Single-Electron Spectra in RPWELL-based detectors
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ABSTRACT: Single-electron spectra are the key ingredient in the efficient detection of single UV-photons. In this work, we investigated the shape of single-photoelectron spectra in single- and double-stage Resistive Plate WELL (RPWELL) detector configurations, operated in Ne/CH₄ and Ar/CH₄. Discharge-free operation was reached over a broad dynamic range, with charge gains of 10⁴-10⁶. Compared to the usual exponential ones, the observed Polya-like charge spectra pave the way towards higher single-electron detection efficiencies. The latter was evaluated here, using experimental data combined with numerical simulations. The effects of the gas mixtures, electric field configuration, and detector geometry on the Polya spectra and their related “θ” parameter are presented.
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1 Introduction

Gas-avalanche detectors, introduced originally for the needs of particle-physics experiments, have become a prominent subject of research also in a variety of other fields [1]. To this extent, significant efforts have been dedicated to the development of single-electron [2, 3] and single-photoelectron UV-photon gaseous detectors; the latter, mainly in the context of Ring Imaging Cherenkov Counters (RICH) [4, 5], with gaseous and solid photocathodes. Such gaseous photomultipliers (GPM) [6, 7] provide a cost-effective solution suitable for the coverage of large areas with good spatial and temporal resolution, and low sensitivity to magnetic fields. GPM detectors have been developed also for imaging scintillation and electroluminescence photons in noble gases [8] and liquids [9].

In this context, Gaseous Photodetectors [6] employing Multiwire [10] and Drift [11] Chambers, Multi-step avalanche chambers [12], cascaded GEMs [13–15] and THGEM-based [16–19] detectors have been playing an important role in experiments. As demonstrated in [20], the more recent “hybrid” CsI-coated THGEM-Micromegas has shown to be an efficient upgrade for the COMPASS-RICH-I. Furthermore, THGEM-based detectors were demonstrated to have moderate (sub-millimeter) localization resolution [21] and about 10 nsec time resolution, which comply with the requirements of most RICH devices. Though, previous studies with THGEM detectors have shown high gas gains for single-photoelectron detection [17], the latter could be considerably lower in the presence of intense particle background [22].

In some applications, highly ionizing background environment can result in the formation of large avalanches, often leading to electric discharge. The latter can damage the readout electronics
and the detector’s electrodes; it often introduces significant dead-time. The thick Resistive WELL (RWELL) [22] and the Resistive Plate WELL (RPWELL) detectors [23] were introduced to prevent occasional discharges and mitigate their potential destructive effects. In the RPWELL (Fig. 1(a)), the single-sided CsI-coated THGEM electrode is coupled to a readout anode through a thin plate of high bulk resistivity ($10^9$–$10^{12}$ Ωcm). Ionization electrons induced by X-rays or UV-induced photoelectrons from a photocathode deposited on the top surface (e.g. CsI) are collected into the WELL holes where they undergo avalanche multiplication. Signals are induced capacitively through the resistive plate onto a patterned readout anode, in direct contact with the resistive plate. The RPWELL and its properties have been studied extensively in the laboratory and with particle beams [24–26]. The studies demonstrated a high particle detection efficiency, over a broad dynamic range and at high particle-flux range, in a discharge-free operation mode at charge gains up to $\sim 10^4$. Compared to single-stage configurations, higher maximal achievable gains and lower discharge probabilities can be achieved in a multi-stage one. In the present work, a double-sided THGEM followed by a RPWELL was investigated (Fig. 1(b)). This leads to higher detector gains at lower voltage bias per single THGEM and RPWELL element and thus to higher operation stability.

![Figure 1. A schematic view of the (a) single-stage RPWELL detector and (b) double-stage RPWELL-based detector with a double-sided THGEM pre-amplification stage.](image)
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The single-photon detection efficiency (PDE) of a gaseous photon detector with a photocathode followed by an amplification element is provided by:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) = QE(\lambda)\varepsilon_{\text{extr}}\varepsilon_{\text{coll}}\varepsilon_{\text{thresh}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)$$

Here, \(QE(\lambda)\) is the wavelength-dependent quantum efficiency value in vacuum of the photocathode. \(\varepsilon_{\text{extr}}\) is the photoelectron extraction efficiency into the gas \([27, 28]\), \(\varepsilon_{\text{coll}}\) is the efficiency to transfer the extracted photoelectron into the amplification region \([17]\). \(\varepsilon_{\text{thresh}}\) is the single-electron detection efficiency - the probability that the collected photoelectron will generate a signal above a given threshold. \(\varepsilon_{\text{thresh}}\) is strongly related to the shape of the electron charge spectrum which reflects the physical processes governing the formation and development of the single-electron avalanche.

