

SURGERY ON $\text{Aut}(F_2)$

SYLVAIN BARRÉ AND MIKAËL PICHOT

ABSTRACT. We study a geometric construction of certain finite index subgroups of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$.

We recall that $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ admits an isometric properly discontinuous action with compact quotient on a CAT(0) complex X_0 , called the Brady complex, which was introduced in [4]

In §1, we show that $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ can be presented (virtually) in a very simple manner from a labelling of a flat torus. Starting from a torus of size $6 \times n$, for some fixed integer $n \geq 1$ (we shall discuss the case $n = 5$ in details), we associate to it, via a “pinching and (systolic) filling” construction, a 2-complex B_n , with fundamental group a group G_n which is of finite index in $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. The universal cover X_n of B_n is a CAT(0) space. We show in §1 that the X_n ’s are pairwise isometric for every $n \geq 1$, and that X_0 and X_n are locally isometric, in the sense that their vertex links are pairwise isometric (Lemma 1.3) for every $n \geq 0$. This implies, by the result below, that X_n is isometric to X_0 for every n .

In §2, we prove a geometric rigidity theorem for the Brady complex. Roughly speaking, the result states that X_0 is the “free complex” on one (any) of its face, among the complexes locally isomorphic to X_0 (see Th. 2.3 for a precise statement). This seems to be a rather special property of X_0 , which is not very often satisfied among the 2-complexes we have studied.

Theorem 2.3 implies that every CAT(0) 2-complex locally isometric to X_0 is isometric to X_0 . The notion of local isomorphism in this statement is slightly more restrictive than requiring the existence of an abstract isometry between the links shown in Lemma 1.3: the two complexes must be of the same (local) type (see §2). The additional conditions are however immediate to verify for the X_n ’s for $n \geq 1$.

In §3, we show that every torsion free finite index orientable subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ can be constructed abstractly by a pinching-and-filling construction, similar to the one given in §1, applied to finitely many tori. It is not clear however how to extend the explicit procedure given in §1 to describe, e.g., the family of torsion free finite index subgroups which are associated with a fixed number of tori.

In §4, we explain the origin of the toric presentation given in §1. The present paper can be seen as a continuation of an earlier work [3], in which we introduce a cobordism category Bord_A which can be used to construct groups acting on complexes of a given (local) type A . We show below that the techniques of [3] can be applied to the case of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. It gives rise groups acting on complexes of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ as defined in §2.

In the case of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$, however, the spaces constructed by surgery in this way must, by the results in §2, be quotients of the Brady complex X_0 , and the resulting fundamental groups, subgroups of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. This is not true of many cobordism categories, and contrasts for example with the categories studied in [3], in which


the groups accessible by surgery in a given category (of a fixed local type, e.g., Moebius–Kantor) are typically not pairwise commensurable. Again, the category Bord_A is rather special in this respect when A is the type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$.

Finally, we give in §5 an example of a $\text{CAT}(0)$ 2-complex X' which is locally isomorphic to the Brady complex to X_0 , but not isometrically isomorphic to it. Here “locally isomorphic” refers to the fact that the links in X' are isometric to the links in the complex X_0 .

Acknowledgement. The second author is supported by an NSERC discovery grant.

1. THE TORIC PRESENTATION

Consider the flat torus T_5 of size 6×5 defined as follows:



Every edge in T_5 is oriented and labelled. The boundary is identified in the standard way respecting both the orientation and the labelling of the boundary edges.

Note that there is a non trivial Dehn twist, that we will denote τ_{-6} , in the vertical direction.

We endow the torus T_5 with the standard Euclidean metric, in which the cells are (as shown in the figure) lozenges with sides of length 1.

Here is the basic construction.

The figure contains a total of 20 letters. They are denoted A_r, B_r, C_r, D_r , $0 \leq r \leq 4$. Let L be a letter. For every triple K of the form

$$K := (L, L', L'')$$

consider an oriented triangle with edges labelled by K in the given order. We attach this triangle to the torus T_5 along its boundary, respecting the orientation and labelling for the boundary edges. This operation, repeated for the twenty triples K , defines a 2-complex B_5 .

Let $X_5 := \bar{B}_5$ denote the universal cover of B_5 , and $G_5 := \pi_1(B_5)$ denote its fundamental group of B_5 .

