arXiv:2012.10338v2 [cond-mat.soft] 28 Dec 2020

# Cellular and Developmental Basis of Avian Structural Coloration

Vinodkumar Saranathan[1,2*] and Cédric Finet[1]
[1] *Division of Science, Yale-NUS College, 10 College Avenue West, 138609, Singapore.*
[2] *NUS Nanotechnology and Nanoscience Initiative, National University of Singapore, 117576, Singapore.*

Vivid structural colors in birds are a conspicuous and vital part of their phenotype. They are produced by a rich diversity of integumentary photonic nanostructures in skin and feathers. Unlike pigmentary coloration, whose molecular genetic basis is being elucidated, little is known regarding the pathways underpinning organismal structural coloration. Here, we review available data on the development of avian structural colors. In particular, feather photonic nanostructures are understood to be intracellularly self-assembled by physicochemical forces typically seen in soft colloidal systems. We identify promising avenues for future research that can address current knowledge gaps, which is also highly relevant for the sustainable engineering of advanced bioinspired and biomimetic materials.

**Keywords:** structural colors, biophotonic nanostructures, self-assembly, skin coloration, plumage coloration

## INTRODUCTION

While pigmentary colors result from wavelength-selective molecular absorption and re-emission of light, vivid saturated colors are produced via physical or structural means, or sometimes a combination of both [1, 2*]. Organismal structural colors arise from light scattering by biophotonic nanostructures with compositional variation (*i.e.*, refractive index contrast) on the order of visible light wavelengths [1, 3]. They can be further classified based on whether the scattering is *incoherent* (*e.g.*, white color), arising from uncorrelated or spatially independent scatterers (in Rayleigh, Tyndall or Mie regimes depending on particle size) or *coherent*, as a result of constructive interference of light due to periodic or quasi-periodic spatial material variation with characteristic length scales of about 100-350 nm [1, 3]. The latter class of interference colors, especially (ultra)violet, blue and green hues are quite conspicuous in animals and produced by a stunning diversity of underlying epidermal or integumentary photonic nanostructures [1, 4, 5]. They constitute a very important aspect of the appearance of animals including birds, as they are often used in aposematism, crypsis or in inter- and intra-sexual signaling [2*][6].

By contrast to pigment-based coloration and pattern formation, there is a dearth of developmental studies on organismal structural coloration in general, and their underlying genetic basis is only just unraveling [7**, 8*][9]. This is in part, because most model species lack structural coloration or remain uninvestigated, even if present. In this review, we describe the progress to date in understanding the genetics and development of structural color production in birds, a cosmopolitan group of over 10,000 species with vibrant and diverse coloration [4, 6]. We identify promising avenues for future research that can address current knowledge gaps.

* Vinodkumar.Saranathan@aya.yale.edu

## STRUCTURAL COLORATION IN AVIAN SKIN

Non-iridescent structural colors prominently occur in bare skin (especially around the eye), bill (ramphotheca) and feet (podotheca) and has convergently evolved in over 50 bird families, likely driven by sexual selection [10, 11**] (Figs. 1A-B). They are produced by constructive light interference from 2D quasi-periodic arrays of parallel collagen (Refractive Index 1.42) fibrils in the mucopolysaccharide matrix (RI 1.35) of the dermis and underlain by a layer of melanin granules called melanosomes [10, 11**] (Fig. 2A). The collagen fibrils are in turn bundled into larger macrofibrils (fibers), tens of microns in diameter and several hundred microns in length that are apparently produced by a single collagenocyte in such a way that their longitudinal axis is aligned nearly parallel to the skin surface [10]. However, the Velvet Asity (*Philepitta castanea*) uniquely among birds has evolved a 2D photonic crystal (PC) [3] analog with ordered hexagonal arrangement of collagen fibrils that is derived from ancestral quasi-periodic state found in the sister sunbird asities (*Neodrepanis spp.*) [10, 12]. This transition is apparently driven by female preference for highly saturated hues in this lek polygynous species rather than for directional optical properties, as the overall papillose geometry of the facial caruncle and the polycrystalline nature of macrofibrils attenuate iridescence [10, 12].

The genetic and developmental basis of structural color producing dermal collagen arrays remains unstudied. Nevertheless, Prum and coworkers [10] have suggested some prerequisites for the evolution of photonic dermal collagen arrays. In a plausible sequence, these include – loss of feathers exposing bare skin (apteria), thickening of dermis with a concomitant proliferation of collagen arrays to increase scattering efficiency given their low refractive index contrast, dermal melanization to absorb any unscattered light that would otherwise wash out structural hues, and near-uniform specification of larger than usual fibrils ($> 100$ nm) to make avian visible hues.




FIG. 1. Diversity of Avian Structural Coloration. Non-iridescent Skin: (A) Southern Cassowary (*Casuarius casuarius*), (B) Blue-footed Booby (*Sula nebouxii*); Iridescent Feather Barbule: (C) Wild Turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*), (D) Anna's Hummingbird (*Calypte anna*); Non-iridescent Feather Barb: (E) Hooded Pitta (*Pitta sordida*), (F) Green-headed Tanager (*Tangara seledon*).
Photo Credits: A - Lucy Bridges, B - Rene Leubert, D - Daniel Arndt, F - Nick Athanas, C and E - Vinodkumar Saranathan (All images are cropped and reproduced under CC-BY-NC-SA 2.0)

It is conceivable that evolution of bare skin in birds is accompanied by dermal thickening for mechanical reasons and melanization as protection against UV damage.

