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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring with identity and $U(R)$ be its group of units. In 2005 , El-Kassar and Chehade presented a ring structure for $U(R)$ and as a consequence they generalized this group of units to the generalized group of units $U^{k}(R)$ defined iteratively as the group of the units of $U^{k-1}(R)$, with $U^{1}(R)=U(R)$. In this paper, we examine the structure of this group, when $R=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. We find a decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ as a direct product of cyclic groups for the general case of any $k$, and we study when these groups are boolean and trivial. We also show that this decomposition structure is directly related to the Pratt Tree primes.


## 1. Introduction

Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring with identity and let $U(R)$ denote its group of units. The fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups states that any finite abelian group is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(R) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{i}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem of determining the structure of the group of units of any commutative ring $R$ is an open problem and has received lots of attention. However, the problem is solved for certain classes for example the ring of integers modulo $n, \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, see [3], and the factor ring of Gaussian integer modulo $\beta, \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{i}] /\langle\beta\rangle$, see Cross [?]. Also Smith and Gallian in [7], solved the problem of decomposing the group of units of the finite ring $F[x] /<h(x)>$, where $F$ is a finite field and $h(x)$ is polynomial in $F[x]$.

In 2006, a generalization for the group of units of any finite commutative ring $R$ with identity, was introduced by El-Kassar and Chehade [1]. They proved that the group of units of a commutative ring $R ; U(R)$; supports a ring structure and this has made it possible to define the second group of units of $R$ as, $U^{2}(R)=U(U(R))$. Extending this definition to the k-th level, the k-th group of units is defined as, $U^{k}(R)=U\left(U^{k-1}(R)\right)$. On the other hand the decomposition in (1.1) can be generalized so that $U^{k}(R) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n_{i}}\right)$. For example, if we consider $R=\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{i}] /\left\langle p^{n}\right\rangle$, the factor ring of Gaussian integer modulo $p^{n}$, where $p$ is an odd prime in $\mathbb{Z}$ of the form $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Cross [?] determined the structure of the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{i}] /\left\langle p^{n}\right\rangle$ as $U\left(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{i}] /\left\langle p^{n}\right\rangle\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}} \times$ $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}-1}$. Thus structure of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{i}] /\left\langle p^{n}\right\rangle\right)$ can be examined through the isomorphism $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{i}] /\left\langle p^{n}\right\rangle\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}-1}\right)$. Arising from all finite commutative rings $R$ with identity, the structure of $U^{k}(R)$ is obtained through the structure the generalized group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

[^0]Moreover, let $R$ be any finite ring with $|R|>1$. Since $0 \notin U(R)$, we have $|U(R)|<|R|$ and hence $\left|U^{k}(R)\right|<\left|U^{k-1}(R)\right|$. Thus, $U^{k}(R)$ must eventually become a boolean ring and $U^{k+1}(R)$ is the trivial group. This mean the iterative structures of $U^{i}(R)$ will reach the trivial group. These problems were considered by some authors and arose a problem of determining all finite commutative rings $R$ such that $U^{i}(R)$ is boolean or trivial group. Also some considered the problem when $U^{i}(R)$ is a cyclic group for some rings $R$ and values of $i$. El-Kassar and Chehade [1 solved both problems completely for $R=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $k=2$. Later, Kadri and El-Kassar in [2], considered the problem for the case when $R=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $k=3$ and also provided a complete solution for these two problems.

In this paper, we examine the structure of the generalized group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. The structure is discussed by considering the two possible factors of $n$ which are $2^{a}$ and $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$, where $p_{i}$ is an odd prime integer. Thus, we find a decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ as a direct product of cyclic groups for the general case of any $k$. Also we examine the problem of having $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$, a boolean ring and those that are trivial. We solve the problem completely when $n=2^{\alpha}$, while the case when $n=p^{\alpha}, p$ is an odd integer, is examined and some necessary conditions are given. Also we give some properties of having $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ a boolean or a trivial group. Eventually, we show that this decomposition structure is directly related to the Pratt Tree primes, illustrated in an example showing this relation.