In the present study, we investigated the UV-induced single-photoelectron charge spectra generated in \(\text{Ne}/\text{CH}_4\) and \(\text{Ar}/\text{CH}_4\) gas mixtures with CsI-coated single RPWELL and double-stage CsI-coated THGEM followed by an RPWELL. In section 2 we elaborate on the theory associated with the formation of single-electron charge spectra. The experimental setup is detailed in section 3, followed by the introduction of the numerical analysis framework in section 4. The results are presented in section 5 and discussed in section 6.

2 Single-electron charge spectra in gas-avalanche detectors - theoretical aspects

Electron avalanches in gas develop stochastically; the mean-free-path between successive interactions and, accordingly, the electron energy available, can vary considerably between successive interactions. This is dictated by the cross sections of the different electron interactions with gas molecules (elastic and inelastic). As a result, the avalanche size (e.g. detector charge gain) fluctuations determine the shape of single-photoelectron charge spectra (see detailed study by Alkhazov \([29]\)). Thus, these statistical fluctuations set a physical limit on the single-photoelectron detection efficiency and localization resolution. Furthermore, large avalanche fluctuations increase the probability for high-charge events, with a potential for occasional discharges.

The shape of the single-electron charge distribution depends on the gas mixture, the reduced electric field \((E/p; p\) being the gas pressure and \(E\) the electric field), the electron initial momentum and the distance over which the avalanche develops. Gain fluctuations can be described quantitatively in terms of the probability \(P_n(r_0, p_0)\) that an electron with initial momentum \(p_0\) released at a position \(r_0\) initiates an avalanche resulting in \(n\) electrons in the detector.

According to \([30, 31]\), under a moderate uniform field, an estimate of the single-electron avalanche distribution can be carried out with the assumption that the probability of ionization by an electron depends only on the electric field strength and is independent of its previous history. Yule-Furry statistics states that the probability \(P(n, x)\) of a single primary electron to produce an avalanche with \(n\) electrons, while propagating from the origin to a point \(x\), follows an exponential law:

$$P(n, x) \approx \frac{1}{\bar{n}(x)} e^{\frac{n}{\bar{n}(x)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)$$
Here, $\bar{n}$ is the mean number of avalanche electrons. We define $f$ to be the relative variance of the mean value. For an exponential distribution, $f \sim 1$ and the photon detection efficiency falls exponentially with increasing threshold.

At higher field values, the avalanche size distribution was found to depart from the monotonically falling exponential; it exhibits a rounded peak. The probability of ionization by an electron can no longer be considered totally independent of its history [30, 31]. The assumption that all the electrons take part in the multiplication process with equal probability must be abandoned. The ionization mean-free-path becomes comparable to that for excitation and other inelastic processes. The charge distribution under these circumstances, introduced by Byrne, is known as Polya distribution [32, 33]. Its derivation assumes that the ionization probability per unit path-length depends on the current size of the avalanche through a dimensionless parameter $\theta$. The probability of a single primary electron to produce an avalanche with $n$ electrons, while propagating from the origin to a point $x$, follows a “peaked” Polya distribution [30–32]:

\[
P(n, x) = \left( \frac{1 + \theta n}{\bar{n}(x)} \right)^{\theta} e^{-\frac{(1 + \theta n)}{\bar{n}}}
\]  

(2.2)

Relative to an exponential decay, detectors providing single-photoelectron Polya-like spectra are expected to have superior detection efficiency for a given electronics threshold. For each detector configuration, the relative variance $f$ and most probable avalanche size $n_{mp}$ are given by [34, 35]:

\[
f = \frac{I}{I + \theta}
\]  

(2.3)

and

\[
n_{mp} = \frac{\bar{n}\theta}{I + \theta}
\]  

(2.4)

respectively. Thus, the larger the $\theta$-value, the smaller the variance and the closer the most probable charge value is to the mean. Namely, for a given charge gain and electronics threshold the larger the $\theta$-value the better the single-electron detection efficiency is expected to be.

