

Norm hypergraphs

Cosmin Pohoata*

Dmitriy Zakharov†

Abstract

We introduce a high uniformity generalization of the so-called (projective) norm graphs of Alon, Kollár, Rónyai, and Szabó, and use it to show that

$$\text{ex}_d(n, K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)}) = \Theta \left(n^{d - \frac{1}{s_1 \dots s_{d-1}}} \right)$$

holds for all integers $s_1, \dots, s_d \geq 2$ such that $s_d \geq ((d-1)(s_1 \dots s_{d-1} - 1))! + 1$. This improves upon a recent result of Ma, Yuan and Zhang, and thus settles (many) new cases of a conjecture of Mubayi.

1 Introduction

Let $K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)}$ denote the complete d -partite d -uniform hypergraph with parts of sizes s_1, \dots, s_d and let $\text{ex}_d(n, K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)})$ be the maximum number of hyperedges in a d -uniform hypergraph on n vertices which is free of copies of $K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)}$. For $d = 2$, the problem of determining $\text{ex}(n, K_{s_1, s_2}) := \text{ex}_2(n, K_{s_1, s_2})$ is arguably one of the most famous in combinatorics, the so-called Zarankiewicz problem. Despite considerable interest, the value of this function is known for only a few pairs (s_1, s_2) . Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is a K_{s_1, s_2} -free graph with $s_1 \leq s_2$. The inequality $\sum_{x \in V} \binom{\deg(x)}{s_1} \leq (s_2 - 1) \binom{n}{s_1}$ due to Kővari, Sós and Turán [9] implies the celebrated upper bound

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s_1, s_2}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (s_2 - s_1 + 1)^{1/s_1} n^{2-1/s_1} + o(n^{2-1/s_1}).$$

However, the only cases where the upper bound has been so far matched by a construction with $\Omega(n^{2-1/s_1})$ edges are $(s_1, s_2) = (2, 2), (2, t), (3, 3)$, and, more generally, (s, t) where $t \geq (s-1)! + 1$. The latter is a theorem resulting from the combined effort of Alon, Kollár, Rónyai and Szabó [1, 8], which builds upon a long history of earlier work on special cases (see, for example, the comprehensive survey [7]).

For $d \geq 3$, the situation is even more complicated. Using the double counting idea from [9] and induction on d , Erdős [6] established the following general upper bound:

$$\text{ex}_d(n, K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)}) = O \left(n^{d - \frac{1}{s_1 \dots s_{d-1}}} \right) \quad (1)$$

for all $s_1 \leq s_2 \dots \leq s_d$. Whether or not this result has the chance of always being sharp (up to constants) is a fascinating discussion. In [11], Mubayi conjectured that this should be indeed the case for all constants $d \geq 2$ and all choices of s_1, \dots, s_d , which is a rather bold claim since not even the

*Department of Mathematics, Yale University, USA. Email: andrei.pohoata@yale.edu.

†Laboratory of Combinatorial and Geometric Structures, MIPT, Russia. Email: zakharov2k@gmail.com.

case $s_1 = \dots = s_d = 2$ is well-understood. In fact, the problem in this particularly notorious regime is often called *the Erdős box problem*, and has generated quite a bit of activity on its own. We refer the reader to [4] for the (recent) current record and for more background.

The situation when s_d is significantly larger than s_1, \dots, s_{d-1} has also proved to be quite tantalizing for many years. Until not too long ago, the only results available were in the rather degenerate case when $s_1 = \dots = s_{d-2} = 1$, where Mubayi [11] extended the known constructions from the $d = 2$ regime. In [10], Ma, Yuan and Zhang then provided the first silver lining by proving the following remarkable result.

Theorem 1 *Let $s_1, \dots, s_{d-1} \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there exists a positive constant C depending only on s_1, \dots, s_{d-1} such that*

$$\text{ex}_d(n, K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)}) = \Theta \left(n^{d - \frac{1}{s_1 \dots s_{d-1}}} \right)$$

holds for all $s_d \geq C$.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the elegant random algebraic method of Bukh from [2], which was originally developed in [3] (in a more complicated form) in order to provide an alternative argument for the fact that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s_1, s_2}) = \Omega \left(n^{2 - \frac{1}{s_1}} \right)$ holds when s_2 is sufficiently large in terms of s_1 . Nevertheless, just like in the case $d = 2$, the bound on C in terms of s_1, \dots, s_{d-1} arising from the argument in [10] is extremely poor (for $d = 2$, the bound from [2] is already of tower type where the height of the tower depends linearly on s_1). It is therefore still quite natural to ask whether for $d \geq 3$ there exist improved constructions that could show that C can be chosen to be a reasonable quantity in terms of s_1, \dots, s_{d-1} .