## 2. Some Preliminaries

Let $R$ be the ring of integers modulo $n, \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. The decomposition of the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}, U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ can be found in [3] stated in the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. The group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ when $n$ is a prime power integer is given by
(1) $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$,
(2) $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{a}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{a-2}}$ when $a \geq 2$,
(3) $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}$ when $\alpha \geq 1$.

Thus the above isomorphism gives the structure of any group of units $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$. If $n=2^{a} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ be the decomposition of $n$ into product of distinct prime powers. Then

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{a}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}
$$

and

$$
U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{a}}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}\right)
$$

Moreover, we can conclude from this decomposition that $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ is a trivial group if and only if $n=1$ or $2 . U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ is boolean ring for $a=2$ or 3 and when $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}\right) \approx$ $\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1}} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ then $\alpha_{i}=1$ and $p_{i}=3$. Then $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ is a boolean, when $n=2^{2}, 2^{3}, 2^{2} \times 3$ or $2^{3} \times 3$.

El-Kassar and Chihade [1], introduced a generalization of the group of units as the $k^{t h}$ group of units of commutative ring with identity $R$ denoted as $U^{k}(R)$. The definition is based on the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If a group $\left(G,{ }^{*}\right)$ is isomorphic to the additive group $(R,+)$ of the ring $(R,+,$.$) , then there is an operation \oplus$ on $G$ such that $\left(G,^{*}, \oplus\right)$ is a ring isomorphic to $(R,+,$.$) .$

Now, since $U(R) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{i}}$, we obtain that $U(R)$ is a ring isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ 's. That is, $U(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{i}}$. Hence, the group of units of the ring $U(R)$ is called the second group of units of $R$, written as $U^{2}(R)$. Continuing in applying the above steps, we obtain the group of units $U^{k}(R)$ of the ring $U^{k-1}(R)$, which is defined to be the generalized group of units of the commutative ring $R$ with identity. Eventually, $U^{k}(R)$ shall be a commutative ring with identity.

The launching of this group opened several problems from studying the structure of this group and determining all rings $R$ with a given characteristic of $U^{k}(R)$. In particular, El-Kassar and Chihade [1] studied the decomposition of $U^{k}(R)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $k \geq 0$. If $R \cong R_{1} \oplus R_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{r}$, then

$$
U^{k}(R) \approx U^{k}\left(R_{1}\right) \times U^{k}\left(R_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k}\left(R_{r}\right)
$$

and

$$
U^{k}(R) \cong U^{k}\left(R_{1}\right) \oplus U^{k}\left(R_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus U^{k}\left(R_{r}\right)
$$

Note that the first is a group isomorphism and the second is a ring isomorphism. So that at any step of this paper this isomorphism can represent a group or a ring isomorphism. Also the zero group of units of $R, U^{0}(R)$, is the ring $R$ itself.

One of the most important classes is the ring of integers modulo $n, \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. So if $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ be the decomposition of $n$ into product of distinct prime powers. Then

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}\right)
$$

An application showing how these iterated groups are determined. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}_{338}$. We have $\mathbb{Z}_{338} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{13^{2}}$. Then the first group of units is $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. Now, $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$ is a ring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. However, the group of units of $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right), U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$, is the second group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{338}$. $U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, which is a ring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12}$. Continuing in the same manner, we obtain that $U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$ is the third group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{338}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Also $U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$ is the $4^{\text {th }}$ group of units isomorphic to the trivial ring $\mathbb{Z}_{1}=\{0\}$.

Also, for any ring $R$ with $|R|>1$. Since $0 \notin U(R)$, we have $|U(R)|<|R|$ and hence $\left|U^{k}(R)\right|<\left|U^{k-1}(R)\right|$. Thus, $U^{k}(R)$ must eventually become a boolean ring and $U^{k+1}(R)$ is the trivial ring. In the above example $U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$ is a boolean ring and $U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{338}\right)$ is the trivial ring.