The effect of inelastic and ionizing collision on the avalanche size distribution can be understood in terms of a simple model discussed in [32]. During the electron drift, after each interaction, it either ionizes or loses its kinetic energy by other, non-ionizing inelastic collisions. At electron energies close to the ionization threshold, the cross-section for ionization is still significantly smaller than the sum of the inelastic cross-sections (including excitations). With increasing energy, ionization gradually becomes the dominant process; thus, with increasing the $E/p$ - the relative frequency of ionizing collisions is enhanced. The shape of the distribution in this case is determined by the ionization yield $Y$ which is defined as:

\[
Y = \frac{N_{ion}}{N_{ion} + N_{inel} + N_{exc}}
\]  

(2.5)

Here, $N_{ion}$, $N_{exc}$ and $N_{inel}$ are the number of ionizations, excitation, and other inelastic collisions, respectively.

The relative variance $f$ is, then, related to $Y$ by:

\[
f \approx \frac{I - Y}{I + Y}
\]  

(2.6)
3 Experimental setup

In the present work, a single and a double-stage RPWELL-based detector have been investigated. The setup consisted of UV- and X-ray sources, a vessel containing the detector elements and a readout system. The detector vessel was equipped with two windows - a 50 μm thick Kapton one for the X-rays and a quartz one for the UV photons.

Two radiation sources were used: a self-triggered homemade H₂ discharge lamp emitting ~160 nm UV photons and a ⁵⁵Fe source emitting 5.9 keV X-ray photons. The H₂-lamp pulse rate was controlled by the voltage supplied to the lamp (here, 3.7 kV), by a, HK model 6900 Dual MWPC power supply. To ensure counting only single-photon events, the UV-flux was attenuated with VUV natural filters (Oriel) and a 1 mm diameter collimator. The attenuation was set to detect one single UV-photon signal by the detector for every 10 trigger signals - resulting in the current setup in single-photon event rate of ~1 Hz/mm².

The detector vessel was flushed with either Ne/CH₄ (2%, 5%, 10% and 15% CH₄) or with Ar/5%CH₄ to study the effect of gas mixtures and the quencher concentration on the single-electron spectrum.

Details of the two, 30 × 30 mm² FR4-made detector configurations are depicted in Fig. 1. The single-stage detector is a 0.8 mm thick RPWELL, coated with CsI photocathode (~ 300 nm thick) on its top THGEM-electrode surface; it is preceded by a 5 mm drift gap and a drift cathode. The double-stage detector has a CsI-coated 0.4 mm thick double-sided THGEM pre-amplification element, separated by a 2 mm transfer gap from the 0.4 mm thick RPWELL multiplication stage. The latter has a single-sided Cu clad at the top (single Cu-THGEM). All THGEM electrodes had hexagonal hole layout, hole diameter 0.5 mm, hole spacing 0.96 mm and hole-rim 0.1 mm. In both configurations, the RPWELL structure was formed by coupling the THGEM bottom to a 0.4 mm thick Semitron ESD225 [36] resistive plate (bulk resistivity of 10⁹ Ωcm). The resistive plate was coupled to a Cu-coated readout anode using 3MTM Electrically Conductive Adhesive Transfer Tape 9707.

The transfer electric field, $E_{\text{Transfer}}$, value in the double-structure, was set to of 0.5 kV/cm; that of the drift field $E_{\text{Drift}}$, was set to 0.5 kV/cm, to collect the ionization electrons induced by X-rays; for an efficient detection of UV-photons, $E_{\text{Drift}}$ value was set to zero [37]. The electrodes were polarized through low-pass filters (LPF), by CAEN N1471H HV power supplies. In all configurations, the anode was kept at ground potential and the signals were recorded through a charge-sensitive pre-amplifier (CAEN A1422). They were further processed through an Ortec 572 A linear amplifier with 2 μsec shaping time. The acquisition was performed either by a Tektronix MSO 5204B Mixed Signal Oscilloscope or by a multi-channel analyzer (MCA Amptek 8000A).

In the current study, the measured vacuum QE-value of the CsI photocathode was ~15% at 160 nm; no efforts were made to enhance its value. Its assembly in the detector vessel was performed under controlled N₂ atmosphere.

