

REALITY OF UNIPOTENT ELEMENTS IN SIMPLE LIE GROUPS

KRISHNENDU GONGOPADHYAY AND CHANDAN MAITY

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to give a classification of real and strongly real unipotent elements in a classical simple Lie group. To do this we will introduce an infinitesimal version of the notion of the classical reality in a Lie group. This notion has been applied to classify real and strongly real unipotent elements in a classical simple Lie group. Also, the infinitesimally-real semisimple elements in complex simple classical Lie algebras are classified.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Reality and Strong Reality in Groups. Recall that, an element g in a group G is called *real* or *reversible* if it is conjugate to g^{-1} in G , that is there is a h in G such that $g^{-1} = hgh^{-1}$. The element g is called *strongly real* or *strongly reversible* if g is a product of two involutions in G , equivalently if there is an involution $h \in G$ so that $g^{-1} = hgh^{-1}$, then g is strongly real. Thus a strongly real element in G is real, but a real element is not necessarily strongly real.

It has been a problem of wide interest to investigate the real and strongly real elements in groups, see [FS] for an exposition of this theme. However, in the literature, most of the investigations address the converse question, that is when a real element is strongly real, e.g. in [ST] Singh and Thakur proved the equivalence of reality and strong reality in a connected adjoint semisimple algebraic group over a perfect field provided -1 is an element in the Weyl group. It follows from [ST] that in most classical groups over a field, a semisimple element is real if and only if it is strongly real. An exceptional classical family where this does not hold is the symplectic group.

A complete classification of real and strongly real elements is known mostly for a few families of finite groups. Several authors have worked on this theme in finite simple groups. There is an enormous amount of literature for finite groups, we only mention a very few here, eg. [TZ2], [VG], [G81]. In [TZ2], finite quasi-simple groups where all elements are real has been classified. Investigations of the reality of unipotent elements are also focused around finite groups. Tiep and Zalesski investigated this question in a finite group of Lie type in [TZ1]. Recently close relationship between strong reality in finite simple groups and their totally orthogonal representations has been established in [Vi].

Given an infinite group, a complete classification of real and strongly real elements is not known for most of the cases except for a very few families, see [FS]. The only classical

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E45; Secondary: 22E60, 17B08.

Key words and phrases. Real element, Strongly real element, unipotent element.

Gongopadhyay acknowledges partial support from SERB-DST MATRICS project: MTR/2017/000355.

Maity was supported by an NBHM PDF during the course of this work.

Lie groups where concrete classifications are known are the general linear groups, compact groups and real rank one classical groups, e.g. [FS, GP, BG].

The aim of this paper is to give a complete classification of the real and strongly real unipotent elements in a classical simple Lie group. In the literature, the investigations of the reality problem have been done mostly from the viewpoint of linear algebra and the theory of algebraic groups. In some geometric cases, e.g. real rank one, local geometry of the transformation have also seen a role. Even though there is a complete classification for simple Lie groups, we have not seen any work where general structure theory of Lie groups has been used to tackle the problem. This work is an attempt to fill this gap in the literature and initiate an investigation of reality using the adjoint representations. For this, we initiate an infinitesimal notion of reality, viz. Ad_G -real given below. This infinitesimal reality not only helps us to classify the unipotent classes, but it may be a problem of independent interest to investigate these classes in their own rights.

1.2. Adjoint Orbits and Reality. Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Consider the natural $\text{Ad}(G)$ -representation of G on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$$\text{Ad}: G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the *adjoint orbit* of X in \mathfrak{g} is defined as $\mathcal{O}_X := \{\text{Ad}(g)X \mid g \in G\}$. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent (resp. semisimple), then \mathcal{O}_X is called *nilpotent orbit* (resp. *semisimple orbit*). Many deep results about such orbits are available in the literature, e.g. [CM], [Mc]. We relate this theory with the above problem of reality in Lie groups. To connect the two themes, we define the following.

Definition 1.1. An element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called Ad_G -real if $-X \in \mathcal{O}_X$. An Ad_G -real element is called *strongly* Ad_G -real if $-X = \text{Ad}(\tau)X$ for some $\tau \in G$ so that $\tau^2 = \text{Id}$.

We will show that the classical reality of the unipotent elements in a Lie group and Ad_G -reality of the nilpotent elements are equivalent but this is not true in general. To see this let $I_{1,1} = \text{diag}(1, -1) = \exp Y \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $Y = \text{diag}(\sqrt{-1}2\pi, \sqrt{-1}\pi)$. Then $I_{1,1}$ is strongly real but Y is not $\text{Ad}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ -real in $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

In this paper by a real element in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} we mean the Ad_G -real element whenever the underline Lie group G is understood from the context. Similarly, by the real element in the Lie group G we mean the classical reality. We shall classify the Ad_G -real nilpotent classes in a simple Lie algebra and that leads us to the classification of real unipotent classes in the corresponding Lie group using the exponential map, see Section 2.

1.3. Summary of our results. First, we shall consider the complex simple Lie groups, i.e. the corresponding Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . We prove that every unipotent element in a complex semi-simple Lie group G is real, see Proposition 5.2. However, not every unipotent is strongly real in general, and we classify precisely which unipotent elements are strongly real. Next we will consider the real simple Lie groups, and classify real and strongly real unipotent elements in those groups. As mentioned above, we have not seen any place in the literature where the problem of reality has been addressed using Lie theoretic tools. Rather it has been using tools from geometric algebra. So, to follow that tradition, we divide the real and complex Lie groups as per their geometric algebra classification and summarize our results in the context of each class.

In the following let $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} unless stated otherwise. Let $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{D})$ be the general linear group over \mathbb{D} . Other than $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{D})$, we have worked with the classical Lie groups and Lie algebras as listed in Section 3.2. In the following we give summary of our results concerning these groups.

1.3.1. *The general linear group.* The real and strongly real elements in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{D})$ are well-understood in the literature, e.g. [Wo], [FS]. However, we do not know any literature for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{H})$. We have given a uniform approach to prove that every unipotent element in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{D})$ is strongly real, see Proposition 5.4.

1.3.2. *The special linear group.* The classification of real and strongly real elements in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{D})$ is more tricky than the general linear case. It is easy to see that the unipotent elements in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ are not even real. General investigation of involution length in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, for a field \mathbb{F} , has been done by many authors, e.g. [KN2], [KN3]. However, in view of the classification problem of real elements the best result that we know states that for $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ an element in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is real if and only if it is strongly real, where \mathbb{F} is a field of characteristic not 2, see [ST, Theorem 3.1.1]. In this work, we ask for a precise count of the strongly real unipotent elements. We have given a complete characterization of real and strongly real unipotent elements in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{D})$. For $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , not every unipotent element is strongly real, and we classify precisely when a unipotent is strongly real, see Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 6.1. For $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})$, we show that every unipotent element is strongly real, see Theorem 6.3.

1.3.3. *The symplectic group.* Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{F})$ denote the symplectic group over \mathbb{F} . It is known that not every element is strongly real in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{F})$. It follows from the literature that every element in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is a product of two skew-involutions, i.e. every element in $\mathrm{PSp}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is strongly real, see [ST], [Wo]. In a recent work Ellers and Villa [EV] have proved decomposition of a symplectic map into a product of six involutions and have classified certain strongly real elements under some strong hypothesis, see [EV, Corollary 9]. In [Cr], de la Cruz has given a characterization of strongly real elements in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. It is proved in [AD] that every element in $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is a product of four involutions. However, strongly real classes are not very well-understood in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. In this work, we have given a very first classification of unipotent strongly real elements in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, see Theorem 6.16. Classification of semisimple strongly real elements in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ remains open.

1.3.4. *The orthogonal and unitary groups.* Product of involutions in orthogonal groups (over general fields) have been characterized in the literature, e.g. [FZ], [KN1], [KN2]. However, we do not know any concrete classification of real elements in $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ other than when $p = 1, q > 0$, see [FS], [Go], [BM]. The same can be said about unitary groups $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$. In [DM], it has been proved that every element in $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$ is a product of finitely many reflections and an estimate of the reflection length was given. However, a concrete classification is known only for $\mathrm{U}(n, 1)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$, see [GP]. The classification of real and strongly real elements in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$ has been obtained very recently in [BG]. Other than the rank one groups, not much is known about a precise classification of the real elements in $\mathrm{SU}(p, q)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$.

In this paper, we completely classify real and strongly real unipotent elements in $\mathrm{SU}(p, q)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$. We prove that every unipotent element in $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$ is real, see

Corollary 6.18. As we shall see that is not the case in the groups $SU(p, q)$. Though reality and strong reality are equivalent in this group, not every unipotent element is real. We ask for a precise list of real or strongly real unipotent elements in $SU(p, q)$, $Sp(p, q)$ and classify such elements, see Theorem 6.4 and Theorem 6.19.

A particular subtle case is the identity component of the group $O(p, q)$. It is easy to see that every unipotent element in $SO(p, q)$ is strongly real, but as it is easy to see in the case of $SO(2, 1)^0$, the case is more tricky in the identity component $SO(p, q)^0$. We completely classify the real or strongly real unipotent elements in the identity component $SO(p, q)^0$, see Theorem 6.14.

1.3.5. *The group $SO^*(2n)$.* The group $SO^*(2n)$ is a real form of the complex orthogonal group $SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$, and is a subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{H})$. We have not seen any place in the literature where reality properties in $SO^*(2n)$ has been addressed. In this paper we give a very first account of the classification of real and strongly real unipotent elements in this group, see Theorem 6.15. We hope to address the semisimple case in a later investigation.

A natural problem following Definition 1.1 is classification of the Ad_G -real elements in \mathfrak{g} . For completeness, we have completely classified Ad_G -reality of the semi-simple and nilpotent elements in complex simple Lie algebras. The situation for real Lie algebras seems more tricky. We hope to address this in subsequent work.

1.4. **Key tools.** The main strategy of our proof depends on the theory of the nilpotent orbits. More specifically, we will use certain bases which were constructed to describe centralizers of the nilpotent elements in classical simple Lie algebras as in [SS], [BCM]. For base field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , a specific basis was obtained in [SS] to describe the reductive parts of centralizers of the unipotent elements. In [BCM], construction of such basis was extended to \mathbb{H} , and was obtained in a unified way to \mathbb{D} using the Jacobson-Morozov theorem and the structure of the related $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations. These special bases are used to construct conjugating elements. Another key tool to classify the Ad_G -real elements is the parametrization of nilpotent orbits in simple Lie algebras involving the signed Young diagrams. Explicit descriptions of centralizers of the nilpotent elements are also used in the classification of the strongly Ad_G -real elements.

1.5. **Structure of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1.2 the notion of Ad_G -real in the Lie algebra is introduced. Relationship between Ad_G -real and classical reality is established in Section 2. In Section 3 we fix the notation and terminology and recall some background. Section 4 deals with the Ad_G -real and strongly Ad_G -real semi-simple elements in the complex simple Lie algebras of classical type. In Section 5 Ad_G -real and strongly Ad_G -real nilpotent elements in complex simple classical Lie algebras are classified. Finally, in Section 6 we have classified Ad_G -real and strongly Ad_G -real nilpotent elements in simple classical Lie algebras over \mathbb{R} .

2. Ad_G -REALITY AND CLASSICAL REALITY

In this section we will introduce an infinitesimal version of the notion of classical reality in a Lie group. An element $g \in G$ is called *exponential* if $g = \exp X$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. A Lie group is called *exponential* if every element is exponential. First, we will show that

Ad_G -real in the Lie algebra implies the classical reality of the corresponding element in the Lie group.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be an Ad_G -real (resp. strongly Ad_G -real) element, then $\exp X$ is real (resp. strongly real) in G .*

There is a G -equivariant bijection between the set of nilpotent elements in \mathfrak{g} and the set of the unipotent elements in G via the exponential map.