El-Kassar and Chihade in [1] solved the problem of determining all rings $R$, such that $U^{k}(R)$ is trivial completely when $R=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $k=2$ summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. $U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ is trivial if and only if $n$ divisor of 24 .
Also Kadri and El-Kassar in [2], solved the problem for $U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ given in the following theorem

Theorem 4. $U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ is trivial if and only if $n$ divisor of 131040.
Moreover, they established a structure of $U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ as

$$
U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{a}}\right) \approx \begin{cases}\{0\} & \text { if } a<6 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{a-6}} & \text { if } a \geq 6\end{cases}
$$

and when $p$ is an odd prime. Then

$$
U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{a}}\right) \approx \begin{cases}U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) & \text { if } \alpha=1 \\ U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) & \text { if } \alpha=2 \\ U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-3}} & \text { if } \alpha \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

## 3. The Decomposition of $K^{\text {th }}$ Group Of Units Of $Z_{n}$

In this section we determine the structure of the $k^{t h}$ group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. First we consider the case when $n=2^{\alpha}$ and then the case when $n=p^{\alpha}$, where p is an odd prime.

Lemma 2. $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for all $k \geq 2$.
Proof. Let $k=1$. We have from Lemma $\mathbb{1}, ~ U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Now, let $k>1$. We obtain $U^{k-1}\left(U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right) \approx U^{k-1}(\{0\})$ which gives that $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Now, by Lemma 1 , $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and thus $U^{k-1}\left(U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. However, from the previous result $U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for $k-1 \geq 1, k \geq 2$. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for all $k \geq 2$.
Lemma 3. Let $\alpha>2 t \geq 0$ and $k>t \geq 0$. Then $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2 t}}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose that $\alpha>2$ and $k>1$. By Lemma 1, we have $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2}}$, then $U^{k-1}\left(U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right)\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2}}\right)$. However, $U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2}}\right) \approx$ $U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2}}\right)$, and by Lemma2 $U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx$ $U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2}}\right)$.

Applying the above relation $t$ times, we obtain the result.
Lemma 4. $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha+2 t}}\right)$ for all nonzero natural numbers $k$ and $\alpha$, where $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $k>0$ and $\alpha>0$. Then by Lemma $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha+2}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}$ and $U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha+2}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right)$. But by Lemma 2, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Hence, $U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha+2}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right)$.

Applying the above relation $t$ times, we obtain the result.
In the following theorem we give the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right)$ into a direct product of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ 's.
Theorem 5. Let $k>0$ and $\alpha>0$. Then the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right)$ is given by
(1) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2 k}}$ if $\alpha>2 k$,
(2) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if $\alpha=2 k$,
(3) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$ if $\alpha<2 k$.

Note that for $\alpha=2 k+1, U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2 k+1}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ which is a boolean ring. Also (3) can be written as: $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$ if and only $2^{\alpha}$ is a divisor of $2^{2 k-1}$.

Proof. Let $k>0$ and $\alpha>0$.
(1) Suppose $\alpha>2 k$ and $t=k-1$. Then $\alpha-2(k-1)=\alpha-2 t>2$ and $k>t$. Now, from Lemma 3, we have $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2 t}}\right)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-(k-1)}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2(k-1)}}\right)=U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2(k-1)}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Lemma $11\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2(k-1)}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2 k}}$. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2 k}}$.
(2) Suppose that $\alpha=2 k$ and $t=k-1$. Since $k>t$ and $\alpha-2 t=\alpha-$ $2 k+2=2>0$, we have from Lemma 3, the same formula of (3.1). But $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha-2(k-1)}}\right)=U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
(3) Suppose $\alpha<2 k$. In the case $\alpha$ is odd, set $t=\frac{\alpha-1}{2}$. Since $\alpha-2 t=$ $\alpha-2\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2}\right)=1>0$ and $k-t=k-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}=\frac{2 k-\alpha+1}{2}>0$, Lemma 3 gives that $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{1}}\right)$ and $U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{1}}\right)$ is the trivial group. Now, the case when $\alpha$ is even, set $t=\frac{\alpha-2}{2}$. Since $\alpha-2 t=\alpha-2\left(\frac{\alpha-2}{2}\right)=2>0$ and $k-t=k-\frac{\alpha-2}{2}=\frac{2 k-\alpha+2}{2}>1$. From Lemma 3, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2}}\right)$ which is also the trivial group by Lemma 2. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$ if $\alpha<2 k$.