Here, we focus on the molecular basis of collagen fibrillogenesis, which ultimately determines the photonic aspect of dermal collagen arrays (Fig. 2A). The hierarchical assembly of triple-helical collagen proteins that occurs in the dermal extracellular matrix (ECM) into collagen fibres is intrinsic and integral to the structure and function of vertebrate connective tissues, including dermis [13*]. Collagens, specified by the diverse COL gene family, are ubiquitous and largely conserved across vertebrates, although birds have a slightly reduced diversity [14]. The fact that collagen synthesis and fibrillogenesis in vertebrates are tightly regulated [13*], with large irregularly sized fibrils seen pathologically [15], suggests that photonic organization of fibrillar bundles likely stems from molecular regulation of fibril diameter, inter-fibril spacing and arrangement. One promising regulator is Tenascin-X (TNX; Tenascin-Y in birds [16]) with epidermal growth factor (EGF) and fibronectin (FN) domains, which is implicated in determining inter-fibril spacing as well as accelerating rate of fibril formation by interacting with ECM proteoglycans such as decorin [13*]. Interestingly, loss of either decorin [17] or TNX [18] results in irregularly arranged, large-diameter fibrils. The ETS family transcription factor FLI1 (with known avian homolog) is also of interest as it represses fibrillar collagen genes, while upregulating the production of small leucine-rich proteoglycan (including decorin), during fibrillogenesis [19]. However, spatio-temporal changes in fibrillar and fibril-associated collagen expression could result in similar changes in phenotype [15], suggesting that family-specific differences in collagen fibril composition could also be responsible for the repeated evolution of this trait in birds [14].

## STRUCTURAL COLORATION IN AVIAN PLUMAGES

### Iridescent Feather Barbule Coloration

Structural coloration in feather barbules is generally iridescent (Figs. 1C-D) and produced by interference from biological analogs of 1DPCs [3] – thin-film or multilayer (spaced or close-packed) arrays of melanosomes (RI $\sim$ 2.0) embedded in a $\beta$-keratin medium (RI 1.58) [11**] (Fig. 2E). However, considerable systematic variation exists in the morphology and arrangement of melanosomes within iridescent barbules [See Fig. 3 of 20][21, 22]. The melanosomes can be spherical, lozenge to rod-shaped about 1-2 $\mu$m in length with various aspect ratios, or pancake-shaped. The melanosomes involved in barbule iridescence are usually comprised of eumelanin, although some pigeons (*Columba trocaz*) utilize phaeomelanins [11**]. Some taxa (starlings, hummingbirds and quetzals) have evolved arrays of novel hollow/air-filled (RI 1.0) rod- and pancake-shaped melanosomes from ancestral solid types, leading to an increased refractive index contrast and thereby, extravagant interference colors [20]. Some have evolved close-packed 2DPC-like [3] square (peafowl) or hexagonal (ducks and trogons) arrangement of melanosomes [11**][20], although optically they seem to function as multilayers [23, 24]. These diverse melanosomal arrays have convergently evolved numerous times across birds and show complex evolutionary history [11**], with both solid and hollow melanosomes in different plumage patches in some species [21].

Published more than 50 years ago, Durrer and Villiger's [25**] description of hollow melanosome assembly in barbules of a starling (*Lamprotornis*) still remains an authoritative source on the ontogeny of iridescent barbule coloration. During feather development, melanosomes produced within specialized melanocytes are dendritically transferred to the barbule plate keratinocytes via endocytosis-like process [20, 25**]. Melanosomes in developing non-iridescent barbules have an exclusion zone around them and seem randomly embedded in a matrix of rapidly polymerizing keratin that physically prevents the migration of melanosomes to the cell boundary [25**]. Whereas, in iridescent barbules, $\beta$-keratin is proliferating and polymerizing into small fibrils that do not fuse and remain confined to the center of the cell, with the cytoplasmic melanosomes free to diffuse to the cell membrane. The melanosomes are eventually mechanically confined by the keratin mass as it grows to fill the cell volume, and ordered into a marginal monolayer as the cell flattens upon death and dehydration [25**]. A relatively recent developmental study in Blue-black Grassquit (*Volatinia jacarina*) observed a greater density of larger, more uniformly-sized melanosomes in barbules of iridescent males relative to non-iridescent females [26]. Based on their observations that the organization of the melanosomes into a flat monolayer occurs late in barbule development, when the cell is dying, they proposed that depletion-attraction forces re-organize melanosomes into a monolayer, as opposed to cellular or molecular mechanisms [26, 27]. When melanosomes aggregate, the volume that keratins are normally excluded from occupying is reduced, increasing entropy and lowering the free-energy of the system (Fig. 2D).