4 Experimental methodology and numerical analysis

Taking into account potential charging-up effects [38], some gain-stabilization was necessary prior to the measurements. Therefore, single-photoelectron charge spectra were measured according to
the following protocol:

- Switching “off” the source and voltages;
- Flushing the detector with the selected gas mixture at 50 SCCM for 2 hours;
- Switching “on” the voltages and the source(s) and waiting for 30 minutes for the gain stabilization;
- Data collection for 30 minutes;
- Switching off the source and measuring the noise for 30 minutes;

The signal spectra were obtained by subtracting the measured noise spectra from the measured data. In our experimental configuration, the electronic noise was $\sim 10^4$ electrons.

Each experimental spectrum was fitted to a generic Polya distribution (eqn. 2.2). The mean gain value was extracted with its corresponding value for the Polya parameter $\theta$. Experimentally, for each detector configuration, the relative single-electron detection efficiency was estimated (for a given electronic threshold) as dividing the number of counts at a given voltage for a particular configuration by the number of counts at the maximal voltage for the same configuration - normalized to the number of lamp triggers, 150,000 per experiment. The statistical error in all the measurements is at the level of 1%. The error bars are too small to be seen in the plots presented below.

In addition, a set of Monte Carlo simulations was carried out using the same analytical function (eqn. 2.2) with experimentally obtained detector gain and $\theta$ values as input parameters. For 10,000 events, a histogram was filled, representing the expected single-electron spectrum for given gain and $\theta$ values. The absolute single-electron detection efficiency was estimated by counting events with total number of electrons above a given threshold.

It should be worth mentioning here that the reported detector gain values presented in this study could vary due to charging-up effects in presence of X-rays [37]. By comparing the single-electron spectra before and after X-ray irradiation ($\sim 30$ Hz/mm²) it was observed that the gain is reduced by a factor of $\sim 1.4$ whereas, the $\theta$ parameter varied by a factor $\sim 1.2$. A detailed study on the charging up effects is beyond the scope of the present work; it will be discussed elsewhere.

5 Results

Polya-like distributions were recorded with single photoelectrons, in the single and double-stage RPWELL-based detectors (shown on Fig. 1), with different gas mixtures and voltage configurations. The effects of the latter on the Polya parameters $\theta$ and $f$ and on the single-electron detection efficiency are discussed.

5.1 Single- and double-stage RPWELL-based detectors

UV-induced single-electron spectra were measured with the double-stage and single-stage RPWELL in Ne/5%CH₄ at different voltage settings. The charge spectra, at a gain of $\sim 1.4 \times 10^5$, are shown in Fig. 2(a). In the double-stage structure, the voltage difference across the THGEM ($\Delta V_{THGEM}$) was kept constant at 700 V; it was set to maximize the extraction efficiency of the photoelectrons and
Figure 2. (a) Single-electron spectra recorded in the single and double-stage RPWELL detectors (of Fig. 1), at similar charge gains of $1.4 \times 10^5$. (b) Variation of gain vs $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$, (c) $\theta$ and (d) relative gain variance $f$ as a function of gain in Ne/5%CH$_4$, in the single and double-stage RPWELL-based detectors. For double-stage configuration $\Delta V_{THGEM} = 700$ V, $E_{Transfer} = 0.5$ kV/cm, $E_{Drift} = 0$ kV/cm. The spectra in (a) are normalized for equal number of counts.

The gain variation with $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ is shown in Fig. 2(b) for the two detector configurations. Each spectrum was fitted with eqn. 2.2 to yield the values of the gain and $\theta$. The double-stage RPWELL reached a maximal gain with UV-photons of $2.1 \times 10^6$ ($\sim 4 \times 10^5$ with X-rays); in the single-stage detector the gain was limited to $2.5 \times 10^5$ - both under stable discharge-free operation conditions.

As expected, the $\theta$-value increases with the gain (Fig. 2(c)). The relation between $\theta$ and the gain, measured with the two detectors, exhibits the same linear trend. The comparison with the double-stage detector shows that the $\theta$ value is lower than that of the single-stage RPWELL, for equal gain. It is due to the charge development and “saturation” in a single hole. However, as the double-stage detector reaches higher gain values, the maximum achievable $\theta$ parameter is higher, reaching values $\sim 0.6$.

The relative gain variance “$f$” is plotted as a function of the gain in Fig. 2(d). As discussed
earlier, with the increasing gain value (thus, increasing $\theta$ parameter), “f” decreases. For a single-stage detector, at a fixed amplification gap, increasing the electric field ($\Delta V_{RPWELL}$) leads to an increase of the relative frequency of the ionizing collisions; therefore, according to eqn. 2.5, resulting in a reduction of the relative variance [32].