Note that the canonical map $T_5 \rightarrow B_5 = X_5/G_5$ is not injective on vertices. One may view B_5 as a “wrinkled presentation” of the group G_5 and the map $T_5 \rightarrow B_5$ as the “sewing map”. Observe furthermore that every triple K “jumps” on the torus T_5 . (We call K a “knight”.)

By definition, a *jump* on T_5 is an oriented edge between two vertices of T_5 . Every triple K defines three jumps, from the extremity of an edge in K to the origin of the consecutive edge, modulo 3.

Lemma 1.1. *Jumps are either disjoint or they share a common support.*

Proof. A jump associated with a triple K corresponds either to the affine transformation

$$\begin{cases} x \mapsto x + 1 & \text{mod } 6 \\ y \mapsto y - 2 & \text{mod } 5 \end{cases}$$

where x is even modulo 6, or to its inverse

$$\begin{cases} x \mapsto x - 1 & \text{mod } 6 \\ y \mapsto y + 2 & \text{mod } 5 \end{cases}$$

where x is odd modulo 6. It is not difficult to show that these two transformations do not depend on K . Since they are inverse of each other, jumps with a common vertex must have the same support. \square

In particular, the jumps define an involution σ of the vertex set of T_5 , whose orbit partition $T_5/\langle\sigma\rangle$ coincides with the vertex set of X_5/G_5 .

Let us orient the torus T_5 counterclockwise, and consider the positive labelling $\in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ of the edges issued from a vertex, where 1 refers to the positive real axis. The basic construction induces a permutation of the labels associated with every jump. We shall now describe this permutation.

Lemma 1.2. *The permutation of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ associated with the jump*

$$\begin{cases} x \mapsto x + 1 & \text{mod } 6 \\ y \mapsto y - 2 & \text{mod } 5 \end{cases}$$

is the 4-cycle $(1, 2, 4, 3)$.

This shows that the resulting permutation does not depend on K ; the permutation associated with the opposite jump is the inverse permutation.

Proof. Let us for example do the bottom left corner $(0, 0)$, which is mapped to $(1, -2) = (1, 3)$ under σ . The corresponding transformation of the counterclockwise labelling reads


$$\begin{cases} 1 = A_0 \\ 2 = A'_4 \\ 3 = D''_3 \\ 4 = A'_3 \end{cases} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 = D_3 \\ 2 = A''_0 \\ 3 = A_3 \\ 4 = A''_4 \end{cases}$$

which corresponds to label permutation $(1, 2, 4, 3)$. \square

The following shows that X_5 is locally isomorphic to the Brady complex in the (usual) sense that their links are pairwise isomorphic.

Lemma 1.3. *Every link in X_5 is isomorphic to the link of the Brady complex.*

Proof. We shall compute the links in X_5 . That it is isomorphic to that of the Brady complex follows from [4, 7] (see also §2 below). Since the expression for σ is independent of the base point in T_5 , it is enough to check the link of the origin. We represent the links at the origin and its image in T_5 as follows (the drawings respects the scale provided by the angle metric):



The prime labels correspond to the image $(1, -2)$. According to the previous lemma, edges in the link of X_5 corresponds to the permutation $s = (1, 2, 4, 3)$. This defines four additional edges in the above figure: $(x, s(x)')$ for every $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. It is straightforward to check that this graph is the link of X_0 (compare §2). \square

The basic construction can be generalized to an arbitrary integer $n \geq 1$ in the following way.

Suppose first that n is a sufficiently large integer (e.g., $n \geq 4$). Consider a torus T_n of size $6 \times n$, where the vertical identification involves a Dehn twist τ_{-6} . For every letter L on $((x, y), (x, y - 1))$, where x is even, write labels L' and L'' on, respectively, $((x, y - 2), (x + 1, y - 2))$ and $((x + 1, y - 3), (x + 1, y - 4))$; for every letter L on $((1, y), (2, y))$, write labels L' and L'' on, respectively, $((3, y - 2), (4, y - 2))$ and $((5, y - 4), (6, y - 4))$. Then the same construction for every triple $K = (L, L', L'')$ on $4n$ letters defines a 2-complex B_n which is locally isomorphic to the Brady complex. The notation B_n is consistent with the previous notation B_5 .

