

BIVARIANT HERMITIAN K-THEORY AND KAROUBI'S FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM

GUILLERMO CORTIÑAS AND SANTIAGO VEGA

ABSTRACT. Let ℓ be a commutative ring with involution $*$ containing an element λ such that $\lambda + \lambda^* = 1$ and let Alg_ℓ^* be the category of ℓ -algebras equipped with a semilinear involution and involution preserving homomorphisms. We construct a triangulated category kk^h and a functor $j^h : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow kk^h$ that is homotopy invariant, matricially and hermitian stable and excisive and is universal initial with these properties. We prove that a version of Karoubi's fundamental theorem holds in kk^h . By the universal property of the latter, this implies that any functor $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ with values in a triangulated category which is homotopy invariant, matricially and hermitian stable and excisive satisfies the fundamental theorem. We also prove a bivariate version of Karoubi's 12-term exact sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let ℓ be a commutative ring with involution $*$. Assume that ℓ contains an element λ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda + \lambda^* = 1.$$

A $*$ -algebra over ℓ is an algebra A equipped with a semilinear involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A^{\text{op}}$. Write Alg_ℓ for the category of ℓ -algebras and Alg_ℓ^* for the subcategory of $*$ -algebras and involution preserving homomorphisms. We construct a triangulated category kk^h , with the same objects as Alg_ℓ^* , and a functor $j^h : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow kk^h$ which is the identity on objects and is homotopy invariant, matricially and hermitian stable and excisive (the first three terms are defined in Subsection 2.4 and the last in Definition 6.2.5), and is universal initial with these properties (see Proposition 6.2.8). We write $[-1]$ for the suspension functor, and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the *bivariate hermitian K-theory* groups

$$kk_n^h(A, B) := \text{hom}_{kk^h}(j^h(A), j^h(B)[n]), \quad kk^h(A, B) = kk_0^h(A, B).$$

Setting $A = \ell$ we recover a Weibel style [16], homotopy invariant version of K^h , the K -theory of hermitian forms of [10]. We prove in Proposition 8.1 that

$$(1.2) \quad kk_n^h(\ell, A) = KH_n^h(A) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

The triangulated category kk^h is related to the bivariate K -theory category kk of [6] by means of a pair of functors

$$(1.3) \quad \text{res} : kk^h \rightleftarrows kk : \text{ind}$$

which are both left and right adjoint to each other (Proposition 9.2). There is a $*$ -algebra Λ such that for $\Lambda A = \Lambda \otimes_\ell A$ we have $j^h \circ \Lambda = \text{ind} \circ \text{res} \circ j^h$. Usual homotopy K -theory is recovered from KH^h via

$$(1.4) \quad KH_*(A) = KH_*(\Lambda A).$$

Under the isomorphisms (1.2) and (1.4), the unit and counit maps

$$\eta_A \in kk^h(A, \Lambda A) \text{ and } \phi_A \in kk^h(\Lambda A, A)$$

correspond, respectively, to the forgetful and hyperbolic maps. There are $*$ -algebras $U, V \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ such that for $UA = U \otimes_\ell A$ and $VA = V \otimes_\ell A$ we have natural transformations $\eta : UA \rightarrow \Lambda A$ and $\bar{\phi} : j^h(VA) \rightarrow j^h(A)$ which fit into distinguished triangles

$$(1.5) \quad j^h(UA) \longrightarrow \Lambda j^h(\Lambda A) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} j^h(A) \longrightarrow j^h(UA)[-1]$$

$$j^h(VA) \longrightarrow j^h(A) \xrightarrow{\eta} j^h(\Lambda A) \longrightarrow j^h(VA)[-1].$$

In particular, for $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}_*(A) := kk_*^h(\ell, VA)$ and $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{H}_*(A) := kk_*^h(\ell, UA)$, we have long exact sequences

$$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{H}_n(A) \longrightarrow KH_n(A) \xrightarrow{\text{hyp}} KH_n^h(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(A)$$

$$\mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}_n(A) \longrightarrow KH_n^h(A) \xrightarrow{\text{forg}} KH_n(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(A).$$

An invertible element u in a unital $*$ -algebra is *unitary* if $u^* = u^{-1}$. For unitary $\epsilon \in \ell$ let ${}_\epsilon M_2$ be the algebra of 2×2 -matrices with coefficients in ℓ equipped with the involution

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^* = \begin{bmatrix} d^* & \epsilon b^* \\ \epsilon^* c^* & a^* \end{bmatrix}$$

For $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, we write ${}_\epsilon M_2 A = {}_\epsilon M_2 \otimes_\ell A$. A main result of this article is Corollary 11.4, which establishes the following.

Theorem 1.6. *Assume that ℓ contains an element λ satisfying (1.1). Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$j^h({}_\epsilon M_2 V(A)) \cong j^h({}_{-\epsilon} M_2 U(A))[1] \quad (A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*).$$

Applying $kk_*^h(\ell, -)$ to the isomorphism of Theorem 1.6 and writing ${}_\epsilon \mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}_*$ and ${}_\epsilon \mathcal{U}\mathcal{H}_*$ for KH_* of ${}_\epsilon M_2 U$ and ${}_\epsilon M_2 V$, we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad {}_\epsilon \mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}_*(A) = {}_{-\epsilon} \mathcal{U}\mathcal{H}_{*+1}(A).$$

We remark that because j^h is hermitian stable and we are assuming that ℓ has an element as in (1.1), we have a natural isomorphism

$$j^h(A) \cong j^h({}_1 M_2 A).$$

Hence $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}_* = {}_1 \mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}_*$. Next we explain how Theorem 1.6 relates to Karoubi's fundamental theorem [10]. The latter establishes an equivalence

$$(1.8) \quad {}_\epsilon \mathcal{V}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{-\epsilon} \mathcal{U}(R)$$

between the fiber of the forgetful map ${}_\epsilon K^h(R) \rightarrow K(R)$ from K -theory of ϵ -hermitian forms of a unital $*$ -algebra R , and the loops of the fiber of the hyperbolic map $K(R) \rightarrow {}_{-\epsilon} K^h(R)$. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$${}_\epsilon K_*^h(R) = {}_1 K_*^h({}_\epsilon M_2 R).$$

Hence we may regard (1.7) as a version of 1.8 for homotopy hermitian K -theory and Theorem 1.6 as a bivariate version. Karoubi uses (1.8) to establish a 12 term exact sequence connecting Witt and co-Witt groups with the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ Tate cohomology of K -theory under the action of the involution. We also prove a bivariate version of Karoubi's sequence. The algebra Λ is equipped with an involutive automorphism

t . The latter induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $kk^h(A, \Lambda B)$. Let $\bar{\phi}$ and η be as in (1.5); set

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon kk_n^h(A, B) &= kk_n^h(A, \epsilon M_2 B) \\ \epsilon W_n(A, B) &= \text{Coker}(\epsilon kk_n^h(A, \Lambda B) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_*} \epsilon kk_n^h(A, B)) \\ \epsilon W'_n(A, B) &= \text{Ker}(\epsilon kk_n^h(A, B) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \epsilon kk_n^h(A, \Lambda B)) \\ k_n(A, B) &= \{x \in kk_n^h(A, \Lambda B) : x = t_* x\} / \{x = y + t_* y\} \\ k'_n(A, B) &= \{x \in kk_n^h(A, \Lambda B) : x = -t_* x\} / \{x = y - t_* y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.9 (cf. [10, Théorème 4.3]). *Assume that ℓ contains an element λ as in (1.1). Let $A, B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} k_n(A, B) & \longrightarrow & -\epsilon W_{n+1}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \epsilon W'_{n-1}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & k'_n(A, B) & \longrightarrow & -\epsilon W'_n(A, B) & \longrightarrow & -\epsilon W_n(A, B) \\ \uparrow & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \\ \epsilon W_n(A, B) & \longleftarrow & \epsilon W'_n(A, B) & \longleftarrow & k'_n(A, B) & \longleftarrow & -\epsilon W'_{n-1}(A, B) & \longleftarrow & \epsilon W_{n+1}(A, B) & \longleftarrow & k_n(A, B) \end{array}$$

By Remark 12.4, Theorem 1.9 follows from Theorem 12.2.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts and fix notations and vocabulary about involutions and (semi-split) $*$ -algebra extensions. We recall how an invertible hermitian element Φ in a unital $*$ -algebra R induces a new involution on R and on any $*$ -ideal $A \triangleleft R$, given by the adjoint with respect to the form $(x, y) \mapsto x^* \Phi y$. We write A^Φ for A equipped with this new involution. For example ϵM_2 is M_2 with the involution associated to the ϵ -hermitian hyperbolic form. We write M_\pm for M_2 equipped with the involution associated to the 1-hermitian element $\text{diag}(1, -1)$. We give the name “ λ -assumption” (2.1.14) to the hypothesis that ℓ contains an element satisfying (1.1). Under this assumption, $M_\pm \cong {}_1 M_2$. We also introduce the concept of semi-split extension as a $*$ -algebra extension which admits a splitting in a fixed choice of underlying category \mathfrak{A} among sets (with involution) and ℓ -modules (with involution), and a forgetful functor $F : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$. We call a $*$ -algebra extension

$$A \twoheadrightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} \twoheadrightarrow C$$

semi-split if $F(p)$ admits a right inverse in \mathfrak{A} . In Subsection 2.4 we prove several technical lemmas (Lemmas 2.4.1, 2.4.2 and 2.4.3) concerning stability with respect to a corner inclusion in the $*$ -algebra M_X of finitely supported matrices indexed by a set X , which we call M_X -stability. We also define the concept of hermitian stability for a functor $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. We say that H is hermitian stable if whenever R is a unital $*$ -algebra and $\Phi, \Psi \in R$ are invertible hermitian elements of the same parity ϵ then for every $*$ -ideal $A \triangleleft R$, H maps the upper left hand corner inclusion $A^\Phi \rightarrow (M_2 A)^{\Phi \oplus \Psi}$ to an isomorphism. We show in Proposition 2.4.4 that if ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption and $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is a matricially stable functor, then $H \circ M_\pm$ is hermitian stable. Section 3 is concerned with hermitian K -theory. We write K^h for the hermitian K -theory used by Karoubi in [10] and KV^h for the variant due to Karoubi and Villamayor [13]; we also introduce homotopy hermitian K -theory KH^h . We present KH^h both as the homotopy groups of the total spectrum of a simplicial spectrum, and by means of an algebraic construction, as done in [16] and [4] for usual homotopy algebraic K -theory, and explain why these two versions are equivalent. Lemma 3.7 establishes the basic properties of KH^h , including excision. We also consider the comparison maps $K^h \rightarrow KV^h \rightarrow KH^h$. In particular we prove

in Lemma 3.8 that if 2 is invertible in ℓ , and A is a K -regular $*$ -algebra which satisfies excision in K -theory, then $K_*^h(A) \rightarrow KH_*^h(A)$ is an isomorphism. In Section 4 we use our algebraic description of KH^h to equip it with a cup-product and show that the latter is compatible with that of K^h via the comparison map (Lemma 4.5). Beginning in Section 5 and for the rest of the paper we assume that ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14. This section introduces, for an infinite set X –which will be fixed for the rest of the article– a \mathbb{Z} -linear category $\{\text{Alg}_\ell^*\} = \{\text{Alg}_\ell^*\}_X$ and a functor $\text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \{\text{Alg}_\ell^*\}$ which is homotopy invariant, M_X -stable and hermitian stable and is universal initial for these properties (Lemmas 5.11 and 5.12). This section also contains a useful technical lemma (Lemma 5.4) which essentially says that a path of not necessarily involution preserving inner endomorphisms between inner $*$ -endomorphisms can be modified to preserve involutions upon stabilization. Section 6 introduces bivariant hermitian K -theory. For a fixed choice of infinite set X and of forgetful functor $F : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$, we introduce a triangulated category kk^h and a functor $j^h : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow kk^h$ which is universal among functors to a triangulated category which are homotopy invariant, M_X -stable, hermitian stable, and satisfy excision for those $*$ -algebra extensions which are semi-split with respect to F in the sense explained above (Proposition 6.2.8). The category kk^h is also equipped with a tensor product of homomorphisms

$$(1.10) \quad \otimes : kk^h(A_1, A_2) \times kk^h(B_1, B_2) \rightarrow kk^h(A_1 \otimes_\ell B_1, A_2 \otimes_\ell B_2),$$

defined whenever $A_1 \otimes_\ell$ and $A_2 \otimes_\ell$ (or $\otimes_\ell B_1$ and $\otimes_\ell B_2$) preserve semi-split extensions (Lemma 6.2.13). In Section 7 we consider, for a pair of split $*$ -algebra monomorphisms $C \rightarrow A$ and $C \rightarrow B$, the coproduct $A \coprod_C B$ and the amalgamated sum $A \oplus_C B$. There is an obvious quotient map $\pi : A \coprod_C B \rightarrow A \oplus_C B$ and we show in Proposition 7.1 that $j^h(\pi)$ is an isomorphism. The proof follows the lines of the analogue result for $j : \text{Alg}_\ell \rightarrow kk$ proved in [6, Theorem 7.1.1], except that there are certain homotopies that need to be adapted to preserve the involutions; for this we use the technical Lemma 5.4 mentioned above. Section 8 uses the results of the previous sections and the argument of [6, Theorem 8.2.1] to establish the isomorphism (1.2). Under this isomorphism, the product defined in Section 4 for KH^h is the particular case of (1.10) when $A_1 = B_1 = \ell$. The functors in (1.3) are the subject of Section 9. We prove in Proposition 9.2 that they are both right and left adjoint to each other. The $*$ -algebras U and V are introduced in Section 10. They are kk^h -isomorphic to a desuspension of similar algebras $U'\ell$ and $V'\ell$ introduced by Karoubi in [10] (see Remark 10.1). The main result of this section is Lemma 10.8, which, using the adjunction established in the previous one, establishes an isomorphism

$$(1.11) \quad j^h(UV)[-1] \cong j^h(\ell).$$

Here $UV = U \otimes_\ell V$. Using (1.10) we obtain that the functors $U \otimes_\ell -$ and $V \otimes_\ell - : kk^h \rightarrow kk^h$ are category equivalences, and that the suspension of each is inverse to the other. Theorem 1.6 is proved in Section 11, as Corollary 11.4. The section starts by recalling an equivalent form of Karoubi's theorem that says that the cup-product with a certain element $\theta_0 \in K_2^h(-{}_1M_2(U'^2))$ induces an isomorphism

$$\theta_0 \star - : K_*^h(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{*+2}^h(-{}_1M_2U'^2R)$$

for every unital $*$ -algebra R . We use Karoubi's result together with the results of the previous sections to show that the composite $\theta \in kk^h(\ell, -{}_1M_2U'^2)$ of the image of θ_0 under the comparison map with the isomorphism $j^h(-{}_1M_2U'^2)[2] \cong j^h(-{}_1M_2U'^2)$ is an isomorphism (Theorem 11.3). Applying (1.11), we use the latter theorem to deduce Theorem 1.6 in Corollary 11.4. The 12-term exact sequence of Theorem 1.9 is established in Section 12, (Remark 12.4) as a consequence of

Theorem 12.2. The latter combines Karoubi's argument for the proof of his 12-term exact sequence [10, Théorème 4.3] with properties of the functors Λ , U and V established in Sections 9 and 10.