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a semi-simple Lie group, and $u \in G$ be a unipotent element so that $u = \exp X$. Then u is real (resp. strongly real) if and only if X is Ad_G -real (resp. strongly Ad_G -real) in \mathfrak{g} .*

Corollary 2.3. *The classical reality of a connected unipotent Lie group G is determined by the Ad_G -reality in \mathfrak{g} .*

For the converse of Lemma 2.1, the following terminology will be needed. A *normal neighbourhood* U is a subset of G such that there is a symmetric neighbourhood V of the origin in \mathfrak{g} , and $\exp|_V$ is a diffeomorphism between V and U .

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Suppose $g = \exp X$, the element $g^{1/m}$ belongs to a normal neighbourhood U for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g^{1/m}$ is real (resp. strongly real) in G . Then X is Ad_G -real (resp. Ad_G -strongly real) in \mathfrak{g} .*

Proof. As $g^{1/m} \in U$, and real in G , it follows that $g^{1/m} = \exp(\frac{1}{m}X)$ and $\exp(-\frac{1}{m}X) = \sigma(\exp \frac{1}{m}X)\sigma^{-1} = \exp(\sigma \frac{1}{m}X\sigma^{-1}) \in U$ for some $\sigma \in G$. Hence, $-X = \sigma X\sigma^{-1}$. \square

It is not true in general for a Lie group G that $g = \exp X \in G$ is real (resp. strongly real) if and only if X is Ad_G -real (resp. strongly Ad_G -real). We give examples to illustrate the situation that the exponentiality condition on the Lie group G is necessary but not sufficient in the Proposition 2.4.

Example 2.5. (i) The exponentiality condition in Proposition 2.4 is necessary for strongly reality. The Lie group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not exponential. Let $g = -\text{Id} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $X = \text{diag}(\sqrt{-1}\pi, -\sqrt{-1}\pi)$. Then $g = e^X$. Note that g is strongly real in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. But X is not a strongly Ad_G -real element in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

(ii) Next we will consider the compact Lie group SO_2 which is exponential. The element $\sigma = -\text{I}_2 = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi \\ -\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}_2$ is real. But $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi \\ -\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is not Ad_G -real in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{so}_2 . Thus, exponentiality is not sufficient in Proposition 2.4.

3. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

In this section, we fix some notation and recall some known results which will be used in this paper. We will follow the notation of [BCM].

Once and for all fix a square root of -1 and call it $\sqrt{-1}$. The Lie groups will be denoted by the capital letters, while the Lie algebra of a Lie group will be denoted by the corresponding lower case German letter. Sometimes, for notational convenience, the Lie algebra of a Lie group G is also denoted by $\text{Lie}(G)$. The connected component of a Lie group G containing the identity element is denoted by G^0 . For a subset S of \mathfrak{g} , the subgroup of G that fixes S point wise under the adjoint action is called the *centralizer*

of S in G ; the centralizer of S in G is denoted by $Z_G(S)$. Similarly, for a Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{g} and a subset $S \subset \mathfrak{g}$, by $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$ we will denote the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} consisting of all the elements that commute with every element of S .

3.1. Associated Lie groups and Lie algebras. Let $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} . Let V be a right vector space of finite dimension over \mathbb{D} . Let $\text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ be the real algebra of *right \mathbb{D} -linear maps* from V onto V . After choosing a basis for V , the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ can be represented by matrices over \mathbb{D} . For a \mathbb{D} -linear map $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ and an ordered \mathbb{D} -basis \mathcal{B} of V , the *matrix of T with respect to \mathcal{B}* is denoted by $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\text{GL}(V)$ denote the *group of invertible right \mathbb{D} -linear maps* from $\text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$.

When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , let $\text{tr} : \text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\det : \text{End}_{\mathbb{D}} V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, respectively be the usual *trace* and *determinant* maps. Define

$$\text{SL}(V) := \{g \in \text{GL}(V) \mid \det(g) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{sl}(V) := \{T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \mid \text{tr}(T) = 0\}.$$

If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ then recall that $A = \text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ is a central simple \mathbb{R} -algebra. Let $\text{Nrd}_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the *reduced norm* on A , and let $\text{Trd}_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the *reduced trace* on A . Define

$$\text{SL}(V) := \{g \in \text{GL}(V) \mid \text{Nrd}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{D}} V}(g) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{sl}(V) := \{T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \mid \text{Trd}_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)}(T) = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} , as above. Let σ be either the identity map Id or an *involution* of \mathbb{D} , that is, σ is a real linear anti-automorphism of \mathbb{D} with $\sigma^2 = \text{Id}$. Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Following [Bo, § 23.8, p. 264], we call a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ a ϵ - σ *Hermitian form* if

- (i) $\langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$,
- (ii) $\langle v, u \rangle = \epsilon \sigma(\langle u, v \rangle)$, and
- (iii) $\langle v\alpha, u \rangle = \sigma(\alpha) \langle v, u \rangle$ for all $v, u, w \in V$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$.

Recall that a ϵ - σ Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is *non-degenerate* if for every non-zero u in V , there is a non-zero v such that $\langle v, u \rangle \neq 0$. We define

$$\text{U}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \{g \in \text{GL}(V) \mid \langle gv, gu \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle \forall v, u \in V\} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \{T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \mid \langle Tv, u \rangle + \langle v, Tu \rangle = 0 \forall v, u \in V\}.$$

We next define

$$\text{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \text{U}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \cap \text{SL}(V) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathfrak{u}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(V).$$

It is well-known that $\mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a simple Lie algebra (cf. [Kn, Chapter I, Section 8]).

3.2. Classical simple Lie groups and Lie algebras. For a suitable choice of V and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we have the following classical Lie groups and Lie algebras which will be used here. We define the *usual conjugations* σ_c on \mathbb{C} by $\sigma_c(x_1 + \sqrt{-1}x_2) = x_1 - \sqrt{-1}x_2$, and on \mathbb{H} by $\sigma_c(x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 + \mathbf{j}x_3 + \mathbf{k}x_4) = x_1 - \mathbf{i}x_2 - \mathbf{j}x_3 - \mathbf{k}x_4$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. For $P = (p_{ij}) \in \text{M}_{r \times s}(\mathbb{D})$, P^t denotes the *transpose* of P . If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} , then define $\overline{P} := (\sigma_c(p_{ij}))$. Let

$$\text{I}_{p,q} := \begin{pmatrix} \text{I}_p & \\ & -\text{I}_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{J}_n := \begin{pmatrix} & -\text{I}_n \\ \text{I}_n & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

We will work with the following classical simple Lie groups and Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \det(g) = 1\}, & \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) &:= \{z \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \mathrm{tr}(z) = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C}) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid g^t g = \mathrm{I}_n\}, & \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C}) &:= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid z^t \mathrm{I}_n + \mathrm{I}_n z = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid g^t \mathrm{J}_n g = \mathrm{J}_n\}, & \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) &:= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid z^t \mathrm{J}_n + \mathrm{J}_n z = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \det(g) = 1\}, & \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R}) &:= \{z \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \mathrm{tr}(z) = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H}) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{H})}(g) = 1\}, & \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H}) &:= \{z \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \mathrm{Trd}_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{H})}(z) = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{SU}(p, q) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \bar{g}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} g = \mathrm{I}_{p,q}\}, & \mathfrak{su}(p, q) &:= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \bar{z}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} + \mathrm{I}_{p,q} z = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{SO}(p, q) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} g = \mathrm{I}_{p,q}\}, & \mathfrak{so}(p, q) &:= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid z^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} + \mathrm{I}_{p,q} z = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{Sp}(p, q) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \bar{g}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} g = \mathrm{I}_{p,q}\}, & \mathfrak{sp}(p, q) &:= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \bar{z}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} + \mathrm{I}_{p,q} z = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g^t \mathrm{J}_n g = \mathrm{J}_n\}, & \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) &:= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid z^t \mathrm{J}_n + \mathrm{J}_n z = 0\}; \\
 \mathrm{SO}^*(2n) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \bar{g}^t \mathbf{j} \mathrm{I}_n g = \mathbf{j} \mathrm{I}_n\}, & \mathfrak{so}^*(2n) &:= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \bar{z}^t \mathbf{j} \mathrm{I}_n + \mathbf{j} \mathrm{I}_n z = 0\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Partitions and (signed) Young diagrams. For two ordered sets (v_1, \dots, v_n) and (w_1, \dots, w_m) , the ordered set $(v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_m)$ will be denoted by

$$(v_1, \dots, v_n) \vee (w_1, \dots, w_m).$$

A *partition* of a positive integer n is an object of the form $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}]$, where $t_i, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^s t_{d_i} d_i = n$, $t_{d_i} \geq 1$ and $d_1 > \dots > d_s > 0$; see [CM, § 3.1, p. 30]. Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ be the *set of all partitions of n* . For a partition $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}]$ of n , define

$$\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} := \{d_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} := \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} \cap (2\mathbb{N}), \quad \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} := \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Further define

$$\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1 := \{d \mid d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\} \text{ and } \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3 := \{d \mid d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Following [CM], a partition \mathbf{d} of n will be called *even* if $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of all even partitions of n . We call a partition \mathbf{d} of n to be *very even* if \mathbf{d} is even, and t_{η} is even for all $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{v.even}}(n)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of all very even partitions of n . Now define

$$\mathcal{P}_{-1}(n) := \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mid t_{\theta} \text{ is even for all } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}\}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1(n) := \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mid t_{\eta} \text{ is even for all } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Next, we will define the Young diagram and signed Young diagram, see [CM, p. 140]. For our convenience, we will follow the modified definition of the signed Young diagram used in [BCM, §2.4].

Definition 3.1.

- A *Young diagram* is a left-justified array of rows of empty boxes arranged so that no row is shorter than the one below it; the *size* of a Young diagram is the number of empty boxes appearing in it. There is an obvious correspondence between the set of Young diagrams of size n and the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n . Hence the *set of Young diagrams of size n* is also denoted by $\mathcal{P}(n)$.

- A *signed Young diagram* is a Young diagram in which every box is labelled with $+1$ or -1 such that the sign of 1 alternate across rows except when the length of the row is of the form $3 \pmod{4}$. In the latter case when the length of the row is of the form $3 \pmod{4}$ we will alternate the sign of 1 till the last but one and repeat the sign of 1 in the last box as in the last but one box. The sign of 1 need not alternate down columns.
- Two signed Young diagrams are equivalent if and only if each can be obtained from the other by permuting rows of equal length.
- The *signature of a signed Young diagram* is the ordered pair of integers (p, q) where p -many $+1$ and q -many -1 occur in it.

Remark 3.2. Let p_d (resp. q_d) be the number of $+1$ (resp. -1) in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_d \times d$. Then a signed Young diagram of size n is uniquely determined by a partition $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}]$ of n , and a pair of integer (p_d, q_d) for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ so that $p_d + q_d = t_d$.

3.4. Jacobson-Morozov Theorem and associated results. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{R} , a subset $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple if $X \neq 0$, $[H, X] = 2X$, $[H, Y] = -2Y$ and $[X, Y] = H$. Note that for a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{X, H, Y\}$ in \mathfrak{g} , $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We now recall a famous result due to Jacobson and Morozov.

Theorem 3.3 (Jacobson-Morozov, cf. [CM, Theorem 9.2.1]). *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-zero nilpotent element in a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{R} . Then there exist $H, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\{X, H, Y\}$ is a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple.*

Let V be a right \mathbb{D} -vector space of dimension n , where \mathbb{D} is, as before, \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} . Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -triple. Note that V is also a \mathbb{R} -vector space using the inclusion $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Hence V is a module over $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. For any positive integer d , let $M(d-1)$ denote the sum of all the \mathbb{R} -subspaces W of V such that

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W = d$, and
- W is an irreducible $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ -submodules of V .

