Twist-induced control of near-field heat radiation between magnetic Weyl semimetals
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Due to the large anomalous Hall effect, magnetic Weyl semimetals can support nonreciprocal surface plasmon polariton modes in the absence of an external magnetic field. This implies that magnetic Weyl semimetals can find novel application in (thermal) photonics. In this work, we consider the near-field radiative heat transfer between two magnetic Weyl semimetal slabs and show that the heat transfer can be controlled with a relative rotation of the parallel slabs. Thanks to the intrinsic nonreciprocity of the surface modes, this so-called twisting method does not require surface structuring like periodic gratings. The twist-induced control of heat transfer is due to the mismatch of the surface modes from the two slabs with a relative rotation.

Introduction.– Near-field radiative heat transfer (NFRHT) can largely exceed the Planckian limit of black-body radiation \[1\] due to the contribution from surface electromagnetic modes \[2\]–\[13\] and attracts particular scientific interest triggered by experimental advances \[14\]–\[24\]. For novel applications, it is of importance to actively control NFRHT. Several strategies have been proposed, such as applying an electric field to phase-change materials \[25\] or ferroelectric materials \[26\], applying an external magnetic field to magneto-optical materials \[27\]–\[32\], drift currents \[33\]–\[34\], and regulating the chemical potential of photons \[35\]. Another active control strategy is to utilize the rotational degree of freedom \[36\]–\[43\]. In analogy to the twistoric concept in low-dimensional materials \[44\]–\[46\] and photonics \[47\]–\[50\], this control strategy is also called twisting method. So far, most of the proposals for the realizations of the twisting method require nanometer-sized periodic gratings to create anisotropic patterns \[51\]–\[54\].

Due to inherent time-reversal symmetry breaking, magnetic Weyl semimetals (WSMs), such as Co₃Sn₄S₂ \[55\]–\[58\], Ti₂MnAl \[59\], EuCd₂As₂ \[60\], Co₂MnGa \[51\] and Co₂MnAl \[50\], can exhibit large anomalous Hall effect so that the dielectric tensor has large off-diagonal components. This leads to the existence of nonreciprocal surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) \[57\]–\[60\] and breaks the Lorentz reciprocity. The broken Lorentz reciprocity violates Kirchhoff’s law of radiation and opens opportunities for a variety of radiative applications \[61\]–\[67\]. Compared to magneto-optical materials, magnetic WSMs break Lorentz reciprocity intrinsically in the absence of external magnetic fields and this has been studied from the perspective of (thermal) radiation very recently \[68\]–\[72\]. Moreover, it has been shown that magnetic WSMs can exhibit nonreciprocal reflectivity without surface structuring using a planar interface \[70\].

In this Letter, we employ the intrinsic nonreciprocity of the surface modes in magnetic WSMs and demonstrate that NFRHT between magnetic WSMs can be actively controlled via twist. We will first show how the nonreciprocal dispersion of SPPs changes with the incidence plane of the light. Using fluctuational electrodynamics, we will study the implications of nonreciprocity on NFRHT and the twisting effects between two WSM slabs.
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In this Letter, we employ the intrinsic nonreciprocity of the surface modes in magnetic WSMs and demonstrate that NFRHT between magnetic WSMs can be actively controlled via twist. We will first show how the nonreciprocal dispersion of SPPs changes with the incidence plane of the light. Using fluctuational electrodynamics, we will study the implications of nonreciprocity on NFRHT and the twisting effects between two WSM slabs.

Surface plasmon polaritons.– In WSM, either inversion or time-reversal symmetry needs to be broken to split a doubly degenerate Dirac point into a pair of Weyl nodes with opposite chirality \[73\]–\[74\]. Each pair of Weyl nodes are separated in momentum space (denoted by wave vector \(2\)\(b\)) by breaking time-reversal symmetry or with an energy of \(2\hbar b_0\) by breaking inversion symmetry. The presence of Weyl nodes changes the electromagnetic response and the displacement electric field for WSM in the frequency domain is written as \[75\]:

\[ D = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d E + \frac{i e^2}{4\pi^2 \hbar \omega} (-2\hbar b + 2b \times E) \]