Acknowledgements. The first named author wishes to thank Max Karoubi, Marco Schlichting and Chuck Weibel for useful e-mail exchanges.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Rings, algebras and involutions. Fix a commutative, unital ring ℓ and an involutive automorphism

$$* : \ell \rightarrow \ell, \quad x \mapsto x^*.$$

A unitary ℓ -bimodule M is called *symmetric* if $\lambda x = x\lambda$ holds for every $\lambda \in \ell$ and $x \in M$. By an *algebra* over ℓ we understand a symmetric bimodule A together with an associative, ℓ -linear multiplication $A \otimes_\ell A \rightarrow A$. An *involution* in an algebra A is an involutive ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow A^{\text{op}}$ that is semilinear with respect to the ℓ -module action; $(\lambda a)^* = \lambda^* a^*$ for $\lambda \in \ell$ and $a \in A$. A **-algebra* is an ℓ -algebra equipped with an involution; for $\ell = \mathbb{Z}$ we use the term **-ring*. A **-ideal* in a *-algebra A is a two-sided ideal closed under both the ℓ -bimodule structure and the involution. We write Alg_ℓ for the category of ℓ -algebras and ℓ -algebra homomorphisms and Alg_ℓ^* for the subcategory of *-algebras and involution preserving homomorphisms; we set $\text{Ring} = \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\text{Ring}^* = \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$. If A, B are *-algebras then $A \otimes_\ell B$ is again a *-algebra with involution $(a \otimes b)^* = a^* \otimes b^*$. If L is a *-algebra and $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, we shall often write LA for $L \otimes_\ell A$.

Example 2.1.1. Let A be a ring; write $\text{inv}(A)$ for $A \oplus A^{\text{op}}$ equipped with the involution $(a, b)^* = (b, a)$. If ℓ is a commutative ring, then $\text{inv} : \text{Alg}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{inv}(\ell)}^*$, $A \mapsto \text{inv}(A)$ is a category equivalence, with inverse $B \mapsto (1, 0)B$.

Examples 2.1.2 (Polynomial and matrix rings). The identity map of any commutative ring is an involution, the *trivial* involution. We shall regard the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ as a ring with trivial involution and $\ell[t]$ with the tensor product involution. For $\lambda \in \ell$, write

$$\text{ev}_\lambda : \ell[t] \rightarrow \ell, \quad f \mapsto f(\lambda)$$

for the evaluation map. Put

$$P = \text{Ker ev}_0, \quad \Omega = \text{Ker ev}_0 \cap \text{Ker ev}_1.$$

We equip the ring $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials with the involution that interchanges t and t^{-1} , and $\ell[t, t^{-1}]$ with the tensor product involution. For any set X we put

$$\Gamma_X = \{a : X \times X \rightarrow \ell : |\text{Im}(a)| < \infty \text{ and } (\exists N)(\forall x \in X) \max\{|\text{supp}(a(x, -))|, |\text{supp}(a(-, x))|\} < N\}.$$

Pointwise addition, the convolution or matricial product and transpose involution, given by $(ab)(x, y) = \sum_z a(x, z)b(z, y)$ and $a^*(x, y) = a(y, x)^*$ respectively, together make Γ_X into a *-algebra. This structure is inherited by the *-ideal $M_X \triangleleft \Gamma_X$ of finitely supported functions and by the quotient $\Sigma_X = \Gamma_X/M_X$. If $|X| = n < \infty$, then $M_X = \Gamma_X$ is *-isomorphic to M_n with the transpose involution. When $|X| = \aleph_0$, Γ_X is isomorphic to Karoubi's cone [13]. We write M_∞ , Γ and Σ for $M_{\mathbb{N}}$, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$. If ℓ and X are as above and $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, we write $A[t]$, PA , ΩA , $A[t, t^{-1}]$, $\Gamma_X A$, $M_X A$ and $\Sigma_X A$, for the tensor products of A with $\ell[t]$, P , Ω , $\ell[t, t^{-1}]$, Γ_X , M_X and Σ_X , equipped with the tensor product involution.

Example 2.1.3 (Functions on a simplicial set). For $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and $n \geq 0$, we regard the polynomial algebra in n -variables with coefficients in A as a $*$ -algebra via the isomorphism $A[t_1, \dots, t_n] = A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[t_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Z}[t_n]$. In particular, for $n \geq 0$ $\mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^n} = \mathbb{Z}[t_0, \dots, t_n] / \langle t_0 + \cdots + t_n - 1 \rangle$ is a $*$ -ring and $A^{\Delta^n} = A \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^n}$ is a $*$ -algebra. Thus we have a simplicial $*$ -algebra

$$(2.1.4) \quad A^\Delta : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell^*, \quad [n] \mapsto A^{\Delta^n}.$$

Write \mathfrak{S} for the category of simplicial sets, and $\text{map}_\mathfrak{S}$ for $\text{hom}_\mathfrak{S}$. If X is a simplicial set and B is a simplicial $*$ -algebra, then $\text{map}_\mathfrak{S}(X, B)$ is a $*$ -algebra. Following [6], if $X \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ we put

$$(2.1.5) \quad A^X = \text{map}_\mathfrak{S}(X, A^\Delta).$$

Let (X, x) be a pointed simplicial set, and let $x : pt = \Delta^0 \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion mapping $0 \mapsto x$; write ev_x for the induced $*$ -homomorphism $A^X \rightarrow A^{pt} = A$. Put

$$(2.1.6) \quad A^{(X, x)} = \text{Ker}(A^X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_x} A).$$

Example 2.1.7 (Unitalization). The *unitalization* $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}_\ell$ of a $*$ -algebra A is the ℓ -module $A \oplus \ell$ with the following multiplication and involution:

$$(a, \lambda)(b, \mu) = (ab + \lambda b + a\mu, \lambda\mu), \quad (a, \lambda)^* = (a^*, \lambda^*).$$

Examples 2.1.8 (Hermitian elements and involutions). Let R be a unital $*$ -algebra. An invertible element $\epsilon \in R$ is *unitary* if $\epsilon\epsilon^* = 1$. Let $\epsilon \in R$ be a central unitary; an element $\Phi \in R$ is ϵ -hermitian if $\Phi^* = \epsilon\Phi$. If Φ is ϵ -hermitian and invertible then

$$(2.1.9) \quad R \rightarrow R, \quad a \mapsto a^\Phi := (\Phi)^{-1}a^*\Phi$$

is an involution. We write R^Φ for R with the involution (2.1.9). If $u \in R$ is invertible and $\Psi = u^*\Phi u$, then Ψ is ϵ -hermitian again and the inner automorphism $\text{ad}(u) : R^\Psi \rightarrow R^\Phi$, $x \mapsto u x u^{-1}$ is a $*$ -isomorphism. If S is another $*$ -algebra and Ψ is μ -hermitian, then $\Phi \otimes \Psi$ is $\epsilon \otimes \mu$ -hermitian and

$$(2.1.10) \quad (R \otimes_\ell S)^{\Phi \otimes \Psi} = R^\Phi \otimes_\ell S^\Psi.$$

Let $\epsilon \in \ell$ be a central unitary. Consider the following element of M_2

$$h_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To abbreviate notation, we write ${}_\epsilon M_2$ for $M_2^{h_\epsilon} \ell$. It follows from (2.1.10) that we have isomorphisms

$$(2.1.11) \quad {}_1 M_2({}_\epsilon M_2) \cong {}_\epsilon M_2 M_2$$

Let X be a set; put ${}_\epsilon M_{2X} = {}_\epsilon M_2 M_X$. Assume that X is infinite. Using (2.1.11) and a bijection $\{1, 2\} \times X \xrightarrow{\cong} X$, we obtain $*$ -isomorphisms

$$(2.1.12) \quad {}_1 M_{2X}({}_\epsilon M_2) \cong {}_1 M_2({}_\epsilon M_2) M_X \cong {}_\epsilon M_2 M_2 M_X \cong {}_\epsilon M_2 M_X = {}_\epsilon M_{2X}.$$

Example 2.1.13. Let ℓ_0 be a commutative ring and R_0 a unital ℓ_0 -algebra. As in Example 2.1.1, consider the $\ell = \text{inv}(\ell_0)$ - $*$ -algebra $R = \text{inv}(R_0)$. An element $\epsilon \in R$ is a central unitary if and only if $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0^{-1})$ for some central invertible element $\epsilon_0 \in R_0$. Any ϵ -hermitian element is of the form $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \epsilon_0 \Phi_0) = (\Phi_0, 1)(1, \epsilon_0)(\Phi_0, 1)^*$. It follows that $R^\Phi \cong R$.

In the article we will often assume that ℓ satisfies the following.

λ -assumption 2.1.14. ℓ contains an element λ such that

$$(2.1.15) \quad \lambda + \lambda^* = 1.$$

Example 2.1.16. Hypothesis 2.1.15 is satisfied, for example, if 2 is invertible in ℓ , and also if $\ell = \text{inv}(\ell_0)$ for some commutative ring ℓ_0 .

Consider the following element of M_2

$$h_{\pm} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For brevity, we write $M_{\pm} = M_2^{h_{\pm}}$ and $M_{\pm}^j = M_{\pm}^{\otimes_{\ell} j}$. Let $\epsilon \in \ell$ be a unitary and $\Phi \in R$ an invertible ϵ -hermitian element. If λ is as in (2.1.15), then the element

$$(2.1.17) \quad u = u_{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda \Phi^* & -\lambda^* \Phi^* \end{bmatrix} \in M_2 R$$

satisfies $u^*(h_{\epsilon} \otimes 1)u = h_{\pm} \otimes \Phi$. Hence we have a $*$ -isomorphism

$$(2.1.18) \quad \text{ad}(u) : M_{\pm} R^{\Phi} \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{\epsilon} M_2 R.$$

In particular,

$$(2.1.19) \quad M_{\pm} \cong {}_1 M_2.$$

If $A \triangleleft R$ is a $*$ -ideal for the involution $x \mapsto x^*$, then it is also an ideal for the involution (2.1.9); we write A^{Φ} for A equipped with the latter involution. The isomorphism (2.1.18) holds with A substituted for R .

2.2. Extensions. An *extension* of $*$ -algebras is a sequence

$$(2.2.1) \quad A \rightharpoonup^i B \twoheadrightarrow^p C$$

such that p is surjective and i is a kernel of p . The extension (2.2.1) is *split* if there is a $*$ -homomorphism $C \rightarrow B$ which is a section of p .

Examples 2.2.2. Let $A \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$ and X a set. Then

$$(2.2.3) \quad PA \rightharpoonup A[t] \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} A$$

$$(2.2.4) \quad \Omega A \rightharpoonup PA \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1} A$$

$$(2.2.5) \quad M_X A \rightharpoonup \Gamma_X A \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_X A$$

are extensions. Remark that (2.2.3) is split by the inclusion $A \subset A[t]$, which is a $*$ -homomorphism. The map $A \rightarrow PA$, $a \mapsto at$ is an involution-preserving, ℓ -linear splitting of (2.2.4). By [6, first paragraph of page 92], (2.2.5) admits an ℓ -linear splitting.

2.3. Underlying data and semisplit extensions. A $*$ -algebra may be viewed as an ℓ -module, an ℓ -module with involution, or a set (with involution). Each of these 4 choices of underlying data leads to an *underlying category* \mathfrak{U} and a forgetful functor $F : \text{Alg}_{\ell}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ which admits a left adjoint $T' : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\ell}$. In what follows, we shall fix a choice of \mathfrak{U} , F and T' . We say that a surjective homomorphism f of $*$ -algebras is *semi-split* if $F(f)$ admits a right inverse in \mathfrak{U} . An extension (2.2.1) is *semi-split* if p is. If ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14, then every map in \mathfrak{U} which is a section of a $*$ -algebra homomorphism can be averaged to a involution preserving section. Thus under assumption 2.1.14, a linearly split extension is semisplit for any of the aforementioned choices of underlying data.

