Comparison of local and global gyrokinetic calculations of collisionless zonal flow damping in quasi-symmetric stellarators
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Abstract

The linear collisionless damping of zonal flows is calculated for quasi-symmetric stellarator equilibria in flux-tube, flux-surface, and full-volume geometry. Equilibria are studied from the quasi-helical symmetry configuration of the Helically Symmetric eXperiment (HSX), a broken symmetry configuration of HSX, and the quasi-axial symmetry geometry of the National Compact Stellarator eXperiment (NCSX). Zonal flow oscillations and long-time damping affect the zonal flow evolution, and the zonal flow residual goes to zero for small radial wavenumber. The oscillation frequency and damping rate depend on the bounce-averaged radial particle drift in accordance with theory. While each flux tube on a flux surface is unique, several different flux tubes in HSX or NCSX can reproduce the zonal flow damping from a flux-surface calculation given an adequate parallel extent. The flux-surface or flux-tube calculations can accurately reproduce the full-volume long-time residual for moderate $k_x$, but the oscillation and damping time scales are longer in local representations, particularly for small $k_x$ approaching the system size.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Control of turbulent transport is a crucial step in the development of fusion energy. In many cases, zonal flows can play a role in the regulation and reduction of turbulent transport. A zonal flow is a toroidally and poloidally symmetric $E \times B$ flow that can be driven by electric fields that develop from fluctuations of the plasma potential, such as is the case for most drift wave turbulence[1]. The zonal flow does not drive transport itself, but by facilitating transfer of energy between radial wavenumbers it can regulate the linear instability and affect turbulence saturation[2, 3]. Strong zonal flows have been found to be important in configurations such as tokamaks[4] or the reversed-field pinch[5–7], and they can affect turbulence saturation in stellarators as well.[8–11]

Linear zonal flow damping is often examined as a proxy for the full zonal flow evolution[12] and is used in models to predict turbulent transport[13, 14]. The Rosenbluth-Hinton model[15] provides a zonal flow residual that describes the undamped part of the poloidal flow in a large-aspect-ratio tokamak. This undamped flow acts to saturate drift wave turbulence, and the residual is used as a measure of the amplitude that zonal flows achieve in nonlinear simulations. In axisymmetric systems, this is commonly the case, and the residual is sometimes used as a proxy for the resulting turbulence saturation[16]. However, this is unlikely to be true if the collisionless damping to the residual is slow compared to the rate at which turbulence injects energy into the zonal flow. In non-axisymmetric devices, the radial drift of trapped particles can drive long-time damping and oscillations of the zonal flow[12, 17–20], as will be discussed in Sec. II. These features can disassociate the zonal flow residual from saturated turbulence. Calculations in this paper are linear and do not address the transfer of energy between modes, but can examine changes in the collisionless damping of the zonal flow.

Zonal flow damping and the driving turbulence both depend on aspects of the magnetic geometry, such as the rotational transform and trapped particle regions. Due to the large number of parameters that can describe the plasma boundary, the 3D shaping of stellarators offers a large parameter space to search for configurations that can benefit specific turbulence or zonal flow properties. Particularly in helical systems optimized to reduce neoclassical transport, stronger zonal flows may reduce turbulent transport[21]. However, nonlinear simulations are expensive to include in an iterative optimization loop, and an efficient,
general, linear proxy for turbulent transport would be a powerful tool. In order to obtain such a proxy, a thorough understanding of zonal flow dynamics in stellarators is required.

Zonal flows have been studied numerically for the Large Helical Device[22], Wendelstein 7-X[12], and TJ-II[19, 23], but not for quasi-symmetric configurations. A quasi-symmetric stellarator has a symmetry in the magnitude of the magnetic field $|B|$, and the magnetic spectrum is dominated by a single mode $B_{mn}$, so that

$$B \approx B_{mn} \cos(n\phi - m\theta).$$

Here, $n$ and $m$ are the toroidal and poloidal mode numbers, and $\phi$ and $\theta$ are the toroidal and poloidal coordinates, respectively. When the magnetic field is described by a single mode, the collisionless bounce-averaged drift of trapped particles from a flux surface goes to zero, reducing neoclassical transport and flow damping. Different quasi-symmetries are defined by the choice of dominant mode in the magnetic spectrum. Quasi-poloidal symmetry has a dominant $m = 0$ mode, and is not included here. Quasi-axial symmetry has a dominant $n = 0$ mode, similar to a tokamak, as seen in Fig. 1. Quasi-helical symmetry (QHS) uses a single $n \neq 0$, $m \neq 0$ mode, creating the helical shape of the $|B|$ contours in Fig. 2.

