

Ergodic Theorems for PSPACE functions and their converses

Satyadev Nandakumar¹ and Subin Pulari¹

¹ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology
Kanpur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India.

¹{satyadev,subinp}@cse.iitk.ac.in

January 24, 2022

Abstract

We initiate the study of effective pointwise ergodic theorems in resource-bounded settings. Classically, the convergence of the ergodic averages for integrable functions can be arbitrarily slow [15]. In contrast, we show that for a class of PSPACE L^1 functions, and a class of PSPACE computable measure-preserving ergodic transformations, the ergodic average exists for all PSPACE randoms and is equal to the space average on every EXP random. We establish a partial converse that PSPACE non-randomness can be characterized as non-convergence of ergodic averages. Further, we prove that there is a class of resource-bounded randoms, *viz.* SUBEXP-space randoms, on which the corresponding ergodic theorem has an exact converse - a point x is SUBEXP-space random if and only if the corresponding effective ergodic theorem holds for x .

1 Introduction

In Kolmogorov’s program to found information theory on the theory of algorithms, we investigate whether individual “random” objects obey probabilistic laws, *i.e.*, properties which hold in sample spaces with probability 1. Indeed, a vast and growing literature establishes that *every* Martin-Löf random sequence (see for example, [4] or [22]) obeys the Strong Law of Large Numbers [28], the Law of Iterated Logarithm [29], and surprisingly, the Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem [30], [20], [10], [1] and the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem [8], [9], [24]. In effective settings, the theorem for Martin-Löf random points implies the classical theorem since the set of Martin-Löf randoms has Lebesgue measure 1, and hence is stronger.

In this work, we initiate the study of ergodic theorems in resource-bounded settings. This is a difficult problem, since classically, the convergence speed in ergodic theorems is known to be arbitrarily slow (*e.g.* see Bishop [3], Krengel [15], and V’yugin [30]). However, we establish ergodic theorems in resource-bounded settings which hold on every resource-bounded random object of a particular class. The main technical hurdle we face is the lack of sharp tail bounds. The only general tail bound in ergodic settings is the maximal ergodic inequality, which yields only an inverse linear bound in the number of sample points, in contrast to the inverse exponential bounds in the Chernoff and the Azuma-Hoeffding inequalities.

Rapid L^1 convergence of subsequences of ergodic averages suffices to establish that the ergodic average at all PSPACE randoms exist, and is equal to the space average on all EXP randoms. A non-trivial connection with the theory of uniform distribution of sequences modulo 1 [16], [19],

[23], [21] enables us to show that the canonical example of the Bernoulli measure and the left shift satisfies our convergence assumption. In general, such assumptions are unavoidable since an adaptation of V’yugin’s counterexample [30] shows that there are PSPACE computable ergodic Markov systems where the convergence rate to the ergodic average is not even computable.

It is known that at the level of Martin-Löf randomness, we can use ergodicity to characterize randomness. Franklin and Towsner [5] show that for every non-Martin-Löf random x , there is an effective ergodic system where the ergodic average at x does not converge to the space average. We first show that our PSPACE effective ergodic theorem admits a partial converse of this form. PSPACE non-randoms can be characterized as points where the PSPACE ergodic theorem fails. Since the theorem holds on the smaller set of EXP randoms, it is important to know whether there is a class of resource-bounded randoms on which an effective ergodic theorem holds with an exact converse. We show that the class of SUBEXP-space randoms is one such - on every SUBEXP-space randoms, the SUBEXP-space ergodic theorem holds, and on every SUBEXP-space non-random, it fails. We summarize our results in Table 1.

The proofs of these results are adapted from the techniques of Rute [24], Ko [14], Galatolo, Hoyrup & Rojas [7], [11], and Huang & Stull [12].¹ Our proofs involve several new quantitative estimates, which may of general interest.

Class of functions	Convergence of ergodic averages	
	$\forall f(A_n^f \rightarrow \int f d\mu)$	$\exists f(A_n^f \not\rightarrow \int f d\mu)$
PSPACE L^1	EXP randoms (Theorem 5.5)	PSPACE non-randoms (Theorem 6.1)
SUBEXP-space L^1	SUBEXP-space randoms (Theorem 7.16)	SUBEXP-space non-randoms (Theorem 7.18)

Table 1: Summary of the results involving PSPACE/SUBEXP-space systems.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ be the binary alphabet. Denote the set of all finite binary strings by Σ^* and the set of infinite binary strings by Σ^∞ . For $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ and $y \in \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^\infty$, we write $\sigma \sqsubseteq y$ if σ is a prefix of y . For any infinite string y and any finite string σ , $\sigma[n]$ and $y[n]$ denotes the character at the n^{th} position in y and σ respectively. For any infinite string y and any finite string σ , $\sigma[n, m]$ and $y[n, m]$ represents the strings $\sigma[n]\sigma[n+1]\dots\sigma[m]$ and $y[n]y[n+1]\dots y[m]$ respectively. For any $x \in \Sigma^\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \upharpoonright n$ denotes the string $x[1, n]$. We denote finite strings using small Greek letters like σ , α etc. The length of a finite binary string σ is denoted by $|\sigma|$.

For any finite string σ , the *cylinder* $[\sigma]$ is the set of all infinite sequences with σ as a prefix. χ_σ denotes the characteristic function of $[\sigma]$. For any set of strings $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$, $[S]$ is the union of $[\sigma]$ over all $\sigma \in S$. Extending the notation, χ_S denotes the characteristic function of $[S]$. The Borel σ -algebra generated by the set of all cylinders is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty)$.

¹There are alternative approaches to the proof in Martin-Löf settings, like that of V’yugin [30]. However, the tool he uses for establishing the result is a lower semicomputable test defined on infinite sequences - this is difficult to adapt to resource-bounded settings requiring the output value within bounded time or space. Moreover, the functions in V’yugin’s approach are continuous. We consider the larger class of L^1 functions, which can be discontinuous in general.

Unless specified otherwise, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is represented in the binary alphabet. As is typical in resource-bounded settings, some integer parameters are represented in unary. The set of unary strings is represented as 1^* , and the representation of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in unary is 1^n , a string consisting of n ones. For any $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $[n_1, n_2]$ represents the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n_1 \leq n \leq n_2\}$.

Throughout the paper we take into account the number of cells used in the output tape and the working tape when calculating the space complexity of functions. We assume a finite representation for the set of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} satisfying the following: there exists a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ has a representation of length l then $r \leq 2^{lc}$. Following the works of Hoyrup, and Rojas [11], we introduce the notion of a PSPACE-computable probability space on the Cantor space by endowing it with a PSPACE-computable probability measure.

Definition 2.1. Consider the probability space $(\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty))$. A Borel probability measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, is a *PSPACE-probability measure* if there is a PSPACE machine $M : \Sigma^* \times 1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that for every $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $|M(\sigma, 1^n) - \mu([\sigma])| \leq 2^{-n}$.

A *PSPACE-probability Cantor space* is a pair (Σ^∞, μ) where Σ^∞ is the Cantor space, and μ is a PSPACE probability measure.

In order to define PSPACE (EXP) randomness using PSPACE (EXP) tests we require the following method for approximating sequences of open sets in Σ^∞ in polynomial space (exponential time).

Definition 2.2 (PSPACE/EXP sequence of open sets [12]). A sequence of open sets $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a *PSPACE sequence of open sets* if there exists a sequence of sets $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{k,n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $S_n^k \subseteq \Sigma^*$ such that

1. $U_n = \cup_{k=1}^\infty [S_n^k]$, where for any $m > 0$, $\mu(U_n - \cup_{k=1}^m [S_n^k]) \leq \frac{1}{2^m}$.
2. There exists a *controlling polynomial* p such that $\max\{|\sigma| : \sigma \in \cup_{k=1}^m S_n^k\} \leq p(n+m)$.
3. The function $g : \Sigma^* \times 1^* \times 1^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $g(\sigma, 1^n, 1^m) = 1$ if $\sigma \in S_n^m$, and 0 otherwise, is decidable by a PSPACE machine.

The definition of EXP *sequence of open sets* is similar but the bound in condition 2 is replaced with $2^{p(n+m)}$ and the machine in condition 3 is an EXP-time machine.

Henceforth, we study the notion of resource bounded randomness on (Σ^∞, μ) .

Definition 2.3 (PSPACE/EXP randomness [26]). A sequence of open sets $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a *PSPACE test* if it is a PSPACE sequence of open sets and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu(U_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$.

A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is *PSPACE null* if there is a PSPACE test $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $A \subseteq \cap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$. A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is *PSPACE random* if A is not PSPACE null.

The EXP analogues of the above concepts are defined similarly except that $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is an EXP sequence of open sets.

By considering the sequence $\langle \cup_{i=1}^k S_n^i \rangle_{k,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ instead of $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{k,n \in \mathbb{N}}$, without loss of generality, we can assume that for each n , $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence of sets.

In order to establish our ergodic theorem, it is convenient to define a PSPACE version of Solovay tests, where the relaxation is that the measures of the sets U_n can be any sufficiently fast convergent sequence. We later show that this captures the same set of randoms as PSPACE tests.

Definition 2.4 (PSPACE Solovay test). A sequence of open sets $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE *Solovay test* if it is a PSPACE sequence of open sets and there is a polynomial p such that $\forall m \geq 0$, $\sum_{n=p(m)+1}^\infty \mu(U_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^m}$ ². A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is PSPACE *Solovay null* if there exists a PSPACE Solovay test $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=i}^\infty U_n$. $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is PSPACE *Solovay random* if A is not PSPACE Solovay null.

Theorem 2.5. A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is PSPACE null if and only if A is PSPACE Solovay null.

Proof. It is easy to see that if A is PSPACE null then A is PSPACE Solovay null. Conversely, let A be PSPACE Solovay null and let $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be any Solovay test which witnesses this fact. Let $V_n = \bigcup_{i=p(n)+1}^\infty U_i$. We show that $\langle V_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE test. Let $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets approximating $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ as in definition 2.2 such that $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ is increasing for each n . We define a sequence of sets $\langle T_n^k \rangle_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ approximating V_n as follows.

Let $r(n, k) = \max\{p(n) + 1, p(k + 1)\}$. Define

$$T_n^k = \bigcup_{i=p(n)+1}^{r(n,k)} S_i^{r(n,k)-p(n)+k+1}.$$

We can easily verify the first three conditions in definition 2.2. Using the machine M and controlling polynomial p witnessing that $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of open sets, we can construct the corresponding machines for $\langle V_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in the following way. Machine N on input $(\sigma, 1^n, 1^k)$ does the following:

1. For each $i \in [p(n) + 1, r(n, k)]$ do the following:
 - (a) Output 1 if $M(\sigma, 1^i, 1^{r(n,k)-p(n)+k+1}) = 1$.
2. Output 0 if none of the above computations results in 1.

It is straightforward to verify that N is a PSPACE machine. □

The set of PSPACE Solovay randoms and PSPACE randoms are equal, hence to prove PSPACE randomness results, it suffices to form Solovay tests.

3 PSPACE L^1 computability

The resource-bounded ergodic theorems in our work hold for PSPACE- L^1 functions, the PSPACE analogue of integrable functions. In this section, we briefly recall standard definitions for PSPACE computable L^1 functions and measure-preserving transformations. The justifications and proofs of equivalences of various notions are present in Stull's thesis [25] and [26]. We initially define PSPACE sequence of simple functions, and define PSPACE integrable functions based on approximations using these functions.

Definition 3.1 (PSPACE sequence of simple functions [26]). A sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ where each $f_n : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a PSPACE *sequence of simple functions* if

1. There is a *controlling polynomial* p such that for each n , there exist $k(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{k(n)}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{k(n)}\} \subseteq \Sigma^{p(n)}$ satisfying $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} d_i \chi_{\sigma_i}$.

²This implies that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(U_n) < \infty$

2. There is a PSPACE machine M such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in \Sigma^*$

$$M(1^n, \sigma) = \begin{cases} f_n(\sigma 0^\infty) & \text{if } |\sigma| \geq p(n) \\ ? & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that since M is a PSPACE machine, $\{d_1, d_2 \dots d_{k(n)}\}$ is a set of PSPACE representable numbers. Now, we define PSPACE L^1 -computable functions in terms of limits of convergent PSPACE sequence of simple functions.

Definition 3.2 (PSPACE L^1 -computable functions [26]). A function $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ is PSPACE L^1 -computable if there exists a PSPACE sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|f - f_n\| \leq 2^{-n}$. The sequence $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is called a PSPACE L^1 -approximation of f .

A sequence of L^1 functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ converging to f in the L^1 -norm need not have pointwise limits. Hence the following concept ([24]) is important in studying the pointwise ergodic theorem in the setting of L^1 -computability

Definition 3.3 (\tilde{f} for PSPACE L^1 -computable f). Let $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ be PSPACE L^1 -computable and let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be any PSPACE sequence of simple functions in $L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ approximating f (as in Definition 3.2). Define $\tilde{f} : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\text{undefined}\}$ by $\tilde{f}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ if this limit exists, and is undefined otherwise.³

To define ergodic averages, we restrict ourselves to the following class of transformations.