Then $M(d-1)$ is the *isotypical component* of V containing all the irreducible submodules of V with highest weight $d-1$. As X, H, Y of V are \mathbb{D} -linear, the \mathbb{R} -subspaces $M(d-1)$ of V are also \mathbb{D} -subspace. Let

$$L(d-1) := \ker Y \cap M(d-1), \quad \text{and} \quad t_d := \dim_{\mathbb{D}} L(d-1). \quad (3.7)$$

Consider the non-zero irreducible $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ -submodules of V . Let $\{d_1, \dots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 > \dots > d_s$, be the integers that occur as \mathbb{R} -dimension of such $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ -modules. Then it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^s t_{d_i} d_i = \dim_{\mathbb{D}} V = n$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n). \quad (3.8)$$

Recall that for a partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ are defined in (3.3).

Proposition 3.4. [BCM, Proposition A.2] *Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -triple, where V is a right \mathbb{D} -vector space of dimension n . For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and for any \mathbb{D} -basis of $L(d-1)$, say, $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d := \dim_{\mathbb{D}} L(d-1)\}$ the following two hold:*

- (1) $X^d v_j^d = 0$ and $H(X^l v_j^d) = X^l v_j^d (2l + 1 - d)$ for $1 \leq j \leq t_d$, $0 \leq l \leq d-1$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

- (2) For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the set $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ is a \mathbb{D} -basis of $M(d-1)$. In particular, $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ is a \mathbb{D} -basis of V .

Proposition 3.5. [BCM, Proposition A.6] *Let V be a right \mathbb{D} -vector space of dimension n , $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is either the identity map or it is σ_c when \mathbb{D} is \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a ϵ - σ Hermitian form. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -triple. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $t_d := \dim_{\mathbb{D}} L(d-1)$. Then for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, there exists a \mathbb{D} -basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ such that the following three hold:*

- (1) $X^d v_j^d = 0$ and $H(X^l v_j^d) = X^l v_j^d (2l + 1 - d)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$.
- (2) For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the set $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ is a \mathbb{D} -basis of $M(d-1)$. In particular, $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ is a \mathbb{D} -basis of V .
- (3) The value of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on any pair of the above basis vectors is 0, except in the following cases:
 - If $\sigma = \sigma_c$, then $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_j^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$.
 - If $\sigma = \text{Id}$ and $\epsilon = 1$, then $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_j^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_{j+1}^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and j is odd.
 - If $\sigma = \text{Id}$ and $\epsilon = -1$, then $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_j^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_{j+1}^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and j is odd.

In the following remark, we will simplify the basis of V as in Proposition 3.5 case by case which will be used later. We need to consider only the following cases:

Remark 3.6 (cf. [BCM, Remark A.8]). The \mathbb{D} -basis elements $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ in Proposition 3.5 are modified as follows:

- (1) If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon = 1$, by suitable rescaling each element of $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ we may assume that
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_{t_d/2+j}^d \rangle = 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $1 \leq j \leq t_d/2$.
 If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon = -1$, analogously we may assume that the elements of the \mathbb{R} -basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ in Proposition 3.5 satisfy the condition that
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_{t_d/2+j}^d \rangle = 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $1 \leq j \leq t_d/2$.
- (2) If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon = 1$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$, rescaling the elements of the \mathbb{C} -basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ we may assume that
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \pm \sqrt{-1}$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.
- (3) If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\epsilon = 1$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$, after rescaling and conjugating the elements of the \mathbb{H} -basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ by suitable scalars the elements of the \mathbb{H} -basis, we may assume that
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
 - $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \mathbf{j}$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\epsilon = -1$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$, analogously we may assume that the elements of the \mathbb{H} -basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ satisfy

- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d \rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d \rangle = \mathbf{j}$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

The following basic result will be used to prove Theorem 5.9.

Lemma 3.7 (cf. [BCM, Lemma 2.6]). *Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of a Lie group G . Then $Z_G(X, H) = Z_G(X, H, Y)$.*

Next, we will state a well-known result of Springer-Steinberg regarding the reductive part of the centralizer of a nilpotent element in the Lie group $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 3.8 (Springer-Steinberg, cf. [CM, Theorem 6.1.3]). *Let X be a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ which corresponds to the partition $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}_{-1}(2n)$. Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ containing X . Then*

$$Z_{\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})}(X, H, Y) \cong \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{O}(t_{\eta}) \times \prod_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_{\theta}, \mathbb{C}).$$

The next result follows from [BCM, Lemma 4.4(4)].

Proposition 3.9. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, and $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$. Let the nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O}_X corresponds to the signed Young diagram of signature (p, q) where p_{θ} (resp. q_{θ}) denotes the number of $+1$ (resp. -1) in the 1st column of the block of size $t_{\theta} \times \theta$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then*

$$Z_{\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)}(X, H, Y) \simeq \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{SO}^*(2t_{\eta}) \times \prod_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(p_{\theta}, q_{\theta}).$$

Next we include another basic result which will be needed to prove Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 3.10 ([Ma, Lemma 4.1.1]). *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a non-zero nilpotent element so that the corresponding partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{even}}(n)$. Suppose that $gX = Xg$ for some $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\det g > 0$.*

3.5. An ordered basis of V . Here we will fix a suitable ordering of the basis as described in Propositions 3.4, 3.5 of the underlying vector space V . This ordering is motivated by a similar ordering used in [BCM, (4.4)]. After starting with their initial ordering, we will slightly modify it to serve our purpose.

Let $(v_1^d, \dots, v_{t_d}^d)$ be the ordered \mathbb{D} -basis of $L(d-1)$ as in Proposition 3.5 for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then, as done in [BCM, (4.3)], it follows from Proposition 3.5 that $\mathcal{B}^l(d) := (X^l v_1^d, \dots, X^l v_{t_d}^d)$ is an ordered \mathbb{D} -basis of $X^l L(d-1)$ for $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{B}(j) := \mathcal{B}^{d_1-j}(d_1) \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{B}^{d_s-j}(d_s), \text{ and } \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(1) \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{B}(d_1). \quad (3.9)$$

It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{B}(1)$ that $X|_{\mathcal{B}(1)} = 0$. Suppose $\tau \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ so that $\tau X \tau^{-1} = \epsilon X$, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Then τ keeps the vector spaces $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\mathcal{B}^{d_1-1}(d_1) \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{B}^{d_r-1}(d_r)\}$ for $r = 1, \dots, s$ and $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\mathcal{B}(1) \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{B}(t)\}$ invariant for $t = 1, \dots, d_1$. Also, τ will be determined uniquely by its action on $L(d-1)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. The matrix $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a block upper triangular matrix with $(d_1 + \dots + d_s)$ -many diagonal blocks. Write

$[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}} = (A_{ij})$, where A_{ij} are block matrices. Then $A_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$. The order of the first s -many diagonal blocks A_{jj} of $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$, is $t_{d_j} \times t_{d_j}$, where $t_{d_j} = \dim L(d_j - 1)$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Any diagonal block of $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is of the form ϵA_{jj} for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Moreover, if $\tau^2 = \text{Id}$, then necessarily $A_{jj}^2 = \text{Id}$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$. We will illustrate the above in the Appendix 6.6 with a particular example.

3.6. Semi-simple orbits in complex simple Lie algebra. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a Cartan subalgebra, and $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} . Then $W_{\mathfrak{g}} = N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$. Thus, the Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a natural action on \mathfrak{h} and $\mathfrak{h}/W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the set of orbits. We next state an important result regarding the parametrization of the semi-simple orbits in semi-simple Lie algebras over \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 3.11 (cf. [CM, Theorem 2.2.4]). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra, \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra, and $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} . Then the semi-simple orbits are parametrized by $\mathfrak{h}/W_{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

4. REALITY FOR SEMISIMPLE ELEMENTS IN SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA OVER \mathbb{C}

We will recall some well-known basic facts regarding semisimple elements and Cartan subalgebra in a Lie algebra. Let $H \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple element in a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra in \mathfrak{g} . Then $gHg^{-1} \in \mathfrak{h}$ for some $g \in G$.

4.1. Semi-simple elements in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathfrak{h} , all diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g} , be a Cartan subalgebra in \mathfrak{g} . The Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the permutation group S_n . Let $\phi \in W_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})}$, and $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathfrak{h}$. Considering ϕ as a permutation of n , we have $\phi \cdot H = \text{diag}(h_{\phi(1)}, \dots, h_{\phi(n)})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then $gHg^{-1} = -H$ for some $g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\} = \{-h_1, \dots, -h_n\}$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let H be a semisimple element in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then H is a real element in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if λ is an eigen value of H , then $-\lambda$ is also an eigen value of H .*

Proof. Use Theorem 3.11 and Lemma 4.1. □

Corollary 4.3. *A semisimple element $H \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is real if and only if H is real in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

Remark 4.4. The analogous statements of the Corollary 4.3 is also true in the classical sense (in the group level), see [FS, p. 77].

Theorem 4.5. *Every real semisimple element in $\text{Lie}(\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})}$ -real.*

Proof. Let $H \in \text{Lie}(\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ be a real semisimple element. Without loss of generality, we can assume $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_m, -h_1, \dots, -h_m, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\sigma = \text{diag}(J_m, I_s)$ will conjugate H and $-H$, where $2m + s = n$, and J_n as in (3.2). □

Example 4.6. Consider the semi-simple element $H = \text{diag}(x_1, -x_1)$. Let $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ so that $gH = -Hg$. Then g is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence H is a real element in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ but not strongly real. Note that $g \in \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ if $bc = -1$. Similarly, H is a real element in $\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ but not strongly real.

Next we will consider $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}$ -real in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 4.7. *A real semisimple element in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is strongly real if and only if either 0 is an eigen value or $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. Let $H \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a real semisimple element. If 0 is an eigen value of H , then H is strongly real. Suppose 0 is not an eigen value of H , and $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $n = 4m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and we can assume $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_{2m}, -h_1, \dots, -h_{2m})$. As Weyl group permutes the diagonal entries, H and $-H$ will be conjugated by $g = \begin{pmatrix} & I_{2m} \\ I_{2m} & \end{pmatrix}$.

Next assume that H is strongly real and 0 is not an eigen value of H . We will show $n \in 4\mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_m, -h_1, \dots, -h_m)$ and $gH = -Hg$ for some involution. Let e_j be the standard column vector in \mathbb{C}^n with 1 in j^{th} place and 0 elsewhere. For $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$Hge_j = -gHe_j = -gh_j e_j = -h_j ge_j.$$

Hence $ge_j \in \mathbb{C}e_{m+j}$ and similarly $ge_{m+j} \in \mathbb{C}e_j$. Let $V_j := \mathbb{C}e_j \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{m+j}$ and $\mathcal{C}_j := \{e_j, e_{m+j}\}$. Set $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{C}_m$. Then the matrix $[g]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a 2×2 block-diagonal matrix and $\det [g]_{\mathcal{C}} = (-1)^m$. As $\det g = 1$, it follows that $m \in 2\mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof. \square

4.2. Semi-simple elements in $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Upto conjugacy, any semisimple element in $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ belongs to the following Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , see [Kn, p. 127]:

$$\mathfrak{h} := \begin{cases} \text{diag}(H_1, \dots, H_m, 0) & \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \\ \text{diag}(H_1, \dots, H_m) & \text{if } n = 2m \end{cases}, \text{ where } H_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_j \\ -x_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, x_j \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (4.1)$$

Example 4.8. Consider $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ -x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{o}(2, \mathbb{C})$, where $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $g \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $gHg^{-1} = -H$. Then g is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, $\det g = -a^2 - b^2$, and $gg^t = g^2 = (-\det g)I_2$. Thus, one can choose $g \in \text{O}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $\det g = -1$. This shows that H is a real element (in fact strongly real) in $\mathfrak{o}(2, \mathbb{C})$ but not a real element in $\mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 4.9. *Every semisimple element in $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is strongly real.*

Proof. Enough to consider the elements of \mathfrak{h} . For the elements in \mathfrak{h} one can easily construct required involution using the Example 4.8. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Let $H \in \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a semisimple element. Then TFAE :*

- (1) H is real.
- (2) H is strongly real.
- (3) Either 0 is an eigen value of H or $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. We omit the proof as it is similar to that of Proposition 4.7. \square

4.3. Semi-simple elements in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ as $\mathfrak{h} := \{\text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_m, -h_1, \dots, -h_m) \mid h_j \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Recall that in Example 4.6, the element $\text{diag}(x, -x)$ is not strongly real but we can choose a conjugating element g from $\text{PSp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Thus, we will first consider the semisimple element in the Lie algebra of $\text{PSp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The analogous result for the compact group $\text{PSp}(n)$ has been studied in [BG, Proposition 3.1].