Next, we study the decomposition of $k^{t h}$ group of units of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$, when $p$ is an odd prime.
Lemma 5. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $k \geq 1$. Then $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right)$.
Proof. The proof is a direct consequence that $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$.
Theorem 6. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $0 \leq t<\alpha$ and $1 \leq t \leq k$. Then

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-t+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-t}}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose $t=0$, then $1<\alpha$ and $1 \leq k$. We have from Lemma $\mathbb{1} U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx$ $\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}$ and so $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}\right)$. But by Lemma 5 $U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, Suppose $t=2,2<\alpha$ and $2 \leq k$. Then the isomorphism in (3.2) can be written as

$$
U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-2}}\right)
$$

by replacing $k$ and $\alpha$ by $k-1$ and $\alpha-1$ respectively. Hence,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-2}}\right)
$$

Continuing in the same manner. When $t<\alpha$ and $t \leq k$, we conclude that, $U^{k-(t-1)}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-(t-1)}}\right) \approx U^{k-(t-1)}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-t}}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-t+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-t}}\right)
$$

Example 1. Applying the above theorem we obtain the following. Let $p=47$, $k=8, \alpha=6$ and $t=5$. Then

$$
U^{8}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47^{6}}\right) \approx U^{8}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \times U^{7}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \times U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right)
$$

But $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{46} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{23}$, which implies that $U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{22} \approx$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ and so $U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{11}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{10} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5}, U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $U^{5}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and hence, $U^{6}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx U^{7}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx U^{8}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Therefore,

$$
U^{8}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47^{6}}\right) \approx U^{5}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \times U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \times U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{47}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5}
$$

Theorem 7. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $\alpha>0$ and $k>0$. Then
(1) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, when $\alpha<k$,
(2) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, when $\alpha=k$,
(3) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}}$, when $\alpha>k$.

Proof. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $\alpha>0$ and $k>0$.
(1) Let $\alpha \leq k$ and $t=\alpha-1$. Then $t<\alpha$ and $t<k$ and by Theorem 6 . $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-t+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-t}}\right)$.

Thus,
$U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha+2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.
(2) The proof is obtained by replacing $\alpha=k$ in the isomorphism (3.3).
(3) Let $\alpha>k$ and $t=k-1$. Then $t<\alpha$ and $t<k$. From Theorem 6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) & \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-t+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-t}}\right) \\
& \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-(k-1)+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-(k-1)}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-(k-1)}}\right) \\
& \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k+1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $\alpha-k+1>0$, Lemma 1 gives that $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k+1}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}}$. Therefore,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}}
$$

The above theorem gives the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ into a direct product of $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{j}}$. So by finding the decomposition of $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, for a given odd prime $p$, the decomposition $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is established.

Next, we give some application of decompositions of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ in the case $p=3$.
Corollary 1. Let $n=3^{\alpha}$. Then the decomposition of the $k^{\text {th }}$ group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is given by

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha-k}} & \text { if } \alpha>k \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { if } \alpha=k \\ \{0\} & \text { if } \alpha<k\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We have $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)=U^{i-1}\left(U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)\right) \approx U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. But $U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if $i=1$ and $U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for $i>1$. Hence,

$$
U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}_{2} \text { if } i=1 \\
\{0\} \text { if } i>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

By applying Theorem 7 for $p=3$, we obtain that when $\alpha<k$,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)
$$

But $k-j+1>1$ for $j=1,2, \cdots, \alpha$. and so $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx \cdots \approx$ $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$.