with \(\omega\) the angular frequency. The dielectric function \(\epsilon_d\) is expressed as \(\epsilon_d = \epsilon_0 + i\sigma / \omega\) where \(\epsilon_0\) is the background permittivity and \(\sigma\) the bulk conductivity. It is seen from Eq. \[7\] that \(b_0\) gives rise to the chiral magnetic effect and \(b\) the anomalous Hall effect. This implies that magnetic WSMs with broken time-reversal symmetry can give rise to the anomalous Hall effect. Considering \(2b\) along the \(y\)-direction in momentum space \((b = b_0 y\)) and inversion symmetric system with \(b_0 = 0\), we have \(D = \epsilon_0 \alpha E\) in the Cartesian coordinate system where the dielectric tensor is

\[ \epsilon(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_d & 0 & i \epsilon_a \\ 0 & \epsilon_d & 0 \\ -i \epsilon_a & 0 & \epsilon_d \end{bmatrix} \]

with \(\epsilon_a = e^2 / (2\pi^2 \epsilon_0 \hbar \omega)\). It has been reported that \(\epsilon_a\) can be comparable to \(\epsilon_d\) in the infrared region which is of most interest for thermal applications \[68\]–\[71\].

We first discuss the dispersion relations of SPPs at the planar interface between WSM and air by considering only one WSM slab. With the incidence plane at azimuthal angle \(\phi\) with respect to the \(x\)-axis, which is the \(x'-z\) plane shown in

\[ D = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d E + \frac{i e^2}{4\pi^2 \hbar \omega} (-2\hbar b + 2b \times E) \]
The bulk plasmon dispersion is found as

\[ \epsilon' \omega = R \epsilon(\omega) R^T = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_d & i \epsilon_a \cos \phi \\ 0 & \epsilon_d \\ i \epsilon_a \cos \phi & -i \epsilon_a \sin \phi \end{bmatrix} \]

where \( R \) is the rotation matrix of angle \( \phi \). We start from Maxwell curl equations

\[ \nabla \times E = -\partial_t B, \quad \nabla \times H = \partial_t D, \quad (4) \]

with \( B = \mu_0 \mu H \) and \( D = \epsilon_0 \epsilon E \). Since the SPP is transverse magnetic (or \( p \)-polarized) mode, the magnetic fields in air \((H_0)\) and in WSM \((H_1)\) are written in the forms as

\[ H_0(x', z, t) = y' \hat{y} e^{iq'x' - i\beta_0 z} e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \text{Im} (\beta_0) < 0, \quad (5) \]

\[ H_1(x', z, t) = y' \hat{y} e^{iq'x' + i\beta_1 z} e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \text{Im} (\beta_1) < 0, \quad (6) \]

where \( q \) is the in-plane wave vector. The out-of-plane wave vectors in air and WSM are denoted as \( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta_1 \), respectively. Using Maxwell equations in the WSM and air, one has

\[ \beta^2_0 + q^2 = k_0^2, \quad \beta^2_1 + q^2 = \mu \epsilon_{\text{eff}} k_0^2, \quad (7) \]

with \( k_0 = \omega/c \) the wave vector in air and the dielectric function \( \epsilon_{\text{eff}} = \epsilon_d - (\cos \phi \epsilon_a)^2/\epsilon_d \). Using the interface condition of electric field, the implicit dispersion relation for the SPP is obtained as

\[ \epsilon_{\text{eff}} \beta_0 - \beta_1 + i \cos \phi \epsilon_a q/\epsilon_d = 0. \quad (8) \]

It can be seen from Eq. (8) that the dispersion is nonreciprocal as long as \( \cos \phi \neq 0 \) and is reciprocal in the Faraday configurations with \( \phi = \pi/2 \) or \( \phi = 3\pi/2 \). From Eqs. (7) and (8), the dispersion relation of SPP can be numerically obtained. The bulk plasmon dispersion is found as \( q = \pm \sqrt{\mu \epsilon_{\text{eff}} k_0} \) with \( \epsilon_{\text{eff}} > 0 \). We consider the case with the relative permeability \( \mu \) to be 1.