In this paper, the zonal flow damping is numerically calculated in flux-tube, flux-surface, and full-volume geometry representations for quasi-symmetric configurations. We look to understand how much geometry information is required for an accurate determination of the zonal flow time evolution. Although neoclassical transport and flow damping in quasi-symmetric stellarators is more similar to tokamaks than to classical stellarators, we show that the linear zonal flow response for a realistic but almost quasi-symmetric geometry still resembles a classical stellarator.

The paper is structured as follows. Sec. II reviews collisionless zonal flow damping in non-axisymmetric equilibria and introduces the geometries and numerical tools used in this work. Sec. III identifies the differences in calculations of zonal flow damping in full-volume, flux-surface, and flux-tube frameworks. In Sec. IV, calculations of zonal flow damping in flux tubes are shown to reproduce the zonal flow residual from flux-surface calculations, but only for sufficiently long flux tubes. Sec. V compares calculations among the three geometries, focusing on the quasi-symmetric and broken symmetry configurations of HSX.
II. COLLISIONLESS ZONAL FLOW DAMPING

The Rosenbluth-Hinton model [15] quantifies the long-time linear response of the zonal flow to a large-radial-scale potential perturbation in a collisionless, axisymmetric system. The initial amplitude of the perturbation is reduced by plasma polarization and undergoes geodesic acoustic mode (GAM) oscillations before relaxing to a steady-state residual. In a large-aspect-ratio tokamak, the residual amplitude depends on the geometry as

\[
\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0} = \frac{1}{1 + 1.6q^2/\epsilon_t^{1/2}}.
\]  

and can be interpreted as a measure of how strongly collisionless processes modify the zonal flow. Here, \(\varphi\) is the zonal potential, \(\varphi_0\) is its initial amplitude, \(q\) is the safety factor, and \(\epsilon_t\) is the inverse aspect ratio of the flux surface of interest. The term \(1.6q^2/\epsilon_t^{1/2}\) results from the neoclassical polarization due to toroidally trapped ions [24]. When the Rosenbluth-Hinton residual is high, collisionless zonal flow damping is small and the system can support strong zonal flows. When the residual is small, damping is significant, and the existence of strong zonal flows will depend on strong pumping from the turbulence.

The zonal flow response in non-axisymmetric systems is significantly modified by neoclassical effects. The zonal flow amplitude after the polarization decay is no longer the Rosenbluth-Hinton residual. Instead, helical systems exhibit damping described by a timescale \(\tau_c \sim 1/|k_x\bar{v}_{dr}|\) to reach a residual in a long-time limit [25]. Here, \(k_x\) is a radial wave number, and \(\bar{v}_{dr}\) is the bounce-averaged radial drift velocity. In an unoptimized device, \(\bar{v}_{dr}\) is large and the zonal flow will decay quickly to a residual, whereas a well-optimized device will have very long damping times. In a perfectly symmetric device, no long-time damping is observed, corresponding to the limit of infinitely long damping times. The long-time damping in helical systems could prevent any connection between the zonal flow residual and saturated turbulence. If a system takes a long time to damp, nonlinear energy transfer will become important before the damping has dissipated energy in the zonal mode, and the residual no longer relates to the zonal flow amplitude in the quasi-stationary state.

Furthermore, an oscillation in the zonal flow is caused by neoclassical effects [17, 18]. This oscillation is characterized by the radial drift of trapped particles, as opposed to the passing particle dependence of the GAM. Drifting trapped particles cause a radial current...
that interacts with the zonal potential perturbation to cause zonal flow oscillations. This oscillation is damped by Landau damping on trapped particles. The oscillation damping and frequency both increase with the radial particle drift, or equivalently, neoclassical transport. For an unoptimized device, the zonal flow oscillation is of higher frequency but damped more quickly. In a well-optimized device, the zonal flow oscillation is prominent due to the small damping, but the oscillation frequency is small compared to characteristic inverse time scales in fully developed turbulence. In a perfectly symmetric device, the zonal flow oscillation vanishes.

For both stellarators and tokamaks, the zonal flow residual depends on the radial wavenumber of the zonal perturbation\[26–28\]. In this paper, radial wavenumbers are normalized as $k_x \rho_s$, where $\rho_s$ is the ion sound gyroradius. The numerical calculation of the zonal flow residual in a tokamak matches the Rosenbluth-Hinton residual as $k_x$ approaches zero. However, any geometry with finite radial particle drifts causes the zonal flow residual to vanish as $k_x \rightarrow 0$, although the long-time decay to that residual can be very slow in a well-optimized device.