In *Lamprotornis sp.* [25**], hollow melanosomes are already formed within melanocytes before being transferred to keratinocytes. Premelanosomes, large vesicles ($\sim 1\mu$m diameter) of Golgi origin filled with fine granular tyrosinase are rapidly generated within melanocytes, and later incorporate many small vesicles from the cytoplasm. A zigzag 5-6 layer lamellae forms centrally around which the smaller vesicles are organized like beads on a string. As the premelanosomes flatten, melanin is rapidly synthesized around the foam-like central matrix, which eventually becomes the air-filled internal structure of hollow melanosomes. By contrast, in regular solid melanosomes, melanin synthesis occurs at the zigzag lamellae and completely fills the premelanosome [28]. Another recent study on the ontogeny of hollow melanosomes in iridescent barbules of Wild Turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*) indicated that melanosomes are mostly solid and randomly oriented during transport [29]. Once inside the barbule keratinocyte, however, they are mostly oriented in the same direction, and electron-dense material from the core is lost, prior to being close-packed into a hexagonal lattice. Shawkey *et al.*[29] suggest that in turkeys, melanosomes could be a composite with a phaeomelanin core and eumelanin mantle, and that the chemically unstable phaeomelanin core can degrade upon changes in local environment (*e.g.*, pH). That the mechanisms for hollow melanosome ontogeny are convergent is not surprising given iridescent barbule coloration using hollow melanosomes has evolved numerous independent times across birds [11**][21, 22].

Several outstanding questions remain. Although it is becoming clear that melanosomes late in development assemble via a " crowding mechanism" [27], this needs to be reconciled with spatiotemporal changes in keratin synthesis and polymerization in barbule keratinocytes [25**], which can affect the position of the melanosomes relative to the cell boundary. Furthermore, depletion-attraction alone cannot explain how spaced multi-layers form (Fig. 2E), let alone more complex arrays with double-layered melanosomes in Birds of Paradise [11**][20]. Some authors have argued that the high aspect ratios of melanosomes in iridescent barbules relative to non-iridescent ones is enough for the self-emergence of layering [29, 30]. However, the observed melanosome aspect ratios seem far from the optimum under granular packing considerations of spherocylinders [31]. Moreover, melanosome morphology can vary in a single species more than previously appreciated [11**][20, 21], further confounding these analyses.

Given the key role of melanosomes in iridescent color generation, it is conceivable that convergent regulatory changes in melanin synthesis pathway have led to the re-

peated evolution of barbule iridescence in birds. The genetics of melanin-based coloration is well-studied in animals [32**], and recent progress in butterfly coloration suggest changes to single master regulatory genes can pleiotropically induce structural coloration [7**], while loss of melanin pathway genes can alter the gross morphology of scales themselves [33]. We wonder if similar changes could pleiotropically affect keratin expression and feather morphology in birds. The role of Melanocortin-1 Receptor (MC1R) in determining melanin patterning is inconsistent, but non-coding and coding differences in its repressor, agouti signaling protein (ASIP), is functionally significant across organisms [32**][34]. A recent comparative genomic study on birds of paradise with extravagant iridescent barbule coloration [35] suggests other promising candidates under putative positive selection – ADAMTS20, implicated in melanocyte development through KIT ligand functioning, and ATP7B, involved in copper transport, an element essential for melanogenesis. A similar study in galliforms [36] recovered functional changes in four melanogenesis genes, two of which are *KIT* and *ASIP*, but these were not specific to iridescence. More interestingly, they found difference in $\beta$-keratin gene expression between white and iridescent green feathers. Recently, melanocytes themselves have been found to autonomously determine color patterning and ASIP expression in adjacent dermal tissue, and this could be investigated via melanocyte transplantation from iridescent to non-iridescent barbule plates [34].

### Non-iridescent Feather Barb Coloration

By contrast to iridescent barbule colors (Figs. 1C-D), structural colors in feather barbs are usually non-iridescent (Figs. 1E-F) and have evolved in over 45 families across 12 bird orders [11**, 37*]. Two main classes of 3D glassy or quasi-periodic photonic nanostructures are recognized in spongy barb medullary cells (Fig. 2C) – interconnected networks with anastomosing air channels and $\beta$-keratin rods (channel-type), and random close-packed arrays of spherical air voids in a $\beta$-keratin matrix (sphere-type). Some species with slate to blue-gray plumages have evolved rudimentary barb structural coloration produced by highly disordered versions of channel- and sphere-type nanostructures [37*]. However, in Little Penguin (*Eudyptula minor*), non-iridescent blue barb colors are uniquely produced by 2D quasi-periodic bundles of parallel $\beta$-keratin fibres in air [38]. Recently, Saranathan *et al.*[39] discovered at least 3 parallel transitions in barb nanostructures of leafbirds, tanagers, and manakins from ancestral 3D photonic glasses to derived 3DPCs, apparently driven by female preference for highly saturated colors [12], rather than directional signaling, as the random Pointillist presentation of the crystal domains reduces iridescence.