Theorem 4.11. *Every semisimple element in $\text{Lie}(\text{PSp}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{PSp}(n, \mathbb{C})}$ -real.*

Proof. As \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$, one can define required involution using Example 4.6. \square

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 4.12. *Every semisimple element in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is $\text{Ad}_{\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})}$ -real.*

Theorem 4.13. *A semisimple element in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})}$ -real if and only if the multiplicity of each non-zero eigen value is even.*

Proof. Let H be a strongly real semisimple element in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We can assume $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n, -h_1, \dots, -h_n)$, and $gH = -Hg$ for some involution $g \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose $h_j \neq 0$ and multiplicity of h_j is m . Let $\mathcal{C}_j := \{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m}\}$ be an ordered basis of the eigen space of H corresponding to the eigen value h_j . Then $\mathcal{C}_{n+j} := \{e_{n+j_1}, \dots, e_{n+j_m}\}$ is an ordered basis of the eigen space corresponding to the eigen value $-h_j$. Let V_j be the \mathbb{C} -span of $\mathcal{C}_j \vee \mathcal{C}_{n+j}$. Then the involution g keeps V_j invariant and $(g|_{V_j})^t J_m (g|_{V_j}) = J_m$, where J_m is as in (3.2). As $H(ge_{j_l}) = -h_j ge_{j_l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq m$, we can write

$$[g]_{\mathcal{C}_j \vee \mathcal{C}_{n+j}} := \begin{pmatrix} & B \\ C & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for some } B, C \in \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}). \quad (4.2)$$

Since $g|_{V_j}$ is an involution and $(g|_{V_j})^t J_m (g|_{V_j}) = J_m$, it follows that $C^{-1} = B = -B^t$. Hence, m (the multiplicity of h_j) has to be even.

Next assume that multiplicity of each non-zero eigen value is even. Can assume any semisimple element $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n, -h_1, \dots, -h_n)$, where $h_{2j-1} = h_{2j}$ for

$j = 1, \dots, n/2$. In this case to define a required involution g , set $B := \begin{pmatrix} & J_1 \\ & \ddots \\ J_1 & \end{pmatrix}$ in

(4.2). This completes the proof. \square

5. REALITY FOR NILPOTENT ELEMENTS IN SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA OVER \mathbb{C}

Using the Jacobson-Morozov Theorem 3.3 and Mal'cev Theorem [CM, Theorem 3.4.12], it follows that nilpotent elements are real in simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . We will provide an elementary proof without using those theorems and also construct an explicit conjugating element for the nilpotent element. The following basic lemma is useful for this.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-zero nilpotent element in a semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{C} . Then $X \in [\mathfrak{g}, X]$.*

Proof. See [CM, (3.3.4), p. 38] for a proof. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Every unipotent element in a complex semi-simple Lie group G is real.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that every nilpotent element is real in \mathfrak{g} , see Corollary 2.2. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-zero nilpotent element. Using Lemma 5.1, we have $X = [H, X]$ for some $H \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $e^\alpha = -1$. Then $[\alpha H, X] = \alpha X$ and

$$\text{Ad}(\exp \alpha H)(X) = e^{\text{ad}(\alpha H)}(X) = \sum_n \frac{(\text{ad}(\alpha H))^n}{n!} X = \sum_n \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} X = -X.$$

Hence X and $(-X)$ are conjugate via $\exp \alpha H$. This completes the proof. \square

An analogous result may not true over \mathbb{R} , see Example 5.3.

Example 5.3. Let us consider the nilpotent element $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. A simple computation shows that X is not real in $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, real in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ but not strongly real in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and finally, strongly real in $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

In view of the Proposition 5.2, we want to classify the strongly real nilpotent elements in a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} which will be done in the rest of the section. Moreover, we will construct an explicit element in G corresponding to the nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ which conjugates X and $-X$.

5.1. Nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. First we will consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{D})$ where $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Every nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{D})$ is strongly real when $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{D})$ be a non-zero nilpotent element. Then $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{D})$. Using Proposition 3.4, \mathbb{D}^n has a basis of the form $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_d\}$. Now define $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{D})$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) = \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & d \in \mathbb{E}_d \cup \mathbb{O}_d^1, \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & d \in \mathbb{O}_d^3. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Then $g^2 = \text{Id}$ and $Xg = -gX$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we will consider the nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Every nilpotent element is real in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, see Proposition 5.2. In Example 5.3, we have observed that any nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not strongly real. In general every nilpotent element in $\text{Lie}(\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is strongly real, but not in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The following terminology is needed for the next result. Define $\mathbb{E}_d^2 := \{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_d \mid \eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\}$, where \mathbb{E}_d is as in (3.3), and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) := \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{v.\text{even}}(n) \mid \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_d^2} t_\eta \text{ is odd}\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Theorem 5.5. *Every nilpotent element is strongly real in $\text{Lie}(\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-zero nilpotent element. Using Proposition 3.4, \mathbb{C}^n has a basis of the form $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_d\}$. We now divide the proof in two parts:-

Case 1: $\mathbf{d} \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n)$. Set $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as in (5.1). Then $\det g = 1$, $g^2 = \text{Id}$, $Xg = -gX$.

Case 2: $\mathbf{d} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n)$. In this case define $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^\eta) = (-1)^l \sqrt{-1} X^l v_j^\eta \in \mathbb{E}_d.$$

Then $\det g = 1$, $g^2 = -\text{Id}$ and $Xg = -gX$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.6. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a nilpotent element, and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the corresponding partition. Then X is strongly real if and only if the partition $\mathbf{d} \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n)$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, and $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ containing X . Then \mathbb{C}^n has a basis of the form $\{X^l v_i \mid i, l\}$, see Proposition 3.4. First assume that $\mathbf{d} \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n)$. In this case, define an involution g as in (5.1). This shows that X is strongly real.

Next assume that X is strongly real, and $\mathbf{d} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n)$. The converse part of this theorem will follow if we arrive a contradiction. Let $\tau \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an involution so that $\tau X \tau^{-1} = -X$. We will write τ with respect to the ordered basis \mathcal{B} constructed in (3.9) so that $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is block upper triangular matrix and follow the notation of §3.5. Since, $\tau^2 = \text{Id}$, $A_{jj}^2 = \text{Id}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. It follows from the definition of the ordered basis \mathcal{B} , that A_{jj} and $-A_{jj}$ both occur $d_j/2$ -many times in the diagonal block for $1 \leq j \leq s$. This contradicts the fact that $\det \tau = 1$, as

$$1 = \det \tau = (-1)^{\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}^2} t_{\eta}} (\det A_{jj})^{d_j} = (-1)^{\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}^2} t_{\eta}} = -1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.7. The same proof will work for $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$. But we will provide more straightforward proof in Theorem 6.1 for $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

5.2. Nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Throughout this subsection $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the symmetric form on \mathbb{C}^n defined by $\langle x, y \rangle = x^t y$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{P}_1(n)$ as in (3.6).

Theorem 5.8. *Every nilpotent element is strongly real in $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a non-zero nilpotent element. Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathbb{C}^n as in Proposition 3.5. The partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_1(n)$ for X , see [CM, Theorems 5.1.2, 5.1.4]. Define $g \in \text{GL}(V)$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j-t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, t_d/2 < j \leq t_d. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the definition that $gX = -Xg$, $g^2 = 1$, and $\det g = 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(X^l v_j^d), g(X^{d-l-1} v_j^d) \rangle &= \langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-l-1} v_j^d \rangle & \text{for } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ \langle g(X^l v_j^d), g(X^{d-l-1} v_j^d) \rangle &= \langle X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^d, X^{d-l-1} v_{j+t_d/2}^d \rangle & \text{for } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 3.5, $\langle gx, gy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Hence, $g \in \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$. \square

5.3. Nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Throughout this subsection $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the skew symmetric form on \mathbb{C}^{2n} defined by $\langle x, y \rangle = x^t J_n y$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, where J_n is as in (3.2). Recall the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{-1}(2n)$ as in (3.5).

In the case of $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$, every nilpotent element is not strongly real. For example, any nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ is not strongly real. Nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ are parametrized by the partition of $2n$ in which odd parts occurs with even multiplicity, see [CM, Theorem 5.1.3]. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a nilpotent element which corresponds to the partition $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}_{-1}(2n)$. Recall that in view of Proposition 5.2, every nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is real. Here we will construct an element $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ so that $gX = -Xg$ for nilpotent $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathbb{C}^{2n} as in Proposition 3.5. Define $g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ (-1)^l \sqrt{-1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Note that $gX = -Xg$. Using Proposition 3.5, we have $\langle gx, gy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. This shows that $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 5.9. *A nilpotent element X in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is strongly real if and only if t_{η} is even for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.*

Proof. Let $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathbb{C}^{2n} as in Proposition 3.5. Suppose that t_i is even for even d_i . Define $g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ (-1)^l \sqrt{-1} X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \\ (-1)^{l+1} \sqrt{-1} X^l v_{j-t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, t_d/2 < j \leq t_d. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $gX = -Xg$, $g^2 = 1$. In view of Proposition 3.5, $\langle gx, gy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in V$. This shows that $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Next assume that X is a strongly real nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. i.e. $\nu X \nu^{-1} = -X$ for some involution $\nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. For any $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ so that $gXg^{-1} = -X$,

$$\nu \in gZ_G(X). \quad (5.4)$$

Let $\tau \in Z_G(X)$. Recall that \mathcal{B} is the ordered basis of V as in (3.9). Following §3.5, let $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}} = (A_{ij})$, and τ_D be the block diagonal part of $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e., consists of only the matrices (A_{jj}) in the diagonal. Then $\tau_D X = X \tau_D$ and $\tau_D H = H \tau_D$. Using Lemma 3.7, it follows that $\tau_D \in Z_G(X, H, Y)$. Because of Theorem 3.8, we conclude that for $1 \leq j \leq s$

$$A_{jj} \in \begin{cases} \mathrm{O}(t_{d_j}, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } d_j \text{ even,} \\ \mathrm{Sp}(t_{d_j}/2, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } d_j \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Next define $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ as in (5.3). Then the matrix $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ becomes a diagonal matrix. The first $t_{d_1} + \dots + t_{d_s}$ diagonal entries of $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is of the form:

$$\mathrm{diag}(D_1, \dots, D_s), \quad \text{where } D_j = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d_j-1} \sqrt{-1} \mathrm{I}_{t_{d_j}} & \text{if } d_j \text{ even,} \\ (-1)^{d_j-1} \mathrm{I}_{t_{d_j}} & \text{if } d_j \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

As ν is an involution, using (5.4) it follows that $A_{jj}^2 = -\mathrm{I}_{t_{d_j}}$ when d_j is even and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Since $\det A_{jj} = \pm 1$, we conclude that t_{d_j} is an even integer. \square

We have a stronger result for nilpotent elements in $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{PSp}(n, \mathbb{C}))$.

Theorem 5.10. *Every nilpotent element in $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{PSp}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ is strongly real.*

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Lie}(\text{PSp}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ be a non-zero nilpotent element. Let $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_d\}$ be a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathbb{C}^{2n} as in Proposition 3.5. Recall that t_d is even for $d \in \mathbb{O}_d$. Define $g \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l \sqrt{-1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, d \in \mathbb{O}_d, \\ (-1)^{l+1} \sqrt{-1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } t_d/2 < j \leq t_d, d \in \mathbb{O}_d, \\ (-1)^l \sqrt{-1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_d. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $gX = -Xg$, $g^2 = -\text{Id}$. Using Proposition 3.5, we conclude that $\langle gx, gy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. This shows that $g \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. \square

6. REALITY FOR NILPOTENT ELEMENTS IN SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA OVER \mathbb{R}

In this section, we will consider the nilpotent elements in simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} of classical type.