Zonal flow oscillations, zonal flow damping, and even the zonal flow residual are further modified by the inclusion of a radial electric field\[29–31\]. The radial electric field drives coupling across field lines in the poloidal direction, and it is likely this would be visible in the difference between flux-tube and flux-surface calculations. Radial electric fields are not included here, and their effect on calculations in quasi-symmetric devices, or in local and global geometry representations, is left for future work. Simulations here use a single species with adiabatic electrons for computational economy. The zonal flow oscillation frequency for multi-species plasmas with kinetic electrons can be inferred from a straightforward relation, see Ref. [23]. All time units are normalized in units of $a/c_s$, where $a$ is the minor radius, and $c_s$ is the ion sound speed.

**A. Simulations in local and global geometry representations**

A zonal flow is a toroidally and poloidally symmetric potential perturbation, and the local geometry anywhere on the surface can potentially be important to determine its response. In an axisymmetric geometry, a field line followed for one poloidal transit samples all unique magnetic geometry on a surface, as would any other field line on the same surface.
However, different field lines in a stellarator do not generally sample the same geometry. Local geometry variations that may be important for the zonal flow may not be sampled by a given flux tube. In order to investigate the representativeness of a flux tube in stellarators, we examine flux-surface calculations along with multiple flux tubes on a surface, and extend flux tubes for multiple poloidal turns. Extended flux tubes follow a single field line, but are terminated after some integer number of $2\pi$ transits of the poloidal angle, and are identified in this paper by $n_{\text{pol}}$ for a flux tube of $\theta = [-n_{\text{pol}}\pi, n_{\text{pol}}\pi]$. The effect of reduced sampling by flux tubes is seen by comparison between different flux tubes on a surface and to flux-surface and full-volume calculations. The zonal flow also has a finite radial width, and these reduced frameworks are compared to full-volume calculations to highlight where local representations are insufficient with respect to zonal flow dynamics.

1. **Full-volume geometry**

Full-volume calculations of zonal flow damping provide the most complete representation of geometry effects on the zonal flow. In this work, these calculations are carried out with the $\delta f$ gyrokinetic particle-in-cell code EUTERPE\cite{32, 33}. The full-volume geometry of the fields is represented in real space using the PEST magnetic coordinates\cite{34}, where $\phi$ is the toroidal angle, $s$ is the toroidal normalized flux, and $\theta^*$ is the poloidal angle defined such that field lines are straight. Flat density and temperature profiles are specified across the minor radius. The plasma potential is computed from the charge density of particles in a set of flux surfaces using B-splines. The potential is Fourier-transformed at each flux surface and can be filtered in Fourier space. From the Fourier spectrum, the zonal component can be extracted at individual flux surfaces.

The linear properties of the zonal flow are extracted from the time trace of the zonal component. These linear zonal flow relaxation simulations are initialized with a flux-surface-symmetric perturbation to the ion distribution function. The initial condition has a Maxwellian velocity distribution and a radial structure such that a perturbation to the potential containing a single radial mode, $\phi \propto \cos(k_s s)$, is produced after solving the quasi-neutrality equation. The simulation is linearly and collisionlessly evolved, and the time evolution of the zonal potential at fixed radial positions is recorded.

Linear zonal flow relaxation simulations are less numerically intensive than turbulence
simulations[35] because the Fourier mode and radial surface resolution requirements are greatly reduced. However, a long simulation time is required to extract the long-time properties of the zonal flow evolution, which prohibits the use of full-volume calculations in an optimization loop. Simulations presented in this work are carried out retaining 6 poloidal and toroidal modes, with radial resolutions ranging from 24 to 64 points, and from $50 \times 10^6$ to $200 \times 10^6$ markers.

2. Flux-tube and flux-surface geometry

The gyrokinetic $\delta f$ continuum code GENE[28] is used for calculations of the zonal flow decay in flux-tube and flux-surface representations, constructed from VMEC equilibria with the GIST code[36]. All flux-tube and flux-surface calculations in this work use the $s = 0.5$ flux surface, and are compared to results from full-volume calculations about this surface. The flux tube is a reduced-geometry representation for toroidal magnetic geometries, and is constructed from a sheared box around a single field line identified by a field line label in PEST[34] coordinates as $\alpha = (\sqrt{s_0}/q_0) (q_{\theta}^* - \phi)$. When the flux tube is centered on the outboard midplane, $\alpha$ is also a toroidal coordinate of the center point of the flux tube. The box uses non-orthogonal coordinates $x$ in the radial direction, $y$ in the flux surface, and $z$ along the field line. In a GENE flux tube, a spectral representation is used for the $x$ and $y$ directions, while the $z$ direction is in real space. A flux-surface representation is constructed from multiple flux tubes equally spaced in $\alpha$ where the $y$ direction is represented in real space. A flux-tube calculation only includes the local magnetic geometry coefficients along the field line, while a flux-surface calculation captures the variation in geometry with $\alpha$.

