Exact solution to the random sequential dynamics of a message passing algorithm
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We analyze the random sequential dynamics of a message passing algorithm for Ising models with random interactions in the large system limit. We derive exact results for the two-time correlation functions and the speed of convergence. The de Almeida-Thouless stability criterion of the static problem is found to be necessary and sufficient for the global convergence of the random sequential dynamics.

Probabilistic inference is a key problem in statistics, signal processing and machine learning. To make predictions on unobserved random quantities given observed data, averages over conditional distributions have to be computed. For high-dimensional inference problems, the resulting sums or integrals can usually not be performed exactly. To overcome this problem, efficient approximate inference algorithms, known as message passing, have been developed \(^1\). Prominent examples are belief propagation and expectation propagation \(^2\). More recently, the so-called approximate message passing (AMP) algorithms designed for probabilistic models on densely connected networks, have been applied to various inference problems, e.g. Refs. \(^3\). Methods of statistical physics have played an important role in the design and the theoretical analysis of such algorithms, e.g., fixed points of AMP–style algorithms were shown to coincide with the solutions of the advanced Thouless-Anderson-Palmer (TAP) mean-field equations developed in the statistical physics of disordered systems \(^4\). This shows that under certain statistical assumptions on network couplings, message passing algorithms can achieve exact predictions in the thermodynamic limit of large systems. Using techniques of information theory and statistical physics, exact solutions for the dynamics of the AMP-style message passing iterations have also become possible \(^5\). So far, the theoretical analysis has concentrated on the simplest type of dynamics, the parallel update of all dynamical variables or nodes in the algorithm.

In practical applications, however, a sequential update of individual or groups of variables may often be preferable to obtain a more stable behavior. In fact, Tom Minka’s expectation propagation (EP) algorithm \(^6\), which is one of the motivations behind the vector-AMP (VAMP) approach \(^7\), \(^8\), \(^9\), is formulated in terms of sequential iterations. Parallel versions of EP often require extra damping procedures (see, e.g., Ref. \(^10\)) to achieve convergence. The second advantage of sequential algorithms over parallel ones might be a reduced computational complexity. In the case of the Ising model, for example, sequential updating of individual variables reduces the need for matrix-vector multiplication in the parallel updates to vector-vector multiplication at each iteration step.

In this paper, we obtain an exact large-system analysis of the dynamics for an AMP-style message passing algorithm with random sequential updates. We show that the effective dynamics of a single node is described by a simple stochastic equation driven by a Gaussian process. We derive explicit analytical conditions for global convergence and compute the convergence time. This result is nontrivial, because previous studies of other random sequential learning algorithms, e.g., Refs. \(^11\), \(^12\) have shown that the effective single node dynamics can be more complex due to the occurrence of memory terms.

For simplicity, we will focus on a class of toy problems for inference which is given by the prediction of magnetizations \(m = \mathbb{E}[s]\) for Ising models with pairwise interactions between spin variables \(s = (s_1, \ldots, s_N)^\top \in \{-1, 1\}^N\). Generalizations to teacher-student scenarios for other inference problems such as generalized linear models will be discussed in a future publication. For applications of Ising models to real data, see, e.g., Ref. \(^13\). The Ising model is defined by the Boltzmann distribution:

\[
p(s|J, h) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left( \sum_{i,j \leq N} J_{ij}s_is_j + h \sum_{i \leq N} s_i \right). \tag{1}\]

To discuss a typical inference task, we assume that the coupling matrix \(J = J^\top\) is drawn from an arbitrary rotation-invariant random matrix ensemble. This means that \(J\) and \(OJO^\top\) have the same probability distribution for any orthogonal matrix \(O\) independent of \(J\). This leaves the freedom to specify the spectrum of the matrix \(J\). A special case of this ensemble is given by independent zero-mean Gaussian couplings, known as the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model \(^14\). In general, however, matrix elements are statistically dependent for a rotation invariant ensemble.