Now, if $\alpha=k$,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{k}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)
$$

But $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Hence, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{k}}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
If $\alpha>k$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha}}\right) & \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha-k}} \\
& \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha-k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following corollary is a direct conclusion done by combining Theorem 7 and Lemma 5

Corollary 2. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $\alpha>0$ and $k>0$. Then
(1) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right)$, when $\alpha<k$,
(2) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-2}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$, when $\alpha=k$,
(3) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}(p-1)}$, when $\alpha>k$.

The next corollaries refer to Corollary 2 in determining the structure of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ by knowing the structure of $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right)$ where $i<k$. We apply this on $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right)$ and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right)$.
Corollary 3. Let $n=5^{\alpha}$. Then the decomposition of the $k^{\text {th }}$ group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is given

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha-k}} & \text { if } \alpha>k . \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} & \text { if } \alpha=k \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { if } \alpha=k-1 \\ \{0\} & \text { if } \alpha<k-1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Using Theorem 5 and setting $\alpha=2$ the case $\alpha>2 k$ is rejected, so we are left with

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) & \approx \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { if } k=1 \\
\{0\} & \text { if } k>1\end{cases} \\
U^{k-i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) & \approx\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { if } i=k-1 \\
\{0\} & \text { if } i<k-1
\end{array}, i=1,2, \ldots, k-1\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Corollary 2 we get
$U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \begin{cases}U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) & \text { if } \alpha<k \\ U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} & \text { if } \alpha=k \\ U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha-k}} & \text { if } \alpha>k\end{cases}$
for $\alpha<k$, if $\alpha=k-1 U^{k-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $U^{k-i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for $i<k-1$, thus $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. and if $\alpha<k-1$, we have $U^{k-i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, \alpha$. Thus $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

For the second case $\alpha=k$, all the summands are trivial except $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Then $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. Consequently to the case $\alpha=k$, we can conclude directly the case $\alpha>k$, that is $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha-k}}$.

Corollary 4. Let $n=7^{\alpha}$. Then the decomposition of the $k^{t h}$ group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is given

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right) \approx \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha-k}} & \text { if } \alpha>k \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} & \text { if } \alpha=k \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { if } \alpha=k-1 \\ \{0\} & \text { if } \alpha<k-1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From Corollary 2 we relate the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ to $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right)$ for $i<k$ then for $p=7$ we need to find $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right)$ for $i<k$. we have $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \approx U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times$ $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$. However from Theorem $5 U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \approx\{0\}$ and from Corollary 1

$$
U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}_{2} \text { if } i=1 \\
\{0\} \text { if } i>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \approx\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}_{2} \text { if } i=1 \\
\{0\} \text { if } i>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

By applying Corollary 2 we get
for $\alpha>k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right) & \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha-k}} \\
& \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha-k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha=k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right) & \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \\
& \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha<k$, if $\alpha=k-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right) & \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \\
& \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $\alpha=k-2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right) & \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \\
& \approx\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$ for $\alpha<k-2$. Therefore, we obtain the required.
We end this section by noting that for higher prime integers the decomposition is more complicated. But we noticed that the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha}}\right)$ and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5^{\alpha}}\right)$ were obtained knowing the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Also the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{7^{\alpha}}\right)$ is obtained from the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ and so on. We may conclude that each decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ has a Tree of decompositions of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{j}}\right)$ for a given sequence of primes $p_{i}$. This problem is discussed in Section 5 .

## 4. Boolean and Trivial $U^{k}\left(Z_{n}\right)$

The previous section opened the importance in examining the rings that have $k^{t h}$ group of units, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$, a boolean and those that are trivial. In this section, we study these two problems. First, we consider the case $n=2^{\alpha}$, then when $n=p^{\alpha}$, where $p$ is a odd prime. We solve the problem completely when $n=2^{\alpha}$, while the case when $n=p^{\alpha}$ is examined and some necessary conditions are given. We end this section by concluding some properties of having $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ a boolean or a trivial group.