The zonal flow damping, and resulting residual and oscillations, is calculated by initializing a flux-surface-symmetric impulse to the distribution function at a single radial mode and allowing the amplitude to collisionlessly decay due to classical and neoclassical polarization without further energy input. In GENE, the zonal perturbation is implemented by initializing only one $k_x \neq 0$, $k_y = 0$ mode. The perturbation is introduced in the density with Maxwellian velocity space, which produces an equivalent potential perturbation to that used in EUTERPE. Note that for the present case of adiabatic electrons, the two initial conditions discussed in Ref. [37] are identical.

Numerical calculations for linearly stable systems without dissipation may have to con-
tend with numerical recurrence phenomena. Such recurrence, which results from a reestablishment of phases from the initial condition and concomitant unphysical temporary increase in amplitudes, can be eliminated by including numerical spatial or velocity hyper-diffusion [38]. However, numerical diffusion is not an appropriate solution in nearly quasi-symmetric stellarators, as calculation times are very long and even a small amount of diffusion will cause significant damping of the zonal-flow residual. To solve the problem, the parallel velocity space grid spacing $\Delta v_\parallel$ can be decreased sufficiently that the recurrence time, which scales as $\tau_{\text{rec}} \propto (\Delta v_\parallel)^{-1}$, exceeds twice the duration of the simulation. In the present work, most flux-tube calculations use sufficiently large parallel velocity space resolutions that recurrence is not observed for the duration of the simulation. The parallel and perpendicular velocity space is discretized by $N_{v\parallel} > 256$ and $N_{\mu} = 32$ grid points, respectively.

**B. The HSX and NCSX geometries**

The zonal flow response is studied by means of flux-tube, flux-surface, and full-volume gyrokinetic simulations in the Helically Symmetric eXperiment (HSX)[39] and the National Compact Stellarator eXperiment (NCSX)[40]. VMEC[41] is used to calculate the HSX and NCSX equilibria.

HSX is a four-field-period optimized stellarator, designed to improve single-particle confinement through quasi-helical symmetry. The main coils produce the helically symmetric field, and a set of auxiliary coils can be energized to modify the magnetic spectrum. The symmetry is broken by adding mirror terms to the spectrum, in which case transport is similar to a classical stellarator with large neoclassical transport in the low collisionality regime. HSX has demonstrated reduced neoclassical flow damping[42] and transport[43] in the QHS configuration. It has also been hypothesized that neoclassical optimization may reduce anomalous transport through stronger zonal flows[21]. Zonal flows are clearly observed in nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations with GENE, but measurements are the subject of ongoing research[44].

In configurations examined here, equilibria are constructed from vacuum fields, which is consistent with the very low plasma pressure in HSX. There are two unique flux tubes centered on the outboard midplane that are symmetric about the midpoint $z = 0$. We use one flux tube ($\alpha = 0$) centered on the outboard midplane of the bean cross section, which
FIG. 1. A flux surface and $\alpha = 0$ flux tube for the $s = 0.5$ surface of the NCSX configuration. Colors correspond to $|B|$, where blue is the minimum field strength. A flux tube of one poloidal turn is shown in red, and one of 4 poloidal turns in green.

is the low-field side. The other flux tube ($\alpha = \pi/N$, with $N = 4$ for HSX) is centered on the outboard midplane in the triangle cross section, which is the high-field side in HSX.

The NCSX configuration was also optimized for neoclassical transport, but is a three-period device designed for quasi-axial symmetry. The equilibrium used here has total normalized plasma pressure $\beta \approx 4\%$. In the NCSX configuration, we use the flux tubes symmetric about the midpoint $z = 0$ ($\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \pi/N$, with $N = 3$ for NCSX), as well as one non-symmetric flux tube ($\alpha = \pi/2$). The rotational transform in NCSX is roughly half that in HSX. The radial particle drift for the surface at $s = 0.5$ is between that of the QHS and Mirror configurations of HSX. The difference in rotational transform means that the part of the surface sampled by a flux tube is very different. By comparison of Figs. 1 and 2, it can be seen that the multiple turns of the flux tube are more closely spaced on the HSX flux surface. With fewer turns, a flux tube will cover points spread out more across the flux surface in NCSX, while the same flux tube would capture narrower flux-surface variation on HSX.
FIG. 2. A flux surface and $\alpha = 0$ flux tube for the $s = 0.5$ surface of the QHS configuration of HSX. Colors correspond to $|B|$, where blue is the minimum field strength. A flux tube of one poloidal turn is shown in red, and one of 4 poloidal turns in green.