We consider approximations of the magnetizations \(m\) which are given by the so-called TAP mean-field equations. For invariant random coupling matrices, these are given by \(^15\), \(^16\)

\[
m = \text{Th}(\gamma), \tag{2a}\]
\[
\gamma = Jm - R(\chi)m. \tag{2b}\]

Here, for short, we have defined the non-linear function \(\text{Th}(x) = \tanh(h + x)\) and \(\chi = \mathbb{E}[\text{Th}'(\sigma,u)]\), where \(u\)
is a zero-mean normal Gaussian random variable and
\[ \sigma_n^2 = (1 - \chi)R'(\chi). \]
The function \( R \) stands for the R-transform of the limiting spectral distribution of \( J \) defined as
\[ R(\omega) = G^{-1}(\omega) - 1/\omega, \]
where \( G^{-1} \) is the functional inverse of the Green’s function \( G(z) = \lim_{N \to \infty} E[(zI - J)^{-1}] \). To ensure that the Green’s function has a unique inverse, we assume \( \chi < \lim z \to \lambda_+ G(z) \), where \( \lambda_+ \) stands for the supremum of the support of the limiting spectral distribution of \( J \). To define an AMP-style algorithm for solving the TAP equations, we first transform (2) into an equivalent, canonical form
\[ A f(\gamma) = \gamma. \]

The function \( f \) is applied component wise to the vector \( \gamma \) and \( A \) is a \( N \times N \) matrix. The two conditions on this transformation which are essential for the further analysis are that
\[ \mathbb{E}[f'(\sigma_n u)] = 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[(A)_{ii}] = 0 \]
for \( N \) analogous to the fact that \( A \) is a random matrix with rotationally invariant distribution. For the Ising problem, this is achieved by setting \( m = \chi(\gamma + f(\gamma)) \) and by using the definitions
\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{\chi} Th(x) - x \]
\[ A = \frac{1}{\chi}(G^{-1}(\chi)I - J)^{-1} - I. \]

While (5a) are specific to the Ising problem, similar transformations are possible for other inference problems. We define an AMP-style iterative algorithm for solving (3) in discrete time \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) by
\[ \phi^{(k)} = Af(\gamma^{(k-1)}) \]
\[\gamma^{(k)} = \gamma^{(k-1)} + P^{(k)}(\phi^{(k)}) - \gamma^{(k-1)} \].

The initialization is given by \( \gamma^{(0)} = \sigma_n u \) where \( u \) is a vector with independent zero-mean normal random variables. (6a) is a generalization of the parallel iterative algorithm given in Ref. 13 which was motivated by the VAMP algorithms of Refs. 21, 22, 24. The parallel dynamics of Ref. 13 is obtained when the diagonal matrix \( P^{(k)} \) is equal to the unit matrix. By introducing binary diagonal entries \( p^{(k)}_{ii} = P^{(k)}_{ii} \in \{0, 1\} \), we obtain random sequential updates of nodes. The random decision variables decide if node \( i \) is updated \( (p^{(k)}_{ii} = 1) \) at time \( k \) or not \( (p^{(k)}_{ii} = 0) \). We assume that the \( p^{(k)}_{ii} \) are independent for all \( i, k \) and that \( \Pr(p^{(k)}_{ii} = 1) = \eta \). The case \( \eta = 1/N \) corresponds to an update of only a single node on average.

We will next derive the statistical properties of the dynamics (6a) in the thermodynamic limit of large \( N \) while keeping \( \eta \) fixed. We will later also discuss the limit \( \eta \to 0 \) to simulate the behavior for \( \eta = 1/N \).