The channel- and sphere-type barb nanostructures (Fig. 2C) are remarkably similar to highly stereotypical morphologies seen during phase separation of binary mixtures via spinodal decomposition and nucleation-and-growth, respectively [37*][40]. Beyond structural analogies, there is growing evidence that barb photonic nanostructures are self-assembled within spongy medullary cells by visco-elastic phase separation of $\beta$-keratin from cytoplasm (Fig. 2B), followed by a dynamic self-arrest as a result of the competition between coarsening and cross-polymerization of neighboring keratin fibrils [37*][39, 40]. The lone ground-breaking study on the development of spongy barb nanostructures along maturation gradients in a growing feather germ of Blue-and-Yellow Macaw (*Ara Ararauna*) is consistent with the phase separation hypothesis [41**]. Around mid-development, medullary barb cells develop small electron-lucent, fluid-filled droplets in the center that coalesce and grow in a manner reminiscent of capillary transport seen in drying coffee-ring stains [41**]. At this stage, electron-dense granules (likely keratohyalin) are seen near the edges of barb keratinocytes [41**][42]. Once the droplets grow to fill most of the cell volume pushing all electron-dense materials (*e.g.*, nucleus) to the periphery, $\beta$-keratin synthesis and polymerization commences from cell edges. The first $\beta$-keratin structures to form is a reticulate matrix of solid keratin fibres with a distinct " crown-of-thorns" appearance, very similar to those seen at the periphery of hollow medullary cells in white feathers, but they are too large to make a visible interference color. Interestingly, the development of non-iridescent feather barbs seems identical up until this stage, when cells normally die leaving behind the characteristic foam-like, pneumatic medulla [42]. In photonic barbs, however, development proceeds further and in just over a few hours, the characteristic channel-type network spontaneously appears at the cell boundaries from a cytoplasmic background filled with granular material whose sizes corresponds well with RNPs [42, 43**]. As this polymerizing spongy network grows, the volume occupied by the electron-lucent droplet shrinks. During this process, the barb cells have not yet apoptosed since nuceli remain visible [41**]. When the cells finally die, the cytoplasm, nuclei and other cellular machinery are replaced by air. During this entire process, neither membrane nor cytoskeletal templates or prepatterns were observed directing the assembly of $\beta$-keratin into spongy networks, consistent with a phase separation process [41**]. Turing-type patterning, which produces (quasi-)periodic stripes and spots, is another unlikely alternative, as this process usually occurs in 2D (not 3D), and often breaks down with growth over time [39, 44]. Whereas, liquid-liquid phase separation within cells is a growing paradigm to explain the fundamental organization and functioning of cells, including how RNPs can lead to the development of fibrous, self-organized pathologies [43**]. It is plausible that birds have co-opted such innate cellular processes for photonic self-assembly, and future studies will have to investigate the identity and function of RNPs during





FIG. 2. A Schematic for the Assembly of Photonic Nanostructures in Bird Skin (A) and Feathers (B-E). (A) In hierarchical collagen fibrillogenesis [13*] depicted here in increasing levels of spatial organization, diverse triply-helical collagen molecules assemble into composite microfibrils that organize into macrofibrils/fibers, which are synthesized by a single dermal corneocyte [10]. The lower panel shows a dense packing of dermal collagen fibres (blue) oriented parallel to the skin surface with occasional gaps (white), and underlain by a layer of melanosomes. The fibril size, spacing and organization are molecularly determined *in vivo*, even though collagen can spontaneously self-assemble into fibrils *in vitro* [13*]. (B) Phase separation of $\beta$-keratin is hypothesized to result in spontaneous stereotypical pattern formation (*e.g.*, dendritic spinodal-like patterns depicted) at the periphery of barb cells late in development, when there is a "super-critical" concentration of $\beta$-keratin near cell edges due to capillary flow [41**]. (C) Two types of photonic glasses are known in feather barbs - channel- and sphere-type, which are analogous to morphologies seen during spinodal decomposition or nucleation-and-growth [37*]. (D) During iridescent feather barbule development, melanosomes are thought to aggregate, driven by depletion-attraction forces [26, 29]. Note, a small amount keratin molecules trapped in-between the cell membrane and assembling melanosomes could form the thin cortex of iridescent barbules. Timing of keratin synthesis and polymerization within barbule keratinocytes is key to enable the self-assembly of melanosomes [25**]. (E) Melanosomes can be solid or hollow, and can form a thin-film monolayer, densely close-packed or periodically-spaced multilayers. Approximate scale bars are shown alongside biophotonic nanostructures to illustrate the typical spatial periodicities (150-300 nm) needed for light interference.

barb development [41**][42].