One can further extend this construction of B_n to every integer $n \geq 1$ as follows. Let $T_\infty := \varinjlim T_n$ (with respect to partial embeddings from a base point) is a cylinder with an obvious action of \mathbb{Z} . Since the set of triples (knights) is \mathbb{Z} -invariant, this action descends to the basic construction B_∞ ; we let, by definition, X_n is the universal cover of the quotient B_n of this space by $n\mathbb{Z}$. The notation B_n is again consistent. Note however than the description using knights is only clearly visible for n sufficiently large ($n \geq 4$ is large enough).

This shows the following:

Proposition 1.4. *The space X_n are pairwise isomorphic for $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. They have a common cover B_∞ . \square

In the next section we give a different proof of this fact, which includes isomorphism with the Brady complex X_0 .

2. GEOMETRIC RIGIDITY


We shall describe the local data by a type (or “local type”), following [3, §4]. In the latter paper we were interested in two sorts of types, simplicial and metric. In the present paper, we shall use *labelled types*, which add connecting maps to mark the link edges using angle labels as follows (cf. [3, Rem. 4.5]).

Definition 2.1. A *labelled type* (in dimension 2) is

- (1) a set of graphs (the links);
- (2) a set of marked shapes, i.e., polygons with filled interior and labelled angles;
- (3) a set of connecting maps marking every link edge with an angle label.

We define the type $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ as follows:

- (1) the link of the Brady complex; it is isomorphic to the graph (see [7, Fig. 6])




The letters are associated (see [7, §3] for details) with the presentation


$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \mid & ba = ae = eb, \quad de = ec = cd, \\ & bc = cf = fb, \quad df = fa = ad, \\ & ca = ac, \quad ef = fe \rangle \end{aligned}$$

of the braid group B_4 .

- (2) two shapes, a lozenge and an equilateral triangle, labelled in the following way:



- (3) a connecting map defined by



Let T be a labelled type. We say that a 2-complex with labelled face angles is *of type T* if it has the correct links and shapes, and the induced marking of the link edges corresponds to a connecting map. A homomorphism between two complexes of type T is a 2-complex homomorphism which preserves the angle labels.

The following is straightforward to verify from, e.g., the original description of X_0 in [4].

Proposition 2.2. *The Brady complex X_0 is of type $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$.*

Our main theorem in this section is a converse of this statement. More precisely, we prove that the complex X_0 satisfies a **universal property**: it is freely generated by any of its faces.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a 2-complex of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. Let S be a face in X_0 and let $f: S \rightarrow X$ be a label and shape preserving map from S to a face in X . There exists a unique homomorphism $\tilde{f}: X_0 \rightarrow X$ whose restriction to S coincides with f . Furthermore, \tilde{f} is a covering map onto its image.*

Every 2-complex of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ can be naturally endowed with a metric structure, in which the triangle face is equilateral and the lozenge a union of two equilateral triangles. By the link condition, every such a complex is locally $\text{CAT}(0)$. Every homomorphism between complexes of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ is isometric, and conversely, every isometry preserves the angle labels. The universal property in the metric situation states that if $f: S \rightarrow X$ is an isometry between a face S of X_0 and a face of X , then there exists a unique isometry $\tilde{f}: X_0 \rightarrow X$ whose restriction to S coincides with f .

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a 2-complex of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. Let S be a face in X_0 and let $f: S \rightarrow X$ be a map identifying S with a face in X . Let p be a vertex of S . There exists a unique label preserving extension $\tilde{f}: \text{St}_p(X_0) \rightarrow X$ of f to the star of p in X_0 .*

Proof. Let L_0 denote the link of p in X_0 and L the link of $f(p)$ in X . The map f . The map f induces a label preserving map from an edge e_0 in L_0 to an edge e in L . Since the labels incident to an arbitrary vertex in L_0 and L are identical, and the labels around a vertex are pairwise distinct, there exists a unique label preserving extension of f to the faces adjacent to S containing p . More generally, it is easy to check that the map $e_0 \rightarrow e$ admits a unique label preserving extension to a graph isomorphism $L_0 \rightarrow L$. This shows that f admits a unique label preserving extension $\tilde{f}: \text{St}_p(X_0) \rightarrow X$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We refer to the standard $\text{CAT}(0)$ structure on X_0 defined before the lemma. Let C be a maximal ball in X_0 centred in S to which f admits an unique extension. We let f denote this extension. Suppose for towards a contradiction that C has a finite radius.