Definition 3.4 (PSPACE simple transformation). A measurable function $T : (\Sigma^\infty, \mu) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ is a PSPACE simple transformation if there is a *controlling constant* c and a PSPACE machine M such that such that for any $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$

$$T^{-1}([\sigma]) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k(\sigma)} [\sigma_i]$$

such that:

1. $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^{k(\sigma)}$ is a prefix free set and for all $1 \leq i \leq k(\sigma)$, $|\sigma_i| \leq |\sigma| + c$
2. For each $\sigma, \alpha \in \Sigma^*$,

$$M(\sigma, \alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq |\sigma| + c \text{ and } \alpha 0^\infty \in T^{-1}([\sigma]) \\ 0 & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq |\sigma| + c \text{ and } \alpha 0^\infty \notin T^{-1}([\sigma]) \\ ? & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that if T is a PSPACE simple transformation then for any $n \geq 2$, T^n is also a PSPACE simple transformation. We need the following stronger assertion in the proof of the ergodic theorem.

Lemma 3.5. Let $T : (\Sigma^\infty, \mu) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ be a PSPACE simple transformation with controlling constant c . There exists a PSPACE machine N such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma, \alpha \in \Sigma^*$,

$$N(1^n, \sigma, \alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq |\sigma| + cn \text{ and } \alpha 0^\infty \in T^{-n}([\sigma]) \\ 0 & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq |\sigma| + cn \text{ and } \alpha 0^\infty \notin T^{-n}([\sigma]) \\ ? & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

³The definition of \tilde{f} is dependent on the choice of the approximating sequence $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. However, due to Lemma 5.1, we use \tilde{f} in a sequence independent manner.

Proof. Let M be the machine witnessing the fact that T is a PSPACE simple transformation with the polynomial space complexity bound $p(n)$. Let the machine N do the following on input $(1^n, \sigma, \alpha)$:

1. If $\alpha < |\sigma| + cn$, then output ?.
2. If $n = 1$ then, run $M(\sigma, \alpha)$ and output the result of this simulation.
3. Else:
 - (a) For all strings α' of length $|\sigma| + c(n - 1)$ do the following:
 - i. If $N(1^{n-1}, \sigma, \alpha') = 1$ then, output 1 if $M(\alpha', \alpha) = 1$.
4. If no output is produced in the above steps, output 0.

When $n = 1$, N uses at most $p(|\sigma| + |\alpha| + cn) + O(1)$ space. Inductively, assume that for $n = k$, N uses at most $(2k - 1)p(|\sigma| + |\alpha| + cn) + O(1)$ space. For $n = k + 1$, the storage of α' and the two simulations inside step 3a can be done in $2p(|\sigma| + |\alpha| + cn) + (2k - 1)p(|\sigma| + |\alpha| + cn) + O(1) = (2(k + 1) - 1)p(|\sigma| + |\alpha| + cn) + O(1)$ space. Hence, N is a PSPACE machine. \square

PSPACE computability as defined above, relates naturally to convergence of L^1 norms. But the pointwise ergodic theorem deals with almost everywhere convergence, and its resource-bounded versions deal with convergence on every random point. We introduce the modes of convergence we deal with in the present work.

Definition 3.6 (PSPACE-rapid limit point). A real number a is a PSPACE-rapid limit point of the real number sequence $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ if there exists a polynomial p such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists k \leq 2^{p(m)}$ such that $|a_k - a| \leq \frac{1}{2^m}$.

Note that this requires rapid convergence only on a subsequence. We remark that the above is equivalent to the existence of a PSPACE machine computing the speed of convergence on input 1^m . The following definition is the L^1 version of the above.

Definition 3.7 (PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point). A function $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of a sequence $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of functions in $L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ if 0 is a PSPACE-rapid limit point of $\|f_n - f\|_1$.

Now we define PSPACE analogue of almost everywhere convergence ([24]).

Definition 3.8 (PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergence). A sequence of measurable functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to a measurable function f if there exists a polynomial p such that for all m_1 and m_2 ,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{p(m_1+m_2)}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2}}.$$

Notation. Let $A_n^{f,T} = \frac{f + f \circ T + f \circ T^2 + \dots + f \circ T^{n-1}}{n}$ denote the n^{th} Birkhoff average for any function f and transformation T . We prove the ergodic theorem in measure preserving systems where $\int f d\mu$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of $A_n^{f,T}$. In the rest of the paper we denote $A_n^{f,T}$ simply by A_n^f . The transformation T involved in the Birkhoff sum is implicit.

Definition 3.9 (PSPACE ergodic transformations). A measurable function $T : (\Sigma^\infty, \mu) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ is PSPACE *ergodic* if T is a PSPACE simple measure preserving transformation such that for any PSPACE L^1 -computable $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$, $\int f d\mu$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 limit point of A_n^f .

V'yugin [30] shows that the speed of a.e. convergence to ergodic averages in computable ergodic systems is not computable in general. This leads us to consider some assumption on the rapidity of convergence in resource-bounded settings. We show that the requirement on L^1 rapidity of convergence of A_n^f is sufficient to derive our result. Several probabilistic laws like the Law of Large Numbers, Law of Iterated Logarithm satisfy this criterion, hence the assumption is sufficiently general. Moreover, as we show now, in the canonical example of Bernoulli systems with the left-shift, every PSPACE L^1 function exhibits PSPACE rapidity of A_n^f , showing that the latter property is not artificial. The proof of this theorem is a non-trivial application of techniques from uniform distribution of sequences modulo 1 [16], [23], [19], [21].

Theorem 3.10. *Let $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu)$ where μ is the Bernoulli measure $\mu(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|}}$ and let T be the left shift transformation. If f is PSPACE L^1 -computable, then there exists a polynomial q satisfying the following: given any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $n \geq 2^{q(m)}$, $\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1 \leq 2^{-m}$.*

An equivalent statement is the following: The left-shift transformation on the Bernoulli probability measure is PSPACE ergodic⁴. Theorem 3.10 gives an explicit bound on the speed of convergence in the L^1 ergodic theorem for an interesting class of functions over the Bernoulli space. Such bounds do not exist in general for the L^1 ergodic theorem as demonstrated by Krengel in [15].

The above theorem can be obtained from the following assertion regarding PSPACE-rapid convergence of characteristic functions of long enough cylinders.

Lemma 3.11. *Let T be the left shift transformation $T : (\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu)$ where μ is the Bernoulli measure $\mu(\sigma) = 2^{-|\sigma|}$. There exist polynomials q_1, q_2 such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ with $|\sigma| \geq q_1(m)$ we get $\|A_n^{\chi_\sigma} - \mu(\sigma)\|_1 \leq 2^{-m}$ for all $n \geq |\sigma|^{3/2} 2^{q_2(m)}$.*

Now we prove Theorem 3.10 by assuming Lemma 3.11.

Proof of Theorem 3.10. Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a PSPACE sequence of simple functions witnessing the fact that f is PSPACE L^1 -computable. Let p be a controlling polynomial and let t be a polynomial upper bound for the space complexity of the machine associated with $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Let q_1, q_2 be the polynomials from Lemma 3.11. Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be any number such that if a $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ has a representation of length l then $r \leq 2^{l^c}$ (see Section 2). Observe that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1 &\leq \|A_n^f - A_n^{f_{q_1(m+3)}}\|_1 + \|A_n^{f_{q_1(m+3)}} - \int f_{q_1(m+3)} d\mu\|_1 + \|\int f_{q_1(m+3)} d\mu - \int f d\mu\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{q_1(m+3)}} + \|A_n^{f_{q_1(m+3)}} - \int f_{q_1(m+3)} d\mu\|_1 + \frac{1}{2^{q_1(m+3)}}. \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{m+3}} + \|A_n^{f_{q_1(m+3)}} - \int f_{q_1(m+3)} d\mu\|_1 + \frac{1}{2^{m+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that there exists $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k\} \subseteq \Sigma^{p(q_1(m+3))}$ such that $A_n^{f_{q_1(m+3)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k(q_1(m+3))} d_i \chi_{\sigma_i}$ where each $d_i \leq 2^{(t(q_1(m+3)+p(q_1(m+3))))^c}$. Hence,

$$\|A_n^{f_{q_1(m+3)}} - \int f_{q_1(m+3)} d\mu\|_1 \leq 2^{(t(q_1(m+3)+p(q_1(m+3))))^c} \sum_{i=1}^{k(q_1(m+3))} \|A_n^{\chi_{\sigma_i}} - \mu(\sigma_i)\|_1$$

⁴Equivalently, there exists a constant c such that for all $n > 0$, $\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1 \leq 2^{-\lfloor \log(n)^{\frac{1}{c}} \rfloor}$.

Since $|\sigma_i| \geq p(q_1(m+3)) \geq q_1(m+3)$, using Lemma 3.11, for

$$n \geq p(q_1(m+3))^3 2^{q_2(t(q_1(m+3)+p(q_1(m+3)))^c+p(q_1(m+3))+m+3)}$$

we get that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n^{f_{q_1(m+3)}} - \int f_{q_1(m+3)} d\mu\|_1 &\leq \frac{2^{t(q_1(m+3)+p(q_1(m+3)))^c+p(q_1(m+3))+m+3}}{2^{t(q_1(m+3)+p(q_1(m+3)))^c+p(q_1(m+3))+m+3}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{m+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $n \geq p(q_1(m+3))^3 2^{q_2(t(q_1(m+3)+p(q_1(m+3)))^c+p(q_1(m+3))+m+3)}$ we have $\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1 \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-(m+3)} < 2^{-m}$. \square

Now, we give a proof for Lemma 3.11.

Proof of Lemma 3.11. The major difficulty in directly approximating $\|A_n^{\chi_\sigma} - \mu(\sigma)\|_1$ is that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n^{\chi_\sigma}$ and $A_m^{\chi_\sigma}$ may not be *independent*. In order to overcome this, we use constructions similar to those used in proving Pillai's theorem (see [23], [19] for normal numbers, [21] for continued fractions) in order to approximate each $A_n^{\chi_\sigma}$ with sums of *disjoint* averages. These *disjoint* averages turns out to be averages of independent random variables. Hence, elementary results from probability theory regarding independent random variables can be used to show that $A_n^{\chi_\sigma}$ converges to $\int f d\mu$ sufficiently fast.

Observe that for any $x \in \Sigma^\infty$

$$A_n^{\chi_\sigma}(x) = \frac{|\{i \in [0, n-1] \mid T^i x \in [\sigma]\}|}{n}$$

Let $k = |\sigma|$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 from [21], the following is a decomposition of the above term as *disjoint* averages,

$$\frac{|\{i \in [0, n-1] \mid T^i x \in [\sigma]\}|}{n} = g_1(n) + g_2(n) + \cdots + g_{(1+\lfloor \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rfloor)}(n) + \frac{(k-1) \cdot O(\log n)}{n}$$

where,

$$g_p(n) = \begin{cases} n^{-1} |\{i \mid T^{ki} x \in [\sigma], 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/k \rfloor\}|, & \text{if } p = 1 \\ n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\{i \mid T^{(2^{p-1})ki} x \in [S_j], 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2^{p-1}k \rfloor\}|, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq (1 + \lfloor \log_2(n/k) \rfloor) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where S_j is the finite collection of $2^{(p-1)}k$ length blocks s.t σ occurs in it at starting position $(2^{(p-2)}k - j + 1)^{th}$ position i.e S_j is the set of strings of the form, $u a_1 a_2 \dots a_k v$ where u is some string of length $2^{p-2}k - j$, and v is some string of length $2^{p-2}k - k + j$.