2.4. Stability. Let $G_1, G_2 : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ be functors and $\iota : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ a natural transformation. Let \mathfrak{C} be a category, $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ a functor, and $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$. We say that H is ι -stable on A if the natural map $H(\iota_A) : H(G_1(A)) \rightarrow H(G_2(A))$ is an isomorphism. We say that H is ι -stable if it is so on every $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$.

We say that H is *homotopy invariant* if it is stable with respect to the canonical inclusion $\text{inc} : A \rightarrow A[t]$. We say that $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ is H -regular if H is inc -stable on $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ for every $n \geq 0$. Let X be a set; if $x, y \in X$, write $E_{x,y}$ for the matrix unit.

$$\iota_x : A \rightarrow M_X A, \quad a \mapsto E_{x,x} \otimes a.$$

Lemma 2.4.1. *Let X be a set, $x, y \in X$, \mathfrak{C} a category, and $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ a functor. If H is i_x -stable on A and on $M_X A$, then $H(i_x) = H(i_y)$. In particular, H is i_y -stable on A .*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of [4, Lemma 2.2.4]. One shows that there are permutation matrices σ_2 and σ_3 in $M_{X \times X} = M_X \otimes_\ell M_X$ of orders 2 and 3, respectively, both of which conjugate $(i_x \otimes_\ell M_X)i_x$ into $(i_y \otimes_\ell M_X)i_y$. Observe that permutation matrices are unitary, so they induce $*$ -automorphisms. \square

In view of Lemma 2.4.1, one says that a functor is M_X -stable to mean that it is i_x -stable for some (and then any) element $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.4.2. *Let X be a set with at least two elements. Let $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be an M_X -stable functor, $A \subset B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and $u \in B$ such that*

$$uA, Au^* \subset A, \quad au^*ua' = aa' \quad (a, a' \in A).$$

*Assume that H is M_X -stable on both A and $M_X A$. Then $\text{ad}(u) : A \rightarrow A$, $\text{ad}(u)(a) = u^*au$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, and $H(\text{ad}(u)) = \text{id}_{H(A)}$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2.4.1 and the argument of [4, Proposition 2.2.6] \square

Lemma 2.4.3. *Let X be as in Lemma 2.4.2 and let Y be a set with $|Y| > |X|$. Then any M_Y -stable functor $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is also M_X -stable.*

Proof. We may assume that $X \subset Y$; write $\text{inc} : M_X \subset M_Y$ for the canonical inclusion. Let $x \in X$ and $\iota = \iota_x$. Because H is M_Y -stable, $H(\text{inc} \circ \iota)$ is an isomorphism. Hence $H(\iota)$ is a split monomorphism and $H(\text{inc})$ is a split epimorphism. Let $\tau : M_X \otimes_\ell M_Y \xrightarrow{\cong} M_Y \otimes_\ell M_X$, $\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. We have $\tau \circ (\iota \otimes M_Y) \circ \text{inc} = \text{inc} \otimes \iota$. Let $\sigma : Y \times X \rightarrow Y \times X$ be any bijection which restricts to coordinate permutation on $X \times \{x\}$; also write σ for the corresponding permutation matrix in $M_{Y \times X} = M_Y \otimes_\ell M_X$. Then $\text{ad}(\sigma) \circ (\text{inc} \otimes \iota) = \iota \otimes M_X$. Hence $H(\text{inc} \otimes \iota)$ is an isomorphism, and thus $H(\text{inc})$ is a split monomorphism. This finishes the proof. \square

Let $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be a functor and $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$. We say that H is *hermitian stable* on A if for every $*$ -ideal embedding $A \triangleleft R$ into a unital $*$ -algebra R , every central unitary $\epsilon \in R$, and any two ϵ -hermitian invertible elements $\Phi, \Psi \in R$, H sends the upper left hand corner inclusion

$$\iota^\Phi : A^\Phi \rightarrow (M_2 A)^{\Phi \oplus \Psi}$$

to an isomorphism.

Proposition 2.4.4. *Assume that ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14. Let $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be a functor and let $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$. If H is M_2 -stable on ${}_\epsilon M_2 A$ for every $*$ -ideal embedding $A \triangleleft R$ and every central unitary element $\epsilon \in R$, then $H \circ M_\pm$ is hermitian stable on A .*

Proof. The isomorphisms $M_{\pm}A^{\Phi} \cong {}_{\epsilon}M_2A$ and $M_{\pm}(M_2A)^{\Phi \oplus \Psi} \cong {}_{\epsilon}M_2M_2A$ of (2.1.18) and the permutation isomorphism ${}_{\epsilon}M_2M_2 \cong M_2({}_{\epsilon}M_2)$ fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_{\pm}A^{\Phi} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & {}_{\epsilon}M_2A & & \\ \downarrow M_{\pm}\iota_{\Phi} & & \downarrow {}_{\epsilon}M_2\iota_1 & \searrow \iota_1 & \\ M_{\pm}(M_2A)^{\Phi \oplus \Psi} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & {}_{\epsilon}M_2M_2A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_2({}_{\epsilon}M_2A) \end{array}$$

The proposition is now immediate. \square

3. HERMITIAN K -THEORIES

Let A be a $*$ -ring; write

$$\mathcal{U}(A) = \{x \in A \mid xx^* = x^*x, \ x + x^* + xx^* = 0\}.$$

Observe $\mathcal{U}(A)$ is a group under the operation

$$x \cdot y = x + y + xy.$$

If A is unital then $\mathcal{U}(A)$ is isomorphic to the group of unitary elements of A via the map $a \mapsto 1 + a$.

Let $A \triangleleft R$ be a $*$ -ideal embedding into a unital $*$ -algebra R and let $\epsilon \in R$ be a central unitary. Put

$${}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{O}(A) = \mathcal{U}({}_{\epsilon}M_2M_{\infty}A).$$

By (2.1.12) we have a group isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{O}(A) \cong {}_1\mathcal{O}({}_{\epsilon}M_2A).$$

The ϵ -hermitian K -theory groups of a unital $*$ -ring A are the stable homotopy groups of a spectrum ${}_{\epsilon}K^hA = \{{}_{\epsilon}K^hA_n\}$ whose n -th space is ${}_{\epsilon}K^hA_n = \Omega B_{\epsilon}\mathcal{O}(\Sigma^{n+1}A)^+$, the loop space of the $+$ -construction ([14, Section 3.1.6]). As usual we also write

$${}_{\epsilon}K_n^h(A) = \pi_n({}_{\epsilon}K^hA), \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

for the n -th stable homotopy group. When $\epsilon = 1$ we drop it from the notation. For a nonunital $*$ -ring A , we put

$${}_{\pm 1}K_n^h(A) = \text{Ker}({}_{\pm 1}K_n^h(\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow {}_{\pm 1}K_n^h(\mathbb{Z})).$$

If A is unital, these groups agree with those defined above since hermitian K -theory of unital $*$ -rings is additive. Recall that a ring A is K -excisive if for any embedding $A \triangleleft R$ as an ideal of a unital ring R and every unital homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ mapping A isomorphically onto an ideal of S , the map of relative K -theory spectra $K(R : A) \rightarrow K(S : A)$ is an equivalence. The definition of $K^h = {}_1K^h$ -excisive $*$ -ring is analogous. Now assume that A is a K -excisive ring that is a $*$ -algebra over some commutative ring ℓ with involution that satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14 and let $A \triangleleft R$ be a $*$ -ideal embedding into a unital $*$ -algebra. Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a unital $*$ -algebra homomorphism mapping A isomorphically onto a $*$ -ideal of S . Let $\epsilon \in \ell$ be a central unitary. It follows straightforwardly from Karoubi's fundamental theorem [10, Théorème] (see [2, Corollary 3.5.1]), that the map ${}_{\epsilon}K^h(R : A) \rightarrow {}_{\epsilon}K^h(S : A)$ is an equivalence. In particular, if A is K -excisive then it is also K^h -excisive. Taking all this into account, and assuming that ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14, we set, for any K -excisive $A \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$, any unitary $\epsilon \in \ell$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(3.2) \quad {}_{\epsilon}K_n^h(A) = \text{Ker}({}_{\epsilon}K_n^h(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow {}_{\epsilon}K_n^h(\ell)).$$

For $n \leq 0$ and not necessarily K -excisive A , we take (3.2) as a definition. The nonpositive hermitian K -groups agree with Bass' quadratic K -groups [1] for the

maximum form parameter. In particular, by [1, Chapter III, Theorem 1.1], hermitian K -theory as defined above satisfies excision in nonpositive dimensions.

Remark 3.3 (K_0^h and quasi-homomorphisms). For unital $R \in \text{Ring}^*$, K_0^h is the group completion of the monoid $\mathcal{V}_\infty^h(R)$ of unitary conjugacy classes of self-adjoint idempotents in ${}_1M_2M_\infty R$. We may thus regard elements of $K_0^h(R)$ as differences of classes of $*$ -homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow {}_1M_2M_\infty R$; this can be formalized in terms of quasi-homomorphisms. Let A, B, C be $*$ -rings such that $B \triangleleft C$. A *quasi-homomorphism* from $A \rightarrow B$ through C , $(f_+, f_-) : A \rightrightarrows C \triangleright B$, consists of two $*$ -homomorphisms $f_+, f_- : A \rightarrow C$ such that $f_+(a) - f_-(a) \in B$ for all $a \in A$. Thus an element of $K_0^h(R)$ is a class of quasi-homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightrightarrows {}_1M_2M_\infty R \triangleright {}_1M_2M_\infty R$. Moreover it follows from (3.2) that if A is any $*$ -ring then any element of $K_0^h(A)$ is represented by a quasi-homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightrightarrows {}_1M_2M_\infty \tilde{A} \triangleright {}_1M_2M_\infty A$. If $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ then the same holds with ℓ substituted for \mathbb{Z} and ℓ -linear, $*$ -quasi-homomorphisms.

For any, not necessarily unital $*$ -ring A , and $\epsilon = \pm 1$, Karoubi and Villamayor also introduce hermitian K -groups for $n \geq 1$. They agree with the homotopy groups of the simplicial group ${}_\epsilon O(A^\Delta)$ up to a degree shift

$${}_\epsilon K V_n^h(A) = \pi_{n-1} {}_\epsilon O(A^\Delta) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The argument of [4, Proposition 10.2.1] shows that the definition above is equivalent to that given in [13]; we have

$${}_\epsilon K V_{n+1}^h(A) = {}_\epsilon K V_1^h(\Omega^n A) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Similarly, if A is unital, for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad {}_\epsilon K V_n^h(A) = \pi_n B_\epsilon O(A^\Delta) = \pi_n B_\epsilon O(A^\Delta)^+ = \pi_n \Omega B_\epsilon O(\Sigma A^\Delta)^+.$$

Applying ${}_\epsilon K_n^h$ to the path extension (2.2.4) and using excision, we obtain a natural map

$${}_\epsilon K_n^h(A) \rightarrow {}_\epsilon K_{n-1}^h(\Omega A) \quad (n \leq 0).$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the n^{th} homotopy ϵ -hermitian K -theory group of A is

$${}_\epsilon K H_n^h(A) = \text{colim}_m {}_\epsilon K_{-m}^h(\Omega^{m+n} A).$$

One can also describe ${}_\epsilon K H_n^h$ in terms of ${}_\epsilon K V^h$; by [13, Théorème 4.1], ${}_\epsilon K V^h$ satisfies excision for the cone extension (2.2.5). Hence we have a map

$$(3.5) \quad {}_\epsilon K V_n^h(A) \rightarrow {}_\epsilon K V_{n+1}^h(\Sigma A).$$

The argument of [6, Proposition 8.1.1] shows that

$$(3.6) \quad {}_\epsilon K H_n^h(A) = \text{colim}_m {}_\epsilon K V_{n+m}^h(\Sigma^m A).$$

Now assume that A is unital; let ${}_\epsilon K H(A)$ be the total spectrum of the simplicial spectrum ${}_\epsilon K^h(A^\Delta)$. We have

$$\pi_n({}_\epsilon K H^h(A)) = \text{colim}_m \pi_{n+m} \Omega B_\epsilon O(\Sigma^m A^\Delta)^+ = \text{colim}_n {}_\epsilon K V_{n+m}^h(\Sigma^m A) = {}_\epsilon K H_n^h(A).$$

Lemma 3.7. *Homotopy hermitian K -theory is homotopy invariant, matricially stable and satisfies excision.*

Proof. The same argument as in [4, Theorem 5.1.1] shows this. \square

In the proof of the following lemma we use an argument communicated to the first author by Max Karoubi.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that 2 is invertible in ℓ . Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ be K_n -regular.*

- i) *If either $n \leq 0$ or A is K -excisive, then A is K_n^h -regular and $K_m^h(A) \rightarrow K H_m^h(A)$ is an isomorphism for $m \leq n$.*

- ii) If $n = 0$ then $KV_m^h A \rightarrow KH_m^h A$ is an isomorphism for all $m \geq 1$.
 iii) If $n \geq 1$ and A is K -excisive, then $KV_{n+1}^h(A) \rightarrow KH_{n+1}^h(A)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. First assume that A is unital. Consider Karoubi's 12-term exact sequence [10, Théorème 4.3]. Because 2 is invertible in ℓ , by [15, Corollary 3.3], A is regular with respect to the functors k_* and k'_* of Karoubi's sequence. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\epsilon \in \ell$ be unitary. If either $n \leq 0$ or A is K^h -excisive, then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$${}_{\epsilon}K_n^h(A) \cong K_n^h({}_{\epsilon}M_2A).$$