Let $p \in \partial C$. If p belongs to the interior of a face, it is obvious how to extend f to an ε -neighbourhood of p in X_0 . Suppose that p belongs to the interior of an edge e , and let f be the unique face containing e and intersecting the interior of C . Since both X_0 and X are of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$, there exists a unique extension of f to an ε -neighbourhood of p in X_0 . Assume now that p is a vertex of ∂C . In this case, C contains a face, and the previous lemma shows that f can be extended in a unique way to an ε -neighbourhood of p .

Furthermore, that if p, p' are two points in ∂C at distance ≤ 1 , then the two extensions of f from p and p' coincide on their intersection. Since ∂C is compact, this shows that f can be extended to an ε -neighbourhood of C , contradicting the maximality of C .

Finally, \tilde{f} is a covering map by construction. \square

Corollary 2.5. *The spaces X_n are pairwise isomorphic for every $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since X_n is of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$, we have a covering map $X_0 \rightarrow X_n$. Since X_n is simply connected, this map is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 2.6. *The groups G_n are of finite index in $\text{Aut}(F_2)$.*

Proof. The special automorphism group $\mathbf{SAut}(F_2)$, which is of index 2 in $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$, acts transitively on the set of triangles in X_0 by the description in [7]. If f is a triangle in X_0 , and s an element in G_n , then there exists a unique element $t_s \in \mathbf{SAut}(F_2)$ whose restriction to f coincide with s . By the theorem, s and $T - s$ coincide on X_0 , and the map $s \mapsto t_s$ provides an embedding of G_n into $\mathbf{SAut}(F_2)$. \square

Another corollary, Theorem 2.8 below, shows that the Brady complex admits a “frame” in the following sense.

We recall that a flat plane in X_0 is an isometric embedding $\mathbb{R}^2 \hookrightarrow X_0$ of the standard Euclidean plane in X_0 .

Definition 2.7. A *frame* on X_0 is an orientation, and a labelling by two letters e and f , of the edge set of X_0 , such that for every flat plane Π in X_0 which is a union of lozenges, the following holds

- (1) the ordered set $B_x := (e_x, f_x)$ of outgoing edges at a vertex x in Π , with respective labels e and f , forms a basis of Π ,
- (2) the unique translation of Π which takes a vertex x to a vertex y takes the ordered set B_x to the ordered set B_y .

Thus a frame is a way to move a basis consistently along the various embeddings Π into X_0 .

Theorem 2.8. *There exists a frame on X_0 .*

Proof. The map $X_0 \twoheadrightarrow B_5$ is a covering map. We consider the obvious frame on the torus T_5 , and the induced orientation and labelling of the edge set of B_5 by the sewing map $T_5 \rightarrow B_5$ (which is a bijection on the edge set), and lift the orientation and labelling to X_0 using the map $X_0 \twoheadrightarrow B_5$. Since every flat plane in X_5 maps onto the image of T_5 in B_5 , this defines a frame on X_0 . \square

We shall say that a group of automorphisms of X_0 is *orientable* if it preserves the frame constructed in Theorem 2.8.

Note that every finite index subgroup of $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ contains a finite index subgroup which is orientable.

Proof. Let G be a finite index subgroup of $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$. Then the group $G \cap G_5$ is of finite index in $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$:

$$[\mathbf{Aut}(F_2) : G \cap G_5] \leq [\mathbf{Aut}(F_2) : G][\mathbf{Aut}(F_2) : G_5].$$

Furthermore, $G \cap G_5$ is orientable since G_5 is. \square

3. PINCHING AND FILLING TORI

The spaces in §1 are obtained in two steps, by a procedure which can be described as “pinching and systolic filling” starting from a flat torus.

Theorem 2.3 shows that every such a construction, using a family of flat tori, will have X_0 as a universal cover, provided it satisfies a few basic conditions, described in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $t \geq 1$ be an integer. Suppose that:*

- (1) T_1, \dots, T_t is a finite family of flat tori, endowed with a simplicial metric structure in which every cell is a lozenge with sides of length 1

- (2) σ is a fixed point free involution on the vertex set of $T := \sqcup_{k=1}^t T_k$
- (3) the systolic length in $T' := T/\langle\sigma\rangle$ is 3
- (4) every edge in T' belongs to a unique systole of length 3
- (5) the systolic filling B of T' , obtained by attaching isometrically an equilateral triangle to every systole in T' , is locally $CAT(0)$ (i.e., the link girth in B is $\geq 2\pi$)

then $\tilde{B} \simeq X_0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 it is enough to prove that B is of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. Since σ is fixed point free, the link at a vertex in B contains a union of two disjoint circles of length 2π .