When $p = 1$,

$$g_1(n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} X_i^{1,1}}{n}$$

where,

$$X_i^{1,1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x[ik+1, (i+1)k] = \sigma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

When $1 < p \leq \lfloor \log_2(n/k) \rfloor$,

$$g_p(n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_i^{p,j}}{n}$$

where,

$$X_i^{p,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x[2^{p-2}k - j + 1, 2^{p-2}k - j + k] = \sigma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$A_n^{\chi_\sigma}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} X_i^{1,1}(x)}{n} + \sum_{p=2}^{\lfloor \log_2(\frac{n}{k}) \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} X_i^{p,j}}{n} + \frac{(k-1) \cdot O(\log n)}{n}$$

An important observation that we use later in the proof is that for any fixed p and j , $\{X_i^{p,j}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a collection of i.i.d Bernoulli random variables such that $\mu(\{x : X_i^{p,j}(x) = 1\}) = 2^{-|\sigma|}$. We show that the conclusion of the lemma holds when $q_1(m) = 2(m+6)$ and $q_2(m) = 5(m+6)$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{p=m+5+2}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} X_i^{p,j} \right\|_2 \leq \sum_{p=m+5+2}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+5}} \quad (1)$$

And for $n \geq |\sigma|^3 2^{q_2(m)} > |\sigma|^2 2^{2(m+5)}$,

$$\left\| \frac{(k-1)O(\log(n))}{n} \right\|_2 = \left\| \frac{(k-1)O(\log(n))}{\sqrt{n}\sqrt{n}} \right\|_2 \leq \left| \frac{k-1}{\sqrt{n}} \right| \leq \left| \frac{k-1}{k 2^{m+5}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+5}} \quad (2)$$

Let,

$$D_{n,m}^\sigma(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} X_i^{1,1}(x)}{n} + \sum_{p=2}^{m+5+2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} X_i^{p,j}}{n}$$

From (1) and (2), we get that

$$\|A_n^{\chi_\sigma} - D_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{2^{m+5}}.$$

Let,

$$E_{n,m}^\sigma(x) = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} X_i^{1,1}(x)}{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} - \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}{n} + \sum_{p=2}^{m+5+2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} X_i^{p,j}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} - \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor}{n}$$

Now,

$$D_{n,m}^\sigma(x) - E_{n,m}^\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2^k k} + \sum_{p=2}^{m+5+2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor}{n}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{n,m}^\sigma(x) - E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{p=2}^{m+5+2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^k 2^{p-1} k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{p=2}^{m+5+2} \frac{1}{2^k 2^{p-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{p=2}^{m+5+2} \frac{1}{2^k} \\ &\leq \frac{m+5+2}{2^k} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $|\sigma| = k \geq q_1(m) = m + 5 + 2 + m + 5$ then,

$$\|D_{n,m}^\sigma(x) - E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+5}}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n^{\chi_\sigma} - \mu(\sigma)\|_2 &\leq \|A_n^{\chi_\sigma} - D_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 + \|D_{n,m}^\sigma(x) - E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 + \|E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 + \frac{1}{2^k} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2^{m+5}} + \|E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 + \frac{1}{2^{2m+12}} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{2^{m+5}} + \|E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in order to show that for all $n \geq |\sigma|^{3 \cdot 2^{q_2(m)}}$, $\|A_n^{\chi_\sigma} - \mu(\sigma)\|_1 \leq \|A_n^{\chi_\sigma} - \mu(\sigma)\|_2 \leq 2^{-m}$, it is enough to show that for all $n \geq |\sigma|^{3 \cdot 2^{q_2(m)}}$, $\|E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 \leq 2^{-(m+5)}$. Observe that,

$$\|E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} X_i^{1,1}(x) - \frac{1}{2^k} \right\|_2 + \sum_{p=2}^{m+5+2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left\| \frac{1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \rfloor} X_i^{p,j} - \frac{1}{2^k} \right\|_2.$$

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be i.i.d Bernoulli random variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \mathbf{E}(Y_1) \right\|_2 &= \sqrt{\mathbf{E} \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \mathbf{E}(Y_1) \right)^2 \right)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} n \text{Var}(Y_1)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y_1)}}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that the variance of Bernoulli random variables are always bounded by $\frac{1}{4}$. Hence, if $n \geq |\sigma|^{3 \cdot 2^{q_2(m)}} = |\sigma|^{3 \cdot 2^{5(m+6)}}$ then,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor > k^2 2^{4(m+6)}$$

and

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{p-1}k} \right\rfloor \geq \frac{k^3 2^{5(m+6)}}{2^{m+5+1}k} > k^2 2^{4(m+6)}.$$

Hence for all $n \geq |\sigma|^{3 \cdot 2^{q_2(m)}} = |\sigma|^{3 \cdot 2^{5(m+6)}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_{n,m}^\sigma\|_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2k 2^{2(m+6)}} + (m+6)k \frac{1}{2k 2^{2(m+6)}} \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{m+6}} + \frac{1}{2^{m+6}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{m+5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

We remark that since Lemma 3.11 is true with the L^1 -norm replaced by the L^2 -norm, Theorem 3.10 is also true in the L^2 setting. i.e, if a function f is PSPACE L^2 -computable (replacing L^1 norms with L^2 norms in definition 3.2) then there exists a polynomial q satisfying the following: given any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $n \geq 2^{q(m)}$, $\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_2 \leq 2^{-m}$. Hence, for PSPACE L^2 -computable functions and the left shift transformation T , we get bounds on the convergence speed in the von-Neumann's ergodic theorem.

We now show that PSPACE ergodicity is a stronger version of \ln^2 -ergodicity introduced in [6].

Lemma 3.12. *Let $T : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty$ be any measurable transformation. T is PSPACE ergodic if and only if for any $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$, there exist $c > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > 0$,*

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int f \circ T^i \cdot f - \int f d\mu \int f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{c}{2^{(\ln n)^{\frac{1}{k}}}}.$$

Proof. We prove the forward implication first. The proof uses techniques from the proof of Theorem 4 in [6]. From the hypothesis there exist $c > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i \cdot f - \left(\int f d\mu \right)^2 \right| < \frac{c}{2^{(\ln n)^{\frac{1}{k}}}}$$

By replacing f with $f - \int f d\mu$, without loss of generality we assume that $\int f d\mu = 0$. First we show that A_n^f is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$. Following the steps in proof of Lemma 6 in [6] we get that,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \left| A_n^f - \int f d\mu \right| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \leq 2^{2m_1} \left(\frac{\|f\|_\infty^2}{n} + \frac{c}{2^{(\ln n)^{\frac{1}{k}}}} \right)$$

Hence, there exists a polynomial q such that,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{q(m_1, m_2)}} \left| A_{\sqrt{n}}^f - \int f d\mu \right| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2}}$$

From the proof of Lemma 7 in [6], we get that for m such that $\sqrt{n} \leq m \leq \sqrt{n+1}$ and $\beta_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1}}$,

$$\|A_{\sqrt{n}}^f - A_m^f\|_\infty \leq 2(1 - \beta_n)\|f\|_\infty$$

Let l_1, l_2 be any numbers such that $2^{l_1} \geq \|f\|_\infty$ and $2^{l_2} \geq 2k$. Let $p(n) = 2n - 2$. It is easy to see that for all $n \geq 2^{p(m+l_1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1}} &= \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n+1}} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{m_1+l_1+2}} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{m_1+2}\|f\|_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

From the two previous inequalities we get that for $n \geq 2^{p(m+l_1)}$ and m such that $\sqrt{n} \leq m \leq \sqrt{n+1}$,

$$\|A_{\sqrt{n}}^f - A_m^f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}}$$

Hence,

$$\bigcup_{\sqrt{n} \leq m \leq \sqrt{n+1}} \left(\left\{ x : \left| A_m^f - \int f d\mu \right| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \subseteq \left(\left\{ x : \left| A_{\sqrt{n}}^f - \int f d\mu \right| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}} \right\} \right)$$

Let $r(m_1, m_2) = q(m_1 + 1, m_2) + p(m + l_1)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{r(m_1, m_2)}} \left| A_n^f - \int f d\mu \right| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) &\leq \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{q(m_1, m_2)}} \left| A_{\sqrt{n}}^f - \int f d\mu \right| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence A_n^f is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$. Now, given any i , for $n \geq 2^{r(i+1, i+l_1+1)}$,

$$\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{2^{i+l_1+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^i}$$

Hence, $\int f d\mu$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of A_n^f .

Now, we prove the backward direction. Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int f \circ T^i \cdot f - \int f d\mu \int f d\mu \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int f \circ T^i \cdot f - \int \left(\int f d\mu \right) f d\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int \left(f \circ T^i - \int f d\mu \right) f d\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i - \int f d\mu \right) f d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_2 \|f\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. From Theorem 4.2 we get that A_n^f is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$. Hence, there exists a polynomial p such that for any m_1 and m_2 ,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{p(m_1+m_2)}} \left| A_n^f(x) - \int f d\mu \right| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2}}.$$

Let l_1 be any number such that $2^{l_1} \geq \|f\|_\infty \geq \|f\|_2$. Now, for any given $m > 0$, for all $n \geq 2^{p(2m+2l_1+1, 2m+5l_1+1)}$,

$$\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{2m+2l_1+1}} + \frac{\|f\|_\infty^2}{2^{2m+5l_1+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2m+2l_1}}.$$

Hence, there exists $j > 0$ such that for any given $m > 0$, for all $n \geq 2^{(m+l_1)^j}$

$$\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+l_1}}.$$

Now given $n > 0$, let m be any number such that $2^{(m+l_1)^j} \leq n \leq 2^{(m+l_1+1)^j}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int f \circ T^i \cdot f - \int f d\mu \int f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{\|f\|_2}{2^{m+l_1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^m}$$

Since $n \leq 2^{(m+l_1+1)^j}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int f \circ T^i \cdot f - \int f d\mu \int f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{2^{l_1+1}}{2^{(\ln n)^{\frac{1}{j}}}}$$

The result follows with $c = 2^{l_1+1}$. □

4 PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergence of ergodic averages

In the earlier section, we related the rapidity of L^1 convergence of f_n to f , to the L^1 convergence speed of A_n^f to $\int f$. Now we present PSPACE versions of Theorem 2 and Proposition 5 from [7], relating the L^1 convergence of A_n^f to $\int f$ to its almost everywhere convergence. The main estimate which we require in this section is the maximal ergodic inequality, which we now recall.

Lemma 4.1 (Maximal ergodic inequality [2]). *If $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ and $\delta > 0$ then,*

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 1} |A_n^f(x)| > \delta \right\} \right) \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{\delta}.$$

Using this lemma, we now prove the almost everywhere convergence of ergodic averages. In contrast to [7], we give a direct proof of the theorem for L^1 functions with possibly infinite essential supremum using Markov's inequality.

Theorem 4.2. *Let f be any function in $L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ and let T be a measure preserving transformation. If $\int f d\mu$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of A_n^f then A_n^f is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$.*

Proof. By replacing f with $f - \int f d\mu$ we can assume without loss of generality that $\int f d\mu = 0$.

We construct a polynomial q such that for any m_1 and m_2 ,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{q(m_1+m_2)}} |A_n^f(x)| > 2^{-m_1} \right\} \right) \leq 2^{-m_2}.$$

Since, $\int f d\mu = 0$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of A_n^f there is a polynomial p such that $\exists k \leq 2^{p(m_1+m_2+2)}$ with $\|A_k^f\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_1+m_2+2}}$.

Applying the maximal ergodic inequality to $g = A_k^f$, we get

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 1} |A_n^g(x)| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2+1}}. \quad (3)$$

Expanding A_n^g ,

$$A_n^g = A_n^f + \frac{u \circ T^n - u}{nk},$$

where $u = (k-1)f + (k-2)f \circ T + \dots + f \circ T^{k-2}$. Note that

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \|f\|_1.$$

Let M be any upper bound for $\|f\|_1$. And let $n_0 = (2^{p(m_1+m_2+2)} - 1)M2^{m_1+m_2+2}$. From the above, we get $\|A_n^g - A_n^f\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_1+m_2+2}}$ for any $n \geq n_0$. Now from Markov's inequality it follows that,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq n_0} |A_n^f(x) - A_n^g(x)| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{\|A_n^g - A_n^f\|_1}{2^{m_1+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2+1}} \quad (4)$$

Hence, from 3 and 4, we get

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq n_0} |A_n^f(x)| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2}}.$$

Since n_0 is upper bounded by a term of the form $2^{q(m_1+m_2)}$ for a polynomial q , the claim follows. \square

If $f \in L^\infty$, the converse of Theorem 4.2 can be easily obtained by expanding $\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1$.

5 An ergodic theorem for PSPACE L^1 functions

We now establish the main theorem in our work, namely, that for PSPACE L^1 computable functions, the ergodic average exists, and is equal to the space average, on every EXP random. We utilize the almost everywhere convergence results proved in the previous section, to prove the convergence on every PSPACE/EXP random. The convergence notions involved in proving the PSPACE/SUBEXP-space ergodic theorems and their interrelationships are summarized in Figure 1.

The following fact was shown in [12]. However, for our ergodic theorem we require an alternate proof of this fact using techniques from [24].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a PSPACE sequence of simple functions which converges PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere to $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$. Then,*




Figure 1: Relationships between the major convergence notions involving PSPACE simple measure preserving transformations. $A_n^f \dashrightarrow \int f d\mu$ denotes that $\int f d\mu$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of A_n^f . PSPACE/SUBEXP-space ergodicity is required only for obtaining the ergodic theorems from PSPACE a.e convergence.

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists for all EXP random x .
2. Given a PSPACE sequence of simple functions $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ which is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for all EXP random x .

Proof. We initially show 1. For each $k \geq 0$, since $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f , we have a polynomial q such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{q(k)}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{k+2}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{q(k)}} |f_n(x) - f_{2^{q(k)}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}.$$

Define

$$U_k = \left\{ x : \max_{2^{q(k)} \leq n \leq 2^{q(k+1)}} |f_n(x) - f_{2^{q(k)}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \right\}.$$

Observe that

$$\mu(U_k) \leq \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{q(k)}} |f_n(x) - f_{2^{q(k)}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}.$$

Let r be the controlling polynomial and let M be the PSPACE machine witnessing the fact that $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of simple functions. U_k is hence a union of cylinders of length at most $r(2^{q(k+1)})$. Let the machine N on input $(\sigma, 1^k)$ do the following:

1. If $|\sigma| < r(2^{q(k+1)})$ then, output 0.

2. Compute $f_{2^{q(k)}}(\sigma 0^\infty)$ by running $M(1^{2^{q(k)}}, \sigma)$ and store the result.
3. For each $n \in [2^{q(k)}, 2^{q(k+1)}]$ do the following:
 - (a) Compute $f_n(\sigma 0^\infty)$ by running $M(1^n, \sigma)$ and store the result.
 - (b) Check if $|f_n(\sigma 0^\infty) - f_{2^{q(k)}}(\sigma 0^\infty)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$. If so, output 1.
4. Output 0.