In this paper, we address this problem and improve upon Theorem 1 by showing the following result.

Theorem 2 *Let $s_1, \dots, s_d \geq 2$ be integers such that $s_d \geq ((d-1)(s_1 \dots s_{d-1} - 1))! + 1$. Then, there exists a d -uniform $K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)}$ -free hypergraph $\mathcal{H}(V, E)$ with $|V(\mathcal{H})| = N$ and*

$$|E(\mathcal{H})| = \Omega \left(N^{d - \frac{1}{s_1 \dots s_{d-1}}} \right).$$

This confirms Mubayi's conjecture for all $d \geq 2$ and $s_d \geq ((d-1)(s_1 \dots s_{d-1} - 1))! + 1$. Furthermore, it is perhaps worth emphasizing that Theorem 2 also directly recovers the result of Alon, Rónyai and Szabó for the Zarankiewicz problem for graphs [1].

2 Norm hypergraphs

We first provide a construction which shows that

$$\text{ex}_d(n, K_{s_1, \dots, s_d}^{(d)}) = \Theta \left(n^{d - \frac{1}{s_1 \dots s_{d-1}}} \right) \tag{2}$$

holds for all integers $s_1, \dots, s_d \geq 2$ such that $s_d \geq ((d-1)s_1 \dots s_{d-1})! + 1$. This construction will represent a generalization of the original norm graph introduced by Kollár, Rónyai, and Szabó in [8],

and is inspired in various ways by the celebrated work of Schmidt on norm form equations from [12]. Our proof will also rely on the following remarkable lemma from [8], which we shall now state for the reader's convenience (and ours).

Lemma 1 *Let \mathbb{F} be any field and $a_{ij}, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a_{ij} \neq a_{i'j}$ for all $i \neq i'$. Then the system of equations*

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 - a_{11})(x_2 - a_{12}) \cdots (x_s - a_{1s}) &= b_1 \\ (x_1 - a_{21})(x_2 - a_{22}) \cdots (x_s - a_{2s}) &= b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ (x_1 - a_{s1})(x_2 - a_{s2}) \cdots (x_s - a_{ss}) &= b_s \end{aligned}$$

has at most $s!$ solutions in \mathbb{F}^s .

We note that the threshold for s_d from (2) is slightly worse than our Theorem 2, however it is already a significant improvement of Theorem 1. We will discuss this first in Section 2.1. In Section 2.2, we will then improve upon this threshold further by appealing to a variant of the “projectivization” trick due to Alon, Rónyai and Szabó from [1].

2.1 Construction

Let p be a prime number, let $m = s_1 \dots s_{d-1}$, $q = p^m$ and $q' = q^{d-1}$. Consider the field extensions $\mathbb{F}_p \subset \mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_{q'}$. Let $N : \mathbb{F}_{q'} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ denote the norm map. Choose elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{F}_{q'}$ in such a way that any $d-1$ of them are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2 *There is a d -partite hypergraph $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{F}_q \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $|\mathcal{F}| \gg q^d$ and such that for any $i = 1, \dots, d$, any $g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$, $g \neq 1$, and any edges $(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathcal{F}$ we have*

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_i} x_j \neq g \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_i} y_j \right). \quad (3)$$

Proof: Let $S \subset \mathbb{F}_{q'}$ be the union of all proper subfields of $\mathbb{F}_{q'}$. Note that $|S| = o_{p \rightarrow \infty}(q')$. Denote $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ and note that any element $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q'} \setminus S$ has a trivial stabilizer under the action of G . Let Y_1, \dots, Y_d be independent uniformly random subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q'} \setminus S$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q'} \setminus S$ and for every $i = 1, \dots, d$ we have $|Gx \cap Y_i| = 1$.

For $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ denote $L_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_i} x_j$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ be the random hypergraph consisting of all edges (x_1, \dots, x_d) such that $L_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in Y_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. By design, the family \mathcal{F} satisfies (3). So it is left to show that $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}| \gg q^d$.