We shall use the notation in Lemma 1.3. Since every edge belongs to a unique systole of length 3, the systolic filling provides an involution τ of the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \sqcup \{1', 2', 3', 4'\}$ of vertices in the link. Since B is locally $CAT(0)$, and the edge length from the systoles are $\pi/3$, the involution τ induces a bijection from $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ to $\{1', 2', 3', 4'\}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\tau(1) = 2'$. By the $CAT(0)$ condition, it follows that $\tau(4) \neq 1'$.

Suppose that $\tau(4) = 4'$. Then the distance between 3 and $3'$ is $\leq \pi$, which implies $\tau(2) = 3'$ and $\tau(3) = 1'$. In this case, however, the cycle $212'3'$ is of length $< 2\pi$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\tau(4) \neq 4'$. This implies that $\tau(4) = 3'$. Applying again the $CAT(0)$ condition, we must have $\tau(2) = 4'$ and $\tau(3) = 1'$.

Labelling the angles of the faces as in §2, the above shows that the link of vertex in B is label isomorphic to the link of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$, where the labels t are associated with the systolic filling. This implies that B is of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ and therefore that $X_0 \simeq \tilde{B}_5$. \square

Furthermore, every (sufficiently deep) orientable torsion free subgroup of finite index is constructed in this way:

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be an orientable torsion free subgroup of finite index in $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. Suppose that the injectivity radius of X_0/G is > 1 . Then there exists a finite family of tori T_1, \dots, T_t and a fixed point free involution σ on the vertex set of $T := \sqcup_{k=1}^t T_k$, such that $T' := T/\langle\sigma\rangle$ satisfies the condition in the previous proposition, and the systolic filling B of T' is isometric to X_0/G .*

Proof. We say that two edges e and f in X_0 (or X_0/G) are equivalent if there exists a gallery (f_1, \dots, f_n) containing them, such that f_i is a losenge for every i .

Let e be an edge in X_0 and \tilde{e} be a lift of e in X_0 . It is clear that the equivalence class $[\tilde{e}]$ of \tilde{e} maps surjectively onto the equivalence class of $[e]$ under the covering map $\pi: X_0 \twoheadrightarrow X_0/G$. The convex hull H of $[\tilde{e}]$ is isometric to a flat plane tessellated by lozenges. We let T'_e denote the image of H under π .

Say that a vertex $x \in T'_e$ is a double point if the link of T'_e at x is a disjoint union of circles. The map $H \rightarrow T'_e$ factorize through a map $H \rightarrow T_e \rightarrow T'_e$, where T_e is obtained from T'_e by blowing up every double point. Since X_0/G is compact, so is T'_e . Therefore, T_e is compact. Since $H \twoheadrightarrow T_e$ is a covering map and G is orientable, it follows that T_e is a torus. We let σ_e be the partially defined involution on T_e inducing the quotient map $T_e \twoheadrightarrow T'_e$.

Let e_1, \dots, e_t be a representative set of equivalence classes of edges in X_0/G . Associated with the e_k 's are tori T_k and partially defined involution σ_k on T_k such that the edge set of $T_k/\langle\sigma\rangle_k \subset X_0/G$ coincides with the equivalence class of e_k .

Furthermore, for every vertex $x \in T_k$ not in the domain of σ_k , there exists a unique $k' \neq k$ such that x is a vertex of T'_k . This defines an involution σ_0 on the complement of $\sqcup_k \sigma$ to itself on $T := \sqcup_k T_k$. This involution is a fixed point free involution on T . Since the injectivity radius of X_0/G is > 1 , the systolic length of $T/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is ≥ 3 , and therefore X_0/G is the systolic completion of $T/\langle \sigma \rangle$ in the sense of the previous proposition. \square

The map $T \rightarrow B$ can be viewed as a structure of “space with jumps” on the torus (or union of tori) T . The geodesics with respect to such a structure in T are allowed to jump between certain transverse codimension 1 subspaces they cross (in the present situation, it is the 1-skeleton, which are the sides of the triangles). The length of the jump, and the incidence angles are described by the geometry of the added triangles. A pinching occurs along a codimension 2 subspaces (intersection of codimension 1 subspaces), which are singular sets, corresponding to instantaneous jumps of a geodesic between two points in T . We will not attempt to formalize this notion further in the present paper.

