following we denote by $M_b = (\pi')^{-1}(b) \subset W \times N$ the fiber over $b \in S^m \times S^1$. Since for $\xi \in S^m$ the map $\mathrm{id}\times\varphi(\xi)\colon (W \times F, \omega(\xi)) \to (W \times F, \hat{g})$ is an isometry, the homotopy h induces a continuous family $(g_b)_{b\in S^m\times S^1}$ of metrics $g_b \in \mathscr{R}^{H>0}_{>0}(M_b)$. Since the

conditions $\mathrm{scal} > 0$ and $H > 0$ on the metrics of the fibers M_b are C^2-open in the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on the total space $W \times N$, we can, after a C^2-small pertubation, assume in addition that $(g_b)_{b\in S^m\times S^1}$ depends smoothly on b.

Choose a horizontal distribution on the tangent bundle $T(W \times N)$ with respect to the bundle projection $\pi' \colon W \times N \to S^m \times S^1$ in such a way that the distribution is tangential to $\partial(W \times N)$ along the boundary. For $0 < \lambda \le 1$ let $g(\lambda)$ be the metric on the total space $W \times N$ determined by this horizontal distribution, the standard metric on $S^m \times S^1$ and the smooth family $(\lambda \cdot g_b)_{b\in S^m\times S^1}$ on the fibers. For each λ the fibration π' is a Riemannian submersion.

By the O'Neill formulas, the scalar curvature of $g(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\mathrm{scal}_{g(\lambda)} = \mathrm{scal}_{S^m\times S^1} \circ \pi' + \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{scal}_{g_{\pi'(\cdot)}} - \lambda|A|^2 - |T|^2 - |\mathscr{H}|^2 - 2\mathrm{div}_{\mathsf{hor}}(\mathscr{H}),$$

see [8, Ch. 9]. Here A is the curvature of the horizontal distribution, T the second fundamental form of the fibers, $\mathscr{H}$ the unnormalized mean curvature vector field of the fibers, and $\mathrm{div}_{\mathsf{nor}}$ the horizontal divergence. Since $\mathrm{scal}_{g_b} > 0$, the scalar curvature of $g(\lambda)$ is positive for $\lambda > 0$ small enough.

By the choice of horizontal distribution, the boundaries of $W \times N$ and of the fibers M_b have the same interior unit normal vector field ν. Since ν is vertical, the second fundamental forms $\mathrm{II}^{\partial(W\times N)}_{g(\lambda)}$ and $\mathrm{II}^{\partial M_b}_{g_b}$ satisfy

$$\mathrm{II}^{\partial(W\times N)}_{g(\lambda)}(U,V) = g(\lambda)(\nabla^{g(\lambda)}_U V, \nu) = \lambda g_b(\nabla^{\lambda g_b}_U V, \nu) = \mathrm{II}^{\partial M_b}_{\lambda g_b}(U,V) = \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{II}^{\partial M_b}_{g_b}(U,V)$$

for all U, V tangent to ∂M_b and

$$\mathrm{II}^{\partial(W\times N)}_{g(\lambda)}(X,Y) = g(\lambda)(\nabla^{g(\lambda)}_X Y, \nu) = g_b(A_X Y, \nu)$$

for horizontal X and Y. Since the second fundamental form is symmetric while A is skew-symmetric in X and Y, we have $\mathrm{II}^{\partial(W\times N)}_{g(\lambda)}(X,Y) = 0$. Taking traces, we conclude $H_{g(\lambda)} = \lambda^{-1}\frac{\dim M-1}{\dim W+\dim N-1}H_{g_b} > 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Since W is a compact connected spin manifold which is of infinite K-area and N is a closed connected spin manifold with $\hat{\mathsf{A}}[N] \neq 0$ the existence of a positive scalar curvature metric with strictly mean convex boundary on $W \times N$ is in contradiction to Theorem 19. □

Combined with Theorems 32 and 36 we deduce:

Corollary 45. *For each $m \ge 0$ there exists a compact spin manifold M with nonempty boundary such that for every nonnegative continuous function $\sigma \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ and every nonnegative smooth function $h_0 \colon \partial W \to \mathbb{R}$ the m-th homotopy of every space in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \mathscr{R}^{H=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & \\ & & \nearrow & & & \searrow & \\ {}^{\mathsf{nor}}\mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}=h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & & & \mathscr{R}^{H\ge h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \\ & & & \searrow & & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ & & \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathscr{R}^{\mathrm{II}\ge h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) & & \mathscr{R}^{H>h_0}_{>\sigma}(M) \end{array}$$

contains nontrivial classes. The same holds for all of these spaces under the additional boundary condition $\mathscr{X} = \{\mathrm{scal}_{g_0} > \sigma_0\}$ where $\sigma_0 \colon \partial M \to \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary continuous map. □

Remark 46. Using the methods in [23], one can show that for $m \ge 1$ the homotopy classes in Corollary 45 are of infinite order and for $m = 0$ the spaces have infinitely many path-components.