Since N rejects any σ with length less than $r(2^{q(k+1)})$, the simulation of $M(1^{2^{q(k)}}, \sigma)$ is always a polynomial space operation. Hence, N is an EXP-time machine witnessing the fact that $\langle U_k \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ is an EXP sequence of open sets.

Define,

$$V_k = \bigcup_{i=k}^\infty U_i.$$

Since $\mu(U_k) \leq 2^{-(k+1)}$, machine N above can be easily modified to show that $\langle V_k \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ is an EXP test.

If $x \in \Sigma^\infty$ is an EXP random then x is in at most finitely many V_k and hence in only finitely many U_k . Hence, for large enough k and for all $n \geq 2^{q(k)}$ we have

$$|f_n(x) - f_{2^{q(k)}}(x)| \leq \sum_{j=k}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

This shows that $f_n(x)$ is a Cauchy sequence. This completes the proof of 1.

Given $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ which is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f , the interleaved sequence $f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, f_3, g_3 \dots$ can be easily verified to be PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f . 2 now follows directly from 1. \square

The following immediately follows from the above lemma.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ be a PSPACE L^1 -computable function with an L^1 approximating PSPACE sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Then,*

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists for all EXP random x .
2. Given a PSPACE sequence of simple functions $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ L^1 approximating f , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for all EXP random x .

Proof. For any $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq m_1 + m_2 + 1} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) &\leq \sum_{n=m_1+m_2+1}^\infty \mu \left(\left\{ x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=m_1+m_2+1}^\infty \|f_n - f\|_1 2^{m_1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{m_2+i}} = 2^{-m_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f . The claim follows due to Lemma 5.1. \square

The following properties satisfied by PSPACE simple transformations and PSPACE L^1 -computable functions are useful in our proof of the PSPACE ergodic theorem.

Lemma 5.3. *Let f be a PSPACE L^1 -computable function. Let $I_f : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty$ be the constant function taking the value $\int f d\mu$ over all $x \in \Sigma^\infty$. Then, I_f is PSPACE L^1 -computable and $\tilde{I}_f(x) = \int f d\mu$ for all EXP random x .*

Proof. Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a PSPACE sequence of simple functions, M be a PSPACE machine and p be a controlling polynomial witnessing the fact that f is PSPACE L^1 -computable. We construct a PSPACE sequence of simple functions $\langle f'_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ where each f'_n is the constant function taking the value $\int f_n d\mu$. Since $\|f'_n - I_f\|_1 = |\int f_n d\mu - \int f d\mu| \leq \|f_n - f\|_1 \leq 2^{-n}$, it follows that I_f is PSPACE L^1 -computable. Now, from part 2 of Lemma 5.1, we get that $\tilde{I}_f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu$ for all EXP random x .

On input $(1^n, \sigma)$, let machine N do the following:

1. Let Sum = 0.
2. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma^{p(n)}$ do the following:
 - (a) Run $M(1^n, \alpha)$ and add the result to Sum.
3. Output Sum/ $2^{p(n)}$.

Let t be a polynomial upper bound for the space complexity of M . Then, the result of $M(1^n, \alpha)$ is always upper bounded by $2^{t(n+p(n))}$ and representable in $t(n+p(n))$ space. The sum of at most $2^{p(n)}$ many such numbers is upper bounded by $2^{t(n+p(n))+p(n)}$ and representable in $t(n+p(n))+p(n)$ space. Dividing the running sum by $2^{p(n)}$ can also be done in polynomial space. Hence, N is a PSPACE machine computing the sequence $\langle f'_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ where each $f'_n = \int f_n d\mu$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let f be a PSPACE L^1 -computable function with an L^1 approximating PSPACE sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Let T be a PSPACE simple transformation and p be a polynomial. Then, $\langle A_n^{f_{p(n)}} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of simple functions.*

Proof. Let q be a controlling polynomial and M_f be a machine witnessing the fact that $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of simple functions. Let c_T be a controlling constant witnessing the fact that T is a PSPACE simple transformation. For any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$A_n^{f_{p(n)}} = \frac{f_{p(n)} + f_{p(n)} \circ T + f_{p(n)} \circ T^2 + \dots + f_{p(n)} \circ T^n}{n}.$$

The functions $\langle f_{p(n)} \circ T^i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ are simple functions defined on cylinders of length at most $q(p(n)) + c_T n$. Hence, the polynomial $r(n) = q(p(n)) + c_T n$ is a controlling polynomial for the sequence of functions $\langle A_n^{f_{p(n)}} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ as in condition 1 of Definition 3.1. Now, let us verify condition 2 of Definition 3.1. Let M be the machine from Lemma 3.5. We construct a machine N such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma^*$,

$$N(1^n, \alpha) = \begin{cases} A_n^{f_{p(n)}}(\alpha 0^\infty) & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq r(n) \\ ? & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On input $(1^n, \alpha)$ if $|\alpha| < r(n)$ then N outputs ? else it operates as follows:

1. Let Sum = 0
2. For each $i \in [1, n]$, do the following:
 - (a) For each string σ of length $q(p(n))$, do the following:
 - i. If $M(1^i, \sigma, \alpha) = 1$, then let Sum = Sum + $M_f(1^{p(n)}, \sigma)$.
3. Output Sum/ n .

If t_1 is a polynomial upper bound for the space complexity of M and t_2 is a polynomial upper bound for the space complexity of M_f then, each $f_{p(n)} \circ T^i$ can be computed in $O(t_1(2q(p(n)) + c_T n + n) + t_2(q(p(n)) + p(n)))$ space for any $i \leq n$. The results of computations of $f_{p(n)} \circ T^i$ for $i \in [1, n]$ can be added up and divided by n in polynomial space. N outputs the result of this computation. Since N is a PSPACE machine, the proof is complete. \square

Now, we prove the ergodic theorem for PSPACE L^1 functions, which is our main result. The proof involves adaptations of techniques from Rute [24], together with new quantitative bounds which yield the result within prescribed resource bounds.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $T : (\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu)$ be a PSPACE ergodic measure preserving transformation. Then, for any PSPACE L^1 -computable f , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{A}_n^f = \int f d\mu$ on EXP randoms.*

Proof. Let $\langle f_m \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ be any PSPACE sequence of simple functions L^1 approximating f . We initially approximate A_n^f with a PSPACE sequence of simple functions $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ which converges to $\int f d\mu$ on EXP randoms. Then we show that \widetilde{A}_n^f has the same limit as g_n on PSPACE randoms and hence on EXP randoms.

For each n , it is easy to verify that $\langle A_n^{f_m} \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of simple functions L^1 approximating A_n^f with the same rate of convergence. Using techniques similar to those in Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.2, we can obtain a polynomial p such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{m \geq p(n+i)} |A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{n+i+1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+i+1}}.$$

For every $n > 0$, let $g_n = A_n^{f_{p(n)}}$. We initially show that $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\int f d\mu$ on EXP randoms. Let $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$. From Theorem 4.2, A_n^f is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$. Hence there is a polynomial q such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{q(m_1+m_2)}} |A_n^f(x) - \int f d\mu| \geq \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2+1}}.$$

Let $N(m_1, m_2) = \max\{2m_1, 2m_2, 2^{q(m_1+m_2)}\}$. Then,

$$\sum_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2^{N(m_1, m_2)}} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{m_2+1}} \right\}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} |g_n - \int f d\mu| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) &\leq \sum_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} \mu \left(\left\{ x : |g_n - A_n^f(x)| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}} \right\} \right) \\
&\quad + \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^q(m_1+m_2)} |A_n^f(x) - \int f d\mu| \geq \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{m_2+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{m_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $N(m_1, m_2)$ is bounded by $2^{(m_1+m_2)^c}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, g_n is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$. From Lemma 5.4 it follows that $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty = \langle A_n^{f_{p(n)}} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of simple functions (in parameter n). Let $I_f : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty$ be the constant function taking the value $\int f d\mu$ over all $x \in \Sigma^\infty$. From the above observations and Lemma 5.1 we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \tilde{I}_f(x)$ for any x which is EXP random. Now, from Lemma 5.3, we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \int f d\mu$ for any x which is EXP random.

We now show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n^f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$ on PSPACE randoms. Define

$$U_{n,i} = \left\{ x : \max_{p(n+i) \leq m \leq p(n+i+1)} |A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{n+i+1}} \right\}.$$

We already know $\mu(U_{n,i}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+i+1}}$. $U_{n,i}$ can be shown to be polynomial space approximable in parameters n and i in the following sense. There exists a sequence of sets of strings $\langle S_{n,i} \rangle_{i,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and polynomial p satisfying the following conditions:

1. $U_{n,i} = [S_{n,i}]$.
2. There exists a *controlling polynomial* r such that $\max\{|\sigma| : \sigma \in S_{n,i}\} \leq r(n+i)$.
3. The function $g : \Sigma^* \times 1^* \times 1^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$g(\sigma, 1^n, 1^i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma \in S_{n,i} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is decidable by a PSPACE machine.

The above claims can be established by using techniques similar to those in Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.1. We now show the construction of a machine N computing the function g above. Let M_f be a computing machine and let q be a controlling polynomial for $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Let c be a controlling constant for T . Let M' be the machine from Lemma 3.5. Machine N on input $(\sigma, 1^n, 1^i)$ does the following:

1. If $|\sigma| > q(p(n+i+1)) + cn$, then output 0.
2. Compute $A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(\sigma 0^\infty)$ as in Lemma 5.4 by using M_f and M' and store the result.
3. For each $m \in [p(n+i), p(n+i+1)]$ do the following:

- (a) Compute $A_n^{f_m}(\sigma 0^\infty)$ as in Lemma 5.4 by using M_f and M' and store the result.
- (b) Check if $|A_n^{f_m}(\sigma 0^\infty) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(\sigma 0^\infty)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{n+i+1}}$. If so, output 1.

4. Output 0.

It can be easily verified that N is a PSPACE machine. $r(n+i) = q(p(n+i+1)) + cn$ is the controlling polynomial for $\langle U_{n,i} \rangle_{n,i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Now, define

$$V_m = \bigcup_{\substack{n,i \geq 0 \\ n+i=m}} U_{n,i}.$$

Note that,

$$\mu(V_m) \leq \frac{m}{2^m}.$$

It can be shown that for any j ,

$$\sum_{n>j} \frac{m}{2^m} = \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} + \frac{j}{2^j}.$$

Given any $k \geq 0$, let $p(k) = 3(k+1)$. Hence, we have

$$\sum_{n=p(k)+1}^{\infty} \frac{m}{2^m} = \frac{1}{2^{3(k+1)}} + \frac{3(k+1)}{2^{3(k+1)}} < \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \frac{3(k+1)}{2^{2(k+1)}} < \frac{2}{2^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

The last inequality holds since $3(k+1) < 2^{2(k+1)}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Since each V_m is a finite union of sets from $\langle U_{n,i} \rangle_{n,i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the machine computing $\langle U_{n,i} \rangle_{n,i \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be easily modified to construct a machine witnessing that $\langle V_m \rangle_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a PSPACE approximable sequence of sets. From these observations, it follows that $\langle V_m \rangle_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a PSPACE Solovay test. Now, let x be a PSPACE random. x is in at most finitely many V_m and hence in at most finitely many $U_{n,i}$. Hence for some large enough N for all $n \geq N$, $i \geq 0$ and for all m such that $p(n+i) \leq m \leq p(n+i+1)$, we have $|A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(x)| < \frac{1}{2^{n+i+1}}$. It follows that for all $n \geq N$ and for all $m \geq p(n)$ that,

$$|A_n^{f_m}(x) - g_n(x)| = |A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n)}}(x)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+i+1}} \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n^f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x)$ on all PSPACE random x and hence on all x which is EXP random.

Hence, we have shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n^f = \int f d\mu$ on EXP randoms which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

6 A partial converse to the PSPACE Ergodic Theorem

In this section we give a partial converse to the PSPACE ergodic theorem (Theorem 5.5). We show that for any PSPACE null x , there exists a function f and transformation T satisfying all the conditions in Theorem 5.5 such that $\tilde{A}_n^f(x)$ does not converge to $\int f d\mu$.

Let us first observe that due to Corollary 5.2, Theorem 5.5 is equivalent to the following:

Theorem. Let T be a PSPACE ergodic measure preserving transformation such that for any PSPACE L^1 -computable f , $\int f d\mu$ is an PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of A_n^f . Let $\{g_{n,i}\}$ be any collection of simple functions such that for each n , $\langle g_{n,i} \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE L^1 -approximation of $\widetilde{A_n^f}$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x) = \int f d\mu$ for any EXP random x .