Moreover for all $A \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$ we have canonical isomorphisms

$${}_{\epsilon}KV_n^h(A) \cong KV_n^h({}_{\epsilon}M_2A) \ (n \geq 1) \text{ and } {}_{\epsilon}KH_n^h(A) \cong KH_n^h({}_{\epsilon}M_2A) \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. The isomorphism (3.1) comes from (2.1.12), which is canonical up to the choices of an element $\lambda \in \ell$ in the λ -assumption 2.1.14 and a bijection $\{1, 2\} \times X \rightarrow X$. By [14, Lemme 1.2.7], if A is unital, then varying those choices has no effect on the homotopy type of the induced isomorphism $B({}_{\epsilon}O(A))^+ \cong B({}_1O({}_{\epsilon}M_2A))^+$. Applying this to $\Sigma^r A^{\Delta^m}$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 0$), we obtain the statement of the lemma for unital A . The nonunital case follows from the unital one using split-exactness. \square

4. HOMOTOPY HERMITIAN K -THEORY AND CUP PRODUCTS

Hermitian K -theory of unital $*$ -rings is equipped with products [14, Chapitre III]. Using that K^h satisfies excision in nonpositive dimensions we obtain, for $R, A \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$ with R unital, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \leq 0$, a natural product

$$(4.1) \quad K_m^h(R) \otimes K_n^h(A) \xrightarrow{*} K_{m+n}^h(R \otimes_{\ell} A).$$

If moreover $m \leq 0$, we also obtain the product above for not necessarily unital R .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $R, S \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$ be unital and let $I \triangleleft S$ be a $*$ -ideal. Assume that the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow R \otimes_{\ell} I \rightarrow R \otimes_{\ell} S \rightarrow R \otimes_{\ell} (S/I) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Let ∂ be the index map. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0^h(R) \otimes K_1^h(S/I) & \xrightarrow{*} & K_1^h(R \otimes_{\ell} (S/I)) \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \partial & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_0^h(R) \otimes K_0^h(I) & \xrightarrow{*} & K_0^h(R \otimes_{\ell} I). \end{array}$$

Proof. Because R is unital, we may regard $K_0^h(R)$ as the group completion of the monoid $\mathcal{V}_{\infty}^h(R)$ as in Remark 3.3. If $g, p \in {}_1M_2M_n(S/I)$ are a unitary and a self-adjoint idempotent, and $\mathbb{1}_n \in {}_1M_2M_n$ is the identity matrix, then $[g] \star [p] = [g \otimes p + \mathbb{1}_n \otimes (\mathbb{1}_n - p)]$. For a lift $h \in \mathcal{U}({}_1M_2M_{2n}S)$ of $g \oplus g^{-1}$, the index map sends $[g]$ to $\partial[g] = [h\mathbb{1}_n h^{-1}] - [\mathbb{1}_n]$; choosing the lift $h \otimes p + \mathbb{1}_{2n} \otimes (\mathbb{1}_{2n} - (p \oplus p))$, we obtain $\partial([g] \star [p]) = \partial[g] \star [p]$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \leq 0$ and $R, A \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$ with R unital. Let ∂ be the connecting map associated to the path extension (2.2.4). Assume that $\max\{n, m+n\} \leq 0$. Then the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_m^h(R) \otimes K_n^h(A) & \xrightarrow{*} & K_{m+n}^h(R \otimes_{\ell} A) \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \partial & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_m^h(R) \otimes K_{n-1}^h(\Omega A) & \xrightarrow{*} & K_{m+n-1}^h(R \otimes_{\ell} \Omega A) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $j_2 : \ell \rightarrow \ell \oplus \ell$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1} & \ell \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{inc} & & \downarrow j_2 \\ \Omega & \longrightarrow & \ell[t] & \xrightarrow{(\text{ev}_0, \text{ev}_1)} & \ell \oplus \ell \end{array}$$

Let $i \leq 0$. Applying Lemma 4.2 with $S = \Sigma[t]$, $I = \Sigma\Omega$ and $R = \Sigma^{-i}\tilde{A}$, and using naturality and excision, we obtain that the boundary map $\partial : K_i^h(A) \rightarrow K_{i-1}^h(\Omega A)$ is the cup product with $\partial([1]) \in K_{-1}^h(\Omega)$. The proof now follows from associativity of \star . \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let $R, A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ with R unital and let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

i) *There is an associative product*

$$\star : K_m^h(R) \otimes KH_n^h(A) \rightarrow KH_{m+n}^h(R \otimes_\ell A).$$

ii) *Let $c_* : K_*^h(R) \rightarrow KH_*^h(R)$ be the comparison map. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi \in K_m^h(R)$, $c_m(\xi) = \xi \star c_0([1])$.*

Proof. Part i) is immediate from Lemma 4.3 upon taking colimits. For $m \leq 0$, part ii) is clear from the construction of \star and the definition of KH^h . For $m \geq 1$, c_m factors as the comparison map $c'_m : K_m^h(R) \rightarrow KV_m^h(R)$ followed by the iterated boundary map $KV_m^h(R) \rightarrow KV_1^h(\Omega^{m-1}R) \rightarrow K_0^h(\Omega^m R)$ followed by the canonical map $K_0^h(\Omega^m R) \rightarrow KH_0^h(R)$, which is the transfinite composition of $-\star \partial[1]$. So part ii) follows from the fact that $c'(x \star y) = c'(x) \star y$ for all $x \in K_*^h(R)$ and $y \in K_{-1}^h(\Omega)$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $A, B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (4.1) induces an associative product*

$$KH_m^h(A) \otimes KH_n^h(B) \xrightarrow{\star} KH_{m+n}^h(A \otimes_\ell B).$$

If $m \leq 0$ or A is unital, then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KH_m^h(A) \otimes KH_n^h(B) & \xrightarrow{\star} & KH_{m+n}^h(B) \\ \downarrow c_m \otimes 1 & \nearrow \star & \\ KH_m^h(A) \otimes KH_n^h(B) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. As observed above, the boundary map $\partial : K_*^h \rightarrow K_{*-1}^h \circ \Omega$ is the cup product with $\partial[1] \in K_{-1}^h(\Omega)$. It follows that the following diagram commutes for all $r \leq 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_r^h(A) \otimes K_r^h(B) & \xrightarrow{\star} & K_{2r}^h(A \otimes_\ell B) \\ \downarrow \partial \otimes \partial & & \downarrow \partial^2 \\ K_{r-1}^h(\Omega A) \otimes K_{r-1}^h(\Omega B) & \xrightarrow{\star} & K_{2r-2}^h(\Omega^2 A \otimes_\ell B). \end{array}$$

Taking colimit along the columns we get the desired product map for $r = s = 0$. The general case is obtained from the latter applying the suspension and loop functors as many times as appropriate. Commutativity of the diagram of the Lemma follows from Corollary 4.4. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then ${}_\epsilon KH_n^h(A)$ is a $KH_0^h(\ell)$ -module.*

5. STABILIZATION AND HOMOTOPY

Standing assumption 5.1. From now on we shall assume that ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14.

An Ind-object in a category \mathfrak{C} is a pair (C, I) consisting of an upward filtered poset I and a functor $C : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. The Ind-objects of \mathfrak{C} form a category $\text{Ind} - \mathfrak{C}$ where homomorphisms are given by

$$\text{hom}_{\text{Ind} - \mathfrak{C}}((C, I), (D, J)) = \lim_i \text{colim}_j \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(C_i, D_j).$$

Any functor $F : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ extends to $\text{Ind} - \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \text{Ind} - \mathfrak{D}$ by applying it indexwise; $F(C)_i = F(C_i)$. We shall also use the dual concept of a *pro-object* in a category; $\text{Pro} - \mathfrak{C} = (\text{Ind} - (\mathfrak{C})^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$; if X is finite, then $A^X = A \otimes \mathbb{Z}^X$, by [6, Proposition 3.1.3]. $\text{sd} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ (see [9]), one obtains a pro-simplicial set $\text{sd}^\bullet X = \{\text{sd}^n X\}$, and thus an Ind- $*$ -algebra $A^{\text{sd}^\bullet X}$. For $S^1 = \Delta^1 / \partial \Delta^1$ we write

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{P}A = A^{\text{sd}^\bullet \Delta^1}, \quad A^{\mathbb{S}^1} = A^{\text{sd}^\bullet(S^1, pt)}, \quad A^{\mathbb{S}^{n+1}} = A^{\mathbb{S}^n} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{S}^1} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Observe that the two endpoint inclusions $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$ induce inclusions $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \text{sd}^\bullet(\Delta^1)$ and evaluation maps $\text{ev}_i : A^{\text{sd}^\bullet \Delta^1} \rightarrow A$, $i = 0, 1$. Two $*$ -algebra homomorphisms $f_0, f_1 : A \rightarrow B$ are **-homotopic* if there is a homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B^{\text{sd}^\bullet \Delta^1}$ in $\text{Ind} - \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ such that $\text{ev}_i H = f_i$ for $i = 0, 1$; **-homotopy* is an equivalence relation, compatible with composition. We write $[A, B]^*$ for the set of homotopy classes of $*$ -homomorphisms and $[\text{Alg}_\ell^*]$ for the category with the same objects as Alg_ℓ^* with $\text{hom}_{[\text{Alg}_\ell^*]}(A, B) = [A, B]^*$. If $A, B \in \text{Ind} - \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, we write $[A, B]^* = \text{hom}_{\text{Ind} - [\text{Alg}_\ell^*]}(A, B)$.

Let $C \in \text{Alg}_\ell$ and let $A, B \subset C$ be subalgebras. If $u, v \in C$ satisfy $uAv \subset B$ and $avua' = aa'$ for all $a, a' \in A$, then

$$(5.3) \quad \text{ad}(u, v) : A \rightarrow B, \quad a \mapsto uav$$

is an algebra homomorphism. In this situation, we say that the pair (u, v) *multiplies* A into B . Let $(u_i, v_i) \in C^2$ ($i = 0, 1$) be pairs multiplying A into B . A *homotopy* between (u_0, v_0) and (u_1, v_1) is a pair $(u(t), v(t)) \in C[t]^2$ which multiplies A into $B[t]$, and such that $(u(i), v(i)) = (u_i, v_i)$. In this case $\text{ad}(u(t), v(t)) : A \rightarrow B[t]$ is a homomorphism and a homotopy between $\text{ad}(u_0, v_0)$ and $\text{ad}(u_1, v_1)$. Now suppose that C is a $*$ -algebra and that A, B are $*$ -subalgebras; if $u \in C$ and (u, u^*) multiplies A into B , then $\text{ad}(u, u^*)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, and we say that u **-multiplies* A into B . If $v \in C$ is another element with the same property, a **-homotopy* from u to v is an element $w(t) \in C[t]$ **-multiplying* A to $B[t]$ such that $w(0) = u$ and $w(1) = v$. We shall often encounter examples of elements $u_0, u_1 \in C$ which **-multiply* A into B and which are homotopic via a pair (u, v) with $v \neq u^*$ so that the homotopy $\text{ad}(u, v)$ is not a $*$ -homomorphism. We shall see in Lemma 5.4 below that this can be fixed upon stabilization.

Write i_+ and i_- for the upper left and lower right corner inclusions $\ell \rightarrow M_\pm$; both are $*$ -homomorphisms.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $C \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, let $A, B \subset C$ be $*$ -subalgebras and let $u_0, u_1 \in C$ **-multiply* A into B . Let $(v, w) \in C[t]^2$ be a homotopy of multipliers from (u_0, u_0^*) to (u_1, u_1^*) . Assume that*

$$(5.5) \quad w^*Aw \subset B[t] \supset vAv^*.$$

Let $\lambda \in \ell$ be as in (2.1.15). Then the element

$$c(v, w) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^*v + \lambda w^* & \lambda^*(v - w^*) \\ \lambda(v - w^*) & \lambda v + \lambda^*w^* \end{bmatrix}$$

$*$ -multiplies $\iota_+(A)$ into $M_\pm B[t]$, and $\text{ad}(c(v, w), c(v, w)^*) \circ \iota_+$ is a $*$ -homotopy from $\iota_+ \circ \text{ad}(u_0, u_0^*)$ to $\iota_+ \circ \text{ad}(u_1, u_1^*)$.