6.1. The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ & $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$. In this subsection first we will consider nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Recall the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n)$ as in (5.2).

Theorem 6.1. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a nilpotent element. Suppose that X corresponds to the partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. Then TFAE :*

- (1) X is a real element.
- (2) X is a strongly real element.
- (3) $\mathbf{d} \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n)$.

Proof. Using Proposition 3.4, $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_d\}$ is a \mathbb{R} -basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Define $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ as (5.1). Then $g^2 = \text{Id}$, $Xg = -gX$, and $\det g = \pm 1$. Next we will consider two separate cases:

Case 1: If $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{v.even}}(n)$, then $\det g = 1$. Hence, X is strongly real.

Case 2: Next assume that $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n)$. Moreover, assume that $\det g = -1$. Suppose $d_{i_0} \in \mathbb{O}_d$. i.e., d_{i_0} is an odd integer. Then define $\tilde{g} \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$\tilde{g}(X^l v_j^d) = \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if either } d \neq d_{i_0} \text{ or when } d = d_{i_0}, j > 1 \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d = d_{i_0}, j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\det \tilde{g} = -\det g = 1$, $\tilde{g}^2 = \text{Id}$, $X\tilde{g} = -\tilde{g}X$. Hence, in this case also X is strongly real.

Case 3 : Finally assume that $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\text{v.even}}(n)$. In view of Lemma 3.10, X is real if and only if $\det g = 1$. Therefore, in this case if X is real, then it is strongly real. It follows from the definition of g as in (5.1) that $\det g = (-1)^{\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_d^2} t_\eta}$.

Now (1) \Rightarrow (3) follows from *Case 3*. (2) always implies (1). Finally, (3) \Rightarrow (2) follows from *Case 1*, *Case 2* and *Case 3*. This completes the proof. \square

As a corollary we have the following known result:

Corollary 6.2 ([ST, Theorem 3.1.1]). *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a nilpotent element. Suppose that $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then TFAE:*

- (1) X is a real element.

(2) X is a strongly real element.

Proof. Condition (3) of Theorem 6.1 always true for $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. \square

Next we will consider the nilpotent elements in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$. As the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{H})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ which is a compact Lie algebra, we will further assume that $n > 2$.

Theorem 6.3. *Every nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ is strongly real.*

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 5.4. \square

6.2. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$. As we would like to address nilpotent elements; so it will be assumed that $p > 0$ and $q > 0$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Hermitian form on \mathbb{C}^{p+q} defined by $\langle x, y \rangle := \bar{x}^t I_{p,q} y$, where $I_{p,q}$ is as in (3.2). We will follow notation as defined in §3.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ be a non zero nilpotent element, and let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -triple. We now apply Proposition 3.5, Remark 3.6(2). Let $\{d_1, \dots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 > \dots > d_s$, be the finite ordered set of integers that arise as \mathbb{R} -dimension of non-zero irreducible $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ -submodules of \mathbb{C}^{p+q} . Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L(d_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq s$. Then we have $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(p+q)$. Let

$$p_d := \begin{cases} \#\{j \mid \langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ \#\{j \mid \sqrt{-1} \langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad q_d := t_d - p_d. \quad (6.1)$$

Recall that the signed Young diagram is uniquely determined by the sign of 1st column, see Definition 3.1 and Remark 3.2. Now we associate a signed Young diagram for the element X by setting +1 in the p_d -many boxes and -1 in the rest q_d -many boxes in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_d \times d$. It follows that $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and (p_d, q_d) for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ are conjugation invariant and hence, they determined a well-defined signed Young diagram for the nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O}_X . In fact, *the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ are in bijection with the signed Young diagram of signature (p, q)* , see [CM, Theorem 9.3.3], [BCM, Theorem 4.10].

Theorem 6.4. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element. Let p_d (resp. q_d) be the number of +1 (resp. -1) occurred in the 1st column of the block of size $t_d \times d$ in the signed Young diagram corresponding to the orbit \mathcal{O}_X of X . Then TFAE :*

- (1) X is real in $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$.
- (2) X is strongly real in $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$.
- (3) $p_{\eta} = q_{\eta}$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

Proof. We will show (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. Then \mathbb{C}^{p+q} has a \mathbb{C} -basis of the form $\{X^j v_j^d \mid d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ which satisfy Proposition 3.5 (3). First assume that (3) holds. i.e., $p_d = q_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. After suitable reordering we may assume that

$$\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-1} & 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \quad d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & t_d/2 < j \leq t_d, \quad d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} \\ +1 & 1 \leq j \leq p_d, \quad d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \\ -1 & p_d < j \leq t_d, \quad d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \end{cases}$$

Define $g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^{p+q})$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1, \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^{d_i} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j-t_d/2}^{d_i} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, t_d/2 < j \leq t_{d_i}. \end{cases}$$

Then $g \in \mathrm{SU}(p, q)$, $g^2 = \mathrm{Id}$, and $gX = -Xg$. This prove (3) \Rightarrow (2).

Note that (2) \Rightarrow (1) is always true. Thus, it remains to show (1) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose (1) holds. X is real in $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$, i.e., $-X \in \mathcal{O}_X$, the nilpotent orbit of X . In view of Remark 3.2, the signed Young diagram of \mathcal{O}_X is determined by $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and (p_d, q_d) for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ where p_d and q_d is given by (6.1). Note that $\{-X, H, -Y\}$ is a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ containing $-X$ and the partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(p+q)$ for the nilpotent element $-X$ is same as X , see Section 3.4. Following the construction as done for X , let

$$p'_d := \begin{cases} \#\{j \mid \langle v_j^d, (-X)^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ \#\{j \mid \sqrt{-1} \langle v_j^d, (-X)^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad q'_d := t_d - p'_d. \quad (6.2)$$

Comparing (6.1) and (6.2), we conclude that

$$(p_d, q_d) = (p'_d, q'_d) \quad \text{for } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \quad (p_d, q_d) = (q'_d, p'_d) \quad \text{for } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

As $-X \in \mathcal{O}_X$, the signed Young diagrams of \mathcal{O}_X and \mathcal{O}_{-X} coincide, see [CM, Theorem 9.3.3]. Hence, $p_d = q_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. This completes the proof. \square

6.3. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$. Next we will consider the real simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$, see §3.1 for the definition. Since we will deal with the nilpotent elements, we can assume that $p, q > 0$. In this subsection, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the symmetric form on \mathbb{R}^{p+q} defined by $\langle x, y \rangle := x^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} y$, where $\mathrm{I}_{p,q}$ is as in (3.2).

Proposition 6.5. *Every nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ is strongly $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}$ -real.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non zero nilpotent element. Then \mathbb{R}^{p+q} has a basis of the form $\{X^l v_d^j \mid 0 \leq l \leq d-1, 1 \leq j \leq t_d, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ which satisfies Proposition 3.5(3) and Remark 3.6(1). Now define $g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{R}^{p+q})$ as follows :

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1, \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j-t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, t_d/2 < j \leq t_d. \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

Note that $\det g = 1$, $gX = -Xg$ and $g \in \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$. \square

Now we will consider the Ad -action of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0$ on the nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$. The following example shows that every nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{so}(2, 1)$ is not strongly real under the action of $\mathrm{SO}(2, 1)^0$, see also [Go, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.3].

Example 6.6. Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(2, 1)$ be a nilpotent element whose signed Young diagram is

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline -1 & +1 & +1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Then there is no involution $g \in \mathrm{SO}(2, 1)^0$ so that $gXg^{-1} = -X$. This is easy to see using the upper half space model of the hyperbolic space, where such a

nilpotent element corresponds to the translation $z \mapsto z + 1$ in the isometry group and can not be conjugated to $z \mapsto z - 1$ in the group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non zero nilpotent element. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -triple. Let $V := \mathbb{R}^n$ be the right \mathbb{R} -vector space of column vectors. We consider V as a $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ -module via its natural $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ -module structure. Recall that each row of a signed Young diagram will represent an invariant $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ -module V_j^d which admits a cyclic basis of the form $\mathcal{B}^d(j) := \{X^l v_j^d \mid 0 \leq l < d\}$. When d is odd, the symmetric form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate on $V_j^d \times V_j^d$, and the signs of that row in the diagram will correspond with the signature of the form restricted to $V_j^d \times V_j^d$. When d is even, t_d is even and the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate on $V_j^d \times V_{j+t_d/2}^d$ for $1 \leq j \leq t_d/2$, see Remark 3.6, also [BCM, Remark A.13] for more details in this regard. Let

$$V_{\mathbb{E}} := \bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} M(\eta - 1), \quad V_{\mathbb{O}^1} := \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1} M(\theta - 1), \quad V_{\mathbb{O}^3} := \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3} M(\zeta - 1). \quad (6.4)$$

Using Proposition 3.5, we have the following orthogonal decomposition of V :

$$V = V_{\mathbb{E}} \oplus V_{\mathbb{O}^1} \oplus V_{\mathbb{O}^3}. \quad (6.5)$$

Let $g \in \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ be as in (6.3). Then

$$g(V_j^\theta) \subset V_j^\theta \quad \text{and} \quad g(V_j^\eta \oplus V_{j+t_\eta/2}^\eta) \subset V_j^\eta \oplus V_{j+t_\eta/2}^\eta \quad \text{for } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

In particular, $g(V_{\mathbb{E}}) \subset V_{\mathbb{E}}$, $g(V_{\mathbb{O}^1}) \subset V_{\mathbb{O}^1}$ and $g(V_{\mathbb{O}^3}) \subset V_{\mathbb{O}^3}$. In the next lemma, we want to study $g|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$, $g|_{V_{\mathbb{O}^1}}$, $g|_{V_{\mathbb{O}^3}}$.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, and $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}_1(p+q)$ be the corresponding partition for X . Let p_θ (resp. q_θ) be the number of $+1$ (resp. -1) in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_\theta \times \theta$ in the signed Young diagram of X . Let $g \in \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ be as in (6.3). Then the following hold :*

- (1) For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $g|_{V_j^\eta \oplus V_{j+t_\eta/2}^\eta} \in \begin{cases} \mathrm{SO}^0(\eta, \eta) & \text{if } \eta \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \mathrm{SO}(\eta, \eta) \setminus \mathrm{SO}^0(\eta, \eta) & \text{if } \eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$.
In particular, $g|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}} \in \begin{cases} \mathrm{SO}^0(p_{\mathbb{E}}, p_{\mathbb{E}}) & \text{if } \sum_{\eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} t_\eta/2 \text{ even} \\ \mathrm{SO}(p_{\mathbb{E}}, p_{\mathbb{E}}) \setminus \mathrm{SO}^0(p_{\mathbb{E}}, p_{\mathbb{E}}) & \text{if } \sum_{\eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} t_\eta/2 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$
where $p_{\mathbb{E}} = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \eta t_\eta/2$.
- (2) For $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1$, $g|_{V_j^\zeta} \in \begin{cases} \mathrm{SO}^0(\frac{\zeta+1}{2}, \frac{\zeta-1}{2}) & \text{if } \langle v_j^\zeta, X^{\zeta-1} v_j^\zeta \rangle = 1 \\ \mathrm{SO}^0(\frac{\zeta-1}{2}, \frac{\zeta+1}{2}) & \text{if } \langle v_j^\zeta, X^{\zeta-1} v_j^\zeta \rangle = -1 \end{cases}$.
In particular, $g|_{V_{\mathbb{O}^1}} \in \mathrm{SO}^0(p_{\mathbb{O}^1}, q_{\mathbb{O}^1})$, where $(p_{\mathbb{O}^1}, q_{\mathbb{O}^1})$ is the signature of the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_{\mathbb{O}^1} \times V_{\mathbb{O}^1}}$.
- (3) For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3$, $g|_{V_j^\theta} \in \begin{cases} \mathrm{SO}(\frac{\theta-1}{2}, \frac{\theta+1}{2}) \setminus \mathrm{SO}^0(\frac{\theta-1}{2}, \frac{\theta+1}{2}) & \text{if } \langle v_j^\theta, X^{\theta-1} v_j^\theta \rangle = 1, \\ \mathrm{SO}(\frac{\theta+1}{2}, \frac{\theta-1}{2}) \setminus \mathrm{SO}^0(\frac{\theta+1}{2}, \frac{\theta-1}{2}) & \text{if } \langle v_j^\theta, X^{\theta-1} v_j^\theta \rangle = -1. \end{cases}$