The bulk conductivity \( \sigma \) can be obtained using the Kubo-Greenwood formalism to a two-band model with spin degeneracy as

\[ \sigma = \frac{g_s}{6} \Omega G \left( \frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} \right) + \frac{g_r}{6\pi} \left[ \frac{4}{\hbar^2 \Omega} \left( E_F^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} (k_B T)^2 \right) \right] + 8\Omega \int_0^{E_F} \frac{G(E) - G(\hbar \Omega/2)}{(\hbar \Omega)^2 - 4 E^2} E dE. \quad (9) \]

Here, \( g \) is the number of Weyl nodes, \( r_s = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0 h v_F) \) is the effective fine-structure constant with Fermi velocity \( v_F, \omega = \omega + i 2 \pi \tau^{-1} \) with the Drude damping rate \( \tau^{-1}, G(E) = n(E - E_F) - n(E) \) with the Fermi distribution function \( n(E), E_F \) is the chemical potential, and \( E_c \) is the cut-off energy. Following Refs. [68][71], we take the parameters \( b = 2 \times 10^9 \text{m}^{-1}, \epsilon_0 = 6.2, g = 2, v_F = 0.83 \times 10^5 \text{m/s}, \tau = 1000 \text{fs}, E_F = 0.15 \text{eV} \) at temperature \( T = 300 \text{K} \), and \( E_c = 3 E_F \). The parameters are close to the reported values for \( \text{Co}_2 \text{Sn}_2 \text{S}_2 \) [51][52] and the room temperature WSM \( \text{Co}_2 \text{Mn}_2 \text{Ga} \) [53].

![FIG. 1. The dispersion of surface plasmon polaritons (magenta lines) with different azimuthal angles of incidence: (a) \( \phi = 0 \), (b) \( \phi = \pi/4 \), and (c) \( \phi = \pi/2 \). The black lines are the linear dispersion relation in air (or vacuum). The gray regions show the continua of the bulk plasmon modes in Weyl semimetal. (d) Schematic setup for near-field heat radiation between two Weyl semimetals with gap separation \( d \) and twist angle \( \theta \). The twist angle is defined as the angle between the Weyl node separations in the bottom and top Weyl semimetals.](image)

Figures. (a), (b), and (c) show the dispersions of SPPs at different incidence planes characterized by the azimuthal angle \( \phi \). The gray regions show the continua of bulk plasmon modes which are reciprocal. At \( \phi = 0 \) (Voigt configuration), the nonreciprocity of the SPPs is clearly identified by the asymmetry with respect to the wave vector \( q \). There are two continua of bulk plasmon modes: one is lower in frequency and the other higher. The low-frequency continuum separates the SPPs into two branches. With increasing the azimuthal angle from \( \phi = 0 \) to \( \phi = \pi/2 \) (Faraday configuration), the low-frequency continuum shrinks and the degrees of nonreciprocity decreases. At \( \phi = \pi/2 \), the low-frequency continuum vanishes and the SPP dispersion becomes strictly reciprocal.

Here, we only show the dispersions of SPPs which are \( p \)-polarized. There exist \( s \)-polarized surface modes as well. As it was shown in Ref. [71], the \( s \)-polarized modes are nonreciprocal between Voigt and Faraday configurations. Since it is the \( p \)-polarized modes that dominate the NFRHT in WSM, the twist-induced near-field thermal control is mainly due to the nonreciprocity of SPPs.

**Near-field radiative heat transfer**—We now consider the NFRHT between two magnetic WSM slabs of the same properties with temperatures \( T_{1(2)} = T + \Delta T/2 \). The two slabs are placed in parallel and separated by an air gap with distance \( d \) [See Figure 1(d)]. The twist angle \( \theta \) is the angle between the Weyl node separations in the two slabs and can be changed by rotating one of the WSMs. From the fluctuational electro-
the radiative heat transfer coefficient (HTC) $h(\theta)$ at temperature $T$ is given by

$$h(\theta) = \frac{\hbar}{2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar N}{j_0^2 T} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{d}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \xi(\omega, \phi, \phi),$$  \tag{10}

where $q$ is the in-plane wave vector and $N'$ is the derivative of Bose-Einstein distribution $N = \frac{1}{[\hbar (\hbar \omega / (k_B T) - 1)]}$ with respect to the temperature and is expressed as

$$N' = \frac{\partial N}{\partial T} = \frac{\hbar}{2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar N}{j_0^2 T} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{d}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \xi(\omega, \phi, \phi).$$  \tag{11}