In the following theorem our two major problems are solved in the case $n=2^{\alpha}$ and $n=3^{\alpha}$.

Theorem 8. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Then
(1) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right)$ is a boolean ring if and only if $\alpha=2 k$ or $\alpha=2 k+1$ and is trivial if and only if $\alpha<2 k$.
(2) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{\alpha}}\right)$ is boolean ring if and only if $\alpha=k$ and is trivial if and only if $\alpha<k$.

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence from Theorem 5 and Corollary 1 .

Next, we consider the case when $p$ is an odd prime integer and since in Theorem 8 the special case $p=3$ is solved so we may consider the cases when $p$ is an odd prime integer different than 3.
Lemma 6. Let $p$ be an odd prime different from 3. If $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is boolean ring, then $\alpha<k$.

Proof. Let $p$ be an odd prime different from 3 and suppose that $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is a boolean ring. Assume for contradiction that $\alpha \geq k$. If $\alpha=k$, then by Theorem 7, we have

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

Hence, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}\right)$ is boolean if and only if $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is a boolean ring implies that $p=3$ a contradiction.

Now, suppose that $\alpha>k$. By Theorem 7, we have

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}}
$$

Hence, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}}$ is boolean or trivial, a contradiction. Therefore, $\alpha<k$.
Lemma 7. Let $p$ be an odd prime. If $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is trivial, then $\alpha<k$.
Proof. Let $p$ be an odd prime and suppose that $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is trivial. Suppose that $\alpha=k$, then by Theorem 7 we have

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

Hence, $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is trivial, since $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}\right)$ is trivial if and only if

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx \cdots \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}
$$

But $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is trivial implies that $p=1,2$, a contradiction. Therefore $\alpha \neq k$.
Now, suppose that $\alpha>k$. By Theorem 7, we have

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}}
$$

But $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is trivial if and only if

$$
U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \approx U^{k-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\right) \approx \cdots \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}} \approx\{0\}
$$

a contradiction, as $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-k}}$ is never trivial. Therefore, $\alpha<k$.
From the previous two Lemmas we conclude one of necessary condition to have $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ a boolean ring or a trivial one which is $\alpha<k$. Next, we find the sufficient condition to obtain these rings.

Theorem 9. Let $p$ be an odd prime different from 3. Then $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is boolean ring if and only if $\alpha<k$ and $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is a boolean ring. Moreover,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $p$ be an odd prime different from 3 and let $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ be a boolean ring. Then from Lemma6, we obtain that $\alpha<k$. Also by Theorem 7

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

Then, $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is a boolean ring also

$$
U^{k-\alpha+2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx U^{k-\alpha+3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx \cdots \approx U^{k-\alpha+\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}
$$

Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.

Conversely, let $\alpha<k$ and $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is a boolean ring. Then $U^{s}\left(U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right) \approx\{0\}$, when $s=1,2 \cdots, \alpha-1$. That is,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx \cdots \approx U^{k-\alpha+2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}
$$

Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, which is a boolean ring.
Theorem 10. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is trivial if and only if $\alpha<k$ and $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}$.

Proof. Let $p$ be an odd prime and suppose that $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is trivial. Then from Lemma 7 we obtain that $\alpha<k$. Also by Theorem 7

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}
$$

Therefore, $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}$.
Conversely, let $\alpha<k$ and $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Then $U^{s}\left(U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right) \approx\{0\}$, when $s=0,1,2 \cdots, \alpha-1$. That is,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx \cdots \approx U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}
$$

Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$.
Next, we take an example to check if a given ring $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is a boolean ring or trivial. Let us suppose $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)=U^{9}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23^{6}}\right)$. Starting with the necessary condition that $\alpha<k$ else it is not a boolean neither a trivial group. Along we check the nature of $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=U^{9-6+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right)=U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right)$. We have
(1) $U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{22} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11}$,
(2) $U^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{11}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{10} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5}$,
(3) $U^{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and
(4) $U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right) \approx U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Therefore, $U^{9}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23^{6}}\right)$ is a boolean ring with $U^{9}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23^{6}}\right) \approx U^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{23}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
Theorem 11. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $k>0, \alpha>0$ and $t>0$. Then $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is a boolean ring if and only if $U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right)$ is boolean ring. Moreover, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right)$.