Proof. *Proof of (1):-* Recall that $B^d(j)$ is an ordered basis of the vector space V_j^d . Now we will construct an orthogonal ordered basis of $V_j^d \oplus V_{j+t_\eta/2}^d$. For $1 \leq j \leq t_\eta/2$ and

$1 \leq l \leq \eta$, define

$$w_{jl}^{\eta+} := (X^{\eta-l}v_{j+t_\eta/2}^\eta + (-X)^{l-1}v_j^\eta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{jl}^{\eta-} := (X^{l-1}v_j^\eta + (-X)^{\eta-l}v_{j+t_\eta/2}^\eta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta+} := \{w_{jl}^{\eta+} \mid 1 \leq l \leq \eta\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta-} := \{w_{jl}^{\eta-} \mid 1 \leq l \leq \eta\}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta+} \vee \mathcal{C}_j^{\eta-}$ is an suitable orthogonal basis so that

$$\langle w_{jl}^{\eta+}, w_{jl}^{\eta+} \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle w_{jl}^{\eta-}, w_{jl}^{\eta-} \rangle = -1.$$

The matrix $[g]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta+}} = [g]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta-}} = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{cases} \text{SO}(\eta) & \text{if } \eta \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \text{O}(\eta) \setminus \text{SO}(\eta) & \text{if } \eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$

Hence,

$$[g]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta+} \vee \mathcal{C}_j^{\eta-}} = \begin{pmatrix} [g]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta+}} & \\ & [g]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\eta-}} \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{cases} \text{SO}^0(\eta, \eta) & \text{if } \eta \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \text{SO}(\eta, \eta) \setminus \text{SO}^0(\eta, \eta) & \text{if } \eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of (1) as $V_{\mathbb{E}} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t_\eta/2} (V_j^d \oplus V_{j+t_\eta/2}^d)$.

Proof of (2):- In these cases we will also construct an orthogonal basis of the vector space V_j^θ using the basis $B^\theta(j)$, for $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, see [BCM, Lemma A.9(2)]. For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, define

$$w_{jl}^\theta := \begin{cases} (X^l v_j^\theta + X^{\theta-1-l} v_j^\theta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \leq l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ X^l v_j^\theta & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ (X^{\theta-1-l} v_j^\theta - X^l v_j^\theta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \leq \theta-1. \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

Then $\langle w_{jl}^\theta, w_{j'l'}^\theta \rangle = 0$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $l \neq l'$ and $0 \leq l, l' \leq \theta-1$; and

$$\langle w_{jl}^\theta, w_{jl}^\theta \rangle = \begin{cases} (-1)^l \langle v_j^\theta, X^{\theta-1} v_j^\theta \rangle & \text{if } 0 \leq l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^l \langle v_j^\theta, X^{\theta-1} v_j^\theta \rangle & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^{l+1} \langle v_j^\theta, X^{\theta-1} v_j^\theta \rangle & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \leq \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

For $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1$, we need to consider two cases. First assume that $\langle v_j^\zeta, X^{\zeta-1} v_j^\zeta \rangle = +1$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta+} &:= \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ even}, 0 \leq l \leq \frac{\zeta-1}{2}\} \vee \{w_{j, \frac{\zeta-1}{2}}^\zeta\} \vee \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ odd}, \frac{\zeta-1}{2} < l \leq \zeta-1\} \\ \mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta-} &:= \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ odd}, 0 \leq l \leq \frac{\zeta-1}{2}\} \vee \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ even}, \frac{\zeta-1}{2} < l \leq \zeta-1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Since, $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1$, $\frac{\zeta-1}{2}$ is even. Hence,

$$[g|_{V_j^\zeta}]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta+} \vee \mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta-}} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\frac{\zeta+1}{2}} & \\ & -\text{Id}_{\frac{\zeta-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}^0\left(\frac{\zeta+1}{2}, \frac{\zeta-1}{2}\right).$$

Next assume that $\langle v_j^\zeta, X^{\zeta-1} v_j^\zeta \rangle = -1$. In this case set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta+} &:= \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ odd}, 0 \leq l \leq \frac{\zeta-1}{2}\} \vee \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ even}, \frac{\zeta-1}{2} < l \leq \zeta-1\} \\ \mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta-} &:= \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ even}, 0 \leq l \leq \frac{\zeta-1}{2}\} \vee \{w_{j, \frac{\zeta-1}{2}}^\zeta\} \vee \{w_{jl}^\zeta \mid l \text{ odd}, \frac{\zeta-1}{2} < l \leq \zeta-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$[g|_{V_j^\zeta}]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta+} \vee \mathcal{C}_j^{\zeta-}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\text{Id}_{\frac{\zeta-1}{2}} & \\ & \text{Id}_{\frac{\zeta+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}^0\left(\frac{\zeta-1}{2}, \frac{\zeta+1}{2}\right).$$

This completes the proof of (2).

Proof of (3):- For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1$, first assume that $\langle v_j^\theta, X^{\theta-1}v_j^\theta \rangle = +1$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_j^{\theta+} &:= \{w_{jl}^\theta \mid l \text{ even}, 0 \leq l \leq \frac{\theta-1}{2}\} \vee \{w_{jl}^\theta \mid l \text{ odd}, \frac{\theta-1}{2} < l \leq \theta-1\} \\ \mathcal{C}_j^{\theta-} &:= \{w_{jl}^\theta \mid l \text{ odd}, 0 \leq l \leq \frac{\theta-1}{2}\} \vee \{w_{jl}^\theta \mid l \text{ even}, \frac{\theta-1}{2} < l \leq \theta-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3$, $\frac{\theta-1}{2}$ is odd. Note that $[g|_{\text{Span } \mathcal{C}_j^{\theta-}}]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\theta-}} \in \text{SO}\left(\frac{\theta+1}{2}\right)$ Hence,

$$[g|_{V_j^\theta}]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\theta+} \vee \mathcal{C}_j^{\theta-}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\text{Id}_{\frac{\theta-1}{2}} & \\ & [g|_{\text{Span } \mathcal{C}_j^{\theta-}}]_{\mathcal{C}_j^{\theta-}} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}\left(\frac{\theta-1}{2}, \frac{\theta+1}{2}\right) \setminus \text{SO}^0\left(\frac{\theta-1}{2}, \frac{\theta+1}{2}\right).$$

We omit the case when $\langle v_j^\theta, X^{\theta-1}v_j^\theta \rangle = -1$, as this can be done in a similar way. \square

The following corollaries are immediate from the above Lemma 6.7.

Corollary 6.8. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and $g \in \text{SO}(p, q)$ be as in Lemma 6.7. If $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3} t_\theta$ is even, then $g|_{V_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3}} \in \text{SO}^0(p_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3}, q_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3})$.*

Corollary 6.9. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and $g \in \text{SO}(p, q)$ be as in Lemma 6.7. Suppose that $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3} t_\theta$ is odd. If either $p_\theta = 0$ or $q_\theta = 0$, $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3$, then*

$$g|_{V_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3}} \in \text{SO}(p_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3}, q_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3}) \setminus \text{SO}^0(p_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3}, q_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3}).$$

Corollary 6.10. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and $g \in \text{SO}(p, q)$ be as in Lemma 6.7. Suppose that either $p_\theta = 0$ or $q_\theta = 0$, $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$.*

- (1) *If either both $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3} t_\theta$ and $\sum_{\eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} t_\eta/2$ is even or odd, then $g \in \text{SO}^0(p, q)$.*
- (2) *If one of $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3} t_\theta$ and $\sum_{\eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} t_\eta/2$ is even and another one is odd, then $g \in \text{SO}(p, q) \setminus \text{SO}^0(p, q)$.*

In the next result we will show that a certain class of nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SO}(p, q)^0}$ -real.

Proposition 6.11. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, and $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}_1(p+q)$ be the corresponding partition for X . Let p_θ (resp. q_θ) be the number of $+1$ (resp. -1) in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_\theta \times \theta$ in the signed Young diagram of X . Suppose that there exist $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ so that $p_\theta \neq 0$ and $q_{\theta'} \neq 0$. Then X is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SO}(p, q)^0}$ -real.*

Proof. Let $g \in \text{SO}(p, q)$ be as in (6.3). If $g \in \text{SO}^0(p, q)$, then we are done. Suppose that $g \notin \text{SO}^0(p, q)$. Recall that $B^d(j)$ is an ordered basis of the vector space V_j^d . We can assume that $\langle v_{j_\theta}^\theta, X^{\theta-1}v_{j_\theta}^\theta \rangle = +1$ and $\langle v_{j_{\theta'}}^{\theta'}, X^{\theta'-1}v_{j_{\theta'}}^{\theta'} \rangle = -1$ for $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Define

$\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{g}(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} -g(X^l v_j^\theta) & \text{if } d = \theta, \theta'; j = j_\theta, j_{\theta'}, \\ g(X^l v_j^\theta) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since, $g \in \mathrm{SO}(p, q) \setminus \mathrm{SO}^0(p, q)$, it follows that $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{SO}^0(p, q)$ is an involution and $-X = \tilde{g}X\tilde{g}^{-1}$. This completes the proof. \square

The proof of the next proposition is implicit in the proof of [BCM, Theorem 4.25].

Proposition 6.12. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_1(p+q)$ be the corresponding partition for X . Let p_θ (resp. q_θ) be the number of $+1$ (resp. -1) in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_\theta \times \theta$ in the signed Young diagram of X . If either $p_\theta = 0$ or $q_\theta = 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, then*

$$Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)}(X) = Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0}(X).$$

Proof. As $\mathrm{Lie}(Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)}(X, H, Y)) = \mathrm{Lie}(Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0}(X, H, Y)) \simeq \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{so}(p, q)}(X)$, to prove this proposition, it is enough to show $Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)}(X, H, Y) = Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0}(X, H, Y)$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_{\mathbb{E}} \times V_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_{\mathbb{O}} \times V_{\mathbb{O}}}$. Let $X_{\mathbb{E}} := X|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$, $X_{\mathbb{O}} := X|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$, $H_{\mathbb{E}} := H|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$, $H_{\mathbb{O}} := H|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$, $Y_{\mathbb{E}} := Y|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{O}} := Y|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$. We have the following natural isomorphism

$$Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)}(X, H, Y) \simeq Z_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \times Z_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}), \quad (6.8)$$

where $Z_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \simeq \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_\eta/2, \mathbb{R})$ is connected. Also, it follows from [BCM, (4.31)] that

$$Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0}(X, H, Y) \simeq Z_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \times Z_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})^0}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}). \quad (6.9)$$

We now appeal to [BCM, Proposition 4.21 and 4.21] to conclude under the given assumption on the nilpotent element X that

$$Z_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}) \simeq Z_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})^0}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 6.13. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element as in Proposition 6.12, i.e., either $p_\theta = 0$ or $q_\theta = 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then X is not $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0}$ -real if one of $\sum_{\eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} t_\eta/2$ and $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3} t_\theta$ is odd and another one is even.*

Proof. Suppose that X is a real element, i.e., $-X = \tau X \tau^{-1}$ for some $\tau \in \mathrm{SO}^0(p, q)$. Let $g \in \mathrm{SO}^0(p, q)$ be as in (6.3). Then using Proposition 6.12 we have

$$g \in \tau Z_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)}(X) = \tau Z_{\mathrm{SO}^0(p, q)}(X) \subset \mathrm{SO}^0(p, q),$$

which contradicts Corollary 6.10(2). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6.14. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, and $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}_1(p+q)$ be the corresponding partition for X . Let p_θ (resp. q_θ) be the number of $+1$ (resp. -1) in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_\theta \times \theta$ in the signed Young diagram of X . Then TFAE :*

- (1) X is $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0}$ -real.
- (2) X is strongly $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^0}$ -real.
- (3) The signed Young diagram of X does not satisfy both the following conditions :

- Either $p_\theta = 0$ or $q_\theta = 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_d$.
- One of $\sum_{\eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} t_\eta/2$ and $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_d^3} t_\theta$ is odd and another one is even.