The photonic transmission coefficient $\xi(\omega, \phi, \phi)$ is expressed as

$$\xi = \begin{cases} \text{Tr}[\{(I - R_1 R_2) D(I - R_1 R_2)\}^\dagger] & , q < k_0 \\ \text{Tr}[\{(R_1^\dagger - R_2) D(R_1 - R_1)\}^\dagger] e^{-2|\xi_0|d}, & q > k_0 \end{cases}$$  \tag{12}

The identity matrix is denoted as $I$. The reflection coefficient matrix $R_n$ at the interface between air and WSM with $n = 1, 2$ has the form

$$R_n = \begin{bmatrix} r_{pp} & r_{ps} \\ r_{sp} & r_{ss} \end{bmatrix},$$  \tag{13}

and is provided in the Supporting Information. Furthermore, $D = (I - R_1 R_2 e^{-2i\beta_0 d})^{-1}$ is the Fabry-Perot-like denominator matrix. The near- and far-field regimes are defined by the conditions $q > k_0$ and $q < k_0$, respectively. Here, we consider the situation of $T = 300$ K, which can be achieved using room temperature WSMs discovered recently, such as Co$_2$MnGa [55] and Co$_2$MnAl [56]. We consider the HTC to be scaled by the corresponding black-body limit $h_b = 4\sigma_{SB} T^3$ with the Stefan-Boltzmann constant $\sigma_{SB} = \pi^2 k_B^4 / (60\hbar^3 c^2)$. One can calculate that $h_b$ is 6.12 W/m$^2$K under $T = 300$ K.

In Figure 2(a), we show the scaled HTC $h(\theta)/h_b$ versus the twist angle $\theta$ at different gap distances $d$. Since $h(\theta)$ is symmetric with respect to $\theta = \pi$, only the part of $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ is shown. The HTC is maximal at $\theta = 0$, decreases with increasing $\theta$ to $\theta = \pi/2$ and remains almost unchanged for $\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. The corresponding thermal switch ratios, which are defined as $R(\theta) = h(\theta)/h(\theta = 0)$, are shown as an inset. Compared to the HTC, the thermal switch ratio is less sensitive to the gap distance. The tunability reported here can be comparable to those by gratings [55-56] and by rotating a magnetic field in the case of magneto-optical materials [29]. Figure 2(b) shows the dependence of HTC on gap separation $d$. The heat transfer diverges as $d^{-2}$ at very small distances as shown in dashed lines which was predicted by Loomis and Maris [4].

The spectral function $\kappa(\omega)$ of HTC is defined through $h = \int_0^\infty \kappa(\omega)d\omega$ and its behaviors for different twist angles $\theta$ are shown in Figure 2(c). We first focus on the parallel case ($\theta = 0$), of which the photonic transmission coefficients $\xi(\omega, \phi, \phi)$ against $\hbar \omega$ and $q$ for different $\phi$ in Figures 3(a)-3(c) with $d = 100$ nm. Close to or in the far-field regions, $\xi(\omega, \phi, \phi)$ are less than or equal to 2, which is due to the contributions from both $p$- and $s$-polarized modes. The contributions to $\xi$ in near field are dominated by the SPPs which are $p$-polarized. This is confirmed by Figure S1 in the Supporting Information where the contribution from the $p$-polarized mode $[r_{pp}^p p_p^p]$ in Eq. (14) on the spectral function is very close to that from all modes. For $\theta = 0$, the individual SPP from the two WSMs are identical so that they couple with each other for the whole range of $\phi$ with $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$. This explains that HTC is maximal at $\theta = 0$. The near-field regions where $\xi$ are close to 1 are consistent with the odd (dashed lines) and even (dashed-dotted lines) SPP modes, which are given by