Our goal is to show that for \( N \to \infty \), the sequence \( \{\phi^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K \) over \( K \) time steps for an arbitrary component \( i \) converges to a zero mean Gaussian process. We will build on results of Ref. 19 which are based on the dynamical functional theory of statistical physics. This path integral method allows for an explicit averaging over the randomness of the matrix \( A \) and leads to a decoupling of the degrees of freedom. Using the second condition (4) for the random matrix \( A \) it was shown in Ref. 19 that \( \{\phi^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K \) (suppressing the component index \( i \) for convenience) can be transformed into a Gaussian random sequence by appropriate subtractions. The subtractions define an auxiliary dynamical system which is obtained by replacing the variable \( \phi^{(k)} \) in (6a) by
\[ \phi^{(k)} = Af(\gamma^{(k-1)}) - \sum_{i<k} \partial f(p^{(k,l)} - \gamma^{(l-1)} \]}

for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots K \). Under the new dynamics, \( \{\phi^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K \) can be shown to be a Gaussian process. The memory terms in (6b) are defined as follows: \( \hat{G}^{(k,l)} \) denotes the \( (k, l) \)th indexed entries of the \( K \times K \) matrix \( \hat{G} \) which is defined in terms of the R-transform and its power series expansion as
\[ \hat{G} = R_A(G) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_{A,n}G^{n-1}. \]

Finally, the entries of the response matrix \( \hat{G} \) are given by
\[ G^{(k,k')} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[ \frac{\partial f(\gamma^{(k-1)})}{\partial \phi^{(k')}_{aux}} \right] \]
again suppressing the component index \( i \) for convenience, i.e., \( \gamma^{(k)} = \gamma^{(k)} \) of \( \gamma^{(k)} \) and \( \phi^{(k)} = \phi^{(k)} \) of \( \phi^{(k)} \). We will show next, that \( \hat{G} = 0 \). From this we also obtain \( \hat{G} = 0 \). This will prove that \( \phi^{(k)} = \phi^{(k)} \) and (6a) reduces to (6a). By construction we have
\[ \frac{\partial \gamma^{(k-1)}}{\partial \phi^{(k')}_{aux}} = p^{(k')} \prod_{l=k+1}^{k-1} (1 - p^{(l)}) \]}

Hence, the response terms read
\[ G^{(k,k')} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[ f'(\gamma^{(k-1)})p^{(k,k')} \right] \]
\[ = \eta(1 - \eta)^{k-1-k'} \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[ f'(\phi^{(k')}_{aux}) \right]. \]

We will only sketch the the final step of the proof. It is based on a careful analysis of the two-time covariance function of the Gaussian process \( \phi^{(k)} \) (see Ref. 13),
\[ \mathcal{C}_{\phi_{aux}} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_{A,n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} G^k \mathcal{C}_f(G^T)^{n-2-k}, \]

(12)
where
\[ C_f^{(k,k')} = \lim_{N \to \infty} E[f(\gamma(k-1))f(\gamma(k'-1))]. \] (13)

One can show by induction (starting with the initialization \( C_{\gamma}^{(0,0)} = \sigma_{\gamma}^2 \)) that the variances of \( \phi_{\text{aux}}^{(k)} \) are constant in time, i.e.
\[ C_{\phi_{\text{aux}}}^{(k,k)} = \sigma_{\gamma}^2. \] (14)

Hence, using the condition (4), we obtain
\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} E \left[ f'(\phi_{\text{aux}}^{(k)}) \right] = 0 \] (15)
which establishes the vanishing of memory terms and Gaussianity of \( \{ \phi^{(k)} \}_{k=1}^K \).