Hence, the ideal converse to Theorem 5.5 is the following:

Theorem. Given any EXP null x , there exists a PSPACE ergodic measure preserving transformation T and PSPACE L^1 -computable $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ such that the following conditions are true:

1. $\int f d\mu$ is an PSPACE-rapid limit point of A_n^f .
2. There exists a collection of simple functions $\{g_{n,i}\}$ such that for each n , $\langle g_{n,i} \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE L^1 -approximation of A_n^f but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x) \neq \int f d\mu$.

But, we prove the following partial converse to Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 6.1. Given any PSPACE null x , there exists a PSPACE L^1 -computable $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ such that for any PSPACE simple measure preserving transformation, the following conditions are true:

1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1 = 0$. Hence, $\int f d\mu$ is an PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of A_n^f .
2. There exists a collection of simple functions $\{g_{n,i}\}$ such that for each n , $\langle g_{n,i} \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE L^1 -approximation of A_n^f but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x) \neq \int f d\mu$.

A proof of the above theorem requires the construction in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a PSPACE test. Then there exists a sequences of sets $\langle \widehat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\widehat{S}_n \subseteq \Sigma^*$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\mu([\widehat{S}_n]) \leq 2^{-n}$.
2. $\cap_{m=1}^\infty \cup_{n=m}^\infty [\widehat{S}_n] \supseteq \cap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$.
3. There exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n , $\sigma \in \widehat{S}_n$ implies $|\sigma| \leq n^c$.
4. There exists a PSPACE machine N such that $N(\sigma, 1^n) = 1$ if $\sigma \in \widehat{S}_n$ and 0 otherwise.

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Let $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{n,k}$ be the collection of approximating sets and M be the machine computing $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ as in Definition 2.2. Define $\mathcal{U} = \cup_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=1}^\infty S_n^k$. Now, define

$$T_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} S_i^{2n+2}$$

Observe that,

$$[\mathcal{U}] \setminus [T_n] \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} U_i - [S_i^{2n+2}] \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=n+2}^\infty U_i \right)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu([\mathcal{U}] \setminus [T_n]) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{2^{2n+2}} + \sum_{i=n+2} \frac{1}{2^i} \\ &\leq \frac{n+1}{2^{n+1+n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n}.\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of T_n , it follows that there is a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the length of strings in T_n is upper bounded by n^c . Now, if $\hat{S}_n = \{\sigma \in T_{n+1} : \forall \alpha \sqsubseteq \sigma (\alpha \notin T_n)\}$, we have $\mu([\hat{S}_n]) \leq \mu([\mathcal{U}] \setminus [T_n]) \leq 2^{-n}$. Conditions 2 and 3 can be readily verified to be true. We now construct a PSPACE machine N satisfying the condition in 4. N on input $(\sigma, 1^n)$ does the following:

1. For each $i \in [1, (n+1)+1]$ simulate $M(\sigma, 1^i, 1^{2(n+1)+2})$. If all simulations result in 0, output 0.
2. Else, for each $m \in [1, n]$ do the following:
 - (a) For each $\alpha \sqsubseteq \sigma$ do the following:
 - i. For each $i \in [1, m+1]$ simulate $M(\alpha, 1^i, 1^{2m+2})$. If any of these simulations result in a 1 then, output 0.
3. Output 1.

N can be easily verified to be a PSPACE machine. Hence, our constructions satisfy all the desired conditions. \square

Now, we prove Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $\langle V_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be any PSPACE test such that $x \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty V_n$. Now, from Lemma 6.2, there exists a collection of sets $\langle \hat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\cap_{m=1}^\infty \cup_{n=m}^\infty [\hat{S}_n] \supseteq \cap_{n=1}^\infty V_n$. Let,

$$U_n = \{\sigma : [\sigma] \in \hat{S}_i \text{ for some } i \text{ such that } 2n+1 \leq i \leq 2(n+1)+1\}$$

Now, let $f_n = n\chi_{U_n}$. Since,

$$\mu(U_n) \leq \sum_{i=2n+1}^{2(n+1)+1} \frac{1}{2^i} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2n}}$$

it follows that

$$\|f_n\|_1 \leq \frac{n}{2^{n+n}} \leq \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Now, using the properties of $\langle \hat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, it can be shown that $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE L^1 -approximation of $f = 0$. We construct a machine M computing $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. The other conditions are easily verified. Let N be the machine from Lemma 6.2. On input $(1^n, \sigma)$, M does the following:

1. If $|\sigma| < (2(n+1)+1)^c$ then, output ?.

2. Else, for each $i \in [2n + 1, 2(n + 1) + 1]$ do the following:

- (a) For each $\alpha \subseteq \sigma$, do the following:
 - i. If $N(1^i, \alpha) = 1$ then, output n .

3. Output 0.

M uses at most polynomial space and computes $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Now, define

$$g_{n,i} = \frac{f_i + f_i \circ T + \cdots + f_i \circ T^{n-1}}{n}$$

For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since T is a PSPACE simple transformation, as in Lemma 5.4 it can be shown that $\langle g_{n,i} \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE L^1 -approximation of A_n^f . We know that there exist infinitely many m such that $x \in [\hat{S}_m]$. For any such m , let i be the unique number such that $2i + 1 \leq m \leq 2(i + 1) + 1$. For this i , $f_i(x) = i$. This shows that there exist infinitely many i such that $f_i(x) = i$. Since each f_i is a non-negative function, it follows that there are infinitely many i with $g_{n,i} \geq i/n$. Hence, if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x)$ exists, then it is equal to ∞ . It may be the case that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x)$ does not exist. In either case, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x)$ cannot be equal to $\int f d\mu = 0$. Hence, our construction satisfies all the desired conditions. \square

7 An ergodic theorem for SUBEXP-space randoms and its converse

In the previous sections, we demonstrated that for PSPACE L^1 -computable functions and PSPACE simple transformations, the Birkhoff averages converge to the desired value over EXP randoms. However, the converse holds only over PSPACE non-randoms. The two major reasons for this *gap* are the following: PSPACE-rapid convergence necessitates exponential length cylinders while constructing the randomness tests, and PSPACE L^1 -computable functions are not strong enough to *capture* all PSPACE randoms. In this section, we demonstrate that for a different notion of randomness - SUBEXP-space randoms and a larger class of L^1 -computable functions (SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable), we can prove the ergodic theorem on the randoms and obtain its converse on the non-randoms. Analogous to Towsner and Franklin [5], we demonstrate that the ergodic theorem for PSPACE simple transformations and SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable functions satisfying PSPACE rapidity, fails for exactly this class of non-random points. We first introduce SUBEXP-space tests and SUBEXP-space randomness.

Definition 7.1 (SUBEXP-space sequence of open sets). A sequence of open sets $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space sequence of open sets if there exists a sequence of sets $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{k,n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $S_n^k \subseteq \Sigma^*$ such that

1. $U_n = \cup_{k=1}^\infty [S_n^k]$, where for any $m > 0$, $\mu(U_n - \cup_{k=1}^m [S_n^k]) \leq m^{-\log(m)}$.
2. There exists a *controlling polynomial* p such that $\max\{|\sigma| : \sigma \in \cup_{k=1}^m S_n^k\} \leq 2^{p(\log(n) + \log(m))}$.
3. The function $g : \Sigma^* \times 1^* \times 1^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $g(\sigma, 1^n, 1^m) = 1$ if $\sigma \in S_n^m$, and 0 otherwise, is decidable by a PSPACE machine.

Definition 7.2 (SUBEXP-space randomness). A sequence of open sets $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space test if it is a SUBEXP-space sequence of open sets and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu(U_n) \leq n^{-\log(n)}$.

A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is SUBEXP-space null if there is a SUBEXP-space test $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$. A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is SUBEXP-space random if A is not SUBEXP-space null.⁵

The slower decay rate of $n^{-\log(n)} = 2^{-\log(n)^2}$ enables us to obtain an ergodic theorem and an exact converse in the SUBEXP-space setting. The following results are useful in manipulating sums involving terms of the form $2^{-(\log(n))^k}$ for $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 7.3. *For any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i=m+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{m^k}$*

Proof. Let $k = 1$. Then, for any $m > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=m+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} &< \frac{1}{m(m+1)} + \frac{1}{(m+1)(m+2)} + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m+1} + \frac{1}{m+1} - \frac{1}{m+2} + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \end{aligned}$$

For $k > 1$,

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} < \frac{1}{m^{k-1}} \sum_{i=m+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2}$$

The proof now follows by applying the result when $k = 1$ to bound the summation on the right with m^{-1} . \square

Lemma 7.4. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n=2(2m^2+1)}^\infty \frac{n}{n^{\log(n)}} \leq \frac{1}{m^{\log(m)}}$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2(2m^2+1)}^\infty \frac{n}{n^{\log(n)}} &\leq \sum_{n=2(2m^2+1)}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{\log(n)-1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2m^2+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{\log(2m^2)}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2m^2+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{\lfloor \log(m^2) \rfloor + 1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2m)^{2(\lfloor \log(m^2) \rfloor)}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m^{2^{\frac{\log(m^2)}{2}}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m^{\log(m)}} \end{aligned}$$

The fourth inequality above follows from Lemma 7.3. \square

⁵It is easy to see that the set of SUBEXP-space randoms is smaller than the set of PSPACE randoms. But, we do not know if any inclusion holds between SUBEXP-space randoms and EXP-randoms.

A similar inequality can be trivially seen to be true on replacing $n/n^{\log(n)}$ with $1/n^{\log(n)}$. Now, we introduce the Solovay analogue of SUBEXP-space randomness and prove that these notions are analogous.

Definition 7.5 (SUBEXP-space Solovay test). A sequence of open sets $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space Solovay test if it is a SUBEXP-space sequence of open sets and there exists a polynomial p such that $\forall m \geq 0, \sum_{n=p(m)+1}^\infty \mu(U_n) \leq \frac{1}{m^{\log(m)}}$ ⁶. A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is SUBEXP-space Solovay null if there exists a SUBEXP-space Solovay test $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=i}^\infty U_n$. $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is SUBEXP-space Solovay random if A is not SUBEXP-space Solovay null.

Lemma 7.6. A set $A \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$ is SUBEXP-space null if and only if A is SUBEXP-space Solovay null.

Proof. Using Lemma 7.4, it is easy to see that if A is SUBEXP-space null then A is SUBEXP Solovay null. Conversely, let A be SUBEXP-space Solovay null and let $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be any Solovay test which witnesses this fact. Let $V_n = \bigcup_{i=p(n)+1}^\infty U_i$. We show that $\langle V_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space test. Let $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets approximating $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ as in definition 7.1 such that $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ is increasing for each n . We define a sequence of sets $\langle T_n^k \rangle_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ approximating V_n as follows.

Let $r(n, k) = \max\{2((2(2k^2 + 1) + 1)^2 + 1), p(n) + 1\}$. Define

$$T_n^k = \bigcup_{i=p(n)+1}^{r(n,k)} S_i^{(r(n,k)-p(n))2(2k^2+1)}.$$

We can easily verify conditions 1 and 3 in definition 7.1. From the definition of T_n^k , it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(V_n - [T_n^k]) &\leq \sum_{i=p(n)+1}^{r(n,k)} 2^{-\log(r(n,k)-p(n))^2 - \log(2(2k^2+1))^2} + \sum_{n=r(n,k)+1}^\infty 2^{-\log(n)^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=p(n)+1}^{r(n,k)} 2^{-\log(r(n,k)-p(n))^2 - \log(2(2k^2+1))^2} + \sum_{n=2((2(2k^2+1)+1)^2+1)}^\infty 2^{-\log(n)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{r(n,k) - p(n)}{2^{\log(r(n,k)-p(n))^2}} \frac{1}{2^{\log(2(2k^2+1))^2}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(2(2k^2+1)+1)^2}} \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{\log(2(2k^2+1))^2}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(2(2k^2+1)+1)^2}} \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{\log(k)^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The third inequality and the last inequality above follows from Lemma 7.4. Using the machine M and controlling polynomial p witnessing that $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of open sets, we can construct the corresponding machines for $\langle V_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in the following way. Machine N on input $(\sigma, 1^n, 1^k)$ does the following:

1. For each $i \in [p(n) + 1, r(n, k)]$ do the following:
 - (a) Output 1 if $M(\sigma, 1^i, 1^{(r(n,k)-p(n))2(2k^2+1)}) = 1$.

⁶This implies that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(U_n) < \infty$

2. Output 0 if none of the above computations results in 1.

It is straightforward to verify that N is a PSPACE machine. \square

Now, we define SUBEXP-space analogues of concepts from Section 3.

Definition 7.7 (SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions). A sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ where each $f_n : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions if

1. There is a controlling polynomial p such that for each n , there exist $k(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{k(n)}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{k(n)}\} \subseteq \Sigma^{2^{p(\log(n))}}$ such that $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} d_i \chi_{\sigma_i}$, where χ_{σ_i} is the characteristic function of the cylinder $[\sigma_i]$.
2. There is a PSPACE machine M such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in \Sigma^*$

$$M(1^n, \sigma) = \begin{cases} f_n(\sigma 0^\infty) & \text{if } |\sigma| \geq 2^{p(\log(n))} \\ ? & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 7.8 (SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable functions). A function $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ is SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable if there exists a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|f - f_n\| \leq n^{-\log(n)}$. The sequence $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is called a SUBEXP-space L^1 -approximation of f .