Proof. First note that (2) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious. (1) \Rightarrow (3) follows from Proposition 6.13. Using Proposition 6.11 and Corollary 6.10(1), we conclude that (3) \Rightarrow (2). \square

6.4. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$. Here we will consider the real simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$. Recall that $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} + \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{j}\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{k}\mathbb{R}$. Throughout this subsection $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the skew-Hermitian form on \mathbb{H}^n defined by $\langle x, y \rangle := \bar{x}^t \mathbf{j} I_n y$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$. We will follow notation as defined in §3.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a non zero nilpotent element, and let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -triple. We now apply Proposition 3.5, Remark 3.6(2). Following Section 3.5, let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(d_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq s$, and $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the partition of n corresponding to the element X . Let

$$p_d := \begin{cases} \#\{j \mid \langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{when } d \in \mathbb{O}_d, \\ \#\{j \mid \sqrt{-1} \langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{when } d \in \mathbb{E}_d; \end{cases} \quad \text{and } q_d := t_d - p_d. \quad (6.10)$$

Now we associate a signed Young diagram for the element X by setting $+1$ in the p_η -many boxes and -1 in the rest q_η -many boxes in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_\eta \times \eta$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_d$, and place $+1$ in the left most box of odd length rows. It follows that this association does not depend on the conjugacy class. *The nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ is parametrized by the signed Young diagram of size n and any signature in which rows of odd length have their left most boxes labelled $+1$* , see [CM, Theorem 9.3.4], also [BCM, Theorem 4.27].

Theorem 6.15. *Let X be a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$. Then TFAE :*

- (1) X is real.
- (2) X is strongly real.
- (3) $p_\eta = q_\eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_d$.

Proof. We will show (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple corresponding to X . Then \mathbb{H}^n has a \mathbb{H} -basis of the form $\{X^j v_j^d \mid d \in \mathbb{N}_d\}$ which satisfy Proposition 3.5. First assume that $p_d = q_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{E}_d$. In view of Remark 3.6, we can assume that

$$\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq j \leq p_d \quad d \in \mathbb{E}_d, \\ -1 & p_d < j \leq t_d \quad d \in \mathbb{E}_d, \\ \mathbf{j} & d \in \mathbb{O}_d. \end{cases}$$

Define $g \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_d^1, \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_d^3, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_d, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j-t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_d, t_d/2 < j \leq t_d. \end{cases}$$

Then $g \in \text{SO}^*(2n)$, $g^2 = \text{Id}$, and $gX = -Xg$. This proves (2).

Note that (2) \Rightarrow (1) is always true. Thus, it remains to show (1) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose (1) holds, i.e., $-X \in \mathcal{O}_X$. In view of Remark 3.2, the signed Young diagram of \mathcal{O}_X is

determined by $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and (p_d, q_d) for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ where p_d and q_d is given by (6.10). Note that $\{-X, H, -Y\}$ is a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ containing $-X$ and the partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ for the nilpotent element $-X$ is same as X , see Section 3.4. Following the construction as done for X , let

$$p'_d := \begin{cases} \#\{j \mid \langle v_j^d, (-X)^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ \#\{j \mid \sqrt{-1} \langle v_j^d, (-X)^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = 1\} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad q'_d := t_d - p'_d. \quad (6.11)$$

Comparing (6.10) and (6.11), we conclude that

$$(p_d, q_d) = (p'_d, q'_d) \quad \text{for } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \quad (p_d, q_d) = (q'_d, p'_d) \quad \text{for } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

Since, $-X \in \mathcal{O}_X$, the signed Young diagrams of \mathcal{O}_X and \mathcal{O}_{-X} coincide, see [CM, Theorem 9.3.4]. Hence, $p_d = q_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. This completes the proof. \square

6.5. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Here we will consider the real simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Throughout this subsection $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} defined by $\langle x, y \rangle := x^t J_n y$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where J_n is as in (3.2).

Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a non zero nilpotent element. We now apply Proposition 3.5, Remark 3.6(2). Following Section 3.5, let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L(d_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq s$, and $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(2n)$ be the partition corresponding to the element X . Let

$$p_\eta := \#\{j \mid \langle v_j^\eta, X^{\eta-1} v_j^\eta \rangle = 1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad q_\eta := t_\eta - p_\eta \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

Now we associate a signed Young diagram for the element X by setting $+1$ in the p_η -many boxes and -1 in the rest q_η -many boxes in the 1st column of the rectangular block of size $t_\eta \times \eta$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and place $+1$ in the left most box of odd length rows. It follows that this association does not depend on the conjugacy class. *The nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is parametrized by the signed Young diagram of size $2n$ and any signature in which rows of odd length have their left most boxes labelled $+1$ and occur with even multiplicity*, see [CM, Theorem 9.3.5], also [BCM, Theorem 4.27].

Theorem 6.16. *Let X be a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then TFAE :*

- (1) X is real.
- (2) X is strongly real.
- (3) $p_\eta = q_\eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

Proof. We will show (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple corresponding to X . Then \mathbb{R}^{2n} has a basis of the form $\{X^j v_j^d \mid d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ which satisfy Proposition 3.5 (3). In view of Remark 3.6(1), we can assume that

$$\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_j^d \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq j \leq p_d \\ -1 & p_d < j \leq t_d \end{cases}, \quad d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}; \quad \langle v_j^d, X^{d-1} v_{t_d/2+j}^d \rangle = 1 \text{ for } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2.$$

First assume that $p_d = q_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Define $g \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ as follows:

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \\ (-1)^l X^l v_{j-t_d/2}^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, t_d/2 < j \leq t_d. \end{cases}$$

Then $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $g^2 = \mathrm{Id}$, and $gX = -Xg$. This proves (2).

Note that (2) \Rightarrow (1) is always true. Thus, it remains to show (1) \Rightarrow (3). Let the signed Young diagram for the orbit \mathcal{O}_{-X} be determined by $\mathbf{d}' \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and (p'_d, q'_d) for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Using Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.6(3), it follows that

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}'; \quad (p_d, q_d) = (p'_d, q'_d) \quad \text{for } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \quad (p_d, q_d) = (q'_d, p'_d) \quad \text{for } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

Now (1) implies $-X \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Therefore, the signed Young diagrams of \mathcal{O}_X and \mathcal{O}_{-X} coincide, see [CM, Theorem 9.3.5]. Hence, $p_d = q_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. This completes the proof. \square

6.6. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$. Here we will deal with the nilpotent elements in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$. We will further assume that $p, q > 0$. Recall that $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} + \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{j}\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{k}\mathbb{R}$. Throughout this subsection $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the hermitian form on \mathbb{H}^n defined by $\langle x, y \rangle := \bar{x}^t \mathbf{I}_{p,q} y$, where $\mathbf{I}_{p,q}$ is as in (3.2). Recall the definition of $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ as in (3.3).

Theorem 6.17. *Every non-zero nilpotent element in $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{PSp}(p, q))$ is real.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ be a non zero nilpotent element. Then \mathbb{H}^{p+q} has a \mathbb{H} -basis of the form $\{X^l v_d^j \mid d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ which satisfies Proposition 3.5(3). Now define $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ as follows :

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := (-1)^l X^l v_j^d \mathbf{i}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

Then $g^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$, $gX = -Xg$ and $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we have an immediate corollary which extends [BG, Theorem 1.1] for any unipotent element in the higher rank situation.

Corollary 6.18. *Every non-zero nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ is real.*

Every nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ corresponds to a partition $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \dots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, see [CM, Theorem 9.3.5], also [BCM, Theorem 4.38]. Next we classify strongly real nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$.

Theorem 6.19. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ be a nilpotent. Then X is a strongly real element if and only if t_η is even for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ be a non zero nilpotent element. Then \mathbb{H}^{p+q} has a \mathbb{H} -basis of the form $\{X^l v_d^j \mid d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ which satisfies Proposition 3.5(3). Suppose that t_η is even for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Define $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ as follows :

$$g(X^l v_j^d) := \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^l v_j^d & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3, \\ (-1)^l (X^l v_{j+t_d/2}^d) \mathbf{i} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 1 \leq j \leq t_d/2, \\ (-1)^{l+1} (X^l v_{j-t_d/2}^d) \mathbf{i} & \text{if } d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, t_d/2 < j \leq t_d. \end{cases}$$

Then $g^2 = \mathrm{Id}$, $gX = -Xg$ and $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$. Hence, X is strongly real.

Next assume that X is a strongly real nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$. Let $\tau \in Z_{\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)}(X)$. Recall that \mathcal{B} is the ordered basis of \mathbb{H}^{p+q} as in (3.9). Following §3.5, let $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}} = (A_{ij})$, and τ_D be the block diagonal part of $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e., consists of only the matrices (A_{jj}) in

the diagonal. Then $\tau_D X = X \tau_D$ and $\tau_D H = H \tau_D$. Using Lemma 3.7, it follows that $\tau_D \in Z_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)}(X, H, Y)$. Using Proposition 3.9, we conclude that for $1 \leq j \leq s$

$$A_{jj} \in \mathrm{SO}^*(2t_{d_j}) \quad \text{if } d_j \text{ even.} \quad (6.12)$$

Next define $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$ as in the proof of Theorem 6.17. Then the matrix $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ becomes a diagonal matrix. The first $t_{d_1} + \dots + t_{d_s}$ diagonal entries of $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is of the form:

$$\mathrm{diag}(D_1, \dots, D_s), \quad \text{where } D_j = (-1)^{d_j-1} \mathbf{i}_{t_{d_j}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq s.$$

Since X is strongly real, $\nu X \nu^{-1} = -X$ for some involution $\nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$. Then $\nu \in gZ_G(X)$. It follows that when d_j is even and $1 \leq j \leq s$, the matrices A_{jj} also satisfy

$$(A_{jj} \mathbf{i})^2 = \mathbf{i}_{t_{d_j}}. \quad (6.13)$$

Using (6.12) and (6.13) we conclude that

$$A_{jj} = -\bar{A}_{jj}^t$$

Let λ be a right eigen value of A_{jj} (ref for existence of eigen value). Then it follows that $-\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ is also a right eigen value which is distinct from λ . This proves that t_{d_j} , the order of the matrix A_{jj} , must be even. This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX

In this section we will deal with a particular example in support of the Section 3.5. Let \mathbb{D} be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} . Let X be a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{sl}_{14}(\mathbb{D})$ where \mathbb{D} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} which correspondence to the partition $\mathbf{d} := [3^2, 2^2, 1^4]$. Let $\{X^l v_j^{d_r} \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_{d_r}, 0 \leq l \leq d_r - 1, 1 \leq r \leq 3\}$ be a \mathbb{D} -basis of \mathbb{D}^{14} as in Proposition 3.4. Here, $d_1 = 3, d_2 = 2, d_3 = 1$ and $t_{d_1} = 2, t_{d_2} = 2, t_{d_3} = 4$. Recall that $\mathcal{B}^l(d_r) := (X^l v_1^{d_r}, \dots, X^l v_{t_{d_r}}^{d_r})$. Set $\mathcal{B}(1) := \mathcal{B}^2(d_1) \vee \mathcal{B}^1(d_2) \vee \mathcal{B}^0(1)$, $\mathcal{B}(2) := \mathcal{B}^1(d_1) \vee \mathcal{B}^0(d_2)$, and $\mathcal{B}(3) := \mathcal{B}^0(d_1)$. Finally define,