Remark 3.3. The number t of tori, and the geometric parameters of the individual tori, provide conjugacy invariants for the given subgroup G . As mentioned in the introduction, the description of the family of subgroups with a prescribed invariant, e.g., the torsion free finite index subgroups G of $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ with a given torus number $t(G)$, seems rather involved however.

4. A GROUP COBORDISM FOR $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$

In this section we show that the surgery techniques from [3] which were used to construct (in many cases, infinitely many) groups of a given type, can be applied to the group $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$. (Indeed, this is how the toric presentation in §1 and the groups G_n were found.)

Let A be a (e.g., labelled) type. A category \mathbf{Bord}_A of group cobordisms of type A can be defined as follows. The objects in this category are called collars, and the arrows, group cobordisms; in the present paper we only discuss the case where A is the type $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ defined in §2.

Let us first review the notion of collar. An (abstract) *open collar* is a topological space of the form $H \times (0, 1)$ where H is a graph (not necessarily connected). If X is a 2-complex, an open collar in X is, by definition, an embedding $C: H \times (0, 1) \hookrightarrow X$. We shall refer to the domain $H \times (0, 1)$ as the abstract collar defining C . The *dual* of an open collar of X is the open collar $C': H \times (0, 1) \hookrightarrow X$ defined by $C'(x, t) := C(x, 1-t)$. The *collar closure* of C the topological closure \bar{C} of the image of C in X ; the *span* of C in X is the set $\text{span}(C)$ of vertices of X contained in collar closure of C ; the *simplicial closure* of C is the union of all the open edges and open faces it intersects. As in [3] we only consider collars which are simplicially closed and vertex free.

We shall denote by $\mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$ the category of group cobordisms of type $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$. We construct an object C in $\mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$ as follows.

Fix an integer $y \in \mathbb{N}$. We use the notation introduced at the end of §1. We will view C as a “slice” of the cylinder T_∞ . We fix four letters A_y, B_y, C_y, D_y respectively on $((x, y), (x, y-1))$ where $x = 0, 2, 4$ and on $((1, y), (2, y))$. Recall that for every letter L on $((x, y), (x, y-1))$, where x is even, we write labels L' and L'' on, respectively, $((x, y-2), (x+1, y-2))$ and $((x+1, y-3), (x+1, y-4))$,

while for a letter L on $((1, y), (2, y))$, we write labels L' and L'' on, respectively, $((3, y-2), (4, y-2))$ and $((5, y-4), (6, y-4))$.

By definition, the cylinder T_∞ is a quotient of a strip $[0, 6] \times \mathbb{R}$ using the twist τ_{-6} in the vertical direction. Recall that a gallery is a sequence of faces (f_1, \dots, f_n) such that $f_i \cap f_{i+1}$ is an edge.

We say that a gallery in T_∞ is *generating* if it is closed (i.e., cyclic permutations remain galleries) and homotopic to an element generating $\pi_1(T_\infty)$.

Lemma 4.1. *The minimal generating gallery has length $n = 12$.*

Proof. Indeed, writing T_∞ as a quotient of a strip $[0, 6] \times \mathbb{R}$ of size $6 \times \infty$ by τ_{-6} , the gallery distance between a boundary edge on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and its image by τ_{-6} in $\{6\} \times \mathbb{R}$ is $12 = 6 + 6$. \square

The collar C will be built from a minimal generating gallery on T_∞ . Starting from the edge labelled A_y , the gallery is defined by the succession of edges $f_i \cap f_{i+1}$. The edges have the following labels:

$$A_y, A'_{y+1}, A'_y, A''_{y+1}, B_{y-2}, B'_{y-1}, B'_{y-2}, B''_{y-1}, C_{y-4}, C'_{y-3}, C'_{y-4}, C''_{y-3}$$

Note the corresponding gallery (f_1, \dots, f_{12}) is closed: every change of letter occurs with a drop of -2 for a total drop of -6 , which is consistent with τ_{-6} . This defines a “zig-zag” gallery generating $\pi_1(T_\infty)$.

As a topological space the gallery (f_1, \dots, f_{12}) is homeomorphic to $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. We shall refer to the gallery minus its boundary as open.