We require the following equivalent definitions of PSPACE-rapid convergence notions for working in the setting of SUBEXP-space randomness.

Lemma 7.9. A real number a is a PSPACE-rapid limit point of the real number sequence $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ if and only if there exists a polynomial p such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists k \leq 2^{p(\log(m))}$ such that $|a_k - a| \leq m^{-\log(m)}$.

Proof. We prove the forward direction first. If a is a PSPACE-rapid limit point of $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, there exists a polynomial q such that for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists k \leq 2^{q(l)}$ such that $|a_l - a| \leq 2^{-m}$. Substituting $l = \lceil \log(m) \rceil$, we get that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists k \leq 2^{q(\lceil \log(m) \rceil)} \leq 2^{q(\log(m)+1)}$ such that $|a_l - a| \leq 2^{-\lceil \log(m) \rceil} \leq 2^{-\log(m)}$. Conversely, assume that there exists a polynomial p such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists k \leq 2^{p(\log(m))}$ such that $|a_k - a| \leq 2^{-\log(m)^2}$. Substituting $m = 2^{\lceil l^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil}$, we get that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists k \leq 2^{q(\lceil l^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil)} \leq 2^{q(l)}$ such that $|a_l - a| \leq 2^{-\lceil l^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil^2} \leq 2^{-l}$. Hence, a is a PSPACE-rapid limit point of $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. \square

Lemma 7.10. A sequence of measurable functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to a measurable function f if and only if there exists a polynomial p such that for all m_1 and m_2 ,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{p(\log(m_1)+\log(m_2))}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m_1^{\log(m_1)}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{m_2^{\log(m_2)}}.$$

The same technique used in the proof of Lemma 7.9 can be used to prove this claim.

Before addressing the main result, let us define SUBEXP-space ergodicity.

Definition 7.11 (SUBEXP-space ergodic transformations). A measurable function $T : (\Sigma^\infty, \mu) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ is SUBEXP-space ergodic if T is a PSPACE simple transformation such that for any SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$, $\int f d\mu$ is a PSPACE-rapid L^1 limit point of $A_n^{f,T}$.

Now, we prove SUBEXP analogues of the auxiliary lemmas from Section 5.

Lemma 7.12. *Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions which converges PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere to $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$. Then,*

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists for all SUBEXP-space random x .
2. Given a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ which is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for all SUBEXP-space random x .

Proof. We initially show 1. For each $k \geq 0$, since $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f , there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(2k)^2}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(2k)^2}}.$$

Since $(\log(2k))^2 = (\log(k) + 1)^2 \geq \log(k)^2 + 1$, we get

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(k)^2 + 1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(k)^2 + 1}}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}} |f_n(x) - f_{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(k)^2}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(k)^2}}.$$

Define

$$U_k = \left\{ x : \max_{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil} \leq n \leq 2^{\lceil \log(k+1)^c \rceil}} |f_n(x) - f_{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}(x)| > \frac{1}{2^{\lceil \log(k)^2 \rceil}} \right\}.$$

Observe that

$$\mu(U_k) \leq \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}} |f_n(x) - f_{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(k)^2}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(k)^2}}.$$

Let q be the controlling polynomial and let M be the PSPACE machine witnessing the fact that $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions. U_k is hence a union of cylinders of length at most

$$2^{q(\log(2^{\lceil \log(k+1)^c \rceil}))} = 2^{q(\lceil \log(k+1)^c \rceil)}$$

which is upper bounded by $2^{\log(k)^d}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. The fact that functions of the form $2^{\log(n)^i}$ are closed under composition enables us to obtain convergence on SUBEXP-space randoms instead of EXP randoms as in Lemma 5.1. The machine M can be used to construct a machine N that on input $(\sigma, 1^k)$ outputs 1 if $[\sigma] \subseteq U_k$ and outputs 0 otherwise. Let the machine N on input $(\sigma, 1^k)$ do the following:

1. If $|\sigma| < 2^{q(\lceil \log(k+1)^c \rceil)}$ then, output 0.
2. Compute $f_{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}(\sigma 0^\infty)$ by running $M(1^{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}, \sigma)$ and store the result.

3. For each $n \in [2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}, 2^{\lceil \log(k+1)^c \rceil}]$ do the following:
 - (a) Compute $f_n(\sigma 0^\infty)$ by running $M(1^n, \sigma)$ and store the result.
 - (b) Check if $|f_n(\sigma 0^\infty) - f_{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}(\sigma 0^\infty)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \log(k)^2 \rfloor}}$. If so, output 1.
4. Output 0

Since N rejects any σ with length less than $2^{q(\lceil \log(k+1)^c \rceil)}$, the simulation of $M(1^{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}, \sigma)$ is always a polynomial space operation. Hence, N witnesses the fact that $\langle U_k \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ is an EXP sequence of open sets.

Define

$$V_k = \bigcup_{i=2(2k^2+1)}^\infty U_i.$$

It follows from Lemma 7.4 that $\mu(V_k) \leq 2^{-\log(k)^2}$ and from the above observations it can be verified that $\langle V_k \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ is an SUBEXP-space test.

If $x \in \Sigma^\infty$ is an SUBEXP-space random then x is in at most finitely many V_k and hence in only finitely many U_k . Hence, for some k and for all $n \geq 2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}$ using Lemma 7.3 we have,

$$|f_n(x) - f_{2^{\lceil \log(k)^c \rceil}}(x)| \leq \sum_{j=k}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{\log(j)^2}} \leq \sum_{j=k}^\infty \frac{1}{j^{\lfloor \log(k) \rfloor}} \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)^{(\lfloor \log(k) \rfloor - 1)}}.$$

This shows that $f_n(x)$ is a Cauchy sequence. This completes the proof of 1.

Given $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ which is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f , the interleaved sequence $f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, f_3, g_3 \dots$ can be easily verified to be PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f . 2 now follows directly from 1. \square

The following immediately follows from the above lemma.

Corollary 7.13. *Let $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ be a SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable function with an L^1 approximating SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Then,*

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists for all SUBEXP random x .
2. Given a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ L^1 approximating f , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for all SUBEXP random x .

Proof. For any $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2(2(m_1 m_2)^2 + 1)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_1)^2}} \right\} \right) &\leq \sum_{n=2(2(m_1 m_2)^2 + 1)}^{\infty} \mu \left(\left\{ x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_1)^2}} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2(2(m_1 m_2)^2 + 1)}^{\infty} \|f_n - f\|_1 2^{\log(m_1)^2} \\
&\leq 2^{\log(m_1)^2} \sum_{n=2(2(m_1 m_2)^2 + 1)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2}} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{\log(m_1)^2}}{2^{\log(m_1 m_2)^2}} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{\log(m_1)^2}}{2^{\log(m_1)^2 + \log(m_2)^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_2)^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to f . The claim now follows from Lemma 7.12. \square

The following are SUBEXP-space analogues of Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 7.14. *Let f be a SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable function. Let $I_f : \Sigma^{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\infty}$ be the constant function taking the value $\int f d\mu$ over all $x \in \Sigma^{\infty}$. Then, I_f is SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable and $\tilde{I}_f(x) = \int f d\mu$ for all SUBEXP-space random x .*

Proof. Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions, M be a PSPACE machine and p be a controlling polynomial witnessing the fact that f is SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable. We construct a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions $\langle f'_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ where each f'_n is the constant function taking the value $\int f_n d\mu$. Since $\|f'_n - I_f\|_1 = |\int f_n d\mu - \int f d\mu| \leq \|f_n - f\|_1 \leq n^{-\log(n)}$, it follows that I_f is SUBEXP L^1 -computable. Now, from part 2 of Lemma 7.12, we get that $\tilde{I}_f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu$ for all SUBEXP-space random x .

On input $(1^n, \sigma)$, let machine N do the following:

1. If $|\sigma| < 2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}$ output ?. Else, do the following:
2. Let Sum = 0.
3. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma^{2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}}$ do the following:
 - (a) Run $M(1^n, \alpha)$ and add the result to Sum.
4. Output Sum/ $2^{2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}}$.

Let t be a polynomial upper bound for the space complexity of M . Then, the result of $M(1^n, \alpha)$ is always upper bounded by $2^{t(n+2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil})}$ and representable in $t(n+2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil})$ space. The sum of at most $2^{2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}}$ many such numbers is upper bounded by $2^{t(n+2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil})+2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}}$ and representable in $t(n+2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil})+2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}$ space. Since N rejects any σ with $|\sigma| < 2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}$, calculating the running sum can be done in polynomial space. Dividing the running sum by $2^{2^{\lceil p(\log(n)) \rceil}}$ can also be done in polynomial space due to the same reason. Hence, N is a PSPACE machine computing the sequence $\langle f'_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ where each $f'_n = \int f_n d\mu$. \square

Lemma 7.15. *Let f be a SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable function with an L^1 approximating SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Let T be a PSPACE simple transformation and p be a polynomial. Then, $\langle A_n^{f_{p(n)}} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions.*

Proof. Let q be a controlling polynomial and M_f be a machine witnessing the fact that $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions. Let c_T be a controlling constant witnessing the fact that T is a PSPACE simple transformation. For any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$A_n^{f_{p(n)}} = \frac{f_{p(n)} + f_{p(n)} \circ T + f_{p(n)} \circ T^2 + \dots + f_{p(n)} \circ T^n}{n}.$$

The functions $\langle f_{p(n)} \circ T^i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ are simple functions defined on cylinders of length at most $2^{q(\log(p(n)))} + c_T n$. Hence, $r(n) = 2^{q(\lceil \log(p(n)) \rceil)} + c_T n$, which can be upper bounded by $2^{\log(n)^d}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is a controlling function for the sequence of functions $\langle A_n^{f_{p(n)}} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ as in condition 1 of Definition 7.7. Now, we verify condition 2 of Definition 7.7. Let M be the machine from Lemma 3.5. We construct a machine N such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$,

$$N(1^n, \sigma) = \begin{cases} A_n^{f_{p(n)}}(\sigma 0^\infty) & \text{if } |\sigma| \geq r(n) \\ ? & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On input $(1^n, \alpha)$ if $|\alpha| < r(n)$ then N outputs ? else it operates as follows:

1. Let Sum = 0
2. For each $i \in [1, n]$, do the following:
 - (a) For each string σ of length $2^{q(\lceil \log(p(n)) \rceil)}$, do the following:
 - i. If $M(1^i, \sigma, \alpha) = 1$, then let Sum = Sum + $M_f(1^{p(n)}, \sigma)$.
3. Output Sum/ n .

If t_1 is a polynomial upper bound for the space complexity of M and t_2 is a polynomial upper bound for the space complexity of M_f then, each $f_{p(n)} \circ T^i$ can be computed in $O(t_1(2^{q(\lceil \log(p(n)) \rceil)} + p(n)) + c_T n + n) + t_2(2^{q(\lceil \log(p(n)) \rceil)} + p(n))$ space for any $i \leq n$. Since every α such that $|\alpha| < r(n) = 2^{q(\lceil \log(p(n)) \rceil)} + c_T n$ is rejected by N , the computations of $f_{p(n)} \circ T^i$ is done in polynomial space. The results of computations of $f_{p(n)} \circ T^i$ for $i \in [1, n]$ can be added up and divided by n in polynomial space. N outputs the result of this computation. Since N is a PSPACE machine, the proof is complete. \square

Now, we proceed onto proving the SUBEXP-space ergodic theorem.

Theorem 7.16. *Let $T : (\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu)$ be a SUBEXP-space ergodic measure preserving transformation. Then, for any SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable f , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{A}_n^f = \int f d\mu$ on SUBEXP-space randoms.*

Proof. Let $\langle f_m \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ be any SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions L^1 approximating f . We initially approximate A_n^f with a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ which converges to $\int f d\mu$ on SUBEXP-space randoms. Then we show that \widetilde{A}_n^f has the same limit as g_n on SUBEXP-space randoms.