Proof. One checks that $c(v, w)^* c(v, w) = c(wv, wv)$. Hence if $a, a' \in A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_+(a) c(v, w)^* c(v, w) \iota_+(a') &= \iota_+(a(\lambda^* wv + \lambda(wv)^*) a') \\ &= \iota_+(aa'). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one checks, using (5.5), that $c(v, w) \iota_+(a) c(v, w)^* \subset M_\pm B[t]$. Thus $H = \text{ad}(c(v, w)) \circ \iota_+ : A \rightarrow M_\pm B[t]$ is a $*$ -homomorphism. Moreover for $i = 0, 1$, $\text{ev}_i(c(v, w)) = c(u_i, u_i) = \text{diag}(u_i, u_i)$. Hence $\text{ev}_i \circ H = \iota_+ \text{ad}(u_i, u_i^*)$. \square

Let $p, q \geq 0$ and $n = p + q$. Set

$$\iota_+^{p,q} := M_\pm^p \otimes \iota_+ \otimes M_\pm^q : M_\pm^n \rightarrow M_\pm^{n+1}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let p, q and n be as above, and let $p', q' \geq 0$ be such that $p' + q' = n + 1$. Then $\iota_+^{p',q'} \iota_+^{p,q}$ is $*$ -homotopic to $\iota_+^{0,n+1} \iota_+^{0,n}$.*

Proof. We have $\iota_+^{0,0} = \iota_+$ and $\iota_+^{1,0} \iota_+ = \iota_+^{0,1} \iota_+$. Next observe that, under the identification $\ell^2 \otimes_\ell \ell^2 = \ell^{\{1,2\}^2}$, $\iota_+^{1,0}(e_{i,j}) = e_{(i,1),(j,1)}$ and $\iota_+^{0,1}(e_{i,j}) = e_{(1,i),(1,j)}$. One checks that the matrix

$$u = e_{(1,1),(1,1)} - e_{(1,2),(2,1)} + e_{(2,1),(1,2)} + e_{(2,2),(2,2)}$$

is a unitary element of M_\pm^2 and satisfies $\text{ad}(u) \iota_+^{1,0} = \iota_+^{0,1}$. Moreover by [6, Section 6.4], there exists an invertible element $u(t) \in M_\pm^2$ such that $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$. Hence the composites of $\iota_+^{0,2}$ with $\iota_+^{1,0}$ and $\iota_+^{0,1}$ are $*$ -homotopic by Lemma 5.4. Tensoring on both sides with identity maps, we get that $\iota_+^{p,q+2} \iota_+^{p+1,q} \sim^* \iota_+^{p,q+2} \iota_+^{p,q+1}$. Let $p', q' \geq 0$ such that $p' + q' = n + 1$. Permuting factors in the tensor product $M_\pm^{n+1} = M_\pm^{\otimes n+1}$ we obtain a $*$ -isomorphism $\sigma : M_\pm^{n+1} \rightarrow M_\pm^{n+1}$ such that $\sigma \iota_+^{p,q+2} = \iota_+^{p',q'}$. Hence we have

$$(5.7) \quad \iota_+^{p',q'} \iota_+^{p+1,q} \sim^* \iota_+^{p',q'} \iota_+^{p,q+1}$$

for all p, q, p', q' as above. The lemma follows from (5.7) using the identity

$$\iota_+^{r,s+1} \iota_+^{r,s} = \iota_+^{r+1,s} \iota_+^{r,s}$$

\square

Consider the Ind- $*$ -algebra

$$M_\pm^\bullet = \{\iota_+^{0,n} : M_\pm^n \rightarrow M_\pm^{n+1}\}.$$

Let X be an infinite set. Put

$$\mathcal{M}_X = M_\pm^\bullet M_X.$$

Any bijective map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces an isomorphism

$$f_* : \mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}_Y.$$

Lemma 5.8. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be bijections. Then $[f_*] = [g_*] \in [\mathcal{M}_X, \mathcal{M}_Y]^*$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case when $X = Y$ and $g = \text{id}_X$. The matrix $u = \sum_{x \in X} E_{f(x), x}$ is a unitary element of Γ_X , and f_* is the restriction to M_X of the automorphism $\text{ad}(u) : \Gamma_X \rightarrow \Gamma_X$. We have $\iota_+ \circ \text{ad}(u) = \text{ad}(u \oplus 1) \circ \iota_+$. Using [6, Section 3.4] one obtains a homotopy of multipliers between $\text{ad}(u \oplus 1)$ and $\text{ad}(1 \oplus u)$; hence $\iota_+ \circ \text{ad}(1 \oplus u) \sim^* \iota_+ \circ \text{ad}(u \oplus 1)$, by Lemma 5.4. Thus $\iota_+^2 \text{ad}(u) \sim^* \iota_+^2$. \square

Lemma 5.9. *Let $x, y \in X$. Then $\iota_+ \iota_x \sim^* \iota_+ \iota_y$.*

Proof. If $x = y$, the maps of the lemma are equal, hence homotopic. Assume $x \neq y$; let $X' = X \setminus \{x, y\}$. Let $u = E_{y,x} - E_{x,y} + \sum_{z \in X'} E_{z,z}$; u is a unitary element of Γ_X , and satisfies $\text{ad}(u) \circ \iota_x = \iota_y$. Moreover, by [6, Section 3.4], there is an invertible element $u(t) \in \Gamma_X[t]$ such that $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$. Hence $\iota_+ \iota_x \sim^* \iota_+ \iota_y$, by Lemma 5.4. \square

In view of Lemma 5.9, we shall pick any $x \in X$ and write ι_X , or simply ι , for the homotopy class of ι_x , as well as for that of $\iota_X \otimes_{\ell} \text{id}_A$ ($A \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$). Because we are assuming that X is infinite, there is a bijection $X \coprod X \xrightarrow{\cong} X$; this induces a $*$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_X \oplus \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$; write \boxplus for its Ind- $*$ -homotopy class. By Lemma 5.8, \boxplus is independent of the choice of bijection above. As in [6, Section 4.1] one checks, using the lemmas above, that \mathcal{M}_X equipped with \boxplus and with the class of the zero map, is an abelian monoid in $[\text{Ind} - \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*]$. Similarly, any choice of bijection $X \times X \rightarrow X$ gives rise to the same Ind- $*$ -homotopy class μ of $*$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_X \otimes_{\ell} \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$. Again, one checks that μ is associative with unit the Ind- $*$ -homotopy class of $\iota : \ell \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ and that it distributes over \boxplus . Hence $(\mathcal{M}_X, \boxplus, \mu, 0, [\iota_+])$ is a semi-ring in $[\text{Ind} - \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*]$. Let $A, B \in \text{Ind} - \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$; put

$$(5.10) \quad \{A, B\}_X^* = [A, \mathcal{M}_X B]^*.$$

The monoid operation \boxplus on \mathcal{M}_X induces one on $\{A, B\}_X^*$. One checks that the product μ induces a bilinear, associative composition

$$\begin{aligned} \star : \{B, C\}_X^* \times \{A, B\}_X^* &\rightarrow \{A, C\}_X^* \\ [f] \star [g] &= [\mu \mathcal{M}_X f \circ g]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a category $\{\text{Ind} - \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*\}_X$ with the same objects as $\text{Ind} - \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$, where homomorphisms are given by (5.10) and which is enriched over abelian monoids. Moreover, for $n \geq 1$, $\{A, B^{\otimes n}\}^*$ is an abelian group by [6, Theorem 3.3.2] and the Hilton-Eckmann argument.

There is a canonical functor $\text{can} : [\text{Alg}_{\ell}^*] \rightarrow \{\text{Alg}_{\ell}^*\}_X$, which is the identity on objects and sends the class of a map f to that of ιf .

Lemma 5.11. *The composite functor $\text{can} : \text{Alg}_{\ell}^* \rightarrow [\text{Alg}_{\ell}^*] \rightarrow \{\text{Alg}_{\ell}^*\}_X$ is homotopy invariant, M_X -stable and ι_+ -stable. Moreover any functor $H : \text{Alg}_{\ell}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ which is homotopy invariant M_X -stable and ι_+ -stable, factors uniquely through can .*

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Lemma 5.12. *Let $\epsilon \in \ell$ be a unitary element. Let $R \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$ be a unital $*$ -algebra, let $\Phi, \Psi \in R$ be ϵ -hermitian elements, and let $A \triangleleft R$ be a $*$ -ideal. Then for every infinite set X , the canonical functor $\text{can} : \text{Alg}_{\ell}^* \rightarrow \{\text{Alg}_{\ell}^*\}_X$ maps the canonical inclusion $A^{\Phi} \rightarrow M_2 A^{\Phi \oplus \Psi}$ to an isomorphism.*

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 5.11 and 2.4.3 and Proposition 2.4.4. \square

Example 5.13. Lemma 5.12 applied to $R = \tilde{A}$ and $\Phi = 1$ says that the upper left hand corner inclusion $i : A \rightarrow M_2 A$ is an isomorphism in $\{\text{Alg}_{\ell}^*\}_X$.

Lemma 5.14. *Let $A \triangleleft R$ be as in Lemma 5.12 and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R$ be central elements satisfying (2.1.15). Let*

$$p_i = p_{\lambda_i} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i^* & 1 \\ \lambda_i \lambda_i^* & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

and let $\iota_i : A \rightarrow {}_1 M_2 A$, $\iota_i(a) = p_i a$. Then $\text{can}(\iota_1) = \text{can}(\iota_2)$ is an isomorphism in $\{\text{Alg}_{\ell}^\}_X$.*

Proof. Let $u_i = u_{\lambda_i}$ be as in (2.1.17). Under the isomorphism (2.1.18), ι_i corresponds to ι_+ . Thus $\text{can}(\iota_i)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, since $u = u_2 u_1^{-1} \in {}_1 M_2 R$ is unitary, $\text{can}(\text{ad}(u)) = \text{id}_{{}_1 M_2 A}$ by Lemma 2.4.2, so

$$\text{can}(\iota_2) = \text{can}(\text{ad}(u_2 u_1^{-1})) \text{can}(\iota_1) = \text{can}(\iota_1). \quad \square$$

6. BIVARIANT HERMITIAN K -THEORY

6.1. Extensions and classifying maps. As in Subsection 2.3, let \mathfrak{U} be our fixed underlying category and $F : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ and $T' : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ the forgetful functor and its left adjoint. Put $T = T'F : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell^*$. If $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, write $JA = \text{Ker}(TA \rightarrow A)$ for the kernel of the counit of the adjunction. A sequence of Ind- $*$ algebras (2.2.1) is an extension if p is a cokernel of i and i is a kernel of p ; it is semi-split if $F(p)$ is split. Any semi-split extension (2.2.1) gives rise to a $*$ -homomorphism $JC \rightarrow A$, which is unique up to homotopy [6, Proposition 4.4.1]; its homotopy class, and, by abuse of notation, any map in it, is called the *classifying map* of the extension. We write γ_A and ρ_A for the classifying maps of the extensions

$$(6.1.1) \quad (JA)^{\mathbb{S}^1} \xrightarrow{\quad} (TA)^{\mathbb{S}^1} \twoheadrightarrow A^{\mathbb{S}^1}$$

$$(6.1.2) \quad A^{\mathbb{S}^1} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{P}A \twoheadrightarrow A$$

For $m, n \geq 0$, put also

$$(6.1.3) \quad \gamma_A^{1,n} = (\gamma_A)^{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{A^{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}}^{\mathbb{S}^1} \circ \gamma_{A^{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}} : J(A^{\mathbb{S}^n}) \rightarrow (JA)^{\mathbb{S}^n},$$

$$(6.1.4) \quad \gamma_A^{m,n} = \gamma_{J^{m-1}A}^{1,n} \circ \cdots \circ J^{m-2}(\gamma_{JA}^{1,n}) \circ J^{m-1}(\gamma_A^{1,n}) : J^m(A^{\mathbb{S}^n}) \rightarrow J^m(A)^{\mathbb{S}^n}.$$

In the following Lemma and elsewhere we shall abuse notation and use the same letter for the homotopy class of a map $f : A \rightarrow B \in \text{Ind Alg}_\ell^*$ and for its image in $\{A, B\}$, and in case the latter is an abelian group (e.g. if $B = C^{\mathbb{S}^n}$) we put $-f$ for the inverse of $\text{can}(f)$ in that group.

Lemma 6.1.5. *Let $A \in \text{Ind-Alg}_\ell^*$ and let X be an infinite set. Then the following diagram commutes in $\{\text{Ind-Alg}_\ell^*\}_X$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J^2(A) & \xrightarrow{-\rho_{JA}} & JA^{\mathbb{S}^1} \\ J(\rho_A) \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma_A & \\ J(A^{\mathbb{S}^1}) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. The analogue of the lemma in the setting of bornological algebras is proved in [8, Lemma 6.30]. With some obvious modifications, the same argument works in the present case. \square

Remark 6.1.6. The analogue of Lemma 6.1.5 for algebras without involution also holds as stated, and can be deduced from the lemma using the equivalence of Example 2.1.1.[6, Lemma 6.2.2], where the sign is missing. A sign is also missing in the definition of composition in the category kk [6, Theorem 6.2.3]; this is fixed as in (6.2.3) below.

6.2. The category kk^h . Fix an infinite set X and let $A, B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$. As in [6, Section 6.1], there is a map $\{A, B\}_X^* \rightarrow \{JA, B^{\mathbb{S}^1}\}_X^*$ which sends the class of f to that of $\rho_B J(f)$.

$$(6.2.1) \quad kk^h(A, B) = kk^h(A, B)_X = \text{colim}_n \{J^n A, B^{\mathbb{S}^n}\}_X^*$$

Since X is fixed, we drop it from our notation. Define a composition law

$$(6.2.2) \quad \circ : kk^h(B, C) \otimes kk^h(A, B) \rightarrow kk^h(A, C),$$

$$(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \xi \circ \eta$$

as follows. If ξ is represented by a class $[f] \in \{J^m B, C^{\otimes m}\}^*$ and η is represented by $[g] \in \{J^n A, B^{\otimes n}\}^*$, put

$$(6.2.3) \quad \xi \circ \eta = [f^{\otimes n} \circ (-1)^{mn} \gamma_B^{m,n}] \star [J^m(g)].$$

As in [8, Section 6.3], one checks that the composition above is well-defined and associative. Hence we have a category kk^h with the same objects as Alg_ℓ^* , where the identity map of $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ is represented by the class of $\iota_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X A$. Define a functor $\{\text{Alg}_\ell^*\} \rightarrow kk^h$ as the identity on objects and as the canonical map to the colimit $\{A, B\}_X \rightarrow kk^h(A, B)$ on arrows. Composing the latter with the functor $\text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \{\text{Alg}_\ell^*\}_X$ we obtain

$$(6.2.4) \quad j^h : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow kk^h.$$

Let \mathfrak{T} be a triangulated category; write $[-n]$ for the n -fold suspension in \mathfrak{T} . Let \mathcal{E} be the class of all semi-split extensions

$$(E) \quad A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C.$$

Definition 6.2.5. An *excisive homology theory* on Alg_ℓ^* with values in a triangulated category \mathfrak{T} is a functor $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ together with a family of maps $\{\partial_E : H(C)[1] \rightarrow H(A) \mid E \in \mathcal{E}\}$ such that for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$H(C)[1] \xrightarrow{\partial_E} H(A) \longrightarrow H(B) \longrightarrow H(C)$$

is a triangle in \mathfrak{T} , and such that ∂ is compatible with maps of extensions ([6, Section 6.6]).