$$\epsilon_{eff_0} + \cosh(\beta_0 d/2)(\beta_1 + i \cos \phi \epsilon_{sa} d/\epsilon_0) = 0,$$

$$\epsilon_{eff_0} + \tanh(\beta_0 d/2)(\beta_1 + i \cos \phi \epsilon_{sa} d/\epsilon_0) = 0,$$  \tag{15}

respectively. Similarly to Figures 3(a) and 3(c), the degrees of nonreciprocity for both the odd and even modes decrease from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi = \pi/2$ at which the modes become reciprocal. Due to the nonreciprocity of the SPPs, the resonant frequency ranges are different for different $\phi$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi$ at a
given wave vector $q$. This can be seen from Figure 3(d) where $\xi(\omega, q, \phi)$ is shown against $h\omega$ and $\phi$ at $q = 10^7$ m$^{-1}$ under $d = 100$ nm. Because of the large nonreciprocity of the SPPs, the whole resonant frequency range is very broad (from about 90 meV at $\phi = 0$ to about 220 meV at $\phi = \pi$). This can be seen from the spectral function at $\theta = 0$ shown in Figure 2(c) as well.

Now we analyze the twisting effects. In Figure 4 the photonic transmission coefficients $\xi(\omega, q, \phi)$ are plotted against $h\omega$ and $\phi$ for different azimuthal angles of incidence without twisting ($\theta = 0$); (a) $\phi = 0$, (b) $\phi = \pi/4$, and (c) $\phi = \pi/2$. The black lines depict the linear dispersion in air (or vacuum). The gray lines mark the continuum regions of the bulk plasmon modes as in Figures 1(a) and 1(c). The dashed and dash-dotted magenta lines indicate the odd and even surface plasmon polariton modes, respectively. (d) $\xi(\omega, q, \phi)$ plotted against $h\omega$ and $\phi$ at twist angle $\theta = 0$ and $q = 10^7$ m$^{-1}$. The red line is obtained using Eq. (8). The gap separation is $d = 100$ nm.
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When the incidence plane is at azimuthal angle $\phi$ with respect to the $x$-axis, the dielectric tensor becomes

$$\varepsilon' = \mathcal{R} \varepsilon \mathcal{R}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} & \varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{xz} \\ \varepsilon_{yx} & \varepsilon_{yy} & \varepsilon_{yz} \\ \varepsilon_{zx} & \varepsilon_{zy} & \varepsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix},$$

(16)

with the rotation matrix

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\phi + \theta) & -\sin(\phi + \theta) & 0 \\ \sin(\phi + \theta) & \cos(\phi + \theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(17)

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} -q\varepsilon_{xx}/\varepsilon_{zz} & -q\varepsilon_{xy}/\varepsilon_{zz} & 0 & -k_0 - q^2/(k_0\varepsilon_{zz}) \\ 0 & -k_0 & 0 & q\varepsilon_{yz}/\varepsilon_{zz} \\ k_0(-\varepsilon_{xy} + \varepsilon_{zz}\varepsilon_{xx}/\varepsilon_{zz}) & k_0(-\varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz}\varepsilon_{yy}/\varepsilon_{zz}) + q^2/k_0 & 0 & -q\varepsilon_{zz}/\varepsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(20)

This differential equation has the solution

$$[\mathbf{E}_x(z), \mathbf{E}_y(z), \alpha \mathcal{H}_x(z), \alpha \mathcal{H}_y(z)] = \sum_{m=1}^2 c_m [u_{1,m}, u_{2,m}, u_{3,m}, u_{4,m}] e^{ik_m z},$$

(21)

where $k_m$ and $u_{i,m}$ are, respectively, the eigenvalue and eigenvector of matrix $K$. Since $K$ is a four-by-four matrix, there are four eigenvalues: two of them satisfy $\text{Im}(k_m) < 0$ and the other two $\text{Im}(k_m) > 0$. We take $k_m$ with $\text{Im}(k_m) < 0$, of which the subscripts are denoted as $m = 1, 2$, to ensure that the electromagnetic fields vanish at $z \to -\infty$.