It was also found that for the same gain value, the relative gain variance is higher for a double-stage configuration than for the single-stage one. This is expected, since in a double-stage configuration, the electric field per stage is lower than in a single-stage one, resulting in a decrease of the ionization probability. Also, the loss of electrons while transferred from the pre-amplification stage and the RPWELL amplification one gives rise to the additional avalanche-size fluctuations.

It should be mentioned here that, for the double-stage configuration under X-ray irradiation, the maximum applied $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ was limited to 650 V, with a $\Delta V_{THGEM} = 700$ V. At these voltage configurations, the maximal achievable gain was $\sim 4 \times 10^5$, with a corresponding $\theta$ parameter for single electrons of $\sim 0.38$ (Fig. 2(c)).

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Double-stage RPWELL detector: (a) calculated single-electron efficiency as a function of $\theta$, for different avalanche-electrons thresholds in Ne/5%CH$_4$. (b) Comparison of the relative single-electron detection efficiency between experimental and simulation results, as function of $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$. $\Delta V_{THGEM} = 700$ V, $E_{Transfer} = 0.5$ kV/cm. $E_{Drift} = 0$ kV/cm. In both plots, the statistical error bars are too small to be seen.

Numerically-estimated single-electron detection efficiency values are plotted in Fig. 3(a) as a function of $\theta$ for different avalanche-electrons thresholds. Note that even at low $\theta$ values, e.g. $\sim 0.25$, a high efficiency (> 90%) can be obtained with a threshold of $10^4$ electrons or less. The comparison of the relative efficiency between the experimental estimate and the numerical one is presented in Fig. 3(b). The good agreement validates the numerical analysis method.

A comparison of numerically-estimated efficiencies for different gain values in the single and double-stage detectors is given in Table 5.1. The avalanche-electron threshold was fixed to $10^4$ electrons. The efficiency of a detector having an exponential distribution, for the same gain values, was estimated for comparison. It was found that for the same gain value, the single-stage detector provides better efficiency than that of the double-stage detector due to the higher $\theta$-value. However, with the increase of the gain, the difference reduces. Note that the efficiency value for an exponential distribution at the gain of $2.1 \times 10^6$ is a hypothetical one. It was reached with the double-stage
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gain</th>
<th>Exponential Efficiency</th>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>Polya Efficiency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6.4 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>86.3%</td>
<td>Single-Stage</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
<td>Stable under UV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Double-Stage</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>Stable under UV and X-ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>95.9%</td>
<td>Single-Stage</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>Stable under UV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Double-Stage</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
<td>Stable under UV and X-ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
<td>Double-Stage</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>Stable under UV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison of efficiency in single and double-stage RPWELL-based detectors in Ne/5%CH$_4$. The electron threshold is $10^4$ electrons.

RPWELL, due to its “charge-quenching” property, it would be hard to reach in other detector configurations.

### 5.2 Double-stage RPWELL - gain and θ dependence on THGEM voltage

We studied the effect of $\Delta V_{THGEM}$ on the gain and on the θ parameter for a fixed $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$. The drift and transfer fields were kept at 0 and 0.5 kV/cm, respectively.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** A double-RPWELL configuration in Ne/5%CH$_4$: (a) gain variation as a function of $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ (with $\Delta V_{THGEM} = 700$ V) and of $\Delta V_{THGEM}$ (with $\Delta V_{RPWELL} = 750$ V and 500 V); (b) corresponding θ variation as a function of the total gain. $E_{Transfer} = 0.5$ kV/cm and $E_{Drift} = 0$ kV/cm.

Fig. 4(a) shows the gain dependency on $\Delta V_{THGEM}$ for two different values of $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ and with $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ for a fixed $\Delta V_{THGEM}$. $\Delta V_{THGEM}$ was limited to 800 V before the occurrence of occasional discharges, resulting in a maximum gain of $\sim 2.8 \times 10^5$ for $\Delta V_{RPWELL} = 500$ V. For the two other configurations, with higher applied $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ values, gains up to $\sim 2.1 \times 10^6$ were reached under stable conditions. The dependency of the θ parameter on the gain (Fig. 4(b)) is roughly the same for the three configurations.
5.3 Double-stage RPWELL with different gas mixtures

5.3.1 Effect of quencher concentration

The dependence of single-electron spectral shape and, consequently, of the \( \theta \) parameter, on the quencher concentration has been studied in Ne/CH\(_4\) mixtures, having 2%, 5%, 10% and 15% CH\(_4\) concentrations. Fig. 5(a) depicts the single-electron spectra for equal gain of \( \sim 1.3 \times 10^5 \) for the four-quencher concentrations. One can notice the more pronounced Polya peak at the lower CH\(_4\) concentrations.