Let $f : A \rightarrow B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. The *homotopy fiber* of f is the pullback P_f of f and $\text{ev}_1 : PB \rightarrow B$. Thus the following diagram is cartesian

$$(6.2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_f & \longrightarrow & PB \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

Observe that ΩB embeds naturally as a $*$ -ideal in P_f . The sequence

$$(6.2.7) \quad \Omega B \rightarrow P_f \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

is the *homotopy fibration* associated to f .

Proposition 6.2.8. *The category kk^h has a triangulation which makes the functor $j^h : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow kk^h$ into an excisive homology theory which is homotopy invariant, ι_+ -stable and M_X -stable. Moreover any other excisive, homotopy invariant, ι_+ -stable and M_X -stable homology theory $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ factors uniquely through a triangulated functor $\bar{H} : kk^h \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$.*

Proof. As in [6, Corollary 6.4.2] one proves that the endofunctor of kk^h induced by $\Omega \Sigma_X$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity. For $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, put $j^h(A)[-n] = j^h(\Sigma_X^n A)$. Thus $j^h(A) \mapsto j^h(A)[-1]$ is an equivalence with inverse $j^h(A) \mapsto j^h(A)[1] := j^h(\Omega A)$. As in [6, Definition 6.5.1] we call a triangle in kk^h

$$j^h(C')[1] \rightarrow j^h(A') \rightarrow j^h(B') \rightarrow j^h(C')$$

distinguished if it is isomorphic to the image under j^h of a homotopy fibration sequence (6.2.7). The proof that the triangles above make kk^h triangulated is the

same as in [6, Theorem 6.5.2]. The universal property is proved as in [6, Theorem 6.6.2]. \square

Remark 6.2.9. As explained in Subsection 2.3, the classes of extensions which are semi-split with respect to the underlying categories \mathfrak{Set} and $\ell - \text{Mod}$ agree with those semi-split with respect to sets with involution and ℓ -modules with involution. Hence by Proposition 6.2.8, the corresponding kk^h -theories are the same whether involutions are included in the underlying category or not.

Remark 6.2.10. Let $(\text{Alg}_\ell^*)_f \subset \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and $kk_f^h \subset kk^h$ be the full subcategories whose objects are the $*$ -algebras that are flat as ℓ -modules and let $j_f^h : (\text{Alg}_\ell^*)_f \rightarrow kk_f^h$ be the restriction of j^h . Observe that $(\text{Alg}_\ell^*)_f$ is closed under J and under homotopy fibers; hence kk_f^h is triangulated and j_f^h is excisive, homotopy invariant, ι_+ -stable and M_X -stable. Moreover the argument of [6, Theorem 6.6.2] applies to show that j_f^h is universal among such functors.

Example 6.2.11. Let ℓ_0 be any commutative ring and let $\ell = \text{inv}(\ell_0)$ and $\text{inv} : \text{Alg}_{\ell_0} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ be as in Example 2.1.1. Then inv is excisive, homotopy invariant and matricially stable, so it induces a triangulated functor from kk of ℓ_0 -algebras, $kk(\ell_0)$, to $kk^h(\ell)$. Similarly, its inverse, $B \mapsto (1, 0)B = (\ell^2/(0, 1)\ell) \otimes_\ell B$ is excisive, homotopy invariant, and matricially stable; by 2.1.13 it is also hermitian stable. Hence it induces a functor $kk^h(\ell) \rightarrow kk^h(\ell_0)$ which is inverse to inv . This shows that kk is a particular case of kk^h .

Example 6.2.12. Let $L \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$; then $L \otimes_\ell -$ preserves all F -linearly split extensions if either L is flat as ℓ -module or every F -split extension is ℓ -linearly split. In either case, $j^h(L \otimes_\ell -) : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow kk^h$ is homotopy invariant, matricially stable, hermitian stable and excisive, and therefore induces a triangulated functor $L \otimes_\ell - : kk^h \rightarrow kk^h$. By a similar argument, for kk_f^h as in Remark 6.2.10, any $L \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ induces a triangulated functor $L \otimes_\ell - : kk_f^h \rightarrow kk^h$.

Lemma 6.2.13. Let $A_1, A_2 \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ such that $A_i \otimes_\ell -$ preserves F -linearly split extensions. Then we have a natural bilinear, associative product

$$kk^h(A_1, A_2) \times kk^h(B_1, B_2) \rightarrow kk^h(A_1 \otimes_\ell B_1, A_2 \otimes_\ell B_2), (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \xi \otimes \eta$$

that is compatible with composition in all variables.

Proof. If F -split extensions are linearly split, then this is standard [6, Example 6.6.5]. Otherwise A_i is flat for $i = 1, 2$. By Example 6.2.12, $A_i \otimes_\ell -$ and $- \otimes_\ell B_i$ For $\xi \in kk^h(A_1, A_2)$ and $\eta \in kk^h(B_1, B_2)$, set

$$\xi \otimes \eta = (\xi \otimes B_2) \circ (A_1 \otimes \eta).$$

It is straightforward to check that the product above has all the desired properties. \square

Proposition 6.2.14. Let \mathfrak{C} be an abelian category and $H : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ a functor. Assume that H is split-exact, homotopy invariant, ι_+ -stable and M_X -stable. Then there is a unique homological functor $\bar{H} : kk^h \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\bar{H} \circ j^h = H$.

Proof. The proof is the same as in [6, Theorem 6.6.6]. \square

Let $\epsilon \in \ell$ be a unitary, $A, B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put

$$(6.2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} kk_n^h(A, B) &:= \text{hom}_{kk}(j^h(A), j^h(B)[n]), \quad {}_\epsilon kk_n^h(A, B) = kk_n^h(A, {}_\epsilon M_2 B), \\ kk^h(A, B) &:= kk_0^h(A, B), \quad {}_\epsilon kk^h(A, B) = {}_\epsilon kk_0^h(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.2.16. In view of (2.1.18), there is a $*$ -isomorphism ${}_1M_2 \cong M_{\pm}$. It follows from this and from Proposition 6.2.8 that for all $A, B \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$, ι_+ induces a canonical isomorphism

$${}_1kk_*^h(A, B) \cong kk_*^h(A, B).$$

Example 6.2.17. The functor $KH_0^h : \text{Alg}_{\ell}^* \rightarrow KH_0^h(\ell) - \text{Mod}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 6.2.14. Hence the functor \overline{KH}_0^h of the proposition induces a natural homomorphism

$$kk^h(A, B) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{KH_0^h(\ell)}(KH_0^h(A), KH_0^h(B))$$

Setting $A = \ell$ we obtain a natural map

$$(6.2.18) \quad kk^h(\ell, B) \rightarrow KH_0^h(B).$$

We shall show in Proposition 8.1 below that (6.2.18) is an isomorphism.

Example 6.2.19. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. Then j^h maps (6.2.7) to a distinguished triangle, by definition of the latter. One can also fit f into an equivalent triangle by other natural constructions. For example, let T_f be the pullback of $TB \rightarrow B$ and f ; we have a commutative diagram

$$(6.2.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} JB & \longrightarrow & T_f & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \Omega B & \longrightarrow & P_f & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

By the argument of [6, Lemma 6.3.10], the vertical map $JB \rightarrow \Omega B$ is a kk^h -equivalence. Because the first three terms of the top row above form an extension, it follows that the vertical map $T_f \rightarrow P_f$ is a kk^h -equivalence. Summing up, the top row is kk^h -isomorphic to the bottom row, and is thus a triangle in kk^h . For another example, let Γ_f be the pullback of Σf and the canonical surjection $\Gamma B \rightarrow B$. By the argument of [6, Theorem 6.4.1], $j^h(\Gamma B) = 0$. Hence the classifying map $J\Sigma B \rightarrow M_{\infty}B$ of the cone extension is a kk^h -equivalence, and therefore $T_{\Sigma f} \rightarrow \Gamma_f$ is a kk^h -equivalence. Thus the vertical maps in the commutative diagram below form an isomorphism of triangles

$$(6.2.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} J\Sigma B & \longrightarrow & T_{\Sigma f} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ M_{\infty}B & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_f & \longrightarrow & \Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma B. \end{array}$$

It follows that the bottom line of (6.2.21) is a distinguished triangle in kk^h . The map (6.2.21) together with that of (6.2.20) with $\Sigma(f)$ substituted for f is a zig-zag of kk^h -equivalences. In particular $j^h(\Gamma_f) \cong j^h(P_{\Sigma f}) = j^h(\Sigma P_f)$ and the bottom line of (6.2.21) is isomorphic in kk^h to the homotopy fibration associated to Σf , which in turn is the suspension of (6.2.7):

$$(6.2.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} j^h(M_{\infty}B) & \longrightarrow & j^h(\Gamma_f) & \longrightarrow & j^h(\Sigma A) & \xrightarrow{j^h(\Sigma f)} & j^h(\Sigma B) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ j^h(B) & \longrightarrow & j^h(P_f)[-1] & \longrightarrow & j^h(A)[-1] & \xrightarrow{j^h(f)[-1]} & j^h(B)[-1]. \end{array}$$

7. COPRODUCTS

A *retract* of a $*$ -algebra $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ consists of a $*$ -algebra C and $*$ -homomorphisms $i : C \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha : A \rightarrow C$ such that $\alpha \circ i = \text{id}_C$. Let $j : C \hookrightarrow B : \beta$ be another retract and consider the coproduct $A \coprod_C B$. Let $I = \text{Ker} \alpha$, $J = \text{Ker} \beta$. Then $A \coprod_C B$ is the ℓ -module

$$C \oplus I \oplus J \oplus I \otimes_{\tilde{C}} J \oplus J \otimes_{\tilde{C}} I \oplus \dots$$

equipped with the obvious product and involution. The projection onto C is a $*$ -homomorphism, and its kernel is the coproduct $I \coprod J$. The direct sum of all tensors with 2 factors or more is an ideal in $K \triangleleft A \coprod_C B$, and the quotient

$$A \oplus_C B := (A \coprod_C B) / K$$

is the ℓ -module $C \oplus I \oplus J$ equipped with the summand-wise involution and the product

$$(c_1, i_1, j_1)(c_2, i_2, j_2) = (c_1 c_2, c_1 i_2 + i_1 c_2 + i_1 i_2, c_1 j_2 + j_1 c_2 + j_1 j_2).$$

Proposition 7.1. *The projection $\pi : A \coprod_C B \rightarrow A \oplus_C B$ is a kk^h -equivalence.*

Proof. As in [6, Theorem 7.1.1] one reduces to proving the case $C = 0$. Let $\text{diag} : A \oplus B \rightarrow M_2(A \coprod B)$, $\text{diag}(a, b) = aE_{1,1} + bE_{2,2}$. Let $i : A \oplus B \rightarrow M_2(A \oplus B)$ be as in Example 5.13. The matrix $u = E_{1,1}(1, 0) + E_{1,2}(0, -1) + E_{2,1}(0, 1) + E_{2,2}(1, 0) \in M_2 \tilde{A}$ is unitary, satisfies $\text{ad}(u) \circ M_2(\pi) \circ \text{diag} = i$ and is connected to the identity by a path $u(t) \in \text{GL}(\tilde{A}[t])$. Thus $\iota_+ \circ M_2(\pi) \circ \text{diag} \sim^* \iota_+ \circ i$ by Lemma 5.4; by Lemma 5.11 and Example 5.13, this implies that $M_2(\pi) \circ \text{diag}$ is an isomorphism in $\{\text{Alg}_\ell^*\}$ and therefore also in kk^h . A similar argument shows that $\text{diag} \circ \pi$ is an isomorphism in kk^h ; this concludes the proof. \square

In the next corollary and elsewhere, for $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, we write $QA = A \coprod A$ and $QA \triangleright qA = \text{Ker}(\text{id}_A \coprod \text{id}_A : QA \rightarrow A)$.