References

[1] Almeida, S.: Minimal hypersurfaces of a positive scalar curvature manifold. *Math. Z.* **190** (1985), 73-82.

[2] Atiyah, M. F.: *K-theory.* Advanced Book Classics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, 2nd ed., 1989.

[3] Atiyah, M. F.; Patodi, V. K.; Singer, I. M.: Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. I. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **77** (1975), 43–69.

[4] Bär, C.: Metrics with harmonic spinors. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1996), 899–942.

[5] Bär, C.; Ballmann, W.: Boundary value problems for elliptic differential operators of first order. In: *Surveys in differential geometry*, Vol. 12, 1–78, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 2012.
[6] Bär, C.; Gauduchon, P.; Moroianu, A.: Generalized cylinders in semi-Riemannian and Spin geometry. *Math. Z.* **249** (2005), 545–580.
[7] Bär, C.; Hanke, B.: Local flexibility for open partial differential relations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **75** (2022), 1377–1415.
[8] Besse, A. L.: *Einstein manifolds*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 10. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
[9] Brendle, S.; Marques, F.; Neves, A.: Deformations of the hemisphere that increase scalar curvature. *Invent. Math.* **185** (2011), 175–197.
[10] Carlotto, A.; Li, Ch.: Constrained deformations of positive scalar curvature metrics. *ArXiv preprint:* https://arxiv.org/pdf/1903.11772v2.pdf (2019), to appear in J. Diff. Geom.
[11] Chow, T.-K. A.: Positivity of Curvature on Manifolds with Boundary. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **2022** (2022), 11401–11426.
[12] Fukumoto, Y.: Invariance of finiteness of K-area under surgery. *Geom. Dedicata* **176** (2015), 175–183.
[13] Goette, S.; Semmelmann, U.: Scalar curvature estimates for compact symmetric spaces. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **16** (2002), 65–78.
[14] Gromov, M.: Positive curvature, macroscopic dimension, spectral gaps and higher signatures. In: *Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century, Vol. II*. Progr. Math., vol. 132, 1–213, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1996.
[15] ———: Dirac and Plateau billiards in domains with corners. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **12** (2014), 1109–1156.
[16] ———: Metric inequalities with scalar curvature. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **28** (2018), 645–726.
[17] ———: Scalar Curvature of Manifolds with Boundaries: Natural Questions and Artificial Constructions. *ArXiv preprint:* https://arxiv.org/pdf/1811.04311v2.pdf (2019).
[18] ———: Mean curvature in the light of scalar curvature. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **69** (2019), 3169–3194.
[19] ———: Four lectures on scalar curvature. In: *Perspectives in Scalar Curvature, Vol. I*, 1–514, World Scientific, 2023.
[20] Gromov, M.; Lawson, H. B.: Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **111** (1980), 209–230.
[21] ———: Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **58** (1983), 83–196 (1984).
[22] Hanke, B.: Positive scalar curvature, K-area and essentialness. In: *Global differential geometry*. Springer Proc. Math., vol. 17, 275–302, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
[23] Hanke, B.; Schick, T.; Steimle, W.: The space of metrics of positive scalar curvature. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **120** (2014), 335–367.
[24] Hatcher, A.: *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
[25] Hunger, B.: Almost flat bundles and homological invariance of infinite K-area. *New York J. Math.* **25** (2019), 687–722.
[26] Krannich, M.; Kupers, A.; Randal-Williams, O.: An $\mathbb{H}P^2$-bundle over S^4 with nontrivial $\hat{A}$-genus. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **359** (2021), 149–154.
[27] Lawson, H. B.; Michelsohn, M.-L.: *Spin geometry*. Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
[28] Li, Ch.: A polyhedron comparison theorem for 3-manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Invent. Math.* **219** (2020), 1–37, correction: *Invent. Math.* **228** (2022), 535–538.
[29] Llarull, M.: Sharp estimates and the Dirac operator. *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 55–71.
[30] Lott, J.: Index theory for scalar curvature on manifolds with boundary. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** (2021), 4451–4459.
[31] Miao, P.: Positive mass theorem on manifolds admitting corners along a hypersurface. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **6** (2002), 1163–1182.
[32] Min-Oo, M.: Scalar curvature rigidity of the hemisphere. *Preprint* (1995).
[33] ———: Scalar curvature rigidity of certain symmetric spaces. In: *Geometry, topology, and dynamics*. CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 15, 127–136, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

Universität Potsdam, Institut für Mathematik, 14476 Potsdam, Germany
Email address: cbaer@uni-potsdam.de
URL: https://www.math.uni-potsdam.de/baer

Universität Augsburg, Institut für Mathematik, 86135 Augsburg, Germany
Email address: hanke@math.uni-augsburg.de
URL: https://www.math.uni-augsburg.de/diff/hanke