The incoming electric and magnetic fields in air can be, respectively, written as

$$\mathbf{E}_{in} = [e_{in}^s \hat{y} + e_{in}^p (\beta_0 \hat{x} - q\hat{z})/k_0] e^{i\varepsilon_{zz}+i\beta_0 z - i\omega t},$$

$$\alpha \mathbf{H}_{in} = [e_{in}^s \hat{y} + e_{in}^p (\beta_0 \hat{x} - q\hat{z})/k_0] e^{i\varepsilon_{zz}+i\beta_0 z - i\omega t},$$

(22)

where the superscripts $s$ and $p$ are used to denote the polarization states. The reflected fields are then expressed as

$$\mathbf{E}_{re} = [e_{re}^s \hat{y} + e_{re}^p (\beta_0 \hat{x} + q\hat{z})/k_0] e^{i\varepsilon_{zz}-i\beta_0 z - i\omega t},$$

$$\alpha \mathbf{H}_{re} = [e_{re}^s \hat{y} + e_{re}^p (\beta_0 \hat{x} + q\hat{z})/k_0] e^{i\varepsilon_{zz}-i\beta_0 z - i\omega t}.$$  

(24)

At the interface of the air side with $z = 0^+$, the in-plane components of the electric and magnetic fields are

$$\mathbf{E}_\parallel = [(e_{in}^s + e_{re}^s) \hat{y} + (e_{in}^p - e_{re}^p) \beta_0 \hat{x}] e^{i\varepsilon_{zz}-i\beta_0 z - i\omega t},$$

$$\alpha \mathbf{H}_\parallel = [(e_{in}^s + e_{re}^s) \hat{y} + (e_{in}^p - e_{re}^p) \beta_0 \hat{x}] e^{i\varepsilon_{zz}-i\beta_0 z - i\omega t}.$$  

(26)

For the case of the $s$-polarized incoming field, that is, $e_{in}^s = 0$, the interface conditions give

$$(e_{in}^p - e_{re}^p) \beta_0 /k_0 = c_1 u_{1,1} + c_2 u_{1,2},$$

$$e_{re}^s = c_1 u_{2,1} + c_2 u_{2,2},$$

$$e_{in}^s \beta_0 /k_0 = c_1 u_{3,1} + c_2 u_{3,2},$$

$$e_{in}^p + e_{re}^p = c_1 u_{4,1} + c_2 u_{4,2}.$$  

(28)

From Eqs. (29) and (30), we have

$$c_2/c_1 = -(u_{2,1}\beta_0 - u_{3,1}\beta_0)/(u_{2,2}\beta_0 - u_{3,2}\beta_0).$$

(32)

The reflection coefficient $r^{pp} = e_{re}^p/e_{in}^p$ can be obtained from Eqs. (28) and (31) as

$$r^{pp} = (u_{4,1}\beta_0 - u_{1,1}\beta_0 + u_{4,2}\beta_0 - u_{1,2}\beta_0) c_2/c_1.$$  

(33)

From Eqs. (28) and (31), we obtain $r^{sp} = e_{re}^s/e_{in}^p$ as

$$r^{sp} = (1 - r^{pp}) u_{3,1} + u_{3,2} c_2/c_1 u_{1,1} + u_{1,2} c_1.$$  

(34)

For the case of the $s$-polarized incoming field, that is, $e_{in}^s = 0$, the interface conditions give

$$-e_{re}^s \beta_0 /k_0 = d_1 u_{1,1} + d_2 u_{1,2},$$

$$e_{in}^s + e_{re}^s = d_1 u_{2,1} + d_2 u_{2,2},$$

$$e_{in}^s \beta_0/k_0 = d_1 u_{3,1} + d_2 u_{3,2},$$

$$e_{re}^p = d_1 u_{4,1} + d_2 u_{4,2}.$$  

(35)

Here, we have use $d_1$ and $d_2$ instead of $c_1$ and $c_2$ to distinguish from the case of the $p$-polarized incoming field. From Eqs. (35) and (38), we have

$$d_2/d_1 = -(u_{4,1}\beta_0 - u_{1,1}\beta_0)/(u_{4,2}\beta_0 + u_{1,2}\beta_0).$$  