Fig. 5(b) shows the trends of the gain with respect to \( \Delta V_{RPWELL} \) for the four different concentrations. In all measurements, \( \Delta V_{THGEM} \) was set to the highest value allowing for stable, discharge-free operation to maximize the electron extraction efficiency from the photocathode. The dependence of \( \theta \) parameter and relative variance \( f \)-parameter on the gain, at different quencher concentrations, are shown in Fig. 5(c) and Fig. 5(d), respectively. In presence of the quencher, the ratio of ionizing versus other inelastic collisions is shifted in favor of the other inelastic scattering...
Table 2. Comparison of efficiency as a function of quencher amount in double-stage RPWELL-based detectors in Ne/CH₄. The electron threshold is $10^4$ electrons.

[32]. Therefore, the avalanche size distribution broadens up (according to eqn. 2.5 and 2.6) with the increase of quencher, thus, the relative variance “$f$” increases. This in turn, results in higher $\theta$ parameter values for lower quencher concentrations - as also depicted in Fig. 5(c).

The single-electron detection efficiency for two different values of gain in the four-quencher concentration is given in Table 5.3.1. With an avalanche-electron threshold of $10^4$ electrons, for the lower gain value of $6 \times 10^4$, the efficiency in Ne/2%CH₄ is ~4% higher than that of Ne/15%CH₄. This rather small difference is due to the higher $\theta$ value in lower quencher concentrations. However, with the increase of gain, the difference between the measured $\theta$ values decreases and the detector reaches full efficiency for quencher concentrations of 2 – 15%.

5.3.2 Effects of the carrier gas

The dependence of the $\theta$ parameter on the carrier gas, for a fixed CH₄ quencher concentration were studied. Fig. 6(a) shows single-electron spectra in Ne/5%CH₄ and Ar/5%CH₄, for similar gain values of $\sim 10^5$, adjusted by setting different $\Delta V_{THGEM}$ values. The gain curves as function of $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ are shown in Fig. 6(b). The operation in Ar/5%CH₄ required higher $\Delta V_{THGEM}$ and $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ values. The maximum achievable gain is higher in the Ne-based mixture, by at least an order of magnitude, as previously observed in [21, 38]. The dependence of $\theta$ and $f$ on the gain in these two gas mixtures are shown in Fig. 6(c) and Fig. 6(d), respectively. In Ne/5%CH₄, the $f$ value is slightly lower than in Ar/5%CH₄; it agrees with measurements in Micromegas detectors [32, 34]. In Ar-based mixtures, due to the lower threshold for excitation and larger inelastic cross-section, the ionization yield is lower, thus enhancing avalanche fluctuations.

The numerically estimated single-electron detection efficiency for two different values of gain in Ne/5%CH₄ and Ar/5%CH₄ is given in Table 5.3.2. For the lower gain value of $\sim 4 \times 10^4$, the efficiency in Ne/5%CH₄ is slightly higher than in Ar/5%CH₄ due to the higher $\theta$ value. However, with the increase of gain, the difference between the efficiencies is smaller.
Figure 6. A double-RPWELL configuration in Ne/5%CH₄ and Ar/5%CH₄: (a) single-electron spectra at equal total charge gain of $\sim 10^5$, adjusted by the $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$ and $\Delta V_{THGEM}$ values, (b) gain variation vs $\Delta V_{RPWELL}$; (c) corresponding $\theta$ and (d) relative gain variance $f$. $E_{Transfer} = 0.5$ kV/cm and $E_{Drift} = 0$ kV/cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gain</th>
<th>Exponential Efficiency</th>
<th>Polya</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>Ne/5%CH₄</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ar/5%CH₄</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Ne/5%CH₄</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ar/5%CH₄</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of efficiency as a function of carrier gas in double-stage RPWELL-based detectors. The electron threshold is $10^4$ electrons.