Proof. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is a boolean ring. Suppose $p \neq 3$, from Lemma 6] $\alpha<k$, then $\alpha+1<k+1$. However, Theorem 7 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+1}}\right) & \approx U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k+1-(\alpha+1)+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \\
& \approx U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \\
& \approx U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, from Corollary 2, we have $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and are boolean rings. Then $U^{\alpha}\left(U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right)=U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Therefore, $U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+1}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$. Applying this isomorphism $t$ times, we obtain that $U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$.

Conversely, let $U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right)$ be a boolean ring. Then by Theorem 9

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right) & \approx U^{(k+t)-(\alpha+t)+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \\
& =U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and are boolean rings. We may conclude that $U^{r}\left(U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right) \approx\{0\}$ for $r=1,2, \ldots, \alpha$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) & \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \\
& \approx U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, when $p=3$, Corollary 1 can be written as $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{k}}\right) \approx U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3^{k+t}}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 11 ,
Corollary 5. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $t>0, k>0$ and $\alpha>0$. Then
(1) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is not a boolean ring if and only if $U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right)$ is not a boolean ring.
(2) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is a trivial ring if and only if $U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right)$ is a trivial ring.
(3) $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right)$ is nontrivial ring if and only if $U^{k+t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha+t}}\right)$ is nontrivial ring.

Lemma 8. Let $p$ be a prime and let $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$. If $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$, then $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{t}}\right) \approx$ $\{0\}$.
Proof. Let $p$ be a prime and let $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$. Suppose $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$. By Theorem 10, we obtain that $\alpha<k$. Now, since $0 \leq t \leq \alpha, 0 \leq t<k$ and by Theorem 7 we have

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{t}}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k-t+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

Since $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$, Theorem 10 gives that $U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}$. However, $U^{s}\left(U^{k-\alpha+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right) \approx\{0\}$, where $s=0,1,2 \cdots, t-1$. That is,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx U^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx \cdots \approx U^{k-t+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \approx\{0\}
$$

Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{t}}\right) \approx\{0\}$.
Now, let $p=2$. From Theorem 5, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \approx\{0\}$ if and only if $\alpha<2 k$. But $0 \leq t \leq \alpha<2 k$. Therefore, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{t}}\right) \approx\{0\}$.
Theorem 12. Let $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Then for all divisors $m$ of $n, U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right) \approx\{0\}$.
Proof. Let $n=2^{\alpha} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ be the decomposition of $n$ into product of distinct prime powers and let $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \approx\{0\}$. Suppose that $m$ be a divisor of $n$. Then $m=2^{\alpha^{\prime}} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}$, where $0 \leq \alpha_{i}^{\prime} \leq \alpha_{i}$ and $0 \leq \alpha^{\prime} \leq \alpha$. We have

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}\right)
$$

Hence, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right), U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right), \cdots$ and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}\right)$ are trivial. By the Lemma 8, we obtain that $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha^{\prime}}}\right), U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}}\right), \cdots$ and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}}\right)$ are trivial. Therefore,

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha^{\prime}}}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}}\right) \approx\{0\}
$$

and $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$ is trivial.
Theorem 13. Let $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ be a boolean ring. Then for all divisors $m$ of $n$, $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right) \approx\{0\}$ or $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$ is a boolean ring.
Proof. Let $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ be a boolean ring. then $U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \approx\{0\}$. From Theorem 12 we have for all divisors $m$ of $n, U^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right) \approx\{0\}$. The later leads to two possibilities either $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right) \approx\{0\}$ or $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$ is a boolean ring.