C. Fitting zonal flow oscillations and residuals

The zonal flow decay in a stellarator includes additional long-time damping and zonal flow oscillations as compared to the tokamak case. Previous studies have commonly focused on the zonal flow residual or oscillation frequency, but there is also the short-time damping due to the polarization drift, additional long-time damping due to the polarization of trapped particles, the GAM oscillation, and the zonal flow oscillation amplitude and damping. Following Monreal[20], curve fitting is used to extract the residual and parameters of the zonal flow oscillation. The time evolution during the post-GAM phase is described by,

$$\frac{\varphi_k(t)}{\varphi_k(0)} = A_{ZF} \cos(\Omega_{ZF}t)e^{-\gamma_{ZF}t} + R_{ZF} + Ce^{-\gamma}.$$  

The zonal flow oscillation is parameterized by an amplitude $A_{ZF}$, oscillation frequency $\Omega_{ZF}$, and damping rate $\gamma_{ZF}$. The long-time decay follows an algebraic decay according to Ref. [18], but is well approximated by an exponential decay $Ce^{-\gamma}$ to avoid an abundance of fitting parameters. The zonal flow residual is $R_{ZF}$. The evolution of normalized zonal potential and normalized zonal electric field are equivalent for a zonal potential with only a single $k_x$[20]. However, in practice, the zonal electric field is preferred in global EUTERPE simulations to simplify the fitting.
We are primarily interested in the zonal flow oscillation here, not the GAM. The damping of the GAM increases with decreasing rotational transform[24]. In HSX, the rotational transform is about one and the GAM is damped on time scales of the order 10 a/c. GAM oscillations are more apparent in NCSX calculations, as the rotational transform is about twice that in HSX, but are still damped quickly compared to the zonal flow oscillations. Fitting starts after the GAM oscillations have damped away to avoid further complexity in curve fitting. The zonal flow oscillation is Landau-damped by trapped particles, and depends on the radial drift off of the flux surface[18]. Neoclassically optimized devices can have long-lived zonal flow oscillations as neoclassical transport is reduced, which also reduces the oscillation frequency to well below the GAM frequency. In the configurations examined here, fitting the zonal flow oscillations is important to accurately fit the zonal flow residual.

III. COMPARISON OF LOCAL AND GLOBAL CALCULATIONS

The NCSX configuration is quasi-axisymmetric, which, among three-dimensional geometries, most closely resembles a tokamak. As discussed in Sec. II, the zonal flow residual as \( k_x \rightarrow 0 \) is a key difference between symmetric and non-symmetric systems. The time traces for full-volume, flux-surface, and flux-tube simulations are fitted to extract the zonal flow residual plotted in Fig. 3. A discussion of different flux tubes in the NCSX configuration is provided in Sec. IV B. In NCSX, the zonal flow residual goes to zero for small \( k_x \), just as it does for classical stellarators. The limit as \( k_x \rightarrow 0 \), as well as a peak residual around \( k_x \rho_s \approx 0.5 \), is reproduced in all three geometry representations. However the amplitude of the residual differs between the local and global representations particularly for very small \( k_x \). In the full-volume calculations, the peak of the residual is slightly lower, while the smallest \( k_x \) support a larger residual than the flux-tube calculations. A long-wavelength approximation valid for \( k_x \rho_s < 1 \) is used in the global simulations, which may be approaching its limit of validity towards the peak. At small \( k_x \), coupling between surfaces may be occurring. More importantly, the flux-surface approximation will break down when scales are large enough to involve profile effects, and the smallest \( k_x \) values examined approach the machine size. Thus the observed discrepancies are to be expected given the limitations of the frameworks.

The short-time evolution of the zonal flow is arguably more important than the long-time
FIG. 3. The zonal flow residual in the NCSX configuration from flux-tube, flux-surface, and full-volume calculations. Local and global representations largely show good agreement on the $k_x$ dependence of the residual, with moderate deviations observed at very small $k_x \rho_s$ and near the peak residual, as expected from model limitations.

The zonal flow residual for turbulence saturation, as turbulent correlation times are on the order of $\tau \sim 10a/c_s$. The time traces for several $k_x$ are compared in Fig. 4 for the flux-surface and flux-tube calculations, and in Fig. 5 for the full-volume and flux-tube calculations.

Fig. 4 shows that there is little difference between flux-tube and flux-surface calculations. This only holds true for long enough flux tubes as measured by convergence in $n_{pol}$, as will be discussed in Sec. IV. Evidently, the $k_y = 0$ mode in the flux tube samples sufficient geometry through the parallel domain that the same physics is retained as for the true flux-surface average.