For each n , it is easy to verify that $\langle A_n^{f_m} \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP sequence of simple functions L^1 approximating A_n^f with the same rate of convergence. Using techniques similar to those in Lemma 7.12 and Corollary 7.13, we can obtain a polynomial p such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{m \geq p(n+i)} |A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(n+i)^2}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(n+i)^2}}.$$

For every $n > 0$, let $g_n = A_n^{f_{p(n)}}$. We initially show that $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\int f d\mu$ on SUBEXP-space randoms. Let $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$. From Theorem 4.2, A_n^f is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$. Hence there is a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{(\log(m_1) + \log(m_2))^d}} |A_n^f(x) - \int f d\mu| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_1)^2 + 1}} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_2)^2 + 1}}.$$

Let $N(m_1, m_2) = \max\{2((2m_2)^2 + 1), 2^{\log(m_1 + m_2)^d}\}$. Using Lemma 7.4,

$$\sum_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2}} \leq \sum_{n \geq 2((2m_2)^2 + 1)} \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(2m_2)^2}}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} |g_n - \int f d\mu| > \frac{1}{2^{m_1}} \right\} \right) &\leq \sum_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} \mu \left(\left\{ x : |g_n - A_n^f(x)| > \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_1)^2 + 1}} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad + \mu \left(\left\{ x : \sup_{n \geq 2^{\log(m_1 + m_2)^d}} |A_n^f(x) - \int f d\mu| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_1)^2 + 1}} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq N(m_1, m_2)} \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_2)^2 + 1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(2m_2)^2}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_2)^2 + 1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_2)^2 + 1}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_2)^2 + 1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(m_2)^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, g_n is PSPACE-rapid almost everywhere convergent to $\int f d\mu$. From Lemma 7.15 it follows that $\langle g_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty = \langle A_n^{f_{p(n)}} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space sequence of simple functions (in parameter n). Let $I_f : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty$ be the constant function taking the value $\int f d\mu$ over all $x \in \Sigma^\infty$. From the above observations and Lemma 7.12 we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \tilde{I}_f(x)$ for any x which is SUBEXP-space random. Now, from Lemma 7.14, we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \int f d\mu$ for any x which is SUBEXP-space random.

We now show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n^f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$ on SUBEXP-space randoms. Define

$$U_{n,i} = \left\{ x : \max_{p(n+i) \leq m \leq p(n+i+1)} |A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(n+i)^2}} \right\}.$$

We already know $\mu(U_{n,i}) \leq 2^{-\log(n+i)^2}$. $U_{n,i}$ can be shown to be polynomial space approximable in parameters n and i in the following sense. There exists a sequence of sets of strings $\langle S_{n,i} \rangle_{i,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and polynomial p satisfying the following conditions:

1. $U_{n,i} = [S_{n,i}]$.
2. There exists a *controlling polynomial* r such that $\max\{|\sigma| : \sigma \in S_{n,i}\} \leq 2^{r(\log(n)+\log(i))}$.
3. The function $g : \Sigma^* \times 1^* \times 1^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$g(\sigma, 1^n, 1^i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma \in S_{n,i} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is decidable by a PSPACE machine.

The above claims can be established by using techniques similar to those in Lemma 7.15 and Lemma 7.12. We show the construction of a machine N computing the function g above. Let M_f be a computing machine and let q be a controlling polynomial for $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Let c be a controlling constant for T . Let M' be the machine from Lemma 3.5. Machine N on input $(\sigma, 1^n, 1^i)$ does the following:

1. If $|\sigma| > 2^{q(\lceil \log(p(n+i+1)) \rceil)} + cn$, then output 0.
2. Compute $A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(\sigma 0^\infty)$ as in Lemma 7.15 by using M_f and M' and store the result.
3. For each $m \in [p(n+i), p(n+i+1)]$ do the following:
 - (a) Compute $A_n^{f_m}(\sigma 0^\infty)$ as in Lemma 7.15 by using M_f and M' and store the result.
 - (b) Check if $|A_n^{f_m}(\sigma 0^\infty) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(\sigma 0^\infty)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\log(n+i)^2}}$. If so, output 1.
4. Output 0.

It can be easily verified that N is a PSPACE machine. The second condition follows from the fact that $|\sigma| \leq 2^{q(\lceil \log(p(n+i+1)) \rceil)} + cn$ for any $\sigma \in S_{n,i}$. Now, define

$$V_m = \bigcup_{\substack{n,i \geq 0 \\ n+i=m}} U_{n,i}.$$

Now, we show that $\langle V_m \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP Solovay test. Note that

$$\mu(V_m) \leq \frac{m}{2^{\log(m)^2}}.$$

Since each V_m is a finite union of sets from $\langle U_{n,i} \rangle_{n,i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the machine computing $\langle U_{n,i} \rangle_{n,i \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be easily modified to construct a machine witnessing that $\langle V_m \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space approximable sequence of sets. From the above observations and Lemma 7.4, it follows that $\langle V_m \rangle_{m=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space Solovay test. Now, let x be a SUBEXP-space random. x is in at most finitely many V_m and hence in at most finitely many $U_{n,i}$. Hence for some large enough N for all $n \geq N$, $i \geq 0$ and for all m such that $p(n+i) \leq m \leq p(n+i+1)$, we have $|A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n+i)}}(x)| < 2^{-\log(n+i)^2}$. It follows that for all $n \geq N$ and for all $m \geq p(n)$ that,

$$|A_n^{f_m}(x) - g_n(x)| = |A_n^{f_m}(x) - A_n^{f_{p(n)}}(x)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\log(n+i)^2}} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+i)^3} \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6n}.$$

The last inequality follows from Lemma 7.3. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n^f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x)$ on all SUBEXP-space random x . Hence, we have shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n^f = \int f d\mu$ on SUBEXP-space randoms which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

An important reason for investigating SUBEXP-space randomness is that the SUBEXP-space ergodic theorem has an exact converse unlike the PSPACE ergodic theorem which only seems to have a partial converse (Theorem 6.1). Before proving the converse, we show a SUBEXP-space analogue of the construction in Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 7.17. *Let $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a SUBEXP-space test. Then there exists a sequences of sets $\langle \hat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{S}_n \subseteq \Sigma^*$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $\mu([\hat{S}_n]) \leq 2^{-\log(n)^2}$
2. $\cap_{m=1}^\infty \cup_{n=m}^\infty [\hat{S}_n] \supseteq \cap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$
3. There exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n , $\sigma \in \hat{S}_n$ implies $|\sigma| \leq 2^{\log(n)^c}$
4. There exists a PSPACE machine N such that $N(\sigma, 1^n) = 1$ if $\sigma \in \hat{S}_n$ and 0 otherwise.

Proof. Let $\langle S_n^k \rangle_{n,k}$ be the collection of approximating sets for $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ as in Definition 7.1. Define $\mathcal{U} = \cup_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=1}^\infty S_n^k$. Now, define

$$T_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2(4n^2+1)} S_i^{2(4n^2+1)2n}$$

Observe that,

$$[\mathcal{U}] \setminus [T_n] \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2(4n^2+1)} U_i - [S_i^{2(4n^2+1)2n}] \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=2(4n^2+1)+1}^\infty U_i \right)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu([\mathcal{U}] \setminus [T_n]) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2(4n^2+1)} \frac{1}{2^{\log(2(4n^2+1)2n)^2}} + \sum_{i=2(4n^2+1)+1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{\log(i)^2}} \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^{2(4n^2+1)} \frac{1}{2^{\log(2(4n^2+1)2n)^2}} + \sum_{i=2(4n^2+1)}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{\log(i)^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{2(4n^2+1)}{2^{\log(2(4n^2+1)2n)^2}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(2n)^2}} \\ &< \frac{2(4n^2+1)}{2^{\log(2(4n^2+1))^2 + \log(2n)^2}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(2n)^2}} \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2}} \end{aligned}$$

The third inequality follows from Lemma 7.4. From the definition of T_n , it follows that there is a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the length of strings in T_n is upper bounded by $2^{\log(n)^c}$. Now, if $\widehat{S}_n = \{\sigma \in T_{n+1} : \forall \alpha \sqsubseteq \sigma (\alpha \notin T_n)\}$, we have $\mu([\widehat{S}_n]) \leq \mu([\mathcal{U}] \setminus [T_n]) \leq 2^{-\log(n)^2}$. Conditions 2 and 3 can be readily verified to be true. We now construct a PSPACE machine N satisfying the condition in 4. N on input $(\sigma, 1^n)$ does the following:

1. For each $i \in [1, 2(4(n+1)^2 + 1)]$ simulate $M(\sigma, 1^i, 1^{2(4(n+1)^2 + 1)2(n+1)})$. If all simulations result in 0, output 0.
2. Else, for each $m \in [1, n]$ do the following:
 - (a) For each $\alpha \sqsubseteq \sigma$ do the following:
 - i. For each $i \in [1, 2(4m^2 + 1)]$ simulate $M(\alpha, 1^i, 1^{2(4m^2 + 1)2m})$. If any of these simulations result in a 1 then, output 0.
3. Output 1.

N can be easily verified to be a PSPACE machine. Hence, our constructions satisfy all the desired conditions. \square

Theorem 7.18. *Given any SUBEXP-space null x , there exists a SUBEXP-space L^1 -computable $f \in L^1(\Sigma^\infty, \mu)$ such that for any PSPACE simple measure preserving transformation, the following conditions are true:*

1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|A_n^f - \int f d\mu\|_1 = 0$. Hence, $\int f d\mu$ is an PSPACE-rapid L^1 -limit point of A_n^f .
2. There exists a collection of simple functions $\{g_{n,i}\}$ such that for each n , $\langle g_{n,i} \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space L^1 -approximation of A_n^f but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x) \neq \int f d\mu$.

Proof. Let $\langle V_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be any SUBEXP-space test such that $x \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty V_n$. Now, from Lemma 7.17, there exists a collection of sets $\langle \widehat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\cap_{m=1}^\infty \cup_{n=m}^\infty [\widehat{S}_n] \supseteq \cap_{n=1}^\infty V_n$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{S}_n$ implies $|\sigma| \leq 2^{\log(n)^c}$ for some c . Let,

$$U_n = \{\sigma : [\sigma] \in \widehat{S}_i \text{ for some } i \text{ such that } 2(2(n^2)^2 + 1) \leq i \leq 2(2((n+1)^2)^2 + 1)\}$$

Now, let $f_n = n\chi_{U_n}$. Using Lemma 7.4,

$$\mu(U_n) \leq \sum_{i=2(2(n^2)^2+1)}^{2(2((n+1)^2)^2+1)} \frac{1}{2^{\log(i)^2}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(n^2)^2}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2 + \log(n)^2}}$$

it follows that

$$\|f_n\|_1 \leq \frac{n}{2^{\log(n)^2 + \log(n)^2}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\log(n)^2}}.$$

Now, using the properties of $\langle \widehat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, it can be shown that $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space L^1 -approximation of $f = 0$. We construct a machine M computing $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. The other conditions are easily verified. Let N be the machine from Lemma 7.17. On input $(1^n, \sigma)$, M does the following:

1. If $|\sigma| < 2^{\lceil \log(2(2((n+1)^2)^2 + 1)) \rceil^c}$ then, output ?.

2. Else, for each $i \in [2(2(n^2)^2 + 1), 2(2((n+1)^2)^2 + 1)]$ do the following:

- (a) For each $\alpha \subseteq \sigma$, do the following:
 - i. If $N(1^i, \alpha) = 1$ then, output n .

3. Output 0.

M uses at most polynomial space and computes $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Now, define,

$$g_{n,i} = \frac{f_i + f_i \circ T + \dots + f_i \circ T^{n-1}}{n}$$

For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since T is a PSPACE simple transformation, as in Lemma 7.15 it can be shown that $\langle g_{n,i} \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a SUBEXP-space L^1 -approximation of A_n^f . We know that there exist infinitely many m such that $x \in \widehat{S}_m$. For any such m , let i be the unique number such that $2(2(i^2)^2 + 1) \leq m \leq 2(2((n+1)^2)^2 + 1)$. For this i , $f_i(x) = i$. This shows that there exist infinitely many i such that $f_i(x) = i$. Since each f_i is a non-negative function, it follows that for infinitely many i with $g_{n,i} \geq i/n$. Hence, if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x)$ exists, then it is equal to ∞ . It may be the case that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x)$ does not exist. In either case, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,i}(x)$ cannot be equal to $\int f d\mu = 0$. Hence, our construction satisfies all the desired conditions. \square

8 Martingale characterization of PSPACE randomness

The study of resource bounded randomness was initiated by Lutz([17],[18]) using resource bounded martingales. Huang and Stull introduced weak PSPACE randomness (definition 2.3) in [13] as a resource bounded analogue of randomness defined in terms of c.e tests. In this section we give a characterization of PSPACE randoms in terms of PSPACE martingales, demarking on the difference between Lutz's notion of PSPACE randomness and our notion of PSPACE randomness. Our result and its proof are significantly different from those given in [27]. We demonstrate this in the setting of $(\Sigma^\infty, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma^\infty), \mu)$ where μ is the Bernoulli measure $\mu([\sigma]) = \frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|}}$. Now we define PSPACE computable martingales.

Definition 8.1 (PSPACE computable martingales). A function $D : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a PSPACE computable martingale if for each $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$,

$$D(\sigma) = \frac{D(\sigma 0) + D(\sigma 1)}{2}$$

and there exists a PSPACE machine M such that for each $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $M(\sigma, 1^n) \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that,

$$|D(\sigma) - M(\sigma, 1^n)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Now, we give a characterization of PSPACE randomness in terms of PSPACE computable martingales.