Note that the number of tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ such that for every $i = 1, \dots, d$ we have $L_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \notin S$ is $(1 - o(1))q^d$. On the other hand, for every such (x_1, \dots, x_d) the probability that $L_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in Y_i$ is equal to $\frac{1}{d-1}$. Therefore, by the independence of Y_i , the probability that $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{(d-1)^d}$. We conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}| \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{q^d}{(d-1)^d},$$

as claimed. It follows that there exists an \mathcal{F} which satisfies the requirements of Lemma 2. \square

For $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, let $\mathcal{H}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the hypergraph consisting of edges (x_1, \dots, x_d) such that

$$N(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_d x_d) = t. \quad (4)$$

Observe that the hypergraphs \mathcal{H}_t , $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, cover all the edges of \mathcal{F} . So, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that the hypergraph \mathcal{H}_t has at least $|\mathcal{F}|p^{-1} \gg q^d p^{-1}$ edges.

We claim that \mathcal{H}_t does not contain copies of $K_{s_1, \dots, s_{d-1}, s_d}^{(d)}$ for all $s_d > (m(d-1))!$. Indeed, without loss of generality, for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ let $A_i \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ be a subset of size s_i . We use Lemma 1 to bound the number of elements $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x) is an edge of \mathcal{H}_t for all $x_i \in A_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. Since in a field with characteristic p we have $(a+b)^p = a^p + b^p$, equation (4) rewrites as

$$t = N(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1} x_{d-1} + \alpha_d x) = \prod_{j=0}^{m(d-1)-1} (\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1} x_{d-1} + \alpha_d x)^{p^j} \quad (5)$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{m(d-1)-1} \left(\alpha_1^{p^j} x_1^{p^j} + \dots + \alpha_{d-1}^{p^j} x_{d-1}^{p^j} + \alpha_d^{p^j} x^{p^j} \right). \quad (6)$$

This holds for all elements $x_i \in A_i$, as we vary $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Note that by (4) for any $g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ we also have

$$N(g(\alpha_1)x_1 + \dots + g(\alpha_d)x) = t.$$

Since there are m choices for $x_i \in A_i$ and $d-1$ choices for $g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ we obtain a set of $m(d-1)$ equations which $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ must satisfy. In order to apply Lemma 1 we need to check that the coefficients corresponding to the j -th bracket in (5) are distinct for all choices $x_i \in A_i$ and $g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since the coefficients in the j -th bracket are obtained from the coefficients in the 0-th bracket by applying an automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_p$, it is enough to verify this condition for $j = 0$.

Suppose that there are $x_i, x'_i \in A_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, and $g, g' \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that

$$g\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_d}\right)x_1 + \dots + g\left(\frac{\alpha_{d-1}}{\alpha_d}\right)x_{d-1} = g'\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_d}\right)x'_1 + \dots + g'\left(\frac{\alpha_{d-1}}{\alpha_d}\right)x'_{d-1}. \quad (7)$$

If $g = g'$ then the fact that the elements α_i/α_d form a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q'}$ over \mathbb{F}_q implies that $x_i = x'_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. So suppose that $g \neq g'$. Then (7) can be rewritten as follows

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_d}x_1 + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{d-1}}{\alpha_d}x_{d-1} = g^{-1}g'\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_d}x'_1 + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{d-1}}{\alpha_d}x'_{d-1}\right). \quad (8)$$

However any solution $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ to our system of equations in particular satisfies

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x), (x'_1, \dots, x'_{d-1}, x) \in \mathcal{H}_t \subset \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2, there is no such x provided that (8) holds. We conclude that if (7) does not hold then the condition of Lemma 1 is satisfied and hence there are at most $(m(d-1))!$ solutions to our system of equations. Otherwise, by Lemma 2, there are no solutions at all.

2.2 Improved bound using projectivization

In this section, we prove Theorem 2. Let p be a prime number, $m = s_1 \dots s_{d-1}$, $q = p^{m-1}$ and $q' = q^{d-1}$ (note the difference with Section 2.1). As before, we consider the field extensions $\mathbb{F}_p \subset \mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_{q'}$, define the norm map $N : \mathbb{F}_{q'} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ and fix elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{F}_{q'}$ such that any $d-1$ of them are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q .

Define a d -partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H} \subset (\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_p^*)^d$ where a sequence of pairs $(x_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_p^*$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, forms an edge if

1. $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is the family given by Lemma 2,
2. $N(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_d x_d) = b_1 \dots b_d$.