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(1) \vee \mathcal{B}(2) \vee \mathcal{B}(3). \quad (6.14)$$

We would like to write explicitly the matrix $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_1^3) &= c_{3121} X^2 v_1^3 + c_{3122} X^2 v_2^3 + d_{3111} X^1 v_1^2 + d_{3112} X^1 v_2^2 + e_{3101} v_1^1 \\ &\quad + e_{3102} v_2^1 + e_{3103} v_3^1 + e_{3104} v_4^1 + c_{3111} X^1 v_1^3 + c_{3112} X^1 v_2^3 \\ &\quad + d_{3101} v_1^2 + d_{3102} v_2^2 + c_{3101} v_1^3 + c_{3102} v_2^3, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_2^3) &= c_{3221} X^2 v_1^3 + c_{3222} X^2 v_2^3 + d_{3211} X^1 v_1^2 + d_{3212} X^1 v_2^2 + e_{3201} v_1^1 \\ &\quad + e_{3202} v_2^1 + e_{3203} v_3^1 + e_{3204} v_4^1 + c_{3211} X^1 v_1^3 + c_{3212} X^1 v_2^3 \\ &\quad + d_{3201} v_1^2 + d_{3202} v_2^2 + c_{3201} v_1^3 + c_{3202} v_2^3, \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_1^2) &= c_{2121} X^2 v_1^3 + c_{2122} X^2 v_2^3 + d_{2111} X^1 v_1^2 + d_{2112} X^1 v_2^2 + e_{2101} v_1^1 + e_{2102} v_2^1 \\ &\quad + e_{2103} v_3^1 + e_{2104} v_4^1 + c_{2111} X^1 v_1^3 + c_{2112} X^1 v_2^3 + d_{2101} v_1^2 + d_{2102} v_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_2^2) &= c_{2221} X^2 v_1^3 + c_{2222} X^2 v_2^3 + d_{2211} X^1 v_1^2 + d_{2212} X^1 v_2^2 + e_{2201} v_1^1 + e_{2202} v_2^1 \\ &\quad + e_{2203} v_3^1 + e_{2204} v_4^1 + c_{2211} X^1 v_1^3 + c_{2212} X^1 v_2^3 + d_{2201} v_1^2 + d_{2202} v_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

Since $\tau X \tau^{-1} = \epsilon X$, using (6.15) and (6.16) we have

$$\tau(X v_1^3) = \epsilon(c_{3111} X^2 v_1^3 + c_{3112} X^2 v_2^3 + d_{3101} X v_1^2 + d_{3102} X v_2^2 + c_{3101} X v_1^3 + c_{3102} X v_2^3),$$

$$\tau(Xv_2^3) = \epsilon(c_{3211}X^2v_1^3 + c_{3212}X^2v_2^3 + d_{3201}Xv_1^2 + d_{3202}Xv_2^2 + c_{3201}Xv_1^3 + c_{3202}Xv_2^3),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_1^1) &= c_{1121}X^2v_1^3 + c_{1122}X^2v_2^3 + d_{1111}X^1v_1^2 + d_{1112}X^1v_2^2 + e_{1101}v_1^1 + e_{1102}v_2^1 \\ &\quad + e_{1103}v_3^1 + e_{1104}v_4^1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_2^1) &= c_{1221}X^2v_1^3 + c_{1222}X^2v_2^3 + d_{1211}X^1v_1^2 + d_{1212}X^1v_2^2 + e_{1201}v_1^1 + e_{1202}v_2^1 \\ &\quad + e_{1203}v_3^1 + e_{1204}v_4^1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_3^1) &= c_{1321}X^2v_1^3 + c_{1322}X^2v_2^3 + d_{1311}X^1v_1^2 + d_{1312}X^1v_2^2 + e_{1301}v_1^1 + e_{1302}v_2^1 \\ &\quad + e_{1303}v_3^1 + e_{1304}v_4^1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v_4^1) &= c_{1421}X^2v_1^3 + c_{1422}X^2v_2^3 + d_{1411}X^1v_1^2 + d_{1412}X^1v_2^2 + e_{1401}v_1^1 + e_{1402}v_2^1 \\ &\quad + e_{1403}v_3^1 + e_{1404}v_4^1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau(Xv_1^2) = \epsilon(c_{2111}X^1v_1^3 + c_{2112}X^1v_2^3 + d_{2101}v_1^2 + d_{2102}v_2^2), \quad (6.19)$$

$$\tau(Xv_2^2) = \epsilon(c_{2211}X^2v_1^3 + c_{2212}X^2v_2^3 + d_{2201}Xv_1^2 + d_{2202}Xv_2^2), \quad (6.20)$$

Finally, we have

$$\tau(X^2v_1^3) = c_{3101}X^2v_1^3 + c_{3102}X^2v_2^3, \quad (6.21)$$

$$\tau(X^2v_2^3) = c_{3201}X^2v_1^3 + c_{3202}X^2v_2^3. \quad (6.22)$$

Hence, the matrix $[\tau]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is of the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3101} & \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3201} & \epsilon c_{2111} & \epsilon c_{2211} & c_{1121} & c_{1221} & c_{1321} & c_{1421} & \epsilon c_{3111} & \epsilon c_{3211} & c_{2121} & c_{2221} & c_{3121} & c_{3221} \\ \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3102} & \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3202} & \epsilon c_{2112} & \epsilon c_{2212} & c_{1122} & c_{1222} & c_{1322} & c_{1422} & \epsilon c_{3112} & \epsilon c_{3212} & c_{2122} & c_{2222} & c_{3122} & c_{3222} \\ & & \textcolor{green}{\epsilon d}_{2101} & \textcolor{green}{\epsilon d}_{2201} & d_{1111} & d_{1211} & d_{1311} & d_{1411} & \epsilon d_{3101} & \epsilon d_{3202} & d_{2111} & d_{2211} & d_{3111} & d_{3211} \\ & & \textcolor{green}{\epsilon d}_{2102} & \textcolor{green}{\epsilon d}_{2202} & d_{1112} & d_{1212} & d_{1312} & d_{1412} & \epsilon d_{3102} & \epsilon d_{3202} & d_{2112} & d_{2212} & d_{3112} & d_{3212} \\ & & & & e_{1101} & e_{1201} & e_{1301} & e_{1401} & 0 & 0 & e_{2101} & e_{2201} & e_{3101} & e_{3201} \\ & & & & e_{1102} & e_{1202} & e_{1302} & e_{1402} & 0 & 0 & e_{2102} & e_{2202} & e_{3102} & e_{3202} \\ & & & & e_{1103} & e_{1203} & e_{1303} & e_{1403} & 0 & 0 & e_{2103} & e_{2203} & e_{3103} & e_{3203} \\ & & & & e_{1104} & e_{1204} & e_{1304} & e_{1404} & 0 & 0 & e_{2104} & e_{2204} & e_{3104} & e_{3204} \\ & & & & & & & & \textcolor{blue}{\epsilon c}_{3101} & \textcolor{blue}{\epsilon c}_{3201} & c_{2111} & c_{2211} & c_{3111} & c_{3211} \\ & & & & & & & & \textcolor{blue}{\epsilon c}_{3102} & \textcolor{blue}{\epsilon c}_{3202} & c_{2112} & c_{2212} & c_{3112} & c_{3212} \\ & & & & & & & & & & \textcolor{green}{d}_{2101} & \textcolor{green}{d}_{2201} & d_{3101} & d_{3201} \\ & & & & & & & & & & \textcolor{green}{d}_{2102} & \textcolor{green}{d}_{2202} & d_{3102} & d_{3202} \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3101} & \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3201} \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3102} & \textcolor{blue}{c}_{3202} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Acknowledgements. We thank Pralay Chatterjee, Dipendra Prasad, Anupam Singh and Maneesh Thakur for their comments on a first draft of this article.

REFERENCES

- [AD] D. Awa, R. J. de la Cruz, Every real symplectic matrix is a product of real symplectic involutions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 589 (2020), 85–95.
- [Bo] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second Enlarged Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

- [BCM] I. Biswas, P. Chatterjee, C. Maity, The Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in classical Lie algebra, *Kyoto J. Math.*, vol. 60, No. 2, 2020, pp 717-799.
- [BG] S. Bhunia, K. Gongopadhyay, Reversible quaternionic hyperbolic isometries. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 591 (2020), 268–283.
- [BM] A. Basmajian, B. Maskit, Space form isometries as commutators and products of involutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(9) (2012) 5015–5033.
- [Cr] R. J. de la Cruz, Each symplectic matrix is a product of four symplectic involutions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 466 (2015), 382–400.
- [CM] D. H. Collingwood, W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
- [DM] D. Ž. Djoković, J. G. Malzan, Products of reflections in $U(p, q)$, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37 (1982), no. 259, vi+82 pp.
- [EV] E. W. Ellers, O. Villa, Generation of the symplectic group by involutions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 591 (2020), 154–159.
- [FS] A. G. O’Farrell, I. Short, *Reversibility in Dynamics and Group Theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol.416, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [FZ] W. Feit, G. J. Zuckerman, *Reality properties of conjugacy classes in spin groups and symplectic groups*, in: Algebraists’ Homage: Papers in Ring Theory and Related Topics, New Haven, Conn., 1981, in: Contemp. Math., vol.13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp.239–253.
- [Go] K. Gongopadhyay, Conjugacy classes in Möbius groups, *Geom. Dedicata*, 151 (2011) 245–258.
- [GP] K. Gongopadhyay, J. Parker, Reversible complex hyperbolic isometries, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438(6), (2013), 2728–2739.
- [G81] R. Gow, Products of two involutions in classical groups of characteristic 2, *J. Algebra*, 71 (1981), 583–591.
- [Kn] A. W. Knap, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Second edition, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 140, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [KN1] F. Knüppel, K. Nielsen, On products of two involutions in the orthogonal group of a vector space, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 94 (1987) 209–216.
- [KN2] F. Knüppel, K. Nielsen, Products of involutions in $O^+(V)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 94 (1987) 217–222.
- [KN3] F. Knüppel, and K. Nielsen, $SL(V)$ is 4-reflectional. *Geom. Dedicata*, 38(3), 1991., 301–308.
- [Ma] C. Maity, *On the Topology of Nilpotent Orbits in SemiSimple Lie Algebras*, PhD Thesis, Homi Bhabha National Institute, IMSc, Chennai, 2017.
- [Mc] W. M. McGovern, *The adjoint representation and the adjoint action* in Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 131, Invariant Theory Algebr. Transform. Groups, II, Springer, Berlin, 2002, 159–238.
- [SS] T. A. Springer, R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes, in : *1970 Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups* (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69) pp. 167–266, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131 Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [ST] A. Singh, M. Thakur, Reality properties of conjugacy classes in algebraic groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 165 (2008), 1–27.
- [TZ1] P. H. Tiep, A.E. Zalesski, Unipotent elements of finite groups of Lie type and realization fields of their complex representations, *J. Algebra*, 271 (2004), 327–390.
- [TZ2] P. H. Tiep, A.E. Zalesski, Real conjugacy classes in algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type, *J. Group Theory*, 8 (2005), no. 3, 291–315.
- [Vi] R. C. Vinroot, Totally orthogonal finite simple groups. *Math. Z.*, 294 (2020), no. 3-4, 1759–1785.
- [VG] E. P. Vdovin, A. A. Gal’t, Strong reality of finite simple groups. *Sib. Math. J.*, 51(4), 2010, 610–615.
- [Wo] M. J. Wonenburger, Transformations which are products of two involutions, *J. Math. Mech.*, 16 (1966) 327–338.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, S.A.S. NAGAR 140306, PUNJAB, INDIA

Email address: krishnendug@gmail.com, krishnendu@iisermohali.ac.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY,
SECTOR 81, S.A.S. NAGAR 140306, PUNJAB, INDIA

Email address: maity.chandan1@gmail.com, cmaity@iisermohali.ac.in