Definition 4.2. Let C be the union of

- (1) the image of the open generating gallery (f_1, \dots, f_{12}) in the basic construction B_∞ .
- (2) the triangles in B_∞ associated with the following six triples (knights) $K = (L, L', L'')$ on the letters

$$L = A_{y+1}, A_y, B_{y-1}, B_{y-2}, C_{y-3}, C_{y-4}$$

where every triangle associated with a triple K is semi-open, in the sense that it does not contain the (unique) edge not belonging to the image of the gallery.

Lemma 4.3. *C is a product space.*

Proof. It is clear that the open gallery is a product space homeomorphic to $(0, 1) \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Under this identification, the added triples K define a space of the form $(0, 1) \times H$ where H is a finite graph (the nerve) obtained by adding 6 edges to \mathbb{S}^1 . \square

One can of course give an explicit description of H :

Lemma 4.4. *The graph H is isomorphic to the Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$, with respect to 1, together with an additional edge $(n, n+2)$ for every $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Therefore, we may view C as a open collar in B_∞ under the identity mapping $C \rightarrow B_\infty$.

Lemma 4.5. *C is a full collar in B_∞*

Proof. Every open edge $e = f_i \cap f_{i+1}$ in C belongs to a (unique) triple K , and therefore every point in e has an open neighbourhood included in C . \square

Since B_∞ is a complex of type $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$, the above shows that the isomorphism class of C is an object in the category $\mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$.

The arrows in $\mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$ are group cobordisms:

Definition 4.6. A *group cobordism* is a 2-complex B together with a pair (C, D) of collars of B whose boundaries $\partial^- C$ and $\partial^+ D$ form a partition of the topological boundary of B :

$$\partial B = \partial^- C \sqcup \partial^+ D.$$

Let us construct the group cobordism B of type $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$. The collar C depends on $y \in \mathbb{N}$, however, it is clear that $C_y \simeq C_{y+1}$. The cobordism B has C as domain and codomain.

Definition 4.7. Let B be the union of

- (1) $C_y \cup C_{y+1}$
- (2) the closed triangle in B_∞ associated with the triple $K = (L, L', L'')$ on the letters $L = D_{y-2}$.

Again, B depends on y , where B_y is isomorphic to B_{y+1} and defines a unique arrow, again denoted B , in $\mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$. The inclusion map $L_B, R_B: C \rightarrow B$ (left and right collar boundary) and the obvious inclusion of C as C_y and C_{y+1} .

In particular:

Theorem 4.8. *The map taking 1 to B induces a unital inclusion $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$.*

Proof. Indeed, $B^{\circ n} \neq B^{\circ m}$ if $n \neq m$, where $B^{\circ n}$ refers to the n -fold composition $B \circ \cdots \circ B$ in $\mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$. \square

In the language of [3], the above shows the following:

Theorem 4.9. *$\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ is virtually accessible by surgery.*

This means that $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ admits a finite index subgroup which is the fundamental group of a complex obtained by a surgery construction in a cobordism category (see [3, §10]). Here the groups G_n are of finite index in $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ and the fundamental groups of the complexes B_n , which are of type $\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)$ defined by a surgery construction in $\mathbf{Bord}_{\mathbf{Aut}(F_2)}$.

We take this opportunity to make a correction to [2, Lemma 17]. At the bottom of the page it is stated that “there are two extensions of this section”: it should be “three extensions”. Namely, in the first case (when the lozenges on the south-east triangles are oriented pointing south) one extension is the 3-strip, as indicated, which amounts to extending the lozenges with two triangles. A third sort of extension uses lozenges instead. In this case, the lozenges belong to a (using the terminology in [2]) semi-infinite \diamond -strip of type $2 \times \infty$. This can be visualized using the surgery construction above: starting from the closed triangle defined in B above, Def. 4.7, (2), one may use three lozenges belonging to a single collar (either all belonging to C_y , or all in C_{y+1}) which can be extended into three semi-infinite \diamond -strip of type $2 \times \infty$ in the universal cover (so the resulting puzzle has an order 3 symmetry).

5. COMPLEMENTS TO THEOREM 2.3

We conclude some remarks on Theorem 2.3, regarding spaces locally isometric to X_0 . It is an interesting exercise to construct groups acting freely uniformly on

a CAT(0) 2-complex locally isometric to the 2-complex X_0 of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ (but not isometric to it), in the sense that their link are isometric to the link of X_0 . In the present section, we provide one example.