Note that \mathcal{H} has exactly $|\mathcal{F}|(p-1)^{d-1}$ edges. So to prove Theorem 2 it is enough to show that \mathcal{H} does not contain copies of $K_{s_1, \dots, s_{d-1}, s_d}^{(d)}$ for all $s_d > ((m-1)(d-1))!$. For $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ fix subsets $A_i = \{x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,s_i}\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ and $B_i = \{b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,s_i}\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p^*$ of size s_i . We want to bound the number of pairs $(y, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that

$$\{(x_{1,j_1}, b_{1,j_1}), \dots, (x_{d-1,j_{d-1}}, b_{d-1,j_{d-1}}), (y, b)\} \in \mathcal{H} \quad (9)$$

for all j_1, \dots, j_{d-1} . By the definition of \mathcal{H} , (9) implies

$$N\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_d} x_{i,j_i} + y\right) = N(\alpha_d)^{-1} b_{1,j_1} \dots b_{d-1,j_{d-1}} b. \quad (10)$$

Let $\omega(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_d} x_{i,j_i}$ and put $z = \frac{1}{y + \omega(1, \dots, 1)}$. Similarly to (5) we can rewrite (10) as follows. Let $g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ be an arbitrary element. From (10) we have

$$\prod_{h \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_p)} (\omega(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1})^{gh} + y^h) = N(\alpha_d)^{-1} b_{1,j_1} \dots b_{d-1,j_{d-1}} b. \quad (11)$$

Here we use the notation $x^g := g(x)$. Dividing (11) by (11) with $(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1}) = (1, \dots, 1)$ and $g = 1 \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$, we obtain

$$\prod_{h \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_p)} (1 + (\omega(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1})^{gh} - \omega(1, \dots, 1)^h) z^h) = \frac{b_{1,j_1} \dots b_{d-1,j_{d-1}}}{b_{1,1} \dots b_{d-1,1}}. \quad (12)$$

So we are in a situation where Lemma 1 may be applied. We have $(d-1)(m-1)$ variables z^h , $h \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q'}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $(d-1)m-1$ equations of the form (12) corresponding to $(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1}) \neq (1, \dots, 1)$. If there is at least one pair (y, b) satisfying (9) then, by Lemma 2, we have $\omega(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1})^g \neq \omega(j'_1, \dots, j'_{d-1})^{g'}$ if $(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1}, g) \neq (j'_1, \dots, j'_{d-1}, g')$. Since there are at least as many equations as there are variables, Lemma 1 shows that there are at most $((d-1)(m-1))!$ elements y satisfying (12). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Boris Bukh, David Conlon, and Oliver Janzer for several helpful discussions. The second author would also like to acknowledge the support of the grant of the Russian Government N 075-15-2019-1926.

References

- [1] N. Alon, L. Rónyai and T. Szabó, Norm-graphs: variations and applications, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **76** (1999), 280–290.
- [2] B. Bukh, Random algebraic construction of extremal graphs, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **47** (2015), 939–945.
- [3] P. V. M. Blagojević, B. Bukh and R. Karasev, Turán numbers for $K_{s,t}$ -free graphs: topological obstructions and algebraic constructions, *Israel J. Math.* **197** (2013), 199–214.
- [4] D. Conlon, C. Pohoata and D. Zakharov, Random multilinear maps and the Erdős box problem, arXiv:2011.09024.
- [5] P. Erdős, On sequences of integers no one of which divides the product of two others and on some related problems, *Mitt. Forsch.-Inst. Math. Mech. Univ. Tomsk* **2** (1938), 74–82.
- [6] P. Erdős, On extremal problems of graphs and generalized hypergraphs, *Israel J. Math.* **2** (1964), 183–190.
- [7] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits, The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems, in Erdős centennial, 169–264, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 25, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2013.
- [8] J. Kollár, L. Rónyai and T. Szabó, Norm-graphs and bipartite Turán numbers, *Combinatorica* **16** (1996), 399–406.
- [9] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós and P. Turán, On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz, *Colloq. Math.* **3** (1954), 50–57.
- [10] J. Ma, X. Yuan and M. Zhang, Some extremal results on complete degenerate hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **154** (2018), 598–609.
- [11] D. Mubayi, Some exact results and new asymptotics for hypergraph Turán numbers, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **11** (2002), 299–309.
- [12] W. M. Schmidt, Norm form equations, *Annals of Math.* **96** (1972), 526–551.