In Fig. 5, zonal flow oscillations can be identified for the smallest $k_x$, and there is signifi-
FIG. 4. Comparison of zonal flow evolution in NCSX for flux-surface and flux-tube calculations. Good agreement is found for the initial polarization drift, the GAM oscillations and damping, and the long-time decay. Flux-tube calculations here use \( n_{\text{pol}} = 4 \).

cant long-time decay of the zonal flow for mid-\( k_x \). The zonal flow decay in realistic NCSX geometry is characteristic of that in a un-optimized stellarator. The difference between flux-tube and full-volume calculations is much more significant than that between flux-tube and flux-surface calculations. At high \( k_x \rho_s \gtrsim 0.5 \), the short-time decay due to the polarization drift reduces the zonal flow to a smaller value in the full-volume calculation. There is no difference in the long-time decay, and so the zonal flow residual is smaller in the full-volume calculation at high \( k_x \). The zonal flow residual converges during the long-time evolution for moderate \( k_x \rho_s \approx 0.1 \), but with slightly different decay properties in the two calculations. Again, the zonal flow initially decays to a smaller amplitude in the full-volume calculation, but the long-time decay is larger in the flux-tube calculations such that the residual zonal
Three different $k_x$ demonstrate differences in the residual, long-time decay rate, and zonal flow oscillation damping. Calculations agree on the GAM frequency, and residuals match at $k_x \rho_s = 0.091$. Flux-tube calculations here use $n_{pol} = 4$.

flow is the same. The GAM frequency is consistent between full-volume and flux-tube calculations but the amplitude is slightly smaller, or, alternatively, the GAM oscillation damping is slightly stronger in the flux-tube calculation. At very small $k_x \rho_s < 0.1$, there is a large difference in the damping of zonal flow oscillations. For NCSX in Fig. 5, sustained zonal flow oscillations are visible in the smallest-$k_x$ calculations, but are quickly damped out in the full-volume calculation. We include flux-tube and full-volume calculations in the HSX Mirror configuration in Fig. 6, where the zonal flow oscillations are not damped as quickly and can be more easily compared. At higher $k_x$, there again exists only a small displacement of the residual, whereas on very large scales the full-volume calculation shows significantly
FIG. 6. Comparison of zonal flow evolution in HSX Mirror configuration for full-volume and flux-tube calculations. Two different $k_x$ demonstrate differences in the residual and the zonal flow oscillation frequency and damping, but similar time evolution at corresponding $k_x$. Flux-tube calculations here use $n_{\text{pol}} = 4$.

larger damping of the zonal flow oscillations, but also a slight increase in the oscillation frequency.

Overall, good agreement is observed between flux-tube and full-surface calculations. Full-volume calculations differ at system-size scales where global effects become important but otherwise show fair agreement with radially local frameworks.
IV. ZONAL FLOW RESPONSE IN DIFFERENT FLUX TUBES

The calculation of the zonal flow response in a flux tube is computationally cheaper compared with flux-surface or full-volume calculations, but is limited to the geometry information from a single field line. As the zonal flow is toroidally and poloidally symmetric and its dynamics depend on both bounce averages of the trapped particle radial drift and flux-surface averages over the quasineutrality equation[26], a measurement of the zonal flow must be the same for any point on the flux surface. In a stellarator, where each $\theta^* = [-\pi, \pi]$ flux tube is unique and contains different geometry information, the zonal flow response is not necessarily the same in each flux tube. We compare calculations in different flux tubes on the same surface, and extend those flux tubes to understand whether they eventually sample sufficient portions of the surface to capture all relevant zonal flow effects.

A. Comparison of response in two flux tubes in QHS

Unlike a tokamak, two flux tubes on the same surface in a stellarator do not share the same geometry information. Here, we examine the zonal flow response in the QHS configuration of HSX between the “bean” and “triangle” flux tubes introduced in Sec. II A 2. In Fig. 7, calculations of zonal flow damping with a flux-tube length of one poloidal turn show large differences between the two flux tubes. The zonal flow amplitude and decay rate are different, and at small $k_x$, the zonal flow oscillation frequency is larger in the QHS-b flux tube. Neither individual $n_{pol} = 1$ flux tube matches the zonal flow damping in a full-volume calculation.