Feather development and genetic basis of keratin expression are typically studied in Chicken (*Gallus gallus*), Japanese Quail (*Coturnix japonica*) and Zebra Finch (*Taenopygia guttata*) none of which have barb structural coloration, while homology relationships between and among $\alpha$- and $\beta$-keratins are only just being uncovered [45, 46*]. Across birds, there is extreme variation in copy numbers of $\beta$-keratin genes (6 in owls - 149 in Zebra Finch; average 34). A complex pattern of differential expression of different types of keratin genes (scale and claw, feather, keratinocyte) from multiple chromosomal loci in different feather tissues has been documented [45, 46*], with many of the feather $\beta$-keratin genes evolving their own chromosome-wise transcription factors [47]. Nevertheless, barb ridge specific (*e.g.*, barb *vs.* barbule) expression profiles are unavailable [46*]. We believe the key to unlocking the molecular basis for $\beta$-keratin self-assembly lies in generating tissue-specific, time-resolved expression of feather and feather-associated keratins, and identifying copy number variation unique to each family/genus [36][46*][48]. Different family-specific combinations of $\beta$-keratins might confer different macromolecular properties (*e.g.*, hydropathy, charge) that can aid or

hinder self-assembly, while tuning the stoichiometry of expressed keratins may predictably determine the length scale at which the phase separation arrests, which is crucial for color production. Comparatively studying the tissue-specific molecular structure of feather keratins or looking for differences in the macro-molecular packing of $\beta$-keratin filaments, for instance, in spongy photonic barbs *vs.* hollow white barbs or barbules could also help illuminate the structure-function relationships underpinning keratin self-assembly.

## CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Major aspects of organismal structural color pathways remain currently opaque. Nevertheless, we have highlighted how birds appear to have co-opted developmental programs behind collagen fibrillogenesis in dermis, melanosome synthesis and inclusion in feather barbules, and keratin polymerization in feathers barbs, to produce structural coloration. The redundant regulatory control of fibrillogenesis, melanosynthesis and cornification provides alternative pathways that can be modified by selection. This could explain the repeated convergence of avian structural coloration. We have also discussed the important role played by short-ranged attractive and long-ranged repulsive forces typically seen in soft colloidal systems [9][27][43**][49], in feather nanostructure development.

Interrogating molecular regulation of collagen fibril spacing by manipulating collagen fibrillogenesis [13*] is one future challenge that is tractable in Silky Chicken (artificially-selected variant of model *Gallus gallus*), with its hypermelanized dermis and unique blue earlobes. Another direction is to determine the exact role played by high melanosome densities in self-assembly during iridescent barbule development [26]. The putative function(s) of cytoplasmic RNPs in flocculation and/or self-arrest also needs to be investigated [43**][49] Comparative transcriptomics and genome-wide association studies might represent promising complementary approaches to cell and developmental biology. Two of ∼50 bird species with published complete genomes have barb structural coloration, while at least a quarter have barbule iridescence [50]. As successfully demonstrated for pigmentary coloration [36], these methods could help identify candidate genes, whose functions can be tested using latest genome-editing technologies (*e.g.*, CRISPR-Cas9) in existing model species with iridescence – Silky, Domestic Chicken, and Turkey.

A burgeoning number of studies in physics and engineering are looking to organismal structural coloration, which have been evolutionarily optimized over millions of years of selection, as a rich reservoir for the bioinspired design and synthesis of functional materials, given current challenges in sustainable manufacture and synthetic self-assembly at visible optical lengthscales [9][51]. Genetic and developmental knowledge of biophotonic nanostructures may lead to next-generation technologies that directly biomimic *in vivo* self-assembly using bio-similar and biodegradable materials *in vitro*.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

VS acknowledges support from Yale-NUS start-up funds (R-607-261-182-121) and a NRF CRP Award (CRP20-2017-0004), and is grateful to Eric Dufresne, Antónia Monteiro, Dan Morse, and Rick Prum for stimulating discussions over the years.

**Declaration of Interests:** The authors declare no conflict of interests.

## References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:
* of special interest
** of outstanding interest

1 Vukusic P, Sambles JR: **Photonic structures in biology**, *Nature* 2003, **424**:852–855. doi: 10.1038/nature01941

2* Cuthill IC, Allen WL, Arbuckle K, Caspers B, Chaplin G, Hauber ME, Hill GE, Jablonski NG, Jiggins CD, Kelber A, et al.: **The biology of color**. *Science* 2017, **357**:eaan0221. doi: 10.1126/science.aan0221
This review provides a nice overview of the biological aspects of animal coloration, ranging from their origin and evolution, diversity of visual reception and cognition, to its multiple functions, including aposematism, crypsis and inter- and intra-sexual signalling.

3 Joannopoulos JD, Johnson SG, Winn JN, Meade RD: *Photonic crystals: Molding the flow of light* edn 2nd. New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2008.

4 Hill GE, McGraw KJ (Ed): *Bird Coloration, Volume 1, Mechanisms and measurements* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 2006.

5 Saranathan V, Seago AE, Narayanan S, Sandy A, Mochrie SGJ, Dufresne ER, Cao H, Osuji CO, Prum RO: **Structural Diversity of Arthropod Biophotonic Nanostructures Spans Amphiphilic Phase-Space**. *Nano Letters* 2015, **15**:3735–3742. doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b00201

6 Hill GE, McGraw KJ (Ed): *Bird Coloration, Volume 2, Function and Evolution* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 2006.