By Theorem 2.3 such a complex X' is not of type $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. The example will be of the following type.

Let A denote the metric type (i.e., a set of metric graphs, and a sets of shapes) defined by:

- (1) Graph: the link of the Brady complex with the angular metric (see §2).
- (2) Shapes: an equilateral triangle, and an hexagon with sides of length 1. Both are viewed as standard polygons in the Euclidean plane with the induced metric.


By definition, every CAT(0) 2-complex of type A is locally isometric to X_0 but not isometric to it.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists a group G' acting freely uniformly isometrically on a CAT(0) 2-complex X' of type A .*

The construction is as follows. We begin with a single hexagon on a set of 6 vertices, which we denote

$$\{1^+, 2^-, 3^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-\},$$

and edges labelled from 1 to 6 in a cyclic order as follows.




We shall realize these 6 vertices as the vertex set of a locally CAT(0) space of type A , containing the hexagon as a face.

Consider additional edges between these vertices:

- two edges between i^- and i^+
- two edges between i^+ and $(i+1)^+$
- two edges between i^- and $(i+1)^-$

(where i is an index modulo 3) organized and named as follows:



Together with the edges of the hexagon, this defines a regular graph of order 8. Note that this graph has a natural symmetry σ of order 3 taking every letter l_i to the letter l_{i+1} (modulo 3).

Consider the following hexagon and four triangles

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_1, c_2, f_1, e_1, d_2, b_1) \\ &(d_1, a_1, 4) \quad (f_1, d_1, 1^-) \\ &(b_1, c_1, 4^-) \quad (c_1, e_1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Together with their images under σ , this defines 3 triangles and 12 triangles. In addition to these triangles add the four triangles:

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_1, a_2, a_3) \quad (b_1, b_2, b_3) \\ &(e_1, e_2, e_3) \quad (f_1, f_2, f_3) \end{aligned}$$

This defines a 2-complex, whose fundamental group is G' and universal cover X' . It is immediate to check that:

Lemma 5.2. *The link of X' is isometric to the link of X_0 .*

Proof. Note that it is enough to check a single vertex, since σ and the reflection with respect to the horizontal axis extend to the 2-complex.

We may index the vertex set of the link by $a_1, b_1, a_3, b_3, c_1, d_1, 1, 2$, where the latter two numbers are associated with the initial hexagon. There are four hexagon edges: (a_1, b_1) , (a_3, c_1) , (b_3, d_1) , and $(1, 2)$ (for the first hexagon). One can then draw the edge associated with triangles: these are (a_1, d_1) , $(d_1, 1)$, (b_1, c_1) , $(c_1, 1)$, from the images under σ : $(b_3, 2)$, $(a_3, 2)$, and finally, (a_1, a_3) and (b_1, b_3) .

It is not difficult to show that this graph is isometric to the link of X_0 . \square

We also note that:

Proposition 5.3. *$\text{Aut}(X')$ is vertex transitive.*

This is part of the argument in the previous lemma.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barré, S., Pichot, M., Intermediate rank and property RD, arXiv:0710.1514.
- [2] Barré, S., Pichot, M., $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ puzzles. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 199(1), 225–246, (2019).
- [3] Barré, S., Pichot, M., Surgery on discrete groups. Preprint.
- [4] T. Brady. Automatic structures on $\text{Aut}(F_2)$. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 63(2):97–102, 1994.
- [5] T. Brady. Artin groups of finite type with three generators. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 47(2):313–324, 2000.
- [6] Bridson, M.R. and Haefliger, A., Metric spaces of non-positive curvature (Vol. 319). Springer Science & Business Media (2013).
- [7] J. Crisp, L. Paoluzzi. On the classification of CAT(0) structures for the 4-string braid group. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 53(1):133–163, 2005.

SYLVAIN BARRÉ, UMR 6205, LMBA, UNIVERSITÉ DE BRETAGNE-SUD, BP 573, 56017,
VANNES, FRANCE

Email address: Sylvain.Barre@univ-ubs.fr

MIKAËL PICHOT, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805 SHERBROOKE ST W., MONTRÉAL, QC H3A
0B9, CANADA

Email address: pichot@math.mcgill.ca