Geometry information can be added by extending the flux tube to multiple poloidal turns along the field line. The time traces from both flux tubes match when the flux tube is extended to 4 poloidal turns in Fig. 8. Furthermore, the same holds true for the zonal flow residual across the $k_x$ spectrum in Fig. 9. At $n_{pol} = 1$, both the “bean” and the “triangle” flux tubes demonstrate much more decay of the zonal flow residual than the full-volume calculation. As results from both flux tubes change as the flux tube is extended, neither flux tube has enough information to calculate the zonal flow damping correctly at one poloidal turn. However, the flux tube recovers the flux-surface average at four poloidal turns, and both flux tubes produce the same zonal flow residual. All other HSX flux-tube calculations in this paper use the $n_{pol} = 4$ “bean” flux tube.
FIG. 7. Zonal flow timetrace from two flux tubes with length of one poloidal turn. Decay times, zonal flow oscillations, and residual are different between flux tubes.

B. Comparison of response in three flux tubes in NCSX

Three flux tubes are examined in the NCSX configuration. The $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \pi$ flux tubes are symmetric about the midpoint $z = 0$, while the $\alpha = \pi/2$ flux tube is not. As seen in Fig. 10, the zonal flow damping is very different in the $\alpha = \pi/2$ flux tube. No zonal flow residual is supported when the flux tube is fewer than eight poloidal turns long. The symmetric flux tubes capture the zonal flow damping at just two poloidal turns. The poloidal distance between turns is larger in NCSX than in HSX due to the difference in rotational transform. This larger poloidal step size samples broad variation on the flux surface, but could under-sample geometry variations that are smaller scale than the poloidal space between turns. Note that with a rotational transform of about one half of that in HSX, the toroidal length of a two-poloidal-turn flux tube in NCSX is roughly the same as
FIG. 8. Zonal flow timetrace from two QHS flux tubes with length of four poloidal turns. The zonal flow response agrees in all fit parameters when flux tubes are extended.

A four-poloidal-turn flux tube in HSX. However, convergence of the zonal flow residual for low $k_x \rho_s < 0.2$ imposes an even more restrictive requirement on flux-tube length, as seen in Fig. 11. Only at eight poloidal turns do all flux tubes produce the same zonal flow residual for all $k_x$.

V. COMPARISON OF HSX CONFIGURATIONS: QHS AND F14 MIRROR

The QHS and Mirror configurations of HSX have been designed specifically to study differences in neoclassical transport and flow damping. As discussed in Sec. II, the zonal flow long-time damping and oscillation frequency are related to neoclassical transport. According to theory[18, 24], the more optimized QHS configuration should exhibit lower-frequency zonal flow oscillations as well as slower long-time decay to the residual level. These expen-
FIG. 9. Zonal flow residuals for the QHS-b and QHS-t flux tubes. Shown are results for full-volume geometry and for flux tubes of two different lengths. Only the residuals from the extended flux tubes show agreement.

As observed in Fig. 13, the zonal flow residual does not differ between QHS and Mirror. The Rosenbluth-Hinton residual in Eq. (2) depends primarily on the safety factor $q$, a consequence of the ratio of the banana-induced polarization relative to the gyro-orbit-induced polarization[24]. In a non-axisymmetric system, additional trapped particles further modify the zonal flow evolution through their polarization and radial drift. While the radial drift is important for the time evolution, the zonal flow residual primarily depends upon the polarization effects provided by the trapped particles[12]. The broken symmetry of the mirror
FIG. 10. Zonal flow damping in NCSX flux tubes for \( k_x \rho_s = 0.5 \), where \( \alpha = 0 \) is dashed, \( \alpha = \pi/2 \) is solid, and \( \alpha = \pi \) is dotted. At \( n_{\text{pol}} = 2 \), the \( \alpha = [0, \pi] \) flux tubes agree, but the \( \alpha = \pi/2 \) flux tube decays to zero residual. All three flux tubes produce the same result at \( n_{\text{pol}} = 8 \). The spike at \( t = 700 \) is a numerical recurrence effect dependent on the velocity space resolution, and does not affect the interpretation.

configuration increases the trapped particle radial drift, as demonstrated by the zonal flow oscillation frequency and damping, but does not change the zonal flow residual. We conclude that the helically trapped particles dominate the polarization drift and set the zonal flow residual in both systems.

As compared to NCSX, the QHS configuration produces less trapped-particle radial drift and has a lower zonal flow oscillation frequency, while the Mirror configuration produces more and has a higher oscillation frequency. GAMs are damped more slowly in the NCSX configurations, due to the larger safety factor \( q \), and GAM oscillations can be seen in Figs. 4
and 5 but are barely identifiable in any HSX timetraces. The peak zonal flow residual is smaller in the NCSX configuration, but the peak location is found at a different $k_x$. The residual in HSX configurations peaks at $k_x \rho_s \approx 1$, similar to Wendelstein 7-X[26], while the NCSX configuration peaks at $k_x \rho_s \approx 0.5$, similar to the tokamak in Ref. [26].