Theorem 8.2. $x \in \Sigma^\infty$ is PSPACE null if and only if there exists a PSPACE computable martingale D and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exist infinite many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$D(x \upharpoonright n) \geq 2^{\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2} \quad (5)$$

$x \in \Sigma^\infty$ is *strong* PSPACE null (Lutz [17][18]) if there exists a PSPACE computable martingale such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x \upharpoonright n) = \infty$. Hence, if x is PSPACE null then x is *strong* PSPACE null. Stull [25] proved the existence of a *strong* PSPACE null which is PSPACE random. The proof below is a careful adaptation of Theorem 7.3.3 from [22] into the PSPACE setting using the construction in Lemma 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 8.2. We show the forward implication first. Let $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a PSPACE test witnessing the fact that x is PSPACE null. Now, from Lemma 6.2, there exists a collection of sets $\langle \hat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\cap_{m=1}^\infty \cup_{n=m}^\infty [\hat{S}_n] \supseteq \cap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$ and $\sigma \in \hat{S}_n$ implies $|\sigma| < n^k$ for some k . Let $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [\hat{S}_n]$. Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{U} \\ |\sigma| \geq n^k}} \frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|}} \leq \sum_{n' > n} \sum_{\sigma \in \hat{S}_{n'}} \frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|}} \leq \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{U} \\ |\sigma| \geq n}} \frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor}} = \frac{1}{2^{2^{\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2}}}$$

Let $f(n) = \lfloor n^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2$. Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{U} \\ |\sigma| \geq n}} \frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2f(n)}}$$

For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$s_r = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{U} \\ f(|\sigma|) \geq r}} 2^{f(|\sigma|) - |\sigma|}$$

It can be easily verified that $s_r \leq 2^{-r}$.

Now, we define a martingale D such that D wins on x in the sense of 5. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G_i = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{U} : f(|\sigma|) \geq i\}$. It can be readily verified that $\mu(G_i) \leq 2^{-i}$ for all $i > 0$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$E_i(\sigma) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in G_i \\ \alpha \sqsubseteq \sigma}} 2^{f(|\alpha|)}$$

$$F_i(\sigma) = 2^{|\sigma|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in G_i \\ \alpha \sqsubseteq \sigma}} 2^{f(|\alpha|) - |\alpha|}$$

As in the proof of [22] Lemma 7.3.4, it can be verified that $D_i = E_i + F_i$ is a martingale. Now define $D = \sum_{i=1}^\infty D_i$. It can be easily seen that D is a martingale.

We now show that D is PSPACE computable. Let σ be a string on which $D(\sigma)$ needs to be approximated with error at most 2^{-m} . Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(\sigma) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in G_i \\ \alpha \sqsubset \sigma}} 2^{|\alpha|} 2^{f(|\alpha|) - |\alpha|} \\ &\leq 2^{|\sigma|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in G_i \\ \alpha \sqsubset \sigma}} 2^{f(|\alpha|) - |\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} D_i(\sigma) &= E_i(\sigma) + F_i(\sigma) \\ &\leq 2^{|\sigma|} \sum_{\alpha \in G_i} 2^{f(|\alpha|) - |\alpha|} \\ &\leq 2^{|\sigma|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{U} \\ f(|\alpha|) \geq i}} 2^{f(|\alpha|) - |\alpha|} \\ &\leq 2^{|\sigma|} s_i \\ &\leq 2^{|\sigma| - i} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we can approximate $\sum_{i=1}^{|\sigma|+m+1} D_i$ with error at most $2^{-(m+1)}$, we can get a 2^{-m} error approximation for D .

Let N be a machine computing $\langle \hat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ as in Lemma 6.2. For the given σ , we can sum $2^{f(|\alpha|)}$ for all $\alpha \sqsubset \sigma$ and $\alpha \in G_i$ in PSPACE. For each i , E_i can be computed in the following way:

1. Let Sum = 0.
2. For each $\alpha \sqsubset \sigma$ do the following:
 - (a) Check if there exists $1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|$ such that $N(1^m, \alpha) = 1$. If yes, check if $f(|\alpha|) \geq i$. If this is true, then add $f(|\alpha|)$ to Sum.
3. Output Sum.

$\alpha \notin \hat{S}_n$ for $n \geq |\alpha| + 1$ since for any such n , $\mu(\hat{S}_n) < 2^{-|\alpha|}$. Hence, the procedure above exactly computes E_i . The procedure can be easily seen to be a polynomial space operation. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{|\sigma|+m+1} E_i$ can be computed without error in polynomial space.

Observe that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$2^{|\sigma|} \sum_{\alpha: f(|\alpha|) \geq m+|\sigma|+1} 2^{f(|\alpha|) - |\alpha|} \leq 2^{|\sigma|} \sum_{r=m+|\sigma|+1}^\infty s_r \leq 2^{-m}.$$

So, we can ignore all but finitely many terms in the summation defining F_i as in the case of D_i . For any α , if $f(|\alpha|) = \lfloor |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2 < m + |\sigma| + 1$ then its length $|\alpha|$ can be upper bounded by a polynomial in $m + |\sigma|$, say $(m + |\sigma|)^d$. Hence, the terms that are left in the summation defining F_i can be computed as in the computation of E_i by going over all $\alpha \sqsupseteq \sigma$ with $|\alpha| \leq (m + |\sigma|)^d$ in polynomial space. It follows that $D = F + E$ is a PSPACE computable martingale.

Now, we show that condition 5 is satisfied by D for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We know that for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \upharpoonright n \in \mathcal{U}$. For any such n , we have $x \upharpoonright n \in G_{f(n)}$. Observe that,

$$D(x \upharpoonright n) \geq D_{f(n)}(x \upharpoonright n) \geq F_{f(n)}(x \upharpoonright n) \geq 2^n \cdot 2^{f(n) - n} = 2^{f(n)}$$

Hence, 5 holds for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as desired.

Now we prove the converse. Let $U_i = \{\sigma \in \Sigma^* : M(\sigma, 1) \geq 2^{\lfloor |\sigma|^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2} - 1 \geq 2^{i+1}\}$. Since $M(\sigma, 1) \geq 2^{i+1}$ implies $d(\sigma) > 2^i$, using the Kolmogorov's inequality (see [22] Proposition 7.1.9) we get that $\mu(U_i) \leq 2^{-i}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\sigma| \geq (2(m+2))^k$ implies $2^{\lfloor |\sigma|^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2} \geq 2^{m+2}$. Hence, for any $\sigma \in U_i$, $|\sigma| \geq (2(m+2))^k$ implies that $d(\sigma) \geq 2^{m+2} - 2 > 2^m$. Now, using the Kolmogorov's inequality again we get that $\mu(\{\sigma \in U_i : |\sigma| \geq (2(m+2))^k\}) \leq 2^{-m}$. From the two previous observations, it follows that $\langle U_i \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE sequence of open sets. Since, there are infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(x \upharpoonright n) \geq 2^{\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2}$, it follows that there are infinitely many n such that $M(x \upharpoonright n, 1) \geq 2^{\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{k}} \rfloor / 2} - 1$. Since, $\langle U_i \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ is a PSPACE test, it follows that x is PSPACE null. \square

9 A PSPACE random which is EXP non-random

It was shown in Stull's thesis [25] that weak polynomial time randomness is strictly weaker than polynomial time randomness. Using a similar approach, we give below an explicit construction of a PSPACE random which is EXP non-random. Let x be any Martin-Löf random. We define the infinite sequence y as follows,

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 2^m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \\ x[n], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to construct a PSPACE computable martingale $D : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(y \upharpoonright n) = \infty$. Define,

$$D(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 2^{\lfloor \log(|\sigma|) \rfloor}, & \text{if } \sigma[2^i] = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \log(|\sigma|) \rfloor \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that D is a PSPACE computable martingale and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(y \upharpoonright n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{\lfloor \log(n) \rfloor} = \infty$. But this does not imply that y is PSPACE null since D does not *win* on y *fast enough* as in condition 5. In fact, we now show that no PSPACE computable martingale satisfying 5 on y exists since y is PSPACE random.

Lemma 9.1. *y is PSPACE random and EXP null.*

Proof. We first construct an EXP test $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $y \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$. For any n , let U_n be the collection of all 2^n length strings σ such that $\sigma[i] = 0$ for all $i \in \{2^1, 2^2, \dots, 2^n\}$. Clearly, $\mu(U_n) \leq 2^{-n}$ and $y \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$. It is straightforward to verify that $\langle U_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is an EXP test.

Now, we show that y is PSPACE random. Assume that there exists $\langle \hat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying the properties in Lemma 6.2 such that $y \in \cap_{m=1}^\infty \cup_{n=m}^\infty [\hat{S}_n]$. Hence, there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any n , $\sigma \in \hat{S}_n$ implies $|\sigma| \leq n^c$. Let G_n be the set of all $\alpha \in \Sigma^{n^c}$ such that there exists some $\sigma \in \hat{S}_n$ with $\alpha[i] = \sigma[i]$ for all $i \notin \{2^j : 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log(n^c) \rfloor\}$. If $y \in \hat{S}_n$ then, by construction it follows that $x \in G_n$. Since $\mu(\hat{S}_n) \leq 2^{-n}$, we get $\mu(G_n) \leq 2^{\lfloor \log(n^c) \rfloor} 2^{-n}$. Since for all large enough n , $n - \lfloor \log(n^c) \rfloor \geq n/2$, we have $\mu(G_n) \leq \sqrt{2}^{-n}$ for large enough n . Hence, a Martin-Löf test capturing x can be constructed from $\langle G_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Since this is a contradiction, our assumption that $\langle \hat{S}_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ exists must be wrong. Hence, it follows that y is PSPACE random. \square

References

- [1] L. Bienvenu, A. Day, M. Hoyrup, I. Mezhirov, and A. Shen. A constructive version of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem for Martin-Löf random points. *Information and Computation*, 210:21–30, 2012.
- [2] P. Billingsley. *Probability and Measure*, second edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1986.
- [3] E. Bishop. *Foundations of constructive analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.
- [4] Rodney G Downey and Denis R Hirschfeldt. *Algorithmic randomness and complexity*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [5] Johanna NY Franklin and Henry Towsner. Randomness and non-ergodic systems. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 14:711–744, 2014.
- [6] Stefano Galatolo, Mathieu Hoyrup, and Cristóbal Rojas. A constructive Borel–Cantelli lemma. constructing orbits with required statistical properties. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 410(21-23):2207–2222, 2009.
- [7] Stefano Galatolo, Mathieu Hoyrup, and Cristóbal Rojas. Computing the speed of convergence of ergodic averages and pseudorandom points in computable dynamical systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.0392*, 2010.
- [8] Michael Hochman. Upcrossing inequalities for stationary sequences and applications. *Annals of Probability*, 37(6):2135–2149, 2009.
- [9] Mathieu Hoyrup. The dimension of ergodic random sequences. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, pages 567–576, 2012.
- [10] Mathieu Hoyrup and Cristóbal Rojas. Applications of effective probability theory to Martin-Löf randomness. In *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*, pages 549–561. Springer, 2009.
- [11] Mathieu Hoyrup and Cristóbal Rojas. Computability of probability measures and martin-löf randomness over metric spaces. *Information and Computation*, 207(7):830–847, 2009.
- [12] Xiang Huang and D. M. Stull. Polynomial space randomness in analysis. In *MFCS*, 2016.
- [13] Xiang Huang and Donald M Stull. Polynomial space randomness in analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.08825*, 2015.
- [14] Ker-I Ko. *Complexity theory of real functions*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [15] Ulrich Krengel. On the speed of convergence in the ergodic theorem. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 86:3–6, 1978.
- [16] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter. *Uniform distribution of sequences*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1974. Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [17] Jack H. Lutz. Almost everywhere high nonuniform complexity. *J. Comput. System Sci.*, 44(2):220–258, 1992.

- [18] Jack H. Lutz. Resource-bounded measure (preliminary version). In *Thirteenth Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity (Buffalo, NY, 1998)*, pages 236–248. IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 1998.
- [19] John E. Maxfield. A short proof of Pillai’s theorem on normal numbers. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 2(1):23–24, 1952.
- [20] S. Nandakumar. An effective ergodic theorem and some applications. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, pages 39–44, 2008.
- [21] Satyadev Nandakumar, Subin Pulari, Prateek Vishnoi, and Gopal Viswanathan. An analogue of pillai’s theorem for continued fraction normality and an application to subsequences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.03431*, 2019.
- [22] André Nies. *Computability and randomness*, volume 51. OUP Oxford, 2009.
- [23] S. S. Pillai. On normal numbers. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences Sect. A*, 11:73–80, 1940.
- [24] Jason Rute. *Topics in algorithmic randomness and computable analysis*. PhD thesis, Carnegie Mellon University, 8 2013.
- [25] Donald M Stull. *Algorithmic randomness and analysis*. PhD thesis, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA, 2017.
- [26] Donald M. Stull. Resource bounded randomness and its applications. *Algorithmic Randomness: Progress and Prospects*, 50:301, 2020.
- [27] Claude Sureson. Subcomputable Schnorr randomness. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 13(2):Paper No. 2, 32, 2017.
- [28] M. van Lambalgen. *Random Sequences*. PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, 1987.
- [29] V. G. Vovk. The law of the iterated logarithm for random Kolmogorov, or chaotic, sequences. *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, 32(3):413–425, 1988.
- [30] V. V. V’yugin. Effective convergence in probability and an ergodic theorem for individual random sequences. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, 42(1):39–50, 1997.