6 Summary and discussion

In this work, we investigated single-electron spectra obtained with single-stage and double-stage RPWELL-based detectors. The goal was to evaluate the potential advantage of their operation in conditions yielding Polya-like single distributions; the latter result from charge-avalanche saturation in the RPWELL holes. The detectors’ response was single-photoelectrons emitted from a CsI
photocathode deposited on the multiplier’s surface. Their performance was studied in various gas mixtures and electric-field settings.

Operating in Ne/5%CH₄, the single-stage RPWELL detector reached gains of \(2.5 \times 10^5\) in a discharge-free mode; the single-electron Polya-like distribution had a \(\theta\) value of \(-0.36\). The double-stage THGEM/RPWELL detector reached gains of \(2.1 \times 10^6\) in stable conditions; the Polya-like distribution reached a \(\theta\) value of \(-0.6\).

Similar trends are shown for the variation of the Polya parameters \(\theta\) and \(f\) as a function of gain in both RPWELL detector configurations. Note, however, that for equal gain, the single-stage detector yielded more pronounced Polya-like distributions, with higher \(\theta\) value and thus narrower relative gain variance \(f\). This is expected since in a double-stage configuration, the electric field per stage is lower than in a single-stage one, resulting in a decrease of the ionization probability (gain fluctuations) with respect to other inelastic processes. In addition, avalanche-electron losses between the two stages result in additional avalanche-size fluctuations.

The experimental values of \(\theta\) and gain, followed by numerical calculations, yielded the single-electron detection-efficiency values; they are presented as function of the threshold (number of avalanche-electrons). For a given threshold of \(10^4\) electrons, the numerical estimation suggests that for a same gain of \(6 \times 10^4\), the efficiency in the single-stage detector (\(91\%\)) is superior to that of the double-stage one (\(87.5\%\)), due to the higher \(\theta\) value. Also, for the single-stage detector, relative to an exponential distribution at the same gain, the expected single-electron detection efficiency is about \(-6\%\) higher (91% relative to 86%). However, with the increase of the gain, for the same threshold, both detectors attain similar efficiency values. The double-stage detector yielded an efficiency of \(-97\%\) at a gain of \(2 \times 10^5\), with \(\theta = 0.3\). In the presence of 5.9 keV X-ray, the maximal achievable stable gain is limited to \(4 \times 10^5\), with a \(\theta\) value of \(-0.38\) and 99% detection efficiency.

The amount of quencher added to the carrier gas has a major role in reducing instabilities, which are mainly due to photon-induced secondary avalanches. It also affects the electron-transport parameters and enhances the extraction efficiency of photoelectrons from the photocathode in a single-photon detector (the matter is out of the scope of this work). We studied the effect of the CH₄ quencher concentration on the detector properties, gain, \(\theta\) and the relative gain variance \(f\). It was observed that with increasing quencher concentration, \(\theta\) value decreased, and \(f\) increased - for a given gain value. With an electron threshold of \(10^4\), for a gain of \(6 \times 10^4\), the 2% CH₄ concentration yielded higher efficiency (89.5%) than that of 15% CH₄ (85.5% efficiency) due to a lower \(\theta\) value in the latter case. But, with the increase of gain, the quencher concentration did not affect the efficiency significantly, for the same threshold. Relative to an exponential distribution at a similar gain of \(6 \times 10^4\), the single-electron efficiency improved by \(-5\%\) for 2% quencher concentration and by \(-1\%\) for 15% quencher concentration.

The dependence of \(\theta\) and \(f\) on the carrier gas was evaluated for a given CH₄ quencher concentration. Significant avalanche fluctuations were observed in Ar/5%CH₄; it is due to low ionization yield resulting in higher relative gain variance. Ne/5%CH₄, with lower avalanche fluctuations, showed a higher breakdown limit - thus a lower discharge probability. Therefore, Ne/CH₄ proved to be superior to Ar/CH₄ in detecting single-photoelectrons. The numerical study suggested that depending on the electron threshold, at lower gains, the detector operation in Ne/CH₄ yields higher efficiency than in Ar/CH₄; with increasing gain, both mixtures reach similar efficiency values.
e.g. \( \sim 98\% \) at \( 2.5 \times 10^5 \). Relative to an exponential distribution at lower gain, the single-electron efficiency improved by \( \sim 1\% \) for Ar/5\%CH\(_4\) and by \( \sim 4\% \) for Ne/5\%CH\(_4\).
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