Calculation of the zonal flow decay in HSX captures the expected neoclassical effects on decay rate and oscillation frequency. However, the saturation of drift wave turbulence is a strong motivation for the study of zonal flows. Turbulence will transfer energy and reorganize the system within a correlation time, effectively resetting the zonal flow time evolution. In nonlinear simulations of trapped electron mode (TEM) turbulence in HSX[45], the correlation time is on the order of $10 a/c_s$. In Fig. 14, the short-time damping of the zonal flow
FIG. 12. The time evolution of the zonal flow in the two HSX configurations, with \( n_{\text{pol}} = 4 \) flux tubes. The Mirror configuration has higher frequency zonal flow oscillations and faster long-time damping, as expected based on its reduced quasi-symmetry.

Depending on driving gradients, the heat flux from nonlinear TEM turbulence simulations differs between these configurations, and is not explained by the linear growth of the most unstable mode[45]. If a difference in heat fluxes between configurations is due to the linear collisionless zonal flow dynamics, it is not a simple relation to either the very short-time dynamics or the long-time residual. Instead, it could be hypothesized that, if such a relation exists, it would stem from a shift in characteristic \( k_x \) of linear drive physics, which would affect which zonal flow acts to saturate the turbulence. We do not address the question of the effect of an external radial electric field on the zonal flow, and a difference of the ambipolar radial electric field between QHS and Mirror could lead to important differences.
in the zonal flow decay.

Having demonstrated that simulations confirm the link between broken symmetry and a faster erosion of the zonal flow residual, a link can be established to a similar effect in axisymmetric systems. There, resonant magnetic perturbations, whether created by external coils[46], by magneto-hydrodynamic activity[7], or by microturbulence itself[47], erode the zonal flow residual and lead to increased turbulent transport[37, 48]. However, erosion time scales in these scenarios were on the order of the turbulent correlation time, giving further credence to the idea that the long-time decay present in the systems investigated here is unlikely to affect transport directly.
FIG. 14. The time evolution of the zonal flow for very short times, comparable to the turbulent correlation time. Effectively, there is no difference between QHS and Mirror except at the smallest $k_x$, and only after about 20 $a/c_s$.

VI. SUMMARY

We have presented calculations of linear zonal flow damping in quasi-symmetric stellarators. In the geometries of NCSX and HSX, the time evolution is dictated by the typical characteristics of non-axisymmetric devices. The zonal flow residual vanishes for small $k_x$, the zonal flow undergoes long-time decay to the residual, and zonal flow oscillations occur. Calculations are performed in full-volume, flux-surface, and flux-tube geometries. A sufficiently long flux tube reproduces the full-volume residual and flux-surface time-dependence, suggesting that parallel dynamics in an appropriate flux tube can approximate the flux-surface average. While $n_{pol} = 4$ and $n_{pol} = 8$ is sufficient to recover flux-surface results in these two configurations, the required flux-tube length is configuration-dependent and
cannot be taken as a general rule. It should be noted that both flux-tube and flux-surface calculations exhibit slightly less decay during the short-time polarization drift than a full-volume calculation. On the other hand, the damping of the zonal flow oscillation is greater in the full-volume calculation. The zonal flow oscillation is only visible at small $k_x$, where the full-volume calculation supports a larger residual than local representations. This is likely due to the breakdown of the radially-local approximation as $k_x$ approaches the system size.

The collisionless zonal flow decay examined here cannot be correlated to the nonlinear turbulent transport without further information. Nonlinear simulations of TEM in the QHS and Mirror configurations produce different heat fluxes[45], but the zonal flow residual at finite $k_x$ shows no difference between QHS and Mirror. Given the short timescale of a turbulent correlation time, the short-time damping of the zonal flow may be more relevant to the saturation of turbulence. The polarization drift dominates the short-time zonal flow damping, and there is no difference in the time evolution of the QHS and Mirror configurations until the zonal flow oscillation becomes significant. The HSX QHS and Mirror configurations clearly demonstrate a difference in zonal flow oscillations and long-time decay, but these differences follow the expected dependence on the neoclassical radial drift. Configurations with a larger radial drift have a higher oscillation frequency and slower long-time decay. These quantities cannot be related to the full zonal flow evolution without also directly relating to the neoclassical optimization. In addition, any extrapolation from linear zonal flow damping to nonlinear heat flux requires an understanding of which $k_x$ are important for energy transfer in the specific system under study.

Future work should include external radial electric fields, which can strongly modify the zonal flow decay and residual. The radial electric field in a stellarator is usually determined by an ambipolarity constraint on neoclassical transport, which can differ between configurations but requires knowledge of density and temperature profiles.
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