7** Zhang L, Mazo-Vargas A, Reed RD: **Single master regulatory gene coordinates the evolution and development of butterfly color and iridescence**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2017,

**114**:10707–10712. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1709058114
This path-breaking study uses genome-editing tools to uncover the deeply conserved dual role of the *optix* gene in regulating pigmentary but also structural coloration in nymphalid butterflies. This important work suggests that the genetic link between structural and pigmentary colors is closer than previously appreciated.

8* Airoldi CA, Ferria J, Glover BJ: **The cellular and genetic basis of structural colour in plants**. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 2019, **47**:81–87. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2018.10.002
Analogous to the present study, this review summarizes the current knowledge about the production of structural coloration in plants, a very rare trait. An emerging idea is the co-option of core developmental pathways to produce derived structural colors.

9 Morse DE, Taxon E: **Reflectin needs its intensity amplifier: Realizing the potential of tunable structural biophotonics**. *Applied Physics Letters* 2020, **117**:220501. doi: 10.1063/5.0026546

10 Prum RO, Torres RH: **Structural colouration of avian skin: convergent evolution of coherently scattering dermal collagen arrays**. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 2003, **206**:2409–2429.

11** Prum RO: **Anatomy, physics, and evolution of avian structural colors**. In *Bird Coloration, Volume 1 Mechanisms and Measurements*. Edited by Hill GE, McGraw KJ: Harvard University Press; 2006:295–353. vol 1.
This wide-ranging book chapter comprehensively surveys the evolution, morphological diversity and optics of avian structural coloration, with a level of detail outside the scope of this review.

12 Prum RO, Torres R, Kovach C, Williamson S, Goodman SM: **Coherent light scattering by nanostructured collagen arrays in the caruncles of the Malagasy Asities (Eurylaimidae: Aves)**. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 1999, **202**:3507–3522.

13* Kadler KE, Hill A, Canty-Laird EG: **Collagen fibrillogenesis: fibronectin, integrins, and minor collagens as organizers and nucleators**. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* 2008, **20**:495–501. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2008.06.008
This comprehensive review provides a modern perspective on the hierarchical assembly of the ubiqituous, triply-helical collagen proteins into increasingly complex fibrillar morphologies. Interestingly, they point out that while collagen can self-assemble into fibrils *in vitro*, their biological assembly is under tight molecular control, the disruption of which leads to various pathophysiologies. Another important perspective is that collagen microfibrils are a biomaterial composite made up of different types of collagens that can tune their assembly and mechanical properties.

14 Haq F, Ahmed N, Qasim M: **Comparative genomic analysis of collagen gene diversity**. *3 Biotech* 2019, **9**:83–83. doi: 10.1007/s13205-019-1616-9

15 Liu X, Wu H, Byrne M, Krane S, Jaenisch R: **Type III collagen is crucial for collagen I fibrillogenesis and for normal cardiovascular development**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 1997, **94**:1852–1856. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.5.1852

16 Hagios C, Koch M, Spring J, Chiquet M, Chiquet-Ehrismann R: **Tenascin-Y: a protein of novel domain structure is secreted by differentiated fibroblasts of muscle connective tissue**. *Journal of Cell Biology* 1996, **134**:1499–1512. doi: 10.1083/jcb.134.6.1499

17 Zhang G, Chen S, Goldoni S, Calder BW, Simpson HC, Owens RT, McQuillan DJ, Young MF, Iozzo RV, Birk DE: **Genetic Evidence for the Coordinated Regulation of Collagen Fibrillogenesis in the Cornea by Decorin and Biglycan**. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2009, **284**:8888–8897. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M806590200

18 Minamitani T, Ikuta T, Saito Y, Takebe G, Sato M, Sawa H, Nishimura T, Nakamura F, Takahashi K, Ariga H, et al.: **Modulation of collagen fibrillogenesis by tenascin-X and type VI collagen**. *Experimental Cell Research* 2004, **298**:305–315. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.04.030

19 Asano Y, Markiewicz M, Kubo M, Szalai G, Watson DK, Trojanowska M: **Transcription Factor Fli1 Regulates Collagen Fibrillogenesis in Mouse Skin**. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 2009, **29**:425–434. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01278-08

20 Durrer H: **The Skin of Birds: Colouration**. In *Biology of the Integument 2: Vertebrates*. Edited by: Springer-Verlag; 1986:239–247. vol 2.

21 Gruson H, Elias M, Andraud C, Djediat C, Berthier S, Doutrelant C, Gomez D: **Hummingbird iridescence: an unsuspected structural diversity influences colouration at multiple scales**. *bioRxiv* 2019:699744. doi: 10.1101/699744

22 Maia R, Rubenstein DR, Shawkey MD: **Key ornamental innovations facilitate diversification in an avian radiation**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2013, **110**:10687–10692. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1220784110

23 Stavenga DG, Kooi CJvd, Wilts BD: **Structural coloured feathers of mallards act by simple multilayer photonics**. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 2017, **14**:20170407. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2017.0407

24 Freyer P, Wilts BD, Stavenga DG: **Reflections on iridescent neck and breast feathers of the peacock, *Pavo cristatus***. *Interface Focus* 2019, **9**:20180043. doi: 10.1098/rsfs.2018.0043

25** Durrer H, Villiger W: **Bildung der schillerstruktur beim glanzstar**. *Zeitschift fur Zellforschungen* 1967, **81**:445–456. doi: 10.1007/BF00342767
Although written in German more than a half-century ago, this pioneering study on the development of hollow air-filled melanosomes and their organization leading to iridescence in feather barbules remains authoritative. The beautiful sequence of TEM images are easy to follow and are indeed more eloquent than our descriptions can do justice.