Corollary 7.2. *Let $\delta : qA \rightarrow A$ be the restriction of the map $\text{id}_A \coprod 0 : QA \rightarrow A$. Then $j^h(\delta)$ is an isomorphism in kk^h .*

Proof. This is a formal consequence of Proposition (7.1); see [7, Proposition 3.1 (b)]. \square

Remark 7.3. Let $j_i : A \rightarrow A \oplus A$ and $\pi_i : A \oplus A \rightarrow A$ be the two inclusions and the two projections. Omitting j^h , we have an isomorphism of triangles in kk^h

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} qA & \xrightarrow{\quad} & QA & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\ \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{j_1 - j_2} & A \oplus A & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 + \pi_2} & A \end{array}$$

8. RECOVERING KH^h FROM kk^h

Proposition 8.1. *The natural map (6.2.18) is an isomorphism for every $B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$.*

Proof. Write $\beta : kk^h(\ell, B) \rightarrow KH_0^h(B)$ for (6.2.18). Our proof proceeds much in the same way as [6, Theorem 8.2.1]. By Remark 3.3, the set $qq(\ell, B)$ of $*$ -quasi-homomorphisms \square

Corollary 8.2. *For every unitary element $\epsilon \in \ell$, every $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a natural isomorphism of $KH_0^h(\ell)$ -modules*

$${}_\epsilon kk_n^h(\ell, A) \cong {}_\epsilon KH_n^h(A).$$

Lemma 8.3. *If either A or B are flat or if F -split extensions are ℓ -linearly split, then under the isomorphism of Proposition 8.1 the cup-product of Lemma 4.5 corresponds to the tensor product of Lemma 6.2.13.*

Proof. Straightfoward. \square

9. THE FUNCTORS ind , res AND Λ

Let $\text{inv}(\ell)$ be as in Example 2.1.1; the map $\ell \rightarrow \text{inv}(\ell)$, $a \mapsto (a, a^*)$ is a homomorphism of $*$ -rings. Composing $\text{inv} : \text{Alg}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{inv}(\ell)}^*$ with restriction of scalars along the map we have just defined, we obtain a functor $\text{ind} : \text{Alg}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell^*$. The forgetful functor $\text{res} : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell$ is left adjoint to ind . The unit and counit of this adjunction are the maps

$$(9.1) \quad \eta_A : A \rightarrow A \oplus A^{\text{op}}, \quad \eta(a) = (a, a^*), \quad \text{pr}_1 : B \oplus B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B, \quad \text{pr}_1(x, y) = x.$$

Fix the underlying category \mathfrak{A} to be one of \mathfrak{Set} or $\ell\text{-Mod}$ and let $j : \text{Alg}_\ell \rightarrow kk$ and $j^h : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow kk^h$ be the universal functors. Then res and ind induce functors $kk^h \leftrightarrow kk$ which by abuse of notation we shall still call res and ind .

Proposition 9.2. *The functors $\text{res} : kk^h \leftrightarrow kk : \text{ind}$ are both right and left adjoint to one another; in other words, for every $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ and $B \in \text{Alg}_\ell$ there are natural isomorphisms*

$$kk(\text{res}(A), B) \cong kk^h(A, \text{ind}(B)) \text{ and } kk^h(\text{ind}(B), A) \cong kk(B, \text{res}(A)).$$

Proof. The maps (9.1) satisfy the unit and counit conditions at the algebra level, and therefore also at the kk -level; this proves the first adjunction. To prove the second, observe that $\text{res} : \text{Alg}_\ell^* \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell$ has a left adjoint $\text{ind}' : \text{Alg}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, which maps an algebra B to $\text{ind}'(B) = B \amalg B^{\text{op}}$ equipped with the involution that interchanges the summands. There is a natural projection map $\pi_B : \text{ind}'(B) \rightarrow \text{ind}(B)$; the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 7.1 shows that $j^h(\pi_B)$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 9.3. By the proof of Proposition 9.2, the unit and counit maps of the second adjunction of the proposition are obtained from those of the adjunction between ind' and res using the projection $\pi : \text{ind}' \rightarrow \text{ind}$ and the map $\text{diag} : \text{ind} \rightarrow M_2 \text{ind}'$ of the proof of Proposition 7.1. Explicitly, for $B \in \text{Alg}_\ell$ and $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, let

$$(9.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_B : B &\rightarrow B \oplus B^{\text{op}}, \quad b \mapsto (b, 0), \\ \phi_A : A \oplus A^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow {}_1M_2A, \quad \phi_A(x, y) = \text{diag}(x, y^*). \end{aligned}$$

The unit map is $j(\psi_B)$ and the counit is the composite of $j^h(\phi_A)$ with the image in kk^h of the isomorphism $\text{can}({}_1M_2A) \cong \text{can}(A)$ of Lemma 5.14.

Let $\Lambda = \ell \oplus \ell$ equipped with involution

$$(\lambda, \mu)^* = (\mu^*, \lambda^*).$$

If $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ we shall identify $\text{ind}(\text{res}(A))$ with $\Lambda A = \Lambda \otimes_\ell A$ via the isomorphism

$$\Lambda A \rightarrow \text{ind}(\text{res}(A)), \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^*).$$

Under this identification, the maps η_A of (9.1) and ϕ_A of (9.4) become the scalar extensions of the diagonal embeddings

$$(9.5) \quad \eta : \ell \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad \eta(x) = (x, x),$$

$$(9.6) \quad \phi : \Lambda \rightarrow {}_1M_2 \quad \phi(x, y) = E_{1,1}x + E_{2,2}y.$$

Remark 9.7. The functor induced by tensoring with Λ is left and right adjoint to itself since $\Lambda \cong \text{ind res } \ell$. Also, Proposition 9.2 shows that $kk^h(\cdot, \Lambda(\cdot)) = kk(\text{res}(\cdot), \text{res}(\cdot))$. In other words, Λ represents kk . Note in particular, that

$${}_{\epsilon}kk^h(\cdot, \Lambda(\cdot)) = kk^h(\cdot, \Lambda(\cdot))$$

for any unitary $\epsilon \in \ell$. Moreover by Example 2.1.13, if $R \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$ is unital, $\epsilon \in R$ a central unitary and $\Psi \in R$ an invertible ϵ -hermitian element, then

$$(9.8) \quad \text{ad}(1, \Psi^{-1}) : \Lambda R \rightarrow \Lambda R^{\Psi}$$

is an isomorphism in Alg_{ℓ}^* . In particular, we have $*$ -isomorphisms

$$(9.9) \quad \Lambda M_{\pm} \cong \Lambda({}_{\epsilon}M_2) \cong \Lambda M_2.$$

Let

$$(9.10) \quad t : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad t(x, y) = (y, x).$$

Then t is a $*$ -homomorphism, $t^2 = \text{id}_{\Lambda}$, and one checks that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{(1M_2\eta)\phi} & {}_1M_2\Lambda \\ & \searrow E_{1,1}\text{id} + E_{2,2}t & \downarrow \cong \\ & & M_2\Lambda \end{array}$$

Hence

$$(9.11) \quad j^h(\iota_1)^{-1}j^h(\eta)j^h(\phi) = \text{id}_{j^h(\Lambda)} + j^h(t).$$

10. THE FUNCTORS U AND V

In 6.2.6 we have defined the homotopy fiber P_f of any $*$ -homomorphism f . Now consider the homotopy fibers of the maps (9.5) and (9.6),

$$V = P_{\eta}, \quad U = P_{\phi}.$$

For $A \in \text{Alg}_{\ell}^*$, put $UA = U \otimes_{\ell} A$ and $VA = V \otimes_{\ell} A$; these are, respectively, the homotopy fibers of $\phi \otimes \text{id}_A : \Lambda A \rightarrow {}_1M_2A$ and $\eta \otimes \text{id}_A : A \rightarrow \Lambda A$. Because U and V are flat ℓ -modules, they define functors $U, V : kk^h \rightarrow kk^h$, by Example 6.2.12.

Remark 10.1. In Example 6.2.19 we have defined, for every $*$ -homomorphism f , a $*$ -algebra Γ_f , and shown that $j^h(\Gamma_f) = j^h(P_f)[-1]$. In his paper [10], Karoubi uses, in the case $\ell = \mathbb{Z}$, the letters U and V for $U' := \Gamma_{\phi}$ and $V' := \Gamma_{\eta}$. Thus $j^h(U)[-1] = j^h(U'\ell)$ and $j^h(V)[-1] = j^h(V'\ell)$. Karoubi shows that for every $*$ -ring R , there are weak equivalences $\Omega K(\Lambda U'R) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^h(\Lambda R)$, $K^h(V'\Lambda R) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^h(\Lambda R)$ and $\Omega K^h(U'V'R) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^h(R)$ (see [10, Sections 1.3 and 1.4]). Lemmas 10.2 and 10.8 recast the latter equivalences into the framework of kk^h .

Lemma 10.2. *There are isomorphisms*

$$j^h(U\Lambda) \cong j^h(\Lambda) \text{ and}$$

$$j^h(V\Lambda) \cong j^h(\Omega\Lambda).$$

Proof. Let us prove the first equivalence. To ease the notation we omit the functor j^h . Let

$$U\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\Lambda}} {}_1M_2\Lambda$$

be the triangle in kk^h defining $U\Lambda$. We have an isomorphism

$$(10.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau : \Lambda^2 &\xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \\ (x_1, x_2) \otimes (x_3, x_4) &\mapsto (x_1x_3, x_2x_4, x_1x_4, x_2x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\lambda_1 = (0, 1)$, $\lambda_2 = (1, 0)$ and $\iota_i : \Lambda \rightarrow {}_1M_2\Lambda$ as in Lemma 5.14. Let j_i ($i = 1, 2$) be as in Remark 7.3. Observe that

$$((\phi \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda) \circ \tau^{-1} \circ j_1)(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} (x, 0) & (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (0, y) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix

$$(10.4) \quad u = \begin{bmatrix} (1, -1) & (1, 1) \\ (0, 0) & (-1, 1) \end{bmatrix} \in {}_1M_2(\text{ind}(\tilde{B}))$$

is unitary and satisfies

$$\text{ad}(u) \circ \iota_1 = (\phi \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda) \circ \tau^{-1} \circ j_1 : \Lambda \rightarrow {}_1M_2\Lambda.$$

So by Lemma 2.4.2, the following diagram commutes in kk^h

$$(10.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \\ & \searrow \sim \iota_1 & \downarrow (\phi \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda) \circ \tau^{-1} \\ & & {}_1M_2\Lambda. \end{array}$$

Similarly, the diagram

$$(10.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{j_2} & \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \\ & \searrow \sim \iota_2 & \downarrow (\phi \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda) \circ \tau^{-1} \\ & & {}_1M_2\Lambda \end{array}$$

commutes in kk^h . Let π_i ($i = 1, 2$) be as in Remark 7.3. Because diagrams (10.5) and (10.6) commute and because by Lemma 5.14 we have $j^h(\iota_1) = j^h(\iota_2)$, the following solid arrow diagram commutes in kk^h

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^2 & \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda} & {}_1M_2\Lambda \\ \uparrow \text{dashed} & & \uparrow \tau^{-1} & & \uparrow \iota_1 \\ \Lambda & \xrightarrow{j_1 - j_2} & \Lambda \oplus \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 + \pi_2} & \Lambda. \end{array}$$

Because the middle and right vertical arrows are isomorphisms in kk^h , we get that the dashed map is an isomorphism in kk^h . Next we prove the second isomorphism of the Lemma. Let

$$V\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda} \Lambda^2$$

be the triangle in kk^h defining $V\Lambda$. Let t be as in (9.10); one checks that the following square commutes

$$(10.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda} & \Lambda^2 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \tau \\ \Lambda & \xrightarrow{j_1 + j_2 t} & \Lambda \oplus \Lambda. \end{array}$$

The map $j_1 + j_2 t$ completes to a split distinguished triangle in kk^h

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{j_1 + j_2 t} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\pi_1 - t\pi_2} \Lambda.$$

Rotating the split triangle above we get the triangle

$$\Omega\Lambda \xrightarrow{0} \Lambda \xrightarrow{j_1 + j_2 t} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda.$$

Next (10.7) extends to a map of triangles in kk^h

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}_\Lambda} & \Lambda^2 \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \tau \\ \Omega\Lambda & \xrightarrow{0} & \Lambda & \xrightarrow{j_1 + j_2 t} & \Lambda. \end{array}$$

It follows that the dashed map is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 10.8. *There is an isomorphism*

$$j^h(\Sigma VU) \cong j^h(\ell).$$

In particular, $j^h(VU) \cong j^h(\Omega)$.

Proof. As before, we omit j^h from the notation. In view of Lemma 5.14 it suffices to show that ΣVU is kk^h -isomorphic to ${}_1M_2$. Let

$$VU \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes id_U} \Lambda U$$

be the triangle in kk^h that defines VU . The kk^h isomorphism between $\Lambda U = U\Lambda$ and Λ established in Lemma 10.2 is induced by mapping Λ^2 to $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda$ and then retracting onto the first coordinate. Using this fact we get that there is a map of triangles in kk^h

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes id_U} & \Lambda U & \longrightarrow & \Sigma VU \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \text{dashed} \\ U & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\phi} & {}_1M_2. \end{array}$$

It follows that the dashed kk^h -map is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 10.9. By Example 6.2.12, the isomorphisms of Lemmas 10.2 and 10.8 induce isomorphisms $j^h(U\Lambda A) \cong j^h(\Lambda A)$, $j^h(V\Lambda A) \cong j^h(\Omega\Lambda A)$ and $j^h(VUA) = j^h(A)[1]$ for every $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$.