(39)
The reflection coefficient \( r^{ss} = e_{re}^s / e_{in}^s \) can be obtained from Eqs. (36) and (37) as
\[
r^{ss} = \frac{(u_{2,1}\beta_0 + u_{3,1}k_0) + (u_{2,2}\beta_0 + u_{3,2}k_0)d_2/d_1}{(u_{2,1}\beta_0 - u_{3,1}k_0) + (u_{2,2}\beta_0 - u_{3,2}k_0)d_2/d_1}.
\]
(40)

From Eqs. (35) and (37), we obtain \( r^{ps} = e_{re}^p / e_{in}^s \) as
\[
r^{ps} = (1 - r^{ss}) \frac{u_{1,1} + u_{1,2}d_2/d_1}{u_{3,1} + u_{3,2}d_2/d_1}.
\]
(41)

The reflection coefficient matrix at the interface between air and WSM \( n \) with \( n = 1, 2 \) is given by
\[
R_n = \begin{bmatrix} r_{nn}^{pp} & r_{nn}^{ps} \\ r_{nn}^{sp} & r_{nn}^{ss} \end{bmatrix}.
\]
(42)

For the particular case of \( \phi = \theta = 0 \), Eq. (19) reduces to
\[
d \frac{\mathcal{E}_x}{\alpha \mathcal{H}_y} = i K^P \left[ \frac{\mathcal{E}_x}{\alpha \mathcal{H}_y} \right], \quad d \frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{\alpha \mathcal{H}_x} = i K^S \left[ \frac{\mathcal{E}_y}{\alpha \mathcal{H}_x} \right],
\]
with
\[
K^P = \begin{bmatrix} i q \epsilon_a / \epsilon_d & k_0 - q^2 / (k_0 \epsilon_d) \\ k_0 (\epsilon_d - \epsilon_a / \epsilon_d) & -i q \epsilon_a / \epsilon_d \end{bmatrix}
\]
and
\[
K^S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -k_0 \\ -k_0 \epsilon_d + q^2 / k_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
(45)

One can find that \( \beta_1 \) under \( \phi = 0 \) satisfies \( \text{det}(\beta_1 - K^P) = 0 \) and the solution to \( \text{det}(\beta_1' - K^S) = 0 \) is given by \( (\beta_1')^2 + q^2 = \epsilon_d k_0^2 \) with \( \text{Im}(\beta_1') < 0 \). The reflection coefficients for the \( p \)- and \( s \)-polarized modes are, respectively, given by
\[
r^{pp} = \frac{\epsilon_{eff} \beta_0 - (\beta_1 + i q \epsilon_a / \epsilon_d)}{\epsilon_{eff} \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + i q \epsilon_a / \epsilon_d)}, \quad r^{ss} = \frac{\beta_0 - \beta_1'}{\beta_0 + \beta_1'}
\]
(46)

and the other reflection coefficients vanish. The photonic transmission coefficient in this particular case is expressed as
\[
\xi(\omega, q, \phi = 0) = \frac{4 |\text{Im}(r^{pp})|^2 e^{-2|\beta_0|d}}{1 - (r^{pp})^2 e^{-2|\beta_0|d}|2 + |r^{pp} \rightarrow r^{ss}|}.
\]
(47)
FIG. 5. Spectral function $\kappa(\omega)$ at twist angles (a) $\theta = 0$, (b) $\theta = \pi/4$, (c) $\theta = \pi/2$, and (d) $\theta = \pi$ with $d = 100$ nm. The solid lines are obtained by considering the contributions from all the elements in the reflection coefficient matrix $R_n$. The dashed (dash-dotted) lines give the contribution from the $p$-polarized ($s$-polarized) mode by setting $r_{ps}^n = r_{sp}^n = r_{ss}^n = 0$ ($r_{ps}^n = r_{sp}^n = r_{pp}^n = 0$) in $R_n$. It can be inferred from the lines that the $p$-polarized mode dominates the near-field radiative heat transfer.