26 Maia R, Macedo RH, Shawkey MD: **Nanostructural self-assembly of iridescent feather barbules through depletion attraction of melanosomes during keratinization**. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 2012, **9**:734–743. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2011.0456

27 Ghosh P, Mondal J, Ben-Jacob E, Levine H: **Mechanically-driven phase separation in a growing bacterial colony**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2015, **112**:E2166–E2173. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1504948112

28 Birbeck MSC: **Electron Micsroscopy of**

**Melanocytes**. *British Medical Bulletin* 1962, **18**:220–222. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a069982

29 Shawkey MD, D'Alba L, Xiao M, Schutte M, Buchholz R: **Ontogeny of an iridescent nanostructure composed of hollow melanosomes**. *Journal of Morphology* 2015, **276**:378–384. doi: 10.1002/jmor.20347

30 Nordén KK, Faber JW, Babarović F, Stubbs TL, Selly T, Schiffbauer JD, Peharec Štefanić P, Mayr G, Smithwick FM, Vinther J: **Melanosome diversity and convergence in the evolution of iridescent avian feathers-Implications for paleocolor reconstruction**. *Evolution* 2019, **73**:15–27. doi: 10.1111/evo.13641

31 Baule A, Mari R, Bo L, Portal L, Makse HA: **Mean-field theory of random close packings of axisymmetric particles**. *Nature Communications* 2013, **4**:2194. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3194}

32** Orteu A, Jiggins CD: **The genomics of coloration provides insights into adaptive evolution**. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2020, **21**:461–475. doi: 10.1038/s41576-020-0234-z
This thorough recent review discusses the successful use of cutting-edge genomic approaches to study the genetic basis of pigmentary coloration and its evolution in animals, that we advocate for the study of animal structural coloration.

33 Matsuoka Y, Monteiro A: **Melanin Pathway Genes Regulate Color and Morphology of Butterfly Wing Scales**. *Cell Rep* 2018, **24**:56–65. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.05.092

34 Funk ER, Taylor SA: **High-throughput sequencing is revealing genetic associations with avian plumage color**. *The Auk* 2019, **136**:ukz048. doi: 10.1093/auk/ukz048

35 Prost S, Armstrong EE, Nylander J, Thomas GWC, Suh A, Petersen B, Dalen L, Benz BW, Blom MPK, Palkopoulou E, et al.: **Comparative analyses identify genomic features potentially involved in the evolution of birds-of-paradise**. *GigaScience* 2019, **8**:giz003. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giz003

36 Gao G, Xu M, Bai C, Yang Y, Li G, Xu J, Wei Z, Min J, Su G, Zhou X, et al.: **Comparative genomics and transcriptomics of *Chrysolophus* provide insights into the evolution of complex plumage coloration**. *GigaScience* 2018, **7**:giy113. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giy113

37* Saranathan V, Forster JD, Noh H, Liew SF, Mochrie SGJ, Cao H, Dufresne ER, Prum RO: **Structure and Optical Function of Amorphous Photonic Nanostructures from Avian Feather Barbs: A Comparative Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) Analysis of 230 Bird Species**. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 2012, **9**:2563–2580. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2012.0191
This study uses synchrotron Small Angle X-ray Scattering to comparatively characterize the nanostructure and optical function of non-iridescent feather barb nanostructures from an unprecedented 230 species of birds, covering nearly every genus with such colors. The authors demonstrate barb morphologies across birds are highly stereotyped (channel- or sphere-type) just like under binary phase separation. They further provide the first comparisons of experimental structure factors from barb photonic glasses with those from polymer blends undergoing spinodal decomposition or colloidally self-assembled quasi-periodic arrays of dielectric spheres as a test of the phase separation hypothesis.

38 D'Alba L, Saranathan V, Clarke JA, Vinther JA, Prum RO, Shawkey MD: **Colour-producing $\beta$-keratin nanofibres in blue penguin (*Eudyptula minor*) feathers**. *Biology Letters* 2011, **7**:543–546. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2010.1163

39 Saranathan V, Narayanan S, Sandy AR, Dufresne ER, Prum RO: **Single Gyroid and Inverse b.c.c. Photonic Crystals in Bird Feathers**. *bioRxiv* 2020.08.27.271213. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.27.271213

40 Dufresne ER, Noh H, Saranathan V, Mochrie SGJ, Cao H, Prum RO: **Self-assembly of amorphous biophotonic nanostructures by phase separation**. *Soft Matter* 2009, **5**:1792–1795. doi: 10.1039/B902775K

41** Prum RO, Dufresne ER, Quinn T, Waters K: **Development of colour-producing $\beta$-keratin nanostructures in avian feather barbs**. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 2009, **6**:S253–S265. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2008.0466.focus
This seminal study describes the intracellular development of channel-type photonic nanostructures in medullary barb cells of the Blue-and-Yellow Macaw. Their results unambiguously show the absence of any cytoskeletal or membrane patterning in guiding the characteristic dendritic pattern formation in the late stages of barb cell cornification and provide in our opinion, incontrovertible proof for the self-assembly of biophotonic nanostructures, likely via phase separation.