Hence, as the main result of our paper, we have shown that the effective dynamics of a single node of the algorithm is given by the stochastic dynamical equation
\[ \gamma(k+1) = \gamma(k) + p^{(k+1)}[\phi^{(k+1)}(\xi) - \gamma(k)] \] (16)
where the temporal sequence \( \{ \phi^{(k)} \}_{k} \) is a Gaussian random process. The vanishing of the response terms \( G \) also leads to a simplification of the two-time covariances
\[ C_{\phi}^{(k,k')} = c_{\phi} c_{\phi} C_{f}^{(k,k')} = C_{f}^{(k,k')} \lim_{N \to \infty} E[(A^2)_{ii}], \] (17)
where the latter equality follows from properties of the R-transform. This result together with the fact that the binary decision variables \( p^{(k)} \) are independent for different times and also independent of the Gaussian process specifies the statistics of the single node trajectories \( \{ \gamma(k) \}_{k=1}^K \) completely. Although the joint distribution of the random variables \( \gamma(k) \) and \( \gamma(k') \) (for any \( k \neq k' \)) is non–Gaussian, the linearity of the dynamics (16) allows for a simple recursive computation of moments at different times in terms of the moments of the driving Gaussian variables. For \( k \neq k' \), one obtains the recursions
\[ C_{\phi}^{(k,k')} = (1 - \eta)^2 C_{\phi}^{(k-1,k'-1)} + \eta^2 \left[ C_{\phi}^{(k,k')} \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{k'-1} (1 - \eta)^{k'-l'} C_{\phi}^{(k,l')} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (1 - \eta)^{k-l} C_{\phi}^{(k',l)} \bigg], \] (18)
where we have introduced the two-time expectations
\[ C_{\phi}^{(k,k')} = c_{\phi} c_{\phi} E[f(\phi^{(k-1)})f(\phi^{(k'-1)})] \] (19)
\[ C_{\phi}^{(k,1)} = c_{\phi} c_{\phi} E[f(\phi^{(k-1)} \sigma_{\gamma} u)]^2 / \eta, \] (20)

We obtain similar recursions for the two-time covariances
\[ C_{\gamma}^{(k,k')} = (1 - \eta)^2 C_{\gamma}^{(k-1,k'-1)} + \eta^2 \left[ C_{\gamma}^{(k,k')} \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{k'-1} (1 - \eta)^{k'-l'} C_{\gamma}^{(k,l')} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (1 - \eta)^{k-l} C_{\gamma}^{(k',l)} \bigg], \] (21)
with \( C_{\gamma}^{(k,0)} = (1 - \eta)^k \sigma_{\gamma}^2 \). Moreover, the variances read
\[ C_{\gamma}^{(k,k)} = \eta C_{\gamma}^{(k,k)} + (1 - \eta)\sigma_{\gamma}^{(k-1,k-1)} = \sigma_{\gamma}^2, \] (22)
where the latter equality follows from (14) by induction.

To analyze the convergence properties of the dynamics (4), we consider the limit of the two-time covariances, when one time index approaches infinity. Setting \( C_{\gamma}^{(k)} \equiv \lim_{k \to \infty} C_{\gamma}^{(k,k')} \), one can show from the recursions (18) and (21) that
\[ C_{\gamma}^{(k)} = \eta C_{\gamma}^{(k)} + (1 - \eta)C_{\gamma}^{(k-1)}, \] (23a)
\[ C_{\phi}^{(k)} = \eta C_{\phi}^{(k)} + (1 - \eta)C_{\phi}^{(k-1)}, \] (23b)
with the necessary initial values \( C_{\gamma}^{(0)} = 0 \) and \( C_{\phi}^{(1)} = g(0) \). Here, we have introduced the function
\[ g(x) = c_{\phi} c_{\phi} E[f(\phi_1)f(\phi_2)] \] (24)
for \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) being jointly Gaussian random variables with covariance \( x \) and equal variances \( \sigma_{\gamma}^2 \). This enables us to study the deviation between variables at time \( k \) and their long-time limits:
\[ \Delta_{\gamma}^k \equiv \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} E[||\gamma(k) - \gamma(k')||^2] = 2\sigma_{\gamma}^2 - 2C_{\gamma}^{(k)}. \] (25)

One can show that global convergence of the algorithm is achieved under the condition
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \Delta_{\gamma}^k = 0 \iff g'(\sigma_{\gamma}^2) < 1, \] (26)
independent of the probability \( \eta \) for an update. Following Ref. [13], where parallel updates \( (P^{(k)} = I) \) were analyzed, we can show that the condition for convergence (26) coincides with the well-known de Almeida-Thouless (AT) stability criterion [33] of the replica-symmetric solution of Ising models with rotation invariant coupling matrices [34, Eq. (46)]. It is interesting to note that for \( g'(\sigma_{\gamma}^2) \geq 1 \) the algorithm fails to converge although the variance of \( \gamma(k) \) remains constant in time.