## 5. Pratt's Tree and Decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$

V. Pratt in [7] showed that short proofs of primality do exist, that is, PRIMES is in NP where he introduced what so called Pratt certificate and Pratt tree. The authors in 8 discussed in details the dimensions of Pratt's tree introduced in his paper. In this section, we relate Pratt's tree to the complete structure of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$. The steps to find the decomposition $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ are similar to the step in determining the Pratt tree with same structure and dimension, see Fig.
of prime divisors of $n$, where all primes given in Pratt tree are used to reach the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$.
The primes in Pratt tree are the Prime chains $p_{1} \prec p_{2} \prec \cdots \prec p_{k}$ such that for which $p_{j+1} \equiv 1 \bmod p_{j}$ in other words, $p_{j} \mid p_{j+1}-1$ for each $j$, see [8]. By charting this process, we find what is called a Lucas-Pratt tree [9]. On the other hand, we have

$$
U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \approx U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right) \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}}\right) \times \cdots \times U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}\right)
$$

If $p_{j}=2$ the decomposition is solved in Theorem [5. While when $p_{j}$ is an odd prime, it is clear from Corollary 2, that to find the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{j}}{ }_{j}\right)$, we need to find decomposition of $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{j}-1}\right), i=1,2, . ., k-1$. which is also determined from the prime factors of $p_{j}-1$. which shall be the Primes in the Pratt tree.

To illustrate the relation, we set $p_{j}=269$ and our aim is to determine the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{269^{\alpha}}\right)$, and relate it to Pratt Tree.

The Pratt Tree is obtained by the following steps:
Step 1: $p_{j}-1=268=2^{2} \times 67$. First level in Pratt Tree is $(2,67)$,
Step 2: $67-1=66=2 \times 3 \times 11$ and Second Level in Pratt Tree is $(2,3,11)$,
Step 3: $3-1=2$ also $11-1=2 \times 5$ and thus Third Level in Pratt Tree is $(2 ; 2,5)$,
Step 4: $5-1=2^{2}$ which give the Last level in the the Pratt Tree (2).
Next, we show the steps in determining the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{269^{\alpha}}\right)$. Starting from Corollary 2, which shows that the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{269^{\alpha}}\right)$ is determined from $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{j}-1}\right), i=1,2, . ., k-1$. Thus

$$
U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}-1}\right)=U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{268}\right) \approx U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2}}\right) \times U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{67}\right)
$$

$i=1,2, . ., k-1$. Thus we get in this decomposition the First Level of Pratt Tree $(2,67)$. Now, the decomposition of $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2}}\right)$ can be determined from Theorem 5 thus next we need to determine the decomposition of $U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{67}\right)$.

Having $67-1=66=2 \times 3 \times 11$ thus we get

$$
U^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{67}\right) \approx U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{66}\right) \approx U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \times U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{11}\right)
$$

we get in this decomposition the Second Level of Pratt Tree $(2,3,11)$. Next, we need the decomposition of $U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ and $U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{11}\right)$. In the same manner, we get

$$
U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \approx U^{i-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \text { and } U^{i-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{11}\right) \approx U^{i-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times U^{i-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)
$$

This is Third Level in Pratt Tree (2) and $(2,5)$.
Finally with the last decomposition of

$$
U^{i-2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right) \approx U^{i-3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2}}\right)
$$

giving Last Level of Pratt Tree that is (2).

As a consequence that all the decompositions will reach eventually to $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha}}\right)$ which is solved in Theorem 5, Thus the decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ can be obtained.

(A) Decomposition of $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{269^{\alpha}}\right)$

(B) Pratt Tree of 269

Figure 1. Equivalence between the decomposition $U^{k}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{269^{\alpha}}\right)$ and Pratt Tree.
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