42 Matulionis DH: **Morphology of the developing down feathers of chick embryos**. *Zeitschrift für Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte* 1970, **132**:107–157. doi: 10.1007/BF00523275

43** Shin Y, Brangwynne CP: **Liquid phase condensation in cell physiology and disease**. *Science* 2017, **357**:eaaf4382. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4382
This fairly recent review compiles examples of phase transitions that are integral to the structure and function of cells, the impeding of which leads to pathological aggregation. The authors especially develop the paradigm of intracellular liquid-liquid phase separation to explain the formation and assembly of membrane-less intracellular condensates (biomolecular droplet phases).

44 Maini PK, Woolley TE, Baker RE, Gaffney EA, Lee SS: **Turing's model for biological pattern formation and the robustness problem**. *Interface Focus* 2012, **2**:487–496. doi: 10.1098/rsfs.2011.0113

45 Greenwold MJ, Bao W, Jarvis ED, Hu H, Li C, Gilbert MTP, Zhang G, Sawyer RH: **Dynamic evolution of the alpha ($\alpha$) and beta ($\beta$) keratins has accompanied integument diversification and the adaptation of birds into novel lifestyles**. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 2014, **14**:249. doi: 10.1186/s12862-014-0249-1

46* Ng CS, Li W-H: **Genetic and Molecular Basis of Feather Diversity in Birds**. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 2018, **10**:2572–2586. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evy180
This important review addresses available molecular genomic and developmental data that underlie the diversity of bird feathers, in term of morphology and pigmentation, both within individual in model species and among avian species.

47 Bhattacharjee MJ, Yu C-P, Lin J-J, Ng CS, Wang T-Y, Lin H-H, Li W-H: **Regulatory Divergence among Beta-Keratin Genes during Bird Evolu-**

**tion**. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2016, **33**:2769–2780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw165

48 Bruders R, Van Hollebeke H, Osborne EJ, Kronenberg Z, Maclary E, Yandell M, Shapiro MD: **A copy number variant is associated with a spectrum of pigmentation patterns in the rock pigeon (*Columba livia*)**. *PLoS Genet* 2020, **16**:e1008274. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008274

49 Ji S, Walz JY: **Depletion forces and flocculation with surfactants, polymers and particles - Synergistic effects**. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science* 2015, **20**:39-45. doi: 10.1016/j.cocis.2014.11.006

50 Zhang G, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MTP: **A flock of genomes**. *Science* 2014, **346**:1308-1309. doi: 10.1126/science.346.6215.1308

51 McDougal A, Miller B, Singh M, Kolle M: **Biological growth and synthetic fabrication of structurally colored materials**. *Journal of Optics* 2019, **21**:073001. doi: 10.1088/2040-8986/aaff39

## BOX 1: GLOSSARY

**Refractive Index** – this dimensionless metric describes the amount of retardation of light in a dense medium relative to vacuum. The RI determines the extent to which light rays are bent (refraction) when entering a dense material from air. See [3].

**Biophotonic Nanostructure** – a nanoscale feature in the animal integument with random, quasi-periodic or periodic variation in material composition that leads to a refractive index contrast (*e.g.*, $\beta$-keratin and air). See [1][11**].

**Photonic Crystal** – A concept borrowed from solid-state physics, a photonic crystal describes periodic variations in material composition that interferes with the propagation of light through the material. See [1, 3].

**1D, 2D, 3D** (photonic materials) – The designation 1D, 2D or 3D describes whether the material varies in composition or refractive index along one (*e.g.*, a thin-film or multilayer lamellae), two (*e.g.*, square or honeycomb lattices of cylindrical holes), or three (*e.g.*, gem opals and cubic crystals) principal orthogonal directions (*e.g.*, Cartesian axes). For instance, in a 2D columnar or fibrillar nanostructure, there is no variation along column/fibril axis (say $z$-axis), but there is in the cross-sectional plane (along $x$ and $y$). See [1, 3][11**].

**Iridescence** – a change in hue with angle of illumination or angle of observation.

**Self-assembly** – a ubiquitous phenomenon that describes the spontaneous or emergent organization of materials at macro-molecular length scales, usually driven by thermal fluctuations and interactions among molecules.

**Phase separation** – a process that describes the spontaneous unmixing of immiscible binary or ternary mixtures, under unfavorable conditions. See [43**].

**Depletion-attraction** – a process that describes the attractive force that brings together larger colloidal particles that reduces the excluded volume around the large particles that a competing smaller solute (depletant) cannot normally occupy, thereby increasing the positional entropy for the depletants and lowering the overall free-energy of the system. Depletion-attraction can also lead to phase separation. See [49].