We will now specialize to the case where only a small number of nodes is updated. This limit is interesting for practical applications of algorithms. For simplicity, we consider \( \eta = 1/N \) where a single node is updated on average. To model such a process within our approach, we take the limit \( \eta \to 0 \) and introduce a re–scaling of time \( t = \eta k \) which becomes a continuous variable in the limit. We write (with a slight abuse of notation)
\[ \dot{\gamma}(t) = C_{\gamma}^{(k)}(t) - C_{\gamma}^{(k)}(t), \]
\[ \dot{C}_{\gamma}(t) = C_{\gamma}(t) - C_{\gamma}(t), \]
\[ \dot{C}_{\phi}(t) = g(C_{\phi}(t)) - C_{\phi}(t), \]
where the dots denote derivatives with respect to time \( t \). Linearizing the function \( g(x) \) around the fixed point, we obtain the asymptotic solution
\[ \Delta_{\gamma}(t) \approx e^{-(1 - g'(\sigma_{\gamma}^2))t} \] (28)
for $t \to \infty$ if $g'(\sigma_i^2) < 1$. This again manifests the AT line of stability \(^{26}\) as the sufficient and necessary condition for the global convergence. In Fig. 1 we illustrate the theoretical predictions of the results \(^{27}\) and \(^{28}\). We consider two random coupling matrix models: the SK-model where the couplings are independent Gaussian entries with zero mean and the variances $E[J^2_{ij}] = \beta(1 + \delta_{ij})/N$; a random-orthogonal-model \(^{30}\) for which the eigenvalues of the coupling matrix are binary $\pm \beta$ with the trace-free property $\text{tr}(J) = 0$ whenever $N$ is an even number. The simulation results are (mainly) based on single realizations of the dynamics but different realizations are considered for each value of the inverse temperature $\beta$. As the model parameters approach (are) to (in) the region of dynamical instability, the discrepancy between the theory and simulations may increase due to the fluctuation of the realizations, e.g., for the SK model, we illustrate the theoretical predication of \(^{27}\) through an empirical average over a number of realizations of the dynamics, as well. On the other hand, for the second model the theoretical results already give excellent agreement with a single realization of the dynamics. This might stem from the fact that the system shows smaller fluctuations as the random matrix has a nonrandom spectral distribution for finite $N$.

We analyzed the dynamics of a message passing algorithm for approximate inference with random sequential updates in the thermodynamic limit. By deriving an effective stochastic dynamics for a single node, we were able to obtain explicit results for the asymptotic convergence. For simplicity, to demonstrate our main ideas, we have restricted our analysis in two ways: We considered an Ising model as a toy inference problem. We also specialized to a simplified AMP-style algorithm which starts...
with the proper initialization to keep the variance of variables constant in time. With a bit more technical effort, both restrictions can be easily lifted. Our analysis can e.g. be extended to the common teacher-student scenario for generalized linear data models \cite{Rangan2021}. The inclusion of more adaptive updates used e.g. in VAMP algorithms \cite{Schniter2017, Schniter2018, Schniter2019} is also possible and will be given in a forthcoming publication.

From a theoretical point of view, we expect that most of our analysis can be made mathematically rigorous using, e.g., the recent approach \cite{Takeuchi2019} to justify the subtraction rule \cite{Takeuchi2020}. There is, however, a subtle point related to the limit $\eta = 1/N$ of single node updates which might need further investigation. The dynamical functional approach used to derive our results is restricted to the limit $N \to \infty$, but with the number of time steps $K$ kept finite. For $\eta \propto 1/N$, we also need to increase the number of iterations $K \propto N$ in order to have nonzero changes in the dynamics. Although our results are supported very well by simulations, we may try an alternative approach, where the continuous time limit $\eta \to 0$ in the dynamical functional theory is performed before the limit $N \to \infty$. The discrete time decision variables would then be replaced by Poisson events. We leave this calculation to subsequent publication but conjecture that the resulting ordinary differential equations would agree with \cite{Gelman2016}.
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