11. KAROUBI'S FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM

Theorem 11.1 (Karoubi, [10]). *Assume that ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14. There is an element $\theta_0 \in {}_{-1}K_2^h(U'^2)$ such that*

- i) *The composite ${}_{-1}K_2^h((U')^2) \rightarrow {}_{-1}K_2^h(\Sigma \text{inv}(U')) \cong {}_{-1}K_1^h(\text{inv}(U')) \cong K_1(U') \cong K_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ maps θ_0 to 1.*
- ii) *For every unital $*\ell$ -algebra R , the product with θ_0 induces an isomorphism*

$$\theta_0 \star - : K_*^h(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{*+2}^h({}_{-1}M_2(U')^2 R)$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.9, for any unital $*\ell$ -ring S , we have ${}_{-1}K_*^h(S) = K_*^h({}_{-1}M_2 S)$. The element θ_0 of the present theorem appears under the name of σ in the first line of [10, Section 3.1]. Thus as mentioned by Karoubi in [10, line -3 of page 263 through line 3 of page 264], the current theorem is just another way of phrasing Karoubi's fundamental theorem. Indeed, as said in Remark 10.1, Karoubi showed that $\Omega K^h(V'U'R) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^h(R)$, so the theorem as stated here is equivalent to that proved in [10, Section 3.5], which says that cup-product with θ_0 induces an isomorphism $K_*^h(V'R) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{-1}K_{*+1}^h(U'R)$. \square

Remark 11.2. Max Karoubi pointed out to us that his proof of the fundamental Theorem in [10] implicitly uses Clauwens' calculation in [3] that the ring $\ell = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ equipped with the involution $x^* = 1 - x$ has Witt group $W(\ell) = \mathbb{Z}$.

We shall use Theorem 11.1 to prove the following.

Theorem 11.3. *Assume that ℓ satisfies the λ -assumption 2.1.14. Then the image θ of $c_2(\theta_0)$ under the isomorphism $KH_2^h({}_{-1}M_2(U'\ell)^2) \cong KH_0^h({}_{-1}M_2 U^2) = kk^h(\ell, {}_{-1}M_2 U^2)$ induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\theta_A := \theta \otimes \text{id}_{j^h(A)} : j^h(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} j^h({}_{-1}M_2 U^2 A) \quad \forall A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*.$$

Corollary 11.4. *Let $\epsilon \in \ell$ be unitary. For every $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$,*

$$j^h({}_\epsilon M_2 V A) \cong j^h({}_{-\epsilon} M_2 U A)[1].$$

Proof. It is immediate from Theorem 11.3, Lemma 10.8 and Remark 10.9 that $j^h(VA) \cong j^h({}_{-1} M_2 U A)[1]$. The corollary follows from this applied to ${}_\epsilon M_2 A$ using the isomorphism

$$(11.5) \quad {}_{-1} M_2({}_\epsilon M_2) \cong M_\pm({}_{-\epsilon} M_2)$$

and hermitian stability. \square

Proof of Theorem 11.3. By Example 6.2.12 By Lemma 8.3, under the isomorphism of Proposition 8.1, $(\theta_A)_*$ corresponds to the cup-product with θ . By Lemma 4.5 the latter is induced by the product of Corollary 4.4 i) with θ_0 , up to a degree shift. By Theorem 11.1, $\theta_0 \star - : K_m^h(A) \rightarrow K_{m+2}^h({}_{-1} M_2 (U')^2 A)$ is an isomorphism for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all unital $A \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$. Using excision, we obtain that the same is true also for not necessarily unital A if $m \leq -2$. It follows that $\theta \star - : KH_*(A) \rightarrow KH_*({}_{-1} M_2 U^2 A)$ is an isomorphism for all A , concluding the proof. \square

We finish the section with a lemma that will be used in Section 12.

Lemma 11.6. *Consider the isomorphisms $j^h(U\Lambda) \cong j^h(\Lambda)$ of Lemma 10.2 and $M_2\Lambda \cong {}_{-1} M_2\Lambda$ of (9.9). Then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j^h(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\theta_\Lambda} & j^h({}_{-1} M_2 U^2 \Lambda) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ j^h(M_2 \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & j^h({}_{-1} M_2 \Lambda) \end{array}$$

Proof. By part i) of Theorem 11.1, we have a commutative diagram in kk^h , where as usual we have omitted j^h ,

$$(11.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \ell & \xrightarrow{\theta} & {}_{-1} M_2 U^2 & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1} M_2 \Lambda U \\ \downarrow \wr & & & & \downarrow \wr \\ M_2 \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1} M_2 \Lambda. \end{array}$$

Let $p = pr_1 \circ \tau : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda$; we have

$$(11.8) \quad p((x_1, x_2) \otimes (x_3, x_4)) = (x_1 x_3, x_2 x_4).$$

Tensoring (11.7) with Λ and composing the resulting vertical maps with those induced by p , we get another commutative diagram

$$(11.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\theta_\Lambda} & {}_{-1} M_2 U^2 \Lambda & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1} M_2 \Lambda U \Lambda \\ \downarrow \wr & & & & \downarrow \wr \\ M_2 \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1} M_2 \Lambda. \end{array}$$

Using (11.8) and the fact that the kk^h isomorphism $U\Lambda \cong \Lambda$ is induced by first mapping to Λ^2 and then applying p , we obtain that the following diagram commutes in kk^h

$$(11.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U^2 \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda U \Lambda \\ \downarrow \wr & \nearrow \wr & \\ \Lambda & & \end{array}$$

Applying ${}_{-1}M_2$ to (11.10) we obtain that the composite ${}_{-1}M_2U^2\Lambda \rightarrow {}_{-1}M_2\Lambda$ in diagram (11.9) is the map in the diagram of the proposition, finishing the proof. \square

12. THE BIVARIANT 12 TERM EXACT SEQUENCE

Definition 12.1. Let $A, B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$, $\epsilon \in \ell$ a unitary, ${}_\epsilon kk^h(A, B)$ as in (6.2.15) and t as in (9.10). Let $\eta : \ell \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow {}_{-1}M_2$ be as in (9.5) and (9.6). Put $\bar{\phi} = j^h(\iota_1)^{-1} \circ j^h(\phi)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} {}_\epsilon W(A, B) &= \text{Coker}({}_\epsilon kk^h(A, \Lambda B) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_*} {}_\epsilon kk^h(A, B)) \\ {}_\epsilon W'(A, B) &= \text{Ker}({}_\epsilon kk^h(A, B) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} {}_\epsilon kk^h(A, \Lambda B)) \\ k(A, B) &= \{x \in kk^h(A, \Lambda B) : x = t_*x\} / \{x = y + t_*y\} \\ k'(A, B) &= \{x \in kk^h(A, \Lambda B) : x = -t_*x\} / \{x = y - t_*y\} \end{aligned}$$

If $\epsilon = 1$ we omit it from the notation.

Theorem 12.2 (cf. [10, Théorème 4.3]). *There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} k(A, \Omega B) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}W(A, \Omega^2 B) & \longrightarrow & W'(A, B) & \longrightarrow & k'(A, \Omega B) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}W'(A, \Omega B) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}W(A, \Omega B) \\ \uparrow & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \\ W(A, \Omega B) & \longleftarrow & W'(A, \Omega B) & \longleftarrow & k'(A, \Omega B) & \longleftarrow & {}_{-1}W'(A, B) & \longleftarrow & W(A, \Omega^2 B) & \longleftarrow & k(A, \Omega B) \end{array}$$

Proof. As above, we omit j^h in our notation. Write ρ for the map obtained upon tensoring the canonical map $U \rightarrow \Lambda$ with $\Omega({}_{-1}M_2)$. Consider the following distinguished triangles in kk^h

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\partial} & V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \ell & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \Lambda \\ & & \downarrow \theta & & & & \\ \Omega^2 {}_{-1}M_2 & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Omega_{-1}M_2U & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \Omega_{-1}M_2\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\phi_\Omega} & \Omega_{-1}M_2. \end{array}$$

Let $\tau : \Lambda^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda$ be as in (10.3).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\partial} & V & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Omega_{-1}M_2U & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \Omega_{-1}M_2\Lambda \\ \downarrow \Omega\Lambda\eta & & \downarrow V\eta & & \downarrow \Omega_{-1}M_2U\eta & & \downarrow \Omega({}_{-1}M_2\Lambda)\eta \\ \Omega\Lambda^2 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & V\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Omega_{-1}M_2U\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \Omega_{-1}M_2\Lambda^2 \\ \downarrow \Omega\tau & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \tilde{\tau} \\ \Omega(\Lambda \oplus \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Omega(\pi_1 - t\pi_2)} & \Omega\Lambda & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\Omega(j_1 - j_2)} & \Omega(\Lambda \oplus \Lambda). \end{array}$$

A direct computation shows that $\tau \circ (\Lambda \otimes \eta) : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda$ is the diagonal map. Hence from the diagram we get following equality

$$(12.3) \quad \tilde{\tau}(\Omega_{-1}M_2\eta)\rho\theta\partial = \Omega((j_1 - j_2)(\pi_1 - t\pi_2)(j_1 + j_2)).$$

Similarly, for h_{-1} as in Examples 2.1.8 and ι_1 the upper left-hand corner inclusion, we have

$$\tilde{\tau}(\Omega_{-1}M_2\eta) = (\Omega(j_1 + j_2))(\iota_1)^{-1} \text{ad}(1, h_{-1}^{-1}).$$

Therefore, composing both sides of the equality (12.3) on the left with the projection onto the first coordinate, we get

$$(\iota_1)^{-1} \text{ad}(1, h_{-1}^{-1})\rho\theta\partial = \Omega((\pi_1 - t\pi_2)(j_1 + j_2)) = \text{id} - t.$$

Because the $*$ -algebras involved in the argument above are flat, for any $B \in \text{Alg}_\ell^*$ we map apply the functor $\otimes_\ell B$ of Example 6.2.12 to obtain the same identity between kk^h maps $\Omega\Lambda B \rightarrow \Omega\Lambda B$. Next apply the functor $kk^h(A, -)$ and use the

identification ${}_{-1}kk^h(A, \Lambda B) \cong kk^h(A, \Lambda B)$, to obtain that $\rho\theta\partial$ induces $\text{id} - t_*$ on $kk^h(A, \Omega\Lambda B)$. Using this together with (9.11), the rest of the proof proceeds exactly as in [10, Théorème 4.3]. \square

Remark 12.4. Theorem 1.9 follows from Theorem 12.2 applied with B replaced by ${}_{\epsilon}M_2\Omega^n\Sigma B$ if $n \geq 0$ and by ${}_{\epsilon}M_2\Sigma^{-n-1}B$ otherwise, using the isomorphism (11.5) and hermitian stability.

Remark 12.5. Let \mathfrak{C} and $H : \text{Alg}_{\ell}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be as in Proposition 6.2.14. The same argument as in Theorem 12.2 proves an analogous exact sequence for the groups obtained substituting $H(-)$ for $kk^h(A, -)$ in Definition 12.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hyman Bass, *Unitary algebraic K-theory*, Algebraic K-theory III (Hyman Bass, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 343, Springer, pp. 57–265. [↑10](#)
- [2] Naoufel Battikh, *Some applications of the fundamental theorem for hermitian K-theory*, Missouri J. Math. Sci. **23** (2011), no. 1, 48–64. [↑9](#)
- [3] Frans J. B. J. Clauwens, *The K-theory of almost symmetric forms*, Topological structures, II (Proc. Sympos. Topology and Geom., Amsterdam, 1978), Part 1, 1979, pp. 41–49. [↑24](#)
- [4] Guillermo Cortiñas, *Algebraic v. topological K-theory: a friendly match*, Topics in algebraic and topological K-theory (Guillermo Cortiñas, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2008, Springer, Berlin, 2011, pp. 103–165. MR2762555 [↑3](#), [8](#), [10](#)
- [5] Guillermo Cortiñas and Eugenia Ellis, *Isomorphism conjectures with proper coefficients*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), 1224–1263. [↑](#)
- [6] Guillermo Cortiñas and Andreas Thom, *Bivariant algebraic K-theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **610** (2007), 71–123, DOI 10.1515/CRELLE.2007.068. [↑1](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#), [10](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [17](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#)
- [7] Joachim Cuntz, *A new look at KK-theory*, K-theory **1** (1987), 31–51. [↑20](#)
- [8] Joachim Cuntz, Ralf Meyer, and Jonathan M. Rosenberg, *Topological and bivariant K-theory*, Oberwolfach Seminars, vol. 36, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007. [↑16](#), [17](#)
- [9] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Birkhäuser, 1999. [↑13](#)
- [10] Max Karoubi, *Le théorème fondamental de la K-théorie hermitienne*, Ann. Math. **112** (1980), 259–282. [↑1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [9](#), [11](#), [22](#), [24](#), [26](#), [27](#)
- [11] ———, *Periodicité de la K-théorie hermitienne*, Algebraic K-theory III (Hyman Bass, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 343, Springer, pp. 301–411. [↑](#)
- [12] ———, *Localisation des formes quadratiques II*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **8** (1975), no. 1, 99–155. [↑](#)
- [13] Max Karoubi and Orlando Villamayor, *K-théorie algébrique et K-théorie topologique. II*, Math. Scand. **32** (1973), 57–86, DOI 10.7146/math.scand.a-11446. [↑3](#), [5](#), [10](#)
- [14] Jean Louis Loday, *K-théorie algébrique et représentations de groupes*, Ann.Sci.Éc. Norm. Sup. **9** (1976), 309–377. [↑9](#), [11](#)
- [15] Charles A. Weibel, *Meyer-Vietoris sequences and module structures on NK_** , Algebraic K-theory (Michael R. Stein and Eric M. Friedlander, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 854, Springer, 1981, pp. 466–493. [↑11](#)
- [16] ———, *Homotopy algebraic K-theory*, Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987), Contemp. Math., vol. 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 461–488. [↑1](#), [3](#)